

#### Approach to the Sociodemographic Status Trial Period: Readmission and Excess Days in Acute Care Measures

#### NQF Admissions/Readmissions Committee June 8, 2016



Yale-CORE Measures Evaluated at Today's Meeting

- Endorsement Maintenance
  - Heart Failure Readmission
  - Pneumonia Readmission
  - Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease Readmission
  - Hospital-Wide Readmission



Yale-CORE Measures Evaluated at Today's Meeting

- Initial Endorsement
  - Hybrid Hospital-Wide Readmission
  - Excess Days in Acute Care (EDAC)
    - Acute Myocardial Infarction
    - Heart Failure
    - Pneumonia



# **Objective of Presentation**

- Provide overview of response to NQF's SDS Trial Period
- Specific aspects of individual measures will be addressed during measure discussion



# Outline

- Background/Overview
  - Guidance from NQF
  - Conceptual framework
- Methods for evaluating SDS adjustment
  - Variable selection
  - Analytic approach
- Results
  - Example from heart failure readmission
- Summary and Recommendations



# NQF's Instructions Regarding SDS Trial

- Assess for patient-level adjustment
  - "If a conceptual relationship exists between a patient-level sociodemographic factor and outcome, it should be tested empirically."
- Examine within and between hospital effects
  - Hospital and patient contribution to risk
- Empiric results and conceptual model drive decisions regarding risk-adjustment



# Patient and Hospital Contribution to Readmission Risk



# UNEQUAL TREATMENT

CONFRONTING RACIAL AND ETHNIC DISPARITIES IN HEALTHCARE

> INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMIES

Why are patients with social risk factors at higher risk of readmission?

- Patients of low socioeconomic backgrounds are "sicker"
  - How much do differences in illness explain differences in risk of readmission?



Why are patients with social risk factors at higher risk of readmission?

- Patients of low socioeconomic are "sicker"
  - How much do differences in illness explain differences in risk of readmission?
- Patients of low socioeconomic status present at hospitals with higher readmission risk

– How much is hospital effect driving readmission risk?



Why are patients with social risk factors at higher risk of readmission?

- Patients of low socioeconomic are "sicker"
  - How much do differences in illness explain differences in risk of readmission?
- Patients of low socioeconomic status present at hospitals with higher readmission risk
  - How much is hospital effect driving readmission risk?
- Patients of low socioeconomic status face other challenges that elevate risk of readmission
  - Differential care within hospital
  - Post-discharge challenges



# Implications for Risk Adjustment

- Differences in illness
  - Risk adjustment not needed if accounted for by current model
- Readmission risk at hospitals predominantly caring for patients of low SES
  - Risk adjustment would lessen ability to detect a true quality signal
- Remaining patient-level risk
  - Risk adjustment would obscure quality differences due to differential care
  - Factors beyond hospital mitigation might warrant adjustment



# Evaluating Measures for SDS Adjustment: Variable Selection

# Approach to Variable Selection

- Sought to identify data sources assessing sociodemographic status with following characteristics:
  - Patient-level variables, or proxies for patient-level
  - Can be linked to Medicare Fee-for-Service claims
  - Available for all, or nearly all, over 65 year-old Medicare patients
  - Currently available



# **Available National Data Sources**

#### Medicare Claims and Enrollment Data

- Eligibility for Medicaid (dual-eligible)
- Low Income subsidy
- Race
- American Community Survey
  - Administered annually on sample of US households
  - 5-years combined for representative data
  - Linked 9-digit ZIP code to obtain data at census block group level
  - Adjusted for cost-of-living differences



# Medicare Data Sources

- Patient-level variables of Income and Assets
  - Medicare-Medicaid dual eligibility status
    - Medicaid qualification for over 65 is based on income and assets and is applied consistently across states
  - Low-income subsidy (LIS)
    - LIS used only within Part D Program
    - All dual eligible beneficiaries qualify for the LIS and are captured by LIS variable (85% overlap)

