## NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

+ + + + +

MEASURE APPLICATION PARTNERSHIP HOSPITAL WORKGROUP

+ + + + +

TUESDAY DECEMBER 9, 2014

+ + + + +

The Hospital Workgroup met at the National Quality Forum, 9th Floor Conference Room, 1030 15th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., at 8:37 a.m., Frank Opelka, Chair, presiding.

**MEMBERS:** 

FRANK OPELKA, MD, FACS, Chair RONALD S. WALTERS, MD, MBA, MHA, MS, Co-Chair RICHARD BANKOWITZ, MD, MBA, FACP, Premier, Inc. ANDREA BENIN, MD, Children's Hospital Association MISSY DANFORTH, St. Louis Area Business Health Coalition WOODY EISENBERG, MD, Pharmacy Quality Alliance DAVID ENGLER, PhD, America's Essential Hospitals KAREN FIELDS, MD, Alliance of Dedicated Cancer Centers NANCY FOSTER, American Hospital Association SHELLEY FULD NASSO, National Coalition for Cancer Survivorship MARTIN HATLIE, JD, Project Patient Care NANCY HANRAHAN, PhD, RN, CS, FAAN, University of Pennsylvania EMMA KOPLEFF, National Partnership for Women and Families

JAMIE BROOKS ROBERTSON, JD, Service Employees International Union BROCK SLABACH, MPH, FACHE, National Rural Health Association DONNA SLOSBURG, BSN, LHRM, CASC, ASC Quality Collaboration AMANDA STEFANCYK OBERLIES, RN, MSN, MBA, CNML, PhD , American Organization of Nurse Executives KELLY TRAUTNER, American Federation of Teachers Healthcare CRISTIE UPSHAW TRAVIS, MHA, Memphis Business Group of Health WEI YING, MD, Blue Cross Blue Shield of Massachusetts INDIVIDUAL SUBJECT MATTER EXPERTS: JACK FOWLER, Jr., PhD MITCHELL LEVY, MD, FCCM, FCCP R. SEAN MORRISON, MD\* MICHAEL P. PHELAN, MD, FACEP FEDERAL GOVERNMENT LIAISONS: KATE GOODRICH, MD, Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services DANIEL POLLOCK, MD, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention PIERRE YONG, MD, MPH, Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services NQF STAFF: TAROON AMIN, Senior Director POONAM BAL, Project Manager ANN HAMMERSMITH, JD, General Counsel LAURA IBRAGIMOVA, Project Analyst ELISA MUNTHALI, Senior Managing Director ERIN O'ROURKE, Senior Project Manager

ALSO PRESENT:

SUSANNAH BERNHEIM, MD, Yale CORE

JEFFREY BUCK, PhD, CMS

DON CASEY\*

MATTHEW DAVIS, MD\*

KAREN GINSBURG, CMS\*

STACIE JONES

RABIA KHAN, CMS\*

VINITHA MEYYUR, CMS\*

BETSY RICKSICKER\*

PAT QUIGLEY\*

EDWARD SEPTIMUS, MD\*

\* present by teleconference

```
T-A-B-L-E O-F C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S
Welcome
                                           . 5
Introductions. . . .
                                          . . 5
Review Meeting Objectives
                                           .18
                                    . . . . .19
Pre-Rulemaking Approach. . . . . . . . .
Pre-Rulemaking Input on Hospital
Outpatient Quality Reporting
Measure Set. . .
                                           .44
Pre-Rulemaking Input on Ambulatory . . . . . . 155
Surgical Center Quality Reporting
Measure Set
Shared Savings Program Measure Set
Pre-Rulemaking Input on HAC Reduction. . . . . . 240
 Program Measure Set
Psychiatric Facility Quality Reporting Measure
Set
Pre-Rulemaking Input on Medicare and . . . . . . 307
 Medicaid EHR Incentive Program for
Hospitals and CAHs (Meaningful Use)
Measure Set
Summary of Day . . . . . . . . .
                                      . . . 340
Adjourn. . . .
                                    . . . . 351
```

I	
1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	8:37 a.m.
3	CHAIR OPELKA: Good morning, everyone,
4	and welcome. We are meeting today at the
5	National Quality Forum for the Measures
6	Application Partnership, the Hospital Workgroup,
7	our in-person meeting, and we have a full agenda
8	today.
9	For those of you I haven't met, I want
10	to welcome you. I'm Frank Opelka. I am medical
11	director for the American College of Surgeons and
12	I am Chair of the Committee. I'm joined by Co-
13	Chair Ron Walters.
14	Before we jump into this we've got
15	some administrative details we have to go into,
16	so I will ask Ann Hammersmith to take us through
17	that.
18	Ann, welcome and thank you.
19	MS. HAMMERSMITH: Thank you, Frank.
20	I'm Ann Hammersmith. I'm NQF's general counsel.
21	I will take you through your disclosures of
22	interest. I see some familiar faces, so some of

you are veterans of this process, but I will go
 through the basics and then we'll go around the
 room and disclose.

As you know, MAP Committees have two types of members: organizational and subject matter experts. The disclosures are different for those two groups, so we'll take this in two steps to try and lessen the confusion.

9 Federal Government liaisons, you don't
10 need to disclose. I'll just ask you to introduce
11 yourselves.

12 Let's do organizational members first 13 since this is easier. Organizational members, 14 obviously you represent an entity. We expect you 15 to come to the table with certain attitudes and 16 positions that align with the entity that you 17 represent. So you're not sitting as an 18 individual. You're sitting as a representative 19 of an organization. Because of that, we ask you 20 for an abbreviated disclosure.

If you recall, it's a very short piece
of paper where we ask you to disclose if you have

an interest of \$10,000 or more in something 1 2 that's related to the work that the Committee will be doing. And that is the only disclosure 3 4 that we ask you to give. 5 So with that, I'm going to go around the table. If you are an organizational member, 6 7 organizational member only, not subject matter experts yet, please tell us who you are, who 8 9 you're with and if you have anything you wish to 10 disclose. So, looking to my left, Dana is a 11 subject matter expert and I cannot see the tent 12 13 card of the person next to you, Dana. 14 Donna Slosburg. Okay. And you are an 15 organizational member, so if you'd go ahead and 16 disclose. 17 MS. SLOSBURG: I'm Donna Slosburg and 18 I work with the ASC Quality Collaboration. We're 19 a measure developer. I have nothing to disclose 20 from a financial standpoint, but I did want to 21 point out to the Committee that I do have two 22 measures on the measures under consideration list

that we did develop. I also sit on the technical 1 2 expert panel for the outpatient patient 3 experience measures that are also being 4 presented. Other than that, I have nothing to 5 disclose. Hi, I'm Karen Fields. 6 DR. FIELDS: I'm a physician at Moffitt Cancer Center and I 7 represent the ADCC and I have nothing to 8 9 disclose. 10 DR. BANKOWITZ: Good morning. I'm Richard Bankowitz. I'm the Chief Medical Officer 11 12 of Premier, which is an alliance of about 2,500 13 hospitals across the U.S., and I have nothing to 14 disclose. 15 DR. BENIN: I'm Andrea Benin. I'm 16 representing the Children's Hospital Association. 17 I have nothing to disclose. 18 MS. TRAVIS: Cristie Travis, CEO of 19 the Memphis Business Group on Health, and I have 20 nothing to disclose. 21 MS. TRAUTNER: Kelly Trautner, 22 American Federation of Teachers, Nurses and

Health Professionals Division, and I have nothing 1 2 to disclose. DR. POLLOCK: Daniel Pollock, medical 3 4 epidemiologist, Centers for Disease Control and 5 Prevention. Nothing to disclose. I'm David Engler, 6 DR. ENGLER: 7 American's Essential Hospitals. Nothing to disclose. 8 9 DR. PHELAN: Michael Phelan. I'm a 10 physician at the Cleveland Clinic, Technical 11 Expert Panel, Emergency Medicine. I have nothing 12 to disclose. 13 MS. ROBERTSON: Jamie Brooks 14 Robertson, Service Employees International Union. 15 Nothing to disclose. DR. YING: I'm Wei Ying, Blue Cross 16 17 Blue Shield of Mass. Nothing to disclose. 18 MR. SLABACH: Brock Slabach, hospital 19 administrator with the National Rural Health 20 Association, and I have nothing to disclose. 21 MR. HATLIE: I think I'm a subject 22 matter expert, not an organizational

representative, so it's not my turn now, is that 1 2 correct? Marty Hatlie. MS. HAMMERSMITH: You're an 3 4 organizational representative. 5 Okay. Marty Hatlie, MR. HATLIE: Project Patient Care. I have nothing to 6 Thank you. 7 disclose. DR. EISENBERG: Woody Eisenberg. 8 I'm 9 representing the Pharmacy Quality Alliance and I 10 have nothing to disclose. 11 MS. FULD NASSO: Shelly Fuld Nasso, 12 National Coalition for Cancer Survivorship and I 13 have nothing to disclose. 14 DR. STEFANCYK OBERLIES: Amanda 15 I'm representing the American Stefancyk. 16 Organization of Nurse Executives. I have nothing 17 to disclose. 18 MS. KOPLEFF: Hi, Emma Kopleff. I'm 19 from National Partnership for Women and Families, 20 but today I'm representing my fellow consumer 21 advocate Helen Haskell for Mothers Against 22 Medical Error. I have nothing to disclose.

MS. DANFORTH: Missy Danforth. 1 I'm 2 the Senior Director for Hospital Ratings at the Leapfrog Group. Today I'm substituting for 3 Louise Probst from St. Louis Business Coalition 4 5 on Health and I have nothing to disclose. Nancy Foster, the Vice 6 MS. FOSTER: President for Quality and Patient Safety Policy 7 at the American Hospital Association. 8 I have 9 nothing to disclose. 10 DR. HANRAHAN: Nancy Hanrahan. I'm 11 from the University of Pennsylvania, School of 12 Nursing, and I am also a liaison to the Dual-13 Eligible Group with NQF and a mental health 14 services researcher. 15 MS. HAMMERSMITH: Okay. Thank you. 16 Now we'll move to the subject matter experts. 17 Subject matter experts, you got a form 18 that was way longer than the organizational 19 representatives. The reason we ask for the 20 longer form is because you're sitting as an 21 individual. You do not represent your employer. 22 You don't represent anybody who may have

1	nominated you for service on the Committee. You
2	don't represent anybody who may have supported
3	your nomination for this Committee. So we ask
4	you for a more detailed disclosure.
5	Please do not recite your résumé. We
6	want you just to disclose things only that are
7	relevant to the work before this particular
8	Committee. In that regard, we're especially
9	interested in grants, research, relevant speaking
10	engagements and things like that.
11	I just want to remind Michael Phelan,
12	you are a subject matter expert, so you make your
13	disclosure again.
14	So with that, let's go around the
15	table, subject matter experts, and we'll start
16	with Dana Alexander.
17	MS. ALEXANDER: Good morning. Dana
18	Alexander. I am a nurse. I am a subject matter
19	expert in health care IT. I am not currently
20	affiliated with any specific organization. My
21	focus areas has been in health care IT,
22	historically EHRs, but now moving more into big

data and analytics in population health. I speak and write extensively in those areas. Nothing else to disclose.

4 DR. FOWLER: I'm Jack Fowler. I'm a 5 part-time advisor now, though I've worked extensively with the Informed Medical Decisions 6 Foundation, which is now part of Healthwise. 7 Ι also have done a lot of work in survey research. 8 9 I used to be part of the CAHPS Team, but that was 10 a long time ago. I'm here as a patient 11 perspective representative, I think mainly.

12 And the foundation and Healthwise are 13 passionate about trying to get patients more 14 involved and informed in decisions affecting 15 their health. And so from time to time there may 16 be issues that come up that relate to measuring 17 how patients are informed and involved, and for 18 those things I have an opinion. I don't know if 19 I have an economic conflict, but I do have an 20 ideological ones. But other than that, I don't 21 think I have any other comments.

22

1

2

3

MS. HAMMERSMITH: The next subject

matter expert? That might be you, Michael 1 2 Phelan. 3 DR. PHELAN: I'm Michael Phelan, a 4 subject matter expert in emergency medicine. Ι 5 work at the Cleveland Clinic. I am also on the 6 Quality and Performance Committee at the American 7 College of Emergency Physicians. I have a CDC grant evaluating homolysis in the emergency 8 9 departments. 10 MS. HAMMERSMITH: Thank you. The next 11 subject matter expert? 12 Do we have any more subject matter 13 experts here? 14 Co-Chairs, you're subject matter 15 experts. 16 CHAIR OPELKA: Frank Opelka. I'm the 17 Medical Director of the American College of 18 Surgeons here in the Washington Office, and I 19 also serve as the Executive Vice President of 20 Louisiana State University. I have nothing else 21 to disclose. 22 CO-CHAIR WALTERS: Ron Walters. Τ

1	work at MD Anderson Cancer Center. No research
2	grants. No dollars in from anybody. Volunteer
3	service on the board of NCCN. That's my only
4	disclosure.
5	MS. HAMMERSMITH: Okay. Thank you.
6	I understand we have a few subject matter experts
7	on the phone, so I will call your name. Sean
8	Morrison?
9	DR. MORRISON: Yes, Sean Morrison.
10	I'm a professor of geriatrics and palliative
11	medicine, which is my area of expertise at Mt.
12	Sinai in New York City. I have nothing to
13	disclose.
14	MS. HAMMERSMITH: Thank you. Ann
15	Marie Sullivan? Is Ann Marie Sullivan on the
16	line?
17	Okay. At this time I want to invite
18	our Federal Government liaisons to introduce
19	themselves, but you don't need to disclose. So,
20	Kate?
21	DR. GOODRICH: Hi, I'm Kate Goodrich.
22	I'm the Director of the Quality Measurement and

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

Health Assessment Group at CMS.

2 DR. YONG: Hi, I'm Pierre Yong. I'm 3 the Director of Hospital and Medication Measures 4 at CMS.

5 MS. HAMMERSMITH: Okay. And, Pamela 6 Owens, are you on the phone? Would you like to 7 identify yourself? Pamela Owens?

8 Okay. Have I missed anyone who needs9 to disclose on the phone or in the room?

10 Okay. Before I leave you, I just want 11 to remind you that in order for our conflict of 12 interest process to really work, we rely on you 13 as members to speak up. So if you think you may 14 have a conflict, if you think someone else has a 15 conflict, if you think someone is behaving in a 16 biased manner, please do speak up. Don't sit 17 there in silence.

We're really counting on you to help us in this effort. You're always welcome to speak up in real time in the meeting. You can go to your Co-Chairs, who will got NQF staff, or you can go directly to NQF staff.

(202) 234-4433

1       Do you have any questions or anything         2       you would like to discuss with each other, or any         3       questions of me?         4       Okay. Thank you.         5       CHAIR OPELKA: Ann, thank you very         6       much.         7       We also have a few others around the         8       table who are part of your NQF staff, so if we         9       could, beginning on my right, Laura, you want to         10       introduce yourself?         11       MS. IBRAGIMOVA: Hi, I'm Laura         12       Ibragimova. I'm the project analyst here. I'll         13       be supporting you guys if you need any help and         14       collecting your DOI forms if you haven't         15       submitted them and helping you with the voting.         16       MS. BAL: I'm Poonam Bal and I'm the         17       project manager on this project.         18       MS. O'ROURKE: Hi, I'm Erin O'Rourke.         19       I'm the senior project manager supporting this         20       MR. AMIN: And my name is Taroon Amin.         21       MR. AMIN: And my name is taroon Amin.		
3       questions of me?         4       Okay. Thank you.         5       CHAIR OPELKA: Ann, thank you very         6       much.         7       We also have a few others around the         8       table who are part of your NQF staff, so if we         9       could, beginning on my right, Laura, you want to         10       introduce yourself?         11       MS. IBRAGIMOVA: Hi, I'm Laura         12       Ibragimova. I'm the project analyst here. I'll         13       be supporting you guys if you need any help and         14       collecting your DOI forms if you haven't         15       submitted them and helping you with the voting.         16       MS. BAL: I'm Poonam Bal and I'm the         17       project manager on this project.         18       MS. O'ROURKE: Hi, I'm Erin O'Rourke.         19       I'm the senior project manager supporting this         20       work group.         21       MR. AMIN: And my name is Taroon Amin.	1	Do you have any questions or anything
4Okay. Thank you.5CHAIR OPELKA: Ann, thank you very6much.7We also have a few others around the8table who are part of your NQF staff, so if we9could, beginning on my right, Laura, you want to10introduce yourself?11MS. IBRAGIMOVA: Hi, I'm Laura12Ibragimova. I'm the project analyst here. I'll13be supporting you guys if you need any help and14collecting your DOI forms if you haven't15submitted them and helping you with the voting.16MS. BAL: I'm Poonam Bal and I'm the17project manager on this project.18MS. O'ROURKE: Hi, I'm Erin O'Rourke.19I'm the senior project manager supporting this20MR. AMIN: And my name is Taroon Amin.	2	you would like to discuss with each other, or any
5       CHAIR OPELKA: Ann, thank you very         6       much.         7       We also have a few others around the         8       table who are part of your NQF staff, so if we         9       could, beginning on my right, Laura, you want to         10       introduce yourself?         11       MS. IBRAGIMOVA: Hi, I'm Laura         12       Ibragimova. I'm the project analyst here. I'll         13       be supporting you guys if you need any help and         14       collecting your DOI forms if you haven't         15       submitted them and helping you with the voting.         16       MS. BAL: I'm Poonam Bal and I'm the         17       project manager on this project.         18       MS. O'ROURKE: Hi, I'm Erin O'Rourke.         19       I'm the senior project manager supporting this         20       work group.         21       MR. AMIN: And my name is Taroon Amin.	3	questions of me?
<ul> <li>much.</li> <li>We also have a few others around the</li> <li>table who are part of your NQF staff, so if we</li> <li>could, beginning on my right, Laura, you want to</li> <li>introduce yourself?</li> <li>MS. IBRAGIMOVA: Hi, I'm Laura</li> <li>Ibragimova. I'm the project analyst here. I'll</li> <li>be supporting you guys if you need any help and</li> <li>collecting your DOI forms if you haven't</li> <li>submitted them and helping you with the voting.</li> <li>MS. BAL: I'm Poonam Bal and I'm the</li> <li>project manager on this project.</li> <li>MS. O'ROURKE: Hi, I'm Erin O'Rourke.</li> <li>I'm the senior project manager supporting this</li> <li>work group.</li> <li>MR. AMIN: And my name is Taroon Amin.</li> </ul>	4	Okay. Thank you.
<ul> <li>We also have a few others around the</li> <li>table who are part of your NQF staff, so if we</li> <li>could, beginning on my right, Laura, you want to</li> <li>introduce yourself?</li> <li>MS. IBRAGIMOVA: Hi, I'm Laura</li> <li>Ibragimova. I'm the project analyst here. I'll</li> <li>be supporting you guys if you need any help and</li> <li>collecting your DOI forms if you haven't</li> <li>submitted them and helping you with the voting.</li> <li>MS. BAL: I'm Poonam Bal and I'm the</li> <li>project manager on this project.</li> <li>MS. O'ROURKE: Hi, I'm Erin O'Rourke.</li> <li>I'm the senior project manager supporting this</li> <li>work group.</li> <li>MR. AMIN: And my name is Taroon Amin.</li> </ul>	5	CHAIR OPELKA: Ann, thank you very
<ul> <li>table who are part of your NQF staff, so if we could, beginning on my right, Laura, you want to introduce yourself?</li> <li>MS. IBRAGIMOVA: Hi, I'm Laura</li> <li>Ibragimova. I'm the project analyst here. I'll</li> <li>be supporting you guys if you need any help and collecting your DOI forms if you haven't</li> <li>submitted them and helping you with the voting.</li> <li>MS. BAL: I'm Poonam Bal and I'm the project manager on this project.</li> <li>MS. O'ROURKE: Hi, I'm Erin O'Rourke.</li> <li>I'm the senior project manager supporting this work group.</li> <li>MR. AMIN: And my name is Taroon Amin.</li> </ul>	6	much.
<ul> <li>could, beginning on my right, Laura, you want to</li> <li>introduce yourself?</li> <li>MS. IBRAGIMOVA: Hi, I'm Laura</li> <li>Ibragimova. I'm the project analyst here. I'll</li> <li>be supporting you guys if you need any help and</li> <li>collecting your DOI forms if you haven't</li> <li>submitted them and helping you with the voting.</li> <li>MS. BAL: I'm Poonam Bal and I'm the</li> <li>project manager on this project.</li> <li>MS. O'ROURKE: Hi, I'm Erin O'Rourke.</li> <li>I'm the senior project manager supporting this</li> <li>work group.</li> <li>MR. AMIN: And my name is Taroon Amin.</li> </ul>	7	We also have a few others around the
<ul> <li>10 introduce yourself?</li> <li>11 MS. IBRAGIMOVA: Hi, I'm Laura</li> <li>12 Ibragimova. I'm the project analyst here. I'll</li> <li>13 be supporting you guys if you need any help and</li> <li>14 collecting your DOI forms if you haven't</li> <li>15 submitted them and helping you with the voting.</li> <li>16 MS. BAL: I'm Poonam Bal and I'm the</li> <li>17 project manager on this project.</li> <li>18 MS. O'ROURKE: Hi, I'm Erin O'Rourke.</li> <li>19 I'm the senior project manager supporting this</li> <li>20 work group.</li> <li>21 MR. AMIN: And my name is Taroon Amin.</li> </ul>	8	table who are part of your NQF staff, so if we
<ul> <li>MS. IBRAGIMOVA: Hi, I'm Laura</li> <li>Ibragimova. I'm the project analyst here. I'll</li> <li>be supporting you guys if you need any help and</li> <li>collecting your DOI forms if you haven't</li> <li>submitted them and helping you with the voting.</li> <li>MS. BAL: I'm Poonam Bal and I'm the</li> <li>project manager on this project.</li> <li>MS. O'ROURKE: Hi, I'm Erin O'Rourke.</li> <li>I'm the senior project manager supporting this</li> <li>work group.</li> <li>MR. AMIN: And my name is Taroon Amin.</li> </ul>	9	could, beginning on my right, Laura, you want to
<ul> <li>12 Ibragimova. I'm the project analyst here. I'll</li> <li>13 be supporting you guys if you need any help and</li> <li>14 collecting your DOI forms if you haven't</li> <li>15 submitted them and helping you with the voting.</li> <li>16 MS. BAL: I'm Poonam Bal and I'm the</li> <li>17 project manager on this project.</li> <li>18 MS. O'ROURKE: Hi, I'm Erin O'Rourke.</li> <li>19 I'm the senior project manager supporting this</li> <li>20 work group.</li> <li>21 MR. AMIN: And my name is Taroon Amin.</li> </ul>	10	introduce yourself?
<ul> <li>be supporting you guys if you need any help and</li> <li>collecting your DOI forms if you haven't</li> <li>submitted them and helping you with the voting.</li> <li>MS. BAL: I'm Poonam Bal and I'm the</li> <li>project manager on this project.</li> <li>MS. O'ROURKE: Hi, I'm Erin O'Rourke.</li> <li>I'm the senior project manager supporting this</li> <li>work group.</li> <li>MR. AMIN: And my name is Taroon Amin.</li> </ul>	11	MS. IBRAGIMOVA: Hi, I'm Laura
<ul> <li>14 collecting your DOI forms if you haven't</li> <li>15 submitted them and helping you with the voting.</li> <li>16 MS. BAL: I'm Poonam Bal and I'm the</li> <li>17 project manager on this project.</li> <li>18 MS. O'ROURKE: Hi, I'm Erin O'Rourke.</li> <li>19 I'm the senior project manager supporting this</li> <li>20 work group.</li> <li>21 MR. AMIN: And my name is Taroon Amin.</li> </ul>	12	Ibragimova. I'm the project analyst here. I'll
15 submitted them and helping you with the voting. 16 MS. BAL: I'm Poonam Bal and I'm the 17 project manager on this project. 18 MS. O'ROURKE: Hi, I'm Erin O'Rourke. 19 I'm the senior project manager supporting this 20 work group. 21 MR. AMIN: And my name is Taroon Amin.	13	be supporting you guys if you need any help and
<ul> <li>MS. BAL: I'm Poonam Bal and I'm the</li> <li>project manager on this project.</li> <li>MS. O'ROURKE: Hi, I'm Erin O'Rourke.</li> <li>I'm the senior project manager supporting this</li> <li>work group.</li> <li>MR. AMIN: And my name is Taroon Amin.</li> </ul>	14	collecting your DOI forms if you haven't
<ul> <li>17 project manager on this project.</li> <li>18 MS. O'ROURKE: Hi, I'm Erin O'Rourke.</li> <li>19 I'm the senior project manager supporting this</li> <li>20 work group.</li> <li>21 MR. AMIN: And my name is Taroon Amin.</li> </ul>	15	submitted them and helping you with the voting.
<ul> <li>MS. O'ROURKE: Hi, I'm Erin O'Rourke.</li> <li>I'm the senior project manager supporting this</li> <li>work group.</li> <li>MR. AMIN: And my name is Taroon Amin.</li> </ul>	16	MS. BAL: I'm Poonam Bal and I'm the
19 I'm the senior project manager supporting this 20 work group. 21 MR. AMIN: And my name is Taroon Amin.	17	project manager on this project.
<ul> <li>20 work group.</li> <li>21 MR. AMIN: And my name is Taroon Amin.</li> </ul>	18	MS. O'ROURKE: Hi, I'm Erin O'Rourke.
21 MR. AMIN: And my name is Taroon Amin.	19	I'm the senior project manager supporting this
-	20	work group.
22 I'm the senior director supporting this work.	21	MR. AMIN: And my name is Taroon Amin.
	22	I'm the senior director supporting this work.

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1 CHAIR OPELKA: Great. And once again 2 I want to welcome everybody. If we could pull up the objective list. So if you could see before 3 4 you our meeting objectives that we have, they 5 begin with the review and input into the measures under consideration. Those are in the federal 6 7 program, and that's the list that we received from CMS in a pre-rulemaking timeline. We will 8 9 also, as we go through this, think of and try to 10 identify the high priority measure gaps within 11 each one of the program sets and then finalize 12 our input to the MAP Coordinating Committee on 13 measures for use in the federal program. 14 So those are our three primary 15 objectives. Any questions regarding those? 16 Okay. Well, then we're going to leap 17 into this, and I'm going to turn this over to 18 Taroon to get us started. 19 MR. AMIN: Okay. Great. Thank you 20 very much, Frank. And let me also extend my 21 welcome to the MAP Workgroup. Thank you for the 22 short turnaround time in reviewing an extensive

amount of materials, and we look forward to the next two days of meetings.

So NQF has been working quite extensively over the last six to eight months on improving the MAP meetings based on input from a variety of stakeholders, including many of you on the Workgroup that provided us very extensive feedback on areas to improve our efforts.

9 Three changes that will directly 10 affect this meeting that we wanted to make sure 11 that we spent some time discussing this morning 12 was the enhanced discussion guide. So we've 13 obviously moved away from the large binder of 14 materials that you have experienced in the past. 15 Some of you who are new to this workgroup have 16 been spared that.

17We also have the preliminary analysis18based on the Workgroup that was based on the19Workgroup's fall Web meeting and the MAP's20measure selection criteria.

21 And finally, we have an updated voting 22 procedure that will also translate into the

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

meeting format for today. And I'm sure that 1 2 you've been accustomed to the first two enhancements, the discussion guide and the 3 4 preliminary analysis as it relates to the 5 materials that you reviewed for this meeting, but Poonam will walk through these materials as we 6 7 get started with our first program, which is OQR. I would highly encourage all of you to 8 9 keep some detailed notes on any feedback that you

10 have on these three specific enhancements 11 throughout or two days since the final session 12 that we will be having is a 30-minute round robin 13 that we'll be asking Committee members on how the 14 process went and any enhancements that we can 15 make in the next year.

We certainly do believe very strongly here at NQF in the concept of continuous quality improvements and do believe that it's our responsibility to improve your experience as Workgroup members for our federal colleagues, commenters and members of the public who are participating this process. So we take that

responsibility very seriously and would welcome that feedback as we get to the end of the two-day meeting.

4 Before we get into detail on the 5 voting procedure, I also did want to point out that the scope of this meeting is to provide 6 7 input on the measures under consideration for multiple federal programs. Obviously, these 8 9 recommendations are grounded in the current 10 finalized measures and we have provided that list, thanks to our federal partners, on our 11 12 SharePoint site. We do encourage you to review 13 those as we go through the programs, but I would 14 like to point out, however, the finalized 15 measures are not in scope for discussion and we 16 should try to limit discussion on those.

17 So before I go into detail, I do want 18 to make sure everyone is very comfortable with 19 the meeting discussion and voting process, so I 20 would point out that there were three materials 21 provided to you in your seating area. The first 22 is an agenda. The second is a material titled,

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

Measures Application Voting," and I would encourage you to take that out and follow along with me as I go through this. Again, I'd just reiterate that it's very important that -- I want to make sure that everybody feels like they can contribute to this meeting, but also understands the process that we will be following.

8 So to begin, NQF has implemented this 9 new voting procedure to allow the Workgroup to 10 really move quickly through the decision making 11 process for straightforward and non-controversial 12 measures reserving valuable Committee time for 13 those where sensitive consensus building may need 14 to occur.

15 So the first step is that Erin and I 16 will review the consent calendars reflecting 17 preliminary analysis, again just reiterating that 18 these preliminary analyses were driven by the 19 input that we received from you during the fall 20 Web meeting, and also the existing MAP measure 21 selection criteria, and also the programmatic 22 objectives that we reviewed during that time.

We will also provide a high-level 1 2 summary of the comments that we received during the newly implemented pre-comment period. 3 So again, this is an element of our Kaizen 4 5 activities that's new for this work that we've had this pre-comment period. And since the 6 7 comment period ended on Friday, we did send those comments to you, but obviously we recognize that 8 9 that's an extremely short turnaround time for a 10 thorough review. So NQF staff will be giving a 11 summarization of those comments as we go through 12 individual measures.

13The second step is that Frank and Ron14as the Chair and Co-Chair will ask Workgroup15members if they would like to pull any of the16measures from the consent calendar presently17under review for discussion and to propose a18different disposition for the measures under19consideration.

I would like to point out here that the measures under consideration will be split into two different pathways, meaning that there's

(202) 234-4433

three different dispositions based on whether the
 measure is a fully developed measure or if the
 measure is under development.

4 So if a measure is fully developed, 5 there are three possible decision categories that the MAP can make: support, conditional support or 6 do not support. For those measures that are 7 under development, there are two possible 8 9 categories: encourage continued development or do 10 not encourage further consideration. I'll stop 11 there and just make sure there are no questions. 12 Obviously, we're only at step two, but I just 13 want to make sure everyone's okay with that.

Go ahead, Emma.

15 MS. KOPLEFF: Just a question about 16 the under development category. Will we get a 17 sense of what stage some of those measures are 18 at? I'm just noting that in the discussion guide 19 you helpfully offer a numerator and denominator. 20 So seemingly some of those measures look fairly 21 specified. Or is that not scoped for this part 22 of this discussion?

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1	MR. AMIN: So what NQF staff has done
2	with our federal colleagues here is to look
3	specifically at the stage of development of every
4	one of the measures under consideration, and
5	we've confirmed with them whether the measure is
6	not yet fully specified. Even though it may be
7	specified, it hasn't completed testing. And so,
8	form our definitional standpoint that is not a
9	fully developed measure. I mean, it's fully
10	developed, but it hasn't gone through testing
11	yet. So it seemed premature to make a final
12	decision on those measures through our fully
13	developed pathway.
14	MS. KOPLEFF: Thank you for
15	clarifying.
16	MR. AMIN: Frank, maybe I'll turn it
17	over to you.
18	CHAIR OPELKA: Okay. Nancy?
19	MS. FOSTER: So, Taroon, if were
20	talking about a measure that one might not think
21	appropriate for use in the particular program for
22	which it was proposed but you don't want to stop

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1 development of it because it's right for
2 something else, what categorization would you
3 call that?

4 MR. AMIN: So, the measures under consideration -- and I'll look to my other 5 colleagues to say if they believe otherwise. 6 Is 7 the measure under consideration for that particular application? So we will capture 8 9 thoughts if you believe that the measure is 10 appropriate -- or that you would encourage 11 development for other applications, but the 12 decision in front of you is whether this is a 13 solid concept measure under development for this 14 particular program. So that's the decision in 15 front of you. But we would welcome other 16 comments and we will provide that our colleagues 17 at CMS.

CHAIR OPELKA: Richard?

DR. BANKOWITZ: Thanks, Frank. I have a question about the conditional support and what it is that we're supporting. So, if we vote for conditional support pending NQF endorsement, will

18

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

www.nealrgross.com

we see that measure again here and have a chance 1 2 to discuss it having the benefit of the NQF deliberations, or are we saying by our 3 4 conditional support we leave it to NOF to endorse 5 or not and then that will be the decision? So, to me there's two 6 CHAIR OPELKA: 7 aspects of that, if I could, Richard. First of all, to the NQF we leave it to the NQF process to 8 9 consider endorsement. We're not in the 10 endorsement business. But the conditional 11 support is not a direction from endorsement. The 12 conditional support is direction to CMS, and CMS 13 may then decide whether or not to include the 14 measure in the program. So it doesn't come back 15 here again unless it's further pushed forward by 16 a future MUC list. 17 Let's say it moved from PQRS Program 18 into a Value-Based Modifier Program. We could 19 see it again even though we conditionally 20 supported it once. It might have gone into a

22 could show up on a future MUC list for

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

PQRS Program and then in a subsequent year it

1

consideration in a different program.

2 Daniel? So just to be clear, one 3 DR. POLLOCK: of the meeting top objectives is to review and 4 5 provide input on measures under consideration. The measure specifications each have a category 6 of endorsement status. If a measure is not 7 endorsed, it still obviously is under 8 9 consideration or it wouldn't be part of our 10 So how are we to factor in this agenda. 11 Is it relevant with respect endorsement status? 12 to a measure under consideration or not? 13 CHAIR OPELKA: So, from the 14 Coordinating Committee there are some general 15 guiding principals for consideration when we 16 support, conditionally support or do not support 17 a measure. One of those is NQF endorsement, but 18 it is not an absolute requirement. 19 So, if you support a measure, whether 20 or not it has endorsement, you feel it is 21 important in the program, you could vote for 22 If you felt you could more fully support.

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

www.nealrgross.com

support it if it was endorsed, you may choose to vote for a conditional support, but it's not an absolute criteria by which you have to decide conditional support because the measure is not endorsed.

So, in effect if this 6 DR. POLLOCK: 7 group recommends a measure that hasn't been endorsed and reviewed by NQF and hasn't been 8 9 endorsed, this group in a sense is overruling the 10 decision of the NQF review process with respect 11 to use of the measure for federal purposes? 12 CHAIR OPELKA: So I don't think that's 13 true. 14 DR. POLLOCK: Okay. 15 The NQF endorsement CHAIR OPELKA: 16 process is a testimony to the criteria applied 17 for endorsement. This is an application process 18 which does not necessarily require endorsed 19 The endorsement process is a measurement. 20 statement of rigor that speaks to the integrity 21 of a measure, but the application process is a 22 statement of implementation which may or may not

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

carry with it the application of an endorsement rigor.

3	DR. POLLOCK: Understood, and I could
4	be off on this, but the last time I looked at the
5	NQF measure submission requirements it included
6	an indication as to how the measure would be
7	used. And I would assume that that's taken into
8	consideration in the NQF process as well as the
9	scientific criteria. So again, in effect we've
10	got a process that has factored in the intended
11	use of the measure, has reviewed the measure,
12	looked at its scientific credibility and made a
13	decision. And then we're asked to say, well,
14	okay, we'll hold that in abeyance and we'll
15	reconsider the measure in this forum.
16	CHAIR OPELKA: I mean, I appreciate
17	what you're saying. I don't think I fully agree
18	with you.
19	DR. POLLOCK: Well, understood. I
20	just think that there's a logical inconsistency
21	there.
22	CHAIR OPELKA: Okay.

1

Okay. So, just reorienting 1 MR. AMIN: 2 us back to this voting process, what you'll see here is we just walked through step one in which 3 I described that we will walk through the 4 5 preliminary analysis consent calendars. The second step here is that any of 6 7 the measures under consideration can be pulled from the consent calendars by any member of the 8 9 Committee to propose a new categorization. 10 So, the way this will work is that 11 Workgroup members can put forward a motion for a 12 new categorization for the measure under 13 consideration with a rationale. The member who 14 pulled the measure aside for discussion should 15 provide some brief remarks explaining the 16 reasoning and proposing a new categorization with 17 their motion. 18 If seconded, the lead discussants may 19 be asked to react. So we've assigned one or more

calendars. And lead discussants should feel free
to state their own point of view whether or not

lead discussants for each of the consent

(202) 234-4433

20

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

www.nealrgross.com

it is consistent with the preliminary analysis that staff had provided. So, Workgroup members may put up their cards to indicate that they wish to participate in the discussion at that point in time.

So step 4, the Workgroup will discuss 6 and determine the final consent calendars and 7 determine if an alternate disposition is needed 8 9 for any of the measures being discussed. At his 10 discretion the Chair may call for a non-binding 11 show of hands to determine which point of view is 12 most prevalent of the group or move forward with 13 a more formal vote to determine the disposition 14 of the MUC, or the measure under consideration.

15 If a formal vote is taken, a simple 16 majority determines the categorization of the 17 measure under consideration and the Chair will 18 provide a brief summary of the Workgroup's 19 rationale if the categorization of the measure 20 under consideration changes from the preliminary 21 result.

22

1

2

3

4

5

And then finally step 5. As you can

see on this graphic in front of you, and also in your notes, there will be a final vote, there will be a vote on the final consent calendars once all the categorization is completed. The Chair or Vice Chair will call for votes on the consent calendar once all the measures have been pulled and placed in their consent calendar.

The vote is binary, so you're 8 9 approving or not approving the consent calendars 10 as put forward. And if there's greater than 60 percent votes, that confirms the preliminary 11 12 recommendation and establishes them as formal, 13 official workgroup recommendations. If consensus has not been reached, if we're not able to reach 14 15 the 60 percent threshold on the final consent 16 calendars, the Chair will ask participants to --17 the Workgroup members to pull additional measures 18 for discussion and potential re-categorization of 19 their final disposition.

I would just point out that the
workgroups now, as part of the changes to our
process, are expected to reach a final decision

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

5

6

on every measure under consideration. There will 1 2 no longer be a category of split decisions. That will be sent to the Coordinating Committee. 3 The 4 Coordinating Committee may decide to have some 5 additional conversations around measures that were particularly contentious at the workgroup 6 7 level, but we will expect that the MAP Hospital Workgroup will make final decisions on every one 8 9 of the measures in front of you. 10 So in summary, I'll just point out --I know that was a lot of information. 11 Erin and I 12 will put up the preliminary recommendations. Any 13 one of you are free, and we would encourage you, 14 to pull any of those measures from the consent 15 calendars as we have presented them. Frank and 16 Ron will engage in a conversation around 17 determining whether that needs to move into a new 18 category and then we'll make a final vote on the 19 calendars as presented. 20 It's pretty straightforward. The goal 21 hopefully, maybe that's interpretation, 22 hopefully that's pretty straightforward. There

are a few caveats I'll point out. 1 The goal of 2 this is again, just to try to focus the conversation on particularly contentious 3 measures, and those that are straightforward we 4 5 can move through pretty easily. Lead discussants, don't feel like you 6 7 need to raise your placard to discuss the measure that you've been prepared to discuss if there's 8 9 not really a lot of controversy around it. 10 And finally, we do recognize that some 11 of you would like to make statements about the 12 measures even if it's not around the 13 categorization. So at the end of the consent 14 calendars, once we've completed the final vote on 15 the consent calendars for the programs, we would 16 welcome some conversation or position statements 17 as it relates to the measures. Any concern 18 around unintended consequences, things that 19 people would like to capture for the record. 20 So, that is the voting process. I do 21 have a few other topics I'd like to discuss. 22 It's really important that we get this right from

the start, or at least we get some practice with 1 2 it, but get it right close to the start. So, if there are any questions on that particular 3 4 portion of the agenda, I would welcome that now. So logistics. 5 Okay, great. Laura, who you've been introduced to, will walk you 6 7 through how to operationally use the voting tool once we get started with our first program. 8 So 9 we won't spent time doing that. Once you need to 10 use it, we'll give you some tutorials on that. 11 I'd like to point out to the workgroup 12 in full disclosure. We're operating in a 13 continuous improvement environment, the voting 14 tool and the process of developing the final 15 consent calendar is brand new to you and to us, 16 and you are the first workgroup to use it. So 17 we've tested it a number of times. I want to 18 assure you that we've tested it a number of 19 times, but please bear with us as we pilot this 20 and hopefully we can make this work the first 21 time and we'll make changes in real time. These 22 learnings will be translated to other workgroups
that are meeting later this week and next week, so you'll see some of our other colleagues from NQF here eagerly interested to see how this process works.

5 And so, the only other thing I'd point out is that NQF staff, if there are discrepancies 6 7 between the discussion and the voting, we will ask the workgroup to provide additional 8 9 clarification. Both for the purposes of our 10 final deliverable, but also for the purposes of 11 our colleagues at CMS so that there's clarity 12 around the discussion and the final votes, making 13 sure that those are consistent.

14 I'd also point out that we have a 15 public comment period. During these in-person 16 meetings there are two 15-minute public comment 17 periods for NQF members and public commenting on 18 the meeting days. We would be accepting those 19 over the phone. Just as a reminder, all these 20 meetings are open to the public, so there are 21 members of the public who are dialed in for the 22 two days, and in the meeting room. Any members

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

of the public and developers are welcome to speak
 during these designated public comment periods.
 The workgroup members are not expected to
 respond, but should consider these comments very
 thoughtfully in their deliberations.

And finally I would just point out, in 6 7 my summary here, to say that -- before we get started with OQR, that the work that we're 8 9 undertaking here is a multi-stakeholder effort 10 with various perspectives, values and priorities 11 for discussion. We would encourage everyone to 12 respect these differences of opinion and 13 encourage collegial interactions among Committee 14 members is generally expected.

Since the meeting agendas are quite typically full, as you'll see right here, we want to note that all Committee members, in addition to the Co-Chairs, developers and staff, are responsible for ensuring that we're able to get our work done over the next two days. And again, just before we get started,

22 a very big thank you from NQF staff for the time

and the guidance that you've provided us over the last year in enhancing the materials that are in front of you. And again, we just point out that we will be having a discussion at the end of day two on how well this went, to make sure that we can continue to make these enhancements going forward.

So I would just ask the Chairs to open 8 9 it up for just any other questions before we turn 10 it over to Erin and Poonam to begin the 11 conversation with our first program.

12 CHAIR OPELKA: Taroon, thank you very 13 much. So for those of you who come from states 14 where you get to vote early and often, we can try 15 and get you two of these.

16 But anyway, does anybody have any 17 questions about this? This is entirely new and 18 we are absolutely the guinea pig. Nancy? 19 MS. FOSTER: Taroon, I'm looking

forward to see how this goes. It looks like it 21 will be a better process and I'm really excited 22 about that. My question has to do with the

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

1

public comment period.

