

NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

TO: NQF Members

FR: NQF Staff

RE: Voting for *National Voluntary Consensus Standards for Ambulatory Care—Additional Outpatient Measures 2010: A Consensus Report*

DA: July 22, 2010

Background

NQF has endorsed more than 100 ambulatory care measures through general ambulatory care consensus development projects, as well as more specialized projects focusing on clinically enriched administrative data and specialty clinician measures. These measures lend themselves to addressing larger issues within ambulatory care, including capacity, productivity, and improving patient outcomes.

This project focused on emergency and urgent care across settings. Ultimately, these standards will provide stakeholders with an improved picture of the quality of ambulatory care delivered in the United States.

Comments and Revised Draft Report

The comment period for the draft report, *National Voluntary Consensus Standards for Ambulatory Care—Additional Outpatient Measures 2010: A Consensus Report*, concluded on June 6, 2010. NQF received 65 comments from 13 organizations on the report. The distribution of comments by Member Council follows:

| | |
|----------------|---------------------------|
| Consumers - 1 | Health Professionals-5 |
| Purchasers-1 | Public Health/Community-0 |
| Health Plans-2 | QMRI-0 |
| Providers-2 | Supplier and Industry-0 |
| Non-members-2 | |

All measure-specific comments were forwarded to the measure developers, who were invited to respond. A table of detailed comments submitted during the review period, with responses and actions taken by the Steering Committee, is posted on the NQF voting webpage. Revisions to the draft report and accompanying measure specifications table (Appendix A) have been made using the track changes functionality.

Comments and Their Disposition

General comments

In general, comments were supportive of the report's recommendations. Several comments expressed concern with the number of time-limited measures, competing measures, and the scarcity of outcome-focused outpatient measures. Those topic areas are summarized below. Measure-specific comments typically addressed expanding the numerator and/or denominator definitions. These topics were discussed by the Committee prior to making its recommendations.

**NQF REVIEW DRAFT: DO NOT CITE OR QUOTE
NQF MEMBER VOTES DUE TO NQF BY AUGUST 20, 2010 6:00 PM ET**

NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

Time-limited measures

The Committee discussed comments addressing the number of time-limited recommended measures. Committee members echoed similar concerns during their initial evaluation and concluded that NQF's modified Time-Limited Endorsement Policy would help redress those concerns.

Action taken: The Committee stressed the importance of these process measures to outpatient quality measurement and public reporting and reiterated that each met NQF's measure evaluation criteria, with the exception of testing. Pursuant to the endorsement policy, measure stewards have verified timelines and committed resources to conduct testing within 12 months of endorsement date.

Evaluating best-in-class measures

Several comments questioned the rationale for endorsing similar and/or competing measures. These comments specifically addressed two proposed acute otitis effusion measures (both addressing inappropriate treatment) and two proposed and two NQF-endorsed[®] electrocardiogram (ECG) measures related to syncope and non-traumatic chest pain.

Action taken: Following discussion of the comments, the Committee affirmed its original recommendation to harmonize the ECG measures, which utilize different data source platforms. The Committee evaluated the AOE measures on their own merit and recommended one as a standalone and the other as a paired measure with another AOE measure that assesses appropriate treatment. The evaluation of these measures concluded with the Committee's recommendation for NQF to provide additional guidance in the measure evaluation criteria regarding best-in-class determination. Note: The Consensus Standards Approval Committee (CSAC) discussed NQF's best-in-class criteria during their July 14-15, 2010 meeting.

Colonoscopy measures (ACP-016-10, ACP-017-10, and ACP-018-10)

There were a few comments that cautioned against the reliance on measures that simply capture documentation of procedures performed and not the quality of those procedures.

Action taken: The Steering Committee and measure developer concurred with these comments and agreed that documentation alone does not ensure quality in performance of these procedures. However, they believed that the gap in documentation, and the importance of adequate and appropriate documentation for subsequent clinical management, highlights the importance of improving this area of procedural care. Committee members reiterated that it is imperative to address these serious documentation gaps, while developing the colonoscopy effectiveness measures.

Measure specific comments

Ultrasound determination of pregnancy location (ACP-002-10)

Responding to an inquiry about mechanisms used to determine intrauterine pregnancy, the measure developer confirmed that intrauterine pregnancy is determined by using well-defined sonographic criteria. Additionally the developer added the following to the existing list of exclusions:

- Ultrasound machine not available (at bedside due to time constraint and ED does not have access to ultrasound); and
- Emergency physicians not credentialed in ultrasound guided procedures.

NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

The Committee noted that credentialing is often difficult to determine; ultrasounds may be performed by clinicians and/or technicians other than emergency physicians; and guided procedure may have a different radiological meaning. The Committee recommended that the developer broaden the definition for those not credentialed in ultrasound beyond emergency physicians. They also suggested that the developer remove all references to guided procedures.

Action taken: The developer modified the specifications as recommended by the Committee (see Appendix A).

Another comment noted that CPT I codes are not comprehensive enough to capture patients with lower abdominal pain or vaginal bleeding. The commenter suggested inclusion of appropriate ICD-9-CM diagnosis codes.

Action taken: The measure developer updated the specifications with ICD-9-CM codes (see Appendix A).

Rhogam for Rh negative pregnant women at risk of fetal blood exposure (ACP-003-10)

The Committee requested that the measure developer provide clarification that pregnancy will be confirmed before rhogam is administered.

Action taken: The developer modified the specifications as recommended by the Committee (see Appendix A).

Troponin for patients with AMI or chest pain within 60 minutes (ACP-019-10)

A recommendation was presented to expand the measure's application to admitted patients with AMI or chest pain. The Committee was in favor of expanding this measure to include inpatient populations with AMI or chest pain.

Action taken: The developer clarified that both ED and critical care codes are included in the denominator encounter coding.

Head CT or MRI scan results for stroke who received CT scan interpretation in 45 minutes (ACP-021-10)

There was a suggestion to add MRI as another first-line imaging option for acute stroke patients. The Committee agreed with this recommendation.

Action taken: The developer modified the specifications as recommended by the Committee (see Appendix A).

NQF Member Voting

Information for electronic voting was sent to NQF member organization primary contacts. Accompanying comments must be submitted by e-mail. The e-mail must identify submitter, organization, and the specific ballot item that the comments accompany.

All votes must be submitted no later than 6:00 pm ET, August 20, 2010.

Thank you for your interest in this Consensus Development Project.

**NQF REVIEW DRAFT: DO NOT CITE OR QUOTE
NQF MEMBER VOTES DUE TO NQF BY AUGUST 20, 2010 6:00 PM ET**

NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

NATIONAL VOLUNTARY CONSENSUS STANDARDS FOR AMBULATORY CARE— OUTPATIENT MEASURES 2010: A CONSENSUS REPORT

DRAFT REPORT

NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

NATIONAL VOLUNTARY CONSENSUS STANDARDS FOR AMBULATORY CARE – OUTPATIENT MEASURES 2010: A CONSENSUS REPORT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----|
| Executive Summary | |
| Background | |
| Strategic Directions for NQF | |
| National Priorities Partnership | |
| NQF's Consensus Development Process | |
| Evaluating Potential Consensus Standards | |
| Recommendations for Endorsement | |
| Candidate Consensus Standards Recommended for Endorsement | |
| Candidate Consensus Standards Recommended for Time-Limited Endorsement | |
| Candidate Consensus Standards Not Recommended for Endorsement | |
| Additional Recommendations | |
| Notes | |
| Appendix A—Specifications for the National Voluntary Consensus Standards for Ambulatory Care- Outpatient Measures 2010: A Consensus Report..... | A-1 |
| Appendix B—Steering Committee and NQF Staff | B-1 |
| Appendix C— NQF-Endorsed [®] Measures as of April 2010..... | C-1 |

NQF REVIEW DRAFT—DO NOT CITE OR QUOTE

NQF MEMBER comments due July 6, 2010, 6:00 PM ET; PUBLIC comments due June 29, 2010 by 6:00 PM ET

NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

NATIONAL VOLUNTARY CONSENSUS STANDARDS FOR AMBULATORY CARE: ADDITIONAL OUTPATIENT MEASURES 2010: A CONSENSUS REPORT

1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

2 | Ambulatory ~~medical~~ health care is the predominant method of providing healthcare services in
3 the United States. In 2006, there were approximately 1.1 billion patient visits across a wide range
4 of settings, including clinician offices, emergency departments (EDs) and outpatient departments
5 (OPDs). Although the largest proportion of ambulatory care services occurs in physician offices,
6 approximately 9 percent occur in outpatient departments and 11 percent in the ED. From 1996
7 to 2006 the number of ED visits increased by 32 percent, while the number of hospital EDs in
8 the United States decreased by about 12.4 percent during the same period. Demand and capacity
9 issues have contributed to increased patient wait time and decreased clinician productivity,
10 placing patients at risk for poor health outcomes.

11 The National Quality Forum (NQF) has endorsed more than 100 ambulatory care measures for
12 assessing the quality of care provided in outpatient facilities, including hospital outpatient
13 departments (HOPD), emergency departments, urgent care facilities, ambulatory surgery centers,
14 community health centers, and clinician offices. These measures address issues ranging from
15 timely treatments, antibiotic use, patient admissions and discharges, and the appropriate
16 documentation by staff. Measures recommended for endorsement in this report focus on
17 pediatric conditions, antibiotic overuse, endoscopy and polyp surveillance, and appropriate time
18 to patient treatment and are applicable to the ED and/or urgent care settings. The endorsement of
19 these measures, as well as those that preceded, is intended to encourage hospitals and clinicians
20 to improve their quality of care through implementation and to empower consumers with
21 appropriate information to make informed decisions about their healthcare.