CORE used dual-eligibility in analyses



# **American Community Survey**

#### • AHRQ SES Index (validated for Medicare)

- Percent persons
  with less than a
  high school
  degree
- Percent persons
  with a bachelor's
  degree or higher
- Percent persons
  living below the
  poverty level
- Percent persons unemployed
- Percent housing units with more than 1 occupant per room

- Median value of owner-occupied housing unit
- Median
  household
  income



## Zip Code vs. Census Block Group



## Zip Code vs. Census Block Group



# **Medicare Data Sources**

#### • Race

- Not a proxy for SES
- Evaluated for comparison with SES and clinical variables
- Black and white are the only race categories with high sensitivity and specificity in Medicare data



Source: Validating Medicare's Race and Ethnicity Data. Kimberly Proctor and Carla Hodge. CMS, Office of Minority Health. (Using 2010 and 2000 Census data and 2011-2009 American Community Survey)

# Evaluating Measures for SDS Adjustment: Analytic Approach

# **Examining Causal Pathways**

### Differences in illness

- SDS risk factor in context of multivariable model
- Impact on model performance and hospital results
- Readmission risk at hospitals predominantly caring for patients of low SES
  - Conduct contextual analyses to separate hospital-level effect from patient-level effect
- Remaining patient-level risk
  - Contextual analysis will also show patient-level effect



# **Initial Analytic Questions**

- Is there a difference in readmission rates by socioeconomic status?
- Is the relationship between SES and readmission attenuated in the multivariable risk-model (accounting for clinical factors)?
- Does adding SES improve model performance?
- Does adding SES to the risk model change hospital results?



## **Observed Readmission Rates**

| Measure           | Variables           | Observed<br>Readmission Rate for<br>Low SES or Black<br>Patients | Observed<br>Readmission Rate for<br>Non-Low SES or Non-<br>Black Patients |
|-------------------|---------------------|--|---|
| HF<br>Readmission | Dual<br>Eligibility | 25.5%  | 21.9%   |
|                   | AHRQ SES<br>Index   | 24.3%  | 21.8%   |
|                   | Race                | 24.8%  | 22.1%   |



# Multivariable Model (Heart Failure)

| Mariahla   | Univariate Model |         | Multivariable Model |         |
|--|------------------|---------|---------------------|---------|
| variable   | Odds Ratio       | P-Value | Odds Ratio          | P-Value |
| Dual Eligibility   | 1.22             | <.0001  | 1.08                | <.0001  |
| AHRQ Index   | 1.15             | <.0001  | 1.08                | <.0001  |
| Race   | 1.17             | <.0001  | 1.08                | <.0001  |
| Range of Odds Ratios for Clinical Factors in Multivariable Model |                  |         |                     |         |
| (0.994,1.182)  |                  |         |                     |         |



# Model Performance Unchanged with Addition of SDS

#### **C-Statistics for Each Model for Readmission Measures**

| Measure        | Variables Included in the Model | C-Statistic |
|----------------|---------------------------------|-------------|
| HF Readmission | Current*                        | 0.608       |
|                | Current + Dual Eligibility      | 0.609       |
|                | Current + AHRQ Index            | 0.609       |
|                | Current + Race                  | 0.609       |



## Adjustment for Dual Eligibility



## Adjustment for AHRQ SES Index



# Absolute Change in RSRRs with SDS Adjustment

| Percentile           | Current<br>Model<br>Adjusted for<br>Dual<br>Eligibility | Current Model<br>Adjusted for<br>AHRQ SES<br>Indicator | Current<br>Model<br>Adjusted<br>for All 3<br>Variables |
|----------------------|---|--|--|
| Maximum              | 0.21 %  | 0.30%  | 0.60%  |
| 95%                  | 0.11%   | 0.21%  | 0.25%  |
| 75%                  | 0.06%   | 0.11%  | 0.13%  |
| Median<br>Percentage | 0.02%   | 0.04%  | 0.04%  |
| 25%                  | -0.01%  | -0.05%   | -0.06%   |
| 5%                   | -0.10%  | -0.24%   | -0.32%   |
| Minimum              | -0.41%  | -0.97%   | -1.17%   |