2	As you suggested, we would like to
3	I think the group as a whole would like to take
4	into account any thoughtful comments people want
5	to offer, but it looks like the public comment
6	comes after our voting. So I'm curious about how
7	we incorporate those intelligent public comments
8	into our considerations? And my specific
9	proposal would be that, if it's not too
10	disruptive, we actually offer public comment
11	before the vote is taken.
12	MR. AMIN: So Nancy, I have some
13	thoughts on that, but I'll turn it over to the
14	Chairs as well.
15	I'd just first like to point out that
16	there was again, another instituted change as
17	part of the MAP deliberations, to institute an
18	early public comment period for a week. Which
19	was not a lot of time, but there was time. And
20	so, Erin and I will be discussing those public
21	comments as it relates to every individual
22	measure as we go forward through the

deliberations. So we'd like to believe that 1 2 those comments will be part of the deliberations. However if there are additional public 3 comments, the structure of our meeting has 4 5 traditionally been to have the two of them structured throughout the day, but it obviously 6 7 is up to the Chairs if they would prefer to institute additional public comments as we go 8 9 through final decisions on the consent calendars. 10 So, I would say that is operationally possible, 11 but I would leave that to the Chairs' discretion. 12 So, any other thoughts CHAIR OPELKA: 13 from the group on that? And my biggest concern 14 is meeting our deadline, meeting our timeline. 15 So if we're going to have public comment prior to 16 the vote, I would be okay with that except that 17 we go right to the votes. Because we cannot keep 18 opening up deliberation endlessly and get through 19 our agenda. 20 And for those of you who are new to 21 the NQF process, if you haven't determined this 22 already, if you're even thinking about speaking,

you can get your card ready and we'll try and 1 2 create a queue, but once you put it up, we got you in the queue so that we can get to you. 3 4 So, yes, Marty? 5 MR. HATLIE: So, the potential comments we'd get today -- I like Nancy's idea, 6 first of all. I just think it's inclusive and I 7 like it for that reason. The comments would come 8 9 from the people in the gallery here and then 10 possibly over the phone? Do we have any sense of 11 how many people are actually listening in today? 12 I'm just trying to think about the volume of 13 comments that we might get. 14 MS. O'ROURKE: Operator, would you be 15 able to tell us how many people are dialed into 16 the phone portion of the meeting right now? 17 OPERATOR: Yes, ma'am. We have about 18 13 on line. 19 MR. HATLIE: So, we don't have a huge 20 group. 21 MR. AMIN: I would also point out that 22 there's about 31 that are in the Web chat as

So, but that shouldn't -- many of them may 1 well. 2 be NQF staff, so I don't know, but I'm just 3 saying --4 MR. HATLIE: I'd like to support 5 Nancy's comments and then suggest that we could perhaps just curtail the time for making comments 6 7 to keep us on schedule. Thank you. 8 CHAIR OPELKA: So, one of the other 9 just logistic points is that when you speak, if 10 you can get the microphone in position to pick up 11 The folks on the phone won't hear your voice. 12 So, I almost feel like if -- since you if not. 13 everyone's kind of turning this way, that the 14 mics have got to be on this side, on your left. 15 On this side, on your right. So that we are 16 picking you up. 17 But I am not hearing everyone through 18 the microphone system. I'm hearing you in the 19 But if I don't hear you through the mic room. 20 system, then the people on the phone will not

22 that would be very helpful.

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

hear your comments. So if you could do that,

21

1 All right. So let's jump in. 2 Hospital Outpatient Quality Reporting Program is 3 first up. 4 MS. BAL: Okay. Before I start, I do 5 want to just give a little tutorial on how to use the Discussion Guide. Most of you are probably 6 7 familiar with it at this point, but -- oh, sorry. I'll speak louder. Is this better? 8 9 So we have -- the first page you'll 10 start with is the agenda, and it will just be 11 going through the consent calendars and you'll 12 always have a link to the program summaries. So 13 you can click on this section, it will get you a 14 program summary, and then you can just push the 15 backspace button and it will bring you back to 16 the page you were just on. You can also go to 17 Programs and then select a program summary that 18 you would like to review if that's a quicker way 19 for you, and then again just push backspace and 20 you'll be back at the page you want to be at. 21 Same thing with if you wanted -- we've 22 included the description here for each measure,

but if you want to see the whole, full description -- sorry, specifications. You can just click here, and it will go directly to that measure and then backspace again, or you can go to Measures and then select whatever measure you would like to go to.

And then the last feature is just the 7 preliminary analysis. We'll have a summary here, 8 9 but if you want to see the full analysis based on 10 the algorithm that we shared with you in October, 11 you can just click here and it will go directly 12 to that measure for this program. Or you can go 13 back and go to Analysis and find the program and also which measure it's under review for. 14

So that's the basic -- just how to use
the Discussion Guide. And now I'll go into the
Hospital Outpatient Quality Reporting Program.

18 MR. AMIN: Poonam, can I just ask one 19 question of the workgroup. Just want to make 20 sure you all have this downloaded on -- this is 21 the Discussion Guide file. If you have any 22 questions, let us know.

Just want to make sure this is the 1 2 primary material for the meeting, just want to make sure you have it on your screen. 3 If you 4 have any problems, let us know and we'll come by 5 and make sure you are ready to go. Go ahead, Poonam. 6 Thanks. 7 MS. BAL: I guess I should also ask does anybody have any questions before I move 8 9 forward? Okay. Perfect. 10 So the Hospital Outpatient Quality 11 Reporting Program, or OQR which it's commonly 12 known as, is a paper reporting program. The 13 information on the measure is supported on the 14 Hospital Compare website. If a hospital does not 15 report their data on required measures, it will 16 receive a two-percent reduction in their annual 17 Medicare payment update. This focuses on 18 outpatient services, which is clinic visits, 19 emergency department visits and critical care 20 services. And one of the other goals is, supply 21 consumers with quality of care information. 22 So, the critical program objectives

that we came to consensus on in the October 1 2 meeting were that it focuses on measures that are high-impact and support measure priorities. 3 It 4 aligns OOR measures with the ambulatory care 5 measures, and that some of the gaps that were identified in previous years are emergency 6 department overcrowding, wait times, disparities 7 in care. Basically any disproportionate use of 8 9 EDs by vulnerable populations.

10 Other gaps are measures of cost, 11 patient-reported outcomes, patient and family 12 engagement, follow-up procedures, fostering 13 important ties to community resources to enhance 14 care coordination efforts in an outpatient CAHPS 15 model.

So that's the critical program
objectives that we really focus on when building
the preliminary analysis. And I'll give it to
Erin now to go over our first measure.

20 MS. O'ROURKE: Thanks, Poonam. So I'd 21 like to start by reviewing the consent calendars 22 for the fully developed measures.

I did want to make one disclosure. 1 2 You might see my voting. I am voting as a proxy for Sean Morrison on the phone. Just to let 3 4 everyone know there's no funny business going on, 5 and staff do not have votes, these are Sean's that I'm tallying for him. 6 7 DR. MORRISON: Thank you. MS. O'ROURKE: The first measure that 8 9 you'll see received a preliminary analysis of 10 support is Advanced Care Plan. This measure 11 addresses an important aspect of patient 12 engagement, promotes alignment across programs 13 and is NQF-endorsed. This measure is currently 14 used in the Physician Feedback and the Physician 15 Quality Reporting System Programs, and we'll also 16 be reviewing it for the Ambulatory Surgical 17 Center Quality Reporting Program. 18 I should note, this measure is in a 19 number of MAP families of measures, including the 20 care coordination, dual eligible beneficiaries 21 and hospice families of measures. We received 22 one public comment on this measure that was

generally supportive of including it in the OQR Program.

The second measure that had a 3 4 preliminary analysis of support is External Beam 5 Radiotherapy for Bone Metastases. External beam radiation can help provide patients with pain 6 relief. This measure has a demonstrated 7 performance gap of nearly 20 percent, and 8 9 including this measure in the OQR Program would 10 achieve a goal that this workgroup has stated 11 numerous times, to begin to expand cancer care 12 measurement to settings beyond the PPS-exempt 13 cancer hospitals. We did not receive any 14 comments on this measure. 15 Finally, you'll see a health-literacy 16 measure derived from the health-literacy domain 17 of the C-CAT. This measure would address an 18 important aspect of patient and family 19 engagement, a gap that this workgroup has 20 identified for this program. Studies have shown that better scores 21 on measures of health-literacy are correlated 22

1

2

with important indicators of health care quality. 1 2 We did not receive any comments on this measure. Moving on to the next calendar, we 3 have conditional support pending NOF endorsement. 4 5 We have a measure addressing Use of Brain Computed Tomography in the Emergency Department 6 This measure is 7 for Atraumatic Headache. currently in the OQR Program, but public 8 9 reporting has been postponed. 10 This measure would help to improve 11 efficiency and reduce unnecessary utilization of 12 imaging in the ED for patients presenting with an 13 atraumatic headache where the clinical value of 14 imaging appears limited. And NQF review and 15 endorsement would address the technical concerns 16 that have arisen about this measure. 17 18 We did receive three comments on this 19 One was generally supportive. measure. Two 20 commenters noted similar concerns, specifically 21 noting there is not a clinical evidence base to 22 support performance measurement of CT imaging in

Medicare beneficiaries with headache. 1 Second, 2 the commenter does not support implementation of an administrative measure, such as this one 3 4 currently in the program as OP-15, without 5 validation by chart review. Third, the commenter is concerned that implementation of OP-15 would 6 7 create pressure for hospitals and emergency clinicians to reduce the use of brain CT in this 8 9 older population who are at high risk of having 10 significant intercranial findings without any 11 evidence as to how to do so safely or 12 appropriately.

13 So on your next calendar you'll see a 14 set of seven NQF-endorsed measures. Basically 15 they're preliminary analysis of conditional 16 support pending the development of a single 17 composite measure, and CMS has asked that we 18 consider these measures as a set. So if you want 19 to pull one for conversation, we'll be pulling 20 the whole set. We've been asked not to pick and 21 choose through these seven.

22

So the first addresses Administrative

Communication. This would help to identify --1 2 actually I can make these comments for all of the They're all aspects of transferring 3 measures. portions of the medical record information to the 4 5 next site of care. So this measure would address a previously identified gap around improving care 6 coordination and would ensure vital information 7 is transferred between sites of care. 8 9 These measures are the emergency 10 department transfer communication measure set 11 which consists of seven components that focus on 12 communication between facilities and the transfer 13 of patients. This measure set assists in filling 14 the workgroup identified priority gap of 15 enhancing care coordination aspect. 16 We did receive some one comment on 17 some of the aspects of this measure noting the 18 importance of HIT and improving the transfer of

19 this type of information.

20 And I believe those are the consent 21 calendars for the fully developed measures, so 22 I'll turn it over to Frank to facilitate

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

www.nealrgross.com

1

conversation.

2 CHAIR OPELKA: All right. So 3 according to our process, we are at the point 4 where we are considering these consent calendars 5 and any item we wish to pull from the consent calendar with the idea of moving it to another 6 consent calendar. 7 Michael? 8 9 I would support removing DR. PHELAN: 10 conditional support for OP-15 to do not support 11 based on the comments that were already 12 mentioned. There were concerns about this 13 measure from the very beginning when it was 14 developed for the age of the patients that are 15 being -- it's an efficiency measure looking at 16 the utilization of head CT for patients with 17 headaches, and it's just the wrong patient 18 population. Our guidelines don't support using 19 it, and even some of the data from more extensive 20 evaluation of this from CMS, even indicates that 21 there potentially could be two to five percent of 22 patients within a 30-day period who didn't get a

CT that have a complication related to that 1 2 visit. So I think from the perspective of 3 4 some the public comments that were made, and the 5 concerns that the American College of Emergency Physicians have about this measure, I would 6 recommend that we do not support the measure. 7 CHAIR OPELKA: All right. 8 Second? 9 Thank you. Nancy? 10 MS. FOSTER: Do you want full explanation or just a list? 11 12 CHAIR OPELKA: Well, let's start with 13 the list. 14 MS. FOSTER: I'd like to pull Advance 15 Care Plan, External Beam radiation 16 Radiotherapy, the health-literacy measure, and 17 the multifaceted composite measure that's 18 suggested for conditional support. If you want 19 to call them multifaceted, the composite measure. 20 CHAIR OPELKA: Well, you have to also 21 direct them to what particular --22 You'd like to know where MS. FOSTER:

54

1

they go?

2 CHAIR OPELKA: -- consent calendar 3 you'd like them to go to.

4 MS. FOSTER: Okay. Do that one at a 5 time. So for the Care Plan I would like to suggest do not support. For the health-literacy 6 7 measure I'd like to suggest do not support. For the External Beam Radiotherapy I would like to 8 9 suggest conditional support. And for the 10 composite measure, conditional support. Sorry, 11 conditional support but not pending NQF 12 endorsement. Conditional support -- what was the 13 other alternative there? 14 MS. O'ROURKE: We had conditional 15 support pending development of a single 16 composite. 17 MS. FOSTER: Right. 18 CHAIR OPELKA: Okay. And a second? 19 Second. Thank you, Richard. 20 MS. KOPLEFF: Could we just restate 21 the recommendation for the composite? 22 CHAIR OPELKA: So it's now moving to

conditional support pending development of a
composite.
MS. O'ROURKE: So, I think are we
okay then with it's
CHAIR OPELKA: It's already there.
MS. O'ROURKE: with where it is?
The one before it was conditional support pending
endorsement, sorry, the composite components are
actually part of calendar 3. So that's
conditional support pending development of the
composite. They're currently already NQF
endorsed. So, it would just be if they go into a
composite is our condition.
MS. FOSTER: So if they go into a
composite and that is NQF-endorsed? Is that what
the or they go into a composite that we've
never seen but we're being asked to vote on it?
MS. O'ROURKE: Oh, okay. So we should
perhaps add a caveat that the composite would
need to be NQF reviewed and endorsed.
MS. FOSTER: Under those circumstances
I'll agree with the

1	CHAIR OPELKA: Okay.
2	MS. FOSTER: I will pull my
3	pull
4	CHAIR OPELKA: So the consent calendar
5	
6	MS. FOSTER: if that works.
7	CHAIR OPELKA: As it stands in the
8	consent calendar. Okay?
9	Any other aspects of this? Jack?
10	DR. FOWLER: I just have a quick
11	question. On the Brain Tomography one a low
12	score is good score, is that right? That is the
13	fewer X-rays you have, the better? Is that the
14	way it works?
15	CHAIR OPELKA: Yes, I don't have the
16	specifications of the measure.
17	PARTICIPANT: Yes.
18	DR. FOWLER: Thank you.
19	CHAIR OPELKA: All right. So, Emma?
20	MS. KOPLEFF: With the Brain
21	Tomography measure, I just thank you for
22	sharing the evidence based around the guidelines,

58

2 CHAIR OPELKA: Emma. I'm sorry. We're only at a point of pulling --3 4 MS. KOPLEFF: Oh, thank you. 5 CHAIR OPELKA: -- or not pulling from the consent calendar. 6 7 MS. KOPLEFF: Okay. Thank you. CHAIR OPELKA: 8 So are we set? Any 9 other changes to the consent calendar? 10 The consent calendars stand. Okay. do we have discussion now about any 11 We have 12 ones we've moved? 13 MR. AMIN: Yes. So, ideally we can 14 take them one at a time and just recognize where 15 the -- look at the proposal on the new 16 categorization, have some discussion and vote on 17 hands, whatever you want to do, and then go one 18 by one. 19 CHAIR OPELKA: So we're starting? 20 MS. O'ROURKE: Should we start with 21 the Advance Care Plan? I believe there was a 22 motion to move that one from support to do not Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC (202) 234-4433

1

that's helpful.

1 support. 2 MR. AMIN: Yes, we'll start at the 3 top. 4 MS. O'ROURKE: Start at the top? 5 MR. AMIN: Advance Care Plan. CHAIR OPELKA: 6 So --MR. AMIN: Nancy's motion was to move 7 8 to do not support on this one. 9 CHAIR OPELKA: Okay, and then we've 10 got lead discussions of Jamie and David. 11 So, Jamie, any comments? David? 12 MS. ROBERTSON: I don't have any 13 comments. 14 CHAIR OPELKA: So the motion that's on 15 the table now, is this is no longer in the 16 support category. It's moved to do not support 17 the Advance Care Plan. 18 MS. ROBERTSON: I'd be interested 19 hearing the reasoning and rationale for moving it 20 to do not support. 21 CHAIR OPELKA: Sure. 22 MS. FOSTER: So we actually are very

supportive of the notion that patients should have Advance Care Plans. The question is its application in the outpatient care setting, 4 broad-based for any patient that comes in that's 65, because hospital outpatient clinics and other services are a wide variety of activities.

7 If this measure were centered around those clinics that had patients 65 and over who 8 9 had particular conditions. So if we were talking 10 about it in the oncology clinic and in the 11 cardiac clinic and so forth, I get that -- or in 12 any of the primary care clinics. I would get 13 that but as it's written, at least as far as we 14 can tell, asking someone to assess whether a 15 patient has an Advance Care Plan when they come 16 in for their colonoscopy doesn't make any sense 17 because that kind of conversation should be 18 taking place between the clinician and the 19 patient when the clinician has a relationship 20 with that patient that's more than just a test or 21 a single service.

22

1

2

3

5

6

And so, we would like to see this

measure re-specified so that it is directed at 1 2 those sites where there is that ongoing relationship between the patient and the 3 4 clinician. 5 CHAIR OPELKA: Thank you. Mitchell? 6 DR. LEVY: So, now I want to act as 7 Sean's proxy. Sean, do you want to say anything about this? You there? 8 9 DR. MORRISON: I'm here, but on mute. 10 No, go ahead, Mitchell. I'm curious to hear what 11 you have to say first. 12 DR. LEVY: Well, I strongly disagree 13 with your comment. I think that we're trying to 14 work towards a culture in which we encourage all 15 providers to have these kind of conversations 16 with their patients. And often, speaking as a 17 critical care physician, we find ourselves in 18 situations where we don't know patients and 19 because they haven't happened in outpatient 20 settings enough, we have to have them under 21 duress, unfortunately. So to me this measure, 22 moving it out of inpatient and more broadly,

changes the culture in a way that I think would
 benefit patients in the long run.

And as a safety expert, one of the 3 4 things that I see that happens often are a lack 5 of advance directives or not readily available so that when they come in patients come in and 6 7 those directives are needed, they're not readily available. So I think that anything we can do to 8 9 encourage these conversations is in the best 10 interest of patients. 11 DR. MORRISON: Frank, it's Sean. Ι 12 put my tent card up. 13 CHAIR OPELKA: Well, that puts you at 14 the front of the list, Sean. 15 DR. MORRISON: Actually, the thing 16 that I was going to say was -- actually slightly 17 different from Mitchell was that I do believe 18 that Nancy did highlight a couple of 19 circumstances where this is not an appropriate 20 conversation, but I would say that in the vast 21 majority of those patient settings, it is an 22 appropriate conversation. And given the lack of

primary care and the fact that many Americans see their specialist as their primary care doctor, I think it's much harder to sort of -- the benefit outweighs the burden in my opinion about having these widespread discussions and encouraging them in each setting.

## CHAIR OPELKA: Ron?

CO-CHAIR WALTERS: 8 So there's a very 9 famous public case within the last six months 10 where a person went in for an endoscopy, 11 supposedly an uncomplicated procedure, and is not 12 with us anymore. So I mean, yes, the measure 13 could be split down to kind of like chance of a 14 bad thing happening, but that gets very difficult 15 to predict for any given measure. And I support 16 that when people go in for outpatient procedures, 17 from a safety perspective, their wishes need to 18 be known.

19 CHAIR OPELKA: Michael, is that you?
20 I need binoculars.
21 DR. PHELAN: I think the difficulty
22 here is the idea that this is like a children's

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

www.nealrgross.com

wish list for Christmas. It is a wonderful, 1 2 wonderful idea and the practicalities of implementing it though, become difficult in the 3 4 current EHR environment. I am leaning towards agreeing with 5 Nancy on, maybe the focus should be on one place 6 because I don't even know what percentage of 7 oncology patients have this currently documented 8 9 in their EHR. So the broad sweep would be 10 wonderful to have, but it gets -- implementing it 11 practically, gets very difficult. 12 So every ED patient that comes in 13 who's 65 and older to be addressed, whether they 14 have an Advance Care Plan, great idea. A lot our 15 patients don't need that discussion to happen 16 when they're coming in for certain care 17 processes. Whether or not that process should 18 happen when they get admitted to the hospital, 19 which is already a requirement, that's perfectly 20 fine, but it gets to the practicality of this. 21 CHAIR OPELKA: Nancy? 22 MS. FOSTER: Actually, Ron, I agree

with you. Everybody should have an Advance Care Plan. Even those of us under the age of 65 should be thinking about that and articulating what our wants are.

5 I'm envisioning, not a conversation taking place, but multiple conversations taking 6 7 place, because patients go to multiple different providers. And the legal nightmare of trying to 8 9 sort out the difference between what a patient 10 told his or her cardiologist versus what they 11 told the radiologist versus what they told the ER doc when they saw them, will create a quagmire 12 13 that I think is unacceptable and confusing and 14 not getting us where we want to go.

15 So if you said to me let's not only 16 put a measure in, but let's figure out how we're 17 going to encourage people to really put in 18 writing what they want to have happen, I'm right 19 with you. It's the multiplicity of 20 conversations.

You know, Mitchell commented that
having this conversations when the patient is in

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

www.nealrgross.com

critical care is not the most productive time to 1 2 have that conversation. I agree with that, but it's probably also not the most productive 3 4 conversation when they've just been rushed into 5 the ER or they're there for a test or to have a wart removed or something else, because those 6 7 aren't ongoing relationship. And that's sort of the nub of it for me. 8 9 CHAIR OPELKA: **Richard?** 10 DR. BANKOWITZ: So I think everyone 11 agreed that this is such an important area that 12 no one is disagreeing patients need to have 13 advance directives and surrogates. I'm concerned 14 about how we measure it, though. 15 Is this the best way to measure it?

Because it seems like it's essentially a checkthe-box, yes, we've documented. And I can see the unintended consequence of every time someone registers for anything under the sun, they're going to be asked the same questions over and over again. And I'm not sure if this is really a meaningful conversation. Is it really going to

get us where we need to be? Are we going to turn then to a check-the-box?

CHAIR OPELKA: 3 Marty? MR. HATLIE: The point Rich just made 4 5 is essentially the point I was going to make. Ι think there can be confusion amongst providers, 6 7 just if these people are exposed to different forms in different places, I've seen it happen. 8 9 I'm less concerned about the legal quagmire than 10 I am about just the practical quagmire of people 11 signing different forms in different places 12 without it being explained, because it's just 13 going to be a box-checking process. I think it 14 does take a relationship to decide what kind of 15 advance directive you want.

CHAIR OPELKA: Mitchell?

DR. LEVY: So I'm surprised by the conversation. Maybe it's my bias, but I thought this was like mom and apple pie. So I feel if this is the conversation we're having on the first measure, we're in for a long two days. I feel that I agree with Sean, that

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

16

www.nealrgross.com

the benefit outweighs the burden. I think we 1 have a culture in which having these 2 conversations is not routine. 3 Most physicians are uncomfortable with it. And I think this 4 5 moves the field to ask. And, yes, there are times when it's probably not necessary, but we so 6 7 under-ask in every environment that moving the bar so that we routinely are expected to at least 8 9 Even if it's just checking a box, we know ask. 10 that that box checking motivates the field to 11 actually do something. So that's why I feel in 12 support of moving this into an outpatient venue. 13 CHAIR OPELKA: Donna? 14 Frank, just put my tent DR. MORRISON: 15 card up again. Sean. 16 CHAIR OPELKA: Sean, hold on just a 17 second. 18 DR. MORRISON: You got it. 19 MS. SLOSBURG: This is Donna Slosburg, 20 and I just want to say that I agree with Nancy, 21 Marty, and Michael. And I don't know if 22 everybody's aware, but this is in the Physician

Quality Reporting Program already. I think we're 1 2 going to be doing duplicate work. But to your point, Mitchell, I 3 understand that we do have to have that 4 5 conversation. And as Nancy said, it's not that we don't think that we should have this 6 conversation. And I may be incorrect, so, Nancy, 7 correct me, but is it not also in your conditions 8 9 for participation? 10 MS. FOSTER: It is for inpatients, for 11 people who are admitted, as I think Mitchell 12 said. 13 MS. SLOSBURG: And again, to Nancy's 14 point about the fact that when somebody's coming 15 in for a procedure, I don't think that's the 16 point to have that conversation because they're 17 really wrapped up in having that procedure. 18 CHAIR OPELKA: Sean? 19 I think I would just DR. MORRISON: 20 add two points to that. The first is again it 21 often doesn't have to be an extensive 22 conversation, but just highlighting it I think is

absolutely key. And as Ron pointed out, I was 1 2 first -- we had at our institution that very famous case where it hadn't been discussed. 3 The second is that I can make the same 4 5 argument that taking somebody's blood pressure when they come in, on a routine 25-year-old for a 6 wart removal, is not appropriate and yet we do it 7 all the time because it's standard of care. And 8 9 I would like to see these discussions become 10 standard of care, and this is one way of getting them there. We don't have them enough and 11 12 they're absolutely critical. 13 CHAIR OPELKA: I thought you were 14 going to tell me we shouldn't be taking a blood 15 pressure for wart removal. 16 DR. MORRISON: I wasn't going to tell 17 you that, Frank. 18 CHAIR OPELKA: All right. 19 DR. MORRISON: But you can also think 20 of how many times it's not necessary. 21 CHAIR OPELKA: Andrea? 22 DR. BENIN: It looks to me as though

1	this metric is defined by administrative claims,
2	and I'm just wondering if we understand the
3	performance of this based on claims?
4	At our Children's Hospital, right? We
5	ask this when you come in, but I think it's
6	because it's a Joint-Commission or a CMS-
7	conditions-kind of thing, but I'm not sure that
8	we code for it. And so is I think that I'm
9	just not sure how this measure really performs
10	and whether anybody has that experience? That
11	would be my question.
12	CHAIR OPELKA: Any other comments?
13	Well, so I'll raise my tent card on this one.
14	I'm in the camp that Nancy's in. I see an
15	enormous need for having this for every patient.
16	I don't see a need for pushing this in every
17	environment. I just don't think it's
18	appropriate.
19	And I kind of turned to Ron a minute
20	ago and I said, really? This is what the
21	dermatologist has to do? I mean, I just don't
22	understand how this would be appropriate for

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

every different type of clinical scenario and I think it would be more important to define those clinical scenarios where this would be a conversation that patients would be happy to have or pleased they had it.

Whether they agree with it or not is 6 7 up to the patient, but just to me it would strike me as odd if somebody's out there checking boxes 8 9 and asking me these questions. It's starting to 10 feel like I'm at the rental car agency and I've 11 got to check all those boxes in order to get the 12 car, and I just get done to get the car. It's 13 becoming that for the patients who are coming 14 into the office. They're getting a list of 15 things that they just have to check through, and 16 they're almost to the point of saying tell me 17 what I have to check so I can be seen and get out 18 of this office visit. I don't think that's 19 productive.

Dana?

MS. ALEXANDER: So in agreement that I think we're saying that having an Advance

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5
Care Plan conversation is important. 1 I think 2 what we've heard, too, is that the measure is in the Inpatient Quality Reporting and in Physician 3 4 Quality Reporting. So those conversations should 5 be happening with the Physician Quality Reporting, with the physicians and the patient, 6 7 in those physician offices. And then if there was an inpatient admission as well, too. 8 9 Correct? 10 MS. FOSTER: One clarification. Ι 11 just want to be very clear, Dana. It's not in 12 Inpatient Quality Reporting, it's a condition of 13 participation. 14 MS. ALEXANDER: Okay. 15 MS. FOSTER: So you're assessed on it 16 when they come around and survey you. 17 MS. ALEXANDER: Thank you. 18 DR. GOODRICH: So the question was 19 asked about the performance of this measure. 20 It's in the PQRS Program, has been for awhile, 21 and the PQRS Program works differently from the 22 facility-based programs because clinicians choose

So it's not required of every 1 their measures. 2 physician, although last year we did finalize it 3 as part of a set of cross-cutting measures that 4 we required physicians to choose at least one 5 from. Most recent performance, which is 6 7 2013, looks like it's at about 42 percent. So for clinicians who choose this measure, on 8

average, that is the performance rate. Obviously higher is better.

## CHAIR OPELKA: Christie?

12 MS. TRAVIS: So just a clarification 13 question. Can you remind us what facilities are 14 covered under the Outpatient Quality Reporting? 15 Like what entity is covered under OQR? Somebody? 16 DR. YONG: It covers a whole wide 17 So it could be an outpatient range of OQR. 18 physician office that's associated with the 19 hospital. Emergency departments are covered

emergency department, it does cover a wide range of facilities.

under -- for patients who get discharged from the

(202) 234-4433

9

10

11

20

21

22

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

www.nealrgross.com

1 MS. TRAVIS: Okay. So my question 2 kind of is -- and I guess I've gotten a little confused, because it would seem to me that you 3 would want to know if someone has an advance 4 5 directive if someone shows up for a surgical procedure in the like a hospital outpatient 6 7 department. Or if they're in the emergency department, wouldn't you want to know whether 8 9 they have an advance directive? 10 So I guess I'm trying to understand 11 why we wouldn't want to know that? Why the 12 facility wouldn't want to know that so that they 13 could follow it if the patient has one, and if 14 they don't have one, it seems to me that somebody 15 I would hope, might be able to say, well, if 16 something happens to me when I'm getting my 17 colonoscopy, this is what I want. 18 So I'm trying to be sure I understand 19 the intent, because it seems to me that if you're 20 coming in for a procedure that you would want to 21 know whether or not the patient has already made 22 these decisions, so that you could follow those

decisions if they have been made. 1 2 CHAIR OPELKA: Shelley? MS. FULD NASSO: I think that's a good 3 Christie and I would be interested to 4 point. 5 know if just asking if you have it qualifies to check the box here, because it does seem like for 6 7 a lot of these we would want to know. I think there have been some really 8 9 good arguments presented on both sides of it. Ι 10 just think I err on the side of changing the 11 culture and what Mitchell and Sean said, that 12 I think that the more we ask, the more this 13 becomes commonplace and not something that is 14 only if you're in a certain circumstance you need 15 to have this function. Because everyone should 16 have it and not everybody has relationships with 17 their providers. And so maybe going in for this 18 procedure or going to the ED for something that's 19 not critical is really their only contact. So I 20 just think if we want to change culture, we have 21 to make it not this stigmatized thing that only 22 needs to happen in certain situations.

CHAIR OPELKA: Michael, is that up
 again? Yes. Go ahead.

I agree, but I think 3 DR. PHELAN: 4 there's got to be some stratification here. Like 5 Frank mentioned, coming in for a wart removal where you're not getting anesthesia when you're 6 getting there, probably not. In the vast 7 majority of ED visits you probably don't need to 8 9 have an advance directive discussion. When the 10 patient is being admitted for a condition, 11 perhaps, and that's already a condition of 12 participation.

13 But it brings to the forefront, every 14 single person that comes in for an ED visit 15 getting questioned if they have an advanced 16 directive. It becomes like a check box. Did you 17 give smoking cessation counseling? Sure, we gave 18 it in the 400-thing packet that is there, and I'm 19 not sure it gets to the point that you're trying 20 to make. Maybe the primary care physicians need 21 to -- this needs to be something that they own. 22 When you say that, then you say, well, a lot of

(202) 234-4433

people think my cardiologist is my primary care physician. So at least in the American health system it gets very difficult to say who will have that.

5 Now whether or not it should be a mandatory condition of participation for anyone 6 7 who's got Medicare, that that needs to be somehow uploaded or put onto your care. Changing the onus 8 9 from a measure that's going to be a burden to 10 hospitals and outpatient clinics that don't 11 really deal with this issue to the insurer saying 12 you have a primary care doctor. You need to have 13 an advance directive on place in your record, 14 just like you have to have a Social Security 15 number or your Medicare number or something like 16 that, maybe that's where the onus should be.

Burdening the clinics -- the dermatology clinics who it's not going to become an high-impact question unless you're getting melanoma or something like that, but then it becomes another issue. But where the burden is going to lie is an issue that I see coming out

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

here.

-	
2	It's a tremendously important issue.
3	We all agree. Oh, my God, we have to have
4	advance directives. And you're doing that, but
5	the burden and where the measure is going to
6	occur, seems to me it's focused in the wrong
7	place right now.
8	CHAIR OPELKA: Wei?
9	DR. YING: I think actually that
10	Michael's previous comment, when I tried to
11	process it, I actually got to the opposite
12	conclusion. I think we try to say this is more
13	proper if we react to something. If something is
14	truly bad going to happen, then we should ask.
15	But in order to change the culture we need to be
16	proactive. It becomes something that routinely
17	we should be asking.
18	And we keep saying if it's a physician
19	measure, it's okay. If it's a hospital measure,
20	it's okay. But for outpatient clinic it's not
21	okay. And this measure looks like the
22	denominator is patient. It's not every single

So hopefully in the environment of EHR 1 visit. 2 for an outpatient setting the patient comp using that site for different procedures or different 3 4 service, they only get one time, hopefully, in 5 the EHR environment. Then they are done. The box is checked. And at least the culture gets 6 7 moving in that direction instead of every time it's always reactive. 8

## CHAIR OPELKA: Richard?

10 DR. BANKOWITZ: So I do agree that 11 there needs to be a cultural shift. The question 12 is is the payment system the way to establish 13 that cultural shift? I don't think so. If we 14 tie payment to a measure like this, you have to 15 understand there are adverse consequences. If 16 you want this to be 100 percent, you'll get it to 17 100 percent by having everyone comment and do a 18 proforma at the front desk. And I don't know if 19 that is moving us in the right direction. It's 20 not going to change the culture. I think we're 21 relying on the payment system here to do more 22 than it can do. And so, I think we need to

(202) 234-4433

9

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

www.nealrgross.com

attack this problem, a very important problem,
 from another direction.

CHAIR OPELKA: 3 Brock? 4 MR. SLABACH: I just wanted to point 5 out that if you look at the measure description and take it seriously, if the patient does not 6 7 have an advance directive, it appears that in order to check the box, you have to have a 8 9 discussion with the patient who did not wish or 10 was able to name a surrogate decision maker, or 11 provide an advance care plan. In these high 12 volume settings where the turnover is pretty 13 quick, these are discussions that aren't very 14 productive in that environment for that to occur.

So, I think it's different from your question, Christie, about knowing whether they have an advance directive. I think that's good to know in case something happens, but then for this measurement it's going beyond that and saying you have to have that extensive discussion in order to check the box.

22

CHAIR OPELKA: Okay. So, we are not

at a point where I think we've got general 1 2 We seem to be going from one side to consensus. 3 the other, back and forth. So we're at a point 4 of voting rather than trying to accept general 5 consensus to move this to the do not support calendar, which is the motion. 6 7 So we are going to have to vote, but before we do, we're going to have to learn how to 8 9 vote often. 10 So, Laura, are you going to walk us 11 through this? 12 MS. IBRAGIMOVA: Yes. So at the 13 beginning of the meeting you were each assigned a 14 clicker. These things are automatically on, so 15 don't use them if you're not voting because then 16 that might affect the voting. 17 So, you only have these three choices 18 at this point, so you can only choose one, two or 19 Okay? And only the last number that you three. 20 press will be captured. So if you mess up a vote 21 or want to change your vote, then just press the 22 last number. And you can only vote when I'm in

full screen. And you'll have only 10 seconds to 1 2 So once I call the vote, press your number vote. and then we'll vote. 3 But the motion -- I want to 4 DR. LEVY: 5 make sure we're -- the motion is to move it --6 MS. IBRAGIMOVA: Oh, but --DR. LEVY: Frank, I just want 7 8 to --9 MS. IBRAGIMOVA: Yes. 10 DR. LEVY: Frank, can we clarify? So 11 I just want to -- because the way this looks, 12 it's support, but the motion is to move the 13 measure to do not support. So can we clarify 14 that? 15 CHAIR OPELKA: We're in agreement. 16 We're having a conversation right now as to how 17 we get to a simple yes/no. So the intent is that 18 we would vote to move this to a consent calendar. 19 And when we're done, we will then vote on the 20 consent calendars, which hopefully should be a 21 perfunctory vote that we're all in alignment with 22 the consent calendars. So at this point we're --

MR. AMIN: Yes, so while we're waiting 1 2 to get the slide to reflect this, what's in front of you right now; let's just clarify the vote, is 3 4 that we have this advanced care plan measure. 5 The question is on the motion that Nancy has put forward on moving it to do not support. 6 7 MS. ALEXANDER: So one is yes? Two is What's it going to be? 8 no? 9 MR. AMIN: Laura, can you just change 10 the slide to say do you agree with the motion? 11 MS. IBRAGIMOVA: Yes. 12 MR. AMIN: The motion is to move to do 13 not support. So if you say yes, you're moving to 14 do not support. 15 MS. ALEXANDER: And yes is one? 16 MS. IBRAGIMOVA: Yes. 17 MR. AMIN: Yes is one. 18 MS. IBRAGIMOVA: Yes is one, and two 19 is no. 20 MS. ALEXANDER: And what is three? 21 MS. IBRAGIMOVA: There is no 22 three in this --

1 MR. AMIN: There's no three. 2 MS. IBRAGIMOVA: -- in this voting. MS. ALEXANDER: 3 Okay. 4 MR. AMIN: So let's stop here and make 5 sure everyone is clear. The question is do you agree with the motion that's been put forward by 6 Nancy to move the measure to do not support? 7 It currently is in support. One is yes, and two is 8 9 Are there any questions on what the question no. 10 is in front of us? 11 CHAIR OPELKA: Marty? 12 This may be a broader MR. HATLIE: 13 question. I'll try to make it succinct. I 14 thought Nancy's motion was to re-specify this 15 So I'm wondering if the discussion will measure. 16 be --17 CHAIR OPELKA: We can't change a 18 measure. 19 MR. HATLIE: Okay. 20 CHAIR OPELKA: - so we are just 21 moving it to do not support. If it falls in do 22 not support and the measure developer wants to

consider re-specification, that's something they 1 2 do outside of our Committee. 3 MR. HATLIE: Okay. 4 CHAIR OPELKA: It would just be the 5 minutes from our Committee would be something that measure developer could pick up and decide 6 7 whether or not they want to do that. 8 MR. HATLIE: Thank you. 9 CHAIR OPELKA: All right. So there's 10 a clock up there, Laura? And do you tell us when 11 we're on the clock? 12 MS. IBRAGIMOVA: You can start voting 13 now pressing one or two. 14 (Voting) 15 And as a reminder one is MR. AMIN: 16 moving to do not support, and two remains in the 17 category that it is, which is support. 18 We're also just capturing some votes 19 via the web chat for the folks that are not in 20 the room with us, Committee members that are not 21 in the room with us. 22 CHAIR OPELKA: So this category moved to do not support.

2 All right. So first of all, let me just share with the group that we started with 3 OQR because we knew it would be the easy one. 4 5 (Laughter) CHAIR OPELKA: So that was one measure 6 7 in an hour, but we're learning. So what's our next one? 8 9 MS. O'ROURKE: The next one was the 10 external beam radiotherapy for bone metastases. 11 Nancy, you had motioned to move this 12 measure? 13 I did. MS. FOSTER: I motioned to 14 move it to conditional support. And mostly I 15 have a lot of questions about this measure; and 16 maybe the expertise in the room will be very 17 helpful here, but first I'd like to understand 18 from CMS why this measure is being brought 19 forward for the outpatient care setting. I mean, 20 if we're trying to build a system that would help 21 patients choose the right place for, in this 22 case, their cancer care, is this a measure that

you anticipated would help them make that right choice?

3 DR. YONG: So the measure, the intent 4 of the measure is to check for those patients who 5 fall into the denominator, whether they received appropriate radiation therapy. This measure has 6 7 already been finalized for the PPS Cancer-Exempt Hospital Quality Reporting Program. 8 There is 9 still a significant number of patients who 10 receive radiation therapy in outpatient settings 11 not at PPS cancer-exempt hospitals, which only 12 includes 11 cancer hospitals in the U.S. 13 So that's one of the reasons we wanted 14 to put it on the MUC list for OQR is because 15 there is that significant population who has 16 received radiation therapy in outpatient settings 17 and to align the quality measures across 18 programs. 19 MS. FOSTER: And I get that. I quess 20 I was trying to think about this from the purpose 21 of the public reporting program, the dual purpose 22 of both ensuring quality improvement -- and I can

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

www.nealrgross.com

understand how this might be a quality 1 2 improvement promoting measure, but to the other purpose of trying to communicate effectively to 3 4 the public about quality in a way that would help 5 them choose the right place for them to obtain I would be very interested in some expert 6 care. opinion as to whether this gives patients the 7 right information. 8

9 I am eager to move measures of good 10 cancer care into the broader programs. It's an 11 important aspect of care, but as a sort of lone 12 cancer measure it strikes me as maybe not quite 13 the right thing. And that was my dilemma with 14 this measure.

15 Nancy, just so I'm CHAIR OPELKA: 16 clear, so if this moves from support to 17 conditional support, what's the condition? 18 MS. FOSTER: That's a good question, 19 Frank. I don't want to say no to cancer 20 measures, but this just seemed a strange thing to 21 move forward. So maybe I'm really saying do not 22 support. But I'm trying to get -- help me

understand why this is the right lone cancer 1 2 measure to put into the OQR program now. If it 3 were coming in with a few other cancer care 4 measures, maybe I could see that that would give 5 a bolus of information to patients that would be effective in helping them to make choices, but 6 7 that was my dilemma. So I guess the condition is that it be coupled with other cancer measures and 8 9 brought forward at a time when that can --10 CHAIR OPELKA: Okay. All right. I 11 understand. 12 DR. MORRISON: Frank, it's Sean. Ι 13 just put my tent card up. 14 CHAIR OPELKA: All right. Sean, hang 15 on. Karen? 16 DR. MORRISON: You got it. 17 DR. FIELDS: So the majority of 18 radiation therapy occurs in the outpatient 19 setting and it's not hospital-based radiation 20 centers. When we reviewed this measure before 21 22 for endorsement at the Endorsement Committee, we

found that variation in the schedules was varied 1 2 widely and there's a potential for many fractions over a longer period of time which haven't been 3 demonstrated to be clinically effective but are 4 5 cost-ineffective. So there's a lot of abuse of over-radiation, long radiation schedules in a 6 7 patient population that it would be onerous to do If you have boning metastases, more 8 that in. 9 than likely you're at the end of your life coming 10 back and forth to a radiation facility for a 11 protracted course of radiation. Because billing 12 opportunities are higher is not an acceptable 13 measure.

So I would personally fully support this. NQF has endorsed this and I'm sure all the cancer centers from the ADCC and most of the cancer centers in the United States would support this measure.

