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This form contains the measure information submitted by stewards. Blank fields indicate no information was provided. Attachments also may have been submitted and are provided to reviewers. The sub-criteria and most of the footnotes from the evaluation criteria are provided in Word comments and will appear if your cursor is over the highlighted area (or in the margin if your Word program is set to show revisions in balloons). Hyperlinks to the evaluation criteria and ratings are provided in each section.

TAP/Workgroup (if utilized): Complete all yellow highlighted areas of the form. Evaluate the extent to which each sub-criterion is met. Based on your evaluation, summarize the strengths and weaknesses in each section.

Note: If there is no TAP or workgroup, the SC also evaluates the sub-criteria (yellow highlighted areas).

Steering Committee: Complete all pink highlighted areas of the form. Review the workgroup/TAP assessment of the sub-criterion, noting any areas of disagreement; then evaluate the extent to which each major criterion is met; and finally, indicate your recommendation for the endorsement. Provide the rationale for your ratings.

Evaluation ratings of the extent to which the criteria are met
C = Completely (unquestionably demonstrated to meet the criterion)
P = Partially (demonstrated to partially meet the criterion)
M = Minimally (addressed BUT demonstrated to only minimally meet the criterion)
N = Not at all (NOT addressed; OR incorrectly addressed; OR demonstrated to NOT meet the criterion)
NA = Not applicable (only an option for a few sub-criteria as indicated)

(for NQF staff use) NQF Review #: ACP-023-10
NQF Project: Ambulatory Care - Additional Outpatient Measures 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEASURE DESCRIPTIVE INFORMATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measure Title: Median Time to Pain Management for Long Bone Fracture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brief description of measure: Median time from emergency department arrival to time of initial parenteral pain medication administration, or other regional/local anesthesia pain management for emergency department patients with a principal diagnosis of long bone fracture (LBF).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of Measure: process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If included in a composite or paired with another measure, please identify composite or paired measure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Priority Partners Priority Area: Palliative and End of Life care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOM Quality Domain: timeliness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer Care Need: Getting Better</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| CONDITIONS FOR CONSIDERATION BY NQF |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Four conditions must be met before proposed measures may be considered and evaluated for suitability as voluntary consensus standards: | NQF Staff |
| A. The measure is in the public domain or an intellectual property (measure steward agreement) is signed. Public domain only applies to governmental organizations. All non-government organizations must sign a measure steward agreement even if measures are made publicly and freely available. | A |
| Do you attest that the measure steward holds intellectual property rights to the measure and the right to use aspects of the measure owned by another entity (e.g., risk model, code set)? | Yes |
| Indicate if Proprietary Measure (as defined in measure steward agreement): | A.2 |
| Measure Steward Agreement: government entity- public domain- No Agreement | A.3 |
| Measure Steward Agreement attached: | A.4 |

Rating: C=Completely; P=Partially; M=Minimally; N=Not at all; NA=Not applicable
### 1. IMPORTANCE TO MEASURE AND REPORT

#### 1a. High Impact

**Specific NPP goal:**

1a.1 Demonstrated High Impact Aspect of Healthcare: other

1a.2 Pain Management/Quality of Care

1a.3 Summary of Evidence of High Impact: Pain management in patients with long bone fractures is undertreated in emergency departments (Ritsema, Kelen, Pronovost, & Pham, 2007). Emergency department pain management has room for improvement (Ritsema 2007). Patients with bone fractures continue to lack administration of pain medication as part of treatment regimens (Brown, 2003). When standards are implemented for pain management of these patients administration and treatment rates for pain improve (Titler, 2009). Disparities continue to exist in the administration of medication for minorities (Epps, 2008 and Todd, 1993) and children as well (Brown, 2003 and Friedland, 1994).

1a.4 Citations for Evidence of High Impact:


**Comment [KP1]:** 1a. The measure focus addresses:
- a specific national health goal/priority identified by NQF’s National Priorities Partners; OR
- a demonstrated high impact aspect of healthcare (e.g., affects large numbers, leading cause of morbidity/mortality, high resource use (current and/or future), severity of illness, and patient/societal consequences of poor quality).
1b. Opportunity for Improvement

1b.1 Benefits (improvements in quality) envisioned by use of this measure: This measure provides a standard of practice protocol for management of pain in patients diagnosed in the ED with a long bone fracture.

1b.2 Summary of data demonstrating performance gap (variation or overall poor performance) across providers:

Disparities have been identified concerning the time it takes for patients presenting to the ED with a long bone fracture and the administration of pain medication. One study showed that out of 2,064 patients with a qualifying long bone fracture in the study period, only 57% had their pain assessed and only 50% received opiates. Patients seen by physician assistants were more likely to receive opiates, whereas those with Medicaid and those in the Northeast were less likely to receive opiates.

One study based on data compiled by the National Hospital Ambulatory Medical Care Survey, which is administered by the U.S. Census Bureau analyzed 374,891 emergency department visits over 13 years. Of those visits, 156,729, or 42%, related to pain. Among the study findings was the determination that for long bone fractures, opioids were prescribed less often for blacks than for whites (45% to 52%).

One study based on data compiled by the National Hospital Ambulatory Medical Care Survey, which is administered by the U.S. Census Bureau analyzed 374,891 emergency department visits over 13 years. Of those visits, 156,729, or 42%, related to pain. Among the study findings was the determination that for long bone fractures, opioids were prescribed less often for blacks than for whites (45% to 52%).

1b.3 Citations for data on performance gap:


1b.4 Summary of Data on disparities by population group:

Disparities have been identified concerning the time it takes for patients presenting to the ED with a long bone fracture and the administration of pain medication. One study showed that out of 2,064 patients with a qualifying long bone fracture in the study period, only 57% had their pain assessed and only 50% received opiates. Patients seen by physician assistants were more likely to receive opiates, whereas those with Medicaid and those in the Northeast were less likely to receive opiates.

One study based on data compiled by the National Hospital Ambulatory Medical Care Survey, which is administered by the U.S. Census Bureau analyzed 374,891 emergency department visits over 13 years. Of those visits, 156,729, or 42%, related to pain. Among the study findings was the determination that for long bone fractures, opioids were prescribed less often for blacks than for whites (45% to 52%).

1b.5 Citations for data on Disparities:
1c. Outcome or Evidence to Support Measure Focus

1c.1 Relationship to Outcomes (For non-outcome measures, briefly describe the relationship to desired outcome. For outcomes, describe why it is relevant to the target population): When a long bone fracture is diagnosed and pain medication is administered in a timely manner, the comfort level of the patient is increased and self-reported pain levels are decreased, resulting in an increase in the functional status of the patient. One study conducted as a prospective survey of 458 ED patients with pain, found that the patients reported a mean of 23 minutes as a reasonable wait for pain medication versus 78 minutes for the actual delivery of pain medication. Forty-five percent of patients received pain medication and 70% had their needs for pain relief met. Mean satisfaction for patients who had their needs for pain relief met was 83 mm versus 51 mm for patients whose needs for pain relief were not met (P < .001). Patients expect rapid delivery of pain medication after arrival in the ED. Time to delivery of pain medication in this ED does not meet patient expectations. Patients who had their needs for pain relief met were more satisfied with ED care.


1c.2.3. Type of Evidence: observational study, cohort study

1c.4 Summary of Evidence (as described in the criteria; for outcomes, summarize any evidence that healthcare services/care processes influence the outcome): Emergency department pain management has room for improvement (Ritsema 2007). Patients with bone fractures continue to lack administration of pain medication as part of treatment regimens (Brown, 2003). When standards are implemented for pain management of these patients administration and treatment rates for pain improve (Titler, 2009). Disparities continue to exist in the administration of medication for minorities (Epps, 2008 and Todd, 1993) and for children as well (Brown, 2003 and Friedland, 1994).

1c.5 Rating of strength/quality of evidence (also provide narrative description of the rating and by whom): Strength of Evidence is Level B and C. Randomized control trials on efficiency of pain medication administration in patients with fractures is difficult to conduct due to ethical connotations for performing such studies. The guideline for acute pain management includes meta-analysis of studies.

1c.6 Method for rating evidence: ABC Scale

- Level A (randomized controlled trial/meta-analysis): High quality randomized controlled trials that consider all important outcomes. High-quality meta-analysis (quantitative systematic review) using comprehensive search strategies.
- Level B (other evidence): A well-designed, nonrandomized clinical trial. A nonquantitative systematic review with appropriate search strategies and well-substantiated conclusions. Includes lower quality randomized controlled trials, clinical cohort studies, and case-controlled studies with nonbiased selection of study participants and consistent findings. Other evidence, such as high-quality, historical, uncontrolled studies, or well-designed epidemiologic studies with compelling findings, is also included.
- Level C (consensus/expert opinion): Consensus viewpoint or expert opinion. Expert opinion is sometimes the best evidence available.

1c.7 Summary of Controversy/Contradictory Evidence: The risk in advancing measures that address timeliness in administration is that there may be an increase in unnecessary administration prior to a

Rating: C=Completely; P=Partially; M=Minimally; N=Not at all; NA=Not applicable
proper diagnosis.


1c.9 Quote the Specific guideline recommendation (including guideline number and/or page number): The measure is not related to a specific clinical practice guideline. However, the National Guideline Clearinghouse guideline acute pain management in older adults recommends early pain management. Guideline link: http://www.guideline.gov/summary/summary.aspxss=15&doc_id=10198&nbr=&string=#s4

1c.10 Clinical Practice Guideline Citation: Herr K, Bjoro K, Steffensmeier J, Rakel B. Acute pain management in older adults. Iowa City (IA): University of Iowa Gerontological Nursing Interventions Research Center, Research Translation and Dissemination Core; 2006 Jul. 113 p. [469 references]

1c.11 National Guideline Clearinghouse or other URL: Located at: http://www.guideline.gov/summary/pdf.aspx?doc_id=10198&stats=1&string=%2324

1c.12 Rating of strength of recommendation (also provide narrative description of the rating and by whom): Recommendation is level D. There is evidence of integrative reviews, national clinical practice guidelines, or acute pain research in adults but not specific to older adults.