22 Under NQF's most recent Ambulatory Care project, 17 process measures are recommended for
23 endorsement. These measures were submitted by the American College of Emergency
24 Physicians (ACEP), American Medical Association-convened Physician Consortium for
25 Performance Improvement (AMA PCPI), Ingenix, Inc., and the Centers for Medicare and
26 Medicaid Services (CMS) and are listed below:

NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

27 | **RECOMMENDATIONS FOR ENDORSEMENT**

- 28
- 29
- ACP-035-10: Patient(s) with an emergency medicine visit for syncope that had an ECG (Ingenix, Inc.)
 - ACP-036-10: Patient(s) with an emergency medicine visit for non-traumatic chest pain that had an ECG (Ingenix, Inc.)
 - ACP-032-10: Patient(s) two years of age and older with acute otitis externa who were NOT prescribed systemic antimicrobial therapy (Ingenix, Inc.)

| **RECOMMENDATIONS FOR TIME-LIMITED ENDORSEMENT**

- ACP-009-10: Acute otitis externa topical therapy (AMA PCPI)
- ACP-011-10: Acute otitis externa: systemic antimicrobial therapy—avoidance of inappropriate use (AMA PCPI)
- ACP-012-10: Otitis media with effusion: antihistamines or decongestants—avoidance of inappropriate use (AMA PCPI)
- ACP-013-10: Otitis media with effusion: systemic corticosteroids—avoidance of inappropriate use (AMA PCPI)
- ACP-015-10: Otitis media with effusion: systemic antimicrobials—avoidance of inappropriate use (AMA PCPI)
- ACP-002-10: Ultrasound determination of pregnancy location for pregnant patients with abdominal pain (ACEP)
- ACP-003-10: Rhogam for Rh negative pregnant women at risk of fetal blood exposure (ACEP)
- ACP-016-10: Endoscopy/polyp surveillance: appropriate follow-up interval for normal colonoscopy in average risk patients (AMA PCPI)
- ACP-017-10: Endoscopy/polyp surveillance: colonoscopy interval for patients for history of adenomatous polyps—avoidance of inappropriate use (AMA PCPI)
- ACP-018-10: Endoscopy/polyp surveillance: comprehensive colonoscopy documentation (AMA PCPI)

NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

- ACP-019-10: Troponin results for emergency department acute myocardial infarction (AMI) patients or chest pain patients (with probable cardiac chest pain) received within 60 minutes of arrival (CMS)
- ACP-021-10: Head CT [or MRI](#) scan results for acute ischemic stroke or hemorrhagic stroke who received head CT [or MRI](#) scan interpretation within 45 minutes of arrival (CMS)
- ACP-023-10: Median time to pain management for long bone fracture (CMS)
- ACP-043-10: Ultrasound guidance for internal jugular central venous catheter placement (ACEP)

NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

NATIONAL VOLUNTARY CONSENSUS STANDARDS FOR AMBULATORY CARE: ADDITIONAL OUTPATIENT MEASURES 2010: A CONSENSUS REPORT

30 BACKGROUND

31 | Ambulatory ~~medical~~ health care is the predominant method of providing healthcare services in
32 the United States. In 2006, there were approximately 1.1 billion patient visits across a wide range
33 of settings, including clinician offices, emergency departments (EDs) and outpatient departments
34 (OPDs). Although the largest proportion of ambulatory care services occurs in physician offices,
35 approximately nine percent occur in outpatient departments and 11 percent in the ED.¹ From
36 1996 to 2006 the number of ED visits increased by 32 percent, while the number of hospital EDs
37 in the United States decreased by about 12.4 percent during the same period. Demand and
38 capacity issues have contributed to increased patient wait time and decreased clinician
39 productivity, placing patients at risk for poor health outcomes.²

40 The National Quality Forum (NQF) has endorsed more than 100 ambulatory care measures
41 through general ambulatory care consensus development projects, as well as more specialized
42 projects focusing on clinically enriched administrative data and specialty clinician measures.
43 These measures lend themselves to addressing larger issues within ambulatory care, including
44 capacity, productivity, and improving patient outcomes. This project focused on emergency and
45 urgent care across settings. Ultimately, these standards will provide stakeholders with an
46 improved picture of the quality of ambulatory care delivered in the United States.

47

48 STRATEGIC DIRECTIONS FOR NQF

49 NQF's mission includes three parts: 1) setting national priorities and goals for performance
50 improvement, 2) endorsing national consensus standards for measuring and publicly reporting on
51 performance, and 3) promoting the attainment of national goals through education and outreach
52 programs. As greater numbers of quality measures are developed and brought to NQF for
53 consideration of endorsement, it is incumbent on NQF to assist stakeholders to “measure what
54 makes a difference” and address what is important to achieve the best outcomes for patients and
55 populations. For more information see www.qualityforum.org.

NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

56

57 Several strategic issues have been identified to guide consideration of candidate consensus
58 standards:

59 **DRIVE TOWARD HIGH PERFORMANCE.** Over time, the bar of performance expectations
60 should be raised to encourage the achievement of higher levels of system performance.

61 **EMPHASIZE COMPOSITES.** Composite measures provide much needed summary
62 information pertaining to multiple dimensions of performance and are more comprehensible to
63 patients and consumers.

64 **MOVE TOWARD OUTCOME MEASUREMENT.** Outcome measures provide information
65 of keen interest to consumers and purchasers, and when coupled with healthcare process
66 measures, they provide useful and actionable information to providers. Outcome measures also
67 focus attention on much needed system-level improvements, because achieving the best patient
68 outcomes often requires carefully designed care processes, teamwork, and coordinated action on
69 the part of many providers.

70 **CONSIDER DISPARITIES IN ALL THAT WE DO.** Some of the greatest performance gaps
71 relate to care of minority populations. Particular attention should be focused on the most relevant
72 race/ethnicity/language/socioeconomic strata to identify relevant measures for reporting.

73

74 **NATIONAL PRIORITIES PARTNERSHIP**

75 NQF seeks to endorse measures that address the National Priorities and Goals of the National
76 Priorities Partnership.³ The National Priorities Partnership represents those who receive, pay for,
77 provide, and evaluate healthcare. The National Priorities and Goals focus on these areas:

- 78 • patient and family engagement,
- 79 • population health,
- 80 • safety,
- 81 • care coordination,
- 82 • palliative and end-of-life care, and
- 83 • overuse.

84

85

NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

86 NQF'S CONSENSUS DEVELOPMENT PROCESS (CDP)

87 Ambulatory Care Project⁴

88 The National Quality Forum's National Voluntary Consensus Standards for Ambulatory Care
89 project seeks to endorse additional outpatient measures that address emergency department
90 and/or urgent care and other invasive procedures in which sedation or general anesthesia is
91 utilized in the outpatient setting. Potential consensus standards address a broad range of areas:
92 safety and effectiveness of outpatient care, coordination of care and timely communication,
93 appropriateness of care, pediatric urgent care, and clinician and or facility-level analysis.
94 Additionally, the project will identify gaps in important outpatient measures.

95

96 This report does not represent the entire scope of NQF work relevant to the quality of outpatient
97 care. NQF has endorsed emergency department setting-specific consensus standards through
98 Phase I and II of the National Voluntary Consensus Standards for Emergency Care project
99 ([http://www.qualityforum.org/Publications/2009/09/National_Voluntary_Consensus_Standards
100 for_Emergency_Care.aspx](http://www.qualityforum.org/Publications/2009/09/National_Voluntary_Consensus_Standards_for_Emergency_Care.aspx)) and clinician-level standards through its National Voluntary
101 Consensus Standards for Ambulatory Care: Specialty Clinician Performance Measures project
102 ([http://www.qualityforum.org/Publications/2007/01/National_Consensus_Standards_for_Hospita
104 l_Care_Specialty_Clinician_Measures.aspx](http://www.qualityforum.org/Publications/2007/01/National_Consensus_Standards_for_Hospita
103 l_Care_Specialty_Clinician_Measures.aspx)).

104

105 The full constellation of consensus standards, along with those presented in this report, provide a
106 growing number of NQF-endorsed[®] voluntary consensus standards that directly reflect the
107 importance of measuring and improving the quality of care provided to patients. Organizations
108 that adopt these consensus standards will promote the delivery of safer and higher-quality care
109 for patients.

110

111 Evaluating Potential Consensus Standards

112 Candidate standards were solicited through an open "Call for Measures" in January 2010 and
113 were actively sought by NQF staff through literature reviews, a search of the National Quality
114 Measures Clearinghouse, NQF Member websites, and an environmental scan. The Ambulatory
115 Care Steering Committee evaluated 27 measures for appropriateness as voluntary consensus

NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

116 standards for accountability and public reporting using the standardized measure evaluation
117 criteria⁵ on importance to measure and report, scientific acceptability of the measure properties,
118 usability, and feasibility.

119

120 **RECOMMENDATIONS FOR ENDORSEMENT**

121 This report presents the results of the evaluation of 27 measures considered under NQF's
122 Consensus Development Process. Seventeen measures are recommended for endorsement as
123 voluntary consensus standards suitable for public reporting and quality improvement.

124

125 **Candidate Consensus Standards Recommended for Endorsement**

126

127 **ACP-035-10: Patient(s) with an emergency medicine visit for syncope that had an ECG**
128 **(Ingenix, Inc.)** *Patients with an emergency medicine visit for syncope that had an ECG done as*
129 *part of their evaluation.*

130 Syncope is a common presentation to the ED and while many factors underlying the presentation
131 are benign and self-limited, others are associated with significant morbidity and mortality.

132 Syncope causes may remain ambiguous during initial ED evaluation; therefore, risk stratification
133 through electrocardiogram (ECG) testing is essential in identifying patients requiring additional
134 attention and treatment. The measure developer presented data suggestive of a significant
135 performance gap. The Steering Committee recognized the importance of the measure.

136 Additionally, the use of multiple data sources was viewed favorably. The Committee
137 recommended this measure for harmonization⁶ with the current NQF-endorsed Measure # 0093:
138 Electrocardiogram performed for syncope. The developer asserts that the proposed measure is
139 harmonized with the endorsed measure to the extent possible (e.g., identical code set definitions
140 of syncope), with the exception of data sources as this measure relies solely on electronic
141 administrative data. This process measure addresses the National Priority of safety.

142

NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

143 **ACP-036-10: Patient(s) with an emergency medicine visit for non-traumatic chest pain that**
144 **had an ECG (Ingenix, Inc.)** *Patients with an emergency medicine visit for non-traumatic chest*
145 *pain that had an ECG done as part of their evaluation.*

146
147 Clinical guidelines state that adults who present to an emergency department with non-traumatic
148 chest pain should have a 12-lead ECG performed that is read by a physician within ten minutes
149 of arrival. Prompt identification of ischemia or infarction on an ECG can result in quick initiation
150 of life-saving interventions such as anti-embolic medication or percutaneous procedures.⁷ The
151 measure developer presented data suggestive of a significant performance gap, close to 20
152 percent. The Steering Committee recognized the importance of the measure. Additionally, the
153 use of multiple data sources was viewed favorably. The Committee recommended this measure
154 for harmonization with NQF-endorsed Measure # 0090: Electrocardiogram performed for non-
155 traumatic chest pain. The developer asserts that the proposed measure is harmonized with the
156 endorsed measure to the extent possible (e.g., identical code set definitions of chest pain), with
157 the exception of data sources as this measure relies solely on electronic administrative data. This
158 process measure addresses the National Priority of safety.

159
160 **ACP-032-10: Patient(s) two years of age and older with acute otitis externa who were NOT**
161 **prescribed systemic antimicrobial therapy (Ingenix, Inc.)** *Patients two years of age and older*
162 *with acute otitis externa who were or were not prescribed systemic antimicrobial therapy.*

163
164 The annual incidence of acute otitis externa (AOE), commonly referred to as swimmer's ear, is
165 as high as ten percent. Topical preparations are recommended as the initial therapy for
166 uncomplicated AOE because of safety and efficacy.⁸ Although systemic oral antibiotics are
167 frequently prescribed to treat uncomplicated AOE, there is no evidence to support their efficacy.
168 The Steering Committee agreed that the exclusion criteria are defined extensively and the data
169 sources are comprehensive enough to evaluate quality and facilitate improvement for a broad
170 population base. The Committee also commended the developers for presenting a strong case
171 about the cost and patient ramifications associated with oral antibiotic overuse. The prospect of
172 harmonization with proposed Measure # ACP-011-10: Acute otitis externa: Systemic

NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

173 antimicrobial therapy—avoidance of inappropriate use (AMA PCPI) was discussed at length but
174 later abandoned because of differences with the denominator populations, specifically the time
175 period eligible for inclusion. The Committee suggested that the developer change the measure
176 name to an affirmative statement—one that clearly expresses a desired standard and is reflective
177 of a behavioral modification. The developer responded that changing the name will give the
178 impression that systemic antibiotics are recommended for treating AOE. The Committee agreed
179 with the developer’s assessment and recommended the measure for endorsement. This process
180 measure addresses the National Priority of overuse.

