

## Palliative and End-of-Life Care Standing Committee

### **Off-Cycle Webinar**

Karen Johnson Jean-Luc Tilly

March 8, 2017

#### Agenda

- Standing Committee Introductions/Disclosures of Interest
- Overview of Measure Evaluation Process
- Consideration of Candidate Measure #3235
- Harmonization Discussion
- Discussion of Measurement Framework
- Public Comment
- Next Steps
- Adjourn

# Introductions and Disclosures of Interest

### **Standing Committee**

R. Sean Morrison, MD (co-chair) Deborah Waldrop, Ph.D, LMSW, ACSW (cochair) **Bob Archuleta**, MD Margie Atkinson, D. Min, BCC Amy J. Berman, BSN Eduardo Bruera, MD Cleanne Cass, DO, FAAHPM, FAAFP Michelle Caughey, MD, FACP George Handzo, BCC, CSSBB Arif H. Kamal, MD, MHS, FACP, FAAHPM Alice Lind, MPH, BSN **Ruth MacIntosh, RN** Alvin Moss, MD, FACP, FAAHPM

Douglas Nee, Pharm D., MS Laura Porter, MD **Cindi Pursley, RN, CHPN** Amy Sanders, MD, MS, FAAN Tracy Schroepfer, Ph.D, MSW Linda Schwimmer, JD Christine Seel Ritchie, M.D. MSPH Robert Sidlow, MD, MBA, FACP Karl Steinberg, MD, CMD Paul E. Tatum, MD, MSPH, CMD, FAAHPM, AGSF Gregg VandeKieft, MD, MA Debra Wiegand, PhD, MBE, RN, CHPN, CCRN, FAHA, FPCN, FAAN

# **Overview of Today's Evaluation Process**

# Roles of the Standing Committee during the Evaluation Meeting

- Act as a proxy for the NQF multi-stakeholder membership
- Work with NQF staff to achieve the goals of the project
- Evaluate each measure against each criterion
  - Indicate the extent to which each criterion is met and rationale for the rating
- Make recommendations to the NQF membership for endorsement
- Oversee portfolio of Palliative Care/End-of-Life measures

#### **Measure Discussion and Voting**

- Brief introduction by developer (2-3 minutes)
- Voluntary discussants will begin Committee discussion for each criterion:
  - Providing a brief summary of the pre-meeting evaluation comments discussion
  - Emphasizing areas of concern or differences of opinion
  - Noting, if needed, the preliminary rating by NQF
    - » This rating is intended to be used as a guide to facilitate the Committee's discussion and evaluation
- Developers will be available to respond to questions
- Full Committee will discuss, then vote on the criterion before moving on to the next criterion

### **Overview on Voting**

- Voting is by criterion in the order presented on the Measure Worksheet
  - Evidence (must pass)
  - Performance Gap (must pass)
  - Reliability (must pass)
  - Validity (must pass)
  - Usability and Use
  - Feasibility
  - Overall Suitability for Endorsement
- If a measure fails on one of the must-pass criteria, there is no further discussion or voting on the subsequent criteria for that measure.

### **Achieving Consensus**

- Quorum: 66% of the Committee
- To be recommended, measures must have greater than 60% of the Committee Yes (high + moderate)
- 40%-60%: Consensus Not Reached (CNR) status
- Less than 40%: Not Recommended
- CNR measures move forward to comment and the Committee will revote

# Questions???

# Consideration of measure #3235

# **Measurement Framework**

#### **Draft Measurement Framework**



#### FIGURE 2. CONCEPTUAL MEASUREMENT FRAMEWORK

#### CONCEPTUAL MODEL FOR PERFORMANCE MEASURE DEVELOPMENT FOR DEMENTIA: Maximizing quality of life, minimizing distress



#### **Experience Of The Person With Dementia\***

Prevention Screening Education	Education Heal E	th Safety Engagement	Support Quality of		ice of care	
xperience Of Th	e Family Caregiver				*May require	e proxy respoi
kperience Of Th		ONAL QUALITY	STRATEGY		*May require	e proxy respor
xperience Of Th		ONAL QUALITY Health & V		Safety	*May require Affordability	e proxy respor

# Should the draft measurement framework be modified?

- Summer 2016 discussion: adding cost, decision making, safety
- A different type of diagram?
- Other domains, etc.
  - ASPEN Institute: patient/family preferences; how well supported; training/workforce
  - PEW: Patient goals/preferences & alignment
  - IOM "Dying in America" core components: emotional distress; referrals; training/workforce; access
  - NQF (AIC work): purpose and connection; financial security; peaceful death and dying
  - NCP Clinical Practice Guidelines domains: dropped "structure and processes of care" but perhaps need to revisit; incorporated "care of the patient at end of life" in outer ring

# **Public Comment**

## **Next Steps**

#### **Next Steps**

Milestone	Due Date		
Comment Period	4/10/17-4/24/17		
Post-Comment Call	5/16/17 (3:00-5:00 PM ET)		
NQF Member Voting Period	5/25/17-6/15/17		
CSAC	July 11-12 (In-Person Meeting)		
Appeals Period	Week of July 17		

# Adjourn