1c.13 Method for rating strength of recommendation (If different from USPSTF system, also describe rating and how it relates to USPSTF):

RATING SCHEME FOR THE STRENGTH OF THE EVIDENCE
A. There is evidence of well-designed meta-analysis in older adults.
B. There is evidence of well-designed controlled trials in the older adult population; randomized and nonrandomized, well-designed quasi-experimental and cohort studies in older adult populations with results that consistently support a specific action (e.g., assessment, intervention or treatment).
C. There is evidence of observational studies (e.g., correlational, descriptive studies) or controlled trials in older adults with inconsistent results.
D. There is evidence of integrative reviews, national clinical practice guidelines, or acute pain research in adults but not specific to older adults.
E. There is evidence of expert opinion or multiple case reports regarding older adults.
F. There is evidence of other similar practice (e.g., other countries, preclinical studies) that support the specific action.

1c.14 Rationale for using this guideline over others:
Guideline is applicable to acute pain management in older adults.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TAP/Workgroup: What are the strengths and weaknesses in relation to the sub-criteria for Importance to Measure and Report?</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Steering Committee: Was the threshold criterion, Importance to Measure and Report, met?</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Rationale:**

1. STEERING COMMITTEE: Was the threshold criterion, Importance to Measure and Report, met?

**Rationale:**

**2. SCIENTIFIC ACCEPTABILITY OF MEASURE PROPERTIES**

Extent to which the measure, as specified, produces consistent (reliable) and credible (valid) results about the quality of care when implemented. (evaluation criteria)

---

**2a. MEASURE SPECIFICATIONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.1 Do you have a web page where current detailed measure specifications can be obtained?</th>
<th>Y</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**2a. Precisely Specified**

2a.1 Numerator Statement (Brief, text description of the numerator - what is being measured about the target population, e.g. target condition, event, or outcome):

Continuous Variable Statement: Time (in minutes) from emergency department arrival to time of initial parenteral pain medication administration, or other regional/local anesthesia pain management for emergency department patients with a diagnosis of a (long bone) fracture.

2a.2 Numerator Time Window (The time period in which cases are eligible for inclusion in the numerator):

Time of arrival to the time pain medication is administered.

2a.3 Numerator Details (All information required to collect/calculate the numerator, including all codes, logic, and definitions):

Included Populations:

- Patients with a patient age on Outpatient Encounter Date (Outpatient Encounter Date - Birthdate) >= 2 years, and
- Patients with an ICD-9-CM Principal Diagnosis Code for a (long bone) fracture as defined in Appendix A, OP Table 8.1, and
- Pain Medication as defined in Appendix C, Table 8.1, and
- An E/M Code for emergency department encounter as defined in Appendix A, OP Table 1.0

Excluded Populations:

- Patients who expired in the emergency department
- Patients who left the emergency department against medical advice or discontinued care

Data Elements:

- Arrival Time
- Birthdate
- Discharge Status
- E/M Code
- ICD-9-CM Principal Diagnosis Code
- Outpatient Encounter Date
- Pain Medication
- Pain Medication Date and Time

2a.4 Denominator Statement (Brief, text description of the denominator - target population being measured):

Emergency department patients with a principal diagnosis of long bone fracture (LBF).

---

**Comment [KP8]:** 2a. The measure is well defined and precisely specified so that it can be implemented consistently within and across organizations and allow for comparability. The required data elements are of high quality as defined by NQF’s Health Information Technology Expert Panel (HITEP).
### 2a.5 Target population gender: Male, Female

### 2a.6 Target population age range: Age 2 and over

### 2a.7 Denominator Time Window (The time period in which cases are eligible for inclusion in the denominator):
Time of arrival in the ED to time of administration of pain medication

### 2a.8 Denominator Details (All information required to collect/calculate the denominator - the target population being measured - including all codes, logic, and definitions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Denominator Details</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Birthdate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharge Status</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outpatient Encounter Date</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Patients with a reason for not administering pain medication are not eligible for inclusion in the measure population. This is addressed within the measure specifications (data elements).

### 2a.9 Denominator Exclusions (Brief text description of exclusions from the target population):
- Patients less than 2 years of age
- Patients who expired in the emergency department
- Patients who left the emergency department against medical advice or discontinued care

### 2a.10 Denominator Exclusion Details (All information required to collect exclusions to the denominator, including all codes, logic, and definitions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Birthdate</th>
<th>Discharge Status</th>
<th>Outpatient Encounter Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Patients for whom there is no reason for not administering pain medication are eligible for inclusion in the measure population. This is addressed within the measure specifications (data elements).

### 2a.11 Stratification Details/Variables (All information required to stratify the measure including the stratification variables, all codes, logic, and definitions):
Plan is to stratify measure by ED annual volume and the presence of race/ethnicity, age and gender demographics will allow for stratification of results.

### 2a.12 Risk Adjustment Type: no risk adjustment necessary

### 2a.13 Risk Adjustment Methodology/Variables (List risk adjustment variables and describe conceptual models, statistical models, or other aspects of model or method):
N/A

### 2a.14 Detailed risk model available Web page URL or attachment:

### 2a.15-17 Type of Score: continuous variable

### 2a.18 Interpretation of Score: better quality = lower score

### 2a.21 Calculation Algorithm (Describe the calculation of the measure as a flowchart or series of steps):
Calculation algorithm can be found in the document uploaded for question 2a.29. Data Dictionary or Code Table; please refer to page 4 of the attached document.

### 2a.22 Describe the method for discriminating performance (e.g., significance testing):
N/A

### 2a.23 Sampling (Survey) Methodology If measure is based on a sample (or survey), provide instructions for obtaining the sample, conducting the survey and guidance on minimum sample size (response rate):
If measure is based on a sample (or survey), provide instructions for obtaining the sample and conducting the survey, and lend guidance on minimum sample size (response rate). A sampling similar to that used for the Outpatient Prospective Payment System can be used if medical record implementation is utilized. For hospitals submitting electronic health records, all cases submitted will be utilized.

**Sampling**
Sampling is a process of selecting a representative part of a population in order to estimate the hospital’s performance, without collecting data for the hospital’s entire population. Using a statistically valid sample, a hospital can measure its performance in an effective and efficient manner. Sampling is a particularly useful technique for performance measures that require primary data collection from a source such as the...
medical record. Sampling should not be used unless the hospital has a large number of cases in the outpatient population, because a fairly large number of sample cases are needed to achieve a representative sample of the population. For the purpose of sampling outpatient department quality measures, the terms “sample,” “effective sample,” and “case” are defined below:

- The “sample” is the fraction of the population that is selected for further study.
- “Effective sample” refers to the part of the sample that makes it into the denominator of an outpatient measure set: it is defined as the sample for an outpatient measure set minus all the exclusions and contraindications for the outpatient measure set in the sample.
- A “case” refers to a single record (or an encounter) within the population. For example, during the first quarter a hospital may have 100 patients who had a principal diagnosis associated with the OP-1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 measures. The hospital’s outpatient population would include 100 cases or 100 outpatient records for these measures during the first quarter.

To obtain statistically valid sample data, the sample size should be carefully determined and the sample cases should be randomly selected in such a way that the individual cases in the population have an equal chance of being selected. Only when the sample data truly represent the whole population can the sample-based performance outpatient measure set data be meaningful and useful. Each hospital is ultimately responsible for adhering to the sampling requirements outlined in this manual.

As a general rule/policy of CMS, providers are encouraged to submit as many cases as possible up to the entire population of cases if reasonably feasible. For example, if the raw data can be easily extracted from an existing electronic database or the abstraction burden is manageable, providers should consider submitting the entire population of cases that meet the initial selection criteria. Otherwise, a statistically valid sample can be selected.

Note: Hospitals are NOT required to sample their data if they elect to include all eligible cases. For example, a hospital has 100 cases for the quarter and must select a sample of 80 cases. The hospital may choose to use all 100 cases given the minimal benefit sampling would offer.

2a.24 Data Source (Check the source(s) for which the measure is specified and tested)
- paper medical record/flowsheet
- Electronic administrative data/claims
- pharmacy data
- Electronic clinical data
- electronic Health/Medical Record

2a.25 Data source/data collection instrument (Identify the specific data source/data collection instrument, e.g. name of database, clinical registry, collection instrument, etc.):
- The CMS Abstraction & Reporting Tool or Electronic Health Record

2a.26-28 Data source/data collection instrument reference web page URL or attachment:

2a.29-31 Data dictionary/code table web page URL or attachment: Attachment  Long Bone Fracture MIF 2010 05 13.pdf

2a.32-35 Level of Measurement/Analysis (Check the level(s) for which the measure is specified and tested)
- Facility/Agency

2a.36-37 Care Settings (Check the setting(s) for which the measure is specified and tested)
- Ambulatory Care: Emergency Dept
- Ambulatory Care: Hospital Outpatient

2a.38-41 Clinical Services (Healthcare services being measured, check all that apply)

TESTING/ANALYSIS

2b. Reliability testing

2b.1 Data/sample (description of data/sample and size): N/A

Rating: C=Completely; P=Partially; M=Minimally; N=Not at all; NA=Not applicable
### 2b.2 Analytic Method (type of reliability & rationale, method for testing)

N/A

### 2b.3 Testing Results (reliability statistics, assessment of adequacy in the context of norms for the test conducted)

N/A

### 2c. Validity testing

#### 2c.1 Data/sample (description of data/sample and size)

N/A

#### 2c.2 Analytic Method (type of validity & rationale, method for testing)

N/A

#### 2c.3 Testing Results (statistical results, assessment of adequacy in the context of norms for the test conducted)

N/A

### 2d. Exclusions Justified

#### 2d.1 Summary of Evidence supporting exclusion(s)

N/A

#### 2d.2 Citations for Evidence

N/A

#### 2d.3 Data/sample (description of data/sample and size)

N/A

#### 2d.4 Analytic Method (type of analysis & rationale)

N/A

#### 2d.5 Testing Results (e.g., frequency, variability, sensitivity analyses)

N/A

### 2e. Risk Adjustment for Outcomes/Resource Use Measures

#### 2e.1 Data/sample (description of data/sample and size)

N/A

#### 2e.2 Analytic Method (type of risk adjustment, analysis, & rationale)

N/A

#### 2e.3 Testing Results (risk model performance metrics)

N/A

#### 2e.4 If outcome or resource use measure is not risk adjusted, provide rationale

N/A

### 2f. Identification of Meaningful Differences in Performance

#### 2f.1 Data/sample from Testing or Current Use (description of data/sample and size)

N/A

#### 2f.2 Methods to identify statistically significant and practically/meaningfully differences in performance (type of analysis & rationale)

N/A

#### 2f.3 Provide Measure Scores from Testing or Current Use (description of scores, e.g., distribution by quartile, mean, median, SD, etc.; identification of statistically significant and meaningfully differences in performance)

N/A

### 2g. Comparability of Multiple Data Sources/Methods

C
2g.1 Data/sample (description of data/sample and size): N/A

2g.2 Analytic Method (type of analysis & rationale): N/A

2g.3 Testing Results (e.g., correlation statistics, comparison of rankings): N/A

2h. Disparities in Care

2h.1 If measure is stratified, provide stratified results (scores by stratified categories/cohorts): N/A

2h.2 If disparities have been reported/identified, but measure is not specified to detect disparities, provide follow-up plans: N/A

TAP/Workgroup: What are the strengths and weaknesses in relation to the sub-criteria for Scientific Acceptability of Measure Properties?