# Absolute Change in RSRRs with SDS Adjustment

| Percentile           | Current<br>Model<br>Adjusted for<br>Dual<br>Eligibility | Current Model<br>Adjusted for<br>AHRQ SES<br>Indicator | Current<br>Model<br>Adjusted<br>for All 3<br>Variables |
|----------------------|---|--|--|
| Maximum              | 0.21 %  | 0.30%  | 0.60%  |
| 95%                  | 0.11%   | 0.21%  | 0.25%  |
| 75%                  | 0.06%   | 0.11%  | 0.13%  |
| Median<br>Percentage | 0.02%   | 0.04%  | 0.04%  |
| 25%                  | -0.01%  | -0.05%   | -0.06%   |
| 5%                   | -0.10%  | -0.24%   | -0.32%   |
| Minimum              | -0.41%  | -0.97%   | -1.17%   |

# Absolute Change in RSRRs with SDS Adjustment

| Percentile           | Current<br>Model<br>Adjusted for<br>Dual<br>Eligibility | Current Model<br>Adjusted for<br>AHRQ SES<br>Indicator | Current<br>Model<br>Adjusted<br>for All 3<br>Variables |
|----------------------|---|--|--|
| Maximum              | 0.21 %  | 0.30%  | 0.60%  |
| 95%                  | 0.11%   | 0.21%  | 0.25%  |
| 75%                  | 0.06%   | 0.11%  | 0.13%  |
| Median<br>Percentage | 0.02%   | 0.04%  | 0.04%  |
| 25%                  | -0.01%  | -0.05%   | -0.06%   |
| 5%                   | -0.10%  | -0.24%   | -0.32%   |
| Minimum              | -0.41%  | -0.97%   | -1.17%   |

Contextual Analysis: Patient vs. Hospital-level Effects

- Contextual analysis or "Decomposition"
  - Used to untangle causal pathways
  - Estimates independent effect of hospital and patient on readmission risk



# Patient vs. Hospital-level Effects

- Hospital-level effect:
  - Higher readmission risk for all patients at hospitals caring for low SES patients
- Patient-level effect:
  - May be due to patient effect or differential within hospital care



# **Interpreting Results**

#### Hospital-level effect:

- Difference between predicted probability of readmission for an average patient at hospitals with many low SES versus hospitals with few
- Comparison between on 95<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> percentile hospitals
- Patient-level effect:
  - Difference in predicted probability of readmission for patient having low SES factor versus not



# Hospital and Patient-Level Predicted Probabilities for SES and Race





# **Comparison with Clinical Variables**





# **Summary of Findings**

- Modest relationship between patient-level SES and readmission in CMS readmission measures
- Addition of SES does not improve model or meaningfully change hospital results
- More substantial hospital component of risk for SES and race variables, in contrast to clinical variables



Implications for Patient-level Risk Adjustment for SES

- Including SES would be responsive to concerns about small portion of readmission risk that may be hard for hospitals to mediate
- However, inclusion of SES variables would not make meaningful difference in hospital scores or penalties
- Predominance of the SES risk attributable to the hospital suggests inclusion of SES variables is not consistent with goals of quality measurement
- Recommend against adding patient-level SES adjustment to current models

