

CMS Innovation and Health Care Delivery System Reform



Dr. Patrick Conway, M.D., MSc

CMS Chief Medical Officer and Deputy Administrator for Innovation and Quality

Director, Center for Medicare and Medicaid innovation

Director, Center for Clinical Standards and Quality

March 24, 2015

- In three words, our vision for improving health delivery is about <u>better</u>, <u>smarter, healthier</u>.
- If we find better ways to <u>deliver care</u>, <u>pay providers</u>, and <u>distribute</u> <u>information</u>, we can receive better care, spend our dollars more wisely, and have healthier communities, a healthier economy, and a healthier country.
- We understand that it's our role and responsibility to lead ... and we will.
- What we won't do and can't do is go it alone. Patients, providers, government, and business all stand to benefit if we get this right, and this shared purpose calls out for deeper partnership.
- So we will continue to work across sectors for the goals we share: <u>better</u> <u>care, smarter spending, and healthier people</u>.

Delivery System Reform and Our Goals

Early Results

CMS Innovation Center

CMS support of health care Delivery System Reform will result in <u>better care, smarter spending, and h</u>ealthier people

Historical state

Key characteristics

- Producer-centered
- Incentives for volume
- Unsustainable
- Fragmented Care

Systems and Policies

Fee-For-Service
 Payment Systems

Public and private sectors

Evolving future state
 Key characteristics Patient-centered Incentives for outcomes Sustainable Coordinated care
 Systems and Policies Value-based purchasing Accountable Care Organizations Bundled payments Medical Homes Quality/cost transparency Population-based payments

Delivery System Reform requires focusing on the way we pay providers, deliver care, and distribute information

Improving the way providers are incentivized, the way care is delivered, and the way information is distributed will help provide better care at lower cost across the health care system.

Focus Areas	Description
Pay Providers	 Promote value-based payment systems Test alternative payment models Increase linkage of Medicaid, Medicare FFS, and other payments to value Bring proven alternative payment models to scale
Deliver Care	 Encourage the integration and coordination of clinical and support services Improve population health Promote patient engagement through shared decision making
Distribute Information	 Create transparency on cost and quality information Bring electronic health information to the point of care for meaningful use

CMS has adopted a framework that categorizes payments to providers

	Category 1: Fee for Service – No Link to Value	Category 2: Fee for Service – Link to Quality	Category 3: Alternative Payment Models Built on Fee-for-Service Architecture	Category 4: Population-Based Payment
Description	 Payments are based on volume of services and not linked to quality or efficiency 	 At least a portion of payments vary based on the quality or efficiency of health care delivery 	 Some payment is linked to the effective management of a population or an episode of care Payments still triggered by delivery of services, but opportunities for shared savings or 2-sided risk 	 Payment is not directly triggered by service delivery so volume is not linked to payment Clinicians and organizations are paid and responsible for the care of a beneficiary for a long period (e.g., ≥1 year)
Medicare Fee-for- Service examples	 Limited in Medicare fee- for-service Majority of Medicare payments now are linked to quality 	 Hospital value- based purchasing Physician Value Modifier Readmissions / Hospital Acquired Condition Reduction Program 	 Accountable Care Organizations Medical homes Bundled payments Comprehensive Primary Care initiative Comprehensive ESRD Medicare-Medicaid Financial Alignment Initiative Fee-For-Service Model 	 Eligible Pioneer Accountable Care Organizations in years 3-5 Maryland hospitals

During January 2015, HHS announced goals for value-based payments within the Medicare FFS system

Goals	 30% of Medicare payments are tied to quality or value through alternative payment models (categories 3-4) by the end of 2016, and 50% by the end of 2018
	 85% of all Medicare fee-for-service payments are tied to quality or value (categories 2-4) by the end of 2016, and 90% by the end of 2018
Purposo	 Set internal goals for HHS
Purpose	Invite private sector payers and Medicaid to match or exceed HHS goals
Stakeholders	 Consumers Businesses/Purchasers Payers Providers State partment (including Medicaid programs)
	 State partners (including Medicaid programs)
Next steps	 Testing of new models and expansion of existing models is critical to reaching incentive goals
	 Creation of the Health Care Payment Learning and Action Network to align incentives and identify best practices

Target percentage of payments in 'FFS linked to quality' and 'alternative payment models' by 2016 and 2018

- Alternative payment models (Categories 3-4)
- FFS linked to quality (Categories 2-4)
 - All Medicare FFS (Categories 1-4)



CMS is aligning with private sector and states to drive delivery system reform

CMS Strategies for Aligning with Private Sector and States



Convening Stakeholders

- Convened payers in 7 markets in Comprehensive Primary Care
- Convening payers, providers, employers, consumers, and public partners through the Health Care Payment Learning and Action Network



Incentivizing Providers

 Pioneer ACOs agreements required 50% of the ACO's business to be in value-based contracts by the end of the second program year



