

# Medicaid and CHIP (MAC) Scorecard Committee

June 26, 2019 2:00 pm – 4:00 pm ET

## **Streaming Playback Online**

Direct your web browser to
<u>http://share.qualityforum.org/Projects/MAC%20Scorecard/CommitteeDocuments/Strat</u>
<u>egic%20Considerations%202%20Web%20Meeting%206.26.19.mp3</u>

## **Meeting Objectives**

• To convene the MAC Scorecard Committee members to discuss feedback received from key informants on strategic themes which arose during the MAC Scorecard in-person meeting.

2:00 pm	Welcome and Review of Meeting Objectives Harold Pincus, Scorecard Committee Co-chair Richard Antonelli, Scorecard Committee Co-chair Debjani Mukherjee, Senior Director, NQF Michael Abrams, Senior Director, NQF Shaconna Gorham, Senior Project Manager, NQF Jordan Hirsch, Project Analyst, NQF
2:10 pm	<b>Review and Discuss Key Informant Interviews</b> Debjani Mukherjee Harold Pincus
3:35 pm	Opportunity for Public Comment
3:45 pm	<b>Next Steps</b> Jordan Hirsch
4:00 pm	Adjourn

#### PAGE 2

## **Attendance Report**

Alli Steiner Alyssa Hart Amy Houtrow **Beverly Lofton** Bob Rehm **Brittany Wade** Carla Zachodni Carol Sakala **Clarke Ross** David Einzig **David Kelley** Dina Mills Doris Lotz Enrique Martinez-Vidal Harold Pincus Jill Morrow-Gorton John Sincak Joshua Romney Joy Jammel Judy Zerzan Kamala Allen **Karen Llanos** K McCall Laura H Laura Jabocus-Kantor Lindsay Cogan Lisa Patton Marissa Schlaifer Mark Rizzutti Norris Turner Rhonda Anderson **Richard Antonelli Rozanne Dupert-Frank** Sharon Pittman Stephanie Whyte Stephen Lawless

### **Decisions and/or Recommendations**

The June 26 web meeting served as a forum for MAC Scorecard Committee members to discuss strategic themes which arose during key informant interviews with four state medical directors in March 2019. CMCS sought input on the MAC Scorecard composition and the relationship between the Core Sets and the MAC Scorecard. Four themes arose during the interviews:

- 1. Medicaid and CHIP populations impacted by MAC Scorecard measures;
- 2. Considerations/recommendations for MAC Scorecard composition;
- 3. Accountability; and
- 4. Practical/technical considerations.

This discussion focused on the fourth theme above. Committee members discussed that accountability for the Scorecard should be separated from legal obligations (i.e., Americans with Disabilities Act). The Committee felt accountability should go above and beyond current legal rights and should focus on quality improvement. Accountability should be separated into two groups: (1) Fundamental Measures (required of all states) and (2) Flexible Measures (measures states select based on their individual needs). Finally, the Committee felt that accountability should be shared by providers, states, and health plans. The Committee did not put forth formal decisions or recommendations.