# Thank you

Susannah M. Bernheim MD MHS Susannah.bernheim@yale.edu

on behalf of Yale-CORE Quality Measurement Programs

# **Back-up Slides**

## **Readmission Rates Improving**



# Heart Failure Readmission Rates in Safety Net versus Non-Safety Net



Joseph S. Ross et al. Health Aff 2012;31:1739-1748

# Distribution of HF RSRRs by Proportion of Patients with Low AHRQ SES Index Scores

| Data Element                         | Low proportion of<br>patients equal to or below<br>AHRQ SES index score of<br>42.7 (≤9.2%) | High proportion of<br>patients equal to or<br>below AHRQ SES score of<br>42.7 (≥38.3%) |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| Number of Hospitals                  | 999  | 999  |
| Number of Patients                   | 257,667  | 218,581  |
| Maximum                              | 27.7   | 32.08  |
| 90 <sup>th</sup> percentile          | 23.7   | 25.08  |
| 75 <sup>th</sup> percentile          | 22.77  | 23.99  |
| Median (50 <sup>th</sup> percentile) | 21.92  | 22.82  |
| 25 <sup>th</sup> percentile          | 21.16  | 21.96  |
| 10 <sup>th</sup> percentile          | 20.31  | 21.15  |
| Minimum                              | 15.98  | 18.36  |

## **Observed Readmission Rates**

#### **Observed Bivariate Association between SES/Race and Readmission**

| Measure           | Variables<br>Included in | <b>Observed Readmission</b><br>Rate for Low SES or | Observed Readmission<br>Rate for Non-Low SES |
|-------------------|--------------------------|--|--|
|                   | the Model                | Black Patients                                     | or Non-Black Patients                        |
|                   | Dual Eligibility         | 21.1%  | 16.4%  |
| Readmission       | Race                     | 21.2%  | 16.6%  |
|                   | AHRQ Index               | 18.9%  | 16.4%  |
| HF<br>Readmission | Dual Eligibility         | 25.5%  | 21.9%  |
|                   | Race                     | 24.8%  | 22.1%  |
|                   | AHRQ Index               | 24.3%  | 21.8%  |
| PN<br>Readmission | Dual Eligibility         | 20.0%  | 17.1%  |
|                   | Race                     | 22.2%  | 17.2%  |
|                   | AHRQ Index               | 19.3%  | 17.1%  |



# **Model Performance**

#### **C-Statistics for Each Model for Readmission Measures**

| Measure          | Variables Included in the Model | C-Statistic |
|------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|
|                  | Current*                        | 0.650       |
| AMI              | Current + Dual Eligibility      | 0.651       |
| Readmission      | Current + Race                  | 0.651       |
|                  | Current + AHRQ Index            | 0.651       |
|                  | Current*                        | 0.608       |
| HF               | Current + Dual Eligibility      | 0.609       |
| Readmission      | Current + Race                  | 0.609       |
|                  | Current + AHRQ Index            | 0.609       |
|                  | Current*                        | 0.633       |
| PN               | Current + Dual Eligibility      | 0.634       |
| Readmission      | Current + Race                  | 0.634       |
| W <sub>C</sub> . | Current + AHRQ Index            | 0.634       |



\* Current indicates inclusion of all current risk-adjustment variables

# Multivariable models

#### **Observed Multivariate Association between SES/Race and Readmission**

| Measure           | Variables Included in the Model | <b>Odds</b> Ratio | P-Value  |
|-------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|----------|
|                   | Current + Dual Eligibility      | 1.13              | <0.0001  |
| Readmission       | Current + Race                  | 1.13              | <0.0001  |
| Redumission       | Current + AHRQ Index            | 1.09              | <0.0001  |
| HF<br>Readmission | Current + Dual Eligibility      | 1.08              | <0.0001  |
|                   | Current + Race                  | 1.08              | < 0.0001 |
|                   | Current + AHRQ Index            | 1.08              | < 0.0001 |
| DN                | Current + Dual Eligibility      | 1.06              | <0.0001  |
| Readmission       | Current + Race                  | 1.19              | <0.0001  |
|                   | Current + AHRQ Index            | 1.07              | <0.0001  |