Steering Committee: Overall, to what extent was the criterion, Scientific Acceptability of Measure Properties, met?

Rationale:

3. USABILITY

Extent to which intended audiences (e.g., consumers, purchasers, providers, policy makers) can understand the results of the measure and are likely to find them useful for decision making. (evaluation criteria)

3a. Meaningful, Understandable, and Useful Information

3a.1 Current Use: testing not yet completed

3a.2 Use in a public reporting initiative (disclosure of performance results to the public at large) (If used in a public reporting initiative, provide name of initiative(s), locations, Web page URL(s). If not publicly reported, state the plans to achieve public reporting within 3 years):

Plan for Public Reporting via Hospital Compare

3a.3 If used in other programs/initiatives (If used in quality improvement or other programs/initiatives, name of initiative(s), locations, Web page URL(s). If not used for QI, state the plans to achieve use for QI within 3 years):

Plan for implementation in the Hospital Outpatient Department Quality Data Reporting Program.

Testing of Interpretability (Testing that demonstrates the results are understood by the potential users for public reporting and quality improvement)

3a.4 Data/sample (description of data/sample and size): N/A

3a.5 Methods (e.g., focus group, survey, QI project): N/A

3a.6 Results (qualitative and/or quantitative results and conclusions): N/A

3b/3c. Relation to other NQF-endorsed measures

3b.1 NQF # and Title of similar or related measures:

287 Median to Fibrinolysis; 289 Median to ECG; 290 Median Time to Transfer to Another Facility for Acute Coronary Intervention; 496 Median Time from ED Arrival to ED Departure for Discharged ED Patients

(for NQF staff use) Notes on similar/related endorsed or submitted measures:

3b. Harmonization

Comment [KP21]: 2h. If disparities in care have been identified, measure specifications, scoring, and analysis allow for identification of disparities through stratification of results (e.g., by race, ethnicity, socioeconomic status, gender); OR rationale/data justifies why stratification is not necessary or not feasible.

Comment [KP22]: 3a. Demonstration that information produced by the measure is meaningful, understandable, and useful to the intended audience(s) for both public reporting (e.g., focus group, cognitive testing) and informing quality improvement (e.g., quality improvement initiatives). An important outcome that may not have an identified improvement strategy still can be useful for informing quality improvement by identifying the need for and stimulating new approaches to improvement.

Comment [KP23]: 3b. The measure specifications are harmonized with other measures, and are applicable to multiple levels and settings.
If this measure is related to measure(s) already endorsed by NQF (e.g., same topic, but different target population/setting/data source or different topic but same target population):

3b.2 Are the measure specifications harmonized? If not, why?
These measures relate to CMS Emergency Department measures currently endorsed by NQF.

Admit Decision Time to ED Departure Time for Admitted Patients
Aspirin at arrival
Fibrinolytic Therapy Received Within 30 Minutes of ED Arrival
Median Time from ED Arrival to ED Departure for Admitted ED Patients
Median Time from ED Arrival to ED Departure for Discharged ED Patients
Median to ECG
Median to Fibrinolysis

3c. Distinctive or Additive Value
3c.1 Describe the distinctive, improved, or additive value this measure provides to existing NQF-endorsed measures:

5.1 Competing Measures If this measure is similar to measure(s) already endorsed by NQF (i.e., on the same topic and the same target population), describe why it is a more valid or efficient way to measure quality:

TAP/Workgroup: What are the strengths and weaknesses in relation to the sub-criteria for Usability?

Steering Committee: Overall, to what extent was the criterion, Usability, met?
Rationale:

4. FEASIBILITY
Extent to which the required data are readily available, retrievable without undue burden, and can be implemented for performance measurement. (evaluation criteria)

4a. Data Generated as a Byproduct of Care Processes
4a.1-2 How are the data elements that are needed to compute measure scores generated? coding/abstraction performed by someone other than person obtaining original information,

4b. Electronic Sources
4b.1 Are all the data elements available electronically? (elements that are needed to compute measure scores are in defined, computer-readable fields, e.g., electronic health record, electronic claims)
No
4b.2 If not, specify the near-term path to achieve electronic capture by most providers.
No, however, work is progressing to make all elements available with the implementation of HITSP Panel recommendations.

4c. Exclusions
4c.1 Do the specified exclusions require additional data sources beyond what is required for the numerator and denominator specifications?
No
4c.2 If yes, provide justification.
4d. Susceptibility to Inaccuracies, Errors, or Unintended Consequences

4d.1 Identify susceptibility to inaccuracies, errors, or unintended consequences of the measure and describe how these potential problems could be audited. If audited, provide results.

The risk in advancing measures that address timeliness in administration is that there may be an increase in unnecessary administration prior to a proper diagnosis.

4e. Data Collection Strategy/Implementation

4e.1 Describe what you have learned/modified as a result of testing and/or operational use of the measure regarding data collection, availability of data/missing data, timing/frequency of data collection, patient confidentiality, time/cost of data collection, other feasibility/implementation issues:

Cost/administrative burden has not been assessed, however it is expected facilities with dedicated EHRs will experience less burden in the collection of data.

4e.2 Costs to implement the measure (costs of data collection, fees associated with proprietary measures):

N/A

4e.3 Evidence for costs:

N/A

4e.4 Business case documentation:

N/A

TAP/Workgroup: What are the strengths and weaknesses in relation to the sub-criteria for Feasibility?

Steering Committee: Overall, to what extent was the criterion, Feasibility, met?

Rationale:

RECOMMENDATION

(for NQF staff use) Check if measure is untested and only eligible for time-limited endorsement.

Steering Committee: Do you recommend for endorsement?

Comments:

CONTACT INFORMATION

Co.1 Measure Steward (Intellectual Property Owner)
Co.1 Organization
Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services | 7500 Security Blvd | Baltimore | Maryland | 21244

Co.2 Point of Contact
Wanda | Govan-Jenkins, MS, MBA, RN | Wanda.Govan-Jenkins@cms.hhs.gov | 410-786-2699

Measure Developer if different from Measure Steward
Co.3 Organization
Optimal Solutions Group, LLC | 5825 University Research Court, Suite 2800 | College Park | Maryland | 20740

Co.4 Point of Contact
Kianna | Banks, RN, MS | kbanks@optimalsolutionsgroup.com | 301-306-1170

Co.5 Submitter if different from Measure Steward POC
Kianna | Banks, RN, MS | kbanks@optimalsolutionsgroup.com | 301-306-1170- | Optimal Solutions Group, LLC

Rating: C=Completely; P=Partially; M=Minimally; N=Not at all; NA=Not applicable
### ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

**Additional organizations that sponsored/participated in measure development**

| Oklahoma Foundation for Medical Quality |

#### Workgroup/Expert Panel involved in measure development

**Ad.1** Provide a list of sponsoring organizations and workgroup/panel members' names and organizations. **Describe the members' role in measure development.**

- **Dr. Jim Adams** Northwest University
- **Dr. Brent Asplin** Regions Hospital St. Paul
- **Dr. James Augustine** EMP Management Group
- **Kristie Baus** CMS
- **Dr. Dale Bratzler** Oklahoma Foundation for Medical Quality
- **Katherine Brown** Consumer Purchaser Disclosure Project
- **Dr. Stephen Cantrill** Denver Health
- **Joyce Dubow** AARP
- **Jennifer Eames** Consumer Purchaser Disclosure Project
- **Jennifer Faerberg** AAAC
- **Brent Fisher** EMPATH
- **Nancy Foster** American Hospital Association AHA
- **Irene Fraser** AHRQ
- **Dr. Leon Haley Jr.** Emory
- **Dr. Howard Isenstein** FAH
- **Rebecca Jones** Oklahoma Foundation for Medical Quality
- **Dr. Rahul Khare** Northwestern University
- **Dr. Jon Krohmer** Department of Homeland Security
- **Dr. Jim Leo** Long Beach Memorial Medical Center
- **Donna Mason** Vanderbilt University Medical Center
- **Pamela Owens** AHRQ
- **Dr. Michael Rapp** CMS
- **Dr. Charles Reece** Christiana Care Health System
- **Dr. Matt Rice** Team Health
- **Tiffany Sanders** CMS
- **Robert Schafermeyer** Carolinas Medical Center
- **Dr. David Sklar** University of New Mexico Medical Center
- **Sharon Sprenger** The Joint Commission
- **Dr. Shari Welch** IHI
- **Marcia Wilson** Urgent Matters-George Washington University

**Ad.2** If adapted, provide name of original measure:

**Ad.3-5** If adapted, provide original specifications URL or attachment:

#### Measure Developer/Steward Updates and Ongoing Maintenance

**Ad.6** Year the measure was first released: 2010-05

**Ad.7** Month and Year of most recent revision: 2010-05

**Ad.8** What is your frequency for review/update of this measure? **Once implemented, every 6 months**

**Ad.9** When is the next scheduled review/update for this measure? 2011-01

**Ad.10** Copyright statement/disclaimers: **Measure is to be released in the public domain.**

**Ad.11-13** Additional Information web page URL or attachment:

**Date of Submission (MM/DD/YY):** 05/13/2010
1c. The measure focus is:

- an outcome (e.g., morbidity, mortality, function, health-related quality of life) that is relevant to, or associated with, a national health goal/priority, the condition, population, and/or care being addressed; 

OR

- if an intermediate outcome, process, structure, etc., there is evidence that supports the specific measure focus as follows:
  - Intermediate outcome - evidence that the measured intermediate outcome (e.g., blood pressure, Hba1c) leads to improved health/avoidance of harm or cost/benefit.
  - Process - evidence that the measured clinical or administrative process leads to improved health/avoidance of harm and if the measure focus is on one step in a multi-step care process, it measures the step that has the greatest effect on improving the specified desired outcome(s).
  - Structure - evidence that the measured structure supports the consistent delivery of effective processes or access that lead to improved health/avoidance of harm or cost/benefit.
  - Patient experience - evidence that an association exists between the measure of patient experience of health care and the outcomes, values and preferences of individuals/ the public.
  - Access - evidence that an association exists between access to a health service and the outcomes of, or experience with, care.
  - Efficiency - demonstration of an association between the measured resource use and level of performance with respect to one or more of the other five IOM aims of quality.