181 **Candidate Consensus Standards Recommended for Time-Limited Endorsement⁹**

182
183 **ACP-009-10: Acute otitis externa: topical therapy (AMA PCPI)** *Percentage of patients aged*
184 *two years and older with a diagnosis of acute otitis externa (AOE) who were prescribed topical*
185 *preparations paired¹⁰ with*

186 **ACP-011-10 Acute otitis externa: systemic antimicrobial therapy—avoidance of**
187 **inappropriate use (AMA PCPI)** *Percentage of patients aged two years and older with a*
188 *diagnosis of acute otitis externa who were not prescribed systemic antimicrobial therapy.*
189

190 The Committee agreed that there was strong empirical evidence underscoring the prevalence of
191 acute otitis externa (AOE). While prevalent, some members noted considerable geographic
192 variation in disease rates where some areas have lower prevalence of this condition. The measure
193 developer cited clinical practice guidelines that recommend topical preparations as the initial
194 therapy for uncomplicated AOE because of safety and efficacy against common AOE pathogens.
195 While resolution is estimated to occur with 65 percent to 90 percent of patients who are
196 prescribed topical preparations, antibiotics exclusively or amalgamated with topical treatments
197 are increasingly prescribed to treat AOE. Some Committee members debated whether topical
198 preparations in the absence of debridement and wick replacement are sufficient treatments. As a
199 whole, the Committee believed that neither measure as a standalone accurately captured the
200 scope of inappropriate treatment; therefore, they recommended pairing these two measures for a
201 comprehensive assessment of the care provided. The Committee suggested that the measure

NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

202 developer add greater specificity to the ICD-9-CM coding and exclusions to distinguish patients
203 for whom this aspect of care is not appropriate (e.g., patients presenting with complicated AOE,
204 co-morbidities, or specified immune-compromised conditions). The measure developer
205 responded that omission of an exhaustive list of exclusions is intentional and in accordance with
206 their methodology that uses three broad categories (medical, patient, and system) to define
207 exclusions and that relies on clinicians to link those exclusions with documented reasons for not
208 adhering to recommended treatment guidelines (e.g. reason for not prescribing topical
209 preparation only). Some members were concerned about potential unintended consequences of
210 measurement with the perceived lack of specificity of the exclusions. To address the
211 Committee's concerns, the measure developer added examples to the exclusions as follows:

- 212 • ACP-009-10: Medical reason(s) for not prescribing topical preparation (e.g., coexisting
213 acute otitis media, tympanic membrane perforation); and
- 214 • ACP-011-10: Medical reason(s) for prescribing systemic antimicrobial therapy (e.g.,
215 coexisting diabetes, immune deficiency).

216 The Committee accepted these modifications and recommended these measures for time-limited
217 endorsement. These paired measures address the National Priorities of overuse and safety.

218

219 **ACP-012-10 Otitis media with effusion: antihistamines or decongestants—avoidance of**
220 **inappropriate use (AMA PCPI)** *Percentage of patients aged 2 months through 12 years with a*
221 *diagnosis of otitis media with effusion who were not prescribed or recommended to receive*
222 *either antihistamines or decongestants* **grouped with**

223 **ACP-013-10 Otitis media with effusion: systemic corticosteroids—avoidance of**
224 **inappropriate use (AMA PCPI)** *Percentage of patients aged 2 months through 12 years with a*
225 *diagnosis of otitis media with effusion who were not prescribed systemic corticosteroids* **and**

226 **ACP-015-10 Otitis media with effusion: Systemic antimicrobials—avoidance of**
227 **inappropriate use (AMA PCPI)** *Percentage of patients aged 2 months through 12 years with a*
228 *diagnosis of otitis media with effusion who were not prescribed systemic antimicrobials.*

229

230 Ninety percent of children have otitis media with effusion (OME) at some time before school
231 age. The majority of those cases resolve spontaneously with indications for therapy if the

NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

232 condition persists. Evidence does not exist to support the efficacy of antihistamines,
233 decongestants, systemic corticosteroids, and antimicrobials in treating OME; furthermore, these
234 medications have potential adverse side effects. The measure developer presented data that
235 indicated a lack of adherence to recommended guidelines for OME. The majority of the
236 Committee's discussion focused on issues related to over-the-counter antihistamines and
237 decongestants. Steering Committee members debated the feasibility ~~noted the difficulty~~ of
238 capturing data on utilization and active counseling against the use of non-recommended, non-
239 prescribed over-the-counter antihistamine or decongestant medication use within the current
240 EHR environment. ~~Since these data cannot often be readily retrieved, While~~ -the Committee
241 recognized the importance of capturing this information, they also noted significant
242 documentation and data abstraction challenges. For these reasons, Steering Committee members
243 believed that grouping all three measures together would result in a more comprehensive
244 assessment of inappropriate care for OME. The Committee further recommended that these
245 measures be developed into a composite measure for consideration during the next measure
246 maintenance review. In addition, the development of a standard that captures whether or not
247 clinicians provide proactive counseling against the use of antihistamines and decongestants for
248 uncomplicated OME would be a valuable component for inclusion in the composite. These
249 measures address the National Priority of overuse.

250 **ACP-002-10: Ultrasound determination of pregnancy location for pregnant patients with**
251 **abdominal pain (ACEP)** *Pregnant patients who present to the emergency department with a*
252 *chief complaint of abdominal pain and or vaginal bleeding and receive a trans-abdominal or*
253 *trans-vaginal ultrasound.*

254 The Steering Committee agrees that this process measure is important in identifying and
255 rendering timely treatment for ectopic pregnancy, a leading cause of maternal morbidity and
256 mortality in the first trimester. The inclusion criteria were discussed at length; specifically the
257 Committee questioned whether the denominator is inclusive of all women regardless of timing of
258 pregnancy determination (prior to and during the ED visit). The measure developer affirmed that
259 both populations are included in the denominator. Committee members weighed the unintended
260 consequences of this performance metric including delayed treatment if initial ultrasound testing

NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

261 revealed a pseudogestational sac, which at times presents with ectopic pregnancy and leads to a
262 misdiagnosis of an intrauterine pregnancy. The measure developer is considering harmonization
263 with NQF-endorsed Measure #0502: Pregnancy test for female abdominal pain patients. This
264 measure addresses the National Priority of safety.

265 **ACP-003-10: Rh immunoglobulin (Rhogam) for Rh negative pregnant women at risk of**
266 **fetal blood exposure (ACEP)** *Percentage of Rh negative pregnant women at risk of fetal blood*
267 *exposure who receive Rhogam in the ED.*

268

269 The Steering Committee recognized the importance of administering Rhogam as an effective
270 prophylaxis for all confirmed pregnant women, who are Rh negative and -at risk of maternal
271 exposure to fetal blood. There is a fair amount of debate about the administration of Rhogam for
272 first trimester pregnancy indications for threatened abortion, miscarriage, significant vaginal
273 bleeding, and other complications, while the data for second and third trimester efficacy remain
274 strong. The developer also noted concern that anti-D immunoglobulin may cross the placenta
275 causing fetal anemia; however, it was believed to be a minor concern. While the Committee
276 commended the intent of the measure, they also noted the subjectivity of pain and bleeding
277 assessment for patients over 12 weeks of gestation and the difficulty clinicians and consumers
278 may face in fully comprehending the complexities of the measure. The measure developer is
279 considering harmonization with currently endorsed measure # 0014: Prenatal anti-D immune
280 globulin. This process measure addresses the National Priority of safety.

281

282 **ACP-016-10: Endoscopy/polyp surveillance: Appropriate follow-up interval for normal**
283 **colonoscopy in average risk patients (AMA PCPI)** *Percentage of patients 50 and older*
284 *receiving a screening colonoscopy without biopsy or polypectomy who had a recommended*
285 *follow-up interval of at least ten years for repeat colonoscopy documented in their colonoscopy*
286 *report.*

287

288 The Committee agreed that assessing whether the appropriate follow-up interval for normal
289 colonoscopy in average risk patients is addressed is an important process measure. In the

NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

290 average-risk population, colonoscopy screening is recommended at ten-year intervals in all
291 current guidelines.¹¹ In recent years, screening has increased, often resulting in repeat
292 colonoscopies that are not needed. Although the Committee was concerned about the exclusion
293 of endoscopy reports not captured in an electronically-generated reporting format (roughly 50
294 percent of all reports at this time), they believed that these concerns will subside as healthcare
295 moves closer to a fully-integrated electronic health record (EHR) environment. This measure
296 addresses the National Priority of overuse.

297

298 **ACP-017-10: Endoscopy/polyp surveillance: colonoscopy interval for patients with a**
299 **history of adenomatous polyps—avoidance of inappropriate use (AMA PCPI)** *Percentage of*
300 *patients aged 18 years and older receiving a surveillance colonoscopy, with a history of a prior*
301 *colonic polyp in previous colonoscopy findings who had a follow-up interval of three or more*
302 *years since their last colonoscopy documented in the colonoscopy report.*

303

304 Colorectal cancer is the second leading cause of cancer death in the United States. Colonoscopy
305 is recommended for surveillance after the removal of adenomatous polyps as it significantly
306 reduces subsequent colorectal cancer incidence;¹² however, there is growing evidence for
307 overutilization of colonoscopies. While the Steering Committee noted the importance of this
308 measure, they also debated the usefulness of this measure without specific recommendations for
309 appropriate follow-up screening intervals. The measure developer clarified that the timing of a
310 follow-up colonoscopy is dependent on a number of variables including clinically relevant polyp
311 numbers, sizes, the endoscopic interpretation of adequate removal, and preparation. At the same
312 time, the developer recognized the absence of specific guidelines may result in patient and
313 physician confusion. The Committee suggested that future measure development for endoscopy
314 screening and surveillance should incorporate a component of patient experience to facilitate
315 discussion between patients and clinicians about appropriate testing intervals. This measure
316 addresses the National Priority of overuse.

317

318 **ACP-018-10: Endoscopy/polyp surveillance: comprehensive colonoscopy documentation**
319 **(AMA PCPI)** *Percentage of final colonoscopy reports for patients aged 18 years and older that*

NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

320 *include documentation of all of the following: pre-procedure risk assessment, depth of insertion,*
321 *quality of the bowel preparation, complete description of polyp(s) found (including location of*
322 *each polyp, size, number, and gross morphology), and recommendations for follow-up.*

323
324 Incomplete colonoscopy reports that omit essential information about risk assessment, depth of
325 insertion, quality of bowel preparation, and complete polyp description potentially lead to
326 inaccurate diagnoses and repeat testing. The measure developer presented data that are indicative
327 of significant gaps in the specificity of documentation on these procedures. The Committee
328 engaged in lengthy discussion about the utility of a measure that solely evaluates the quality of
329 colonoscopy report documentation rather than the performance of the colonoscopy. Committee
330 members concluded that it is imperative to address these serious documentation gaps, while
331 developing the colonoscopy effectiveness measure. This measure addresses the National Priority
332 of safety.