Partnering with States

- The State Innovation Models Initiative funds testing awards and model design awards for states implementing comprehensive delivery system reform
- The Maryland All-Payer Model tests the effectiveness of an all-payer rate system for hospital payments

Delivery System Reform and Our Goals

Early Results

CMS Innovation Center

Results: Per Capita Spending Growth at Historic Lows



Source: CMS Office of the Actuary

Pioneer ACOs provided higher quality and lower cost care to Medicare beneficiaries in their first two performance years

- Pioneer ACOS were designed for organizations with experience in coordinated care and ACO-like contracts
- Pioneer ACOs showed improved quality outcomes
 - Quality outperformed published benchmarks in 15/15 clinical quality measures and 4/4 patient experience measures in year 1 and improved in year 2
 - Mean quality score of 85.2% in 2013 compared to 71.8% in 2012
 - > Average performance score improved in 28 of 33 (85%) quality measures
- Pioneer ACOs generated savings for 2nd year in a row
 - \$384M in program savings combined for two years⁺
 - Average savings per ACO increased from \$2.7 million in PY1 to \$4.2 million in PY2[‡]



- 19 ACOs operating in 12 states (AZ, CA, IA, IL, MA, ME, MI, MN, NH, NY, VT, WI) reaching over 600,000 Medicare fee-for-service beneficiaries
- Duration of model test: January 2012 December 2014; 19 ACOs extended for 2 additional years

- CMS convenes Medicaid and commercial payers to support primary care practice transformation through enhanced, non-visit-based payments, data feedback, and learning systems
- Across all 7 regions, CPC reduced Medicare Part A and B expenditures per beneficiary by \$14 or 2%*
 - Reductions appear to be driven by initiative-wide impacts on hospitalizations, ED visits, and unplanned 30-day readmissions



- 7 regions (AR, OR, NJ, CO, OK, OH/KY, NY) encompassing 31 payers, nearly 500 practices, and approximately 2.5 million multi-payer patients
- Duration of model test: Oct 2012 Dec 2016

Spotlight: Comprehensive Primary Care, SAMA Healthcare

SAMA Healthcare Services is an independent four-physician family practice located in El Dorado, a town in rural southeast Arkansas

Services made possible by CPC investment

- Care management
 - Each Care Team consists of a doctor, a nurse practitioner, a care coordinator, and three nurses
 - Teams drive proactive preventive care for approximately 19,000 patients
 - Teams use Allscripts' Clinical Decision Support feature to alert the team to missing screenings and lab work
- Risk stratification
 - The practice implemented the AAFP six-level risk stratification tool
 - Nurses mark records before the visit and physicians confirm stratification during the patient encounter



-Practice Administrator

"A lot of the things we're doing now are things we wanted to do in the past... We **needed the front-end investment** of startup money to develop our teams and our processes"

Partnership for Patients contributes to quality improvements and cost savings

- Data shows a 17% reduction in hospital acquired conditions across all measures from 2010 – 2013
 - 50,000 lives saved
 - 1.3 million patient harm events avoided
 - \$12 billion in savings
- Many areas of harm dropping dramatically patient safety improving

Leading Indicat	tors, change	from 2010 to 20	13	
Ventilator- Associated Pneumonia	Early Elective Delivery	Central Line- Associated Blood Stream Infections	Venous thromboembolic complications	Re- admissions
62.4% ↓	70.4% ↓	12.3% ↓	14.2% ↓	7.3% ↓

Delivery System Reform and Our Goals

Early Results

CMS Innovation Center

The Innovation Center portfolio aligns with delivery system reform

focus areas

Focus Areas	CMS Innovation Center Portfolio*		
Pay Providers	 Test and expand alternative payment models Accountable Care Pioneer ACO Model Medicare Shared Savings Program (housed in Center for Medicare) Advance Payment ACO Model Comprehensive ERSD Care Initiative Primary Care Transformation Comprehensive Primary Care Initiative (CPC) Multi-Payer Advanced Primary Care Practice (MAPCP) Demonstration Federally Qualified Health Center (FQHC) Advanced Primary Care Practice Demonstration Independence at Home Demonstration Graduate Nurse Education Demonstration 	 Bundled Payment for Care Improvement Model 1: Retrospective Acute Care Model 2: Retrospective Acute Care Episode & Post Acute Model 3: Retrospective Post Acute Care Model 4: Prospective Acute Care Model 4: Prospective Acute Care Oncology Care Model Initiatives Focused on the Medicaid Medicaid Emergency Psychiatric Demonstration Medicaid Incentives for Prevention of Chronic Diseases Strong Start Initiative Medicaid Innovation Accelerator Program Dual Eligible (Medicare-Medicaid Enrollees) Financial Alignment Initiative Initiative to Reduce Avoidable Hospitalizations among Nursing Facility Residents 	
Deliver Care	 Support providers and states to improve the delivery of ca Learning and Diffusion Partnership for Patients Transforming Clinical Practice Community-Based Care Transitions Health Care Innovation Awards 	 are State Innovation Models Initiative SIM Round 1 SIM Round 2 Maryland All-Payer Model Million Hearts Initiative 	
Distribute Information	Increase information available for effective informed deci Information to providers in CMMI models	sion-making by consumers and providers Shared decision-making required by many models 	