19 CHAIR OPELKA: Marty? Sean?
20 DR. MORRISON: Just again to echo very
21 quickly that we're the only country in the world
22 where single fraction RT is not the standard of

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

www.nealrgross.com

care for bone mets because of the reimbursement 1 2 for multi-fraction RT. And it's been tried through professional guidelines and others to 3 4 change the scope of practice, and this actually 5 might do that and get patients what they need, which is one single fraction RT for painful bone 6 mets and not multiple trips back to the radiation 7 therapy department. 8 CHAIR OPELKA: 9 Great. Thank you. 10 **Pierre**? 11 DR. YONG: Just to add on what I was 12 saying before, we do think of this because

appropriate fractions of XRT is both addressing
sort of appropriate use as well as patient safety
in terms of overdosage or potentially overdosage.

whether or not the patient received the

17 And then also in terms of I believe, 18 Nancy, you also asked about performance. There 19 was an analysis done by ASTRO, which is the 20 American Society for Therapeutic Radiation and 21 Oncology, which said that 34 percent of patients 22 who were prescribed were over-prescribed

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

fractions of XRT.

2	CHAIR OPELKA: Karen?
3	DR. FIELDS: I also to a single
4	fraction, this includes multiple fractions. It
5	also includes appropriate solutions. We
6	discussed all of those extensively. So it's
7	still tailored towards the needs of the patient,
8	and there may be patients that would get longer
9	fractions, but certainly not the four and five-
10	week fractions that have been used around the
11	country.
12	CHAIR OPELKA: Nancy?
13	MS. FOSTER: So maybe I'll make this
14	easy for us. I will withdraw my motion, but I
15	would like the minutes to reflect the fact that I
16	do have concern that this measure, while it may
17	sing to clinicians and help us get to the right
18	quality improvement, and that in and of itself is
19	probably worth doing alone, I think you'll have a
20	really hard time explaining this one to the
21	public in a way that helps them make wise
22	choices. And I want to keep that in mind for the

94

measures that we're selecting, that we're really trying to help the public as well. And so that's my concern.
CHAIR OPELKA: All right. So we've
withdrawn the motion. Shelley, do you still need

6 to -7 MS. FULD NASSO: Just one point. I
8 think that most of these measures are not going
9 to make sense to consumers, so to me if it
10 changes practice to deliver the care patients

10 changes practice to deliver the care patients 11 need and really address that quality gap, that's 12 a worthwhile reason. I don't think patients are 13 going to understand a lot of these measures.

14 CHAIR OPELKA: So, Nancy, thank you 15 for that withdrawal, but we will capture this, I 16 think in the gaps discussion. I think it fits 17 there. And it highlights the fact that it's too 18 much of a lone wolf. We need more.

All right. What do we have next?
MS. O'ROURKE: So our next motion was
also from Nancy to move the health literacy
measure derived from the health literacy domain

of the C-CAT to a do not support.

2	MS. FOSTER: So health literacy, an
3	incredibly important issue. Directing materials
4	to patients in a way that they will understand
5	them, also incredibly important. Measuring and
6	holding hospital outpatient departments
7	responsible for health literacy seems to me to be
8	misguided. I don't know how they affect that in
9	any real way, and I've never seen any really good
10	ways to move that bar.
11	So while I think we should be
12	measuring health literacy in this country, it's
13	the application to this program that I'm
14	questioning, and for that reason move to move it
15	to do not support.
16	CHAIR OPELKA: Other comments?
17	Richard?
18	DR. BANKOWITZ: I seconded the motion
19	and I do so for the same reason. I think that
20	although it is important to understand the health
21	literacy of your population, trying to grade and
22	reimburse on the basis of that metric, which is

more community-based/population-based, doesn't 1 2 seem appropriate in this application. CHAIR OPELKA: Jack? 3 DR. FOWLER: Would someone sort of 4 5 describe how this would work? I mean, it's a 15-And just what standard was applied? 6 item quiz. 7 MS. O'ROURKE: We'll put the specs up on the screen. So the numerator of this measure 8 9 is the health literacy component of patient-10 centered communication. An organization should 11 consider the health literacy level of its current 12 and potential populations and use this 13 information to develop a strategy for the clear 14 communication of medical information verbally, in 15 writing and using other media. A measure is 16 scored based on 15 items from the patient care 17 survey of the C-CAT and 13 items from the staff 18 survey of the C-CAT. Minimum of 100 patient 19 responses and 50 staff responses. 20 The denominator is there are two 21 components to the target populations. One staff, clinical and non-clinical, and two patients. 22

Sites using this measure must obtain at least 50 1 2 staff responses and at least 100 patient 3 responses. 4 DR. FOWLER: So is this just a sample 5 of patients? A random sample of 100 patients answers the questions and if the place gets a 6 good score, then that's good? 7 I believe so. Vinitha, are 8 DR. YONG: 9 you on the line? 10 Yes, I'm here. Yes, this MS. MEYYUR: 11 is a sample of patients. A minimum of 100 patient responses and 50 staff responses would be 12 13 required in order to compute the data, or the 14 numbers for this. So, right. 15 DR. FOWLER: And what are the 16 questions about? I'm sorry. Because I haven't 17 seen the instrument. 18 MS. MEYYUR: So the questions or the 19 patient survey items are more about could you 20 find you way around the hospital? Could you 21 understand the hospital's signs or maps? Were the 22 hospital forms easy to fill out? So it's along

those lines. And did the doctor explain things
 to you?

And then there's the other piece of 3 4 this, which is the hospital staff survey. And 5 the items on the staff survey are about senior leaders having taken steps to create a more 6 7 welcoming environment for the patients, and it directs who provides -- if the nurse had 8 9 intervened, if staff were not respectful towards 10 patients or communication with patients. So those are some of the questions that the staff 11 12 would have to respond to. 13 So it's two sets of questions, one for 14 the patients and then a set of questions for the 15 staff, and the composite score combines the score 16 for both of those. 17 CHAIR OPELKA: Ron? 18 CO-CHAIR WALTERS: So, yes, of course 19 this is a measure that requires people filling 20 out another form, as we will talk about probably 21 frequently, but if you go to the full measure 22 specs tab on there for this one, again, one, it's

1

stewarded by the AMA.

2 Secondly, it is supposed to be a measure that's meaningful to patients that 3 focuses on health literacy related to patient-4 5 centered communication, high impact, meaningful to patients, etcetera, etcetera. And there are 6 7 actually some outcomes in the bottom derived from the entire C-CAT instrument which said a 5-point 8 9 increase in the measure results in more than a 10 one-third greater odds that patients will report high-quality medical care, more than 25 percent 11 12 greater odds that patients report a belief that 13 their medical records are kept private, and a 5-14 point increase is correlated with more than 25 15 percent decrease in the odds that a patient that believes that a mistake in their medical record 16 17 or medical care would be hidden from them. 18 So the C-CAT in general, I mean, has 19 some pretty meaningful stuff involved as far as 20 downstream outcomes. This is to test the 21 literacy question, part of that. And I think it - I wanted to read that because it is kind of 22

some important stuff. Okay? It's extra work, 1 2 but it does translate. 3 CHAIR OPELKA: Woody? 4 DR. EISENBERG: Do we know how the 5 sample of staff or patients is chosen? Vinitha, do you know the 6 DR. YONG: details about how the staff and patients are 7 sampled? 8 9 No, I don't know the MS. MEYYUR: 10 details. 11 CHAIR OPELKA: Michael? 12 DR. EISENBERG: Can I just --13 CHAIR OPELKA: I'm sorry, Michael. If 14 you'd hold a minute. Woody? 15 DR. EISENBERG: I'm assuming that 16 there's got to be some random way that that's 17 done, otherwise this will be a biased sample of 18 both staff and patients and it will be a useless 19 So it would be very helpful to know measure. 20 that. 21 CHAIR OPELKA: Michael? 22 DR. PHELAN: I think it's moving the

We want to be moving it. And I think the 1 ball. 2 support of a measure like this is probably worthwhile because most -- at least the larger 3 4 health care systems and hospitals that are large 5 enough to support the number of staff and patients that they see. I think this is where we 6 7 want health care to be going, so I think this is one of those measures -- I wouldn't quite say 8 9 it's mom and apple pie, but it's the direction we 10 want to go to and I think it's already been 11 vetted by the technical expert panels that have 12 looked at this. It's an AMA measure. I think 13 it's kind of the direction we want to go in. So 14 I would vote for supporting it, continuing 15 supporting it. 16 CHAIR OPELKA: Dana? 17 MS. ALEXANDER: So can we assume then 18 that this C-CAT tool is a standardized tool that 19 is already being administered in hospital 20 outpatient centers across the country? So it's 21 then --22 CHAIR OPELKA: I don't know that it --

it's a standardized tool. It's been vetted and 1 2 endorsed. The last part of being utilized is I can't answer that. 3 separate. 4 MS. ALEXANDER: Okay. Because I was 5 going to say if it's already in place and being administered in a very generalized way, it would 6 seem that again I would concur that this would be 7 capturing then the patient literacy level, and 8 9 would seem more to report. 10 CHAIR OPELKA: All right. I'm going 11 to try and go down this list. Nancy? 12 DR. HANRAHAN: I'm coming from the 13 dual-eligible Group, and literacy is a major issue that's related to all kinds of core 14 15 outcomes including hospital readmissions, so I 16 would support moving this particular one forward. 17 CHAIR OPELKA: Emma? 18 MS. KOPLEFF: Just wanted to second 19 some of the comments in support of the measure 20 because I do think measures that allow us to hear 21 from the patients get to an aspect of culture 22 change that we talked about relating to an

earlier measure. It provides a unique 1 2 opportunity to start to really integrate the patient perspective into quality improvement 3 4 activities. MS. FULD NASSO: I may be wrong, but 5 the way I'm reading this it sounds like the 6 measure is not so much about the health literacy 7 of the population, but how well the 8 9 communications from the hospital are targeted to 10 the levels of the patients. And to me that seems 11 very important. We should move forward. 12 CHAIR OPELKA: I think you're reading 13 that correctly. 14 Brock? 15 I think I would agree MR. SLABACH: 16 that this is an important aspect of care and that 17 patients should have high levels of literacy. 18 I'm just uncertain, in fact I'm not sure at all 19 that this is going to be the tool to incent a 20 facility to do this. I mean, I think they need 21 to have this as a behavioral issue perhaps and in 22 a cultural assessment maybe by a Joint Commission surveyor, but to put this into the OQR as a measure that could potentially be harmful to

4 issue. 5 Secondly, I'm not really entirely Does the hospital or the facility select a 6 sure. group and I go back now to the roll-out of this? 7 And then for smaller rural facilities you may not 8 9 have populations of your employees that are large 10 enough for 50 even in some cases. And then so you get statistical aberrations in some of those 11 12 facilities as far as how this plays out in your 13 community.

their reimbursement, I think that's one huge

So I just find this -- I think it's a laudable goal. I just don't know that this is the correct way to go about achieving it.

DR. PHELAN: Just one point. I don't think the OQR has a payment penalty associated with it. I don't know if CMS can correct on that. I think it's just a reporting program currently.

CHAIR OPELKA: It is a pay-for-

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

22

1

2

1

reporting program. Andrea?

2 DR. BENIN: I don't see an age specification on this measure, and I'm just 3 4 wondering if there's any more guidance about 5 whether or not there is an age specification on this. 6 7 My other commentary, just looking at the detail level of the questions and what these 8 9 questions actually look like, is that I'm 10 wondering if this is the kind of survey that you 11 typically use a vendor for. So this becomes the 12 kind of thing where you would need to hire Press 13 Ganey or Picker, or one of these things, to 14 supply the infrastructure for this. This is the 15 kind of survey that this looks like. 16 I mean, I would actually think that a 17 first step for this type of a measure would be 18 more of a structural measure. Are you surveying 19 this type of activity? And that that is an 20 appropriate way to start moving hospitals to 21 think about it. Much as we think about patient 22 satisfaction on these types of questions, they're

actually good customer service questions. 1 These 2 are like can you find your way around the hospital? Can you understand our signs? 3 4 Like these are important things for 5 how we think about our marketing, our business, driving our work. And they may be relevant for 6 health literacy also of course, but I think that 7 there are probably a couple of steps before 8 9 having those exact numbers be publicly reported. 10 So that would be my opinion on that. 11 CHAIR OPELKA: Mitchell? 12 DR. LEVY: Yes, a point of 13 clarification. So, for payment and penalties, 14 for inpatient you have to go through a year of 15 IQR reporting, at least a year before it could be 16 used in a payment program, so I assume the same 17 thing is true for OQR. Is that not true? 18 DR. YONG: There is --19 DR. LEVY: Can CMS, in order to 20 introduce something as a penalty and --21 DR. YONG: Well, I think you're 22 referring to hospital value-based purchasing --

1	DR. LEVY: Right. Exactly.
2	DR. YONG: which is our
3	payment
4	DR. LEVY: Yes.
5	DR. YONG: Which is payment program.
6	That's true that measures need to be publicly
7	reported on Hospital Compare for one year.
8	There's no - beyond HVBP, the only other payment
9	penalty programs are the HAC Reduction Program
10	and the Hospital Readmission Program, the
11	Hospital Readmission Reduction Program. There is
12	no specific payment program for outpatient
13	quality patient departments.
14	DR. LEVY: Okay.
15	CHAIR OPELKA: Jack?
16	DR. FOWLER: Just to be clear, and
17	maybe I guess this is for CMS. We're going to
18	talk about CAHPS questions when we get to the
19	next thing, and so there is going to be a new
20	CAHPS that's for outpatient hospitals, and that
21	looks like what's proposed. They have a
22	communication section, though I don't think they

ask about signage. So this would be another 1 2 survey that hospitals would contract for in addition to outpatient HCAHPS? 3 4 DR. YONG: That's correct. They are 5 two separate surveys. CHAIR OPELKA: 6 Nancy? 7 MS. FOSTER: I just wanted to reemphasize a point that Andrea made, which is 8 9 that hospitals have a variety of ways in which 10 they assess the effectiveness of their communications, whether it's the signage or the 11 12 things they pass out to patients and so forth. 13 That's inherent in their business model. 14 This picks out one, one way that is 15 not commonly used in hospitals now and says this 16 is the one you should use in order to meet a CMS 17 requirement, if CMS were to adopt this in its 18 program, which seems to be odd to me without any 19 sort of assessment of whether this is the most 20 effective way to do that or not. 21 CHAIR OPELKA: Marty? 22 I think this is more than MR. HATLIE:
just a measure of health literacy. There's a 1 2 culture piece of it that I think has been mentioned by Emma and perhaps others. And I'm 3 4 thinking especially of the questions that engage 5 front line staff about leadership of the organization. The AMA has done some really, 6 really thoughtful work in this area, 7 so I'm not worried that these questions are just kind of 8 9 first iteration or whatever. I think it's 10 probably a pretty thoughtful approach. So for that reason, for the culture change aspect of 11 12 this, I really support it. 13 CHAIR OPELKA: So again, I'm hearing 14 that there's not a general consensus, so we'll go 15 to a vote at this point. And the motion is do 16 not support, so if you agree with the motion, if 17 you agree with moving it to do not support, that 18 is a yes vote. If you do not agree, it is a no

20 MS. IBRAGIMOVA: You can begin voting. 21 Vote one for yes, and two for no.

(Voting)

vote.

19

1 CHAIR OPELKA: Did we get the online 2 votes? (No audible response) 3 4 CHAIR OPELKA: All right. So this 5 continues -- for those of you on the phone who can't see it, the vote was 43 percent supported 6 it and 57 did not. So the motion does not carry 7 and this remains in support the measure in the 8 9 program. 10 All right. And next? 11 So the next measure is MS. O'ROURKE: 12 use of brain-computed tomography in the ED for 13 atraumatic headache, also known as OP-15 14 currently. This was moved from conditional 15 support to do not support. 16 DR. YONG: Frank, do we mind if we 17 just make a few opening comments about this 18 measure? 19 CHAIR OPELKA: Sure. This one always 20 seems to draw fun. So, thank you. 21 DR. YONG: 22 CHAIR OPELKA: For those of you on the 1 phone, that's Pierre that's talking. So that 2 they know.

DR. YONG: Thank you. So we're just 4 going to offer some context for why this is on the MUC list, and I'm going to start and Kate may have some additional comments.

7 This is a measure we've been working on for several years now and currently is in the 8 9 program, or an older version of this is in the 10 program; this came about before the MAP came 11 about, and data collection for that is currently 12 suspended.

13 So we've been working on this measure 14 to try to improve it. It's been a challenging 15 measure to work on, and I think there are 16 concerns that have been raised in public comment 17 which were referred to earlier about whether 18 there are appropriate guidelines to guide when it 19 is appropriate to do CAT scans for atraumatic 20 headache in emergency departments. And we acknowledge that. 21

22

3

5

6

However, in our analyses there's also

a large performance gap that we've observed, so it ranges from 0 to 34 percent in terms of performance about whether individual facilities actually do scans. There's a huge amount of variation.

This particular topic in terms of 6 7 appropriate use is a huge topic of concern; I think folks know this, to consumers and to 8 9 It's a big topic of discussion among providers. 10 Choosing Wisely, among other campaigns. And so, 11 we would love the MAP's input on whether this 12 kind of measure you think would be useful in the 13 program despite the limitations that I'm sure 14 will come out in the discussion.

15 CO-CHAIR WALTERS: So let me go back 16 to our primary discussants first. Sorry, let's 17 go back to our primary discussants. Did they 18 have any comments they wanted to make?

DR. POLLOCK: Thank you. This is Dan Pollock from CDC. I certainly agree that this is an important area. I also agree that there is an absence of standard clinical guidance with

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

respect to CT scan use in the context of an
 atraumatic headache in the emergency department.
 I think the fundamental problems with this
 measure are more methodologic with respect to how
 the data are ascertained.

On the plus side, use of claims data 6 7 has the virtue of minimizing burden. But on the negative side, we all I think need to acknowledge 8 9 the claims data in which clinical diagnoses or 10 signs and symptoms are entered into a coded form 11 has to bear scrutiny with sound systematic 12 investigation of whether in fact the claims data 13 actually reflect what's in the record of care.

14 And to that end, there was I think a 15 pretty solid piece of work reported in the Annals 16 of Emergency Medicine two years ago involving 17 data from 21 U.S. hospital emergency departments, 18 about 750 visits. And the bottom line was that 19 65 percent of the patients had a documented 20 indication for a head CT that was not identified 21 in the administrative data.

22

A company editorial by Harold Sox, who

is the former editor of Annals of Internal 1 2 Medicine and is highly regarded as a leading expert on comparative effectiveness research of 3 4 evidence-based practice -- the title of his 5 editorial is, Evaluating the Quality of Decision Making for Diagnostic Tests: A Methodologic 6 7 Misadventure. Sox concludes the methods for evaluating the use of brain CT in ED patients 8 9 with headaches systematically over-estimates 10 inappropriate test ordering.

11 The fundamental reason is that some of 12 the indications for a head CT in the ED are not 13 going to be reflected in the coded summary of the 14 That's the finding of the investigators visit. 15 who studied this in 21 emergency departments. Τ think unless there's a clear indication that 16 17 we've advanced the knowledge and substantiated 18 that in fact the claims data themselves reflect 19 what's in the record of care and what's been 20 recorded as for the indication of the head CT, on 21 the methodologic grounds alone, I would think 22 that this is not a suitable measure for any

(202) 234-4433

1 purpose at this time. 2 CHAIR OPELKA: So, you are in favor of moving it to do not support? 3 4 Richard? DR. BANKOWITZ: So, discussant number 5 2 agrees completely with moving this to do not 6 7 support, and I think you articulated the reasons exceptionally well. Some of these exclusions are 8 9 very difficult to code. Coding of focal 10 neurological deficit with administrative claims 11 data is going to be very, very difficult. 12 And also I think there is a potential, 13 too, to gain the system, which is once you find 14 out the magic code number to code as an 15 exclusion, who cannot say there's a little bit of 16 weakness over here on the right or the left? So 17 I don't think it's going to be an effective 18 measure. 19 CHAIR OPELKA: Nancy? 20 MS. FOSTER: I agree with what's been 21 said before, especially since they're the experts 22 and I'm not, but in reading through the NQF

materials on this, if I am correct, there is a 1 2 significant potential for harm for not doing CT scans with patients with atraumatic head injury. 3 4 And by significant, the measure developer, the 5 contractor estimated it was greater than one percent and possibly as high as five percent of 6 7 the population. That makes me really anxious 8 about including a measure that pushes people not 9 to do these.

## CHAIR OPELKA: Emma?

11 MS. KOPLEFF: Thank you for sharing 12 that, Nancy. And I guess I would just - I hear 13 some of the statements that have been said, but 14 noticing this measure has not yet been through 15 the NQF endorsement process, I do think that that 16 process would help elucidate some of the 17 methodological challenges and even some of the 18 challenges with the absence of clear guidelines. 19 And the original recommendation pointed to 20 conditional support pending that NQF review 21 process. And my hope would be that in aligning 22 with the NQF preliminary analysis of the measure

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

we support further examination of the measure. 1 2 And I say that from the lens of not knowing -and again, you all are the experts, but not 3 4 knowing what the potential harms are related to 5 overuse and exposure to radiation and that being a concern from the patient lens. 6 7 CHAIR OPELKA: Michael? You're deferring to Dan first? 8 9 DR. POLLOCK: Thank you. So point of 10 The NQF staff has in fact a clarification. 11 version of this measure then through the NQF 12 endorsement process? 13 DR. POLLOCK: Okay. And this goes 14 back to what I was trying to articulate earlier 15 this morning, that we're asked to look at 16 measures that have been through a process of 17 review. And if it hasn't been endorsed, I wonder 18 what our role is in that context, because in 19 effect we're an arbiter looking over the 20 shoulders of those who've taken a close look at 21 this measure. 22 DR. YONG: I was just going to say it

was a previous version that was NQF -- that was
 reviewed.

3	MR. AMIN: That's correct.
4	DR. YONG: This is an updated version.
5	MR. AMIN: Right. So the version
6	that's on the current MUC list is not the same
7	version that failed prior endorsement. Yeah,
8	correct.
9	DR. GOODRICH: We put measures that
10	are on the program on the list again when they've
11	undergone substantive changes. That's why it's
12	on the list again is because we have to put it
13	back on once it's undergone substantive changes.
14	So that's why you're seeing it again. So the
15	numbers that I've been quoted I think are true
16	for the previous version of the measure, not for
17	this version of the measure.
18	MR. AMIN: And that clarifies the
19	preliminary recommendation for this measure,
20	which is NQF review of this version of the
21	measure.
22	CHAIR OPELKA: Michael?

1 DR. PHELAN: Regardless of the 2 revision of it, the reason there may be variation is because populations in many of these EDs are 3 4 different. And most of the guidelines including 5 the American College of Radiology who have guidelines on appropriateness, they all eliminate 6 7 patients after about 50. It varies depending on what imaging efficiency metric you're looking at. 8 9 And it really failed -- the reason the initial 10 one failed was because of lack of clinical 11 guidelines that could drive the process to 12 improve it.

13 The point that I think Nancy made is 14 probably most critical from two perspectives: 15 When their own evidence suggests that anywhere 16 from two to five percent of patients could be 17 harmed if they didn't get a CT scan, the harm to 18 the patient -- and also from the active practitioner -- the reason we do so many CAT 19 20 scans in an emergency setting is the unknown, and 21 many of these factors that just don't play in. 22 And there's not good clinical

guidelines to tell us we don't have to get a CAT 1 2 scan on some of these folks because they'll do fine. But there is a feeling out in the 3 4 community that we don't want to miss something 5 that could be life-threatening to a patient. And having the ability to do a CAT scan that we 6 didn't have 15,20, going further back, years puts 7 the onus on the physician to -- I'm worried that 8 9 if a measure like this ever got promulgated, (A) 10 there will be gaming; (B) it's going to force certain clinicians who don't fall on either 11 12 spectrum of the risk tolerance side to not maybe 13 get some imaging that could potentially save a 14 patient's life. 15 So I really think from the perspective 16 of the patient in this measure, it's probably not 17 a good measure currently to go forward with that. 18 CHAIR OPELKA: Wei? 19 I think most of the DR. YING: 20 discussion we are having here probably we're 21 going to hear it again from most of the 22 efficiency measure. Every time there is always a

push and pull between the safety and the efficiency.

I would support if this measure gets 3 4 endorsed by NQF, which I assume would go through 5 a vigorous process to make sure the measure specification would follow any guideline or 6 7 strike a balance between the safety and efficiency. From our point of view just abandon 8 9 this measure because there is this level of 10 uncertainty. This level of uncertainty will not 11 be unique for this measure whatsoever. It will 12 always be there of any type of efficiency 13 measure. 14 CHAIR OPELKA: Dan?

15 DR. POLLOCK: Yeah, I would just echo 16 as well that this deserves to be re-reviewed if there have in fact been substantive changes, and 17 18 still would hold out the likelihood that if the 19 pivotal point is using administrative data with 20 clinical findings being central to determining 21 whether or not there was an indication for CT 22 scan. Even if there are changes with respect to

1

2

what those findings or indications might be, 1 2 there are deep flaws in relying on secondary conditions, secondary diagnoses and ED records to 3 ascertain information about indication. 4 So I 5 would be very, very concerned that there is a fundamental flaw methodologically in this 6 7 approach. CHAIR OPELKA: 8 Taroon? 9 So an additional point of MR. AMIN: 10 reference here, with some of these updated 11 measures I think one of the questions that CMS is 12 also interested in exploring is whether 13 additional changes to this measure or this 14 measure concept is important enough to continue 15 development on. So maybe I would just turn it 16 over to Kate if there's additional feedback that 17 you're interested in from the MAP Workgroup 18 beyond potentially this measure as constructed 19 potentially for the purposes of this program. 20 DR. GOODRICH: Yeah, just to build on 21 that a little bit, I mean, one of the reasons we 22 -- we understood there was going to be

controversy about this measure and we share some
 of the concerns that have been brought up, but
 this is a really, we think, very critical
 concept.

And I think one of the things we need 5 to understand from the MAP is this a concept that 6 7 we should continue to push forward on in some fashion or another, whether it's potentially 8 9 working on an electronic measure that is all 10 payer, so captures multiple age groups, not just 11 65 and over? I'm not sure what the right answer 12 is, but we certainly hear from our purchaser 13 colleagues and our consumer colleagues that this 14 concept and other concepts of appropriate use are 15 really important.

So we felt that we would be remiss in leaving it off the list and not have it open for MAP discussion; and I realize this is a tricky needle to thread, but even with the limitations of this particular measure. So I think this gets a little bit to maybe the gaps analysis that the MAP always does and if this is something that we

should really continue to pursue or not. 1 2 CHAIR OPELKA: So I'm planning on, as the prerogative of the Chair, flipping this from 3 a vote of two to a vote of three in light of the 4 5 comments that Kate just made, that we would be voting on this as an individual and which consent 6 calendar would you want to put it in: support, 7 conditionally support and do not support? 8 9 So if there are comments directed 10 toward that, I'd appreciate it. Otherwise, we'll 11 take a vote. 12 Michael? 13 I think it still should DR. PHELAN: 14 be a two-vote -- can be the first effort to 15 either conditional support or do not support. Τ 16 think that's the two votes that should be asked 17 of us, because the request was to move it into a 18 do not support. It's currently in the 19 conditional support category. I think it should 20 stay either a conditional support. It shouldn't 21 be a fully support measure. 22 And just going back, we understand

that CMS is trying to help with these efficiency 1 2 set metrics. This is just the wrong type of efficiency metric to look at from a number of 3 4 different perspectives that we've already 5 mentioned, particularly because there's no good clinical guidelines and there probably are not 6 going to be any good clinical guidelines in this 7 arena in the next three to five years trying to 8 9 identify which patients can be safely discharged 10 home and which one -- or safely not obtaining a 11 CT on. So I don't anticipate any clinical 12 guidelines, and for the same issues that Dan was 13 saying, that the claims data is not probably the 14 best means at getting at some of this data. 15 CHAIR OPELKA: Nancy? 16 MS. FOSTER: So, Kate, I was hearing 17 your question a little bit more broadly, and one 18 could apply it certainly to this measure, but 19 while I'm not in love with this measure, the 20 notion -- and I hope we'll talk about it during 21 the gap analysis -- the notion that we continue 22 to build out electronically captured data to

drive measures is very appealing for any number 1 2 of reasons. But getting to the right source of the electronic data, claims data, EHR, what have 3 4 you, is also an absolutely critical decision to 5 be made. So maybe I was mishearing what your 6 7 comment was, but I hope we'll get to Kate's 8 question again. 9 CHAIR OPELKA: Wei, is your card back 10 up? 11 DR. YING: Yeah, I would encourage CMS to look into this efficiency measure, because 12 13 from consumer engagement point of view we really 14 want consumers to pay attention to the Choosing 15 Wisely list, but every time when we look at them, 16 we don't know what to tell consumers or patients. 17 Yes, this is actually in concept to one of the 18 measure being promoted by the American College of 19 Emergency Medicine, but when we try to measure or 20 try to report -- tell our patients what 21 facilities are doing, how well they're doing on 22 these measures, we don't know what to tell them.

1

We can only tell them in concept.

2 It should not be done in these But is really the circumstances 3 circumstance. should be measured on there is no clear 4 5 indication. So if CMS can try and list -explore a little bit more on several of these 6 7 measures, it would be very helpful. CHAIR OPELKA: Richard? 8 9 DR. BANKOWITZ: So in answer to Kate's 10 question I do believe this is an important 11 conceptual area in which to focus, but I think 12 what CMS needs to do is to select conditions 13 where there are clear protocols that are 14 evidence-based, where we have clear inclusion, 15 clear exclusion and where optimally we know what 16 the baseline optimal number is. Okay? In this 17 case we know the number lies somewhere between 0 18 and 100 percent, but we don't know exactly where 19 that optimum is. So I think keep those two 20 criteria in mind and maybe that will help. 21 CHAIR OPELKA: All right. So keeping 22 in mind what Michael had said, that this is in

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

www.nealrgross.com

the conditional support category, we have the 1 2 three up, so we're going to ask for a vote of the 3 group based on the three that are showing, but 4 conditional support is where it was. The motion 5 was to move it to do not support. So we're voting one of you support, two if you 6 conditionally support, and three if you do not 7 8 support. 9 MS. IBRAGIMOVA: You can begin voting. 10 (Voting) 11 CO-CHAIR WALTERS: All right. This is 12 a slight departure from the previous voting as 13 far as we're voting on the measure, not the 14 motion. 15 I'm requesting just a MS. KOPLEFF: rerun of the vote. There were some mumblings 16 17 just interpreting what you said. I know you said 18 it nice and clearly, but I'll take the blame. 19 Bear with me with the new process. I hit the 20 wrong button. 21 CHAIR OPELKA: Well, we have to re-22 vote anyway.

1	CO-CHAIR WALTERS: And it looks like
2	the four percent there that support didn't
3	CHAIR OPELKA: Depends on where it
4	goes.
5	CO-CHAIR WALTERS: Yes.
6	CHAIR OPELKA: We need 51 percent.
7	CO-CHAIR WALTERS: So this is
8	Louisiana. No, we do
9	(Laughter)
10	CHAIR OPELKA: So at this point we'll
11	vote on the motion so it will clarify everything.
12	We'll go back to a yes/no.
13	MR. AMIN: So just to reiterate, what
14	the motion is, let's go back to the motion. The
15	motion on the table by Michael was to move the
16	measure from conditional support to do not
17	support. So that is what's in front of you. So
18	if you go as one, you are supporting the motion
19	to do not support.
20	The question on the floor brought
21	forward by Michael is to change the current
22	categorization of the measure. The

categorization that Michael has put forward is do not support. So if you agree with that, press one.

4 Okav. So we're working on the slides 5 as we're talking. So the slides may not reflect what I'm saying right now, but we'll make sure 6 7 that it does before we vote. Just want to make sure everyone in the room is clear. 8 There's a 9 motion on the floor that Michael put forward --10 can we put -- yes, one should reflect yes, two is 11 We're going to update that right now. We're no. 12 updating the slides as we go. Again, apologize 13 for the confusion here.

There's a motion on the floor, Michael said, with moving it to do not support. So if you support the motion, press one. If you do not support the motion, the measure will stay as conditional support pending a re-review by NQF endorsement of the updated specifications.

20 CHAIR OPELKA: All this confusion is 21 just to check to see if you're paying attention. 22 (Laughter)

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

1	MR. AMIN: And the slides should
2	reflect this, right? Do you support the motion,
3	yes or no?
4	(Voting)
5	CHAIR OPELKA: So just so we're clear,
6	this vote represents that it stays with the
7	conditional support. All right. Let's get out
8	of this.
9	(Laughter)
10	MS. O'ROURKE: So I believe Nancy had
11	made one more motion to pull the ED transfer set
12	adding keeping it as conditional support
13	pending development of a single composite, but to
14	add a caveat that that composite should be NQF
15	reviewed and endorsed.
16	
17	MR. SLABACH: I'll make a motion to
18	that effect, if that's appropriate at this time.
19	CHAIR OPELKA: I'm sorry, Brock, we
20	did not hear you. Could you say that
21	MR. SLABACH: Do you need a motion to
22	accept the proposal that Erin made?

CHAIR OPELKA: No, I think that 1 2 is --3 4 MR. SLABACH: Oh, that is the motion? 5 CHAIR OPELKA: -- the motion. MR. SLABACH: 6 Okay. 7 CHAIR OPELKA: So any discussion? (No audible response) 8 9 CHAIR OPELKA: All right. So I'll 10 just do a quick hand vote here. All those in 11 favor of the move? 12 (Show of hands) 13 DR. MORRISON: Frank, I'm raising my 14 hand. It's Sean. 15 CHAIR OPELKA: Thank you, Sean. So 16 we'll carry that. Onto the next. 17 MS. O'ROURKE: So, we'll be 18 conditionally supporting the measure pending 19 development of a single composite, and then that 20 composite should be submitted to NQF for review 21 and endorsement. So just adding another 22 condition, that -- review and endorsement

1

2

3

composite.

MR. AMIN: So. Erin, that is it, right?

That is it for the 4 MS. O'ROURKE: 5 fully developed measures. We now have one more calendar for you on measures that are still 6 7 undergoing development. This is again that we be asked to consider these -- CMS has again asked us 8 9 to consider these five measures as a set. These 10 are the Outpatient Ambulatory Surgery Patient 11 Experience of Care Survey. There's five measures 12 here.

As a patient-reported outcome, this survey asks five specific questions regarding communications of discharge instructions and follow up after discharge. It's a high-impact measure that will improve both quality and efficiency of care and be meaningful to consumers.

20 As a note, this is also under 21 consideration for the ASCQR Program and these 22 measures would begin to fill a gap the Workgroup

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

www.nealrgross.com

has previously identified for this program. And we did not receive any public comments.

3 So right now we have this as a 4 preliminary analysis that we would encourage 5 development of these measures. Your other choice 6 would be that you would not encourage further 7 consideration of these. So basically to stop 8 development, or we would not want these in OQR in 9 the future.

10 Could we add an MS. FOSTER: 11 additional suggestion or caveat that in 12 furthering the development we think carefully 13 about how this gets integrated in with the CAHPS 14 survey so that we're not having competing surveys 15 going to the outpatients, we're not confusing 16 everybody by having two different mechanisms and 17 so forth, that it really becomes one integrated 18 whole?

19 CHAIR OPELKA: Yeah, I'm kind of
20 confused or curious as to how you do that. I
21 mean, we're getting to the point of survey
22 toxicity. So if that's the motion, I'm not sure

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

I agree with it because I don't understand what it means.

So the CAHPS survey -- I 3 MS. FOSTER: don't have as clear a picture of the ambulatory 4 5 CAHPS survey, but I know the inpatient HCAHPS survey asks very clearly about communication with 6 your physician, with your nurse, communication 7 about discharge and so forth. I'm leaping to the 8 9 conclusion the ambulatory CAHPS asks similar 10 questions and I'm concerned that we seemingly are 11 going to be fielding two surveys to the same 12 group of patients that may ask similar but 13 slightly different questions. And that makes me 14 And also thinking about crazy. 15 administratively inside the hospital, how do you 16 bring the information together and use it in a 17 useful way when you've got two different 18 competing surveys? I'm just saying take these 19 questions and integrate them into the CAHPS 20 survey as opposed --21 MS. GINSBURG: Nancy, this is Karen 22 Ginsburg. Excuse me. Can I answer that question

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

1

4

or address that for you?

2 MS. FOSTER: That would be a question 3 for the Chair.

CHAIR OPELKA: Please. Thank you.

MS. GINSBURG: Okay. This is Karen 5 Ginsburg from CMS, and we feel that there will be 6 7 very little overlap between HCAHPS and the outpatient survey. And we worked very carefully 8 9 at making sure that there isn't overlap between 10 those surveys. We spent a lot of time thinking 11 about administrative mechanisms to ensure that 12 patients don't receive different surveys for the 13 same health care event. And we think there will 14 be very little overlap between the Outpatient 15 Ambulatory Survey Patient Experience of Care 16 Survey and HCAHPS.

We are spending a lot of time thinking about the potential overlap between S-CAHPS, for example. And that's for surgeons. It's not on the -- these measures are on the MUC list. But we spent a lot of time for example thinking about the overlap between the S-CAHPS survey, should

that ever be implemented, and the O/ASPECS 1 2 survey, as we call it. So we are aware of this concern and we're very sensitive to it. 3 CHAIR OPELKA: Thank you. Jack? 4 DR. FOWLER: Just to add to that, I 5 wasn't involved with this, but clearly the two 6 7 populations are different. I mean, not that somebody couldn't be an inpatient and an 8 9 outpatient at some time in the same thing. So, 10 but you have a pool that you draw from that have 11 had an outpatient experience within a defined 12 period of time, and they're clearly working on 13 adapting the inpatient questions to make more 14 sense for an outpatient experience. They have 15 work to do, but it makes it appropriate to say 16 they should keep working, but I don't think that 17 -- they're not going to be the same survey and 18 they're not going to be overlapping and 19 confusing, I don't think. 20 MS. FOSTER: Just to clarify, Jack; 21 I'm sorry I confused you when I referenced 22 HCAHPS, there is an ambulatory CAHPS and I'm

saying don't -- try to find a way to make these questions either integrate into the ambulatory CAHPS or assure me that somehow you're going to make it easy for both hospitals and patients to understand these two competing surveys that you're asking us to fill.

7 CHAIR OPELKA: So I guess, Nancy -and I'm taking my Chair hat off on this one 8 9 because we actually have had conversations about 10 the S-CAHPS and how all this comes together. Ι 11 guess to me we're looking at these measures that 12 are here, and what you're describing to me is --13 I don't know if it's a gap area, but it's a 14 future area that needs to be settled. How do all 15 these patient survey instruments kind of get 16 refined to avoid all the burden that both the 17 patient and the delivery systems are feeling? 18 And I think the CMS team is trying to answer that same question. So our vote on this really is do 19 20 we encourage this but within the framework and 21 understanding that I think you're touching on, if 22 that's fair enough.

1

2

3

4

5

1 All right. Andrea? 2 DR. BENIN: My comment is very similar to yours, Frank. I think that this is a logical 3 4 next step, but we did just vote on the health 5 literacy measures. And so my I think point for consideration for CMS is as we think about the 6 7 burden we pay the vendors by the survey that goes out, right? So every one of these surveys, by 8 9 the patient surveys -- each one of those costs 10 and so each one of these represents an increased 11 financial burden on the organization in addition 12 to all the other things. So while I wouldn't 13 disagree with any of it, I think that as CMS is 14 considering how to pull these things together, 15 that that's something that's -- the amount of 16 time, effort, and money that goes into the vendor 17 support is not inconsequential. 18 CHAIR OPELKA: So I'm not hearing

opposition. I'm hearing a call for efficiency in
how we do this. And it sounds like everyone is
encouraging this. So is that it? Are we in
agreement? Because if that -- I'm seeing more

(202) 234-4433

I	
1	nodding of heads. Then I think we'll consider
2	this as in agreement with these caveats that have
3	been discussed in our report to the Coordinating
4	Committee. All right?
5	Then let's move on.
6	MS. O'ROURKE: So that concludes our
7	preliminary discussions about each of the
8	measures. We're now going to show you the final
9	consent calendars and prepare to vote on those.
10	Just one second while we cue those up
11	for you.
12	CHAIR OPELKA: All right.
13	MS. O'ROURKE: So for consent calendar
14	1, support, we have external beam radiotherapy
15	for bone metastases and the health literacy
16	measure derived from the health literacy domain
17	of the C-CAT. For consent calendar 2,
18	conditional support pending NQF endorsement, we
18 19	conditional support pending NQF endorsement, we have use of brain-computed tomography in the
19	have use of brain-computed tomography in the
19 20	have use of brain-computed tomography in the emergency department for atraumatic headache.

measure and NQF review and endorsement of that 1 2 measure. We have the slides seen before you. Then finally, for do not support we have advance 3 4 care plan. 5 CHAIR OPELKA: So we're now voting on the consent calendars as they stand. 6 But before we do, Nancy had brought up 7 to our attention earlier the request for public 8 9 comment. 10 So, Kathy, if you would open the 11 phones for public comment? 12 OPERATOR: Yes, sir. At this time if 13 you would like to make a comment, please press 14 star then the number one on your telephone 15 keypad. 16 And there are no public comments at 17 this time. 18 CHAIR OPELKA: Thank you. Do we have 19 public comment in the room? 20 MS. JONES: Hi, I'm Stacie Jones from 21 the American College of Emergency Physicians. Ι 22 just wanted to in part answer Kate's question and

also for the Coordinating Committee to let them
know that one of the major issues with the OP-15
measure is that it is in direct conflict with ACR
appropriateness criteria and several other
guidelines that indicate age and hypertension as
red flags for CTs. There is no ICD for an
uncomplicated headache.

8 We really appreciate all the effort 9 that CMS has put in to including additional 10 exclusions and performing additional analyses for 11 this measure.

12 We also are very actively working on 13 our own imaging efficiency measure set in 14 conjunction with the American College of 15 Radiology and several other specialties, and we 16 have developed thus far three measures that have 17 been up for public comment which we have sent to 18 the PQMM measure contractor for the PQRS Program, 19 which is a different program from what you're 20 looking at today. And we do intend to develop 21 measures for all 10 of our Choosing Wisely 22 recommendations.