333
334 **ACP-019-10: Troponin results for emergency department acute myocardial infarction**
335 **(AMI) patients or chest pain patients (with probable cardiac chest pain) received within 60**
336 **minutes of arrival (CMS) Emergency Department acute myocardial infarction (AMI) patients**
337 *or chest pain patients (with probable cardiac chest pain) with an order for troponin during the*
338 *stay and having a time from ED arrival to completion of troponin results within 60 minutes of*
339 *arrival*

340
341 The measure developer initially submitted a broad measure that assessed the median time from
342 initial troponin order to time troponin results are reported to emergency department staff. While
343 the Committee agreed that timely troponin results are important to patient health outcomes and to
344 setting minimal expectations, all agreed that a disease-specific approach would be easier to
345 define and report. The initial measure was broadly focused on median time to troponin for all
346 patients. Committee members suggested limiting the denominator population to non-traumatic
347 chest pain or acute myocardial infarction (AMI). Additionally, some members were concerned
348 that reporting based on central tendency may not reflect outliers on either side of the timing
349 interval. The measure developer proposed that the measure be changed to assess the proportion

NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

350 of patients who have the test completed within 60 minutes of arrival. The denominator statement
351 was revised to include only patients with non-traumatic chest pain or AMI with an order for
352 troponin. The associated measure title was changed to reflect the aforementioned revisions.
353 Upon further recommendation from the Committee to broaden application of the measure to
354 include inpatient populations, the developer clarified that both ED and critical care codes are
355 included in the denominator encounter coding. The Committee recommended the measure for
356 endorsement following the developer's modifications. This measure addresses the National
357 Priority of safety.

358
359 **ACP-021-10: Head CT or MRI scan results for acute ischemic stroke or hemorrhagic**
360 **stroke who received head CT or MRI scan interpretation within 45 minutes of arrival**
361 **(CMS) Emergency Department Acute Ischemic Stroke or Hemorrhagic Stroke patients who**
362 **arrive at the ED within 2 hours of the onset of symptoms who have a head CT or MRI scan**
363 **performed during the stay and having a time from ED arrival to interpretation of the Head CT or**
364 **MRI scan within 45 minutes of arrival.**

365
366 The measure developer initially submitted a broad measure that assessed the median time from
367 initial head computed tomography (CT) scan order to time head CT scan results are reported to
368 emergency department staff for all patients. While the Committee agreed that timely head CT
369 scan interpretation is very important to patient health outcomes and to setting minimal
370 expectations of interpretation of turnaround time, all agreed that a disease-specific approach
371 would be easier to define and report. The Committee also recommended that the developer
372 include magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) as another first-line imaging option for acute stroke
373 patients. One Committee member suggested a metric that evaluated timely head CT scan for
374 ischemic stroke since the guidelines are clear and well established. ~~The Committee also noted~~
375 ~~that r~~Reporting to the ED was viewed as problematic to the ED is not always feasible or and not
376 necessarily -indicative of hospital efficiency. Additionally, some Committee members were
377 concerned that reporting based on median timing may not reflect outliers on either side of the
378 timing interval. Upon recommendation from the Steering Committee, the developer modified
379 this measure with a title change to reflect the proportion of stroke patients with acute onset of

NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

380 symptoms who have a CT brain interpreted scan within 45 minutes of arrival consistent with
381 national stroke guidelines. The developer later agreed to expand the definition of the measure to
382 include MRI. The Committee recommended the measure for endorsement following the measure
383 developer's modifications. This measure addresses the National Priority of safety.

384

385 **ACP-023-10: Median time to pain management for long bone fracture (CMS)** *Median time*
386 *from emergency department arrival to time of initial parenteral pain medication administration*
387 *or other regional/local anesthesia pain management for emergency department patients with a*
388 *principal diagnosis of long bone fracture (LBF)*

389

390 The measure developer presented data that reveal that patients with bone fractures typically do
391 not receive adequate pain medication as part of treatment regimens. The data also reveal
392 significant disparities in treatment for pain based on race, ethnicity, age, and other
393 considerations.¹³ While noting the importance of this measure to quality improvement, the
394 Committee was concerned that the denominator exclusions include contraindications to pain
395 medications. Steering Committee members suggested that this metric should also apply to
396 pediatric patients as they also require close monitoring of medications. Based on the
397 Committee's recommendations, the measure developer revised the specifications to include the
398 following:

- 399 • inclusion of only mid-shaft long bone fractures (femur, tibia, and humerus only) in the
400 denominator (parenteral pain medications and or regional anesthesia); and
- 401 • addition of regional/local anesthesia pain management medications to the list of pain
402 medications.

403 Patients with contraindications to pain medication were removed as an appropriate exclusion
404 from the denominator. Additionally, the measure developer broadened the specifications to also
405 address pain management of oral medications for long bone fracture in patients aged 2 to 17
406 years, in addition to specifications that address patients 18 years and older and the use of
407 parenteral medications and regional and or local anesthesia for long bone fracture pain. The
408 restriction of shaft fractures of the femur, tibia, and humerus is applicable only to the adult

NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

409 populations. The Committee approved the developer's modifications. This measure addresses the
410 National Priority of safety.

411

412 **ACP-043-10: Ultrasound guidance for internal jugular central venous catheter placement**
413 **(ACEP)** *Percentage of adult patients aged 18 years and older with an internal jugular central*
414 *venous catheter placed in the emergency department under ultrasound guidance.*

415

416 The Committee recognized the importance of ultrasound guidance in increasing first-attempt
417 success of internal jugular central venous catheter placement and minimizing complications
418 associated with the procedure. The measure developer stated that the procedure is grossly
419 underutilized and has broad application across care settings including hospital critical care units
420 and to some degree surgical settings. Committee members offered several potential limitations to
421 the usability of the measure including the unavailability of ultrasound equipment in many EDs
422 and undocumented ultrasound procedure use in medical records. Some members noted that this
423 procedure using ultrasound requires multiple personnel, which may not be possible due to the
424 staffing available at the time of the procedure. The Committee cautioned that there may be an
425 unintended consequence as this measure is implemented where inexperienced clinicians may be
426 asked to complete the procedure in an effort to comply with the measure. Based on this concern,
427 the measure developer revised the denominator exclusions to include "clinicians not credentialed
428 in ultrasound guided central venous cannulation, or not credentialed in ultrasound guided
429 procedures." The Committee approved putting forward the measure for consideration following
430 the developer's modification. This measure addresses the National Priority of safety.

431

432 **Candidate Standards not Recommended for Endorsement**

433

434 **ACP-008-10: Otitis media with effusion: hearing test (AMA PCPI)** *Percentage of patients*
435 *aged 2 months through 12 years with a diagnosis of otitis media who received tympanostomy*
436 *tube insertion who had a hearing test performed within six months prior to tympanostomy tube*
437 *insertion.*

438

NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

439 This measure did not pass the threshold for “importance to measure and report.” While the
440 Steering Committee noted the importance of this assessment, they believed that the data provided
441 were not sufficient to demonstrate a strong link between the process of care and the desired
442 outcome; specifically, the Committee was unclear about the patient age criteria and the degree to
443 which OME results in hearing loss.

444

445 **ACP-010-10: Acute otitis externa: pain assessment (AMA PCPI)** *Percentage of patient visits*
446 *for those patients aged two years and older with a diagnosis of acute otitis with assessment for*
447 *auricular or periauricular pain.*

448

449 The Steering Committee agreed that this measure does not address a high-impact area. They
450 believed that pain assessment is a standard clinical practice. The measure was weakened further
451 because treatment recommendations have not been linked to pain assessment.

452

453 **ACP-014-10: Otitis media with effusion: diagnostic evaluation—assessment of tympanic**
454 **membrane mobility (AMA PCPI)** *Percentage of patient visits for those patients aged 2 months*
455 *through 12 years with a diagnosis of otitis media effusion with assessment of tympanic*
456 *membrane mobility with pneumatic otoscopy or tympanometry.*

457

458 Steering Committee members noted that the hierarchal approach to diagnostic tools, pneumatic
459 otoscopy followed by acoustic reflectometry, was not sufficiently differentiated. Furthermore,
460 results of diagnostic methods that assess OME sensitivity and specificity were not included in the
461 measure specifications. The shortage of pneumatic otoscopy/audio scopes in many settings was
462 also highlighted as a key barrier to implementing this measure. For these reasons, the Committee
463 did not recommend this measure for endorsement.

464

465 **ACP-020-10: Median time to BMP or electrolyte results (CMS)** *Median time from initial*
466 *basic metabolic panel (BMP) or electrolyte order to time BMP or electrolyte results are reported*
467 *to the emergency department staff.*

468

NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

469 The Steering Committee determined that this measure did not meet the threshold for “importance
470 to measure and report.” The Committee noted that the measure only evaluates the ability of a
471 system to provide lab results and does not address the quality of care that would be associated
472 with timely results. A suggestion for a more meaningful measure is one that is disease-specific
473 and evaluates the number of tests ordered related to that disease.

474

475 **ACP-022-10: Median time to chest x-ray (CMS)** *Median time from initial chest x-ray order to*
476 *time chest x-ray exam is completed.*

477

478 This measure did not meet the threshold for “importance to measure and report.” The Steering
479 Committee concluded that a specified time period rather than a median time for results to be
480 reported was more likely to improve patient care. They also noted that the goal of reducing
481 inefficiencies in EDs throughput is dependent on several extenuating factors. The Committee
482 stated that the metric only evaluates the ability of a system to provide lab results and does not
483 address the quality of care that would be associated with timely results.

484

485 **ACP-024-10: Patients left before being seen (CMS)** *Percentage of emergency department*
486 *patients who left before evaluation by the physician/APN/PA.*

487

488 The Committee agreed that this measure met the “importance to measure and report” criteria and
489 therefore assessed the feasibility of harmonization with the currently endorsed Measure # 0499:
490 Left without being seen. When comparing the two measures, they noted different numerator and
491 denominator populations; specifically, Measure #0499 assesses all patients who present to the
492 ED, while Measure #ACP-024-10 evaluates patients registered in the ED log only. Additionally,
493 Committee members questioned the exclusion of patients younger than 18 years from the
494 proposed measure’s population. The Committee was also informed of the difficulty the steward
495 of Measure #0499 has encountered in capturing relevant and accurate data for that measure.
496 Because this measure has not been tested and does not address any potential concerns that have
497 been raised with the currently endorsed measure, the Committee did not recommend this
498 measure for endorsement.

NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

499

500 **ACP-025-10: Median time to CBC results (CMS)** *Median time from initial complete blood*
501 *count (CBC) to order to time CBC results are reported to emergency department staff.*

502

503 While the Steering Committee recognized the importance of timely CBC results, they
504 determined that this measure did not meet the threshold for “importance to measure and report.”
505 The Committee believed that this measure is not directly linked to a specific disease or condition,
506 therefore making it difficult to demonstrate impact.

507

508 **ACP-029-10: Patient(s) treated with an antibiotic for acute sinusitis that received a first**
509 **line antibiotic (Ingenix, Inc.)** *Patient(s) treated with an antibiotic for acute sinusitis that*
510 *received a first line antibiotic.*

511

512 The Steering Committee noted that this is a clinically important topic; however, all recognized
513 the difficulty in differentiating viral upper respiratory infections from acute bacterial sinusitis in
514 patients with symptoms lasting more than 5 to 10 days. The Committee also discussed the
515 difficulty in capturing accurate data from claims information entirely. The Committee was
516 interested in future research that assesses community cost disparities of treating bacterial
517 sinusitis with first line agents.