\* Current indicates inclusion of all current risk-adjustment variables

# Odds Ratios for Clinical and SDS Variables in Multivariable Model



# Change of Predicted Probabilities for SES and Race Compared with Clinical Variables (AMI)





## Change of Predicted Probabilities for SES and Race Compared with Clinical Variables (AMI)





## Change of Predicted Probabilities for SES and Race Compared with Clinical Variables (Pneumonia)





## Change of Predicted Probabilities for SES and Race Compared with Clinical Variables (Pneumonia)





# **American Community Survey**

 Availability of SDS variables – Neighborhood as proxy for patient SDS

- Percent persons with less than a high school degree
- Percent persons with a high school degree
- Percent persons with some college education
- Percent persons with a bachelor's degree or higher
- Education index (a weighted average of variables 1-4 above)
- Percent persons living below the poverty level



Percent persons employed

- Percent persons unemployed
- Percent occupied housing unit
- Percent owner-occupied housing unit
- Percent households with Supplemental
- Security Income (SSI)
- Percent households with public assistance income
- Percent households with no vehicle available
- Percent housing units with

more than 1 occupant per room

- Percent housing units with 10 or more units in structure
- Median rental
- Median value of owneroccupied housing unit
- Median household income
- Median family household income
- Average individual income
- Median individual income

## **Frequently Used Variables**

- Nagasako EM, Reidhead M, Waterman B, Dunagan WC. Adding socioeconomic data to hospital readmissions calculations may produce more useful results. Health affairs (Project Hope). May 2014;33(5):786-791.
  - Census-tract poverty rate, median income, educational attainment, housing, vacancy rate, and unemployment rate
- Hu J, Gonsahn MD, Nerenz DR. Socioeconomic status and readmissions: evidence from an urban teaching hospital. Health affairs (Project Hope). 2014;33(5):778-785.
  - Race (black or nonblack), Marital status
  - Street address geocoded to Census Block Group level and mapped to data from 2000 census to obtain SES neighborhood characteristics: Poverty (based on % neighborhood population below FPL); Education; Median household income; also created binary variable for each SES factor to compare patients living in neighborhoods with low SES to other patients



## **Frequently Used Variables**

- Barnett ML, Hsu J, McWilliams JM. Patient Characteristics and Differences in Hospital Readmission Rates. JAMA internal medicine. Nov 2015;175(11):1803-1812.
  - Health and Retirement Survey: race/ethnicity; education; labor force status; household income & assets; supplemental & prescription drug coverage; whether participants required proxy to respond on their behalf; measures of household structure and social supports
  - Linked 2009-2012 inpatient Medicare claims and enrollment files (N=8,067 admissions): Medicaid enrollment
- Joynt KE, Jha AK. Characteristics of hospitals receiving penalties under the Hospital Readmissions Reduction Program. Jama. Jan 23 2013;309(4):342-343.
  - 2011 American Hospital Association annual survey: hospital size (# beds); major teaching hospitals (membership in Council of Teaching Hospitals); DSH index



# **AHRQ SES Index**

- The AHRQ SES Index was recalculated using 2009-2013 ACS data at the census block group level
- Patient 9-digit ZIP codes are mapped via vendor software to the AHRQ index at the census block group level
  - We are able to calculate an AHRQ SES Index for Census Block Groups that can be linked to 99.9% of the 9-digit zip codes in the US



# **Approach to Variable Selection**

- Availability of Hospital-Level SDS variables
  - Medicare Part A inpatient and Part B outpatient claims, Medicare Part D data, and EDB
    - Supplemental security income (SSI)
    - Disproportionate Share Hospital (DSH) index
    - % Dual Eligible (aggregated to hospital level)
  - American Hospital Association (AHA) Survey
    - % Medicaid patients served



# **Community-Level Variables**

#### • County variables:

- Area Health Resources File
- RWJ County Health rankings

#### • Hospital Referral Region variables:

- Dartmouth Atlas
- Aggregated ZIP code measures
- Community level variables are assumed to affect all hospitals in the community equally