* Many CMMI programs test innovations across multiple focus areas

Accountable Care Organizations: Participation in Medicare ACOs growing rapidly

- 424 ACOs have been established in the MSSP and Pioneer ACO programs
- 7.8 million assigned beneficiaries
- This includes 89 new ACOS covering 1.6 million beneficiaries assigned to the shared saving program in 2015



Bundled Payments for Care Improvement is also growing rapidly

- The bundled payment model targets 48 conditions with a single payment for an episode of care
 - Incentivizes providers to take accountability for both cost and quality of care
 - Four Models
 - Model 1: Retrospective acute care hospital stay only
 - Model 2: Retrospective acute care hospital stay plus post-acute care
 - Model 3: Retrospective post-acute care only
 - Model 4: Acute care hospital stay only
- 102 Awardees and 167 episode initiators in phase 2 as of January 2015
- 85 new awardees and 373 new episode initiators will enter phase 2 in April 2015



- Duration of model is scheduled for 3 years:
 - Model 1: April 2013 to present
 - Models 2,3,4: October 2013 to present

State Innovation Model grants have been awarded in two rounds

- CMS is testing the ability of state governments to utilize policy and regulatory levers to accelerate health care transformation
- Primary objectives include
 - Improving the quality of care delivered
 - Improving population health
 - Increasing cost efficiency and expand value-based payment



Round 1 states are testing and Round 2 states are designing and implementing comprehensive reform plans



Round 2 States designing interventions

> Near term CMMI objectives

- Establish project milestones and success metrics
- Support development of states' stakeholder engagement plans
- Onboard states to Technical Assistance Solution Center and SIMergy Collaboration site
- Launch State HIT Resource Center and CDC support for Population Health Plans

Maryland is testing an innovative All-Payer Payment Model

- Maryland is the nation's only all-payer hospital rate regulation system
- Model will test whether effective accountability for both cost and quality can be achieved within all-payer system based upon per capita total hospital cost growth
- Quality of care will be measured through
 - Readmissions
 - Hospital Acquired Conditions
 - Population Health
 - Maryland has ~6 million residents*



- Hospitals began moving into All-Payer Global Budgets in July 2014
 - 95% of Maryland hospital revenue will be in global budgets
 - All 46 MD hospitals have signed agreements
- Model was initiated in January 2014; Five year test period

Transforming Clinical Practice Initiative is designed to help clinicians achieve large-scale health transformation

- The model will support over 150,000 clinician practices over the next four years to improve on quality, lower costs, and enter alternative payment models
- Two network systems will be created
 - Practice Transformation
 Networks: peer-based
 learning networks designed
 to coach, mentor, and assist
 - 2) Support and Alignment Networks: provides a system for utilizing professional associations and publicprivate partnerships to drive improvement

Phases of Transformation



We are focused on:
Implementation of Models
Monitoring & Optimization of Results
Evaluation and Scaling
Integrating Innovation across CMS
Portfolio analysis and launch new models to round out portfolio

Next Generation ACO Model

- A new opportunity in accountable care:
 - More predictable financial targets;
 - Greater opportunities to coordinate care;
 - High quality standards consistent with other Medicare programs and models.
- The Model seeks to test how strong financial incentives for ACOs can improve health outcomes and reduce expenditures for Original Medicare beneficiaries.

Model Principles

- Protect Medicare FFS beneficiaries' freedom of choice;
- Create a financial model with long-term sustainability;
- Use a prospectively-set benchmark that:
 - Rewards quality;
 - Rewards both attainment of and improvement in efficiency; and
 - Ultimately transitions away from updating benchmarks based on ACO's recent expenditures;
- Offer benefit enhancements that directly improve the patient experience and support coordinated care (e.g., telehealth);
- Allow beneficiaries a choice in their alignment with the ACO
 - Mitigates fluctuations in aligned beneficiary populations
 - Respects beneficiary preferences;
- Smooth ACO cash flow and improve investment capabilities through alternative payment mechanisms (e.g. population-based payments).

What can you do to help our system achieve the goals of Better Care, Smarter Spending, and Healthier People?

Eliminate patient harm

- Focus on better care, smarter spending, and healthier people within the population you serve
- Engage in accountable care and other alternative payment contracts that move away from fee-for-service to model based on achieving better outcomes at lower cost
- Invest in the quality infrastructure necessary to improve
- Focus on data and performance transparency
- Test new innovations and scale successes rapidly
- Relentlessly pursue improved health outcomes



Contact Information

Dr. Patrick Conway, M.D., M.Sc. CMS Acting Principal Deputy Administrator and CMS Chief Medical Officer 410-786-6841 patrick.conway@cms.hhs.gov