9 Examples of validity testing include, but are not limited to: determining if measure scores adequately distinguish between providers known to have good or poor quality assessed by another valid method; correlation of measure scores with another valid indicator of quality for the specific topic; ability of measure scores to predict scores on some other related valid measure; content validity for multi-item scales/tests. Face validity is a subjective assessment by experts of whether the measure reflects the quality of care (e.g., whether the proportion of patients with BP < 140/90 is a marker of quality). If face validity is the only validity addressed, it is systematically assessed (e.g., ratings by relevant stakeholders) and the measure is judged to represent quality care for the specific topic and that the measure focus is the most important aspect of quality for the specific topic.

2d. Clinically necessary measure exclusions are identified and must be:

- supported by evidence of sufficient frequency of occurrence so that results are distorted without the exclusion; 

AND

- a clinically appropriate exception (e.g., contraindication) to eligibility for the measure focus; 

AND

- precisely defined and specified:
  - if there is substantial variability in exclusions across providers, the measure is specified so that exclusions are computable and the effect on the measure is transparent (i.e., impact clearly delineated, such as number of cases excluded, exclusion rates by type of exclusion); 

if patient preference (e.g., informed decision-making) is a basis for exclusion, there must be evidence that it strongly impacts performance on the measure and the measure must be specified so that the information about patient preference and the effect on the measure is transparent (e.g., numerator category computed separately, denominator exclusion category computed separately).

2e. For outcome measures and other measures (e.g., resource use) when indicated:

- an evidence-based risk-adjustment strategy (e.g., risk models, risk stratification) is specified and is based on patient clinical factors that influence the measured outcome (but not disparities in care) and are present at start of care; OR

rationale/data support no risk adjustment.

13 Risk models should not obscure disparities in care for populations by including factors that are associated with differences/inequalities in care such as race, socioeconomic status, gender (e.g., poorer treatment outcomes of
With large enough sample sizes, small differences that are statistically significant may or may not be practically or clinically meaningful. The substantive question may be, for example, whether a statistically significant difference of one percentage point in the percentage of patients who received smoking cessation counseling (e.g., 74% v. 75%) is clinically meaningful; or whether a statistically significant difference of $25 in cost for an episode of care (e.g., $5,000 v. $5,025) is practically meaningful. Measures with overall poor performance may not demonstrate much variability across providers.
National Quality Forum Steering Committee for the *National Voluntary Consensus Standards for Ambulatory Care – Outpatient Measures 2010*
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NQF Measure ID: ACP-019-10</th>
<th>Measure Title: Median time to troponin results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Measure revisions:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Title of measure changed to “Troponin Results for Emergency Department acute myocardial infarction (AMI) patients or chest pain patients (with <em>Probable Cardiac Chest Pain</em>) Received within 60 minutes of arrival;”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Results for Non-Traumatic Chest Pain Received within 60 minutes of arrival;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Denominator was revised to only include those patients with non-traumatic chest pain and AMI; and</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- The time frame was revised to capture time of arrival to time the test is complete.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NQF Measure ID: ACP-021-10</th>
<th>Measure Title: Median time from head CT scan order to head CT scan interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Measure revisions:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Title of measure changed to “Head CT Scan Results for Acute Ischemic Stroke or Hemorrhagic stroke who Received Head CT Scan Interpretation within 45 minutes of Arrival;”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Optimal revised the measure to capture Acute Ischemic Stroke and Hemorrhagic Stroke patients who arrive at the ED within 2 hours of the onset of symptoms who have a head CT scan performed during the stay and having a time from ED arrival to interpretation of the Head CT scan within 45 minutes of arrival.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NQF Measure ID: ACP-023-10</th>
<th>Measure Title: Time to pain management for long bone fracture</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Measure revisions:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Measure now includes ages &gt;= age 2;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Measure specifications were revised to include parenteral pain medications for patients with shaft fractures of the tibia, femur, and humerus with a median time with a range.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Measure Information Form

Measure Set: Emergency Department

Set Measure ID #: OP-ED-1

Performance Measure Name: Median Time to Pain Management for Long Bone Fracture

Description: Median time from emergency department arrival to time of initial oral or parenteral pain medication administration for emergency department patients with a principal diagnosis of long bone fracture (LBF).

Rationale: Pain management in patients with long bone fractures is undertreated in emergency departments (Ritsema, Kelen, Pronovost, & Pham, 2007). Emergency department pain management has room for improvement (Ritsema, Kelen, Pronovost, & Pham, 2007). Patients with bone fractures continue to lack administration of pain medication as part of treatment regimens (Brown, 2003). When standards are implemented for pain management of these patients administration and treatment rates for pain improve (Titler, 2009). Disparities continue to exist in the administration of medication for minorities (Epps, 2008 and Todd, 1993) and children as well (Brown, 2003 and Friedland, 1994).

Type of Measure: Process

Improvement Noted As: A decrease in the median value

Continuous Variable Statement: Time (in minutes) from emergency department arrival to time of initial oral or parenteral pain medication administration for emergency department patients with a diagnosis of a (long bone) fracture.

Included Populations:
- Patients with a patient age on Outpatient Encounter Date (Outpatient Encounter Date – Birthdate) >= 18 years, and
- An ICD-9-CM Principal Diagnosis Code for a (long bone) fracture as defined in Appendix A, OP Table 8.1, and
- Patients with Pain Medication as defined in Appendix C, Table 8.1, and
- An E/M Code for emergency department encounter as defined in Appendix A, OP Table 1.0

Excluded Populations:
- Patients less than 18 years of age
- Patients who expired in the emergency department
Patients who left the emergency department against medical advice or discontinued care

Data Elements:
- Birthdate
- Discharge Status
- E/M Code
- ED Arrival Time
- ICD-9-CM Principal Diagnosis Code
- Outpatient Encounter Date
- Pain Medication
- Pain Medication Date and Time

Risk Adjustment: No

Data Collection Approach: Retrospective data sources for required data elements include administrative data and medical records. Some facilities may prefer to gather data concurrently by identifying patients in the population of interest. This approach provides opportunity for improvement at the point of care/service. However, complete documentation includes the ICD-9-CM diagnosis, which requires retrospective data entry.

Data Accuracy: Variation may exist in the assignment of ICD-9-CM codes; therefore, coding practices may require evaluation to ensure consistency.

Measure Analysis Suggestions: None

Sampling: Yes, for additional information see the Population and Sampling Specifications section.

Data Reported As: Aggregate measure of central tendency

Suggested References:


**OP-ED-1**: Median Time to Pain Management for Long Bone Fracture

**Continuous Variable Statement**: Time (in minutes) from emergency department arrival to time of initial oral or parenteral pain medication administration for emergency department patients with a diagnosis of a (long bone) fracture.

---

**Diagram Description**:
- **START**
  - **Discharge Status**: 47 or 20
    - **OP-ED-1 B**
  - **Arrival Time**
    - **Non-UTD Value**
    - **ICD-9-CM Principal Diagnosis Code**
      - Not on OP Table 8.1 (Appendix A)
        - **OP-ED-1 B**
      - On Table 8.1 (Appendix A)
    - **Pain Medication**
      - Not on OP Table 8.1 (Appendix C)
        - **OP-ED-1 B**
      - On OP Table 8.1 (Appendix C)
    - **Pain Medication Date and Time**
      - **Non-UTD Value**
        - **Measurement Value = Pain Medication Date and Time minus Outpatient Encounter Date and Arrival Time (in minutes)**
          - **< 0 minutes**
            - **Not in Measure Population**
          - **>= 0 minutes**
            - **In Measure Population**
        - **In Measure Population**
- **STOP**
**Data Elements**

**Data Element Name:** Arrival Time

**Collected For:** All Records (used in algorithm for OP-1, OP-2, OP-3, OP-5)

**Definition:** The earliest documented time (military time) the patient arrived at the outpatient or emergency department.

**Suggested Data Collection Question:** What was the earliest documented time the patient arrived at the outpatient or emergency department?

**Format:**
- **Length:** 5 - HH:MM (with or without colon) or UTD
- **Type:** Time
- **Occurs:** 1

**Allowable Values:**
- **HH** = Hour (00-23)
- **MM** = Minutes (00-59)
- **UTD** = Unable to Determine

Time must be recorded in military time format. With the exception of Midnight and Noon:
- If the time is in the a.m., conversion is not required.
- If the time is in the p.m., add 12 to the clock time hour.

**Examples:**
- Midnight - 00:00
- 5:31 am - 05:31
- 11:59 am - 11:59
- Noon - 12:00
- 5:31 pm - 17:31
- 11:59 pm - 23:59

**Note:**
- 00:00 = midnight. If the time is documented as 00:00 11-24-20XX, review supporting documentation to determine if the Outpatient Encounter Date should remain 11-24-20XX or if it should be converted to 11-25-20XX.

When converting Midnight or 24:00 to 00:00 do not forget to change the Outpatient Encounter Date.
- Example: Midnight or 24:00 on 11-24-20XX = 00:00 on
11-25-20XX

For times that include “seconds,” remove the seconds and record the military time.
   Example: 15:00:35 would be recorded as 15:00
Note:
Transmission of a case with an invalid time will be rejected from the OPPS Clinical Warehouse. Use of “UTD” for Arrival Time allows the case to be accepted into the warehouse, but should only be used when all efforts to locate or determine an Arrival Time have been exhausted.