518

519 **ACP-030-10: Adult(s) with community-acquired bacterial pneumonia that had a CXR**
520 **(Ingenix, Inc.)** *Patients with community-acquired bacterial pneumonia treated as outpatients*
521 *that had a chest x-ray (CXR).*

522

523 The Steering Committee recognized the importance of the measure; however, they questioned
524 the appropriateness of treating patients with antibiotics for community-acquired bacterial
525 pneumonia (CAP) without confirmation of diagnosis through a chest x-ray (CXR). The
526 Committee also noted the typical lag time in radiologic findings of pneumonia and actual onset
527 of CAP. Additionally, the Committee was concerned that the numerator was not clearly defined
528 and cited a discrepancy between the evidence in support of the measure’s importance, which

NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

529 examined patients 65 years and older, and the targeted age in the measure specifications (18
530 years and older).

531

532 **ACP-042-10: Patient(s) with frequent ER migraine encounters or frequent acute migraine**
533 **medication use that had an office visit in last six reported months (Ingenix, Inc.)** *Patients*
534 *with frequent migraine encounters or frequent migraine abortive medication use that had an*
535 *office visit within the last 6 reported months.*

536

537 Although the Steering Committee noted the importance of evaluating frequent ED migraine
538 encounters and medication use, all were concerned that there are no clinical standards for follow-
539 up. The recommendations presented were based solely on expert panel consensuses and not on
540 evidence-based medicine. Furthermore, the Committee believed that the measure was more
541 appropriate for assessing primary care quality improvement and not necessarily useful for public
542 reporting. The Committee suggested a more effective proactive measure that evaluates care
543 coordination through ED referral to a primary care provider rather than assessing the frequency
544 of ED visits.

545

546 **Additional Recommendations**

547

548 The following areas require further investigation and measure development:

549 **Hypothermia for cardiac arrest survivors**

- 550 • despite the availability of strong evidence, underutilization of hypothermia protocols in
551 outpatient settings, and
- 552 • opportunity to collaborate with The Joint Commission on their sudden death measure
553 development.

554 **Availability of advanced directives**

- 555 • potential resource for the public and practitioners in emergency medicine.

556 **Head CT for children with minor trauma**

- 557 • measure development that evaluates the efficient use of head CT for children, using
558 existing clinical prediction rules, and

NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

- 559 • significant evidence that documents overuse and harm from radiation and other
560 procedures in which sedation is utilized.

561 **Presence of pharmacists in the emergency department to help ensure safety and quality.**

562 **Pharmacist presence can:**

- 563 • lead to a review of medication, reducing adverse drug events,
564 • expedite drug therapy leading to a reduction in emergency department costs, and
565 • provide screening, such as smoking cessation therapy, and immunizations leading to a
566 quality improvement in patient care

567

NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

568 NOTES

- 569 1. Schappert SM, Rechtsteiner EA, *Ambulatory Medical Care Utilization Estimates for*
570 *2006. National Health Statistics Reports; no. 8*, Hyattsville, MD: National Center for
571 Health Statistics; 2008. Available at: www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nhsr/nhsr008.pdf. Last
572 accessed May 2010.
- 573 2. Pitts SR, Niska RW, Xu J, et al., *National Hospital Ambulatory Medical Care Survey:*
574 *2006 Emergency Department Summary. National Health Statistics Reports; no. 7*,
575 Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics; 2008. Available at:
576 <http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nhsr/nhsr007.pdf>. Last accessed May 2010.
- 577 3. National Quality Forum (NQF), *National Priorities Partnership*, Washington, DC: NQF.
578 Available at www.nationalprioritiespartnership.org. Last accessed May 2010.
- 579 4. www.qualityforum.org/Projects/Ambulatory_Care_2010.aspx. Last accessed May 2010.
- 580 5. NQF. Measure Evaluation Criteria. Washington, DC: NQF; 2008. Available at
581 www.qualityforum.org/docs/measure_evaluation_criteria.aspx. Last accessed May 2010.
- 582 6. Harmonization refers to the standardization of specifications for similar measures on the
583 same topic (e.g., *influenza immunization* of patients in hospitals or nursing homes), or
584 related measures for the same target population (e.g., eye exam and HbA1c for *patients*
585 *with diabetes*), or definitions applicable to many measures (e.g., age designation for
586 children) so that they are uniform or compatible, unless differences are dictated by the
587 evidence. The dimensions of harmonization can include numerator, denominator,
588 exclusions, and data source and collection instructions. The extent of harmonization
589 depends on the relationship of the measures, the evidence for the specific measure focus,
590 and differences in data sources.
- 591 7. National Guideline Clearinghouse (NGC), *ACC/AHA 2007 Guidelines for the*
592 *Management of Patients with Unstable Angina/Non ST-elevation Myocardial Infarction*.
593 Available at www.guideline.gov/summary/summary.aspx?doc_id=11333. Last accessed
594 May 2010.
- 595 8. National Guideline Clearinghouse (NGC), *Clinical practice guideline: acute otitis*
596 *externa*. Available at

NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

- 597 www.guideline.gov/summary/summary.aspx?ss=15&doc_id=9310#s21. Last accessed
598 May 2010.
- 599 9. Information regarding NQF's time-limited endorsement policy and the 2010 addendum is
600 available at
601 www.qualityforum.org/News_And_Resources/Press_Releases/2010/NQF_Updates_Policy_on_Time-Limited_Endorsement.aspx. Last accessed May 2010.
602
- 603 10. Paired or grouped measures refer to two or more measures grouped together for the
604 purpose of public reporting. The measures maintain separate scores.
- 605 11. National Guideline Clearinghouse (NGC), *Practice Parameter for Detection of*
606 *Colorectal Neoplasms: An Interim Report (Revised)*. Available at
607 www.guideline.gov/summary/summary.aspx?doc_id=10785&nbr=005613&string=colonoscopy+AND+screening. Last accessed May 2010.
608
- 609 12. Levin B, Lieberman DA, McFarland B, et al., Screening and surveillance for the early
610 detection of colorectal cancer and adenomatous polyps, 2008: a joint guideline from the
611 American Cancer Society, the U.S. Multi-Society Task Force on Colorectal Cancer, and
612 the American College of Radiology, *Gastroenterology* 2008; 58. Available at
613 [www.gastrojournal.org/article/S0016-5085\(08\)00232-1/fulltext](http://www.gastrojournal.org/article/S0016-5085(08)00232-1/fulltext). Last accessed May 2010.
614
- 615 13. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ), *Disparities/Minority Health.*
616 *Blacks, Hispanics and Other Minority Groups are less Likely To Get Strong Pain*
Medications in Hospital Emergency Departments, Rockville, MD: AHRQ; 2008.
617 Available at www.ahrq.gov/research/feb08/0208RA4.htm. Last accessed May 2010.

**NATIONAL VOLUNTARY CONSENSUS STANDARDS FOR AMBULATORY CARE –
OUTPATIENT MEASURES 2010: A CONSENSUS REPORT
APPENDIX A: MEASURE SPECIFICATIONS**

The following table presents the detailed specifications for the National Quality Forum (NQF)-endorsed® *National Voluntary Consensus Standards for Ambulatory Care—Outpatient Measures 2010*. All information presented has been derived directly from measure sources/developers without modifications or alteration (except when the measure developer agreed to such modifications during the NQF Consensus Development Process) and is current as of April 30, 2010. All NQF-endorsed voluntary consensus standards are open source, meaning they are fully accessible and disclosed. Measures were developed by the American College of Emergency Physicians, American Medical Association, Ingenix, Inc., and Center for Medicare & Medicaid Services.

| Measure Numbers | Measure Title | Measure Steward | Measure Description | Numerator | Denominator | Exclusions | Data Source | Level of Analysis |
|-----------------|--|-----------------|---|--|--|--|---|---|
| ACP-035-10 | Patient(s) with an emergency medicine visit for syncope that had an ECG. | Ingenix, Inc. | This measure identifies patients with an emergency medicine visit for syncope that had an ECG done as part of their evaluation. | Patients who have an emergency medicine visit for syncope, who had an electrocardiogram (ECG) during the event | Patients 60 years of age or older who have an emergency medicine encounter with a diagnosis of syncope | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Exclude emergency medicine events which included hospitalizations 2. Exclude emergency medicine events without a preceding clear window 3. Exclude emergency medicine events where the member was less than 60 years of age on the episode end date | Lab data, Electronic administrative data/claims | Clinicians: Individual, Clinicians: Group, Population: states, Population: counties or cities, Program: Disease management, Program: QIO, Facility/Agency, Health Plan, Integrated delivery system, Multi-site/corporate chain, Can be measured at all levels |

This draft document was prepared by the National Quality Forum (www.qualityforum.org). It may not be disseminated or reproduced without written permission from NQF.

**NATIONAL VOLUNTARY CONSENSUS STANDARDS FOR AMBULATORY CARE –
 OUTPATIENT MEASURES 2010: A CONSENSUS REPORT
 APPENDIX A: MEASURE SPECIFICATIONS**

| Measure Numbers | Measure Title | Measure Steward | Measure Description | Numerator | Denominator | Exclusions | Data Source | Level of Analysis |
|------------------------|---|------------------------|--|---|---|---|---|--|
| ACP-036-10 | Patient(s) with an emergency medicine visit for non-traumatic chest pain that had an ECG. | Ingenix, Inc. | This measure identifies patients with an emergency medicine visit for non-traumatic chest pain that had an ECG done as part of their evaluation. | Patients who have an emergency medicine visit for non-traumatic chest pain, who had an electrocardiogram (ECG) during the event | Patients 40 years of age or older who have an emergency medicine encounter with a diagnosis of chest pain | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Exclude emergency medicine events that included hospitalizations 2. Exclude emergency medicine events without a preceding clear window 3. Exclude emergency medicine events where the member was less than 40 years of age on the episode end date | Electronic administrative data/claims, lab data | Clinicians: Individual, Clinicians: Group, Facility/Agency, Health Plan, Integrated delivery system, Multi-site/corporate chain, Program: Disease management, Program: QIO, Can be measured at all levels, Population: states, Population: counties or cities |

This draft document was prepared by the National Quality Forum (www.qualityforum.org). It may not be disseminated or reproduced without written permission from NQF.

**NATIONAL VOLUNTARY CONSENSUS STANDARDS FOR AMBULATORY CARE –
 OUTPATIENT MEASURES 2010: A CONSENSUS REPORT
 APPENDIX A: MEASURE SPECIFICATIONS**

| Measure Numbers | Measure Title | Measure Steward | Measure Description | Numerator | Denominator | Exclusions | Data Source | Level of Analysis |
|-----------------|--|-----------------|---|---|--|---|--|-------------------|
| ACP-032-10 | Patient(s) two years of age and older with acute otitis externa who were NOT prescribed systemic antimicrobial therapy | Ingenix, Inc. | This measure identifies patients two years of age and older with acute otitis externa who were or were not prescribed systemic antimicrobial therapy. | Patients who have a diagnosis of acute otitis externa who were NOT prescribed systemic antimicrobial therapy during the otitis externa event—the day of the initiating otitis externa encounter through two days after that encounter | Patients who are two years of age or older at the end of the report period who have an outpatient encounter with a diagnosis of acute otitis externa The following time period will be used to find eligible acute otitis externa encounters: 60 days after the start of the 12-month report period through 10 days prior to the end of the 12-month report period. | 1. Exclude acute otitis externa events without a preceding disease free clear window 2. Exclude acute otitis externa events with hospitalizations or outpatient surgeries during the event 3. Exclude acute otitis externa events with relevant co-morbid infections 4. Exclude patients with recent organ transplants or recent chronic otitis externa 5. Exclude additional complex patients with any of the following diseases: AIDS, HIV sero-positive without AIDS, immunodeficiencies, diabetes mellitus, cystic fibrosis, leukemia, malignant neoplasm of the head and neck, or congenital and acquired anomalies of ear/ nose/ throat 6. Exclude patients who have had recent cochlear implant procedures 7. Exclude patients who did not have at least two face-to-face office visits with any diagnosis during the 12 months prior to the end of the report period. | paper medical record/ flowsheet, electronic administrative data/ claim | |

This draft document was prepared by the National Quality Forum (www.qualityforum.org). It may not be disseminated or reproduced without written permission from NQF.

