



All-Cause Admissions and Readmissions – Spring 2019 Cycle

Standing Committee Measure Evaluation Web Meetings

Erin O'Rourke
Taroon Amin
Suzanne Theberge
Oroma Igwe
Asaba Mbenwoh Nguafor

June 20, 2019

Closed Session

Welcome

NQF Staff

- Project staff
 - ▣ Erin O'Rourke, Senior Director
 - ▣ Taroon Amin, Consultant
 - ▣ Suzanne Theberge, Senior Project Manager
 - ▣ Oroma Igwe, Project Manager
 - ▣ Asaba Mbenwoh Nguafor, Project Analyst

- NQF Quality Measurement leadership staff
 - ▣ Elisa Munthali, Senior Vice President

Agenda: June 20

- Welcome
- Consideration of Candidate Measures
- Public Comment
- Next Steps

Introductions and Disclosures of Interest

Standing Committee

- John Bulger, DO, MBA (co-chair)
- Cristie Travis, MSHA (co-chair)
- Katherine Auger, MD, MSc
- Jo Ann Brooks, PhD, RN
- Helen Chen, MD
- Susan Craft, RN
- William Wesley Fields, MD, FACEP
- Steven Fishbane, MD
- Paula Minton Foltz, RN, MSN
- Laurent Glance, MD
- Anthony Grigonis, PhD
- Bruce Hall, MD, PhD, MBA
- Leslie Kelly Hall
- Paul Heidenreich, MD, MS, FACC, FAHA
- Karen Joynt, MD, MPH
- Keith Lind, JD, MS, BSN
- Paulette Niewczyk, PhD, MPH
- Carol Raphael, MPA
- Mathew Reidhead, MA
- Pamela Roberts, PhD, MSHA, ORT/L, SCFES, FAOTA, CPHQ
- Derek Robinson, MD, MBA, FACEP, CHCQM
- Thomas Smith, MD, FAPA

Spring 2019 Cycle Measures

Two Measures for Committee Review

- **2539** Facility 7-Day Risk-Standardized Hospital Visit Rate after Outpatient Colonoscopy
- **3495** Hospital-Wide 30-Day, All-Cause, Unplanned Readmission (HWR) Rate for the Merit-Based Incentive Payment System (MIPS) Eligible Clinicians and Eligible Clinician Groups

NQF Scientific Methods Panel Review

- The Scientific Acceptability section of both measures was independently evaluated by the Scientific Methods Panel.
- The Panel, consisting of individuals with methodologic expertise, was established to help ensure a higher-level evaluation of the scientific acceptability of complex measures.

Overview of Evaluation Process

Roles of the Standing Committee

During the Evaluation Meeting

- Act as a proxy for the NQF multistakeholder membership
- Work with NQF staff to achieve the goals of the project
- Evaluate each measure against each criterion
 - ▣ *Indicate the extent to which each criterion is met and rationale for the rating*
- Make recommendations regarding endorsement to the NQF membership
- Oversee portfolio of Primary Care and Chronic Illness measures

Ground Rules for Today's Meeting

During the discussions, Committee members should:

- Be prepared, having reviewed the measures beforehand
- Base evaluation and recommendations on the measure evaluation criteria and guidance
- Remain engaged in the discussion without distractions
- Attend the meeting at all times
- Keep comments concise and focused
- Avoid dominating a discussion and allow others to contribute
- Indicate agreement without repeating what has already been said

Ground Rules for Today's Meeting

During the discussions, please do your best to:

- Attend the meeting at all times
 - ▣ *If you need to step away, please send a chat*
- Please keep your line muted during the call unless speaking to minimize background noise
- Raise your hand (on Web platform) to let us know if you'd like to speak
- Remain engaged and active in the discussion
- Announce your name prior to speaking
 - ▣ *This is really important on Web platform!*
- Keep comments focused on the discussion topic

Process for Measure Discussion

- Measure developer will introduce the measure (2-3 min.)
- Lead discussants will begin Committee discussion by:
 - ▣ *Providing a summary of the pre-meeting evaluation comments*
 - ▣ *Emphasizing areas of concern or differences of opinion*
- Developers will be available to respond to questions at the discretion of the Committee
- Committee will vote on the criteria/subcriteria

Questions?

Voting Process

Achieving Consensus

- Quorum: 66% of the Committee
- Pass/Recommended: Greater than 60% “Yes” votes of the quorum (this percent is the sum of high and moderate)
- Consensus not reached (CNR): 40-60% “Yes” votes (inclusive of 40% and 60%) of the quorum
- Does not pass/Not Recommended: Less than 40% “Yes” votes of the quorum
- CNR measures move forward to public and NQF member comment and the Committee will revote

Consideration of Candidate Measure 2539

Measure under Review

- **NQF ID:** 2539
- **Title:** Facility 7-Day Risk-Standardized Hospital Visit Rate after Outpatient Colonoscopy
- **Developer:** Yale New Haven Health Services Corporation – Center for Outcomes Research and Evaluation (CORE)
- **Measure Type:** Outcome
- **Data Source:** Claims, Other
- **Level of Analysis:** Facility
- **Care Setting:** Outpatient Services
- **Status:** Maintenance Measure

Public Comment

Next Steps

- Committee Meeting #2: June 21, 12:00-2:00 pm ET
- Comment Period: August 1-30
- Request for Reconsideration Call: TBD
- Post-Comment Call: TBD

Adjourn



All-Cause Admissions and Readmissions – Spring 2019 Cycle

Standing Committee Measure Evaluation Web Meetings

Erin O'Rourke
Taroon Amin
Suzanne Theberge
Oroma Igwe
Asaba Mbenwoh Nguafor

June 21, 2019

Welcome and Recap of Day 1

Agenda: June 21

- Welcome
- Consideration of Candidate Measure
- Public Comment
- Next Steps

Consideration of Candidate Measure #3495

Measure under Review

- **NQF ID:** 3495
- **Title:** Hospital-Wide 30-Day, All-Cause, Unplanned Readmission (HWR) Rate for the Merit-Based Incentive Payment System (MIPS) Eligible Clinicians and Eligible Clinician Groups
- **Developer:** Yale New Haven Health Services Corporation – Center for Outcomes Research and Evaluation (CORE)
- **Measure Type:** Outcome
- **Data Source:** Claims, Enrollment Data
- **Level of Analysis:** Clinician: Group/Practice, Clinician: Individual
- **Care Setting:** Inpatient/Hospital
- **Status:** New Measure

Public Comment

Next Steps

Next Steps

- Comment Period: August 1-30
- Request for Reconsideration Call: TBD
- Post-Comment Call: TBD

Fall 2019 Cycle Updates

- CSAC ratified endorsement of measures
- Appeals open through July 10

THANK YOU

Adjourn