Notes for Abstraction:
- If the time of the outpatient or emergency department arrival is unable to be determined from medical record documentation, enter UTD.
- The medical record must be abstracted as documented (taken at “face value”). When the time documented is obviously in error (not a valid time) and no other documentation is found that provides this information, the abstractor should select “UTD.”
  Example:
  - Documentation indicates that the arrival time was 3300. No other documentation in the medical record provides a valid time. Since the arrival time is outside of the range listed in the Allowable Values for “Hour,” it is not a valid time and the abstractor should select “UTD.”
- When reviewing records for arrival time do NOT include any documentation from external sources (e.g., ambulance records, physician/advanced practice nurse/physician assistant [physician/APN/PA] office record, laboratory reports, or ECGs) obtained prior to arrival. The intent is to utilize any documentation which reflects processes that occurred after arrival.

NOTE: Medical record documentation should be carefully examined in determining the most correct time of the outpatient or emergency department arrival. The arrival time should NOT be abstracted simply as the earliest time in the acceptable sources, without regard to other (i.e., ancillary services) substantiating documentation. If documentation suggests that the earliest time in the acceptable sources does not reflect the time the patient arrived at the outpatient or emergency department, this time should not be used.

Suggested Data Sources:
- Emergency Department record
- Outpatient record
**Guidelines for Abstraction:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inclusion</th>
<th>Exclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Data Element Name: Birthdate

Collected For: All Records

Definition: The month, day, and year the patient was born.

NOTE: Patient Age on Outpatient Encounter Date (in years) is calculated by Outpatient Encounter Date minus Birthdate. The algorithm to calculate age must use the month and day portion of encounter date and birthdate to yield the most accurate age.

Suggested Data Collection Question: What is the patient’s date of birth?

Format: Length: 10 – MM-DD-YYYY (includes dashes)
Type: Date
Occurs: 1

Allowable Values:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MM</th>
<th>DD</th>
<th>YYYY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Month (01-12)</td>
<td>Day (01-31)</td>
<td>Year (1880-Current Year)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes for Abstraction: Because this data element is critical in determining the population for all measures, the abstractor should NOT assume that the claim information for the birthdate is correct. If the abstractor determines through chart review that the date is incorrect, correct and override the downloaded value. If the abstractor is unable to determine the correct birthdate through chart review, default to the date of birth on the claim information.

Suggested Data Sources:
- Outpatient record
- Emergency Department record

Guidelines for Abstraction:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inclusion</th>
<th>Exclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DATA ELEMENT NAME: BMP Order
COLLECTED FOR: ED
DEFINITION: Documentation in the medical record a Basic Metabolic Panel (BMP) or Electrolyte panel was ordered during emergency department visit.

SUGGESTED DATA COLLECTION QUESTION: Was a BMP or electrolyte panel ordered by the physician/APN/PA during the emergency department visit?

FORMAT: Length: 1
Type: Alphanumeric
Occurs: 1

ALLOWABLE VALUES: Y (Yes) There is documentation the physician/APN/PA ordered a BMP or electrolyte panel during the emergency department visit.

N (No) There is no documentation the physician/APN/PA ordered a BMP or electrolyte panel during the emergency department visit or unable to determine (UTD).

NOTES FOR ABSTRACTION: If there is documentation a BMP or electrolyte panel was ordered and then the test was cancelled by the physician/APN/PA, select NO.

DATA SOURCES: Nurses Notes
Physician Notes/Orders
Radiology Notes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Guidelines for Abstraction:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inclusion</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Data Element Name:** BMP Order Date and Time  

**Collected For:** ED  

**Definition:** The month, day, year and the exact time (military time) represented in hours and minutes at which the earliest BMP or electrolyte panel was ordered.  

**Suggested Data Collection Question:** What is the date and time of the earliest BMP or electrolyte panel order?  

**Format:**  

- **Length:** 16 – MM-DD-YYYY (includes dashes) and HH:MM (with or without colon) or UTD  
- **Type:** Date/Time  
- **Occurs:** 1  

**Allowable Values:**  

- **MM** = Month (01-12)  
- **DD** = Day (01-31)  
- **YYYY** = Year (2000-Current Year)  
- **HH** = Hour (00-23)  
- **MM** = Minutes (00-59)  
- **UTD** = Unable to Determine  

Time must be recorded in military time format.  
With the exception of Midnight and Noon:  
- If the time is in the a.m., conversion is not required.  
- If the time is in the p.m., add 12 to the clock time hour.  

**Examples:**  

- Midnight - 00:00  
- Noon - 12:00  
- 5:31 am - 05:31  
- 5:31 pm - 17:31  
- 11:59 am - 11:59  
- 11:59 pm - 23:59  

**Note:**  
00:00 = midnight. If the time is documented as 00:00 11-24-20XX, review supporting documentation to determine if the Discharge Date should remain 11-24-20XX or if it should be converted to 11-25-20XX.  

When converting Midnight or 24:00 to 00:00 do not forget to
change the Discharge Date.
Example: Midnight or 24:00 on 11-24-20XX = 00:00 on 11-25-20XX

Note:
Transmission of a case with an invalid date will be rejected from the OPPS Clinical Warehouse. Use of “UTD” for BMP Order Date and Time allows the case to be accepted into the warehouse.

Notes for Abstraction:
For times that include “seconds”, remove the seconds and record the military time
Example:
15:00:35 would be recorded as 15:00

If the date and/or time of the BMP or electrolyte panel order is unable to be determined from medical record documentation, abstract UTD.

Abstract the order of the earliest BMP or electrolyte panel ordered (closest to arrival).

If there are multiple order times documented for the same BMP or electrolyte panel order, use the earliest order time.

Suggested Data Sources:
- Emergency Department record

Guidelines for Abstraction:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inclusion</th>
<th>Exclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Data Element Name:** BMP Results Date and Time

**Collected For:** ED

**Definition:** The month, day, year and the exact time (military time) represented in hours and minutes at which the earliest BMP or electrolyte panel results were reported.

**Suggested Data Collection Question:** What is the date and time of the earliest BMP or electrolyte panel results?

**Format:**
- **Length:** 16 – MM-DD-YYYY (includes dashes) and HH:MM (with or without colon) or UTD
- **Type:** Date/Time
- **Occurs:** 1

**Allowable Values:**
- MM = Month (01-12)
- DD = Day (01-31)
- YYYY = Year (2000-Current Year)
- HH = Hour (00-23)
- MM = Minutes (00-59)
- UTD = Unable to Determine

Time must be recorded in military time format. With the exception of Midnight and Noon:
- If the time is in the a.m., conversion is not required.
- If the time is in the p.m., add 12 to the clock time hour.

**Examples:**
- Midnight - 00:00  
- Noon - 12:00  
- 5:31 am - 05:31  
- 5:31 pm - 17:31  
- 11:59 am - 11:59  
- 11:59 pm - 23:59

**Note:**
- 00:00 = midnight. If the time is documented as 00:00 11-24-20XX, review supporting documentation to determine if the Discharge Date should remain 11-24-20XX or if it should be converted to 11-25-20XX.

When converting Midnight or 24:00 to 00:00 do not forget to...
change the Discharge Date. Example: Midnight or 24:00 on 11-24-20XX = 00:00 on 11-25-20XX

**Note:**
Transmission of a case with an invalid date will be rejected from the OPPS Clinical Warehouse. Use of “UTD” for *BMP Results Date and Time* allows the case to be accepted into the warehouse.

**Notes for Abstraction:**

For times that include “seconds”, remove the seconds and record the military time.

Example: 15:00:35 would be recorded as 15:00

If the date and/or time of the BMP or electrolyte panel result is unable to be determined from medical record documentation, abstract UTD.

Abstract the result of the earliest BMP or electrolyte panel ordered (closest to arrival).

If there are multiple result times documented for the same BMP or electrolyte panel, use the earliest result time.

**Suggested Data Sources:**

- Emergency Department record

**Guidelines for Abstraction:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inclusion</th>
<th>Exclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DATA ELEMENT NAME: CBC Order

COLLECTED FOR: ED

DEFINITION: Documentation in the medical record a Completed Blood Count (CBC) was ordered during the emergency department visit.

SUGGESTED DATA COLLECTION QUESTION: Was a CBC ordered by the physician/APN/PA during the emergency department visit?

FORMAT: Length: 1
Type: Alphanumeric
Occurs: 1

ALLOWABLE VALUES: Y (Yes) There is documentation a CBC was ordered by the physician/APN/PA during the emergency department visit.
N (No) There is no documentation a CBC was ordered by the physician/APN/PA during the emergency department visit.

NOTES FOR ABSTRACTION: If there is documentation a CBC was ordered and then the test was cancelled by the physician/APN/PA, select NO.

DATA SOURCES: Nurses Notes
Physician Notes/Orders
Radiology Notes

Guidelines for Abstraction:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inclusion</th>
<th>Exclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Data Element Name: CBC Order Date and Time

Collected For: ED

Definition: The month, day, year and the exact time (military time) represented in hours and minutes at which the earliest Complete Blood Count (CBC) was ordered.

Suggested Data Collection Question: What is the date and time of the earliest CBC order?

Format: Length: 16 – MM-DD-YYYY (includes dashes) and HH:MM (with or without colon) or UTD

Type: Date/Time

Occurs: 1

Allowable Values: MM = Month (01-12)
DD = Day (01-31)
YYYY = Year (2000-Current Year)

HH = Hour (00-23)
MM = Minutes (00-59)

UTD = Unable to Determine

Time must be recorded in military time format.

With the exception of Midnight and Noon:

- If the time is in the a.m., conversion is not required.
- If the time is in the p.m., add 12 to the clock time hour.

Examples:
Midnight - 00:00  
Noon - 12:00
5:31 am - 05:31  
5:31 pm - 17:31
11:59 am - 11:59  
11:59 pm - 23:59

Note: 00:00 = midnight. If the time is documented as 00:00 11-24-20XX, review supporting documentation to determine if the Discharge Date should remain 11-24-20XX or if it should be converted to 11-25-20XX.
When converting Midnight or 24:00 to 00:00 do not forget to change the Discharge Date.
Example: Midnight or 24:00 on 11-24-20XX = 00:00 on 11-25-20XX

Note:
Transmission of a case with an invalid date will be rejected from the OPPS Clinical Warehouse. Use of “UTD” for CBC Order Date and Time allows the case to be accepted into the warehouse.

Notes for Abstraction:
For times that include “seconds”, remove the seconds and record the military time
Example:
15:00:35 would be recorded as 15:00

If the date and/or time of the CBC order is unable to be determined from medical record documentation, abstract UTD.

Abstract the order of the earliest CBC ordered (closest to arrival).