**NATIONAL VOLUNTARY CONSENSUS STANDARDS FOR AMBULATORY CARE –
 OUTPATIENT MEASURES 2010: A CONSENSUS REPORT
 APPENDIX A: MEASURE SPECIFICATIONS**

| Measure Numbers | Measure Title | Measure Steward | Measure Description | Numerator | Denominator | Exclusions | Data Source | Level of Analysis |
|-----------------|---|---|--|---|--|---|---|---|
| ACP-009-10 | Acute otitis externa: topical therapy | American Medical Association-Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement | Percentage of patients aged two years and older with a diagnosis of acute otitis externa (AOE) who were prescribed topical preparations. | Patients who were prescribed topical preparations. | All patients aged two years and older with a diagnosis of AOE. | Documentation of medical reason(s) for not prescribing topical preparations (e.g., coexisting acute otitis media, tympanic membrane perforation) Documentation of patient reason(s) for not prescribing topical preparations (e.g., patient refusal) | Electronic administrative data/claims, electronic Health/Medical Record, paper medical record/flowsheet, special or unique data | Clinicians: Individual, Clinicians: Group |
| ACP-011-10 | Acute otitis externa: Systemic antimicrobial therapy—avoidance of inappropriate use | American Medical Association-Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement | Percentage of patients aged two years and older with a diagnosis of acute otitis externa (AOE) who were not prescribed systemic antimicrobial therapy. | Patients who were not prescribed systemic antimicrobial therapy once within the denominator time window | All patients aged two years and older with a diagnosis of AOE Each episode* of AOE within a 12 month period. *An episode of AOE is defined as a 30-day period from onset of acute otitis externa (as indicated by the first occurrence of qualifying diagnosis and CPT codes). | Documentation of medical reason(s) for prescribing systemic antimicrobial therapy (e.g., coexisting diabetes, immune deficiency) | Electronic administrative data/ claims, Survey: Patient, lab data, pharmacy data | Clinicians: Individual, Clinicians: Group |

**NATIONAL VOLUNTARY CONSENSUS STANDARDS FOR AMBULATORY CARE –
OUTPATIENT MEASURES 2010: A CONSENSUS REPORT
APPENDIX A: MEASURE SPECIFICATIONS**

| Measure Numbers | Measure Title | Measure Steward | Measure Description | Numerator | Denominator | Exclusions | Data Source | Level of Analysis |
|-----------------|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ACP-012-10 | Otitis media with effusion: antihistamines or decongestants—avoidance of inappropriate use | American Medical Association-Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement | Percentage of patients aged 2 months through 12 years with a diagnosis of otitis media with effusion (OME) who were not prescribed or recommended to receive either antihistamines or decongestants | Patients who were not prescribed or recommended to receive either antihistamines or decongestants | All patients aged 2 months through 12 years with a diagnosis of OME | Documentation of medical reason(s) for prescribing or recommending to receive either antihistamines or decongestants (e.g., patient has a coexisting condition like rhinitis for which antihistamines or decongestants are indicated) | Electronic administrative data/claims, electronic Health/Medical Record, paper medical record/flowsheet, special or unique data | Clinicians: Individual, Clinicians: Group |
| ACP-013-10 | Otitis media with effusion: systemic corticosteroids—avoidance of inappropriate use | American Medical Association-Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement | Percentage of patients aged 2 months through 12 years with a diagnosis of otitis media with effusion (OME) who were not prescribed systemic corticosteroids | Patients who were not prescribed systemic corticosteroids | All patients aged 2 months through 12 years with a diagnosis of OME | Documentation of medical reason(s) for prescribing systemic corticosteroids (e.g., patient has a coexisting condition like rhinitis for which systemic corticosteroids are indicated) | Electronic administrative data/claims, electronic Health/Medical Record, paper medical record/flowsheet, special or unique data | Clinicians: Individual, Clinicians: Group |
| ACP-015-10 | Otitis media with effusion: systemic antimicrobials—avoidance of inappropriate use | American Medical Association-Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement | Percentage of patients aged 2 months through 12 years with a diagnosis of OME who were not prescribed systemic antimicrobials | Patients who were not prescribed systemic antimicrobials | All patients aged 2 months through 12 years with a diagnosis of OME | Documentation of medical reason(s) for prescribing systemic antimicrobials (e.g., salvage therapy prior to surgery) | Electronic administrative data/claims, electronic Health/Medical Record, paper medical record/flowsheet, special or unique data | Clinicians: Individual, Clinicians: Group |

**NATIONAL VOLUNTARY CONSENSUS STANDARDS FOR AMBULATORY CARE –
 OUTPATIENT MEASURES 2010: A CONSENSUS REPORT
 APPENDIX A: MEASURE SPECIFICATIONS**

| Measure Numbers | Measure Title | Measure Steward | Measure Description | Numerator | Denominator | Exclusions | Data Source | Level of Analysis |
|-----------------|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| ACP-002-10 | Ultrasound determination of pregnancy location for pregnant patients with abdominal pain | American College of Emergency Physicians | Percentage of pregnant patients who present to the emergency department (ED) with a chief complaint of abdominal pain and or vaginal bleeding who receive a trans-abdominal or trans-vaginal ultrasound | Number of appropriate patients who receive a trans-abdominal or trans-vaginal ultrasound | All pregnant patients who present to the ED with a chief complaint of lower abdominal pain, and or vaginal bleeding | 1. Women for whom location of pregnancy is already documented or reported as intra-uterine 2. Patient refusal 3. Ultrasound is not feasible (facility reason) 4. <u>Ultrasound machine not available</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>ED does not have access to ultrasound</u> 5. <u>Licensed independent provider not credentialed in ultrasound</u> | Paper medical record/ flowsheet, Electronic administrative data/claims, Electronic clinical data, electronic Health/Medical Record | Clinicians: Individual, Clinicians: Group, Can be measured at all levels |

**NATIONAL VOLUNTARY CONSENSUS STANDARDS FOR AMBULATORY CARE –
 OUTPATIENT MEASURES 2010: A CONSENSUS REPORT
 APPENDIX A: MEASURE SPECIFICATIONS**

| Measure Numbers | Measure Title | Measure Steward | Measure Description | Numerator | Denominator | Exclusions | Data Source | Level of Analysis |
|-----------------|---|--|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| ACP-003-10 | Rhogam for Rh negative pregnant women at risk of fetal blood exposure | American College of Emergency Physicians | Percent of Rh negative pregnant women at risk of fetal blood exposure who receive Rhogam the emergency department (ED). | Number of appropriate patients who receive Rhogam in the ED. | All <u>women, confirmed pregnant who are</u> Rh negative pregnant women at significant risk of fetal blood exposure, including: 1. those diagnosed with an ectopic pregnancy 2. those in the second or third trimester: a. with a threatened abortion (threatened, partial, complete, or spontaneous) b. those who report or are found to have significant vaginal bleeding (not just spotting) c. those who have sustained blunt abdominal trauma 3. those who undergo an invasive obstetric procedure in the ED (genetic amniocentesis; chorion villus sampling; fetal blood sampling, D&C). | 1. Patient refusal 2. Patients who have received appropriate Rh immunoglobulin previously 3. OB/GYN consultation documenting no Rh immunoglobulin <u>not recommended</u> | Paper medical record/ flowsheet, Electronic administrative data/claims, electronic Health/Medical Record, Electronic clinical data | Clinicians: Individual, Clinicians: Group, Can be measured at all levels |

**NATIONAL VOLUNTARY CONSENSUS STANDARDS FOR AMBULATORY CARE –
 OUTPATIENT MEASURES 2010: A CONSENSUS REPORT
 APPENDIX A: MEASURE SPECIFICATIONS**

| Measure Numbers | Measure Title | Measure Steward | Measure Description | Numerator | Denominator | Exclusions | Data Source | Level of Analysis |
|-----------------|---|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| ACP-016-10 | Endoscopy/ polyp surveillance: appropriate follow-up interval for normal colonoscopy in average risk patients | American Medical Association- Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement | Percentage of patients aged 50 years and older receiving a screening colonoscopy without biopsy or polypectomy who had a recommended follow-up interval of at least 10 years for repeat colonoscopy documented in their colonoscopy report. | Patients who had a recommended follow-up interval of at least 10 years for repeat colonoscopy documented in their colonoscopy report | All patients aged 50 years and older receiving screening colonoscopy without biopsy or polypectomy | Documentation of medical reason(s) for not recommending at least a 10 year follow-up interval (e.g., above average risk patient, inadequate prep) | Electronic administrative data/claims, Electronic clinical data, electronic Health/Medical Record, paper medical record/ flowsheet, special or unique data | Clinicians: Individual, Clinicians: Group |
| ACP-017-10 | Endoscopy/ polyp surveillance: colonoscopy interval for patients with a history of adenomatous polyps— avoidance of inappropriate use | American Medical Association- Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement | Percentage of patients aged 18 years and older receiving a surveillance colonoscopy, with a history of a prior colonic polyp in previous colonoscopy findings who had a follow-up interval of 3 or more years since their last colonoscopy documented in the colonoscopy report | Patients who had an interval of 3 or more years since their last colonoscopy | All patients aged 18 years and older receiving a surveillance colonoscopy with a history of a prior colonic polyp in a previous colonoscopy | Documentations of medical reason(s) for an interval of less than 3 years since the last colonoscopy (e.g., last colonoscopy incomplete, last colonoscopy had inadequate prep, piecemeal removal of adenomas, or last colonoscopy found greater than 10 adenomas) OR Documentation of a system reason(s) for an interval of less than 3 years since the last colonoscopy (e.g., unable to locate previous colonoscopy report, previous colonoscopy report was incomplete) | Electronic administrative data/claims, paper medical record/ flowsheet, electronic Health/Medical Record, special or unique data | Clinicians: Individual, Clinicians: Group |

This draft document was prepared by the National Quality Forum (www.qualityforum.org). It may not be disseminated or reproduced without written permission from NQF.

