



Measure Applications Partnership Voting

- Step 1. Staff will review Preliminary Analysis Consent Calendars
 - Staff will present consent calendars reflecting the result of the preliminary analysis using MAP selection criteria and programmatic objectives derived from the MAP PAC/LTC fall web-meeting.
- Step 2. MUCs can be pulled from Consent Calendars to propose a new categorization
 - Chair will ask workgroup members if they would like to pull any of the measures on the consent calendar presently under review for discussion and propose a different disposition for the MUC.
 - The measures under condition will be spilt into two different pathways –first, for fully developed measures, and then measures under development. Fully developed measures can result in three categories: support, conditional support, and do not support. Measures under development can result in two categories: encourage continued development, and do not encourage further consideration.
- Step 3. Workgroup members can propose a new categorization for a MUC with rationale
 - The member who pulled the measure aside for discussion provides brief remarks explaining their reasoning and propose a different categorization
 - Discussion will be opened to the full workgroup
- Step 4. Workgroup will discuss and determine the final Consent Calendars
 - Determine if an alternative disposition is needed for the measure being discussed
 - At his or her discretion, the chair may call for a non-binding show of hands to determine which viewpoint is most prevalent in the group, or move to a more formal vote to determine the disposition of the MUC.
 - If a formal vote is taken, a simple majority determines the categorization of the MUC
 - The chair will provide a brief summary of the Workgroup's rationale if the categorization of the MUC changes from the preliminary recommendation
- Step 5: Vote on Final Consent Calendars
 - The chair will call for votes on the consent calendar once the final pulled MUC has been placed in a consent calendar by the Workgroup
 - The vote is binary; members are being asked to approve or not approve the consent calendars as presented

- If greater than 60% of votes confirm the preliminary recommendations, this establishes them as official workgroup recommendations. Discussion on the measures is now concluded.
- If consensus is not reached, the chair will ask participants to identify other measures from the consent calendar that need further discussion before the consent calendars can be finalized. Chair will facilitate a discussion on these additional measures, and re-categorize the MUCs if needed. The Chair will call for a second vote on confirming the remaining measures on the consent calendar. Repeat until all measures have a confirmed recommendation on the consent calendar.

Example for XX Quality Reporting Program