This is not one. And so what we would 1 2 recommend to all measure developers is that they start with the guideline recommendations first 3 and then work from there, because where there are 4 5 clinical decision support rules, like the PECARN rule for instance in pediatrics, that's a well-6 7 established clinical decision support rule which can guide high-quality care and performance 8 9 measurement. 10 And so, looking to those clinical 11 decision support tools, of which there are many 12 and some still need to be validated, that's a 13 really good starting point for measure 14 development and we hope to continue to 15 collaborate with CMS, ACR and many other 16 stakeholders in this space. 17 CHAIR OPELKA: Thank you. Any other 18 comments? 19 (No audible response) 20 CHAIR OPELKA: Okay. Well then at 21 this point we will vote on the consent calendars 22 that have been presented to you, and we're voting

yes and no on the consent calendars. 1 2 Question about the vote? DR. BENIN: About the logistics of the 3 4 voting. 5 CHAIR OPELKA: Please? 6 DR. BENIN: So we can vote no against 7 the consent calendar? Is that --Right. Right, you know, 8 CHAIR OPELKA: 9 it's presented to you as a consent calendar. You 10 could have moved anything you wanted on it. 11 There are actually four consent calendars? Five. 12 Three, four or five? 13 MS. O'ROURKE: Apologies. There are 14 four. There are three for the fully developed 15 measures and then one for the measures under 16 development to encourage further development. 17 Apologies. Four consent calendars. 18 CHAIR OPELKA: So you may have heard 19 all the discussion. You may not necessarily 20 agree with it. 21 DR. BENIN: -- know that 50 percent of 22 us don't agree with half of the votes. That's
what I'm thinking. So how is this going to play 1 2 out? Fifty-one percent 3 CHAIR OPELKA: 4 Forty-nine percent don't. agree. 5 DR. BENIN: Forty-nine percent. I'm just saying it's going to be interesting. 6 Okay. Just wanted to make sure I understood. 7 CHAIR OPELKA: Michael? 8 9 DR. PHELAN: So this is an overall 10 vote for all four, but if you agree with three of 11 them that you want to move forward, but one of 12 them you don't --13 CHAIR OPELKA: We can take them one at 14 a time, if you wish. 15 DR. PHELAN: I think it would be 16 better to do one at a time. 17 CHAIR OPELKA: We will. 18 DR. PHELAN: Each consent calendar 19 separately. 20 CHAIR OPELKA: Okay. We can do so. 21 MS. O'ROURKE: Okay. We'll just need 22 one minute to cue up the slides for you.

1 MS. IBRAGIMOVA: So we're ready to 2 vote, and the question is do you agree with the consent calendar 1? Press one for yes, and two 3 4 for no. 5 PARTICIPANT: What was consent calendar 1? 6 7 CO-CHAIR WALTERS: It was the support which included the external beam radiotherapy for 8 9 bone metastases and the health literacy measure. 10 MS. IBRAGIMOVA: Now you can vote. 11 (Voting) 12 CHAIR OPELKA: That vote was 83 13 percent in support of support. Okay. So now we 14 move to the conditional support for NQF 15 endorsement. 16 MS. O'ROURKE: So, this will be the 17 vote on if you conditionally support pending NQF 18 endorsement OP-15, the use of computed brain 19 tomography for a patient with atraumatic 20 headache. 21 MS. IBRAGIMOVA: So now you can vote 22 do you agree with the consent calendar 2? One

1 yes, two no. 2 (Voting) MS. IBRAGIMOVA: So the results are --3 4 CHAIR OPELKA: So this also passes. 5 MR. AMIN: Frank, if we can have Laura just read the votes for the record, please? 6 7 MS. IBRAGIMOVA: So the results are 61 8 percent yes, 39 percent no. 9 CHAIR OPELKA: We have a second 10 conditional support, which would be calendar 11 number 3. 12 MS. O'ROURKE: So it would be 13 conditional support pending the development of 14 single composite measure and endorsement. 15 MS. IBRAGIMOVA: Do you agree with 16 consent calendar 3? One yes, two no. 17 (Voting) 18 MS. IBRAGIMOVA: The results are 95 19 percent yes, 5 percent no. 20 CHAIR OPELKA: And then the fourth 21 calendar is the do not support? 22 MS. O'ROURKE: So the fourth calendar

will be do not support for advance care plan. 1 2 This is for the advance care plan not support, Yes, so it's not actually calendar 4. 3 yes. It's 4 just the do not support calendar since we didn't 5 originally have one for do not support. There's no number associated with it. Sorry for the 6 So this is just a new calendar called 7 confusion. 8 do not support. 9 MS. IBRAGIMOVA: Do you agree with the 10 consent calendar do not support for the advance 11 care plan? One yes, two no. 12 (Voting) 13 MS. IBRAGIMOVA: The results are 59 14 percent yes, 41 percent no. 15 So we'll be taking one MS. O'ROURKE: 16 more vote for the measures under development. 17 This is that you would encourage for continued 18 development the O/ASPECS survey for hospital OQR. 19 MS. IBRAGIMOVA: Do you agree with the 20 consent calendar 4? One yes, two no. Five? 21 Technically five. 22 (Voting)

MS. IBRAGIMOVA: The results are 95
 percent yes, five percent no.

CHAIR OPELKA: Okay. 3 So we're way past our 11:00 break and yet we're behind in our 4 5 overall schedule. So we're going to go ahead and take that break now, but here's where we stand: 6 These consent calendars need to pass by a 60 7 percent vote and the do not support did not pass. 8 9 So we have to come back to that consent calendar, 10 which actually only has one item on it, and we 11 have to clarify where we stand on that one 12 measure that's there with recommendation to the 13 Coordinating Committee. We have to reach on that 14 measure -- it has to either reach 60 percent or 15 greater or it has to move to another consent 16 calendar to resolve its position.

17 So let's go ahead and take a break 18 until 11:30, and we're going to have to come back 19 and resolve that one outstanding issue.

20 (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter 21 went off the record at 11:16 a.m. and resumed at 22 11:33 a.m.) CHAIR OPELKA: So if we could, we're
 going to move on in a minute to the next topic,
 which is Ambulatory Surgery Center, and Ron is
 going to take us through that.
 But before we do, we have an

6 outstanding matter with our last vote. And so if 7 the Advanced Care Plan Measure that we had put 8 forward that was in the do not support reached 59 9 percent. And according to the coordinating 10 committee guidance, it has to reach 60 percent.

11 So at this point, we would like to 12 inform the Coordinating Committee more 13 specifically of where the group comes down on the 14 three different options for this measure.

So we are going to take a vote on this measure within support, conditionally support and do not support the measure. And then we will use that information to inform the Coordinating Committee regarding the consensus of the group here.

> All right. Any questions? Nancy? MS. FOSTER: What's the condition?

> > Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

21

22

CHAIR OPELKA: Is this one NQF-1 2 endorsed? AUDIENCE MEMBER: 3 Yes. CHAIR OPELKA: All right. I don't 4 5 have a conditional support to it. You know, just in case people have a condition in their mind. 6 So I mean, it may fall out that everybody -- it's 7 binary, but everyone has the opportunity to vote 8 9 where they feel on this measure. All right. You 10 are supporting the Advanced Care Plan on the MUC 11 list. 12 You are conditionally supporting it, 13 but as originally stated, you are conditionally 14 supporting it and Nancy asked specific to what 15 conditions, since it's NQF-endorsed, and I don't 16 have a condition, but you individually may have a 17 condition. And then you do not support. 18 So the last vote was 41 that did --19 that voted against do not support, and 59 voted 20 in favor of do not support, but that does not 21 reach consensus to the Coordinating Committee, so 22 we need to better inform them where you stand.

1	All right? Okay. Shall we vote?
2	MS. IBRAGIMOVA: So the question is
3	what oh, we have one question?
4	CHAIR OPELKA: Dana?
5	MS. ALEXANDER: It's not sinking in.
6	So conditional support is we're saying what?
7	CHAIR OPELKA: If you have a condition
8	in your mind
9	MS. ALEXANDER: Oh.
10	CHAIR OPELKA: and you are not
11	fully supporting, but you would conditionally
12	support this.
13	MS. ALEXANDER: Okay.
14	CHAIR OPELKA: We're not asking you to
15	state your condition.
16	MS. ALEXANDER: Okay. Thank you.
17	DR. LEVY: What does that mean
18	exactly? I mean, it sounds like
19	CHAIR OPELKA: We can't tabulate the
20	entire Committee's sentiment on every single
21	aspect of this measure. You either support it,
22	you are not in the you are not against, you

are not for, you are somewhere in the middle for 1 2 whatever reason. 3 DR. LEVY: Okay. Okay. 4 CHAIR OPELKA: Marty? 5 MR. HATLIE: I'm going to go back to Nancy's opening comment on it, where she talked 6 7 about respecifying this to making it narrower and less broad. If that's where I want to be, I'm 8 9 conditionally supporting. Is that correct? 10 CHAIR OPELKA: If that's your 11 condition, yes. 12 MR. HATLIE: Yes. Thank you. 13 CHAIR OPELKA: Okay. 14 MS. IBRAGIMOVA: So the question is 15 what should MUC's decision be on the Advanced 16 Care Plan Measure? One, support; two, 17 conditional support; or three, do not support. 18 (Voting) 19 (Laughter) 20 MS. BAL: That actually may not be the 21 result. We could be having some problems. That 22 probably isn't the result. That's usually the --

MS. IBRAGIMOVA: This is a technical 1 2 difficulty. 3 MS. BAL: -- default. 4 MS. IBRAGIMOVA: We are going to 5 revote. CHAIR OPELKA: But I like it. 6 DR. MORRISON: Frank, could you share 7 8 what is so funny? I'm sorry. 9 CHAIR OPELKA: Sean, all the votes 10 came out 33 percent. 11 DR. MORRISON: Thank you. 12 MS. IBRAGIMOVA: Okay. Try voting 13 again. 14 (Voting) 15 MS. IBRAGIMOVA: So the results are 16 support 39 percent, conditional support 17 17 percent and do not support 43 percent. 18 CHAIR OPELKA: All right. Well, 19 that's helpful, so we will take this information 20 to the Coordinating Committee and we will work 21 this particular measure at that level. Okay. 22 Thank you.

Let's move into the ASC 1 Okay. 2 Program, the Ambulatory Surgical Center Program Quality Reporting, and Poonam will give you an 3 4 introduction to the program. MS. BAL: So we will be speaking about 5 the Ambulatory Surgical Center Quality Reporting 6 7 Program, also known as ASCQR. So this is also a paper reporting. The information is currently 8 9 reported to the center, to CMS, but it is 10 expected to be publicly available in the future. 11 Okav. Okay. So the system is 12 established for collecting and providing quality 13 ACs and also again to provide consumers with the 14 quality of care information that helps them make 15 informed decisions. 16 The critical program objectives that 17 came up in October were that the measures are 18 high-impact and are meaningful to patients. They 19 align measures of CMS various quality reporting 20 programs, specifically OQR, and also the measure 21 caps that we came up with for surgical care 22 quality induction rates, follow-up after

procedure complications, cost and then cost --1 2 I'm sorry, and patient and family engagement measures, also including CAHPS modules. 3 4 MS. O'ROURKE: So the bad news is the 5 first measure we have under consideration for ASCOR is the Advanced Care Plan Measure. I won't 6 7 repeat our analysis, since we have had such a thorough conversation, but I did want to point 8 9 out we received some public comments not 10 supporting this measure. 11 Noting that for -- it's based on 12 evaluation and management codes, which are not 13 currently used in the ASC setting. Sorry, I lost 14 my page. 15 So the denominator is specified by a 16 group of evaluation and management CPT Codes, 17 none of which are payable in the ASC setting. As 18 a result, the measure denominator would be zero 19 The commenter further noted the for an ASC. 20 measure has not been tested or endorsed for the 21 ASC setting. 22 Finally, the commenter noted that the

CMS conditions for coverage for ASC's already
 required documentation of whether or not a
 patient has an advance directive.

The next calendar deals with measures that have a preliminary analysis of conditional support pending completion of reliability testing and NQF-endorsement.

The first one is unplanned anterior 8 9 This measure is highly impactful and vitrectomy. 10 meaningful to patients. According to the 11 National Eye Institute report in 2002, more than 12 half of U.S. residents over 65 have a cataract. 13 Cataracts are a leading cause of blindness with 14 more than 1.5 million cataract surgeries 15 performed annually to improve the vision of those 16 with cataracts.

And anterior vitrectomy, apologies if I mispronounce that, the repair of a rupture of a mainly liquid portion of the eye is generally an unplanned complication of a cataract surgery. This is an outcome measure that fills a work group identified priority gap of procedure

1

complications.

2 We have received two public comments strongly supporting this measure for inclusion in 3 the program. 4 The next measure is a normothermia 5 This is a high-impact measure. 6 outcome measure. Anesthetic-induced thermo-regulatory impairment 7 may cause perioperative hypothermia, which is 8 9 associated with adverse outcomes including 10 significant morbidity, decrease in tissue 11 metabolic rate, myocardial ischemia, surgical 12 site infections, bleeding, diathesis, colligation 13 of drug effects and mortality. 14 As an intermediate outcome measure, 15 this measure moves towards an outcome measure 16 that fills out a work group-identified gap of 17 anesthesia-related complications. 18 As a note, reliability testing has not 19 been completed and the measure is not currently 20 NQF-endorsed. We did not receive any comments on 21 this measure. 22 Calendar 3 deals with measures that

1	received a preliminary analysis of do not
2	support. We received this measure is
3	ambulatory surgery patients with appropriate
4	method of hair removal. This measure is topped
5	out with limited performance variation among
6	providers. Measures of appropriate hair removal
7	have been removed from the IQR Program.
8	This measure is not, nor planned, to
9	be in another program at this time. We received
10	one public comment that was supportive of
11	including this measure. The commenter noted that
12	it had been used over the last seven years with
13	usable measure data and not unduly burdensome to
14	collect.
15	So those are the fully developed
16	measures for the ASCQR Program.
17	CHAIR OPELKA: Fortunately, we have
18	three calendars for this, so we won't have to
19	change the numbers on you. So just to show that
20	somebody has a sense of humor, yes, under the
21	support one, the first one is Advance Care Plan.
22	I would hope that by now that has been heavily

discussed and first we go to our lead discussant, 1 2 Sean and Helen, who is actually Nancy, right? I'm sorry, it's Emma. And we will have the lead 3 discussions reflect their deliberations about 4 5 hopefully the issues confined to the ASCs and not things that we spent the last hour and a half on. 6 7 Sean, do you have anything you would like to say? 8 9 DR. MORRISON: Not that hasn't been 10 already said or I haven't already articulated. 11 CHAIR OPELKA: Thank you. There was 12 a big sigh of relief in the room over that. 13 Emma? 14 MS. KOPLEFF: Just in responding to 15 the public comments received, as we try to find a 16 compromise regarding this measure, I would note 17 that the commenter says the measure has not been 18 tested at this -- in the ASC setting. 19 I hear that. I do think there is a 20 challenge in having the NQF-endorsement process 21 look at every measure for every single setting 22 and level of analysis, but would propose,

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC 160

www.nealrgross.com

personally on behalf of the consumer organization 1 2 I'm representing, I'm supportive of this measure for the reasons stated earlier, but think that 3 4 maybe there is some sort of compromise related to 5 additional testing for this setting. Thank you very much. 6 CHAIR OPELKA: 7 Now, this is where it is going to get a little tricky, because I'm going to ask for a motion and 8 9 how this motion is worded is going to drive the 10 next period of time. 11 Does anybody have a motion regarding 12 this measure? Donna? 13 I'm Donna Slosburg and MS. SLOSBURG: 14 I would like to pull this measure from support to 15 do not support. And if I could, I could give you 16 my reasons. 17 CHAIR OPELKA: Please do. 18 MS. SLOSBURG: First, I want you all 19 to know that as everybody has reiterated, I won't 20 go into that. We are very concerned about 21 advance directives and do you want to honor a 22 patient's wishes and our conditions for coverage

1 in the ambulatory surgery centers, we have 2 conditions for coverage, which are similar to conditions for participation in the hospital. 3 We are mandated to ask already about 4 5 advance directives, unlike the Outpatient Quality Reporting. 6 7 The concern and the reason that we brought up about the ambulatory setting is that 8 9 this measure, as currently specified, on your 10 screen you call see all patients age 65 and 11 older, but we did confirm with the developer and 12 there is also an eligible subset, it's a list of 13 CPT codes that are actually evaluation and 14 management codes. 15 And as Erin had alluded to, those are 16 not used in an ambulatory surgery center. We 17 actually have an approved list of CPT codes from 18 CMS and those are not on the list. So right off 19 the top, if this measure was used in an 20 ambulatory surgery center, it would be zero,

because there is no denominator.

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

Secondly, as I said or haven't said

21

22

yet, but under our Code of Federal Registration,
 ambulatory surgery centers operate exclusively
 for the purpose of providing surgical services.
 We are excluded providing -- we are only allowed
 to do surgical services and, as I said, these
 evaluation and management codes are not on the
 approved list.

8 This is already a standard of care in 9 our industry. And I don't know, I can read to 10 you very quickly what our conditions for coverage 11 say. Provide the patient or, as appropriate, the 12 patient's representative in advance of the date 13 of the procedure with information concerning its 14 policies on advance directives.

15 Inform the patient or, as appropriate, 16 the patient's representative of the patient's 17 right to make informed decisions regarding the 18 patient care. Document in a prominent part of 19 the patient's current medical record whether or 20 not the individual has executed an advance 21 directive. It also says that the documentation 22 as it applies in the ASCs has to be in a

(202) 234-4433

prominent part of the medical record. 1 2 So that being said, that's why I'm asking for a do not support, because it seems 3 like this is already in our conditions for 4 5 coverage and I feel like this is not a critical measurement topic for us. 6 7 CHAIR OPELKA: I'll open it up for further comments. Mitchell? 8 9 DR. LEVY: So I'm just not -- I would 10 not face this before. This measure seems that it 11 is in error, so is it true that the codes 12 actually don't apply to -- I would like 13 clarification. And then I would also like clarification of if this is kind of double-14 15 dipping, so to speak, that ambulatory service 16 clinics are already asked to do this. 17 I'm not sure what the purpose of this 18 measure is. If those aren't true, then I think 19 we have to have a different discussion. 20 MS. SLOSBURG: If you would like, I 21 can show you the actual codes in the --22 DR. LEVY: No, it's not that I don't

believe you.

1

2	MS. SLOSBURG: Oh, oh.
3	DR. LEVY: I'm just not used to a
4	measure being brought to us that seems completely
5	off-base. So it's not just a matter of opinion
6	of whether we think it is a good idea. This
7	seems like it is being applied incorrectly.
8	DR. YONG: Yes, I don't think we were
9	aware of that particular issue that Donna raised
10	before. This is the first time this has come to
11	our attention.
12	MS. FOSTER: Thanks. And this perhaps
13	has just clarified that, but for anybody who is
14	not aware, the conditions of coverage that Donna
15	alluded to, if you violate them, you are given an
16	opportunity to correct your behavior. And if you
17	don't, you can be excluded from the Medicare
18	programs. So it's really something people pay a
19	lot of attention to. And perhaps even more so
20	than they do the measures.
21	CHAIR OPELKA: Any other comments?
22	Good. Okay. Having heard that, the motion on

the table is to move it to do not support. 1 This 2 should be a binary vote. A yes vote would mean you support the motion. A no vote would mean you 3 4 would not support the motion. 5 Can you say that again? MS. SLOSBURG: CHAIR OPELKA: So the yes is not 6 Yes. 7 about the measure. The motion on the table, we learned once from this already this morning. 8 The 9 motion on the table is to move it to do not 10 support. A yes vote supports that motion, which 11 will be 1. A 2 is no, you do not support the 12 motion. 13 (Voting) 14 MS. IBRAGIMOVA: The results are 92 15 percent yes, eight percent no. 16 CHAIR OPELKA: So advance care plan will be moved down to Calendar 3 and we will get 17 18 to calendar 3 in just a second. 19 So Calendar 2 is the conditional 20 It has two measures. These we heard support. 21 earlier. Is there discussion about the -- is 22 there a discussion and a wish to move either of

those issues off that calendar, Unplanned 1 2 Anterior Vitrectomy and Normothermia Outcome? We will hear from Donna and Cristie. 3 Donna first. 4 5 MS. SLOSBURG: I just wanted to update the panel that these measures are fully tested 6 And also, they are being used throughout 7 now. 8 the industry. 9 MS. FOSTER: From my perspective, I 10 think these are two important measures. They are 11 filling specific gaps that the MAP has identified 12 around ambulatory surgery outcomes. The 13 normothermia is kind of an intermediate outcome 14 measure, but we think it is a good one to start 15 with. 16 Obviously, the complications that are 17 actually caused by that condition would be 18 something that we hope that we would move toward 19 actually measuring, but this is really a good 20 movement in, what we have identified as a 21 priority area. 22 CHAIR OPELKA: Nancy?

1 MS. FOSTER: Just a question. Is it 2 conditional upon both NQF-endorsement and review of the liability testing to ensure that they are 3 4 reliably collected? I'm comforted by Donna's 5 assertion that they are being broadly collected right now, but I want -- but there were questions 6 7 earlier about the reliability of these data. So 8 thank you. 9 MR. AMIN: Yes. The condition is 10 pending completion of the reliability testing. 11 That's the most recent update that we have from 12 CMS, but that would obviously need to go through 13 NQF-endorsement review. 14 CHAIR OPELKA: If there is no more 15 discussion, we will move on to Calendar 3. We 16 already have one measure moved onto Calendar 3. 17 Is there any discussion about the do not support 18 measure on Calendar 3, which is ambulatory 19 surgery patients with appropriate method of hair 20 removal? First Mitchell? 21 22 So this, I think, is fairly DR. LEVY:

straightforward. It's basically topped out at 97 1 2 percent and it has been removed from inpatient quality reporting and there are no plans for 3 moving it forward from CMS that we know of. 4 So 5 it seems that recommendation that we do not support this is very appropriate at this point. 6 CHAIR OPELKA: 7 Martin? MR. HATLIE: I just noticed that we 8 9 did have one very positive comment about 10 retaining this and it actually came from Donna's 11 organization. Donna, I don't know if you want to 12 speak to it? That's basically it was low-burden 13 and widespread use. 14 I don't mean to put you on the spot, 15 but it was your organization. 16 MS. SLOSBURG: You know, it has been 17 used in our industry for the last few years and 18 we have had about 1200 surgery centers out of the 19 5,000 reporting on our website and it is now 20 right about between 97 and 98 percent. It was a 21 measure that we had and had wanted in the 22 program.

	- 
1	MR. HATLIE: Yes.
2	MS. SLOSBURG: But it didn't make it
3	through comment, but we are, you know, still
4	collecting data on it. I'm okay with it not
5	being in the program.
6	CHAIR OPELKA: Okay. There is
7	currently no motion on the table to move anything
8	out of this calendar. Is there any more
9	discussion? Okay. No.
10	Calendar 4. Let's take those first,
11	okay? Yes, let's do that. To do a vote on the
12	Consent Calendar and we will refresh it or what
13	it is sorry.
14	MR. AMIN: Operator, can we open the
15	line for public comments? Are there any public
16	comments in the room?
17	OPERATOR: If you would like to make
18	a public comment, please press star and then the
19	number one. Okay. At this time, there are no
20	public comments.
21	MR. AMIN: There are no public
22	comments in the room and there are no public

1

comments on the webchat.

2 MS. IBRAGIMOVA: So we will be voting 3 on ASCQR Consent Calendar 2, Conditional Support 4 Pending the Completion of Reliability Testing and 5 NQF-Endorsement for Unplanned Anterior Vitrectomy and Normothermia Outcome. 6 7 Do you agree with the conditional support pending the completion of reliability 8 9 testing and NQF-endorsement calendar? 1, yes; 2, 10 no. 11 (Voting) 12 MS. IBRAGIMOVA: The results are 100 13 percent yes and zero percent no. 14 CHAIR OPELKA: It's called a consent 15 calendar. 16 MS. IBRAGIMOVA: So ASCOR Consent 17 Calendar 3, do not support Ambulatory Surgery 18 Patients with Appropriate Method of Hair Removal 19 and Advance Care Plan. 20 Do you agree with do not support 21 calendar? 1, yes; 2, no. 22 (Voting)

1	MS. IBRAGIMOVA: The results are 100
2	percent yes, zero percent no.
3	DR. LEVY: This is too easy. We
4	should talk about advance care plan again.
5	(Laughter)
6	MS. O'ROURKE: Okay. Calendar 4 is
7	for the measures under development. These are
8	again, the O/ASPECS survey elements that we had
9	discussed previously for OQR. We did receive a
10	public comment for this measure for this program,
11	so I won't repeat our preliminary analysis, but I
12	did want to read this comment for you.
13	The ASC Quality Collaboration
14	submitted a comment supporting the idea of a
15	patient survey experience, but noted the survey
16	instrument has yet to be finalized and made
17	public. The commenter noted concerns regarding
18	the cost of implementing and using the survey.
19	The commenter noted the survey was
20	that they were assured by CMS the survey would be
21	voluntary, but is now on the list for inclusion
22	in ASCQR.

CHAIR OPELKA: So let's do our lead 1 2 discussants first. Amanda? DR. STEFANCYK OBERLIES: (No audible 3 response.) 4 5 CHAIR OPELKA: Jack? Amanda are you 6 7 DR. STEFANCYK OBERLIES: So I believe the measure looks good the way it is stated. 8 Ι 9 know this is very similar to the outpatient one 10 that we had and the motion was to do a composite, 11 so I would be interested to hear from the group 12 if a composite is suggested for this one as well. 13 CHAIR OPELKA: We will talk about all 14 four or five. 15 So just to clarify, MS. O'ROURKE: 16 this is another one that CMS had asked us to 17 consider as a set. So if you want to pull one 18 element, we would ask that you pull them all. 19 Well, I mean, I think the DR. FOWLER: 20 same argument is in favor of the ones that we 21 supported in the outpatient center. The issue 22 about confusion between ambulatory surgical

centers and other kinds of ambulatory care aren't 1 2 supposed to be discussed, but it seems like these are built on CAHPS work. There is work to be 3 4 done. I don't think this is right forum to worry 5 about individual questions. I assume more work will be done, but it did seem like a reasonable -6 7 - it seems reasonable to me to support continued 8 development.

9 CHAIR OPELKA: Okay. Are there any 10 other comments? Let's open for public comments. 11 Hi, this is Karen MS. GINSBURG: 12 Ginsburg from CMS. Can I just update the panel, 13 please? The measures are fully tested now. They 14 were recently -- the testing was recently 15 completed, so they are full tested. They have 16 one more step which is to go through the CAHPS 17 consortium to be able to use the CAHPS trademark. 18 CHAIR OPELKA: Okay. So this is 19 Frank, just commenting with my Chair hat off. We 20 had made comments about this set in the previous 21 discussion. Now, we are just hearing those

22 comments over that -- that this is a

collaborative effort with all these patients are 1 2 being instruments that we try and efficiently and economically put these forward, you know, so that 3 the patients aren't inundated with all of this. 4 5 So encourage continued development. Karen, thank you for all the great work you are 6 7 doing. And then put this in play with all the other CAHPS instruments as to how we put all this 8 9 together. 10 A show of hands for those in Okay. 11 favor of Calendar 5? 12 DR. MORRISON: My hand is up, Frank. 13 MS. IBRAGIMOVA: General consensus? 14 CHAIR OPELKA: No. General consensus. 15 Okay. We will use the voters. All right. Does 16 somebody have a motion, by the way, for this 17 consent calendar? 18 MR. AMIN: Can I just clarify? There 19 was no motion to change the preliminary 20 recommendation? 21 CHAIR OPELKA: Right. 22 MR. AMIN: So --

CHAIR OPELKA: A formal vote for the 1 2 consent calendar. MR. AMIN: -- at this -- yes. 3 So now, 4 it is just a formal vote. 5 CHAIR OPELKA: Okay. 6 MR. AMIN: Yes. CHAIR OPELKA: So 1, yes and 2 is no. 7 8 MR. AMIN: Yes, thanks. 9 CHAIR OPELKA: Ready? Well, it's a 10 consent calendar. 11 MS. IBRAGIMOVA: So voting on do you 12 agree with encourage for continued development 13 calendar for the survey measures? 14 (Voting) 15 If you haven't voted, MS. IBRAGIMOVA: 16 can you vote again? Technically, yes. The 17 results are 100 percent yes, zero percent no. 18 CHAIR OPELKA: Okay. So we will try and get the voting machines fixed. I don't know 19 20 what is wrong with them. But so what we are 21 going to do between now and break for lunch is 22 just intro the Medicare Shared Savings Program.

And then we are going to ask you to get your 1 2 lunch, take a few minutes, chew, don't digest, before you digest, we will dive back in and then 3 4 you can do that portion of your digesting while 5 we are working, so it's a working lunch. Taroon? So MSSP. We have 6 MR. AMIN: Okay. seven consent calendars. 7 The first five are for fully developed measures. The final two are for 8 9 measures under development. 10 We will start with a quick 11 orientation. Consent Calendars 2, 3 and 4 are 12 all within support, conditional support. They 13 just have different conditions. So I will walk 14 through them individually. 15 Perioperative antiplatelet therapy. 16 This is a fully developed measure and tested 17 endorsed measure. It assesses the safety of 18 patients by evaluating the appropriate use of 19 medication before and after procedure. 20 One of the main program objectives 21 that this group identified was around 22 coordination and collaboration, so this does

require a level of coordination and collaboration 1 2 within an ACO. So again, the preliminary 3 4 recommendation here was support. There were no 5 comments on this measure. The second measure in this calendar is 6 Thorax CT: Use of Contrast Material. This 7 measure promotes alignment across other quality 8 9 reporting -- quality measurement reporting 10 programs, including the Outpatient Quality 11 Reporting Program, and identifies gaps related to 12 imaging efficiency, utilization and patient 13 safety. 14 In addition, this measure requires the 15 use of administrative claims that will not pose 16 an overly burdensome approach for providers. The Pulmonary and Critical Care Standing Committee 17 18 noted in its 2013 review of this measure that 19 this measure helps address over-use and patient 20 safety through the reduction of radiation 21 exposure and the potential reactions to contrast 22 dye.

www.nealrgross.com

The third measure in this support 1 2 category for the Consent Calendar 1 is In-Hospital Mortality following the elective open 3 4 repair of AAAs. This measure represents and 5 important cardiac outcome for a large number of Medicare beneficiaries. This measure is 6 7 currently used by private sector registries and is under consideration for other PQRS-based 8 9 programs. 10 While this measure does not address 11 care across settings and providers, it does 12 represent an important opportunity for the 13 quality improvement in the Medicare fee- for-14 service population. 15 And finally within Consent Calendar 16 No. 1 is the Payment-Standardized Medicare 17 Spending Per Beneficiary Measure. This 18 cost/resource use measure captures services delivered between three days prior to an 19 20 inpatient hospitalization through 30 days post-21 discharge. 22 This measures a high impact area of

180

measurement identified by the gap or by the MAP
 and addresses -- and seeks to incentivize
 hospitals to improve care coordination and reduce
 fragmentation across the healthcare delivery
 system.

6 This measure also promotes alignment 7 across quality reporting programs and since it's 8 used in the Hospital Inpatient Quality Reporting 9 Program and the Hospital Value-Based Purchasing 10 Program. This measure was also identified by the 11 MAP-affordability families of measures and the 12 MAP-duals family of measures.

13 There was one comment received on this 14 measure that specifically noted concern around 15 the cost attribution methodology that I would 16 just note for the Committee in its review. Those 17 are the four within Consent Calendar No. 1.

18 I'll continue moving on, so please
19 note if you have any concerns about these as we
20 go forward. Let me just walk through them and
21 then you guys can break for lunch, because that
22 will probably be a little bit easier, maybe not.

(202) 234-4433
1	So Calendar No. 2. This is
2	Conditional support pending the resolution of
3	data concerns. This is the quality outcome
4	measure. This is the first one, conditional
5	support pending resolution of data concerns. The
6	MSSP program may have difficulty accessing NHSN
7	data and would need to coordinate with the CDC to
8	be able to obtain this information.
9	I just want to point out that this is
10	an update to an existing measure, so that's
11	really what is under consideration, not the full
12	measure. It's just considering whether the
13	updates Erin, can you just confirm that?
14	MS. O'ROURKE: So these measures are
15	not in the MSSP program, at this time, so this is
16	considering
17	MR. AMIN: Okay.
18	MS. O'ROURKE: this measure for the
19	MSSP program. When we see these later in the
20	meeting, we will just be considering the update.
21	MR. AMIN: Okay. Thank you for that.
22	All right. So again, this is the CAUTI measure

for this program and it is conditional support
 pending data concerns.

3	There was a significant number of
4	comments received on this measure. The number
5	that is listed there is six. Actually, six
6	there was one comment that noted about four or
7	five additional comments within one, so actually
8	this is probably more on the order of 10 comments
9	that were received that raised significant
10	concerns regarding the inclusion of patients with
11	spinal cord injuries.
12	There was a significant concern that
13	using this measure with that patient population
14	would is undesirable.
15	And then there is a CLABSI outcome
16	measure with very similar conditions pending the
17	resolution of data concerns and whether they
18	would be able to obtain this information from
19	CDC.
20	So moving forward, we have the Consent
21	Calendar No. 3. This is conditional support
22	pending NQF review and/or endorsement. The first

measure that we start here with is the proportion 1 2 of patients sustaining bladder injury at the time of pelvic organ prolapse repair. This is 3 conditional support pending NQF review. 4 This is an important outcome measure 5 and it is fully-specified and tested and would 6 7 contribute to the efficient use of measurement resources, if selected, for use across PQRS-based 8 9 However, it is not currently endorsed. programs. 10 No. 2 within the Consent Calendar No. 11 3 is the proportion of patients sustaining major 12 viscus injury at the time of pelvic organ 13 prolapse repair. Again, this is a conditional 14 support pending NQF review and endorsement, an 15 important outcome measure and it's tested. 16 Again, it would -- it is currently not endorsed. 17 Third in this list is the proportion 18 of patients sustaining ureter injury at the time 19 of pelvic organ prolapse repair. Again, this was 20 a conditional support with many of the same 21 concerns. It is an important outcome measure,

22 but it is not currently endorsed.

And finally within this category is 1 2 performing cystoscopy at the time of hysterectomy for pelvic organ prolapse to detect lower urinary 3 4 tract injury. And this is a conditional support 5 pending NQF review and endorsement. This is an important measure to detect urinary tract injury, 6 7 which is a common complication for this type of 8 surgery. 9 Moving on to Consent Calendar No. 4, 10 this is only one measure in this category. This 11 is conditional support pending resubmission to 12 NOF for endorsement review. And there are no 13 comments received on this measure.

14 Finally, MSSP Calendar No. 5, do not 15 support. This is performing an intraoperative 16 rectal examination at the time of prolapse 17 repair. So this is a process measure that does 18 not address any of the identified gaps by this 19 work group for the Medicare Shared Savings 20 Program and does not encourage coordination or 21 shared accountability across multiple settings 22 that the patients receive care.

So those are the five consent 1 2 calendars for the measures that are fully-I will go through the remaining two 3 specified. consent calendars for the measures that are still 4 5 under development. So that includes the Medicare Shared 6 7 Savings Program Consent Calendar No. 6, which is encourage continued development. And this is 8 9 door to puncture time for endovascular stroke 10 This is an intermediate clinical treatments. 11 timeliness of appropriate stroke treatment 12 outcome measure that is important -- that is an 13 important leading cause of mortality and 14 disability for the Medicare fee- for-service 15 population.

And the measure also does promote alignment across PQRS-based programs. There was one comment on this measure that was generally supportive noting the need for appropriate risk adjustment.

21 The next measure is the prevention of 22 post-operative nausea and vomiting combination.

This is a measure that addresses an important 1 2 area for potential surgical improvement for Medicare beneficiaries. And there was one 3 4 generally supportive comment on this measure. The third measure in Calendar No. 6 is 5 Post-Anesthetic Transfer of Care, the use of a 6 7 checklist or protocol for direct transfer of care from procedure room to intensive care unit. This 8 9 measure addresses an important subset of 10 beneficiaries who have been cared for in the OR 11 and are transferred to the ICU and encourages 12 coordination within an acute care facility among 13 providers. 14 This measure has the potential to 15 improve surgical and anesthesia care for Medicare 16 population and does address the transition of 17 care between providers, even though it's within 18 the care setting. 19 And finally within this consent 20 calendar is the Post-Anesthetic Transfer of Care 21 measure from the procedure room to the post-22 anesthesia care unit, the PACU.

1This has a very similar preliminary2analysis in that it addresses an important area3of care and does address coordination of care4among providers within a care setting. And there5were also generally supportive comments on this6measure.

7 There were two measures that -- three 8 measures on the final Medicare Spending for 9 Beneficiary Calendar No. 7, which were -- the 10 preliminary analysis result was do not encourage 11 further consideration.

12 The first of that -- of those measures 13 was the Pre-Operative Use of Aspirin for Patients 14 with Drug-Eluting Coronary Stents. While an 15 important clinical measure, this does not address 16 an identified gap by this work group for the 17 Medicare Shared Savings Program nor does it 18 encourage coordination or shared accountability 19 across settings, across multiple settings in 20 which these patients seek care. 21 There were generally supportive

22 comments by the American Society of

1

Anesthesiology.

2	The second measure under this consent
3	calendar is the perioperative temperature
4	management and this measure has been retired by
5	the measure steward, CMS. And so this is why
6	this was not supported. This measure did receive
7	generally supportive comments during the comment
8	review.
9	And finally of this Medicare Shared
10	Savings Program is the Anesthesiology Smoking
11	Abstinence measure. And while smoking cessation
12	is an important area of measurement, there was a
13	concern that was raised around whether a single
14	day abstinence reflects the value of measuring
15	high-risk follow-up and whether it does represent
16	a high value measure of health risk with follow-
17	up interventions.
18	This measure also received three
19	supportive comments from two different
20	commenters. So those are the seven consent
21	calendars that were are up for discussion for
22	the Medicare Shared Savings Program.

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

So I'll turn it over to Frank to lead 1 2 the discussion. 3 CHAIR OPELKA: Great. All those in We're voting -- this is purely a vote on 4 favor? 5 lunch. So let's take a break and grab your lunch. Take about 10 or 15 minutes and you can 6 7 keep eating, but, at that point in time, I would 8 like us to get into the consent calendar. You 9 can see we have got a lot in front of us. 10 MR. AMIN: So we will reconvene at 11 12:45, for those on the phone and those in the 12 room. 13 (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter 14 went off the record at 12:20 p.m. and resumed at 15 12:47 p.m.) 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

1	L90
1	A-F-T-E-R-N-O-O-N S-E-S-S-I-O-N
2	12:47 p.m.
3	CHAIR OPELKA: All right. So we have
4	got our agenda before us on the Medicare Shared
5	Savings Program that Taroon walked us through.
6	And we are beginning by moving the various
7	aspects off one consent calendar to another
8	beginning with the first consent calendar, which
9	is the support calendar and it included
10	perioperative antiplatelet therapy for carotid
11	thoracic CT use of contrast in hospitality
12	mortality related to AAA and the payment
13	standardized Medicare spending per beneficiary
14	measure.
15	MR. AMIN: Frank, I'm sorry, I should
16	have mentioned this before we started. We had a
17	request to go through the program summary for
18	this program. In my introduction I skipped that,
19	just going over the program as designed and the
20	gaps that are identified during the fall web
21	meeting.
22	So if I could turn if we could
1	

if you could turn it over to Poonam, she will 1 2 take care of that before we get started on the 3 individual calendars. 4 MS. BAL: Okay. Thank you, Taroon. 5 So the Medicare Shared Savings Program, also known as MSSP, is a combination pay-for-reporting 6 7 and pay-for-performance program. The goal is to facilitate coordination and cooperation among 8 9 providers to improve the quality of care for 10 Medicare fee-for-service beneficiaries and reduce 11 the rate of growth in healthcare costs. 12 The critical program objectives that 13 we found during the October meeting were: 14 To improve the overall health for the 15 population of Medicare fee-for-service 16 beneficiaries ensuring that care improvements and 17 health outcomes are widely shared across sub-18 populations. 19 To improve quality and health outcomes 20 by lowering the rate of growth of healthcare 21 spending. 22 To encourage coordination and shared

accountability by including measures relevant to 1 2 individuals with multiple chronic diseases --3 conditions, measures in all settings that 4 patients receive care and measures that span 5 across settings. Also to promote alignment across other 6 7 quality measurement reporting programs and to include more high level measures such as patient 8 reported outcome measures in the areas of 9 10 depression remission, functional status and 11 smoking. 12 Patient reported outcome measures for 13 medically complex patients. A measure of high-14 risk with follow-up interventions, cost and 15 resource use measures and appropriate use 16 measures. 17 CHAIR OPELKA: Are we back? Are we on 18 track now? Thanks so much. I appreciated that 19 overview. Andrea? 20 DR. BENIN: If I understand correctly, 21 I don't know that we have gone through this 22 program in the past, so I just want to make sure

Ĩ	193 I
1	that I have this correctly in my mind, because I
2	don't deal with Medicare a lot, needless to say.
3	But the this looks to me as though
4	the organization has to hit certain thresholds on
5	the metrics in order to get their shared
6	statements. Is that how this is that how
7	these these are the metrics that we are
8	these metrics will determine that. So these are
9	the metrics that determine how much of the shared
10	savings they get back.
11	And it looks, as best we can tell, as
12	though these are graded like tournament style.
13	So it's a decile if you are an X, Y, Z decile,
14	you get X, Y, Z percentage or something along
15	those lines.
16	MS. GOODRICH: So this is Kate. I
17	don't know if we have anybody from the MSSP
18	program on the phone. I think Rabia Khan is on
19	the phone. I'll start and then Rabia can add in.
20	MS. KHAN: Sure.
21	MS. GOODRICH: So these measures that
22	are on the list and that are currently in the

program do have to be reported by all ACOs that are part of the MSSP program. Some of the measures are, when they are introduced, just payfor-reporting, so they don't get scored based upon their performance, but then some of the measures are currently in a pay-for-performance mode and there are benchmarks for each measure. And but I'm going to let Rabia talk to you a little bit more about that. So, Rabia, do you want to talk about the benchmarks? MS. KHAN: Sure. And just reiterating what you said, thank you, Kate. So in the first year in the agreement period, ACS enters into it's pay-for-reporting year, so they must -- in order to be eligible and earning their shared savings that they would generate, they need to completely and accurately report on all 33 measures across the four domains in the program. And like Kate mentioned, we transition

them with phasing in pay-for-performance. So as we move through the agreement period, so now that we are in performance year two, a number of the

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

measures are now pay-for-performance, meaning that ACS in order to be eligible and earning their shared savings, they must meet the minimum attainment.

And minimum attainment is set at -it's based on the benchmarks that we have established. And we used all Medicare fee-forservice data that we had available to set benchmarks using 24 there and we have those available online for ACOs. And if you meet the 30th percentile, that's the minimum attainment, on at least one of the pay-for-performance measures in each domain, you will be eligible to earn in the shared savings.