**NATIONAL VOLUNTARY CONSENSUS STANDARDS FOR AMBULATORY CARE –
 OUTPATIENT MEASURES 2010: A CONSENSUS REPORT
 APPENDIX A: MEASURE SPECIFICATIONS**

| Measure Numbers | Measure Title | Measure Steward | Measure Description | Numerator | Denominator | Exclusions | Data Source | Level of Analysis |
|-----------------|---|---|--|---|--|------------|--|--|
| ACP-018-10 | Endoscopy/ polyp surveillance: comprehensive colonoscopy documentation | American Medical Association- Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement | Percentage of final colonoscopy reports for patients aged 18 years and older that include documentation of all of the following: pre-procedure risk assessment; depth of insertion; quality of the bowel prep; complete description of polyp(s) found, including location of each polyp, size, number, and gross morphology; and recommendations for follow-up | Final reports that include documentation of ALL of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pre-procedure risk assessment (e.g., ASA class, Mallampati score) • Depth of insertion (i.e., to cecum or other landmark) • Quality of the bowel prep (i.e., prep was either adequate or inadequate) • Complete description of polyp(s) found, including location of each polyp, size, number, and gross morphology • Recommendations for follow-up | All final colonoscopy reports for patients aged 18 years and older | None | Paper medical record/ flowsheet, Electronic administrative data/claims, electronic Health/Medical Record, special or unique data | Clinicians: Individual, Clinicians: Group |

**NATIONAL VOLUNTARY CONSENSUS STANDARDS FOR AMBULATORY CARE –
 OUTPATIENT MEASURES 2010: A CONSENSUS REPORT
 APPENDIX A: MEASURE SPECIFICATIONS**

| Measure Numbers | Measure Title | Measure Steward | Measure Description | Numerator | Denominator | Exclusions | Data Source | Level of Analysis |
|--|---|---|---|--|---|--|---|-------------------|
| ACP-019-10 * <u>Coding changes: Addition of inpatient and outpatient populations have been added to the measure submission form</u> | Troponin results for emergency department acute myocardial infarction (AMI) patients or chest pain patients (with probable cardiac chest pain) received within 60 minutes of arrival. | Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services | Emergency department (ED) acute myocardial infarction (AMI) patients or chest pain patients (with probable cardiac chest pain) with an order for Troponin during the stay and having a time from ED arrival to completion of Troponin results within 60 minutes of arrival | Emergency department acute myocardial infarction (AMI) patients or chest pain patients (with probable cardiac chest pain) with an order for Troponin whose time from ED arrival to completion of Troponin results is within 60 minutes of arrival. | Emergency department acute myocardial infarction (AMI) patients or chest pain patients (with probable cardiac chest pain) patients with an order for Troponin. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Patients less than 18 years of age • Patients who expired in the emergency department • Patients who left the emergency department against medical advice or discontinued care | Electronic administrative data/claims, paper medical record/flowsheet, electronic Health/Medical Record, Electronic clinical data, lab data | Facility/Agency |
| ACP-021-10 | Head CT <u>or MRI</u> scan results for acute ischemic stroke or hemorrhagic stroke who received head CT <u>or MRI</u> scan interpretation within 45 minutes of arrival. | Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services | Emergency department (ED) acute ischemic stroke or hemorrhagic stroke patients who arrive at the ED within 2 hours of the onset of symptoms who have a head CT <u>or MRI</u> scan performed during the stay and having a time from ED arrival to interpretation of the Head CT <u>or MRI</u> scan within 45 minutes of arrival. | Emergency department acute ischemic stroke or hemorrhagic stroke patients arriving at the ED within two hours of the time last known well, with an order for a head CT <u>or MRI</u> scan whose time from ED arrival to interpretation of the head CT <u>or MRI</u> scan is within 45 minutes of arrival | Emergency department acute ischemic stroke or hemorrhagic stroke patients arriving at the ED within two hours of the time last known well with an order for a head CT <u>or MRI</u> scan. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Patients less than 18 years of age • Patients who expired in the emergency department • Patients who left the emergency department against medical advice or discontinued care | Electronic administrative data/claims, Electronic clinical data, electronic Health/Medical Record, paper medical record/flowsheet, lab data | Facility/Agency |

**NATIONAL VOLUNTARY CONSENSUS STANDARDS FOR AMBULATORY CARE –
 OUTPATIENT MEASURES 2010: A CONSENSUS REPORT
 APPENDIX A: MEASURE SPECIFICATIONS**

| Measure Numbers | Measure Title | Measure Steward | Measure Description | Numerator | Denominator | Exclusions | Data Source | Level of Analysis |
|------------------------|---|---|--|--|---|--|---|--------------------------|
| ACP-023-10 | Median time to pain management for long bone fracture | Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services | Median time from emergency department arrival to time of initial oral or parenteral pain medication administration for emergency department patients with a principal diagnosis of long bone fracture (LBF). | Continuous variable statement: time (in minutes) from emergency department arrival to time of initial parenteral pain medication administration, or other regional/ local anesthesia pain management for emergency department patients with a diagnosis of a (long bone) fracture. | Emergency department patients with a principal diagnosis of long bone fracture (LBF). | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Patients less than 2 years of age •Patients who expired in the emergency department •Patients who left the emergency department against medical advice or discontinued care | paper medical record/ flowsheet, Electronic administrative data/claims, pharmacy data, Electronic clinical data, electronic Health/Medical Record | Facility/ Agency |

This draft document was prepared by the National Quality Forum (www.qualityforum.org). It may not be disseminated or reproduced without written permission from NQF.

**NATIONAL VOLUNTARY CONSENSUS STANDARDS FOR AMBULATORY CARE –
 OUTPATIENT MEASURES 2010: A CONSENSUS REPORT
 APPENDIX A: MEASURE SPECIFICATIONS**

| Measure Numbers | Measure Title | Measure Steward | Measure Description | Numerator | Denominator | Exclusions | Data Source | Level of Analysis |
|-----------------|--|--|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| ACP-043-10 | Ultrasound guidance for internal jugular central venous catheter placement | American College of Emergency Physicians | Percent of adult patients aged 18 years and older with an internal jugular central venous catheter placed in the emergency department (ED) under ultrasound guidance. | Number of adult patients aged 18 years and older who underwent ultrasound guided internal jugular central venous catheter insertion in the emergency department (ED). | Number of adult patients aged 18 years and older who underwent internal jugular central venous catheter insertion in the emergency department (ED). | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Patients receiving central lines in other sites (subclavian, femora) 2. Patients with allergy to ultrasound (US) gel 3. Central line placed in code situation (clinician documents that there was not time to perform ultrasound guidance) 4. US machine with high frequency linear probe not available <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not at bedside due to time constraint • ED does not have access to ultrasound 5. Clinicians not credentialed in ultrasound guided central venous cannulation, or not credentialed in ultrasound guided procedures. | Paper medical record/ flowsheet, Electronic administrative data/claims, Electronic clinical data, electronic Health/Medical Record | Clinicians: Individual, Clinicians: Group, Can be measured at all levels |

This draft document was prepared by the National Quality Forum (www.qualityforum.org). It may not be disseminated or reproduced without written permission from NQF.



**NATIONAL VOLUNTARY CONSENSUS STANDARDS FOR AMBULATORY CARE—
ADDITIONAL OUTPATIENT MEASURES 2010: A CONSENSUS REPORT**

Appendix B—Main Steering Committee

John Moorhead, MD (Co-Chair)

Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, OR

Suzanne Stone-Griffith, RN, CNAA, MSN (Co-Chair)

Hospital Corporation of America, Nashville, TN

James Adams, MD

Northwestern Memorial HealthCare, Chicago, IL

Evaline A. Alessandrini, MD, MSCE

Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, Cincinnati, OH

Tanya Alteras, MPP

National Partnership for Women & Families, Washington, DC

Juan Carhuapoma, MD

Johns Hopkins Health System, Baltimore, MD

Ara Chalian, MD

University of Pennsylvania Health System, Philadelphia, PA

Victor Cohen, BS, PharmD, BCPS, CG

Maimonides Medical Center, Brooklyn, NY

Beverly Collins, MD, MS, MBA

CareFirst BlueCross BlueShield, Baltimore, MD

Jeffery Collins, MD, MA

Massachusetts General Hospital, Chelsea, MA

Andrew C. Eisenberg, MD, MHA

American Academy of Family Physicians, Sarasota, FL

Edward Jauch, MD, MS

Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston, SC

Leigh Ann McCartney, RN, MBA

University Hospitals of Cleveland, Cleveland, OH

Nathan Newman, MD

Solantic, LLC, Jacksonville, FL

Robert O'Connor, MD, MPH

University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA

Catherine Roberts, MD

Mayo Clinic, Phoenix, AZ

John Saltzman, MD

Partners Healthcare System, Inc., Boston, MA

NQF Staff

Helen Burstin, MD, MPH

Senior Vice President

Heidi Bossley, MSN, MBA

Senior Director

Del Conyers, MPH

Assistant Managing Director

Elisa Munthali, MPH

Project Manager

Jessica Weber, MPH

Research Analyst

APPENDIX C: NQF-ENDORSED® MEASURES as of APRIL 2010

Measures Previously Endorsed as part of the National Voluntary Consensus Standards for Ambulatory Care: Specialty Clinician Performance Measures Project

| TITLE | DESCRIPTION | IP Owner¹ |
|--|--|---|
| NQF #0090 Electrocardiogram Performed for Non-Traumatic Chest Pain** | Percentage of patients aged 40 years and older with an emergency department discharge diagnosis of non-traumatic chest pain who had an electrocardiogram (ECG) performed. | ACEP ² / AM PCPI ³ / NCQA ⁴ |
| NQF #0092 Aspirin at Arrival of AMI** | Percentage of patients with an emergency department discharge diagnosis of AMI who had documentation of receiving aspirin within 24 hours before emergency department arrival or during emergency department stay. | ACEP/ AMA PCPI/ NCQA |
| NQF #0093 Electrocardiogram Performed for Syncope** | Percentage of patients aged 18 years and older with an emergency department discharge diagnosis of syncope who had an ECG performed. | ACEP/ AMA PCPI/ NCQA |
| NQF #0094 Assessment of Oxygen Saturation for Community-Acquired Bacterial Pneumonia** | Percentage of patients aged 18 years and older with the diagnosis of community-acquired bacterial pneumonia with oxygen saturation assessed. | ACEP/ AMA PCPI/ NCQA |
| NQF #0095 Assessment Mental Status for Community-Acquired Bacterial Pneumonia** | Percentage of patients aged 18 years and older with the diagnosis of community-acquired bacterial pneumonia with mental status assessed. | ACEP/ AMA PCPI/ NCQA |
| NQF #0096 Empiric Antibiotic for Community-Acquired Bacterial Pneumonia** | Percentage of patients aged 18 years and older with the diagnosis of community-acquired bacterial pneumonia with an appropriate empiric antibiotic prescribed. | ACEP/ AMA PCPI/ NCQA |

