

Measuring What Matters to Patients: Integrating the Patient Experience into Quality Measures

Learning Collaborative 2017 Webinar

Wednesday, August 30, 2017



NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM



Shantanu Agrawal, MD, MPhil President & CEO National Quality Forum

NQF Strategic Direction



Improve healthcare quality, safety, and affordability

NQF Measure Incubator Getting to Quality Measures that Matter



NQF Learning Collaborative

- Purpose: identify and share best practices around tough issues of measure development and to creatively collaborate on identifying solutions
- Includes stakeholders interested in measurement, such as measure developers, researchers, data entities, purchasers, patient organizations, and clinician groups



Today's Panelists

- Ben Heywood, MBA, co-founder and president, PatientsLikeMe
- Marc Boutin, JD, CEO, National Health Council
- Helen Burstin, MD, MPH, FACP, chief scientific officer, NQF
- Tracy Spinks, senior director, NQF







About PatientsLikeMe

Our mission is to improve the lives of patients through new knowledge derived from shared real-world experiences and outcomes

- Founded in 2004 as a direct response to family's experience with chronic disease
- Online, open, patient-facing community for patients with life changing conditions
- Started in ALS and expanded to all conditions
- Deep patient data and experience in ~40 lifechanging conditions
- Free to join and free of advertising

Patients

- 500,000+ patients
- 2,700+ conditions

Slide courtesy of **PatientsLikeMe**.

• 40+ million structured data points

Data

- 4+ million free-text posts
- 15+ PROMs



100+ peer-reviewed publications

Insights

- Patient-generated taxonomy
- FDA Research Collaboration
- iCarbonX Alliance/DigitalMe

Patient Data Informatics

Patient voice translated into computable clinically relevant data elements

Data codified using:

- ICD10
- SNOMED
- MedDRA
- ICF

Basic Information (age, sex, etc.) **Diseases**, Conditions (early signs, diagnosis status, etc.) **General & Specific Symptoms** (onset, severity status, etc.) Treatments & Side Effects (Rx, OTC, Supp., non-drug, etc.) Quality of Life & Behavior Status (all patients, some disease specific) **Outcome Measures of Disease** (disease dependent) Patient-generated narrative data in forum discussions, journals and feeds

Emerging data source experiments (wearable/sensors, EHRs, claims, 'omics, specimens) Engagement

Data Integrity

Empowerment

Knowledge

Standards

Evidence

Slide courtesy of PatientsLikeMe.

Objectives *Amplifying the Patient's Voice*

- Explore novel approach to inform measurement
- Identify, prioritize, contextualize quality-of-life outcomes
- Establish foundational model for developing measures using aggregated data from online communities

Approach

Amplifying the Patient's Voice

- Qualitative and quantitative patient experience data
 - Patient Profiles
 - Survey Data
 - Forum & Free Text Data
- Prioritize outcomes of greatest importance



Key Findings

Amplifying the Patient's Voice

- Measures that focus on common symptoms may be more valuable than ones that focus on specific diagnoses
- Online patient community offered real-world solutions
 - Improved data quality
 - Representative patient experience
 - Illuminated patient concerns
 - Prioritized symptoms



Click <u>here</u> to access the study report *Measuring What Matters to Patients: Innovations in Integrating the Patient Experience into Development of Meaningful Performance Measures.*

NQF FRAMEWORK PRO TO NQF-ENDORSED PRO-PM

$PRO \rightarrow$

patient-reported outcomes

information on the patient, told by the patient, without interpretation instrument, tool, single-item measure

way to collect information told by the patient without interpretation

 $\mathsf{PROM} \to \mathsf{PRO-PM}$

PRO-based performance measure

way to aggregate the information that has been shared and collected into a reliable, valid measure of performance

Symptom: depression

Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9©), a standardized tool to assess depression Percentage of patients with diagnosis of major depression or dysthymia and initial PHQ-9 score >9 with a follow-up PHQ-9 score <5 at 6 months (NQF #0711)

Audience Question & Answer

Wrap Up & Announcements

NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

Authors and Acknowledgements

Authors

- Helen Burstin, MD, MPH Chief Scientific Officer National Quality Forum
- Kyle Cobb, MS Senior Director National Quality Forum
- Katherine McQueston, MPH Project Manager National Quality Forum
- Lyn Paget, MPH Consultant PatientsLikeMe
- David Cella, PhD Professor and Chair Department of Medical Social Sciences Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine
- Stacey McCaffrey, PhD Research Scientist, Consultant PatientsLikeMe
- Stephanie Eaneff, MSP Data Scientist PatientsLikeMe
- Emil Chiauzzi, PhD Research Director PatientsLikeMe

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the following for their support and helpful comments on the content of the paper.

- Alejandra Herr, MPH Managing Director Quality Innovation National Quality Forum
- Sofia Kosmetatos, MJ Director Media Relations and Online Communications National Quality Forum
- Lisa Gale Suter, MD Assistant Professor and Associate Director Yale School of Medicine and Yale/CORE
- Sally Okun, RN, MMHS VP Advocacy, Policy & Patient Safety PatientsLikeMe
- David Blaser, PharmD Director Health Informatics PatientsLikeMe
- Timothy Vaughan, PhD Principle Scientist PatientsLikeMe
- Caroline W. Chan, BA Senior Program Manager PatientsLikeMe

This project was supported with funding from the **Robert Wood Johnson Foundation**.

Learning Collaborative 2017

Focus on Patient-Centered Healthcare Measurement

- Up next. . .
 - September 25 webinar highlighting <u>Principles for Making</u>
 <u>Health Care Measurement Patient-Centered</u> (register <u>here</u>)
 - NQF will open its 2017 Innovation Challenge in Fall 2017

 To learn more, please contact NQF at incubator@qualityforum.org



NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

Appendix

Patient Representativeness Roadmap and Rubric Six Guiding Principles



NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

Patient Representativeness Roadmap and Rubric Examples of Good and Poor Practice

	Good	Poor
Define	 Identify patient population and 	 Ignored sources of information on the full
Understand	minimum target(s).	patient population and
Specify	 Articulate appropriate and 	no targets were established.
Plan	feasible efforts and document	 Lacks a plan to meet targets, evaluation
Evaluate		strategies, and/or
Document		learnings are not shared.