15 But it is correct that depending on 16 performance thresholds that we have established based on the benchmarks will determine the level 17 18 of shared savings they may be able to earn. But 19 to be eligible in a pay-for-performance year, 20 they need to, one, completely and accurately 21 report and then, two, meet the minimum attainment 22 of the 30th percentile benchmark for one pay-for-

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

performance measure in each of the four domains. 1 2 MS. GOODRICH: The 30th percentile from 2012 is the data. 3 4 MS. KHAN: Yes. Yes, so we 5 established benchmarks for 2014 and 2015 using the 2012 data we had available. And we have 6 7 recently finalized in the Physician Fee Schedule a rule that we'll be setting benchmarks every two 8 9 years to provide ACOs with consistent targets to 10 work toward. 11 MS. GOODRICH: Okay. That's helpful 12 to me. 13 CHAIR OPELKA: Michael? 14 DR. PHELAN: I had one question about 15 the mortality for AAA. Why did they pick an open AAA and not all-comers? 16 17 CHAIR OPELKA: Okay. Can we hold on that for a minute? 18 19 DR. PHELAN: Sure. 20 CHAIR OPELKA: We are just trying to 21 get these administrative programmatic questions 22 handled and then we will come back to the

	197
1	calendar itself. Cristie?
-	
2	MS. TRAVIS: I guess just a clarifying
3	question. Are these measures setting specific?
4	So like if we are looking at a particular measure
5	within an ACO, is it a hospital measure or is it
6	kind of patient and cross-cutting in general?
7	And then the other question, I guess, is if some
8	of these are in IQR or in value-based purchasing
9	and everything, how does all this kind of
10	coordinate, I guess, is my question?
11	MS. O'ROURKE: So I can take the first
12	pass at answering that. Just to clarify, we gave
13	the hospital group the measures that are for
14	hospital settings. So the clinician group will
15	be looking at the clinician level measures and
16	the PAC LTC measure will be work group will
17	deal with PAC LTC measures with the Coordinating
18	Committee taking an overarching view of the
19	program and how everything interacts with each
20	other and how measures cut across populations.
21	But to inform their guidance, we
22	wanted to take a look at the hospital measures.

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1	CHAIR OPELKA: And the second question
2	you had?
3	MS. TRAVIS: I guess I was just trying
4	to think through, you know, if some of these are
5	also and I don't know whether they are, but if
6	they are an IQR or value-based purchasing, let's
7	say they are hospital measures and then there are
8	these other programs, do you report it once or do
9	you have to report it several times?
10	I'm trying to figure out how it works
11	if it's the same measure in more than one
12	program.
13	CHAIR OPELKA: The advance care plan
14	in multiple programs, I gather.
15	MS. TRAVIS: Well, we can have that
16	conversation, but
17	MS. GOODRICH: So a couple of things.
18	So for a measure that, for example, is, you know,
19	claims-based, we would, obviously, calculate that
20	separately.
21	For some of the measures on here like
22	the antiplatelet therapy for patients undergoing

carotid endarterectomy, so for the measures that are clinically-oriented measures like this, what we would do for this program, if it were to be included in the program, is we would include it as part of our CMS web interface where we basically send a sample of Medicare beneficiaries to the ACO and they populate that web interface with the quality measure information on that sample of patients. That's how that works.

I will say there are a few measures on here like the antiplatelet therapy one and the open repair of AAAs that are actually physician level measures. I suspect they got put on the hospital work group because they occurred in hospitals, but they are currently specified at the physician level.

And many of the measures that are in the shared savings program that are reported through the CMS web interface, also known as the G Pro Web Interface, are physician level measures as well that are used in ACO programs, have been validated there.

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

So just to make that clear for some of 1 2 these on here, they could still be in PQRS, and 3 individual vascular surgeons could report on that 4 measure through whatever mechanisms there are, 5 but then if there are also in the ACO program, then that ACO program would be reporting on their 6 sample of Medicare beneficiaries. 7 8 MS. KHAN: Right. And, Kate, I just 9 wanted to add that for these measures, although 10 they might also be in IQR and other programs, we 11 report them at an ACO level. So we are not 12 providing this information to each individual 13 hospital that may be the case for some of these 14 other hospital programs. 15 CHAIR OPELKA: So we are going to --16 Kate, based on what you just said, we may have 17 falsely placed these in these programs. So while 18 we are having this discussion, we are going to 19 look at the specs now and if something specs out 20 to be a clinician level, we will drop it from the 21 consent calendar. 22

If you want to consider it within your

gap that you would want it respecified, you can. 1 2 But we will check on that right now. There is a 3 lot up here. Nancy? 4 MS. FOSTER: So, Kate or Rabia, I'm 5 not sure who is best to answer this question. I'm looking at this set of measures that was put 6 in front of us and maybe it's because I don't see 7 the entire set of it, but I'm not getting the 8 sort of mental model of how one anticipates 9 10 holding a shared savings program where the 11 identification of which patients are in that 12 shared savings program is calculated after the 13 treatment year is over, how you are anticipating 14 holding the shared savings program accountable 15 for some of these things. 16 What's the, well, the Medicare Shared 17 Savings Program, the Medicare Shared Savings 18 organization. Yes, the ACO, yes. And why -- it 19 sort of looks like the cat and dog set up 20 So help me understand what the measures. 21 framework was for this, because it would be very 22 useful to me in this conversation.

1	MS. GOODRICH: So, Rabia, do you want
2	to start with that one and I can add in, if need
3	be?
4	MS. KHAN: Sure. So I guess I'll
5	tackle the second item first about sort of the
6	framework around what we were thinking when we
7	were adding measures to this list.
8	So we start off by taking a look at
9	some key gaps in our measure sets. Currently, we
10	have 33 measures and I note just generally there
11	is a challenge for identifying more population
12	level measures that can address a broad range of
13	conditions and categories that we would like to.
14	But in looking at previous MAP
15	feedback that we had received, it has been
16	suggested to us to also consider cost or resource
17	use measures. And we do have a cost component
18	for the program, the side of the financial
19	side of generating and sharing in the savings.
20	So we did include some existing cost
21	measures that are CMS quality reporting programs
22	for you know, to get inside those two, whether

you think this would a good measure to include in the program. I think an area that we have largely been interested in is appropriate use and looking at, for instance, imaging efficiency, so that's why you will see measures here. And also, I think they have been divided into the other work groups and then for appropriate use measures or efficiency measures.

9 And then we have also looked at, you 10 know, focusing on outcome measures. And in terms of identifying patient safety, outcome measures, 11 12 that's where you will see we have included the 13 CLABSI accounting measures. And then broadly the 14 majority of measures that are on the shared 15 saving program set are really there to align with 16 other quality reporting programs.

So we would like to see more postacute care measures. That was a gap and that's where we are seeing some savings occurring from a lot of ACOs is coordination with some of these post-acute care facilities. And so we want to also be able to look at the quality of care.

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

I	204
1	And a lot of these measures like Kate
2	mentioned are under consideration for PQF and the
3	physician feedback on value-based modified
4	programs.
5	So as part of the program, we would
6	like that to continue alignment with those
7	programs, so in considering measures that could
8	be reported through our G Pro Web Interface, it
9	would be great if we had measures that aligned
10	across the different programs for the eligible
11	professionals to get credit and avoid different
12	payment adjustments by reporting through the
13	shared through their ACO.
14	MS. GOODRICH: So this is Kate. I may
15	just high-level a few points.
16	MS. KHAN: Yes.
17	MS. GOODRICH: I agree with everything
18	Rabia has said. Alignment with the National
19	Quality Strategy in filling in those gaps,
20	measures that really help to focus on care
21	coordination, which you will see for example the
22	post-acute care work group is going to be
I	I I

considering the home health readmission measure, 1 2 for example. We had this -- we just finalized the 3 4 SNF Readmission measure for the program. 5 Trying to address what many stakeholders including most recently MedPAC have 6 recommended for the program, which, as Rabia 7 said, is a focus on population level outcome 8 9 measures as well as appropriate use measures. 10 They called that out specifically. 11 And then fourth is alignment with the 12 other programs. And I think in particular with 13 something like PQRS, but I would argue also with 14 IQR, a lot of the measures that are in those 15 programs are in the safety and the clinical 16 effectiveness and prevention domain, so that's 17 where you are seeing mostly those types of 18 measures within the ACO program. 19 So just to sort of high-level some of 20 those priorities. 21 CHAIR OPELKA: Richard? 22 DR. BANKOWITZ: Is it time to make a

motion?

1

2 CHAIR OPELKA: We are on these 3 administrative understandings of the program. And I want to make sure we are all there. And if 4 5 we are, then we can move on to the specific consent calendar. But while we are doing that, 6 we are trying to understand I think the issue 7 8 that we have logistically on our data set is the 9 site of setting, site of service, this facility, 10 the level of analysis has got to be also 11 consistent with our work group. 12 So if the level of analysis is 13 hospital and the site of service is facility, 14 then it belongs in our domain. If the level of 15 analysis is clinician, then we are -- I believe 16 it does not belong in this work group. I think 17 that it belongs in the physician work group, even 18 though the site of service may be facility. 19 So we know this first one 20 perioperative antiplatelets for the carotid is a clinician level measure, as it is specified. 21 We 22 are checking on the next one, the thorax.

	207
1	MS. O'ROURKE: Thorax. We would keep
2	the Thorax CT. This one is a facility level
3	measure.
4	CHAIR OPELKA: Then the in-hospital
5	mortality for the AAA? I think that's right.
6	MS. O'ROURKE: So we have as the level
7	of analysis individual practice, group practice
8	and facility.
9	CHAIR OPELKA: And facility?
10	MS. O'ROURKE: We do have and facility
11	on the but it is also going to be reviewed by
12	clinicians, so perhaps it is more appropriate for
13	there.
14	CHAIR OPELKA: So it's on our list.
15	So the question I guess is how does CMS look at
16	it? Was it all specs?
17	MS. GOODRICH: This was one that was
18	I don't know the specs by heart, but this was
19	one that was submitted to us for consideration by
20	SVS for the physician programs. So I believe
21	that it should probably be in the clinician work
22	group.
l	II I

I	208
1	CHAIR OPELKA: Okay. So we will pull
2	it off our list. Ron, you are hesitating.
3	CO-CHAIR WALTERS: So the clinician
4	work group currently does not review the Medicare
5	Shared Savings Program measures.
6	MS. GOODRICH: Yes, it does.
7	CO-CHAIR WALTERS: It does?
8	MS. GOODRICH: Yes, yes.
9	CO-CHAIR WALTERS: They do?
10	MS. GOODRICH: Yes.
11	CO-CHAIR WALTERS: I take back what I
12	said.
13	CHAIR OPELKA: So then we have two on
14	this current list, the Thorax CT and the payment
15	standards Medicare spending per beneficiary.
16	And, Richard, now it is time.
17	DR. BANKOWITZ: Thank you, Frank.
18	Those two remaining measures, the contrast for
19	Thoracic CT and the per beneficiary spent, are
20	the two measures I was going to move we put into
21	the do not support category. Not because I don't
22	think they are good measures. They are good

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

measures, but I don't think they are appropriate for this program, which is the Medicare Shared Savings Program.

And the reason for that is everyone in this program is trying very hard to be efficient and to reduce cost and that's how they get paid. And that's probably one of the strongest motivators you can have.

9 So recognizing that there is a
10 potential to sort of skimp on care, CMS has put
11 in a set of quality measures, I think very
12 appropriately so, to make sure that we are not
13 under-utilizing services.

14These two measures seem to deal with15over-utilization. And it seems that they are on16the wrong side of the ledger that these measures17are not guarding against under-utilization of18care and they are measuring something that is19being measured very, very explicitly on the20shared savings side.

So it is not clear why, especially,
 Medicare Spending Per Beneficiary would be in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

It's almost impossible to conceive that 1 here. 2 you would have shared savings and this measure 3 would go up. It just doesn't seem possible. So 4 that's why I think these are inappropriate for 5 this shared savings program. CHAIR OPELKA: So second? 6 7 PARTICIPANT: Second it. CHAIR OPELKA: All right. 8 So then 9 shall we open this up for discussion with these 10 two being moved to the do not support and that's 11 the entire consent calendar. Nancy? 12 MS. FOSTER: Thank you, Richard. Ι 13 think you commented very well about both of the 14 measures actually. 15 I just wanted to add on the payment --16 the Medicare Spending Per Beneficiary measure; I 17 think one of the most important ways in which all 18 of the ACO models are trying to be more judicious 19 about the use of resources is to prevent 20 hospitalizations, to do the early interventions. 21 So it seems odd to me that we would be 22 looking at them and trying to measure this based

on the cost for patients who were hospitalized, 1 2 rather than looking at the broader picture. And 3 I believe, Kate, in the assessment CMS does 4 already of the program, they look at the broader 5 picture, but this would -- this is just a strange take, I think, on holding an individual ACO 6 accountable for cost when you are only talking 7 about the cost of the hospitalized patient and 8 not about the broader. 9 10 And I can envision that if you are 11 successful in driving down the number of 12 hospitalizations, that, in fact, the number --13 the cost per hospitalization might go up because 14 those people who are hospitalized then are --15 tend to be sicker. 16 CHAIR OPELKA: Emma? 17 MS. KOPLEFF: I'm wanting to offer an 18 alternative perspective on these measures. One 19 at a time, if I may. With the Payment 20 Standardized Medicare Spending Per Beneficiary 21 measure -- actually, allow me to just back up for 22 one minute and respond to the concept of under-

utilization. 1 2 And we had some of that discussion 3 earlier today when we were talking about 4 efficiency measures. And there is a time and a 5 place for talking about those issues with regards to patient safety, which is an issue I'm very 6 passionate about. 7 But with regards to system level 8 9 measures that are trying to coordinate care from 10 the patient line, that really doesn't -- the 11 concept of under-utilization doesn't resonate 12 with me. 13 Patients would like to be at home, 14 healthy, with their families and not eager to 15 enter the system and stay there. And I think one 16 of the objectives in coordinating care through 17 this program helps achieve that ability for 18 patients. And I think we need a way to measure 19 it. 20 And so now speaking to the Payment 21 Standardized Medicare Spending measure, I 22 understand Nancy's point and it's relevant, but I

-- it's relevant to the degree that we are -- the 1 2 point of that measure is to hold hospitals 3 accountable and provide meaningful information 4 about costs in the hospital setting. 5 But I think having that information as a starting point to evaluate not just the 6 7 hospital, but a bigger system is essential to know whether we are improving care. And if we 8 9 don't measure it, we don't have a basis for those 10 comparisons. 11 Secondly, on the efficiency measure, 12 I think we could repeat some of the same 13 conversations we had previously, but I'll note 14 that it's my understanding the Thorax measure is 15 in the Hospital Outpatient Program, so there is 16 an effort to align with other programs there, 17 which is something MAP has identified as a goal. 18 And I also think harkening back to our 19 earlier conversation, there are issues to 20 consider around over-use and patient safety. 21 Thanks. 22 DR. PHELAN: I would support Emma's

contention that these are measures they need. And I'm not exactly sure of all the details of the ACO model, but are they allowed to select different measures and different domains? Ι think these ACOs may be looking for more measures in this space to help them drive the improvement that they are looking for.

And the same thing for the CT 8 utilization and the Medicare Spending Per 9 10 Beneficiary, now, and I'm not familiar with all the measures, but is there a cost measure already 11 12 affiliated with the ACO measures? And I wonder 13 if CMS could address that? Because if there is, 14 why do we need this one? And if there isn't, 15 this would be a good fit for it, because it's 16 really good data and hospitals are able to drive 17 some of their improvement based on some of this 18 data. 19

And the data includes, I think it is, 20 two days before the hospitalization and then 30 days after, if I'm not mistaken if it's an 22 episode of paying them. So it includes some

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

www.nealrgross.com

things in the outpatient arena that you were 1 2 talking about after the hospitalization, but it 3 starts with the hospitalization, if I'm not -- is 4 that correct or no? 5 MS. GOODRICH: The measure is three days before hospitalization, up to 30 -- and then 6 7 through 30 days after, so that is the episode. 8 DR. PHELAN: Okay. 9 MS. GOODRICH: So there are no other 10 cost measures in the shared savings program. The 11 cost "measure" is related to the sharing and 12 So but Rabia maybe you could talk a savings. 13 little bit about how that works. And I think it 14 might help the Committee to hear why this is 15 being considered for the MSSP. 16 DR. PHELAN: Yes. 17 MS. KHAN: Right. So we work closely 18 with ACS. We have a separate -- I can't speak to 19 all the financials, that's a separate team that 20 works on it. But ACO selects a track to 21 participate in and depending on whether they 22 generate shared savings or will be eligible to

earn it -- in it or in losses. 1 2 So in terms of adding this measure to 3 or considering this measure for this program, the MSPB is being used for other value-based 4 5 programs. And so in terms of, you know, the feedback that we have received to consider cost 6 and resource use as an additional measure to 7 include in our measures, that we considered 8 9 really just looking at the measures that are 10 already aligned with other programs. But I understand the concerns raised 11 12 about the focus, whether it be under- or over-13 utilization and what the concerns are. So we do 14 have -- we do look at cost as a part of how --15 whether ACOs are generating any shared savings 16 and how much they would be eligible to share in 17 it and where they are generating it from as far 18 as what activities they are pursuing. 19 But I mean, we don't have any cost 20 measure quality metrics within our measure set or 21 any resource use really. We do have a lot of 22 preventive health and primary care and care
1 coordination focus measures. We are trying to 2 work on the measures with more outcomes and 3 really looking at what beneficiaries and providers will also feel is useful. 4 5 CHAIR OPELKA: Nancy? Richard, she yields. 6 7 DR. BANKOWITZ: Okay. Well, once 8 again, I do want to remind everyone this is the 9 Medicare Shared Savings Program. So cost is a 10 Now, these ACOs are using a ton of big focus. 11 data. They are looking at their use of post-12 They are looking at the efficient acute care. 13 use of specialists. They are looking at the 14 radiographic costs. 15 They are looking at all of this at an 16 operational level, because if they don't, they 17 can't achieve or hope to achieve the shared 18 savings. So it's not that these are going 19 They are being measured. unmeasured. 20 What we are doing here is we are 21 putting them in as a basis of payment. So in 22 addition to achieving the shared savings, we are

saying now you have got to focus on CT use. 1 2 Maybe some organizations are focusing on CT use, 3 because they know they have an issue there. 4 But to tie payment to an efficiency 5 measure when the whole program is geared to achieve efficiency just doesn't seem logical to 6 It doesn't seem as if we are using these 7 me. 8 measures properly. 9 CHAIR OPELKA: Nancy? 10 MS. FOSTER: So yes, then to put a 11 finer point on what Richard just said, if you 12 were trying to, Michael, use this measure to direct your care, it would be not driving looking 13 14 in the rear view mirror. It would be driving 15 looking in the rear view mirror of the car that 16 is a half a mile behind you, because there is 17 such a delay in getting this data. 18 In addition, I'm not sure how this 19 would be calculated, because this measure is 20 specified for a hospital population, but Medicare 21 Shared Savings Program model ACOs have people who 22 go to a variety of hospitals and they don't

control which hospital they go to. 1 2 They are allocated to that ACO based 3 on their primary care utilization, not based on 4 their hospital utilization, not based on anything 5 So it is how one can conceptually hold a else. Medicare Shared Savings Program accountable for 6 this measure is a little bit beyond me. 7 It seems it is right to measure cost? 8 9 This isn't the right measure. 10 CHAIR OPELKA: Other comments? So 11 taking my Chair hat off, Richard, I'm not -- I'm 12 trying to understand where you are coming from on 13 your point, because I don't know that I accept 14 If I'm in an ACO and I'm not, I live in a it. 15 hospital that has a global payment. Once a year 16 we get funded and I don't get funded again for 17 another year. 18 But there is nothing more important to 19 me than to know my key areas of spend. 20 DR. BANKOWITZ: Yes. 21 CHAIR OPELKA: I mean, nothing. So I 22 would look at this in my global payment. And if

	220
1	I got the per hospital beneficiary cost, that
2	would be valuable to me.
3	DR. BANKOWITZ: Yes.
4	CHAIR OPELKA: If I got the pharmacy
5	cost, that would be valuable to me.
6	DR. BANKOWITZ: Yes.
7	CHAIR OPELKA: If I got the outpatient
8	cost, that would be valuable to me. If I got my
9	CT cost for thoracic surgery, that would be
10	valuable to me.
11	Now, I'm not saying I guess what
12	I'm looking at is which one of these costs would
13	I put in a program because I think it is going to
14	help the program achieve the savings. It's going
15	to get them to focus on a key area, because there
16	are so many costs you can chase. If you chase
17	the wrong cost, you put all your resources if
18	you look 10 FTEs and that's all you had to manage
19	your ACO and they all chased 1 percent of the
20	income, of the revenue, they are not going to end
21	up helping the ACO.
22	DR. BANKOWITZ: Right.

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

I	
1	CHAIR OPELKA: But if they are chasing
2	the right 30 percent, that there is variation and
3	there is opportunity, they are going to help the
4	ACO.
5	DR. BANKOWITZ: Right.
6	CHAIR OPELKA: So I look at both of
7	these measures. And that's the question I ask.
8	On these efficiency measures, which one of these
9	efficiency measures would be valuable to a
10	management team of an ACO that I, CMS, am guiding
11	them to say put your effort here. And I
12	personally don't think it is in Thoracic CT.
13	I've got to be honest with you.
14	I don't know that for a fact, but I
15	don't think it is where the difference is going
16	to be made in the ACO. But the Medicare Spending
17	Per Beneficiary on the hospital, I don't know how
18	you do it without it.
19	DR. BANKOWITZ: So if I could respond,
20	I think we are in alignment and that I agree
21	pretty much completely with what you have said
22	for the need for the information. And believe

1	222
1	me, these ACOs are tracking everything.
2	What I disagree with is that CMS will
3	guide the ACO by its selection of performance
4	measures on which they will be paid in addition
5	to the savings, because I think each individual
6	ACO needs to understand where to focus.
7	When I think of the beauty of the
8	program is we have this large goal, which is
9	reduce the cost and you figure out how to do it,
10	and you know where your costs are and get them
11	down, but don't sacrifice quality; that's why we
12	have those measures. I don't think these quality
13	measures are meant to be operational directive
14	and maybe that's where we disagree.
15	CHAIR OPELKA: Emma?
16	MS. KOPLEFF: I am just having a
17	little trouble understanding sort of how ACOs use
18	this wealth of data they have. And again, I
19	don't know that we need to decide whether this
20	measure is or isn't operationally sort of
21	dictating how ACOs are improving.
22	But just sort of a basic tenet of

measurement, I think we need a standardized way 1 2 to measure cost, not just for each individual ACO 3 to monitor those costs, but globally for 4 improving cares across ACOs. We need that 5 standardization. And in this measure, we have a standardized NQF-endorsed measure that has been 6 7 through a rigorous multi-stakeholder discussion. And I think we need it. And I would 8 9 think operationally ACO leadership would need it 10 too to identify best practices, complete root 11 cause analyses and sort of really run with the 12 point of quality measurement, which is to 13 identify opportunities for improvement and 14 capitalize on those. 15 CHAIR OPELKA: Wei? 16 DR. YING: I think this measure basic 17 host of a fundamental question under the global 18 payment that under the global payment, are we 19 going to allow or do you think it should be 20 allowed for double-dipping, in terms of global 21 payments and then on top of that pay on 22 efficiency measure?

From our test run in Massachusetts, 1 2 what we are thinking is we try to avoid that from 3 the very beginning. We didn't put any efficiency 4 measure under our global payment structure. 5 But recently our thinking has been shifted. Currently, what our thought is 6 7 efficiency measure we will pay on it if it also has consequences in patient safety or also 8 represent some level of quality of care. 9 10 So when I look at this, I would think 11 the hospitals with lower standardized care may be 12 representing a better care coordination, either 13 pre-surgery or pre-admission and probably more 14 importantly post-hospital discharge. 15 So it's not necessarily just a cost 16 measure. It also has the implication on the 17 quality side. 18 CHAIR OPELKA: Thank you. So we have 19 taken everything off the support consent 20 calendar. Two we removed because they are 21 clinician and two we have suggested move to do 22 not support. So I think we have to vote on

1 whether or not to move these to do not support. 2 And I'm wondering can we vote on them separately? 3 So let's vote on Thorax CT and yes means you 4 agree with the motion to move to do not support 5 and then we will take the next one. Wait for it. 6 7 MS. IBRAGIMOVA: Okay. So the 8 question is Thorax CT use of contrast material do 9 you agree with the motion to put under do not 10 support? 1, yes; 2, no. (Voting) 11 12 MS. IBRAGIMOVA: The results are 67 13 percent yes, 33 percent no. 14 CHAIR OPELKA: Okay. And then the 15 second one, which is the Spending Per Beneficiary 16 to the hospital specific spend. 17 MS. IBRAGIMOVA: So the question is 18 Payment Standardized Medicare Spending Per 19 Beneficiary, MSPB, do you agree with the motion 20 to put under do not support? 1, yes; 2, no. 21 (Voting) 22 MS. IBRAGIMOVA: The results are 38

1 percent yes, 63 percent no. 2 CHAIR OPELKA: All right. So my 3 interpretation is that that remains on the 4 support list. Okay. 5 So then we have a calendar update. This is a flash news coming in. So Calendar 2, 6 7 which were the two NHSN, the CAUTI and the CLABSI measures, those remain in -- because those are 8 9 hospital/facility-based measures for the 10 inclusion in the Medicare Shared Savings Program. 11 Calendar 3 is all clinician, so that 12 comes off our project list. Calendar 4, which was MR for lumbar 13 14 spine/low back pain remains. That was a 15 conditional support. 16 Calendar 5, 6 and 7 all moved to 17 clinician, so they come off our list. 18 So with that update, we are now on the 19 Medicare Shared Savings Conditional Support for CAUTI and CLABSI. And the condition that was put 20 21 forward was that there were data concerns about 22 gaining access to this data from primary data

sources in the NHSN CDC. 1 2 So any motion to move these or leave them in conditional support for the Medicare 3 4 Shared Savings Program? Yes, Michael? 5 DR. PHELAN: Conditional support. CHAIR OPELKA: Conditional. So keep 6 it where they are? Okay. All right. So we will 7 8 keep those where they are. 9 Then let's move to MR. So this was 10 the -- I'm sorry? We didn't move it, so the 11 consent calendar remains. 12 So we are now on the conditional 13 support requiring NQF-endorsement for the MRI 14 lumbar spine for low back pain. So it's 15 conditional support, NQF-endorsement. And 16 remains. I'm getting a nod to keep it where it 17 is. Okay. 18 So that completes our assessment of 19 the consent calendars and now we can move to the 20 voting on the consent calendars. So before we do so, let's open up for 21 22 public comment. Kathy, are you still there?

	228 I
1	OPERATOR: Yes, sir. If you would
2	like to make a public comment, please, press star
3	and then the number one.
4	CHAIR OPELKA: And in the room as
5	well.
6	OPERATOR: One moment for your first
7	comment. Okay. You have a comment from Matthew
8	Davis.
9	MR. DAVIS: Hi. This is Matt Davis.
10	I'm a spinal cord injury sub-specialist with TIRR
11	Memorial Herman. I'm not sure if I'm calling
12	into the right group or not, but I just know we
13	have had a lot of frustration with the CAUTI
14	measure in the spinal cord injury sub-population
15	due to kind of an adverse series, you know,
16	adverse patient outcomes.
17	What's the best way to get feedback to
18	the people that are involved in endorsing the
19	measure as a whole, not just the provisions of
20	the measure?
21	CHAIR OPELKA: So thank you for your
22	comment. The endorsement process is different

	229
1	from the application process.
2	MR. DAVIS: Yes.
3	CHAIR OPELKA: So the endorsement
4	process looks at the code or the measure as it
5	has been developed and that process is separately
6	held by the NQF. This would be the application
7	and by pointing out difficulties with the applied
8	measure, we communicate back to the remainder of
9	the developer and the endorsement process.
10	So if there is a difficulty in the
11	application, that would be helpful.
12	MR. DAVIS: Okay. Yes, so I have kind
13	of coordinated. I called into one of these, into
14	the Patient Safety Standing Committee, last April
15	and they kind of put me in touch with the CDC
16	regarding this measure.
17	And what I have, you know, and what we
18	kind of have done over the last several months, I
19	mean, it's I was pointing out at that time
20	some real safety concerns with this measure for
21	just this small sub-population of people that are
22	paralyzed with spinal cord injuries and pulling

out their catheters is something that we are seeing a lot of going on in these acute care hospitals. And they are not well-prepared to deal with, you know, this patient population that is putting them at risk for hypertensive emergencies and things like strokes and seizures.

And you know, I felt like the CDC was listening for a little while and they kind of realized that it was going to be administratively challenging or might be administratively challenging to make a change in the measure and they kind of shuffled this off somewhere else. I felt like I was being placated for a while.

14 Since then, I have been able to 15 recruit like the president of the American Spinal 16 Injury Association and the president of the 17 Association for Spinal Injury Professionals and 18 some really big names in spinal cord medicine. 19 And yet, I feel like this measure is just kind of 20 marching forward, despite the fact that we have 21 some really highly-respected people in the field 22 of spinal cord injury medicine speaking out about

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

this as being an adverse patient safety event, 1 2 when we are really asking for very simple change, 3 so that spinal cord patients could be in listed 4 exclusions. 5 Is there any way that, you know, you guys or that the NQF can kind of add some fuel to 6 7 the fire to the CDC on this? CHAIR OPELKA: So I'm not sure that we 8 9 can give you all the oomph you are looking for, 10 but our -- my interpretation of what you are 11 stating is that in our terms, which is the group 12 that applies measures, we would note caution in 13 the use of this measure as it is currently 14 specified for a subset of the population who has 15 unintended consequences due to this measure. 16 MR. DAVIS: Yes. And so you guys 17 could comment on this measure in that way and 18 maybe somebody would look at it and say hey, you know, we've got to think about this a little 19 20 more? 21 CHAIR OPELKA: So we vote the measure 22 up or down and we vote for it --

	232
1	MR. DAVIS: Yes.
2	CHAIR OPELKA: to be included in
3	the program or not included in the program. And
4	these caveats can be added to that vote either
5	way.
6	MR. DAVIS: Okay.
7	CHAIR OPELKA: So we will
8	MR. DAVIS: Yes. I would
9	CHAIR OPELKA: take your comments
10	and add it to our general comments with regard to
11	this measure, so that we inform CDC and the
12	measure endorsement process and CDC is with us
13	today and does hear what you are saying.
14	MR. DAVIS: Okay. Well, I don't know
15	if Dan Pollock is somewhere on the line and can
16	hear what I'm saying, he is the one that I have
17	been talking with lately. You know, he has kind
18	of provided us some potential is he there?
19	CHAIR OPELKA: Dan is in the room.
20	MR. DAVIS: Oh, okay.
21	CHAIR OPELKA: We're holding him down
22	right now.

MR. DAVIS: Okay. Well, he is well-1 2 aware of the conversations we have been having. 3 And I guess there is kind of a disagreement between him and some of the other folks on the 4 5 spinal cord injury side of things. It's kind of what does and does not constitute a practically 6 7 feasible solution to this problem? And you know, I guess I just want to 8 9 appeal to the National Quality Forum on this, 10 separate from the CDC, because, you know, I --11 the field of spinal cord injury medicine is such 12 a small field that for us to try to educate, you 13 know, all of the acute care hospitals around the 14 country as to how to recognize when patients need 15 intermittent catheterizations, how to recognize 16 autonomic dysreflexia and deal with these 17 hypertensive emergency-type states that these 18 people get into. 19 And which patients really are most 20 appropriate for, you know, continued endone 21 catheterization. It's a prodigious task. And I 22 know that there are some, you know, potential

challenges with, or at least I heard there could be some potential challenges with, adding spinal cord patients to a list of patients that are excluded, you know, just like in the same what that suprapubic catheters are excluded.

But I think that would be probably 6 7 less challenging than trying to educate people well enough so that we can avoid the dangers of 8 9 bladder over-distention in spinal cord patients. 10 Because I am seeing that. I am seeing patients 11 that are coming to me with two and one patient even with almost three liters of urine in her 12 13 bladder and that does drive up people's blood 14 pressures into the systolic, you know, blood 15 pressures of 200s, which, you know, you can see 16 things like strokes and seizures with that. 17 CHAIR OPELKA: I want --18 MR. DAVIS: So --

19CHAIR OPELKA: -- to thank you for20your comments. I think we have gotten a very21clear picture of the difficulty you have22presented, but unfortunately we need to move on

1

2

3

4

with our agenda today. 1 2 MR. DAVIS: Yes, okay. Well, thank 3 you very much. And like I said, I just would 4 love to see either a conditional support or, you 5 know, non-endorsement of this measure, at least in its current state. 6 7 CHAIR OPELKA: Thank you. 8 MR. DAVIS: Thank you. CHAIR OPELKA: Appreciate it. 9 10 MR. DAVIS: All right. Bye. 11 CHAIR OPELKA: Any other comments? 12 OPERATOR: There are no comments at this time. 13 14 CHAIR OPELKA: Any in the room? Okay. 15 Andrea, did you want to make a comment? 16 DR. BENIN: You know, I can briefly 17 echo the concern about unintended consequences 18 about this metric. There are -- you know, I was 19 on service last week. There is, you know, 20 incredibly ill children and we are highly 21 incentivized to take out the catheter. And then 22 when you need to put it back in, it can be

problematic and you can cause a lot of problems trying to get a catheter back into a, you know, desperately edematous child. But I think that the tradeoffs are complicated to try to figure out where this lies. And the methodology using the SIR means that you have this observe to expected ratio that you can

deal with. So in theory, there is some risk adjustment around how many are expected.

10 But the benchmarks right, now 11 certainly in pediatrics, are incredibly low. And 12 so it's very -- people are highly incentivized 13 right now to take out these catheters and so 14 there may be some, I don't know, further 15 consideration of this. I would be very 16 interested in hearing more about the 17 directionality around that. 18 CHAIR OPELKA: One last comment? And

10 chark of Enkik. One fast comment: And 19 we need to get on to a vote or we are going to be 20 here until Christmas.

21 MS. FOSTER: That's not that far off,
22 Frank. Just one --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

	237
1	CHAIR OPELKA: That's very far off.
2	MS. FOSTER: quick comment or
3	additional concern that I wanted to raise was
4	these measures are in the midst of a transition
5	to move from just ICU-based measures to whole
6	population in the hospital-based measures.
7	And we will hear more about this as
8	they come up in the hospital population, but I
9	wonder about the timing of moving these into the
10	Medicare Shared Savings Program when we will be
11	transitioning the measures to more appropriate
12	broad-based population. But it presents some
13	measurement challenges in so doing.
14	CHAIR OPELKA: All right. So the
15	motion before you is the Consent Calendar 2,
16	which has CAUTI and CLABSI on it.
17	PARTICIPANT: What was in this?
18	CHAIR OPELKA: I'm sorry? We have
19	gone through and we are now voting on the consent
20	calendars. Right.
21	PARTICIPANT: Yes, okay.
22	CHAIR OPELKA: Right. I mean, that's

1 where we are. I'm sorry? 2 MS. IBRAGIMOVA: So MSPB we have 3 support, in the support calendar. CHAIR OPELKA: Oh, we have to go back 4 5 to Calendar 1? I'm sorry. MS. IBRAGIMOVA: So just to read for 6 7 the record, MSSP Consent Calendar 1 support Payment Standardized Medicare Spending Per 8 9 Beneficiary, MSPB. Do you agree with the support 10 calendar? 1, yes; 2, no. 11 (Voting) 12 MS. IBRAGIMOVA: The results are 78 13 percent yes, 22 percent no. 14 CHAIR OPELKA: So then we are on 15 Calendar 2, the CAUTI, CLABSI measures. 16 MS. IBRAGIMOVA: MSSP Calendar 2, 17 conditional support pending resolution of data 18 concerns, National Healthcare Safety Network 19 (NHSN), Catheter Associated Urinary Tract 20 Infection outcome, CAUTI, and the National 21 Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN), Central Line-22 Associated Bloodstream Infection outcome measure,

1 CLABSI. 2 Do you agree with the conditional 3 support pending resolution of data concerns 4 calendar? 1, yes; 2, no. 5 (Voting) MS. IBRAGIMOVA: The results are 87 6 7 percent yes, 13 percent no. 8 CHAIR OPELKA: And the last one is what was previously Calendar 4, conditional 9 10 support with resubmission of NQF, the MRI lumbar 11 spine for the low back pain. 12 MS. IBRAGIMOVA: Just to repeat for 13 the record, MSSP Calendar 4, conditional support pending resubmission to NQF for endorsement 14 15 review MRI lumbar spine for low back pain. 16 Do you agree with the conditional 17 support pending resubmission to NQF for 18 endorsement review calendar? 1, yes; 2, no. 19 (Voting) 20 MS. IBRAGIMOVA: The results are 91 21 percent yes and 9 percent no. 22 MSSP Consent Calendar, do not support

Thorax CT, use of contrast material. 1 2 Do you agree with the do not support 3 calendar? 1, yes; 2, no. 4 (Voting) 5 MS. IBRAGIMOVA: The results are 65 percent yes, 35 percent no. 6 CHAIR OPELKA: All right. Well, I 7 8 want to thank everybody for walking through that. We have done a lot to catch up on our day and we 9 10 are now only about 20 minutes behind. So we are moving into the HAC 11 12 Reduction Program and those sets, so if we could 13 walk through that program? 14 MS. IBRAGIMOVA: Okay. So the next 15 measure is the Hospital Acquired Condition or 16 also known as HAC Reduction Program. And this is 17 a pay-for-performance and public reporting 18 program. The HAC scores will be reported on the 19 Hospital Compare website beginning December 2014, 20 so now. 21 So the basic goals are just to 22 heighten awareness of HAC and eliminate the

incidents of HAC that could be reasonably 1 2 prevented by applying evidence-based clinical guidelines and also just basically to reduce 3 incidents of HAC as much as possible and drive 4 5 improvement. The clinical project objectives that 6 were determined in October were focus on reducing 7 8 the major drivers of patient harm, overlap in measures between the HAC Reduction Program and 9 10 the Hospital Value-Based Purchasing Program can help to focus attention on critical safety 11 12 issues. And in the 2013/2014 approval gaps 13 14 that were identified, were PSI-5 to address 15 foreign bodies retained after surgery and 16 development of measures to address wrong site and 17 wrong site surgery and so this is behind post-18 operative infections. 19 MS. O'ROURKE: So we have one consent 20 calendar for you for the HAC Reduction Program. 21 These are updates to two measures that are 22 currently in the program.

So the question before MAP is would 1 2 you support the updated version of the measure or 3 should we maintain -- or would we support 4 maintaining the current version of the measure? 5 To give you a brief summary of what these updates are: The measures have been 6 expanded to settings beyond the ICU and we have 7 8 also had -- the measure also had another risk adjustment method added. And I did want to 9 10 introduce Ed Septimus, the Chair of the Patient 11 Safety Standing Committee. He is on the line. 12 The Standing Committee reviewed these 13 measures and recommended these updated measures 14 be NQF-endorsed. So these updates are now NQF-15 endorsed. 16 That's it for this program. We did 17 receive similar comments on the CAUTI measure as 18 we did for MSSP regarding the potential adverse 19 consequences for patients with spinal cord 20 injuries. 21 CHAIR OPELKA: So this is the only 22 calendar for this, the HAC, this entire HAC

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

www.nealrgross.com

	243
1	Program?
2	MS. O'ROURKE: Yes.
3	CHAIR OPELKA: So the question before
4	you is: is there any desire to move these from
5	their current calendar? Nancy?
6	MS. FOSTER: I have a question.
7	Timing on movement into the HAC Program? And I
8	say this because of the comment I made
9	previously. These measures are in transition.
10	Will just begin we just we will just begin
11	reporting full house on January 1st. So we are
12	endorsing this for when?
13	DR. YONG: So as you know, all these
14	programs would go through rulemaking, so we would
15	certainly be very transparent in terms of the
16	rules, in terms of when these measures would go
17	into effect for any of these programs.
18	But these measures are also part of
19	the IQR Program, so, you know, we would think
20	through how we would do it, because these
21	measures are in existence in those both
22	programs as well as in HVBP as well. So I think

at this point, it's -- there are no set time 1 2 lines exactly for implementation. 3 MS. O'ROURKE: Yes, exactly. We could 4 do it as early as next year for any of the 5 programs certainly, but we would be open to feedback from MAP as to sequencing, you know, the 6 7 timing of -- I know for instance, obviously, with Hospital Value-Based Purchasing, they go to IQR 8 first and then to HVBP. 9 10 There has been discussion at the MAP 11 in past years that measures -- some members 12 prefer that measures for the HAC Program get 13 publicly reported first in a similar vein, that 14 is something we would be open to considering as 15 well. So we are certainly open to the MAP's 16 input on that. 17 MS. FOSTER: So let me suggest then 18 that although we haven't dealt with the IQR 19 Program yet, that there is some logic to having 20 them reported first for a year to make sure there 21 aren't any issues like the one that was being 22 raised earlier about the spinal cord individuals.

So we are moving them into public 1 2 reporting before we are moving them into a 3 penalty program. It makes a lot of sense to me. 4 MS. DANFORTH: I just have a couple of 5 questions. The first question is if you have a 6 7 sense of which value you would use in the HAC Reduction Program? Since the new measure 8 calculates kind of like a standard SIR and then 9 10 this suggested SIR, which is more of a ranking. 11 Do you envision using that ranking SIR for -- in 12 the scoring methodology for the HAC Reduction 13 Program or the standard SIR? 14 DR. YONG: So again like Kate said, we 15 would welcome input on this. You know, the 16 reason we put it on the MUC list this year was 17 because it was going through NQF-endorsement and 18 they did, the CDC did, include this additional 19 ARM methodology as well as the standard beyond 20 ICUs. 21 So like I said before, we didn't have 22 specific plans, at this point, in terms of how we

would sequence or implement this, at this point, 1 2 but we would certainly welcome input on it. 3 MS. DANFORTH: Okay. The only point 4 I would add then, given your response, is for the 5 purposes of public reporting, I actually agree with Nancy in that I think when you make the 6 transition to publicly report the new updated 7 measure, which includes the additional units on 8 Hospital Compare, because of four other infection 9 10 measures are being reported out as standard SIRs. 11 For people, consumers, to actually 12 understand what they are looking at, I think 13 there is some value to having the SIR value be 14 sort of consistent between the six different 15 infection measures. 16 I think the concept of the SIR is 17 really confusing, if not impossible, to 18 understand for a consumer anyway, even in our 19 best attempts to describe it. And we use the 20 measure, so we are guilty as well. But I think 21 having these two different SIRs, these hospital 22 acquired infection measures, because they are all

reported out together, could potentially be even an additional challenge, so I would just add that.

DR. LEVY: Yes. I would also agree with Nancy and strongly recommend about the timing of this in term -- also for public reporting before performance, in particular because moving CLABSI from geographically separated areas, like critical care units, into the hospital really requires a lot of preengineering and a lot of change in process measures.

13 And doing it in critical care units is 14 very different than doing it on the general And it is seems to me we need 15 wards. 16 benchmarking of how well that can be done and how 17 that transformation can happen. So it makes 18 sense to do public reporting before we do a 19 performance. 20 CHAIR OPELKA: Andrea? 21 DR. BENIN: I would just also agree

with that. I also think that the definitions are

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

changing this year, as of January, both of these measures, I believe, have pretty -- have decent sized definitional changes that I don't know that we fully understand how they are going to apply. I'm not sure whether I'm going to have more or less when I recalculate. And that is a little -you know, that is hard to -- it adds this other layer to the payment penalty that is -- maybe the timing is the issue. CHAIR OPELKA: Emma? MS. KOPLEFF: One note just regarding

public reporting. I know that CMS is moving towards a STAR rating system. And just to sort of -- I'm not disagreeing with you, Missy, but I am just saying I'm not convinced yet that having sort of different ways that -- treating these two measures differently from the other infection measures would absolutely have a result in something negative from a public reporting perspective, given that the whole way Hospital Compare's public reporting is really getting a facelift. But definitely something to think

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

about.