If there are multiple order times documented for the same CBC order, use the earliest order time.

Suggested Data Sources:
• Emergency Department record

Guidelines for Abstraction:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inclusion</th>
<th>Exclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Data Element Name: CBC Results Date and Time

Collected For: ED

Definition: The month, day, year and the exact time (military time) represented in hours and minutes at which the earliest Completed Blood Count (CBC) results were reported.

Suggested Data Collection Question: What is the date and time of the earliest CBC results?

Format: Length: 16 – MM-DD-YYYY (includes dashes) and HH:MM (with or without colon) or UTD
Type: Date/Time
Occurs: 1

Allowable Values:
- MM = Month (01-12)
- DD = Day (01-31)
- YYYY = Year (2000-Current Year)
- HH = Hour (00-23)
- MM = Minutes (00-59)
- UTD = Unable to Determine

Time must be recorded in military time format. With the exception of Midnight and Noon:
- If the time is in the a.m., conversion is not required.
- If the time is in the p.m., add 12 to the clock time hour.

Examples:
- Midnight - 00:00
- Noon - 12:00
- 5:31 am - 05:31
- 5:31 pm - 17:31
- 11:59 am - 11:59
- 11:59 pm - 23:59

Note:
00:00 = midnight. If the time is documented as 00:00 11-24-20XX, review supporting documentation to determine if the Discharge Date should remain 11-24-20XX or if it should be converted to 11-25-20XX.

When converting Midnight or 24:00 to 00:00 do not forget to
change the Discharge Date.  
Example: Midnight or 24:00 on 11-24-20XX = 00:00 on 11-25-20XX

**Note:**  
Transmission of a case with an invalid date will be rejected from the OPPS Clinical Warehouse. Use of “UTD” for CBC Results Date and Time allows the case to be accepted into the warehouse.

**Notes for Abstraction:**

For times that include “seconds”, remove the seconds and record the military time  
Example:
15:00:35 would be recorded as 15:00

If the date and/or time of the CBC result is unable to be determined from medical record documentation, abstract UTD.

Abstract the result of the earliest CBC ordered (closest to arrival).

If there are multiple result times documented for the same CBC, use the earliest result time.

**Suggested Data Sources:**
- Emergency Department record

**Guidelines for Abstraction:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inclusion</th>
<th>Exclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DATA ELEMENT NAME: Chest X-Ray Order

COLLECTED FOR: ED

DEFINITION: Documentation in the medical record a chest x-ray was ordered during the emergency department visit.

SUGGESTED DATA COLLECTION QUESTION: Was a chest x-ray ordered by the physician/APN/PA during the emergency department visit?

FORMAT:

| Length: 1 |
| Type: Alphanumeric |
| Occurs: 1 |

ALLOWABLE VALUES:

Y (Yes) There is documentation a chest x-ray was ordered by the physician/APN/PA during the emergency department visit.

N (No) There is no documentation a chest x-ray was ordered by the physician/APN/PA during the emergency department visit.

NOTES FOR ABSTRACTION: For purposes of the chest x-ray order use these priority sources:

- Nurses notes
- Physician notes/orders
- Radiology notes

DATA SOURCES:

Nurses Notes
Physician Notes/Orders
Radiology Notes

Guidelines for Abstraction:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inclusion</th>
<th>Exclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data Element Name: Chest X-Ray Order Date and Time
Collected For: ED

Definition: The month, day, year and the exact time (military time) represented in hours and minutes at which the earliest Chest X-Ray (CXR) panel was ordered.

Suggested Data Collection Question: What is the date and time of the earliest CXR order?

Format: Length: 16 – MM-DD-YYYY (includes dashes) and HH:MM (with or without colon) or UTD
Type: Date/Time
Occurs: 1

Allowable Values:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MM</td>
<td>Month (01-12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DD</td>
<td>Day (01-31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YYYY</td>
<td>Year (2000-Current Year)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HH</td>
<td>Hour (00-23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM</td>
<td>Minutes (00-59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UTD</td>
<td>Unable to Determine</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Time must be recorded in military time format. With the exception of Midnight and Noon:

- If the time is in the a.m., conversion is not required.
- If the time is in the p.m., add 12 to the clock time hour.

Examples:

- Midnight - 00:00
- Noon - 12:00
- 5:31 am - 05:31
- 5:31 pm - 17:31
- 11:59 am - 11:59
- 11:59 pm - 23:59

Note:

- 00:00 = midnight. If the time is documented as 00:00 11-24-20XX, review supporting documentation to determine if the Discharge Date should remain 11-24-20XX or if it should be converted to 11-25-20XX.

When converting Midnight or 24:00 to 00:00 do not forget to change the Discharge Date. Example: Midnight or 24:00 on 11-24-20XX = 00:00 on 11-
25-20XX

Note:
Transmission of a case with an invalid date will be rejected from the OPPS Clinical Warehouse. Use of “UTD” for CXR Order Date and Time allows the case to be accepted into the warehouse.

Notes for Abstraction:
For times that include “seconds”, remove the seconds and record the military time
Example:
15:00:35 would be recorded as 15:00

If the date and/or time of the CXR order is unable to be determined from medical record documentation, abstract UTD.

Abstract the order of the earliest CXR ordered (closest to arrival).

If there are multiple order times documented for the same CXR order, use the earliest order time.

Suggested Data Sources:
- Emergency Department record

Guidelines for Abstraction:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inclusion</th>
<th>Exclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Data Element Name: Chest X-Ray Exam Date and Time

Collected For: ED

Definition: The month, day, year and the exact time (military time) represented in hours and minutes at which the earliest Chest X-Ray exam was completed.

Suggested Data Collection Question: What is the date and time of the earliest Chest X-Ray exam was completed?

Format: Length: 16 – MM-DD-YYYY (includes dashes) and HH:MM (with or without colon) or UTD
      Type: Date/Time
      Occurs: 1

Allowable Values:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MM</td>
<td>Month (01-12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DD</td>
<td>Day (01-31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YYYY</td>
<td>Year (2000-Current Year)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HH</td>
<td>Hour (00-23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM</td>
<td>Minutes (00-59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UTD</td>
<td>Unable to Determine</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Time must be recorded in military time format. With the exception of Midnight and Noon:
- If the time is in the a.m., conversion is not required.
- If the time is in the p.m., add 12 to the clock time hour.

Examples:
- Midnight - 00:00
- Noon - 12:00
- 5:31 am - 05:31
- 5:31 pm - 17:31
- 11:59 am - 11:59
- 11:59 pm - 23:59

Note:
0:00 = midnight. If the time is documented as 00:00 11-24-20XX, review supporting documentation to determine if the Discharge Date should remain 11-24-20XX or if it should be converted to 11-25-20XX.

When converting Midnight or 24:00 to 00:00 do not forget to
change the Discharge Date.
Example: Midnight or 24:00 on 11-24-20XX = 00:00 on 11-25-20XX

Note:
Transmission of a case with an invalid date will be rejected from the OPPS Clinical Warehouse. Use of “UTD” for Chest X-Ray Exam Date and Time allows the case to be accepted into the warehouse.

Notes for Abstraction:
For times that include “seconds”, remove the seconds and record the military time
Example:
15:00:35 would be recorded as 15:00

If the date and/or time of the Chest X-Ray exam is unable to be determined from medical record documentation, abstract UTD.

Abstract the result of the earliest Chest X-Ray exam ordered (closest to arrival).

If there are multiple result times documented for the same Chest X-Ray exam, use the earliest time.

Suggested Data Sources:
- Emergency Department record

Guidelines for Abstraction:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inclusion</th>
<th>Exclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Data Element Name:** Discharge Status

**Collected For:** ED

**Definition:** The place or setting to which the patient was discharged from the emergency department.

**Suggested Data Collection Question:** What was the patient's discharge disposition from the emergency department?

**Format:**
- **Length:** 2
- **Type:** Alphanumeric
- **Occurs:** 1

**Allowable Values:**

01 **Discharged to home care or self care (routine discharge)**
*Usage Note:* Includes discharge to home; home on oxygen if DME only; any other DME only; group home, independent living and other residential care arrangements; outpatient programs, such as partial hospitalization or outpatient chemical dependency programs.

02 **Discharged/transferred to a short term general hospital for inpatient care (Acute Care Facility)**

03 **Discharged/transferred to skilled nursing facility (SNF) with Medicare certification in anticipation of covered skilled care**
*Usage Note:* Medicare indicates that the patient is discharged/transferred to a Medicare certified nursing facility. For hospitals with an approved swing bed arrangement, use Code 61-Swing Bed. For reporting other discharges/transfers to nursing facilities, see 04 and 64.

04 **Discharged/transferred to a facility that provides custodial or supportive care**
*Usage Note:* Includes intermediate care facilities (ICFs) if specifically designated at the state level. Also used to designate patients that are discharged/transferred to a nursing facility with neither Medicare nor Medicaid certification and for discharges/transfers to Assisted
Living Facilities.

05 Discharged/transferred to a designate cancer center or children’s hospital  
Usage Note: Transfers to non-designated cancer hospitals should use Code 02. A list of (National Cancer Institute) Designated Cancer Centers can be found at http://www3.cancer.gov/cancercenters/centerslist.html

06 Discharged/transferred to home under care of organized home health service organization in anticipation of covered skilled care  
Usage Note: Report this code when the patient is discharged/transferred to home with a written plan of care (tailored to the patient’s medical needs) for home care services.

07 Left against medical advice or discontinued care

09 Admitted as an inpatient to this hospital  
Usage Note: For use only on Medicare outpatient claims. Applies only to those Medicare outpatient services that begin greater than three days prior to an admission.

20 Expired

21 Discharged/transferred to court/law enforcement  
Usage Note: Includes transfers to incarceration facilities such as jail, prison, or other detention facilities.

41 Expired in a medical facility (e.g., hospital, SNF, ICF or freestanding hospice)  
Usage Note: For use only on Medicare and TRICARE claims for hospice care.

43 Discharged/transferred to a Federal health care facility
Usage Note: Discharges and transfers to a government operated health care facility such as a Department of Defense hospital, a Veteran’s Administration hospital or a Veteran’s Administration nursing facility. To be used whenever the destination at discharge is a federal health care facility, whether the patient resides there or not.