** Time-limited endorsement through May 8, 2009.

Measures Previously Endorsed as part of the National Voluntary Consensus Standards for Emergency Care – Phase I: ED Transfer Measures Project

| TITLE | DESCRIPTION | IP OWNER |
|--|--|--------------------------|
| <p>NQF #0286</p> <p>Asprin at Arrival</p> | <p>Percentage of ED AMI or Chest Pain (with <i>Probable Cardiac Chest Pain</i>) adult (≥ 18 years old) patients without aspirin contraindications who received aspirin received within 24 hours before emergency department arrival or administered prior to transfer.</p> | <p>CMS⁵</p> |
| <p>NQF #0287</p> <p>Median to Fibrinolysis</p> | <p>Median time (in minutes) from emergency department arrival to administration of fibrinolytic therapy in AMI adult (≥ 18 years old) patients with ST-segment elevation or LBBB on the ECG performed closest to ED arrival and prior to transfer.</p> | <p>CMS</p> |
| <p>NQF #0288</p> <p>Fibrinolytic Therapy Received Within 30 minutes of ED Arrival</p> | <p>Percentage of ED AMI adult (≥ 18 years old) patients with ST-segment elevation or LBBB on ECG whose time from ED arrival to fibrinolysis is 30 minutes or less.</p> | <p>CMS</p> |
| <p>NQF #0289</p> <p>Median to ECG</p> | <p>Median time (in minutes) from emergency department arrival to ECG (performed in the ED prior to transfer) for AMI or Chest Pain patients (with <i>Probable Cardiac Chest Pain</i>).</p> | <p>CMS</p> |
| <p>NQF #0290</p> <p>Median Time to Transfer to Another Facility for Acute Coronary Intervention</p> | <p>Median time (in minutes) from emergency department arrival to transfer to another facility for acute coronary intervention.</p> | <p>CMS</p> |
| <p>NQF #0291</p> <p>Administrative Communication</p> | <p>Percentage of patients transferred to another acute care hospital whose medical record documentation indicated that administrative information was communicated to the receiving hospital within 60 minutes of departure.</p> | <p>UMRHC⁶</p> |
| <p>NQF #0292</p> <p>Vital Signs</p> | <p>Percentage of patients transferred to another acute care hospital whose medical record documentation indicated that the entire vital signs record was communicated to the receiving hospital within 60 minutes of departure.</p> | <p>UMRHC</p> |
| <p>NQF #0293</p> <p>Medication Information</p> | <p>Percentage of patients transferred to another acute care hospital whose medical record documentation indicated that medical information was communicated to the receiving hospital within 60 minutes of departure.</p> | <p>UMRHC</p> |
| <p>NQF #0294</p> <p>Patient Information</p> | <p>Percentage of patients transferred to another acute care hospital whose medical record documentation indicated that patient information was communicated to the receiving hospital within 60 minutes of departure.</p> | <p>UMRHC</p> |
| <p>NQF #0295</p> <p>Physician Information</p> | <p>Percentage of patients transferred to another acute care hospital whose medical record documentation indicated that physician information was communicated to the receiving hospital within 60 minutes of departure.</p> | <p>UMRHC</p> |
| <p>NQF #0296</p> <p>Nursing Information</p> | <p>Percentage of patients transferred to another acute care hospital whose medical record documentation indicated that nursing information was communicated to the receiving hospital within 60 minutes of departure.</p> | <p>UMRHC</p> |

| | | |
|--|---|-------|
| NQF #0297 Procedures and Tests | Percentage of patients transferred to another acute care hospital whose medical record documentation indicated that procedure and test information was communicated to the receiving hospital within 60 minutes of departure. | UMRHC |
|--|---|-------|

**Measures Previously Endorsed as part of the National Voluntary Consensus Standards for
Hospital Care –
Phase II: Hospital ED Measures Project**

| TITLE | DESCRIPTION | IP OWNER |
|---|--|--|
| NQF #0495 Median Time from ED Arrival to ED Departure for Admitted ED Patients*** | Median time from emergency department arrival to time to department from the emergency room for patients admitted to the facility from the emergency department. | CMS |
| NQF #0496 Median Time from ED Arrival to ED Departure for Discharged ED Patients*** | Median time from emergency department arrival to time of department from emergency room for patients discharged from the emergency department. | CMS |
| NQF #0497 Admit Decision Time to ED Departure Time for Admitted Patients*** | Median time from admit decision time to time of departure from the emergency department from emergency department patients admitted to inpatient status. | CMS |
| NQF #0498 Door to Diagnostic Evaluation by a Qualified Medical Personnel*** | Time of first contact in the ED to the time when the patient sees the physician (provider) for the first time. | Louisiana State University Health Care Services Division |
| NQF #0499 Left Without Being Seen*** | Percent of patients leaving without being seen by a physician. | Louisiana State University Health Care Services Division |
| NQF #0500 Severe Sepsis and Septic Shock: Management Bundle*** | Initial Steps in the management of the patient presenting with infection (severe sepsis or septic shock). | Henry Ford Hospital |
| NQF #0501 Confirmation of Endotracheal Tube Placement*** | Any time an endotracheal tube is placed into an airway in the Emergency Department or an endotracheal tube is placed by an outside provider and that patient arrives already intubated (EMS or hospital transfer) or when an airway is placed after patients arrives to the ED there should be some method attempted to confirm ETT placement. | Cleveland Clinic |
| NQF #0502 Pregnancy test for female abdominal pain patients*** | Pregnancy test for female abdominal pain patients. | ACEP |
| NQF #0503 Anticoagulation for acute pulmonary embolus patients*** | Anticoagulation for acute pulmonary embolus patients. | ACEP |
| NQF #0504 Pediatric Weight Documented in Kilograms | Percent of emergency department patients < 18 years of age with a current weight in kilograms documented in the ED record | AAP ⁷ |

*** Time-limited endorsement through October 24, 2010.

Measures Previously Endorsed as part of the National Voluntary Consensus Standards for Hospital Care: Initial Performance Set Project 2003

| TITLE | DESCRIPTION | IP Owner |
|--|---|-----------------------------|
| <p>NQF #0148</p> <p>Blood cultures performed in the emergency department prior to initial antibiotic received in hospital</p> | <p>Percentage of pneumonia patients 18 years of age and older who have had blood cultures performed in the emergency department prior to initial antibiotic received in hospital</p> | <p>CMS/ TJC⁸</p> |
| <p>NQF #0527</p> <p>Prophylactic antibiotic received within 1 hour prior to surgical incision SCIP-Inf-1</p> | <p>Surgical patients with prophylactic antibiotics initiated within one hour prior to surgical incision. Patients who received vancomycin or a fluoroquinolone for prophylactic antibiotics should have the antibiotics initiated within two hours prior to surgical incision. Due to the longer infusion time required for vancomycin or a fluoroquinolone, it is acceptable to start these antibiotics within two hours prior to incision time.</p> | <p>ACS; NCQA; PCPI</p> |

Measures Previously Endorsed as part of the National Voluntary Consensus Standards for Hospital Care: Clinician Performance Measures Project

| TITLE | DESCRIPTION | IP Owner |
|--|--|-----------------|
| NQF #0232 Vital Signs for Community-Acquired Bacterial Pneumonia**** | Percentage of patients aged 18 years and older with a diagnosis of community-acquired bacterial pneumonia with vital signs (temperature, pulse, respiratory rate, and blood pressure) documented and reviewed. | AMA/ PCPI |
| NQF #0233 Assessment of Oxygen Saturation for Community Acquired Bacterial Pneumonia**** | Percentage of patients aged 18 years and older with the diagnosis of community-acquired bacterial pneumonia with oxygen saturation documented and reviewed | AMA/ PCPI |
| NQF #0234 Assessment of Mental Status for Community Acquired Bacterial Pneumonia**** | Percentage of patients aged 18 years and older with the diagnosis of community-acquired bacterial pneumonia with mental status assessed | AMA/ PCPI |
| NQF #0325 Discharged on Antiplatelet Therapy**** | Percentage of patients aged 18 years and older with the diagnosis of ischemic stroke or transient ischemic attack (TIA) who were prescribed antiplatelet therapy at discharge | AMA/ PCPI |

*** Time-limited endorsement through May 1, 2009.

**Measures Previously Endorsed as part of the National Voluntary Consensus Standards for
Ambulatory Care:
Phase 2 – An Initial Physician-Focused Performance Measure Set Project**

| TITLE | DESCRIPTION | IP Owner |
|---|--|--|
| NQF #0263 Patient Burn | Percentage of ASC admissions experiencing a burn prior to discharge | ASC Quality Collaboration ⁹ |
| NQF #0264 Prophylactic Intravenous (IV) Antibiotic Timing | Percentage of ASC patients who received IV antibiotics ordered for surgical site infection prophylaxis on time | ASC Quality Collaboration |
| NQF #0265 Hospital Transfer/Admission | Percentage of ASC admissions requiring a hospital transfer or hospital admission prior to being discharged from the ASC. | ASC Quality Collaboration |
| NQF #0266 Patient Fall | Percentage of ASC admissions experiencing a fall in the ASC. | ASC Quality Collaboration |
| NQF #0267 Wrong Site, Wrong Side, Wrong Patient, Wrong Procedure, Wrong Implant | Percentage of ASC admissions experiencing a wrong site, wrong side, wrong patient, wrong procedure, or wrong implant. | ASC Quality Collaboration |

**Measures Previously Endorsed as part of the National Voluntary Consensus Standards for
Hospital Care:
Specialty Clinician Performance Measures; Clinician Level Perioperative Care**

| TITLE | DESCRIPTION | IP Owner |
|--|--|---|
| NQF #0271 Discontinuation of Prophylactic Antibiotics (Non-Cardiac Procedures)***** | Percentage of non-cardiac surgical patients aged 18 years and older undergoing procedures with the indications for prophylactic antibiotics AND who received a prophylactic antibiotic, who have an order for discontinuation of prophylactic antibiotics within 24 hours of surgical end time | ACS; NCQA; PCPI |
| NQF #454 Anesthesiology and Critical Care: Perioperative Temperature Management***** | Percentage of patients, regardless of age, undergoing surgical or therapeutic procedures under general or neuraxial anesthesia of 60 minutes duration or longer for whom either active warming was used intraoperatively for the purpose of maintaining normothermia, OR at least one body temperature equal to or greater than 36 degrees Centigrade (or 96.8 degrees Fahrenheit) was recorded within the 30 minutes immediately before or the 30 minutes immediately after anesthesia end time | American Society of Anesthesiologists; PCPI |

***** Time-limited endorsement through July 31, 2010.

**Measures Previously Endorsed as part of the National Voluntary Consensus Standards for
Outpatient Efficiency**

| TITLE | DESCRIPTION | IP Owner |
|--|--|------------------------------|
| NQF #0512 Percentage of patients undergoing cervical spine radiographs in trauma who do not have neck pain, distracting pain, neurological deficits, reduced level of consciousness or intoxication. | Percentage of patients undergoing cervical spine radiographs in trauma who do not have neck pain, distracting pain, neurological deficits, reduced level of consciousness or intoxication. | Harborview Medical Center |

Measures Previously Endorsed as part of the National Voluntary Consensus Standards for Surgery and Anesthesia: Additional Performance Measures 2008

| TITLE | DESCRIPTION | IP Owner |
|--|---|---------------------------|
| NQF #0515 Ambulatory surgery patients with appropriate method of hair removal | Percentage of ASC admissions with appropriate surgical site hair removal. | ASC Quality Collaboration |

Notes

1. Intellectual Property owner. For the most current specifications and supporting information please refer to the IP owner.
2. ACEP- American College of Emergency Physicians (www.acep.org)
3. AMA/PCPI – American Medical Association/ Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement (www.physicianconsortium.org)
4. NCQA – National Committee for Quality Assurance (www.ncqa.org)
5. CMS- Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (www.cms.hhs.gov)
6. UMRHRC – University of Minnesota Rural Health Research Center (www.hpm.umn.edu/rhrc/)
7. AAP- American Academy of Pediatrics (www.aap.org)
8. TJC- The Joint Commission (www.jointcommission.org)
9. ASC Quality Collaboration- Ambulatory Surgical Centers Quality Collaboration (<http://www.ascquality.org/>)