1

2 And the other thing again not 3 disagreeing with the statements that have been made about the need for consistency and using 4 5 public reporting to understand how these new versions of the measures work, but I would just 6 7 sort of remind us that the previous versions of the measure have been tremendously successful in 8 9 reducing hospital acquired infections. 10 One could argue through their use in 11 payment programs as well as public reporting 12 programs, so I do think that sort of staging of 13 this needs to find a way to continue to 14 capitalize on that and not lose the old measures and the work that has been done because of those 15 16 measures, again, arguably, in the phasing. 17 CHAIR OPELKA: Missy, is your card 18 back up? 19 MS. DANFORTH: Right. One other 20 important thing about when a new measure -- you 21 know, potentially when the new CLABSI and CAUTI 22 measures get rolled in, if at any point you

decide to move to the ARM calculation, my team
actually got a bunch of information and tried to
do the calculation. And it is clear that without
certain pieces of information, coefficients, et
cetera, from the CDC, no one could replicate
those measures.
 So I think it is fairly important if
CMS moves to the new ARM calculation that they
make every element of the calculation
transparent, so hospitals and other national
organizations can replicate them.
 Leapfrog, in particular, collects
information from hospitals at the facility level.
And so if we wanted to be consistent with you,

which we do, and with CDC and calculate ARM at the facility level, we would need those, the coefficients. And right now, I haven't been able to find a donator.

CHAIR OPELKA: Seeing no others, this is the one calendar that moves forward for voting for support.

MS. IBRAGIMOVA: So HAC Calendar 1,

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

	251
1	support, National Healthcare Safety Network
2	(NHSN), Catheter-Associated Urinary Tract
3	Infection outcome measure and the National
4	Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN), Central Line
5	Associated Bloodstream Infection outcome measure.
6	Do you agree with the support
7	calendar? 1, yes; 2, no.
8	(Voting)
9	MS. IBRAGIMOVA: The results are 96
10	percent yes, 4 percent no for support calendar.
11	CHAIR OPELKA: Okay. So we are going
12	to transition back to 100 percent Ron and move on
13	to the inpatient psychiatric facilities.
14	MS. O'ROURKE: Actually, Frank, before
15	we do that, I did want to introduce Ed Septimus.
16	He is the Chair of the Patient Safety Standing
17	Committee and the Antibiotic Stewardship Action
18	Team. They wanted to just present some of their
19	work on the Action Team to consider how some of
20	this increased attention on infection rates,
21	while obviously very important and great work,
22	could have a potential unintended consequence of

poor antibiotic stewardship in creating more 1 2 strains of antibiotic resistance, like MRSA and 3 C. diff, that we are dealing with now. 4 So Ed, I wanted to let you present 5 that work and opportunities for potential alignment across NQF. 6 MR. SEPTIMUS: Well, I thank you. 7 Ι 8 know you are running behind, so I'll try to be I do believe there is a high priority 9 brief. 10 measurement gap around antimicrobial stewardship. 11 And I am just briefly going to kind of bring you 12 up to date about what special group was 13 considering last month, that many of you may know 14 the recent PCAST report, and PCAST stands for the 15 Present Council on Advisors and Science and 16 Technology, came out a report on a strategy of 17 combating antimicrobial resistance. 18 And this was signed by an executive 19 I don't think I need to tell anybody in order. 20 the room about the significance of this impact, 21 the cost, poor outcomes in a world that I'm 22 considering almost going into a post-antibiotic
1	effect.
2	As part of the report, what the
3	executive order strongly defines is defining
4	communicating and implementing stewardship
5	programs, not just in hospitals, but office-based
6	practices, outpatient settings, emergency
7	departments, institutionalized at long-term care
8	facilities and that includes like nursing homes
9	and correctional facilities.
10	And that CMS use reimbursement
11	incentives to drive stewardship. And this is
12	supposed to take place within the next couple of
13	years.
14	But the reason for discussing this
15	today in front of the MAP Group is that one of
16	the major barriers to implementing effective
17	stewardship is the available and reliable
18	measures which reflect intervention
19	effectiveness.
20	And stewardship must establish process
21	and outcome measures to the impact of these
22	programs not only outcomes, but also on

resistance patterns and the unintended 1 2 consequences which were just alluded to, such as 3 increasing rates of clostridium difficile, which 4 is directly -- goes back to over-use and miss-use 5 of antibiotic. C. diff in the last point prevalent 6 7 study by the CDC now has become the number one healthcare associated pathogen. You are all 8 9 aware of antimicrobial resistance, but more 10 importantly, it impacts rates on healthcare 11 associated infections and it also impacts 12 readmission rates for certain multi-drug 13 resistant organisms are associated with 14 readmissions. And you all know how readmissions 15 affect value-based purchasing. 16 To this date, there are no NQFendorsed measures either now or even on the near 17 18 horizon on this topic. And this measure-related 19 barrier includes lack of definition of what 20 appropriate use is, lack of adjustment 21 measurements and benchmarking. And is also a 22 lack of accountability of prescribers and

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

www.nealrgross.com

misalignment of incentives. 1 2 So recognizing all this, the CDC does 3 have a module in the NHSN space called the 4 Antibiotic Use and Resistance Module, but to 5 date, there are very few organizations that are reporting into this module. But under the PCAST 6 7 report, this will increase significantly since 8 it's going to be a priority. There are some other examples out 9 10 Ron Paloka who is at Virginia there. 11 Commonwealth has come out with some very nice 12 metrics and risk adjustment benchmarking using 13 UHC data. 14 So the question I think for us in 15 front of NQF, and certainly might be the 16 committee that I co-chair, is what measures do we 17 need? And are there examples to build upon? 18 Because as you all know, an NQF-endorsed measure 19 accelerates measurement and accountability if the 20 right measures are adopted. And that link measure is to better 21 outcomes and reduce unintended consequences. 22 So

I would like to -- at least in my mind, and I 1 2 think also in the measurement application 3 partnership, this clearly should be a high 4 priority and it's a gap currently in the NQF 5 portfolio. So I'll stop there and I know that you 6 7 have plenty of other stuff. If there is a 8 question that I can answer, I'm happy to, but I 9 just want to put this issue in front of you, 10 which I think is a big gap in the NQF portfolio. 11 MS. O'ROURKE: Dan? 12 MR. POLLOCK: Yes. This is Dan 13 Pollock. Thank you very much for that. I --14 MR. SEPTIMUS: Well, hi, Dan. How are 15 you? 16 MR. POLLOCK: I'm well. Thank you. 17 A week without Ebola. So --18 MR. SEPTIMUS: Yes, it's that nice? 19 MR. POLLOCK: It's nice. So as you 20 know, we are currently at NHSN developing an 21 antimicrobial use clinical quality measure 22 proposal that we expect to submit to NQF for

1 consideration next calendar year. So we are on 2 that. And we will be using data from the 60 3 or so hospitals that are already reporting 4 5 antimicrobial use data to NHSN to address the scientific criteria of the NQF measure 6 7 requirements. 8 But I appreciate your excellent 9 overview of the situation and just wanted the 10 group to know that this is an area that we are 11 actively working on. 12 MR. SEPTIMUS: And, Dan, we will work 13 along with you. 14 CHAIR OPELKA: Well, thank you very 15 I think we are going to probably try and much. 16 capture those comments and summary thoughts to go 17 along in the document. They are very helpful in 18 the gap analysis. 19 MR. SEPTIMUS: Well, I certainly 20 appreciate the time. I know that you are running a little bit behind, but I did feel that this was 21 22 a major gap that we have and I knew that Dan and

other colleagues at CDC were working on trying to 1 2 get something hopefully to the Patient Safety 3 Committee in the next cycle. But I do believe that having an NQF-4 5 endorsed measure really does accelerate and drive change, if it's the right measure. 6 CHAIR OPELKA: 7 Thank you. 8 MR. SEPTIMUS: We have seen this in 9 cervical prophylaxis and so many other examples 10 of public reporting. 11 CHAIR OPELKA: All right. Thank you 12 very much. 13 MR. SEPTIMUS: Thank you. You all 14 have a great meeting. 15 Thank you very much to CHAIR OPELKA: 16 everyone for participation in the process today. 17 I think we have learned as the days go on. And I 18 do want to apologize for all that apparent 19 confusion that was going on during the shared 20 savings discussion as we were sorting out what to 21 do with the physician level measures. So that 22 did pay off actually even though it was a little

disruptive at times during that. 1 2 We will move now into the Inpatient 3 Psychiatric Quality Reporting Program. The 4 summary, please? 5 MS. BAL: As Ron said, we are going to talk about the Inpatient Psychiatric Facility 6 7 Quality Reporting Program. This is a pay-for-Information will be reported 8 reporting program. 9 on the Hospital Compare website. It focuses on 10 inpatient psychiatric hospitals or units that do 11 not report data on the acquired measures. 12 I'm sorry, those who do not report 13 data on acquired measures, will receive a 2 14 percent reduction. It is also -- so the critical 15 program objectives that we came up with for this 16 program were to ensure measures in the program 17 were meaningful to patients, improve person-18 centered psychiatric care, such as assessing 19 patient and family/caregiver experience and 20 engagement and establishing relationships with 21 community resources. Those are some of our main 22 gaps.

I	260
1	We also listed step-down care,
2	behavioral health assessments, care in the ED,
3	remissions, identification and management of
4	general medical conditions, partial
5	hospitalization or day programs, another CAHPS
6	program as gaps.
7	MR. AMIN: Okay. Thank you, Poonam.
8	So we will go through the preliminary analysis
9	and the consent calendars for this program.
10	There are four measures under
11	consideration, three of which fall in the first
12	consent calendar, which is under support. The
13	second one is under conditional support upon
14	harmonization.
15	So I'll begin with the first measure.
16	Transition Record with Specified Elements
17	Received by Discharged Patients. This is a
18	fully-specified tested and endorsed measure that
19	is impactful and fits fulfills a gap in care
20	coordination by ensuring that patients receive
21	important transition information.
22	There were some comments on this

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

measure. Comments noted the importance of this 1 2 measure, but noted that instructions must be in 3 the language that is written and understandable 4 to a patient and sensitive to needs of 5 psychiatric patients at discharge. Commenters also noted the need for 6 7 additional exclusions for patients with unplanned discharges and patients refusing after-care. 8 The second measure is the Tobacco Use 9 10 Treatment Provided or Offered at Discharge and 11 Tobacco Use Treatment at Discharge. 12 This is also an endorsed measure, 13 which fulfills a gap in patient centered 14 psychiatric care through the identification and 15 management of general medical conditions. This 16 is fully-specified and tested at the facility 17 level. 18 There were two comments received on 19 this measure that were generally supportive with 20 commenters noting that tobacco use was --21 actually, I'm not entirely sure whether these are supported. Actually, I'll take -- let me -- we 22

had a -- I'll take another look at this, because 1 2 -- anyway, I'll tell you what the comment said and then I'll look back at it. 3 There were two comments that also 4 5 raised concern about whether tobacco use -noting that tobacco use was generally not the 6 primary focus of psychiatric hospital stays and 7 8 the measure should be used with caution. Again, I'll take a look back at those 9 10 two comments during our discussion. 11 And finally, the SUB-2 Alcohol Use 12 Brief Intervention Provided or Offered and 13 Alcohol Use Brief Intervention Received. Again, 14 this is also a fully-specified and tested 15 endorsed measure that fulfills a gap in person 16 centered psychiatric care and the management of 17 general medical conditions. 18 The commenter were generally 19 supportive of this measure with one commenter 20 noting that a larger proportion of patients 21 presenting in inpatient psychiatric care have co-22 occurring substance abuse diagnoses, not simply

unhealthy alcohol use. For these patients, more 1 2 intensive substance abuse treatments must be 3 provided. 4 If CMS is to adopt this measure, a 5 commenter suggested modification to the definition of brief identification to also 6 include more intensive interventions. CMS should 7 also consider modification to this measure to 8 9 address the issue of brief intervention may not 10 be an optable intervention for persons identified 11 with alcohol disorders. 12 Finally, this program's second consent 13 calendar is Timely Transmission of Transition 14 This is a fully-specified and tested Record. 15 NQF-endorsed process measure that contributes to 16 the efficient use of measurement resources and 17 addresses a critical program objective identified 18 by the MAP Hospital Work Group and is highly 19 impactful to improving person centered care by 20 facilitating care coordination and the potential 21 to reduce readmissions. 22 However, this measure is duplicative

of an existing measure, which is the HBIPS-7, 1 2 post-discharge continuing care plan transmission 3 to next level care provider upon discharge, which is developed and specified for psychiatric 4 5 facilities. The two measures should be harmonized before being used in the program. 6 7 I'll just point out that -- go ahead, 8 go ahead. I just want to make it 9 DR. YONG: 10 clear why we put this measure on this particular -- on the MUC list for IPS. We are fully aware 11 12 that it overlaps with the measure intent for 13 HBIPS-7. HBIPS-7 which is post-discharge 14 continuing care plan transmission, how their 15 HBIPS-7 does not specify a time period for the 16 transmission, while this measure on the MUC list 17 does. 18 So the thought was if we were to 19 implement this and the MAP were supportive of the 20 measure, we would actually think about removing 21 the other existing measure. 22 MR. AMIN: Okay. That's helpful. Ι

would just point out before we get into detailed 1 2 discussion on this measure, we did receive one 3 comment on this measure that noted again the 4 concern around the measure competes with existing 5 measure. There was also concern that the 6 7 measure does not clearly state all the components that need to be covered in this measure. 8 And 9 also, there was concern around the 24 hour time 10 frame whether -- noting that that was too 11 inflexible not being able to provide necessary 12 time for certain clinical specialties to be 13 available to the same extent on weekends, 14 holidays to complete the transition record. So 15 that was a comment that was received on this 16

So I'll turn it over to Ron to 17 18 facilitate conversation around the first consent 19 calendar and then we can go onto the second. 20 CO-CHAIR WALTERS: Okay. We will head 21 into the first consent calendar. There is three

measure.

Delores, did you join us by phone? measures.

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

Lead discussant then is Wei. 1 No. 2 DR. YING: I'm in support of this on the first measure the record transition. 3 I think 4 right now there is already a measure the one --5 the No. 7 measure that we just talked about the record transition to the facility. I think this 6 one is just closing the loop to make sure not 7 just next level of care provider is receiving the 8 9 record, but also the patient and their own 10 caregivers are aware of what the next steps 11 should be. 12 For the next two measures about the 13 tobacco and substance abuse, I share the concern 14 from one of the public comments about the alcohol 15 In this environment, alcohol abuse is abuse. 16 probably not a dominant factor in what we case 17 substance abuse, other drug abuse these days are 18 more prevalent. 19 And if we look at the SUB-1, 2, 3, 4, 20 as noted on the discussion guide, 1 and 2 is 21 alcohol-specific and 3 and 4 actually expanded to 22 alcohol and other drug. I'm reading it

literally. I'm not sure whether actually they mean the same thing.

But if it is true, I would recommend SUB-3 instead of SUB-2 to pull in the other drug, which also be consistent with the recommendation of tobacco use, Measure 3, because it confused me why tobacco use we say the treatment should be offered at the discharge, but then for alcohol use, we recommend the intervention being done during the inpatient stay.

11I kind of feel if we pick -- these are12the paired measures. If we pick one, it should13be consistent either 2 or 3 for both tobacco and14substance abuse. And in order to pull in the15other drug, I would recommend SUB-3. So I would16agree with the tobacco SUB.

17CO-CHAIR WALTERS: Okay. Open to any18other discussion? Nancy, you are quick.

MS. HANRAHAN: I would like to support all three, all of these measures. And this is probably more to add to the list for the gap, but we are talking about psychiatric

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

19

20

21

hospitalizations. People with mental illness also enter general hospitals and we know that about 30 percent of them have some kind of major illness that is related to their readmission to hospitals.

So these kinds of measures I'm not 6 7 sure why they have to be so exclusive to psychiatric hospitals and why can't we also 8 9 transfer these measures into a general hospital 10 environment? So again, that may be a gap place that we go to, but there has been very little 11 12 discussion about psychiatric illness or 13 behavioral health illness within medical surgical 14 environments. And I think we want to address 15 that. Thanks.

16CO-CHAIR WALTERS: Other Nancy?17MS. FOSTER: Thanks. Let me first18support what Nancy has said that we need to get19to a point where we are talking about important20measures to be used in the acute care condition21environment around psychiatric care.

I'm not sure I would start or focus on

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

22

1

2

3

4

tobacco and alcohol use as much as the under-1 2 screening for depression and a variety of other 3 things, but all of it is important. So sorting 4 that out and how we get from here to there would 5 be a really important conversation to have. The confusion I have about these 6 7 measures, it's not that I don't support the concepts, but I'm curious as to why one didn't 8 just tweak HBIPS-7 to get the time frame in 9 10 rather than create a whole new measure around --11 if that was your concern, that in fact the 12 existing measure of tobacco screening use --13 tobacco screening didn't include the time frame. 14 So, you know, sort of why throw that out and then 15 move to this new measure? 16 And then secondly, how do the other 17 measures transition? How does the transition 18 record measure square with one HBIPS-1? Is that 19 right? No, I've got them mixed up. It's 7 and 20 1, sorry. HBIPS-7. 21 And secondly, isn't there a condition 22 of participation that requires the patient to

have a -- to leave with discharge treatment 1 2 information and the care plan to go on? So 3 aren't we back in that space where we were this 4 morning where we are measuring something that is 5 already required? DR. BUCK: Thank you. First of all, 6 7 I should introduce myself. I'm not Kate Goodrich. I'm Jeff Buck. I am the program lead 8 9 for this program and let me try to respond to 10 you. 11 I think we are going to have a 12 separate discussion for HBIPS-7, so I have a few 13 remarks on that and I'll hold them for the 14 moment. 15 With regards to your other remarks, 16 first of all, just to talk about the comparison 17 between 0647 and HBIPS-6, we wanted to start 18 moving in this direction, because, in essence, 19 HBIPS-6, pretty much for the most part, 20 incorporates components for discharge record that 21 are already required, as you pointed out in 22 conditions of participation. So it's really a

1 very minimal type of measure. 2 This other measure, one that was 3 developed as a result of the consensus statement, 4 when that has been endorsed by the MAP for both 5 use in Medicaid populations and the duals population, which is the majority of the Medicare 6 population using inpatient psychiatric 7 facilities. 8 9 And I also want to note that -- well, 10 actually, I'm sorry, that's incumbent for HBIPS 11 excuse me, for the other measure. But it's also 12 endorsed by the NQF Care Coordination Steering 13 Committee and it differs from HBIPS-6 mostly be 14 specifying the number of additional elements that 15 should be in the transition record that are not 16 incorporated HBIPS-6. 17 As I said HBIPS-6 just says you've got 18 to show reason for hospitalization, principal 19 diagnosis, discharge meds and the next level of 20 care recommendation, that said and you get credit 21 for being in the HBIPS-6 standard. 22 This transition record measure

includes in the record the results of tests and 1 2 procedures, identification of studies that were 3 still pending at discharge, advance directives, 4 and this is a population that has been shown to have a life expectancy of 25 years less than the 5 general population, patient instructions, 24 hour 6 7 and seven day contact information, contact information for pending studies, any plan for 8 follow-up care and the identification of the 9 10 physician and follow-up site. 11 So it has a number of additional 12 features as well as the requirement for 13 consultation with the patient that is not in 14 HBIPS-6 and why we think it is moving this along 15 in a higher quality standard risk and raising the 16 bar from what we have today. 17 And as Pierre pointed out, if we were 18 to adopt this, we would basically use it to 19 replace HBIPS-6. 20 Concerning the other two measures 21 related to tobacco and alcohol, the primary

reason for these is that both these conditions

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

are common comorbid issues. The rate of smoking 1 2 in a psychiatric population is much higher than 3 the general population. In fact, one study showed that approximately half of all deaths 4 5 attributed to smoking are people with mental illnesses. 6 Alcohol also is of much higher 7 8 prevalence in this population than others and it is usually recommended that even if somebody is 9 10 being focused on for depression or schizophrenia, 11 that their alcohol use also be assessed. 12 We already adopted an alcohol 13 screening measure in the program. This is the 14 follow-on measure that says if you have been 15 identified as having an alcohol use disorder, 16 were you offered and provided, if possible, a 17 brief intervention within the hospital concerning 18 that alcohol use? 19 MS. FOSTER: So I'm not sure that 20 answered my question. 21 DR. BUCK: Okay. 22 MS. FOSTER: Because to me, and

thinking operationally, it is much easier for hospitals to take and adapt a measure that is already implemented, where they have already got their mechanisms set up for collecting the basic data, working with whatever vendors they want. If they need to tweak it, it is easier than throwing that one out and replacing it with a different measure. So why not tweak the existing measures to include some of the additional things that you want?

And secondly the elements that you were talking about for the discharge plan seemed to me to be so fundamentally necessary that, and I don't recall what the specifics are in the conditions of participation around it for psychiatric facilities.

But it would seem to me that that level of information ought to be specified as required for a good discharge plan and that that ought to be the level of expectation, rather than trying to measure it where there is sort of an implication that gee, an 80 percent performance

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

rate is okay. 1 2 You know, if they are essential, then 3 80 percent is not okay. So how do we get to the 4 right answer? 5 DR. BUCK: I have not -- I guess I understand the remark. I think we are, I guess, 6 implicitly saying that the way to get the right 7 8 answer is to start using the measure and to have people see how they perform on it. 9 10 We do think this is raising the bar 11 from where it is now. And also, another thing we 12 are trying to avoid here is we are trying to 13 move, to just talk about very general directions 14 for the program, away from, as much as possible, 15 boutique measures that are only used for or 16 solely specified for the inpatient psychiatric 17 setting. 18 As much as possible, we want to adopt 19 standards where they are applicable and I think 20 this is what I understand the MAP's objective to 21 be, too, with alignment to try to get measures 22 that are -- that have value across multiple

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

programs.

1

2 And so by adopting a measure that we believe has good application to this setting and 3 that is also available for use and at least is 4 5 under consideration for uses in other inpatient settings, we think we are going in that 6 7 direction. 8 CO-CHAIR WALTERS: We will take any 9 other comments about the three measures in 10 Calendar 1. Okay. Hearing none, let's move on 11 to are there any motions about the measures in 12 Calendar 1, either to move all of them or one of 13 them or two of them or all three? No motions for 14 any changes to Calendar 1. 15 Let's move now to Calendar 2. Are 16 there comments about the conditional support for the measure in Calendar 2, dependent upon 17 18 harmonization with the measures. 19 DR. BUCK: Yes, thank you. These are 20 my comments on the transition, excuse me, timely transmission of the transition record measure. 21 22 There is a couple of major reasons for adopting

this.

1

2 As I already mentioned, this is a measure that is recommended for use by the MAP 3 with Medicaid and then for duals. And I think 4 5 very importantly from the issue of alignment, this measure has already been adopted as a core 6 measure in Medicaid. We would like to be in 7 8 alignment with Medicaid in its use of core 9 measures. 10 And in particular, I think, we think 11 that this has the potential of reducing some of 12 the reporting burden on hospitals that have to 13 report most of their State Medicaid agencies and 14 to the IPFQR Program. 15 And the one thing I was going to 16 mention is that this particular measure is 17 endorsed by the Substance Abuse and Mental Health 18 Services Administration as part of its national 19 quality frame work. 20 And I also -- and the key difference, 21 I think somebody already mentioned, but I want to 22 emphasize it again, the current HBIPS-7 that we

use doesn't have any time standard for 1 2 transmission of a discharge record or a 3 transition record. The usual kind of standard 4 expected is roughly 30 days. 5 This one has a 24 hour transmission requirement. And we would not only think that's 6 a good quality measure and one that would then 7 align with other quality measure programs, but in 8 9 addition, we are very concerned about issues of 10 transition for this population. This population 11 has a relatively high readmission rate. 12 It has -- even of those readmissions, 13 the majority of them, roughly three-quarters, are 14 for the same reason they were originally 15 admitted, meaning they are coming back again 16 within 30 days for a psychiatric reason. 17 The large majority of those are duals. 18 As you might imagine, younger persons that have 19 both Medicaid and Medicare coverage. And to have 20 a standard that basically says that somebody --21 and a significant number of them are multiple 22 readmissions within the 30 day period.

1	So the idea that somebody could go
2	out, be readmitted, maybe be readmitted more than
3	once before the transition record even arrives at
4	the follow-up provider's office, seems
5	inconsistent to us. So it's for those reasons
6	that we would like to adopt this measure.
7	And why we think that developing a new
8	harmonized measure or a measure that is different
9	than that already in use in the Medicaid Program
10	as recommended by the MAP would be problematic.
11	CO-CHAIR WALTERS: Is there any other
12	discussion about the measure in Calendar 2? Yes,
13	Cristie?
14	MS. TRAVIS: I guess just for
15	clarification, it seemed to me when we were first
16	going through this measure that the reason, the
17	rationale for harmonization with HBIPS-7 was that
18	it is already in well, one of the reasons was
19	that it was already in the program and clearly it
20	didn't seem to make sense to have two measures in
21	the same program that measured the same thing,
22	slightly differently.

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

I guess what I'm taking away from this 1 2 is that it would be your preference at CMS to 3 have this new -- this measure that we are 4 considering today be the measure that is in the 5 inpatient psychiatric hospital set and that you would remove the HBIPS-7 measure. And am I 6 7 interpreting that that would be your preference or your thinking? 8 9 CO-CHAIR WALTERS: That's correct. 10 MS. TRAVIS: So it would seem to me 11 that one thing we may want to think about as we 12 move forward would be, one option could be, just 13 moving this into the support category or keeping 14 a condition on it that the HBIPS-7 be removed 15 from the program or that we harmonize it. 16 I mean, I'm just trying to put --17 think about what our options are a little bit 18 differently perhaps than we might have thought 19 about them at the beginning. 20 MR. AMIN: Yes, Ron, if I may? Ι 21 would submit that the preliminary analysis here, 22 this recommendation actually needs to change.

	281
1	So, Cristie, I would second what you were saying
2	and I would say that we should change this before
3	we move forward, because this doesn't it's not
4	really that clear any more.
5	Either the first two that you
6	presented or others, I think should be considered
7	by the Committee.
8	CO-CHAIR WALTERS: So that is not to
9	change the category conditional support. It's
10	just that conditions
11	MR. AMIN: Right. As we specifically
12	outlined what the condition would be here, Ron.
13	And I don't even think that staff could defend
14	that, at this point, so I would submit I would
15	ask for a motion to change either the condition
16	or change the category.
17	DR. PHELAN: I'll second the motion to
18	support change the category from conditional
19	support to just support.
20	CO-CHAIR WALTERS: We can so there
21	is a motion now on the table to change Calendar 2
22	in the measure, the one measure from conditional

support to support and ask for a second. 1 2 MS. TRAVIS: Second. 3 CO-CHAIR WALTERS: And any vote for 4 that? Any discussion? 5 DR. MORRISON: I'm sorry, Ron, I can't 6 hear you. Sorry, Sean. 7 CO-CHAIR WALTERS: So the motion to move it from conditional support to support has 8 9 been seconded. Are there any other discussions 10 about that motion? Nancy? 11 MS. FOSTER: So just to be clear, we 12 are not considering it a condition that, in fact, this replace the HBIPS measure that is similar to 13 14 In other words, we could set it up as a it? 15 conditional support conditional on it replacing 16 HBIPS-7, rather than simply supporting. Would 17 that be an appropriate condition to offer up? 18 MR. ENGLER: Okay. 19 MS. FOSTER: I'll ask if it's a 20 friendly amendment. 21 CO-CHAIR WALTERS: Would you like to 22 rephrase that?

MR. ENGLER: Maybe there is an 1 2 amendment. I'll revise my -- let's change it to 3 conditional support on Nancy's recommendation. 4 If that's all right? 5 MS. FOSTER: Yes, it is. MS. TRAVIS: And I'll say second 6 7 again. CO-CHAIR WALTERS: Okay. That did not 8 move it to Calendar 1 then. 9 10 MR. ENGLER: It left --11 CO-CHAIR WALTERS: It left it. 12 MR. ENGLER: -- it changed the 13 condition, but it left it. 14 CO-CHAIR WALTERS: Yes, yes. Okay. 15 Any other comments? Public comment? Operator, 16 are there any public comments? 17 OPERATOR: If you would like to make 18 a public comment, please, press star one on your 19 telephone keypad. We have a public comment from 20 Pat Quigley. 21 MR. QUIGLEY: Thank you. May I 22 proceed?

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

ĺ	284
1	CO-CHAIR WALTERS: Please.
2	MR. QUIGLEY: Thank you so much. This
3	is Pat Quigley and I did try to comment as well
4	on the prior indicator related to transmission of
5	the record, timely transmission of the record for
6	psychiatry.
7	I'm Pat Quigley. I'm a nurse in the
8	Department of Veterans Affairs and I do work on
9	fall and fall injury prevention. And I am so
10	well, I was hoping to be able to ask a question
11	to make sure, because I couldn't see the measure
12	specifications.
13	Is this content included discussion in
14	this transition record of fall risks and fall
15	history in this case and population?
16	You know, the inpatient psychiatry
17	population is so vulnerable and they have a
18	higher repeat of fall rate. And they also have
19	more serious injuries. And while this is so
20	important, I was just so hoping that discussion
21	of fall and fall injury rates or fall occurrence
22	was part of this important discussion.

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

	285
1	Knowing that the outcome is to help
2	everyone be safe, but to also reduce readmissions
3	that could occur because someone falls after
4	discharge.
5	So thank you so much for this
6	opportunity for this comment.
7	CO-CHAIR WALTERS: Okay. Thank you
8	for that comment. We will have to check to see
9	if that is in the specs. Yes?
10	MS. HART CHAMBERS: Hi, I'm Jane Hart
11	Chambers with the Federation of American
12	Hospitals. And I'm a little confused about the
13	discussion on the last measure, on the HBIPS-7.
14	It's my understanding that reporting
15	HBIPS-7 is part of what is required for
16	accreditation. So for a facility to be able to
17	stay in business, it has to report that measure.
18	And I don't understand why we would want a new
19	measure that is similar, that couldn't be
20	harmonized with it and keep HBIPS-7 in place, so
21	that you could actually keep your accreditation
22	and continue to treat patients. Thank you.

ī	286 
1	CO-CHAIR WALTERS: Can you respond to
2	that? I think the point is a good one that they
3	don't want to lose their accreditation just
4	because a new measure comes along.
5	DR. BUCK: Well, once again, I'm going
6	to point out a couple of things. First of all,
7	we think that the standard is a good one,
8	independent about how it compares with other
9	similar measures that may be around.
10	And second, I think our immediate
11	objective is to align measures within the CMS
12	quality reporting programs. This is a measure,
13	this potential conflict that has just been
14	mentioned is one that already exists in states
15	that are starting to collect a solution within
16	their Medicaid programs.
17	So it's not as if this is a
18	hypothetical problem that exists. It's a problem
19	that will increase if it is a problem to the
20	extent it's a problem, it's a problem that
21	already exists.
22	As we were saying before, we well,
l	I I

let's put it this way. Certainly if you have met 1 2 the requirements for this measure, you have also 3 met the requirement for HBIPS-7, because every element of HBIPS-6 and 7 are incorporated in 4 5 these measures. So it's not as if one somehow 6 7 prohibits you or it can't be used in certain 8 something or the other. That's all I would say. 9 I realize they are not the same, but this measure 10 is the one that has been promoted by both -- by 11 the MAP. It has been promoted by NQF. It has 12 been promoted by SAMHSA, not HBIPS-6 or not 13 HBIPS-7 anyway. 14 So that's why we are going that way. 15 So it's not that it isn't a valid concern. Ι 16 understand that for some of these there may be a 17 concern, but we don't think that overcomes other 18 criteria and other objectives from the program. 19 CO-CHAIR WALTERS: Nancy? 20 MS. FOSTER: So I'm confused, Jeff. 21 We are the MAP, right? 22 DR. BUCK: Yes.

MS. FOSTER: So we are deciding 1 2 whether we are promoting this measure, not that 3 we have promoted this measure. DR. BUCK: Well, when I say that you 4 5 are promoting it, I'm referring to documents and reports that you have already produced where you 6 7 have the one about the family of measures for duals and for measures that you have recommended 8 9 in the past for the Medicaid Program, that's what 10 I'm referring to. I'm not necessarily referring 11 to past meetings of this particular group but 12 for--13 MS. FOSTER: So the content? 14 DR. BUCK: No. We actually read that 15 And so when we promote -- so when we stuff. 16 propose some of these measures, we are going to 17 mind that oh, this is something that MAP 18 recommended be used for this purpose. So that's 19 what I'm referring to. 20 MS. FOSTER: Okay. So Jayne has 21 raised a very important point around 22 harmonization for use of this measure between the
major accreditors and CMS, because to have discord between your accreditor and your major payer is really problematic.

It seems to me that we are actually trying to struggle with an issue that is an NQF endorsement issue, if I may be so bold as to say that, which is to say the NQF process ought to choose between whether HBIPS-1, 6, 7 or 15 is the right measure for each of these things and/or this or something else that I'm not even thinking of, so that these important concepts are being measured, if you will, or being required in the COPs.

14 But these important concepts are being 15 measured, but they are being measured using the 16 measure that the NQF has endorsed as being the best available national standard. And at this 17 18 point, since they haven't gone head-to-head at 19 the NQF, we don't know what that is and that's 20 sort of my struggle here is to know how to vote. 21 CHAIR OPELKA: So this is easy. 22 First, let's take the Consent Calendar 1, which

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

	290
1	is we will come back to that, yes.
2	So I'm trying to reconcile where we
3	are with this one and with what the Federation
4	just called for. And I'm not so sure we can't.
5	I mean, we can conditionally qualify our support.
6	So why can't our qualification be that
7	it is inclusive of maintaining compliance with
8	accreditation programs? You know, that there is
9	harmonization replacement, substitution, whatever
10	it is of this measure with 7 to resolve or
11	maintain the accreditation program as our
12	condition. And we are basically calling for the
13	original request of harmonization.
14	MS. FOSTER: I think we are on the
15	same page, Frank. I would agree with you that
16	that is important. I'm only reluctant to
17	substitute our judgment for what I see as an NQF
18	endorsement question.
19	CHAIR OPELKA: Well, I don't think
20	it's endorsement. I think it is accreditation.
21	It's the accrediting body, except that this
22	measure, then this would go away.

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

ī	291
1	MS. FOSTER: Well, at least
2	CHAIR OPELKA: So that's not an NQF
3	problem. That's an accreditation body problem.
4	MS. FOSTER: And the Joint Commission
5	at least speaking for that major accreditor uses
6	NQF-endorsed measures whenever they are fairly
7	committed to it.
8	CHAIR OPELKA: But my point is it's
9	the harmonization question.
10	MS. FOSTER: Yes.
11	CHAIR OPELKA: It's not an NQF
12	endorsement question. It's all parties in the
13	room have to come together assessing what Jeff
14	has put forward, assessing what currently stands
15	and say what is the efficient way to measure
16	this?
17	MS. FOSTER: I'll ride with you on
18	that one. That's fine.
19	CHAIR OPELKA: I mean, but I think
20	that's I think the likeness
21	MS. FOSTER: We want the same outcome.
22	CHAIR OPELKA: We want the same
I	

outcome. So can we substitute our current, 1 2 certainly modified, conditional back to where it 3 was? Cristie? 4 MS. TRAVIS: Just a question on that. 5 I mean, it could be possible the Joint Commission would just say we are going to use whatever this 6 7 new measure is. I mean, so when we say harmonization somehow it implies to me that we 8 9 have got to bring too different measures 10 together, you know, versus -- I mean, are we open 11 to the fact that what if Joint Commission said 12 yes, I'm fine using the new measure and they used 13 it moving forward? 14 I just want to be sure we are not 15 making more work than we need to, but that we 16 leave it open enough to where people can do 17 whatever. 18 CHAIR OPELKA: No. Harmonization does 19 not mean the measure changes. 20 MS. TRAVIS: Okay. 21 CHAIR OPELKA: It could mean the 22 measure has to change.

	293
1	MS. TRAVIS: But it may not.
2	CHAIR OPELKA: It may not. I mean,
3	there is a give and take. You have to put all
4	parties in the room and everybody has to look at
5	all of the current sea of measures and decide
6	whether there is a good measure and throw the
7	others out or whether there is a need to respec
8	the measures and bring it forward again.
9	And that's the challenge of
10	harmonization. Everyone has skin in the game.
11	They all own their own measure and they have gone
12	through some thinking of how they got there. And
13	it's the ownership issue that really creates the
14	challenge.
15	DR. BUCK: I do have a question if you
16	are going that way. If you are intent is to
17	create a measure then that will apply across all
18	inpatient settings, that would be our concern.
19	So are you recommending then that this
20	measure also be mod if it changes in any way
21	in the course of harmonization, would your
22	recognition recommendation encompass that then

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

	294 I
1	would the new measure also be applied to and
2	changed in the Medicaid core measure SUB?
3	Because if it wouldn't encompass that
4	sort of recommendation, I think that's
5	problematic for us.
6	CHAIR OPELKA: I think that's the
7	harmonization process. We don't develop the
8	measure, that's got to be the give and take of
9	the negotiation of harmonization. That's the
10	challenge of harmonization, that you have a set
11	of standards you are trying to reach. The
12	accrediting body has a set of standard they are
13	trying to reach.
14	And what we are saying is, what the
15	MAP is saying is we don't want to see two
16	measures this close. We want you to come
17	together and figure out how there is one measure.
18	DR. BUCK: Yes, but the issue is that
19	this measure that is currently used on the Joint
20	Commission only applies to psychiatric settings.
21	We are trying to get to the use of a measure that
22	applies across all standards, not just

psychiatric settings. See what I'm saying? 1 2 It doesn't move in a direction we think is desirable if we end up with a measure 3 4 that is now different than it is in other measure 5 programs and in other inpatient settings. That's -- I just want to raise that issue. So if --6 7 CHAIR OPELKA: Thank you. So the measure still is in Calendar 2, which is 8 9 conditional support. Nancy? 10 MS. FOSTER: I was just going to say, 11 yes, Jeff, preference would be for if that's the 12 right measure to be using, it be used across 13 settings, whichever measure or whatever version 14 of whatever measure comes out across applicable 15 settings. 16 MR. HATLIE: If this is the stronger 17 measure or the stronger version of the earlier 18 measure, I think we should adopt the strongest 19 position of support we can to send a signal to 20 the rest of the field, including your accreditors 21 that this is a superior measure. 22 I don't know how to work that out, but

I think that, you know, people look at what we 1 2 are doing here. And so if others looked at 3 intention that we put some support behind it. So 4 I guess I think it's a motion to support, but I'm 5 not sure. DR. PHELAN: I would favor what Martin 6 7 is saying. I'm hearing from CMS that they would favor this measure, barring any utilization 8 across all areas. If this is the measure that 9 10 they would favor, asking them to harmonize with a 11 not so good measure with the best measure is kind 12 of not doing our job as the MAP. 13 We should say this is a better 14 We support this measure and move measure. 15 forward. They can decide how they are going to 16 utilize it going forward. You know, if they want 17 to keep the older measure or move into the new 18 realm, what we are saying clearly better measure 19 is one that has got a timing element. 20 So asking them to go and harmonize 21 with all the same elements except for the timing 22 element or going with Martin's recommendation to

support and move forward, of the two options, I would support what Martin says. Take the better measure and move forward.

CHAIR OPELKA: Well, harmonization doesn't mean that that doesn't happen. Harmonization could mean that you choose the better measure. It's that there are measures that are so close they are competing and you've got to figure out which one you are going to go with.

11If you decide you cannot go with one12or the other and you respec the measure for13whatever reason, then you are back to square one.14You have got to take the measures through testing15and endorsement and all of that.

So the preferred harmonization is to choose one measure over the other. And what we are calling for is to say that there is an accrediting body that these institutions are bound to in order to be paid and then there is a payment body which says we are going to reward quality if you do this.

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

So there is competing business models 1 2 that need to be addressed and it's stuck on the 3 overlap between these two measures. So we are 4 not saying that you have to change this measure. 5 This may be the end result, that this is the preferred measure. We are saying by virtue of 6 7 this motion, that you have got to put the bodies in a room and come to conclusion about this 8 9 measure. 10 MS. FOSTER: And, Michael, I think the 11 reason I commented to Frank it was an NQF problem 12 is we haven't actually done a head-to-head 13 comparison. We have had one expressed opinion 14 about whether this is a better measure than the 15 other. 16 With due respect to that opinion, 17 there may be others who want to weigh in 18 differently. And until we have that opportunity 19 for a more robust conversation, I don't feel I 20 have the information I need to judge whether this 21 is, in fact, the better measure. 22 CO-CHAIR WALTERS: So where we are is

we supported Calendar 1 and to this point, there 1 2 is not a formal motion to move this measure into 3 Calendar 1. It currently resides in Calendar 2, 4 which is conditional support. Taroon? 5 MR. AMIN: I'm obviously not making a I just want to address the procedural 6 motion. 7 semantics, I think, that we are dealing with here. And would submit that the question here 8 9 would be that will just keep it as conditional 10 support and then I'll describe what the 11 conditions that I have heard are putting in NQF 12 language. 13 So it's a conditional support based on 14 a selection of a best-in-class measure or a 15 harmonized measure based on the Appropriate 16 Standing Committee review. Between this measure 17 and HBIPS-7, for the purposes of reporting and 18 accreditation, this measure should also be 19 harmonized for all acute care settings, if 20 possible and appropriate. 21 That's what I have heard. Feel free 22 to disagree with that. That's what I have heard.

1	300
1	MR. HATLIE: So that wouldn't require
2	a motion to support that, right? That is already
3	conditional support. It's on that calendar.
4	MR. AMIN: We reframed what our
5	preliminary analysis is to reflect that, so it's
6	a conditional support.
7	MS. HANRAHAN: This is for
8	information, but I hope that we would support
9	these measures ultimately. And I don't really
10	know being in one category conditional support
11	versus support if that will affect how this will
12	roll out or be interpreted by other bodies. It
13	does matter.
14	Well, then I would argue that, you
15	know, this measure should be I would like to
16	see us support them. Support the measures. The
17	measures have been vetted. I have been in rooms
18	where they have been vetted and they are really
19	important measures.
20	Behavioral health is a very difficult
21	field to develop measures and these measures
22	finally came through. And if there is a

conditional support attached to it, it may create 1 2 a barrier that is really not necessary given the 3 kind of support that I have heard people say 4 about the measure. 5 CO-CHAIR WALTERS: Is there a motion Did you say a motion? A motion by 6 there? 7 someone on Nancy's behalf? MR. HATLIE: I'll move on Nancy's 8 9 behalf to change this to a support 10 recommendation, because of the strength of the measure and the importance of sending a signal 11 12 that it is a strong measure in a needed area. 13 CO-CHAIR WALTERS: Okay. Is there any 14 other discussion about that motion? 15 MR. AMIN: So the vote is to support? 16 CO-CHAIR WALTERS: Moving it to 17 support. 18 MR. AMIN: Yes. 19 CO-CHAIR WALTERS: So is there a 20 second? 21 DR. BANKOWITZ: Mindful of the 22 importance of setting the signal that this is a

very important measure, I also think by 1 2 establishing the condition as we framed it, that 3 doesn't in any way diminish our support of this. 4 It simply says we need the stakeholders in the 5 room to help make that decision. The stakeholders being the accrediting bodies and the 6 7 payers. I don't think that diminishes the 8 9 strength of our support in any way. 10 CO-CHAIR WALTERS: Okay. Let's move on to voting for the -- oh, sorry. 11 12 DR. FIELDS: So I have been on the 13 endorsement side before. And my -- the process 14 was we would endorse a measure and then let NOF 15 go back and do the homework of harmonizing the 16 measure separately. Why wouldn't we want that 17 kind of strategy? 18 I understood, but we are still going 19 to say that we recommend a measure and NQF still 20 has to be responsible for endorsing or for 21 harmonizing. 22 CHAIR OPELKA: Just so we are clear.