50 Hospice - home

51 Hospice - medical facility (certified) providing hospice level of care

61 Discharged/transferred to hospital-based Medicare approved swing bed
   Usage Note: Medicare-used for reporting patients discharged/ transferred to a SNF level of care within the hospital's approved swing bed arrangement.

62 Discharged/transferred to an inpatient rehabilitation facility (IRF) including rehabilitation distinct part units of a hospital

63 Discharged/transferred to a Medicare certified long term care hospital (LTCH)
   Usage Note: For hospitals that meet the Medicare criteria for LTCH certification.

64 Discharged/transferred to a nursing facility certified under Medicaid but not certified under Medicare

65 Discharged/transferred to a psychiatric hospital or psychiatric distinct part of a hospital

66 Discharged/transferred to a Critical Access Hospital (CAH)

70 Discharged/transferred to another type of Health Care Institution not Defined Elsewhere in this Code List (see code 05)

Note:
CMS is aware that there are additional UB-04 allowable values for this data element; however, they are not used for
the hospital outpatient measures at this time.

Notes for Abstraction:

- The values for Discharge Status are taken from the National Uniform Billing Committee (NUBC) manual which is used by the billing/HIM to complete the UB-04.
- Because this data element is critical in determining the population for these measures, the abstractor should NOT assume that the UB-04 value is what is reflected in the medical record. For abstraction purposes, it is important that the medical record reflect the appropriate discharge status. If the abstractor determines through chart review that the claim information discharge status is not what is reflected in the medical record, correct and override the downloaded value.

Suggested Data Sources:

- Emergency Department record
- UB-04

Guidelines for Abstraction:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inclusion</th>
<th>Exclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Data Element Name:  

**E/M Code**

Collected For:  

OP-1, OP-2, OP-3, OP-4, OP-5

Definition:  
The code used to report evaluation and management services provided in the hospital outpatient department clinic or emergency department.

Suggested Data Collection Question:  
What was the E/M Code documented for this outpatient encounter?

Format:  

- **Length:** 5  
- **Type:** Alphanumeric  
- **Occurs:** 1

Allowable Values:  
Select the E/M code from Appendix A, OP Table 1.0.

Suggested Data Sources:  
- Outpatient record

Guidelines for Abstraction:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inclusion</th>
<th>Exclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Refer to Appendix A, OP Table 1.0, E/M Codes.</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DATA ELEMENT NAME: Head CT Scan Order

COLLECTED FOR: ED

DEFINITION: Documentation in the medical record that a computed topography (CT) scan of the head was ordered during emergency department visit.

SUGGESTED DATA COLLECTION QUESTION: Was a Head CT scan ordered by the physician during the emergency department visit?

FORMAT:
- Length: 1
- Type: Alphanumeric
- Occurs: 1

ALLOWABLE VALUES:
- Y (Yes) There is documentation a Head CT scan was ordered by the physician/PA/APN during the emergency department visit.
- N (No) There is no documentation a Head CT scan was ordered by the physician/PA/APN during the emergency department visit.

NOTES FOR ABSTRACTION: For purposes of the Head CT Scan Order use these priority sources:
- Nurses notes
- Physician notes/orders
- Radiology notes

DATA SOURCES:
- Nurses Notes
- Physician Notes/Orders
- Radiology Notes

Guidelines for Abstraction:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inclusion</th>
<th>Exclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Data Element Name:  *Head CT Scan Interpretation Date and Time*

Collected For:  ED

Definition:  The month, day, year and the exact time (military time) represented in hours and minutes at which the earliest Head CT Scan Interpretation was completed.

Suggested Data Collection Question:  What is the date and time of the earliest Head CT Scan Interpretation exam was completed?

Format:  Length:  16  –  MM-DD-YYYY (includes dashes) and HH:MM (with or without colon) or UTD

Type:  Date/Time

Occurs:  1

Allowable Values:  

- **MM** = Month (01-12)
- **DD** = Day (01-31)
- **YYYY** = Year (2000-Current Year)
- **HH** = Hour (00-23)
- **MM** = Minutes (00-59)
- **UTD** = Unable to Determine

Time must be recorded in military time format. With the exception of Midnight and Noon:

- If the time is in the a.m., conversion is not required.
- If the time is in the p.m., add 12 to the clock time hour.

Examples:

- Midnight - 00:00
- Noon - 12:00
- 5:31 am - 05:31
- 5:31 pm - 17:31
- 11:59 am - 11:59
- 11:59 pm - 23:59

Note:
00:00 = midnight. If the time is documented as 00:00 11-24-20XX, review supporting documentation to determine if the Discharge Date should remain 11-24-20XX or if it should be converted to 11-25-20XX.

When converting Midnight or 24:00 to 00:00 do not forget to
change the Discharge Date.
Example: Midnight or 24:00 on 11-24-20XX = 00:00 on 11-25-20XX

**Note:**
Transmission of a case with an invalid date will be rejected from the OPPS Clinical Warehouse. Use of “UTD” for Head CT Scan Interpretation Date and Time allows the case to be accepted into the warehouse.

**Notes for Abstraction:**
For times that include “seconds”, remove the seconds and record the military time
Example:
15:00:35 would be recorded as 15:00

If the date and/or time of the Head CT Scan Interpretation results is unable to be determined from medical record documentation, abstract UTD.

Abstract the result of the earliest Head Ct Scan Interpretation ordered (closest to arrival).

If there are multiple result times documented for the same Head CT Scan, use the earliest time.

**Suggested Data Sources:**
- Emergency Department record

**Guidelines for Abstraction:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inclusion</th>
<th>Exclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Data Element Name: Heat CT Scan Order Date and Time

Collected For: ED

Definition: The month, day, year and the exact time (military time) represented in hours and minutes at which the earliest Head CT Scan was ordered.

Suggested Data Collection Question: What is the date and time of the earliest Head CT Scan order?

Format: Length: 16 – MM-DD-YYYY (includes dashes) and HH:MM (with or without colon) or UTD

Type: Date/Time

Occurs: 1

Allowable Values:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Character</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MM</td>
<td>Month (01-12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DD</td>
<td>Day (01-31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YYYY</td>
<td>Year (2000-Current Year)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HH</td>
<td>Hour (00-23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM</td>
<td>Minutes (00-59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UTD</td>
<td>Unable to Determine</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Time must be recorded in military time format. With the exception of Midnight and Noon:

- If the time is in the a.m., conversion is not required.
- If the time is in the p.m., add 12 to the clock time hour.

Examples:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Military Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Midnight - 00:00</td>
<td>Noon - 12:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:31 am - 05:31</td>
<td>5:31 pm - 17:31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:59 am - 11:59</td>
<td>11:59 pm - 23:59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note:

00:00 = midnight. If the time is documented as 00:00 11-24-20XX, review supporting documentation to determine if the Discharge Date should remain 11-24-20XX or if it should be converted to 11-25-20XX.

When converting Midnight or 24:00 to 00:00 do not forget to
change the Discharge Date.
Example: Midnight or 24:00 on 11-24-20XX = 00:00 on 11-25-20XX

Note:
Transmission of a case with an invalid date will be rejected from the OPPS Clinical Warehouse. Use of “UTD” for Head CT Scan Order Date and Time allows the case to be accepted into the warehouse.

Notes for Abstraction:
For times that include “seconds”, remove the seconds and record the military time
Example:
15:00:35 would be recorded as 15:00

If the date and/or time of the Head CT Scan order is unable to be determined from medical record documentation, abstract UTD.

Abstract the order of the earliest Head CT Scan ordered (closest to arrival).

If there are multiple order times documented for the same Head CT Scan order, use the earliest order time.

Suggested Data Sources:
- Emergency Department record

Guidelines for Abstraction:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inclusion</th>
<th>Exclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Data Element Name: **ICD-9-CM Principal Diagnosis Code**

Collected For: ED

Definition: The International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM) code associated with the diagnosis established after study to be chiefly responsible for the outpatient encounter.

Suggested Data Collection Question: What was the ICD-9-CM code selected as the principal diagnosis for this record?

Format: Length: 6 (with or without a decimal point)  
Type: Alphanumeric  
Occurs: 1

Allowable Values: Any valid ICD-9-CM diagnosis code

Notes for Abstraction: The principal diagnosis is defined in the Uniform Hospital Discharge Data Set (UHDDS) as “that condition established after study to be chiefly responsible for occasioning the admission of the patient to the hospital for care.”

Suggested Data Sources:  
- Outpatient record  
- Emergency Department record  
- UB-04, Field Location: 67

Guidelines for Abstraction:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inclusion</th>
<th>Exclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Refer to Appendix A, ICD-9-CM Code tables</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DATA ELEMENT NAME: Left Before Seen by Physician

COLLECTED FOR: ED

DEFINITION: There is documentation the patient left the emergency department prior to being seen by the physician/APN/PA.

SUGGESTED DATA COLLECTION QUESTION: Was there documentation the patient left the emergency department before being seen by the physician/PA/APN?

FORMAT: Length: 1
Type: Alphanumeric
Occurs: 1

ALLOWABLE VALUES: Y (Yes) There is documentation the patient left the emergency department prior to being seen by the physician/PA/APN.

N (No) There is no documentation the patient left the emergency department prior to being seen by the physician/PA/APN or unable to determine (UTD).

NOTES FOR ABSTRACTION: If there is physician/PA/APN documentation of patient assessment select NO.

Left before seen by the physician/APN/PA is different than leaving against medical advice (AMA) or leaving before treatment is complete. If there is documentation of the patient leaving AMA or leaving before treatment complete, select NO.

DATA SOURCES: Nurses Notes
Physician Notes

Guidelines for Abstraction:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inclusion</th>
<th>Exclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Refer to Appendix A, ICD-9-CM Code tables</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Data Element Name: Observation Services

Collected For: Informational Only: ED-1, ED-2

Definition: Observation services are those services furnished by a hospital on the hospital's premises, including use of a bed and periodic monitoring by a hospital's nursing or other staff, which are reasonable and necessary to evaluate an outpatient's condition or determine the need for a possible admission to the hospital as an inpatient.

Suggested Data Collection Question: Was there documentation the patient was placed in observation services during the encounter or hospitalization?

Format:

   Length: 1
   Type: Alphanumeric
   Occurs: 1

Allowable Values:

   Y (Yes)  There was documentation the patient was placed into observation services in this facility’s emergency department.

   N (No)   There was no documentation the patient was placed into observation services in this facility’s emergency department or unable to determine from medical record documentation.