For support, no requirement of harmonization or 1 2 we conditionally support with a requirement of 3 harmonization. Those are two different --4 DR. FIELDS: And we don't leave 5 harmonization of measures up to NQF? CHAIR OPELKA: Well, the MAP is giving 6 7 guidance. 8 DR. FIELDS: Okay. 9 CHAIR OPELKA: We support without any 10 condition. 11 DR. FIELDS: Yes. 12 CHAIR OPELKA: We support 13 conditionally and there was an array of 14 conditions. 15 DR. FIELDS: Right. And I understand 16 that. It's just --17 CHAIR OPELKA: That's it. 18 DR. FIELDS: -- leaving the --19 CHAIR OPELKA: That's it. You just 20 take it face value. It is what it is. 21 DR. FIELDS: Yes. 22 CHAIR OPELKA: Or do you want to put

on a requirement that it has to meet a set of 1 2 conditions? 3 DR. FIELDS: Again, I think we are 4 saying the same thing. 5 MR. HATLIE: Just a clarifying point. The array of conditions that we encapsulated here 6 7 would go in the minutes to the body that we were making the recommendation to support to. So they 8 would be apprised of this very rich conversation 9 10 that we had this afternoon. All right? 11 CHAIR OPELKA: Sure. 12 MR. AMIN: But I mean it would be in 13 the minutes, but it wouldn't -- I mean, I just -that's the distinction between the conditional 14 15 support and the support. The conditional support 16 carries all of those criteria as your conditions 17 of support. 18 The support is, on its own, as you 19 have described already, Marty, just, you know, it 20 is the support without any of the conditions. 21 MR. HATLIE: But it's still depends. 22 I mean, they are not required to follow our

conditions. So getting the thoughts to them one 1 2 way or another to get the strongest signal is 3 kind of where I'm going and supporting Nancy in 4 that. 5 CO-CHAIR WALTERS: Okay. We have a motion on the table to move this measure from 6 7 conditional support to support. We will entertain a vote now for that motion or not. 8 9 MS. IBRAGIMOVA: So to repeat for the 10 record, timely transmission of transition record 11 discharges from an inpatient facility to 12 home/self-care or any other site of care. 13 Do you agree with the motion to move 14 to support? 1, yes; 2, no. 15 (Voting) 16 MS. IBRAGIMOVA: The results are 67 17 percent yes, 33 percent no. 18 CO-CHAIR WALTERS: Okay. We no longer 19 have a Calendar 2. 20 So now, we will vote on Calendar 1, 21 which has all four measures in it. 22 MS. IBRAGIMOVA: IPFQR Calendar 1,

support transition record with specified elements 1 2 received by discharge patients, discharges from 3 an inpatient facility to home/ self-care or any 4 other site of care. 5 TOB-3, tobacco use of treatment provided or offered at discharge and TOB-3a, 6 7 tobacco use of treatment at discharge. SUB-2, alcohol use of brief 8 9 intervention provided or offered. SUB-2a, 10 alcohol use brief intervention received. 11 And timely transition of transition 12 records, discharges from an inpatient facility to 13 home/self-care or any other site of care. 14 Do you agree with the support 15 calendar? 1, yes; 2, no. 16 (Voting) 17 MS. IBRAGIMOVA: The results are 83 18 percent yes and 17 percent no. 19 Okay. Are we going CO-CHAIR WALTERS: 20 to take a break? 10 minutes, 15 minutes. We 10 minutes 21 have one more program to talk about. 22 break. Reconvene about 3:10.

	307
1	MR. AMIN: So for those of you on the
2	phone, we will be back at 3:10. Thank you.
3	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter
4	went off the record at 2:59 p.m. and resumed at
5	3:10 p.m.)
6	CHAIR OPELKA: All right. So in our
7	last one for today, we are looking at the
8	Medicare and Medicaid EHR incentive program for
9	hospitals and critical access hospital's
10	meaningful use. And so, we will go into the
11	overview of the program first.
12	MS. BAL: Okay, so we're doing
13	Medicare and Medicaid, the Electronic Health
14	Record incentive program for hospitals and
15	critical access hospitals. This is a pay for
16	reporting program. Also, this is known as
17	meaningful use as the short form, or MU.
18	And it provides incentives to eligible
19	professionals, eligible hospitals, and critical
20	access hospitals to adopt, implement, upgrade, or
21	demonstrate meaningful use of certified EHR
22	technology.

And some of the goals are to promote 1 2 widespread adoption of certified EHR technology 3 and to incentivize meaningful use of EHRs by improving quality, safety, efficiency, and 4 5 reduced health disparities, engaged patients and family, improved care coordination, and 6 7 population public health, and maintain privacy and security of patient health information. 8 9 The critical program directives that 10 were determined to given preference should be 11 given to NQF endorsed quality measures, select 12 measures that represent the future of measurement 13 such as facilitating information exchange and 14 measures that monitor a change in patient's 15 condition over time, also to align the measure 16 set with other hospital performance measurement 17 programs, and to ensure eMeasures in the program 18 are reliable and provide comparable results to 19 paper-based measures. 20 MS. O'ROURKE: So we have one consent 21 calendar for the meaningful use program. We have 22 four measures where the preliminary analysis was

to encourage continued development. 1 2 The first is the hospital-wide, all-3 cause, unplanned readmission hybrid eMeasure. 4 Just a caveat, we wanted everyone to know that 5 this is the eMeasure version. There is a claims version of this measure that is NQF endorsed. 6 7 That's 1789, the hospital-wide, all cause, unplanned readmission measure. But this 8 9 It's still in alpha testing and is the eMeasure. 10 has not been reviewed as an eMeasure for NQF 11 endorsement. 12 We received one comment that was 13 generally supportive noting the importance of NQF 14 endorsement of this measure and appropriate risk 15 adjustment. The second measure under consideration 16 17 is perinatal care cesarean section, PC 02, 18 nulliparous woman with a term, singleton baby in 19 vertex position delivered by cesarean section. 20 Again, there is an NQF endorsed claims 21 and paper record version of this measure. 22 However, we are being asked to consider the

implementation of this measure as an eMeasure. 1 2 The endorsed version, just to give you 3 some background, is a disparity sensitive outcome 4 It's included in the MAP safety family measure. 5 of measures. And this eMeasure is also under 6 review for the IQR program. We received a number of public 7 comments. One commenter noted three areas of 8 First, the measure included women who 9 concern. 10 have contraindications for vaginal birth. Second 11 is the measure assumes that all nulliparous women 12 with a term, single fetus in the vertex position, 13 NTSV, have the same risk for a cesarean birth 14 after adjusting for age. 15 And third, there's a major flaw found 16 in the direct standardization technique being 17 used to create risk adjustment for age. 18 The third measure is adverse drug 19 events, inappropriate renal dosing of 20 anticoagulants. This adverse drug event measure, 21 which is also under consideration for IQR, 22 focuses on an important safety issue. However,

I	311
1	it's not fully specified as an eMeasure and has
2	not been reviewed as an eMeasure for endorsement.
3	We received one public comment in support of this
4	measure.
5	Finally, a measure addressing timely
6	evaluation of high-risk individuals in the
7	emergency department. This efficiency measure
8	affects a high-impact population and captures
9	important clinical data.
10	It's also under review for IQR.
11	However, still the same caveat that this is still
12	under development as an eMeasure. We did not
13	receive any public comments on this measure.
14	CHAIR OPELKA: So we have before us
15	this calendar which is under our encouragement
16	for continued development as opposed to do not
17	encourage further development. Are there any
18	requests to move any of the items off the
19	calendar? What do you -
20	DR. EISENBERG: Frank, could I ask a
21	clarifying question first of Erin? You mentioned
22	that one of the comments had to do with a major

error in the calculation. Is that so? Could you 1 2 explain what that is? 3 Yes, so, do you want me to MR. AMIN: 4 go in on that, Erin? 5 MS. O'ROURKE: Sure. So the comment wasn't MR. AMIN: 6 actually being very specific besides the fact 7 8 that the direct standardization technique for the 9 risk adjustment was flawed. 10 But beyond that, it wasn't clear 11 exactly what the flaw was that the commenter 12 identified. So I just wanted to be clear there 13 wasn't an NQF staff evaluation. 14 CHAIR OPELKA: Okay. 15 MS. FOSTER: I would like to propose 16 that we put the readmissions measure on the list 17 of things that we would not support continued 18 development of. I forgot what the list is 19 called. 20 CHAIR OPELKA: Do not encourage 21 continued development. 22 MS. FOSTER: Do not encourage further

	313
-	
1	development of it.
2	CHAIR OPELKA: It does not do anything
3	to the readmission measure. It removes an
4	eMeasure.
5	MS. FOSTER: Right, I'm -
6	CHAIR OPELKA: So you're saying -
7	MS. FOSTER: I'm saying for this
8	eMeasure that is under - that's on this MUC list,
9	I would like to move it into the list where we do
10	not encourage further development.
11	CHAIR OPELKA: Okay.
12	MS. FOSTER: And on the - just a
13	question for Erin. You indicated there was one
14	comment on the ADE, on the adverse drug event,
15	but it says here there were two, so I'm just a
16	little confused.
17	MS. O'ROURKE: Apologies, that might
18	have been an error. I can pull up the comment
19	sheet and verify that for you.
20	MS. FOSTER: Thank you.
21	MS. O'ROURKE: If the spreadsheet has
22	two, the spreadsheet is the authoritative source.

	314
1	CHAIR OPELKA: Jack?
2	DR. FOWLER: Erin, or Taroon, or
3	whoever, just an update. I know there's been
4	quite a controversy about the readmission issue
5	and what to do about adjusting for different
6	populations in one way or another.
7	This one seems to propose to do some
8	kind of a model adjustment that somebody has done
9	that adjusts everybody. Could you update me or
10	tell me what it is that's being proposed here?
11	CHAIR OPELKA: So Erin and Taroon, you
12	may have to correct me. It's my understanding
13	that the measure is - there's no change to the
14	measure other than it's being e-specified. So
15	the former measure as it stood, remains, but it's
16	being e-spec'd. It's being specified as an
17	electronic measure. That's all that this is.
18	DR. FOWLER: The center says it's
19	going to be risk adjusted. Is it real, or am I
20	misreading it?
21	DR. YONG: Can I just clarify? And
22	Yale, who has helped us with our measure
I	II IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

development can also clarify. So this is what we 1 2 call a hybrid eMeasure. So it's - it would -3 it's a mixture of a claims-based measure using 4 what we call core clinical data elements or 5 CCDEs, which are extracted from EHRs, to help with the risk adjustment. 6 So we'd incorporate clinical data into 7 the risk adjustment methodology, but we would 8 9 still use, at the core of the measure, claims 10 data in order to identify admissions and 11 readmissions. Suzanne, I don't know if you have 12 anything to add on that? 13 DR. FOWLER: So just - a lot of the 14 controversy has been issues over and above 15 clinical characteristics such as socioeconomic 16 characteristics that make the environment in 17 which people are discharged or trying to cope 18 more complicated, and that that has been the 19 particular challenge of whether or not people can 20 get it right. It looks like you're going to say 21 something. 22 DR. YONG: Yes, so certainly this has

been a hot topic of discussion at NQF as well as in other environments. So certainly we are interested in and actively want to participate with NQF on sort of the pilot regarding SCS and risk adjustment.

Folks may also be aware that recently passed the IMPACT Act that mostly deals with post-acute care, but portions of that also require ASPE, which is the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation at HHS, who conducts some studies looking at the impact of risk adjustment for SVS in outcome measures.

NCMS is working with ASPE on this work
and will take, and we are required by law to
consider their final analysis and recommendations
and how it applies to our outcomes measures.
CHAIR OPELKA: Missy?
MS. DANFORTH: Can I just ask why it's

only the NTSV measure that's being considered for an eMeasure and why they may not, considering this is for critical access hospitals, that early

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

316

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

20

21

elective delivery wouldn't be considered for 1 2 eMeasure as well? 3 DR. YONG: I'm sorry, can you say that 4 one more time? 5 MS. DANFORTH: Sure. So the only maternity care measure is the NTSV C-section 6 7 measure being developed into an eMeasure. So I'm asking why the early elective delivery measure 8 also isn't being looked at as an eMeasure for 9 10 this program since it's already being used in the 11 IQR? 12 CHAIR OPELKA: This is a gap question. 13 It is not a measure question. These measures, 14 you're not asking a question. You're asking 15 about a gap. You're - okay. 16 DR. YONG: So, I'll have to check. 17 Betsy, are you on the line? 18 MS. RICKSICKER: Yes, Pierre, I'm on 19 the line. 20 DR. YONG: Did you hear that question 21 from Missy? 22 MS. RICKSICKER: No, I'm sorry. Could

I	318
1	the person please repeat the question?
2	MS. DANFORTH: I'm just wondering why
3	the early elective delivery measure wasn't being
4	considered for eMeasure development along with
5	the NTSV C-section measure?
6	MS. RICKSICKER: I don't believe that
7	we had that slated for the previous contract
8	which just ended over the summer. I believe that
9	all of the measures that we had slated for
10	development under that contract, all of the
11	measure slots that were available for development
12	were utilized.
13	But that's certainly a measure
14	development area that we could look into under
15	our new contract for the future as far as concept
16	areas.
17	DR. YONG: Yes go ahead.
18	MS. DANFORTH: And just a follow-up
19	question. The adverse drug event lists CMS as
20	the measure steward. Is that a measure that came
21	out of the work that the hospital engagement
22	networks are doing? I know they have developed

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1 some adverse drug event measures. 2 MS. RICKSICKER: So that measure was 3 developed out of the medication measures special 4 innovation project where FMQAI was our 5 contractor. And we have FMQAI here in the room, and the lead for that, Dr. Kyle Campbell, could 6 7 speak to that measure. 8 If you have specific questions about 9 that measure, we have the measure developers on 10 the phone to answer. 11 MS. DANFORTH: No, I didn't have 12 questions about the measure, just the origins. Ι 13 thank you. 14 MS. RICKSICKER: You're welcome. 15 DR. YONG: Sorry, one, if I may. 16 Going back to Missy's first question about the 17 elective delivery, certainly we welcome input 18 about priorities and gaps for future development 19 areas for eCQMs. 20 CHAIR OPELKA: David? 21 DR. ENGLER: Thanks. So, I've been 22 very quiet up until now, and I think you'll

probably know where I'm coming from on this one. It's the same issue that we raised last year when this was not an eMeasure, but it was a paper and pencil measure, I suppose, and it has to do with the impact that sociodemographic factors have on readmissions.

And what we would strongly encourage is, first of all, to get that right before we put it into an eMeasure. And we're very thankful and want to compliment CMS's moves on the IMPACT stuff. We also want to compliment NQF for moving forward with the notion of a robust pilot project regarding the look at readmissions and the impact that sociodemographic factors have.

15 So those are my two comments. And my 16 question relating to the comments were - would 17 be, what would be the problem of waiting on an 18 eMeasure, okay, until such time that the 19 admission measures are looked at for the impact 20 on sociodemographic factors? In other words, 21 getting it right on that side before we put it 22 into an e-metric.

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

	321
1	There is clear, convincing, and
2	compelling evidence that sociodemographic factors
3	do impact readmission rates. The analysts just
4	came up last week with a major study showing the
5	impact of that.
6	I also am very aware that the ROCs on
7	readmission rates has hovered around 60 percent,
8	and we still don't have that accurately. And the
9	penalties that that has on hospitals that serve
10	vulnerable populations are large, and we've
11	testified about that in this committee and others
12	as well.
13	So we would support moving forward
14	with an eMeasure if under the circumstances it
15	was first tested, okay, and approved, and look
16	through the pilot project with sociodemographic
17	factors associated with it. So that's my
18	presentation. And I'm sorry the CMS folks left
19	on the psychiatric data.
20	I would really welcome - and I know
21	we're going to be talking hopefully, Frank,
22	tomorrow about the gap, but if there's anything

we can do for next year's MAP when we discover 1 2 that we can come back and look at behavioral 3 health integration in primary care and physical 4 medicine, that would be really very appropriate. 5 I'd like to have that happen next year as well. So those are my comments relative to this 6 7 measure. So the last part of 8 CHAIR OPELKA: 9 your comment was to our previous discussion, and 10 it's part - it's something that we need to 11 capture in our gap section. 12 DR. ENGLER: Yes, I would hope it'd be 13 captured tomorrow in the gap discussion. 14 CHAIR OPELKA: Okay, all right. So I 15 need to - a formality. Nancy had moved that the 16 hospital unplanned readmission hybrid measure 17 move to do not support, and I need a second. 18 DR. ENGLER: I'll second that. 19 CHAIR OPELKA: All right, thank you. 20 Any other comments. Nancy? 21 MS. FOSTER: David, could you turn 22 yours off? Thank you. So I have a couple of

questions about this measure and then a couple of 1 2 comments. One is Pierre, could you help me 3 understand how this measure will, in fact, cover 4 all readmissions? 5 Because the claims-based measure, in fact, looks at all readmissions whether they're 6 7 to your hospital or to another hospital. And in that respect, it is a more robust measure than 8 9 simply looking at readmissions to your own 10 hospital. 11 So does this capture that? And if so, 12 how do you get the health - the electronic health 13 record pieces of that into this measure? My key 14 concern is, is this actually going to be 15 capturing the readmissions that we all know as 16 readmissions now? DR. YONG: This is Susannah Bernheim. 17 18 She is on contract with us. She works for Yale 19 CORE, and I'm going to - she's going to address 20 that question. 21 DR. BERNHEIM: Hi, Nancy. Yeah, so as 22 Pierre said, and just to explain for the group,

this is a hybrid measure so the claims are still 1 2 used to identify the cases. 3 The EHR data is brought in to enhance 4 the risk adjustment because people have been 5 really interested in having clinical factors as part of risk adjustment. 6 7 We keep some of the claims risk adjustment as well because there are certain 8 9 things that you can't yet capture well in the 10 EHR. 11 So the hybrid piece is claims plus EHR 12 data for risk adjustment. But the outcome, the 13 30-day unplanned readmissions are still coming 14 from the claims data. 15 So it's really an enhancement or --16 there is a word for this. It's not 17 respecification, re- anyway, it's a new version. 18 CHAIR OPELKA: Retooling. 19 DR. BERNHEIM: Retooling - no, we 20 don't like that word - of the previous measure to 21 bring in additional risk adjustment variables to 22 enhance the measure.
	325
1	MS. FOSTER: So in that regard,
2	Susannah, I know several of the comments around
3	the other measures, particularly the condition
4	specific measures, had - made by clinicians, had
5	really pointed out that there were problems with
6	the readmissions measures because they failed to
7	take into account information that was
8	unavailable from the claims, but that really
9	spoke to the propensity for readmission or
10	mortality, such as the severity of the heart
11	attack, the severity of - and so forth.
12	Is that - are you really, as Frank
13	described it, simply retooling the old measure,
14	or e-specifying the old measure, or are you
15	impacting it to include some of those critically
16	important clinical aspects?
17	DR. BERNHEIM: Right, it's the latter.
18	It maintains many of the same characteristics of
19	the previous measure, but it now brings in
20	additional risk adjustment variables that we've
21	found you can feasibly extract from almost any
22	EHR, but that give you more clinical information

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

about how the patient looked when they arrived at 1 2 the hospital. 3 MS. FOSTER: Thank you. So in that 4 regard then, I would join in saying the condition 5 in which - I would actually suggest we might want to move this into conditional support with the -6 7 CHAIR OPELKA: No, this isn't conditional support. This is, "I don't want you 8 9 to do what you're doing anymore," or, "I want to 10 encourage you to do what you're doing now." 11 This is they're doing work to improve 12 this measure, and you're voting to say, "Continue 13 doing your work, " or, "Stop. Cease." It's not 14 support for a program. It's support this -15 DR. BERNHEIM: You are correct. 16 CHAIR OPELKA: - iterative work you're 17 doing now. So let's be clear about that. You're 18 all asking very good and detailed questions, but 19 the motion on the floor is, "Stop." 20 MS. FOSTER: Can it be stop and tell 21 as David had expressed? 22 CHAIR OPELKA: Well, it's - we don't

have a conditional stop. It's stop or keep 1 2 going. And keep going means you're going to be taking in all these other factors that are out 3 4 there, but - and you could advise them about 5 factors that are important to you, and I think you're doing that. 6 7 We're not supporting this. We're encouraging the continued work they're doing or 8 9 telling them, "We don't think this is work you 10 should be continuing to do." Pierre? 11 DR. YONG: Thank you. I just wanted 12 to ask one clarification question which I think 13 is related to what you were just saying. 14 So is the right interpretation if it's 15 on the support continued development sort of -16 what do you call it - calendar, we would take 17 that input, but that we'd continued development 18 understanding there may be issues around SCS or 19 other issues that we would need to take into 20 factor. 21 And once it's completed development, 22 we would bring it back to the MAP for discussion

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

www.nealrgross.com

about whether it belongs on support, conditional 1 2 support, do not support. 3 CHAIR OPELKA: That's exactly right. 4 This is a - you're doing this groundwork for the 5 next MAP not -- you're out of this cycle. And you're asking the question of the MAP, "Is this 6 the right direction?" to continue your efforts. 7 So we're encouraging you or not 8 9 encouraging you, and the motion on the floor 10 right now is we do not encourage you. Richard? 11 DR. BANKOWITZ: Well, this discussion 12 here is proving very helpful because I'm 13 struggling with how to send the proper message 14 here as well. Because it is, I think, important 15 to get better clinical data into these measures, 16 and I think we need to encourage developers to do 17 that. 18 And at the same time, we need to 19 encourage them to look at the results of the very 20 important data that's coming in on socioeconomic and demographic factors, and we can't ignore 21 22 There's nothing in this measure that them.

addresses it. 1 2 So I just want to make sure we can 3 send that message as well as our support of looking at the clinical measures that are buried 4 5 in the electronic medical record. CHAIR OPELKA: Dan? 6 7 DR. POLLOCK: I was wondering if the 8 developer could provide some additional information about the clinical factors that are 9 10 being brought into the model, how they were 11 selected, both from a clinical perspective as 12 well as a statistical methodologic perspective? 13 DR. BERNHEIM: I'll try to do all of 14 that briefly. Yes, so we had a year-long project 15 prior to starting to work on this measure in which we were aiming to identify clinical factors 16 17 using a TEP and some testing that were present at 18 the time of admission across a wide range of 19 adult hospitalized patients and could be feasibly 20 extracted from most EHRs, because we wanted to 21 start where hospitals could actually begin 22 without asking for things that nobody was able to

1 extract. 2 So the clinical factors are primarily 3 initial vital signs and laboratory values. And 4 then we did - I can share with you the longer 5 version, but we did a number of things to bring them into the hospital-wide measure, testing a 6 7 number of different models, and selected those that, you know, most enhanced the model. 8 9 I don't know how much detail you want. 10 I can provide more. 11 DR. POLLOCK: So the initial vital 12 signs and lab values are a surrogate or indicator 13 of severity of illness, or why were those focused 14 on? 15 DR. BERNHEIM: Both, because they were 16 felt to be very clinically important. I mean, as 17 people have seen, there's lots of parsimonious 18 models out there that tell you a lot about a 19 patient's status when they arrive using initial 20 vital signs and lab values, and then they were 21 tested for this particular measure. 22 DR. POLLOCK: So these are initial

vital signs on the readmission or the first 1 2 admission? 3 DR. BERNHEIM: No, admission, like the 4 crucial piece of the risk adjustment is to 5 understand when this hospital accepts a patient when they initially bring a patient in, how sick 6 7 that patient was. Okay, so the vital signs 8 DR. POLLOCK: and lab values are intended to serve as an 9 10 indicator of the patient's severity at the time 11 of admission to the index hospitalization. 12 DR. BERNHEIM: Exactly, like the other 13 risk adjustment programs. 14 DR. POLLOCK: Any thought about using 15 lab values or -16 CHAIR OPELKA: Dan, I'm going to say 17 we can't go into measure development. It's out 18 of scope for us. 19 DR. POLLOCK: Okay. 20 CHAIR OPELKA: So focus on, "How is 21 this going to apply to a program?" 22 DR. POLLOCK: Right, I was just trying

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

to elucidate a little bit more information that I 1 2 think is relevant to whether we want to encourage 3 going forward. CHAIR OPELKA: But I don't want us to 4 5 get lost in the measure development. We'll be here for a day and a half. 6 7 DR. POLLOCK: Right, but just 8 understanding where the clinical data are coming from and where - what type of rationale is being 9 10 applied I think is relevant to whether to 11 encourage going forward. I'm getting a sense of 12 that, and -13 It's a little far CHAIR OPELKA: 14 afield. I'm just putting a warning out there. 15 We cannot get into development and you're getting 16 into that. Emma? 17 I have three short MS. KOPLEFF: 18 issues I want to address. One is the timeline 19 question David brought up. The second is just 20 clarifying the statement Pierre made about what 21 we would be voting for in continuing development 22 as our categorization. And third, offer an

alternative support continued development motion. 1 2 So on the first, just with the time 3 line, and this is related to what Pierre said 4 about bringing this measure back, I do think the 5 cesarean measure has been a priority gap for improving quality care for women and babies for a 6 long time. 7 And the fact that this Medicare 8 9 program has all claims payer data to allow us to 10 do that and set sort of a standard or provide 11 some leadership in addressing this quality issue 12 is a valuable opportunity. 13 And I mean, ACOG has recognized that 14 the cesarean rates are off the wall in this 15 country. And when we think about bringing this 16 measure back to MAP, which I'm not disagreeing 17 with, but also that we're right now just in the 18 development phase. 19 So all of these issues about what 20 clinical factors, or sociodemographic factors, or 21 other factors are included, that's all part of 22 the development. And at some point, this will

	334
1	also need to be brought back for NQF endorsement.
2	So where I'm going with this is a,
3	making a statement that I think we're a long way
4	from there, and I commend CMS for heading in the
5	right direction.
6	And in order to move forward, I
7	strongly think that we need to at least support
8	continued development and have faith that this
9	measure will be brought back to NQF. And I say
10	that differentiating from bringing it back to MAP
11	because either way, per the conversations we've
12	been having, we're seeing that this group is very
13	supportive and we can agree on the value of the
14	NQF endorsement process.
15	And I don't want the pace at which
16	this measure could potentially be used one day,
17	if it is scientifically valid and vetted
18	thoroughly, to be slowed down by the timing of
19	when MAP is or when an endorsement committee is.
20	So, the motion I'm making is encourage
21	continued development with the definition of that
22	reflecting this measure coming back for a

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

thorough scientific review by NQF, whether that's 1 2 the endorsement committee, MAP, or both, and I 3 hope we can do that swiftly. Thank you. 4 CHAIR OPELKA: We cannot accept your 5 There's a motion on the floor. But you motion. can make that motion subsequent --6 7 MS. KOPLEFF: Okay, thank you. 8 CHAIR OPELKA: -- to any other motion. 9 But I think you made your point. Wei? 10 DR. YING: I would encourage the 11 continued development of the readmission measure 12 for a couple of reasons. One is I think it was 13 stated as part of the preliminary analysis for 14 all the other condition specific measures, they 15 only cover a small portion of the total hospital 16 discharge each year. In order to get an overview 17 of a systematic outcome of a facility, we really 18 want something at the all-cause readmission 19 That's one comment. level. 20 The second thing is I think the 21 developer is trying to consider the comment 22 received from various sources in terms of the

risk adjustment methodology. Including available 1 2 clinical indicators into risk adjustment factors 3 is definitely one step in the right direction. 4 And maybe from this process they will 5 learn how to get the information on SES later on as they further development down the road. 6 If we 7 stop them on the track right now, I highly doubt that SES will later even come into the picture. 8 9 MS. KOPLEFF: Correct, in case people 10 got lost, I referenced ACOG. I had my caesarean 11 measures and my readmission measures talking 12 points mixed, but I was referring to the 13 readmissions measure. So, for those who got lost 14 in those comments, it still fits the mold of a 15 high priority area. So Frank, I thank you all 16 MS. FOSTER: 17 for the clarification about what this category 18 And I was under the apparent means. 19 misperception that we needed to ask for a stop 20 essentially in order to address some of these 21 vital issues. What I really wanted was a pause 22 button.

And I think what I've heard you say is 1 2 that if that's what we want to drive home the 3 point that we really should not be bringing these 4 measures -- the readmission measure forward for 5 endorsement for further inclusion until we've solved the issue around SES. 6 7 That's the point I want to make. And if that's where it is, then I will gladly 8 9 withdraw my motion and make sure that that point 10 just gets recorded. 11 CHAIR OPELKA: So if that's the case, 12 then this would stand with all these points as 13 we're encouraging it to go forward, but with all 14 of these SES points and everything else, the 15 clinical adjustments, everything everyone has 16 said, and bringing it back through the NQF 17 process, and the MAP. 18 Well, I was inclusive of everything at 19 So - if that's okay, all right? Well, then NQF. 20 we have this calendar before us as it's proposed 21 for continuing for - encouragement for continued 22 development. Before we vote on it, can we go to

1	338
1	public comment? Kathy?
2	OPERATOR: If you would like to
3	comment, please press star then the number one.
4	CHAIR OPELKA: Any in the room?
5	OPERATOR: And there are no comments
6	from the phone lines at this time. I apologize,
7	you do have a comment from Don Casey.
8	MR. CASEY: Hi, can you hear me?
9	CHAIR OPELKA: Hi, Don.
10	MR. CASEY: Hi, Frank. I've been
11	listening in all day. The public comment I think
12	covers quite a bit of ground which you've
13	covered. I think this is the first time since
14	the morning. Am I right?
15	CHAIR OPELKA: No, we've actually had
16	public comment before every vote.
17	MR. CASEY: I didn't hear it in the
18	second go around. I don't think you asked for
19	it. Maybe I'm wrong, but I don't think you did.
20	Did I miss it?
21	CHAIR OPELKA: You missed it. It's
22	been prior to every vote.

I	339
1	MR. CASEY: Okay, I apologize. Well,
2	relative to this then, the discussion of the
3	eMeasure for readmissions, I would simply get in
4	that this continues to be solely focused on using
5	the hospital-related data. And it seems to me as
6	though we're at a point where we ought to be
7	considering in our measure development the
8	possibility of putting in more data regarding
9	antecedent and intercurrent care between and
10	amongst the admissions and the
11	rehospitalizations. So that's my comment.
12	CHAIR OPELKA: Thank you. Any in the
13	room? Okay, so you have before you for voting
14	this encouraged continued development consent
15	calendar.
16	MS. IBRAGIMOVA: The meaningful use
17	consent calendar one encouraged for continued
18	development hospital-wide all-cause unplanned
19	readmission hybrid eMeasure, perinatal care C-
20	section, PC 02, nulliparous women with a term,
21	singleton baby in vertex position delivered by
22	cesarean section; adverse drug events,

inappropriate renal dosing of anticoagulants; and 1 2 timely evaluation of high-risk individuals in the 3 emergency department. 4 Do you agree with the encouraged for 5 continued development calendar? One, yes, two, The results are 100 percent yes, zero 6 no. 7 percent no. 8 CHAIR OPELKA: My first one. I'm 9 catching up. Okay, so we are actually just about 10 on time for day one. Very quickly, I think we've 11 learned a lot today about trying to walk through 12 a different process within the MAP, and it's been 13 an education. 14 And I think, at least my sense is, 15 you're getting more familiar with how to walk 16 through it, and how to make it work in the right 17 way to get the outcome you wish. 18 I'm not so sure we started off that 19 way, but I think you're getting into the swing of 20 it. So I think that helps a lot. 21 We've gone through OQR which we 22 thought was going to be a walk in the park and

that's where we cut our teeth, then the ACS which 1 2 was actually pretty smooth. 3 The Medicare Shared Savings Program, 4 we ended up moving a lot of that over into the 5 physician area, the clinician work groups, so they will have that a week from today. 6 And so, 7 if any of you have comments relative to that, you may want to follow-up in that work group. 8 9 And then the HAC reduction program, 10 psychiatry, and this last one in meaningful use. 11 And in each one, there were many major features 12 that I think were very important to our comment. 13 I'm a little worried that we've only 14 captured spotty pieces here and there of gaps. 15 And so, I'm just wondering if we shouldn't 16 quickly walk through these programs that we've 17 put up here and ask if there's any outstanding 18 issue in gaps that we left off the table that we 19 want to try and make sure we capture. 20 And if we're too harried at the end of 21 the day, it's something we can also start with 22 tomorrow to make sure while you're sleeping on it

1 we're not missing any gaps. 2 So if we could, I'm just going to ask 3 you to look at all of the programs. There's OQR, 4 there's ambulatory surgery, Medicare chaired 5 savings, HAC, inpatient psychiatry, and meaningful use. And there have been many gaps 6 mentioned, but we didn't formally go through it. 7 And I'll ask you now, is there 8 9 something that you had on the back of your mind 10 in any one of these programs we want to capture 11 and at least put on our list? Nancy? 12 MS. FOSTER: I think we ought to be 13 thinking, as my friend Nancy has said, we ought 14 to be thinking about the appropriateness of 15 mental health measures in virtually all of these 16 programs. 17 I'm not sure the same mental health 18 measures work across all of the programs, but 19 let's be thinking about that and the need to 20 integrate mental health care in with physical care as David said earlier. 21 22 Secondly, I think that as we think

about disparities in care, we really haven't 1 2 teased out anything here in terms of how would we 3 effectively measure that, and that is an area 4 where I think we have an enormous gap. 5 And third, just getting to the especified measures, it seems to me there's 6 7 enormous potential there for us to do something even more broad-based than just the one adverse 8 drug event measure that was brought forward here. 9 10 We know that adverse drug events, 11 falls, and other harms to patients are critically 12 important, and we do not yet have good ways to 13 capture all of that. And I would push us to 14 think beyond that one ADE measure. 15 CHAIR OPELKA: Thank you. Michael? 16 DR. PHELAN: I'm sorry, me or David? 17 CHAIR OPELKA: I didn't know David was 18 up, so --19 DR. PHELAN: Just to support Nancy's 20 recommendations for maybe getting a little bit of 21 focus on psychiatric care, in emergency medicine 22 particularly, patients spend an inordinate amount

	344
1	of time.
2	And if you have followed any of the
3	things that are going on on the West Coast, you
4	know, the Supreme Court of Washington had, you
5	know, made holding patients in the ED, you know,
6	unconstitutional. And how far that will go, I
7	don't know.
8	But for any of us that work in this
9	space understand that there's the lack of
10	resources, lack of bed availability, and no
11	magnifying glass looking at some of the issues
12	that are happening to this group of patients.
13	And it covers a broad spectrum of some
14	of the issues that occur in both the IQR and the
15	OQR, getting adequate outpatient resources for
16	the mentally ill and inpatient resources when
17	someone comes into your hospital and they spend
18	two days in your ED waiting for an available bed.
19	I think shining that type of light is
20	- would be something that I would recommend as
21	part of the gap work that we do on this
22	committee.

	345
1	CHAIR OPELKA: I share the same
2	sentiments. I think the first order of quality
3	of care is access to care, and that population
4	suffers from the lowest form of access.
5	Therefore, the first order of quality is missing.
6	David?
7	DR. ENGLER: I would agree, thank you.
8	Adverse drug events, behavioral health
9	integration in particular, and I'm really looking
10	forward to the opportunity to look at antibiotic
11	stewardship and its impact on infections in our
12	hospitals.
13	CAUTI continues to be a major problem
14	nationwide, and anything that we can do to refine
15	the metrics of those. C. diff is a real huge
16	problem, a growing problem, a high mortality
17	problem, and I'm encouraged by the work that's
18	going to be conducted on antibiotic stewardship.
19	CHAIR OPELKA: Thank you.
20	DR. ENGLER: And I really do hope that
21	our friends from our developers and our CMS folks
22	have a really clear message going forward to do

something on behavioral health would be a great thing.

3 CHAIR OPELKA: Great, thank you. Wei? 4 DR. YING: Two things, one is the OB 5 I'm not sure whether it's high outcome measure. on the CMS to-do list, but when we try to do 6 7 anything for the OB population we just can't find anything related to the OB outcome. 8 That's one 9 area. 10 The other thing is, in general, 11 patient reported outcome. There's no measure 12 being proposed. And we think down the road, 13 fundamentally, that's where the quality should 14 go. If the patients think it's good, then that's

16 CHAIR OPELKA: Thank you. Marty? 17 MR. HATLIE: I wanted to build on the 18 comments already made about mental illness and 19 just, we saw a connection here between mental 20 illness and substance abuse that was mentioned, 21 and I thought that was really important to pay 22 attention to as we go forward.

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

15

good.

1	Socioeconomic factors, David, I
2	remember you speaking to this very eloquently
3	last year. I really appreciate the comments of
4	Rich and Nancy.
5	I was just briefed by safety net
6	hospitals in Chicago right before I came here,
7	and they're just really, really struggling over
8	this, especially the small, independent ones in
9	neighborhoods that nobody else serves. So I
10	think we've got to pay attention to that.
11	And then the Partnership for Patients
12	that Missy mentioned actually ended yesterday.
13	Yesterday was the last day of it. And what we
14	learned in the Partnership is that it's not just
15	patient and family engagement that leads to
16	culture change, it's leadership engagement, and
17	that came up in our health literacy discussion as
18	well.
19	And I think we need to look at kind of
20	the connection between patient and family
21	engagement, leadership engagement, and sort of
22	engagement of clinicians as creating the cultures

that are going to sustain all of this improvement 1 2 work. 3 I don't know how to get that. It goes 4 across all the programs. But it was one of the 5 major lessons learned from the partnership of patients that that really is important to do. 6 7 And you find a lot of alignment when you educate all of those audiences about the work we're 8 9 doing. 10 CHAIR OPELKA: Thank you. 11 MR. HATLIE: You're welcome. Thank 12 you. 13 MS. KOPLEFF: To second the patient 14 reported outcomes measures gap, specifically for 15 the cancer program, I know that ASCO is working 16 on some patient reported outcome measures, so it 17 would be great if we could continue to track 18 those, and when they are ready, have them come 19 forward to the group. 20 CHAIR OPELKA: Great. Nancy? 21 DR. HANRAHAN: Well, I'd like to thank 22 my colleague for mentioning disparities. There

Ĩ	349
1	is growing evidence that people that have these
2	mental illnesses in medical surgical hospitals
3	don't get the procedures in the same way that
4	other people get.
5	And this may be contributing to that
6	25-year difference in the death rates in the
7	population. And it's very understandable why
8	they may not because of capacity.
9	Secondly, the other thing I'd like to
10	encourage, I don't know where this fits, but
11	human resources. You know, there is quite a bit
12	of - there is a growing mass of literature about,
13	and research about nurse staffing and skill mix.
14	And there's also with the Affordable
15	Care Act we're seeing a different kind of work
16	force evolving. And so, the relationship between
17	the workforce and outcomes I think is a really
18	major factor that could be tracked in a measure.
19	CHAIR OPELKA: All right. Well, I
20	think that's really helpful and a great way
21	actually to finish the day by walking through,
22	you know, some of your early thoughts on gaps.

Looking ahead to tomorrow, we truly have saved 1 2 the best for last. I guarantee it. 3 So please take a look at the agenda 4 for tomorrow. And having learned today how 5 you're working through these consent calendars, start to give some early thought to this so that 6 7 we can walk through that. And kind of take your lessons learned 8 9 from today and apply them tomorrow. I think it 10 will be really the only way we'll get through a 11 complicated agenda tomorrow. 12 So tomorrow we've got, you know, just 13 as much work before us, and some of it may be 14 even more critical to the way some of you are 15 thinking so we want to walk through that. So 16 that's really our list of work for tomorrow and 17 where we're going to go for this. Nancy, be 18 brief, one last comment. 19 MS. FOSTER: One last comment is I 20 just wanted to thank staff. I said this to 21 Taroon earlier, but I think the materials 22 provided for this iteration, for those of us who

have been around the table any number of years, are so superior to the previous years that it is incredibly helpful. Thank you. CHAIR OPELKA: All right, everybody, what time do we start tomorrow? Yeah, 8:00 is the continental and 8:30 is the launch of the meeting. So, we will see you then. Thank you again. Kathy, close the line, please. (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record at 3:57 p.m.) 