Notes for Abstraction:

   • If there is documentation the patient was placed into observation services and received care in observation provide by the emergency department or an observation unit of the emergency department, select “Yes.”

   • If there is documentation the patient is being admitted for observation outside the emergency department, select “No.”

   • If there is no documentation the patient received services in observation either in the emergency department or was to be admitted to another department for observation, select “No.”

   • The intent is to capture emergency department patients placed into observation services prior to admission to the facility as an inpatient.

Suggested Data Sources:

   ONLY ALLOWABLE SOURCES:
   Emergency Department record

Inclusion Guidelines for Abstraction:

   None
Exclusion Guidelines for Abstraction:
None
Data Element Name: *Outpatient Encounter Date*

Collected For: All Records

Definition: The documented month, day and year the patient arrived in the hospital outpatient setting.

Suggested Data Collection Question: What was date the patient arrived in the hospital outpatient setting?

Format: 
- **Length:** 10 – MM-DD-YYYY (includes dashes)
- **Type:** Date
- **Occurs:** 1

Allowable Values:
- **MM** = Month (01-12)
- **DD** = Day (01-31)
- **YYYY** = Year (2008-Current Year)

Notes for Abstraction:
- The intent of this data element is to determine the date the patient arrived in the hospital outpatient setting.
- UTD is NOT an allowable value.
- Consider the outpatient encounter date as the earliest documented date the patient arrived in the applicable hospital outpatient setting.

Suggested Data Sources:
- Outpatient record
- Emergency Department record

Guidelines for Abstraction:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inclusion</th>
<th>Exclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DATA ELEMENT NAME: Pain Medication

COLLECTED FOR: ED

DEFINITION: Documentation the patient was administered oral or parenteral pain medication. Assessment and relief of pain is an expectation patients have when they visit the ED. Documentation of medication administration is a standard of care.

SUGGESTED DATA COLLECTION QUESTION: Was there documentation the patient received oral or parenteral pain medication during this emergency department visit?

FORMAT: Length: 1
Type: Alphanumeric
Occurs: 1

ALLOWABLE VALUES: Y (Yes) There is documentation the patient received oral or parenteral pain medication or there is documentation the patient refused the pain medication during this emergency department visit.

N (No) There is no documentation the patient received oral or parenteral pain medication during this emergency department visit or unable to determine from medical record documentation.

NOTES FOR ABSTRACTION: In order to select “yes”:
• There must be documentation in the medical record the medication was administered in the emergency department, not just ordered.
• There must be documentation in the medical record of the medication route either in the physician orders or the medication administration documentation.
• Medication administration documentation must include the signature or initials of the person administering the medication.
• If there is documentation in the medical record the patient received pain medication prior to arrival, abstract as “yes”.
• If there is physician/PA/APN documentation of a reason for not administering pain medication, select “No”. E.g. pt unconscious, decreased respiratory rate, patient refusal.

**DATA SOURCES:**
Nurses Notes
Physician Notes

**Guidelines for Abstraction:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inclusion</th>
<th>Exclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>See Appendix C, OP Table 8.1 for a list of pain medications</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Data Element Name: *Pain Medication Date and Time*

Collected For: ED

Definition: The month, day, year and the exact time (military time) represented in hours and minutes at which the earliest oral or parenteral pain medication was administered.

Suggested Data Collection Question: What is the date and time of the earliest pain medication administration?

Format:

- **Length:** 16 – MM-DD-YYYY (includes dashes) and HH:MM (with or without colon) or UTD
- **Type:** Date/Time
- **Occurs:** 1

Allowable Values:

- MM = Month (01-12)
- DD = Day (01-31)
- YYYY = Year (2000-Current Year)
- HH = Hour (00-23)
- MM = Minutes (00-59)
- UTD = Unable to Determine

Time must be recorded in military time format.

- With the exception of Midnight and Noon:
  - If the time is in the a.m., conversion is not required.
  - If the time is in the p.m., add 12 to the clock time hour.

Examples:

- Midnight - 00:00
- Noon - 12:00
- 5:31 am - 05:31
- 5:31 pm - 17:31
- 11:59 am - 11:59
- 11:59 pm - 23:59

Note:

00:00 = midnight. If the time is documented as 00:00 11-24-20XX, review supporting documentation to determine if the Discharge Date should remain 11-24-20XX or if it should be converted to 11-25-20XX.

When converting Midnight or 24:00 to 00:00 do not forget to
change the Discharge Date.
Example: Midnight or 24:00 on 11-24-20XX = 00:00 on 11-25-20XX

**Note:**
Transmission of a case with an invalid date will be rejected from the OPPS Clinical Warehouse. Use of “UTD” for *Pain Medication Date and Time* allows the case to be accepted into the warehouse.

**Notes for Abstraction:**
For times that include “seconds”, remove the seconds and record the military time
Example:
15:00:35 would be recorded as 15:00

If the date and/or time of the pain medication administration is unable to be determined from medical record documentation, abstract UTD.

If there are multiple medication administration times documented, use the earliest result time.

If there is documentation in the medical record the patient received pain medication prior to arrival abstract the pain medication date and time as the patient arrival date and time.

**Suggested Data Sources:**
- Emergency Department record

**Guidelines for Abstraction:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inclusion</th>
<th>Exclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DATA ELEMENT NAME: Troponin Order

COLLECTED FOR: ED

DEFINITION: Documentation in the medical record a Troponin was ordered during emergency department visit.

SUGGESTED DATA COLLECTION QUESTION: Was a Troponin ordered by the physician/APN/PA during the emergency department visit?

FORMAT: 
- **Length:** 1
- **Type:** Alphanumeric
- **Occurs:** 1

ALLOWABLE VALUES: 
- **Y** (Yes) There is documentation the physician/APN/PA ordered a Troponin during the emergency department visit.
- **N** (No) There is no documentation the physician/APN/PA ordered a Troponin during the emergency department visit or unable to determine (UTD).

NOTES FOR ABSTRACTION: If there is documentation a Troponin was ordered and then the test was cancelled by the physician/APN/PA, select NO.

DATA SOURCES: 
- Nurses Notes
- Physician Notes/Orders
- Radiology Notes

**Guidelines for Abstraction:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inclusion</th>
<th>Exclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Data Element Name:** *Troponin Order Date and Time*

**Collected For:** ED

**Definition:** The month, day, year and the exact time (military time) represented in hours and minutes at which the earliest Troponin was ordered.

**Suggested Data Collection Question:** What is the date and time of the earliest Troponin order?

**Format:**
- **Length:** 16 – MM-DD-YYYY (includes dashes) and HH:MM (with or without colon) or UTD
- **Type:** Date/Time
- **Occurs:** 1

**Allowable Values:**
- **MM** = Month (01-12)
- **DD** = Day (01-31)
- **YYYY** = Year (2000-Current Year)
- **HH** = Hour (00-23)
- **MM** = Minutes (00-59)
- **UTD** = Unable to Determine

Time must be recorded in military time format. With the exception of Midnight and Noon:
- If the time is in the a.m., conversion is not required.
- If the time is in the p.m., add 12 to the clock time hour.

**Examples:**
- Midnight - 00:00  
- Noon - 12:00  
- 5:31 am - 05:31  
- 5:31 pm - 17:31  
- 11:59 am - 11:59  
- 11:59 pm - 23:59

**Note:**
00:00 = midnight. If the time is documented as 00:00 11-24-20XX, review supporting documentation to determine if the Discharge Date should remain 11-24-20XX or if it should be converted to 11-25-20XX.

When converting Midnight or 24:00 to 00:00 do not forget to
change the Discharge Date.
Example: Midnight or 24:00 on 11-24-20XX = 00:00 on 11-
25-20XX

Note:
Transmission of a case with an invalid date will be rejected
from the OPPS Clinical Warehouse. Use of “UTD” for
Troponin Order Date and Time allows the case to be
accepted into the warehouse.

Notes for Abstraction:

For times that include “seconds”, remove the seconds and
record the military time
Example:
15:00:35 would be recorded as 15:00

If the date and/or time of the Troponin order is unable to be
determined from medical record documentation, abstract
UTD.

Abstract the order of the earliest Troponin ordered (closest
to arrival).

If there are multiple order times documented for the same
Troponin order, use the earliest order time.

Suggested Data Sources:

• Emergency Department record

Guidelines for Abstraction:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inclusion</th>
<th>Exclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Data Element Name:  Troponin Results Date and Time

Collected For:  ED

Definition:  The month, day, year and the exact time (military time) represented in hours and minutes at which the earliest Troponin results were reported.

Suggested Data Collection Question:  What is the date and time of the earliest Troponin results?

Format:  
Length:  16 – MM-DD-YYYY (includes dashes) and HH:MM (with or without colon) or UTD
Type:  Date/Time
Occurs:  1

Allowable Values:  
MM = Month (01-12)
DD = Day (01-31)
YYYY = Year (2000-Current Year)

HH = Hour (00-23)
MM = Minutes (00-59)

UTD = Unable to Determine

Time must be recorded in military time format. With the exception of Midnight and Noon:
• If the time is in the a.m., conversion is not required.
• If the time is in the p.m., add 12 to the clock time hour.

Examples:
Midnight - 00:00  Noon - 12:00
5:31 am - 05:31  5:31 pm - 17:31
11:59 am - 11:59  11:59 pm - 23:59

Note:
00:00 = midnight. If the time is documented as 00:00 11-24-20XX, review supporting documentation to determine if the Discharge Date should remain 11-24-20XX or if it should be converted to 11-25-20XX.

When converting Midnight or 24:00 to 00:00 do not forget to
change the Discharge Date.
Example: Midnight or 24:00 on 11-24-20XX = 00:00 on 11-25-20XX

**Note:**
Transmission of a case with an invalid date will be rejected from the OPPS Clinical Warehouse. Use of “UTD” for *Troponin Results Date and Time* allows the case to be accepted into the warehouse.

**Notes for Abstraction:**

For times that include “seconds”, remove the seconds and record the military time
Example:
15:00:35 would be recorded as 15:00

If the date and/or time of the Troponin result is unable to be determined from medical record documentation, abstract UTD.

Abstract the result of the earliest Troponin ordered (closest to arrival).

If there are multiple result times documented for the same Troponin, use the earliest result time.

**Suggested Data Sources:**

- Emergency Department record

**Guidelines for Abstraction:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inclusion</th>
<th>Exclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>