Α \$10,000 7:1 A-F-T-E-R-N-O-O-N 190:1 **a.m** 1:9 5:2 149:21,22 **AAA** 190:12 196:15,16 207:5**AAAs** 179:4 199:12 abandon 121:8 abbreviated 6:20 aberrations 104:11 abeyance 30:14 ability 120:6 212:17 able 33:14 38:19 42:15 75:15 81:10 174:17 181:8 182:18 195:18 203:22 214:16 230:14 250:17 265:11 284:10 285:16 329:22 above-entitled 149:20 189:13 307:3 351:9 absence 112:22 116:18 absolute 28:18 29:3 absolutely 39:18 70:1 70:12 126:4 248:18 abstinence 188:11,14 abuse 91:5 262:22 263:2 266:13,15,15 266:17,17 267:14 277:17 346:20 accelerate 258:5 accelerates 255:19 accept 82:4 131:22 219:13 335:4 acceptable 91:12 accepting 37:18 accepts 331:5 access 226:22 307:9.15 307:20 316:22 345:3 345:4 accessing 181:6 account 40:4 325:7 accountability 184:21 187:18 192:1 254:22 255:19 accountable 201:14 211:7 213:3 219:6 accounting 203:13 accreditation 285:16 285:21 286:3 290:8 290:11,20 291:3 299:18 accrediting 290:21 294:12 297:19 302:6 accreditor 289:2 291:5 accreditors 289:1 295:20 accurately 194:17

195:20 321:8 accustomed 20:2 achieve 49:10 212:17 217:17,17 218:6 220:14 achieving 104:16 217:22 acknowledge 111:21 113:8 ACO 178:2 197:5 199:7 199:21 200:5,6,11 201:18 204:13 205:18 210:18 211:6 214:3 214:12 215:20 219:2 219:14 220:19,21 221:4,10,16 222:3,6 223:2.9 ACOG 333:13 336:10 ACOs 194:1 195:10 196:9 203:20 214:5 216:15 217:10 218:21 222:1,17,21 223:4 acquired 240:15 246:22 249:9 259:11,13 ACR 142:3 143:15 ACs 155:13 194:13 195:2 215:18 341:1 act 61:6 316:7 349:15 Action 251:17,19 active 119:18 actively 142:12 257:11 316:3 activities 23:5 60:6 103:4 216:18 activity 105:19 actual 164:21 acute 186:12 203:18 217:12 230:2 233:13 268:20 299:19 adapt 274:2 adapting 137:13 **ADCC** 8:8 91:16 add 56:19 69:20 92:11 131:14 134:10 137:5 193:19 200:9 202:2 210:15 231:6 232:10 246:4 247:2 267:21 315:12 added 232:4 242:9 adding 131:12 132:21 202:7 216:2 234:2 addition 38:17 108:3 139:11 178:14 217:22 218:18 222:4 278:9 additional 33:17 34:5 37:8 41:3,8 111:6 122:9,13,16 134:11 142:9,10 161:5 182:7

216:7 237:3 245:18 246:8 247:2 261:7 271:14 272:11 274:9 324:21 325:20 329:8 address 49:17 50:15 52:5 94:11 136:1 178:19 179:10 184:18 186:16 187:3,15 202:12 205:5 214:13 241:14,16 257:5 263:9 268:14 299:6 323:19 332:18 336:20 addressed 64:13 298:2 addresses 48:11 51:22 180:2 186:1,9 187:2 263:17 329:1 addressing 50:5 92:14 311:5 333:11 adds 248:7 **ADE** 313:14 343:14 adequate 344:15 Adjourn 4:22 adjusted 314:19 adjusting 310:14 314:5 adjustment 185:20 236:9 242:9 254:20 255:12 309:15 310:17 312:9 314:8 315:6,8 316:5,12 324:4,6,8,12 324:21 325:20 331:4 331:13 336:1.2 adjustments 204:12 337:15 adjusts 314:9 administered 101:19 102:6 Administration 277:18 administrative 5:15 51:3,22 71:1 113:21 115:10 121:19 136:11 178:15 196:21 206:3 administratively 135:15 230:9,10 administrator 9:19 admission 73:8 320:19 329:18 331:2,3,11 admissions 315:10 339:10 admitted 64:18 69:11 77:10 278:15 adopt 108:17 263:4 272:18 275:18 279:6 295:18 307:20 adopted 255:20 273:12 277:6 adopting 276:2,22 adoption 308:2 adult 329:19

advance 54:14 58:21 59:5,17 60:2,15 62:5 64:14 65:1 66:13 67:15 72:22 75:4,9 77:9 78:13 79:4 81:7 81:11,17 141:3 148:1 148:2,10 157:3 159:21 161:21 162:5 163:12,14,20 166:16 171:19 172:4 198:13 272:3 advanced 48:10 77:15 84:4 114:17 150:7 151:10 153:15 156:6 adverse 80:15 158:9 228:15,16 231:1 242:18 310:18,20 313:14 318:19 319:1 339:22 343:8,10 345:8 advise 327:4 advisor 13:5 Advisors 252:15 advocate 10:21 Affairs 284:8 affect 19:10 82:16 95:8 254:15 300:11 affiliated 12:20 214:12 Affordable 349:14 afield 332:14 after-care 261:8 afternoon 304:10 age 53:14 65:2 105:2,5 123:10 142:5 162:10 310:14.17 agencies 277:13 agency 72:10 agenda 5:7 21:22 28:10 36:4 41:19 44:10 190:4 235:1 350:3,11 agendas 38:15 ago 13:10 71:20 113:16 agree 30:17 56:22 64:22 66:2 67:22 68:20 72:6 77:3 79:3 80:10 84:10 85:6 103:15 109:16,17,18 112:20,21 115:20 130:2 135:1 144:20 144:22 145:4,10 146:2,22 147:15 148:9,19 171:7,20 176:12 204:17 221:20 225:4,9,19 238:9 239:2,16 240:2 246:5 247:4,21 251:6 267:16 290:15 305:13

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC 306:14 334:13 340:4

345:7 agreed 66:11 agreeing 64:5 agreement 72:21 83:15 139:22 140:2 194:13 194:21 agrees 115:6 ahead 7:15 24:14 46:6 61:10 77:2 149:5,17 264:7,8 318:17 350:1 aiming 329:16 alcohol 262:11,13 263:1,11 266:14,15 266:22 267:8 269:1 272:21 273:7,11,12 273:15,18 306:8,10 alcohol-specific 266:21 Alexander 12:16,17,18 72:21 73:14,17 84:7 84:15,20 85:3 101:17 102:4 152:5,9,13,16 algorithm 45:10 align 6:16 88:17 155:19 203:15 213:16 278:8 286:11 308:15 aligned 204:9 216:10 aligning 116:21 alignment 48:12 83:21 178:8 180:6 185:17 192:6 204:6,18 205:11 221:20 252:6 275:21 277:5,8 348:7 aligns 47:4 all-cause 335:18 339:18 **all-comers** 196:16 **alliance** 1:16,18 8:12 10:9 allocated 219:2 allow 22:9 102:20 211:21 223:19 333:9 allowed 163:4 214:3 223:20 alluded 162:15 165:15 254:2 alpha 309:9 alternate 32:8 alternative 55:13 211:18 333:1 AMA 99:1 101:12 109:6 Amanda 2:4 10:14 173:2,5 **ambulatory** 4:8 47:4 48:16 133:10 135:4,9 136:15 137:22 138:2 150:3 155:2,6 159:3 162:1,8,16,20 163:2 164:15 167:12 168:18

171:17 173:22 174:1 342:4 amendment 282:20 283:2 America's 1:17 American 1:19 2:4,5 5:11 8:22 10:15 11:8 14:6,17 54:5 78:2 92:20 119:5 126:18 141:21 142:14 187:22 230:15 285:11 American's 9:7 Americans 63:1 Amin 2:16 17:21,21 18:19 25:1,16 26:4 31:1 40:12 42:21 45:18 58:13 59:2,5,7 84:1,9,12,17 85:1,4 86:15 118:3,5,18 122:9 129:13 131:1 133:2 147:5 168:9 170:14,21 175:18,22 176:3,6,8 177:6 181:17,21 189:10 190:15 260:7 264:22 280:20 281:11 299:5 300:4 301:15.18 304:12 307:1 312:3,6 amount 19:1 112:4 139:15 343:22 analyses 22:18 111:22 142:10 223:11 analysis 19:17 20:4 22:17 31:5 32:1 45:8 45:9.13 47:18 48:9 49:4 51:15 92:19 116:22 123:21 125:21 134:4 156:7 157:5 159:1 160:22 172:11 187:2,10 206:10,12 206:15 207:7 257:18 260:8 280:21 300:5 308:22 316:16 335:13 analyst 2:18 17:12 analysts 321:3 analytics 13:1 and/or 182:22 289:9 Anderson 15:1 Andrea 1:14 8:15 70:21 105:1 108:8 139:1 192:19 235:15 247:20 anesthesia 77:6 186:15 186:22 anesthesia-related 158:17 Anesthesiology 188:1 188:10 Anesthetic-induced

158:7 Ann 2:17 5:16,18,20 15:14,15 17:5 Annals 113:15 114:1 annual 46:16 annually 157:15 answer 102:3 123:11 127:9 135:22 138:18 141:22 201:5 256:8 275:4,8 319:10 answered 273:20 answering 197:12 answers 97:6 antecedent 339:9 anterior 157:8,17 167:2 171:5 antibiotic 251:17 252:1 252:2 254:5 255:4 345:10,18 anticipate 125:11 anticipated 88:1 anticipates 201:9 anticipating 201:13 anticoagulants 310:20 340:1 antimicrobial 252:10 252:17 254:9 256:21 257:5 antiplatelet 177:15 190:10 198:22 199:11 antiplatelets 206:20 anxious 116:7 anybody 11:22 12:2 15:2 39:16 46:8 71:10 161:11 165:13 193:17 252:19 anymore 63:12 326:9 anyway 39:16 128:22 246:18 262:2 287:13 324:17 apologies 144:13,17 157:17 313:17 apologize 130:12 258:18 338:6 339:1 apparent 258:18 336:18 **appeal** 233:9 appealing 126:1 appears 50:14 81:7 **apple** 67:19 101:9 applicable 275:19 295:14 application 1:3 5:6 22:1 26:8 29:17,21 30:1 60:3 95:13 96:2 229:1 229:6,11 256:2 276:3 applications 26:11 applied 29:16 96:6 165:7 229:7 294:1

332:10 **applies** 163:22 231:12 294:20,22 316:17 apply 125:18 164:12 248:4 293:17 331:21 350:9 applying 241:2 appreciate 30:16 124:10 142:8 235:9 257:8,20 347:3 appreciated 192:18 apprised 304:9 approach 4:5 109:10 122:7 178:16 appropriate 25:21 26:10 62:19,22 70:7 71:18,22 88:6 92:14 92:15 93:5 96:2 105:20 111:18,19 112:7 123:14 131:18 137:15 159:3,6 163:11,15 168:19 169:6 171:18 177:18 185:11,19 192:15 203:3,7 205:9 207:12 209:1 233:20 237:11 254:20 282:17 299:15 299:20 309:14 322:4 appropriately 51:12 209:12 appropriateness 119:6 142:4 342:14 approval 241:13 approved 162:17 163:7 321:15 **approving** 33:9,9 approximately 273:4 **April** 229:14 arbiter 117:19 area 1:15 15:11 21:21 66:11 109:7 112:21 127:11 138:13,14 167:21 179:22 186:2 187:2 188:12 203:2 220:15 257:10 301:12 318:14 336:15 341:5 343:3 346:9 areas 12:21 13:2 19:8 192:9 219:19 247:9 296:9 310:8 318:16 319:19 arena 125:8 215:1 arguably 249:16 argue 205:13 249:10 300:14 argument 70:5 173:20 arguments 76:9 arisen 50:16

ARM 245:19 250:1,8,15 array 303:13 304:6 arrive 330:19 arrived 326:1 arrives 279:3 articulate 117:14 articulated 115:7 160:10 articulating 65:3 ASC 2:3 7:18 155:1 156:13,17,19,21 160:18 172:13 ASC's 157:1 ascertain 122:4 ascertained 113:5 ASCO 348:15 ASCQR 133:21 155:7 156:6 159:16 171:3 171:16 172:22 **ASCs** 160:5 163:22 aside 31:14 asked 30:13 31:19 51:17,20 56:17 66:20 73:19 92:18 117:15 124:16 133:8.8 151:14 164:16 173:16 309:22 338:18 asking 20:13 60:14 72:9 76:5 79:17 138:6 152:14 164:3 231:2 296:10.20 317:8.14 317:14 326:18 328:6 329:22 **asks** 133:14 135:6,9 **ASPE** 316:9.14 aspect 48:11 49:18 52:15 89:11 102:21 103:16 109:11 152:21 aspects 27:7 52:3,17 57:9 190:7 325:16 **Aspirin** 187:13 assertion 168:5 assess 60:14 108:10 assessed 73:15 273:11 assesses 177:17 assessing 259:18 291:13,14 assessment 16:1 103:22 108:19 211:3 227:18 assessments 260:2 assigned 31:19 82:13 **Assistant** 316:10 assists 52:13 associated 74:18 104:18 148:6 158:9 238:19.22 251:5 254:8,11,13 321:17

Association 1:14.19 2:2 8:16 9:20 11:8 230:16,17 assume 30:7 101:17 106:16 121:4 174:5 assumes 310:11 assuming 100:15 assure 36:18 138:3 assured 172:20 **ASTRO** 92:19 atraumatic 50:7,13 110:13 111:19 113:2 116:3 140:20 146:19 attached 301:1 attack 81:1 325:11 attainment 195:4,5,11 195:21 attempts 246:19 attention 126:14 130:21 141:8 165:11,19 241:11 251:20 346:22 347:10 attitudes 6:15 attributed 273:5 attribution 180:15 audible 110:3 132:8 143:19 173:3 **AUDIENCE** 151:3 audiences 348:8 authoritative 313:22 automatically 82:14 autonomic 233:16 availability 344:10 available 62:5,8 155:10 195:8.10 196:6 253:17 265:13 276:4 289:17 318:11 336:1 344:18 average 74:9 avoid 138:16 204:11 224:2 234:8 275:12 aware 68:22 137:2 165:9,14 233:2 254:9 264:11 266:10 316:6 321:6 awareness 240:22 awhile 73:20 В

B 120:10 babies 333:6 baby 309:18 339:21 back 27:14 31:2 44:15 44:20 45:13 82:3 91:10 92:7 104:7 112:15,17 117:14 118:13 120:7 124:22 126:9 129:12,14

149:9.18 153:5 177:3 192:17 193:10 196:22 208:11 211:21 213:18 226:14 227:14 229:8 235:22 236:2 238:4 239:11,15 249:18 251:12 254:4 262:3,9 270:3 278:15 290:1 292:2 297:13 302:15 307:2 319:16 322:2 327:22 333:4,16 334:1,9,10,22 337:16 342:9 background 310:3 backspace 44:15,19 45:4 bad 63:14 79:14 156:4 Bal 2:17 17:16,16 44:4 46:7 153:20 154:3 155:5 191:4 259:5 307:12 balance 121:7 ball 101:1 Bankowitz 1:13 8:10,11 26:19 66:10 80:10 95:18 115:5 127:9 205:22 208:17 217:7 219:20 220:3,6,22 221:5,19 301:21 328:11 bar 68:8 95:10 272:16 275:10 barrier 254:19 301:2 barriers 253:16 barring 296:8 base 50:21 based 19:5,18,18 24:1 45:9 53:11 57:22 71:3 96:16 128:3 156:11 194:4 195:6.17 200:16 210:22 214:17 219:2,3,4 299:13,15 baseline 127:16 basic 45:15 222:22 223:16 240:21 274:4 basically 47:8 51:14 134:7 169:1,12 199:6 241:3 272:18 278:20 290:12 **basics** 6:2 basis 95:22 213:9 217:21 beam 49:4,5 54:15 55:8 87:10 140:14 146:8 bear 36:19 113:11 128:19 beauty 222:7 becoming 72:13

bed 344:10.18 beginning 17:9 53:13 82:13 190:6,8 224:3 240:19 280:19 **behalf** 161:1 301:7,9 behaving 16:15 behavior 165:16 behavioral 103:21 260:2 268:13 300:20 322:2 345:8 346:1 **belief** 99:12 **believe** 20:16,18 26:6,9 41:1 52:20 58:21 62:17 92:17 97:8 127:10 131:10 165:1 173:7 206:15 207:20 211:3 221:22 248:2 252:9 258:4 276:3 318:6.8 believes 99:16 belong 206:16 belongs 206:14,17 328:1 benchmark 195:22 benchmarking 247:16 254:21 255:12 benchmarks 194:7.10 195:6,9,17 196:5,8 236:10 beneficiaries 48:20 51:1 179:6 186:3,10 191:10,16 199:6 200:7 217:3 beneficiary 179:17 187:9 190:13 208:15 208:19 209:22 210:16 211:20 214:10 220:1 221:17 225:15,19 238:9 benefit 27:2 62:2 63:3 68:1 Benin 1:14 8:15,15 70:22 105:2 139:2 144:3,6,21 145:5 192:20 235:16 247:21 Bernheim 3:10 323:17 323:21 324:19 325:17 326:15 329:13 330:15 331:3.12 best 62:9 66:15 125:14 193:11 201:5 223:10 228:17 246:19 289:17 296:11 350:2 best-in-class 299:14 Betsy 3:18 317:17 better 39:21 44:8 49:21 57:13 74:10 145:16 151:22 224:12 255:21

296:13,18 297:2,7 298:14,21 328:15 beyond 49:12 81:19 107:8 122:18 219:7 242:7 245:19 312:10 343:14 bias 67:18 biased 16:16 100:17 big 12:22 38:22 112:9 160:12 217:10 230:18 256:10 bigger 213:7 biggest 41:13 billing 91:11 binary 33:8 151:8 166:2 binder 19:13 binoculars 63:20 **birth** 310:10.13 bit 115:15 122:21 123:21 125:17 127:6 180:22 194:9 215:13 219:7 257:21 280:17 332:1 338:12 343:20 349:11 bladder 183:2 234:9.13 blame 128:18 **bleeding** 158:12 blindness 157:13 **blood** 70:5,14 234:13 234:14 Bloodstream 238:22 251:5 Blue 2:7,7 9:16,17 board 15:3 bodies 241:15 298:7 300:12 302:6 **body** 290:21 291:3 294:12 297:19,21 304:7 **bold** 289:6 **bolus** 90:5 bone 49:5 87:10 92:1,6 140:15 146:9 **boning** 91:8 bottom 99:7 113:18 **bound** 297:20 **boutique** 275:15 box 68:9,10 76:6 77:16 80:6 81:8,21 box-checking 67:13 **boxes** 72:8,11 brain 50:5 51:8 57:11 57:20 114:8 146:18 brain-computed 110:12 140:19 brand 36:15 break 149:4,6,17 176:21 180:21 189:5

306:20.22 brief 31:15 32:18 242:5 252:9 262:12,13 263:6,9 273:17 306:8 306:10 350:18 briefed 347:5 briefly 235:16 252:11 329:14 bring 44:15 135:16 252:11 292:9 293:8 324:21 327:22 330:5 331:6 bringing 333:4,15 334:10 337:3,16 brings 77:13 325:19 **broad** 64:9 153:8 202:12 344:13 broad-based 60:4 237:12 343:8 broader 85:12 89:10 211:2,4,9 broadly 61:22 125:17 168:5 203:13 Brock 2:2 9:18 81:3 103:14 131:19 Brooks 2:1 9:13 brought 87:18 90:9 123:2 129:20 141:7 162:8 165:4 324:3 329:10 332:19 334:1 334:9 343:9 **BSN** 2:3 Buck 3:11 270:6,8 273:21 275:5 276:19 286:5 287:22 288:4 288:14 293:15 294:18 build 87:20 122:20 125:22 255:17 346:17 building 22:13 47:17 **built** 174:3 bunch 250:2 burden 63:4 68:1 78:9 78:21 79:5 113:7 138:16 139:7,11 277:12 Burdening 78:17 burdensome 159:13 178:16 buried 329:4 business 1:15 2:6 8:19 11:4 27:10 48:4 106:5 108:13 285:17 298:1 button 44:15 128:20 336:22 Bye 235:10 С

345:15 C-CAT 49:17 95:1 96:17 96:18 99:8,18 101:18 140:17 C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S 4:1 **C-section** 317:6 318:5 caesarean 336:10 CAHPS 13:9 47:14 107:18,20 134:13 135:3,5,9,19 137:22 138:3 156:3 174:3,16 174:17 175:8 260:5 **CAHs** 4:17 calculate 198:19 250:15 calculated 201:12 218:19 calculates 245:9 calculation 250:1,3,8,9 312:1 calendar 23:16 33:6,7 36:15 50:3 51:13 53:6 53:7 55:2 56:9 57:4,8 58:6,9 82:6 83:18 124:7 133:6 140:13 140:17,21 144:7,9 145:18 146:3,6,22 147:10,16,21,22 148:3,4,7,10,20 149:9 149:16 157:4 158:22 166:17,18,19 167:1 168:15,16,18 170:8 170:10,12 171:3,9,15 171:17,21 172:6 175:11,17 176:2,10 176:13 178:6 179:2 179:15 180:17 181:1 182:21 183:10 184:9 184:14 185:7 186:5 186:20 187:9 188:3 189:8 190:7,8,9 197:1 200:21 206:6 210:11 224:20 226:5,6,11,13 226:16 227:11 237:15 238:3,5,7,10,15,16 239:4,9,13,18,22 240:3 241:20 242:22 243:5 250:20.22 251:7,10 257:1 260:12 263:13 265:19 265:21 276:10,12,14 276:15,17 279:12 281:21 283:9 289:22 295:8 299:1,3,3 300:3 305:19,20,22 306:15 308:21 311:15,19 327:16 337:20 339:15 339:17 340:5

calendars 22:16 31:5.8 31:21 32:7 33:3,9,16 34:15,19 35:14,15 41:9 44:11 47:21 52:21 53:4 58:10 83:20,22 140:9 141:6 143:21 144:1,11,17 149:7 159:18 177:7 177:11 185:2.4 188:21 191:3 227:19 227:20 237:20 260:9 350:5 call 15:7 26:3 32:10 33:5 54:19 83:2 137:2 139:19 162:10 315:2 315:4 327:16 called 148:7 171:14 205:10 229:13 255:3 290:4 312:19 calling 228:11 290:12 297:18 camp 71:14 campaigns 112:10 Campbell 319:6 cancer 1:18,20 8:7 10:12 15:1 49:11.13 87:22 88:12 89:10.12 89:19 90:1,3,8 91:16 91:17 348:15 cancer-exempt 88:7,11 capacity 349:8 capitalize 223:14 249:14 caps 155:21 capture 26:8 35:19 94:15 257:16 322:11 323:11 324:9 341:19 342:10 343:13 captured 82:20 125:22 322:13 341:14 captures 123:10 179:18 311:8 capturing 86:18 102:8 323:15 car 72:10,12,12 218:15 card 7:13 42:1 62:12 68:15 71:13 90:13 126:9 249:17 cardiac 60:11 179:5 cardiologist 65:10 78:1 cards 32:3 care 1:20 10:6 12:19,21 46:19,21 47:4,8,14 48:10,20 49:11 50:1 52:5,6,8,15 54:15 55:5 58:21 59:5,17 60:2,3,12,15 61:17 63:1,2 64:14,16 65:1

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

C 252:3 254:6 339:19

66:1 70:8,10 73:1 77:20 78:1,8,12 81:11 84:4 87:19,22 89:6,10 89:11 90:3 92:1 94:10 96:16 99:11,17 101:4 101:7 103:16 113:13 114:19 133:11,18 136:13,15 141:4 143:8 148:1,2,11 150:7 151:10 153:16 155:14,21 156:6 159:21 163:8,18 166:16 171:19 172:4 174:1 178:17 179:11 180:3 184:22 186:6,7 186:8,12,15,17,18,20 186:22 187:3,3,4,20 191:2,9,16 192:4 198:13 203:18,21,22 204:20,22 209:10,18 212:9,16 213:8 216:22,22 217:12 218:13 219:3 224:9 224:11,12 230:2 233:13 247:9,13 253:7 259:18 260:1.2 260:19 261:14 262:16 262:21 263:19,20 264:2,3,14 266:8 268:20,21 270:2 271:12.20 272:9 299:19 305:12 306:4 306:13 308:6 309:17 316:8 317:6 322:3 333:6 339:9,19 342:20,21 343:1,21 345:3,3 349:15 cared 186:10 carefully 134:12 136:8 caregivers 266:10 cares 223:4 carotid 190:10 199:1 206:20 carries 304:16 carry 30:1 110:7 132:16 **CASC** 2:3 case 63:9 70:3 81:18 87:22 127:17 151:6 200:13 266:16 284:15 336:9 337:11 **cases** 104:10 324:2 Casey 3:12 338:7,8,10 338:17 339:1 cat 111:19 119:19 120:1 120:6 201:19 cataract 157:12,14,20 cataracts 157:13,16 catch 240:9

catching 340:9 categories 24:5,9 202:13 categorization 26:2 31:9,12,16 32:16,19 33:4 35:13 58:16 129:22 130:1 332:22 category 24:16 28:6 34:2,18 59:16 86:17 86:22 124:19 128:1 179:2 184:1,10 208:21 280:13 281:9 281:16,18 300:10 336:17 catheter 235:21 236:2 238:19 Catheter-Associated 251:2 catheterization 233:21 catheterizations 233:15 catheters 230:1 234:5 236:13 cause 157:13 158:8 185:13 223:11 236:1 309:3.8 caused 167:17 CAUTI 181:22 226:7,20 228:13 237:16 238:15 238:20 242:17 249:21 345:13 caution 231:12 262:8 caveat 56:19 131:14 134:11 309:4 311:11 caveats 35:1 140:2 232:4 CCDEs 315:5 CDC 14:7 112:20 181:7 182:19 227:1 229:15 230:7 231:7 232:11 232:12 233:10 245:18 250:5,15 254:7 255:2 258:1 Cease 326:13 center 4:8 8:7 15:1 48:17 150:3 155:2,6,9 162:16,20 173:21 314:18 centered 60:7 96:10 99:5 259:18 261:13 262:16 263:19 centers 1:18 2:12,13,14 9:4 90:20 91:16,17 101:20 162:1 163:2 169:18 174:1 central 121:20 238:21 251:4 **CEO** 8:18

certain 6:15 64:16 76:14,22 120:11 193:4 250:4 254:12 265:12 287:7 324:8 certainly 20:16 93:9 112:20 123:12 125:18 236:11 243:15 244:5 244:15 246:2 255:15 257:19 287:1 292:2 315:22 316:2 318:13 319:17 certified 307:21 308:2 cervical 258:9 cesarean 309:17,19 310:13 333:5,14 339:22 cessation 77:17 188:11 cetera 250:5 Chair 1:9,11,12 5:3,12 5:13 14:16 17:5 18:1 23:14 25:18 26:18 27:6 28:13 29:12,15 30:16,22 32:10,17 33:5,5,16 39:12 41:12 43:8 53:2 54:8,12,20 55:2,18,22 56:5 57:1 57:4,7,15,19 58:2,5,8 58:19 59:6,9,14,21 61:5 62:13 63:7,19 64:21 66:9 67:3,16 68:13,16 69:18 70:13 70:18,21 71:12 74:11 76:2 77:1 79:8 80:9 81:3,22 83:15 85:11 85:17,20 86:4,9,22 87:6 89:15 90:10,14 91:19 92:9 93:2,12 94:4,14 95:16 96:3 98:17 100:3,11,13,21 101:16,22 102:10,17 103:12 104:22 106:11 107:15 108:6,21 109:13 110:1,4,19,22 115:2,19 116:10 117:7 118:22 120:18 121:14 122:8 124:2,3 125:15 126:9 127:8 127:21 128:21 129:3 129:6,10 130:20 131:5,19 132:1,5,7,9 132:15 134:19 136:3 136:4 137:4 138:7,8 139:18 140:12 141:5 141:18 143:17,20 144:5,8,18 145:3,8,13 145:17,20 146:12 147:4,9,20 149:3 150:1 151:1,4 152:4,7

152:10,14,19 153:4 153:10,13 154:6,9,18 159:17 160:11 161:6 161:17 164:7 165:21 166:6,16 167:22 168:14 169:7 170:6 171:14 173:1,5,13 174:9,18,19 175:14 175:21 176:1,5,7,9,18 189:3 190:3 192:17 196:13,17,20 198:1 198:13 200:15 205:21 206:2 207:4,9,14 208:1,13 210:6,8 211:16 217:5 218:9 219:10,11,21 220:4,7 221:1,6 222:15 223:15 224:18 225:14 226:2 227:6 228:4,21 229:3 231:8,21 232:2 232:7,9,19,21 234:17 234:19 235:7,9,11,14 236:18 237:1,14,18 237:22 238:4,14 239:8 240:7 242:10 242:21 243:3 247:20 248:10 249:17 250:19 251:11,16 257:14 258:7,11,15 289:21 290:19 291:2,8,11,19 291:22 292:18,21 293:2 294:6 295:7 297:4 302:22 303:6,9 303:12,17,19,22 304:11 307:6 311:14 312:14,20 313:2,6,11 314:1,11 316:18 317:12 319:20 322:8 322:14,19 324:18 326:7,16,22 328:3 329:6 331:16,20 332:4,13 335:4,8 337:11 338:4,9,15,21 339:12 340:8 343:15 343:17 345:1,19 346:3,16 348:10,20 349:19 351:4 chaired 342:4 Chairs 39:8 40:14 41:7 41:11 challenge 160:20 202:11 247:2 293:9 293:14 294:10 315:19 challenges 116:17,18 234:1,2 237:13 challenging 111:14 230:10,11 234:7 Chambers 285:10,11

chance 27:1 63:13 change 40:16 76:20 79:15 80:20 82:21 84:9 85:17 92:4 102:22 109:11 129:21 159:19 175:19 230:11 231:2 247:11 258:6 280:22 281:2,9,15,16 281:18,21 283:2 292:22 298:4 301:9 308:14 314:13 347:16 changed 283:12 294:2 changes 19:9 32:20 33:21 36:21 58:9 62:1 94:10 118:11,13 121:17,22 122:13 248:3 276:14 292:19 293:20 changing 76:10 78:8 248:1 characteristics 315:15 315:16 325:18 chart 51:5 chase 220:16,16 chased 220:19 chasing 221:1 chat 42:22 86:19 check 66:16 72:11,15 72:17 76:6 77:16 81:8 81:21 88:4 130:21 201:2 285:8 317:16 check-the-box 67:2 **checked** 80:6 checking 68:9,10 72:8 206:22 checklist 186:7 chew 177:2 Chicago 347:6 Chief 8:11 child 236:3 **children** 235:20 children's 1:14 8:16 63:22 71:4 choice 88:2 134:5 choices 82:17 90:6 93:22 choose 29:1 51:21 73:22 74:4,8 82:18 87:21 89:5 289:8 297:6,17 **Choosing** 112:10 126:14 142:21 chosen 100:5 Christie 74:11 76:4 81:16 Christmas 64:1 236:20 **chronic** 192:2 circumstance 76:14

127:3 circumstances 56:21 62:19 127:3 321:14 City 15:12 CLABSI 182:15 203:13 226:7,20 237:16 238:15 239:1 247:8 249:21 claims 71:1,3 113:6,9 113:12 114:18 115:10 125:13 126:3 178:15 309:5,20 315:9 324:1 324:7,11,14 325:8 333:9 claims-based 198:19 315:3 323:5 clarification 37:9 73:10 74:12 106:13 117:10 164:13,14 279:15 327:12 336:17 clarified 165:13 clarifies 118:18 clarify 83:10,13 84:3 129:11 137:20 149:11 173:15 175:18 197:12 314:21 315:1 clarifying 25:15 197:2 304:5 311:21 332:20 clarity 37:11 clear 28:3 73:11 85:5 89:16 96:13 107:16 114:16 116:18 127:4 127:13,14,15 130:8 131:5 135:4 200:1 209:21 234:21 250:3 264:10 281:4 282:11 302:22 312:10,12 321:1 326:17 345:22 clearly 128:18 135:6 137:6,12 256:3 265:7 279:19 296:18 Cleveland 9:10 14:5 **click** 44:13 45:3,11 clicker 82:14 clinic 9:10 14:5 46:18 60:10,11 79:20 clinical 50:13,21 72:1,3 96:22 112:22 113:9 119:10,22 121:20 125:6,7,11 143:5,7,10 185:10 187:15 205:15 241:2,6 256:21 265:12 311:9 315:4,7 315:15 324:5 325:16 325:22 328:15 329:4 329:9,11,16 330:2 332:8 333:20 336:2 337:15

clinically 91:4 330:16 clinically-oriented 199:2 clinician 60:18,19 61:4 197:14,15 200:20 206:15,21 207:21 208:3 224:21 226:11 226:17 341:5 clinicians 51:8 73:22 74:8 93:17 120:11 207:12 325:4 347:22 clinics 60:5,8,12 78:10 78:17,18 164:16 clock 86:10,11 close 36:2 117:20 294:16 297:8 351:8 closely 215:17 closing 266:7 clostridium 254:3 CMS 3:11,14,16,17 16:1 16:4 18:8 26:17 27:12 27:12 37:11 51:17 53:20 71:6 87:18 104:19 106:19 107:17 108:16,17 122:11 125:1 126:11 127:5 127:12 133:8 136:6 138:18 139:6,13 142:9 143:15 155:9 155:19 157:1 162:18 168:12 169:4 172:20 173:16 174:12 188:5 199:5,19 202:21 207:15 209:10 211:3 214:13 221:10 222:2 248:12 250:8 253:10 263:4,7 280:2 286:11 289:1 296:7 318:19 321:18 334:4 345:21 346:6 CMS's 320:10 **CNML** 2:4 co-chair 14:22 23:14 63:8 98:18 112:15 128:11 129:1,5,7 146:7 208:3,7,9,11 255:16 265:20 267:17 268:16 276:8 279:11 280:9 281:8,20 282:3 282:7,21 283:8,11,14 284:1 285:7 286:1 287:19 298:22 301:5 301:13,16,19 302:10 305:5,18 306:19 Co-Chairs 14:14 16:21 38:18 Coalition 1:15,19 10:12 11:4

Coast 344:3 code 71:8 115:9,14,14 163:1 229:4 coded 113:10 114:13 codes 156:12,16 162:13,14,17 163:6 164:11,21 Coding 115:9 coefficients 250:4,17 collaborate 143:15 collaboration 2:3 7:18 172:13 177:22 178:1 collaborative 175:1 colleague 348:22 colleagues 20:20 25:2 26:6,16 37:2,11 123:13,13 258:1 collect 159:14 286:15 **collected** 168:4,5 **collecting** 17:14 155:12 170:4 274:4 collection 111:11 collects 250:12 **College** 5:11 14:7,17 54:5 119:5 126:18 141:21 142:14 collegial 38:13 colligation 158:12 colonoscopy 60:16 75:17 combating 252:17 combination 185:22 191:6 combines 98:15 come 6:15 13:16 27:14 39:13 42:8 46:4 60:15 62:6,6 70:6 71:5 73:16 112:14 149:9 149:18 165:10 196:22 226:17 237:8 255:11 290:1 291:13 294:16 298:8 322:2 336:8 348:18 comes 40:6 60:4 64:12 77:14 138:10 150:13 226:12 286:4 295:14 344:17 comfortable 21:18 comforted 168:4 **coming** 64:16 69:14 72:13 75:20 77:5 78:22 90:3 91:9 102:12 219:12 226:6 234:11 278:15 320:1 324:13 328:20 332:8 334:22 commend 334:4 comment 23:7 37:15,16

38:2 40:1,5,10,18 41:15 48:22 52:16 61:13 79:10 80:17 111:16 126:7 139:2 141:9,11,13,19 142:17 153:6 159:10 169:9 170:3,18 172:10,12,14 180:13 182:6 185:18 186:4 188:7 227:22 228:2,7 228:7,22 231:17 235:15 236:18 237:2 243:8 262:2 265:3,15 283:15,18,19 284:3 285:6,8 309:12 311:3 312:6 313:14,18 322:9 335:19,21 338:1,3,7,11,16 339:11 341:12 350:18 350:19 commentary 105:7 commented 65:21 210:13 298:11 commenter 51:2,5 156:19,22 159:11 160:17 172:17,19 262:18,19 263:5 310:8 312:11 commenters 20:21 50:20 188:20 261:6 261:20 commenting 37:17 174:19 comments 13:21 23:2,8 23:11 26:16 38:4 40:4 40:7,21 41:2,4,8 42:6 42:8,13 43:5,6,21 49:14 50:2,18 52:2 53:11 54:4 59:11,13 71:12 95:16 102:19 110:17 111:6 112:18 124:5,9 134:2 141:16 143:18 156:9 158:2 158:20 160:15 164:8 165:21 170:15,16,20 170:22 171:1 174:10 174:10,20,22 178:5 182:4,7,8 184:13 187:5,22 188:7,19 219:10 232:9,10 234:20 235:11,12 242:17 257:16 260:22 261:1,18 262:4,10 266:14 276:9,16,20 283:15,16 310:8 311:13,22 320:15,16 322:6,20 323:2 325:2 336:14 338:5 341:7

346:18 347:3 Commission 103:22 291:4 292:5,11 294:20 committed 291:7 committee 5:12 7:2,21 12:1,3,8 14:6 18:12 20:13 22:12 28:14 31:9 34:3,4 38:13,17 86:2,5,20 90:22 140:4 142:1 149:13 150:10 150:12,19 151:21 154:20 178:17 180:16 197:18 215:14 229:14 242:11,12 251:17 255:16 258:3 271:13 281:7 299:16 321:11 334:19 335:2 344:22 **Committee's** 152:20 Committees 6:4 common 184:7 273:1 commonly 46:11 108:15 commonplace 76:13 Commonwealth 255:11 communicate 89:3 229:8 communicating 253:4 communication 52:1 52:10,12 96:10,14 98:10 99:5 107:22 135:6.7 communications 103:9 108:11 133:15 community 47:13 104:13 120:4 259:21 community-based/p... 96:1 comorbid 273:1 **comp** 80:2 company 113:22 comparable 308:18 comparative 114:3 Compare 46:14 107:7 240:19 246:9 259:9 Compare's 248:21 compares 286:8 comparison 270:16 298:13 comparisons 213:10 compelling 321:2 competes 265:4 competing 134:14 135:18 138:5 297:8 298:1 complete 223:10 265:14 completed 25:7 33:4

35:14 158:19 174:15 327:21 completely 115:6 165:4 194:17 195:20 221:21 completes 227:18 completion 157:6 168:10 171:4,8 complex 192:13 compliance 290:7 complicated 236:5 315:18 350:11 complication 54:1 157:20 184:7 complications 156:1 158:1,17 167:16 compliment 320:10,11 component 96:9 202:17 components 52:11 56:8 96:21 265:7 270:20 composite 51:17 54:17 54:19 55:10,16,21 56:2,8,11,13,15,16,19 98:15 131:13,14 132:19.20 133:1 140:22 147:14 173:10 173:12 compromise 160:16 161:4 **compute** 97:13 computed 50:6 146:18 conceive 210:1 concept 20:17 26:13 122:14 123:4,6,14 126:17 127:1 211:22 212:11 246:16 318:15 concepts 123:14 269:8 289:11,14 conceptual 127:11 conceptually 219:5 concern 35:17 41:13 93:16 94:3 112:7 117:6 137:3 162:7 180:14 182:12 188:13 235:17 237:3 262:5 265:4,6,9 266:13 269:11 287:15,17 293:18 310:9 323:14 concerned 51:6 66:13 67:9 122:5 135:10 161:20 278:9 concerning 163:13 272:20 273:17 **concerns** 50:15,20 53:12 54:5 111:16 123:2 172:17 180:19 181:3,5 182:2,10,17

183:21 216:11,13 226:21 229:20 238:18 239:3 concludes 114:7 140:6 conclusion 79:12 135:9 298:8 concur 102:7 condition 56:13 73:12 77:10,11 78:6 89:17 90:7 132:22 150:22 151:6,16,17 152:7,15 153:11 167:17 168:9 226:20 240:15 268:20 269:21 280:14 281:12 281:15 282:12,17 283:13 290:12 302:2 303:10 308:15 325:3 326:4 335:14 conditional 24:6 26:20 26:22 27:4,10,12 29:2 29:4 50:4 51:15 53:10 54:18 55:9,10,11,12 55:14 56:1,7,10 87:14 89:17 110:14 116:20 124:15,19,20 128:1,4 129:16 130:18 131:7 131:12 140:18.21 146:14 147:10,13 151:5 152:6 153:17 154:16 157:5 166:19 168:2 171:3,7 177:12 181:2,4 182:1,21 183:4,13,20 184:4,11 226:15,19 227:3,5,6 227:12,15 235:4 238:17 239:2,9,13,16 260:13 276:16 281:9 281:18,22 282:8,15 282:15 283:3 292:2 295:9 299:4,9,13 300:3,6,10 301:1 304:14,15 305:7 326:6,8 327:1 328:1 conditionally 27:19 28:16 124:8 128:7 132:18 146:17 150:16 151:12,13 152:11 153:9 290:5 303:2,13 conditions 60:9 69:8 122:3 127:12 151:15 157:1 161:22 162:2,3 163:10 164:4 165:14 177:13 182:16 192:3 202:13 260:4 261:15 262:17 270:22 272:22 274:15 281:10 299:11 303:14 304:2,6,16,20 305:1

conditions-kind 71:7 **conducted** 345:18 conducts 316:11 Conference 1:8 confined 160:5 confirm 162:11 181:13 confirmed 25:5 confirms 33:11 conflict 13:19 16:11.14 16:15 142:3 286:13 confused 75:3 134:20 137:21 267:6 285:12 287:20 313:16 confusing 65:13 134:15 137:19 246:17 confusion 6:8 67:6 130:13,20 148:7 173:22 258:19 269:6 conjunction 142:14 connection 346:19 347:20 consensus 22:13 33:13 47:1 82:2,5 109:14 150:19 151:21 175:13 175:14 271:3 consent 22:16 23:16 31:5,8,20 32:7 33:3,6 33:7,9,15 34:14 35:13 35:15 36:15 41:9 44:11 47:21 52:20 53:4,5,7 55:2 57:4,8 58:6,9,10 83:18,20,22 124:6 140:9,13,17,21 141:6 143:21 144:1,7 144:9,11,17 145:18 146:3,5,22 147:16 148:10,20 149:7,9,15 170:12 171:3,14,16 175:17 176:2,10 177:7,11 179:2,15 180:17 182:20 183:10 184:9 185:1,4,7 186:19 188:2,20 189:8 190:7,8 200:21 206:6 210:11 224:19 227:11,19,20 237:15 237:19 238:7 239:22 241:19 260:9,12 263:12 265:18.21 289:22 308:20 339:14 339:17 350:5 consequence 66:18 251:22 consequences 35:18 80:15 224:8 231:15 235:17 242:19 254:2 255:22 consider 27:9 38:4

51:18 86:1 96:11 133:8,9 140:1 173:17 200:22 202:16 213:20 216:6 251:19 263:8 309:22 316:16 335:21 consideration 7:22 18:6 21:7 23:19,21 24:10 25:4 26:5,7 28:1,5,9,12,15 30:8 31:7,13 32:14,17,20 34:1 133:21 134:7 139:6 156:5 179:8 181:11 187:11 204:2 207:19 236:15 257:1 260:11 276:5 309:16 310:21 considerations 40:8 considered 215:15 216:8 281:6 316:20 317:1 318:4 considering 53:4 139:14 181:12,16,20 204:7 205:1 216:3 244:14 252:13,22 280:4 282:12 316:21 339:7 consistency 249:4 consistent 32:1 37:13 196:9 206:11 246:14 250:14 267:5,13 consists 52:11 consortium 174:17 constitute 233:6 constructed 122:18 consultation 272:13 consumer 10:20 123:13 126:13 161:1 246:18 consumers 46:21 94:9 112:8 126:14,16 133:19 155:13 246:11 contact 76:19 272:7,7 content 284:13 288:13 contention 214:1 contentious 34:6 35:3 context 111:4 113:1 117:18 continental 351:6 continue 39:6 122:14 123:7 124:1 125:21 143:14 180:18 204:6 249:13 285:22 326:12 328:7 348:17 continued 24:9 148:17 174:7 175:5 176:12 185:8 233:20 309:1 311:16 312:17,21 327:8,15,17 333:1 334:8,21 335:11

337:21 339:14,17 340:5 continues 110:5 339:4 345:13 continuing 101:14 264:2,14 327:10 332:21 337:21 continuous 20:17 36:13 contract 108:2 318:7,10 318:15 323:18 contractor 116:5 142:18 319:5 contraindications 310:10 contrast 178:7,21 190:11 208:18 225:8 240:1 contribute 22:6 183:7 contributes 263:15 contributing 349:5 control 2:14 9:4 219:1 controversy 35:9 123:1 314:4 315:14 conversation 34:16 35:3.16 39:11 51:19 53:1 60:17 62:20.22 65:5 66:2,4,22 67:18 67:20 69:5,7,16,22 72:4 73:1 83:16 156:8 198:16 201:22 213:19 265:18 269:5 298:19 304:9 conversations 34:5 61:15 62:9 65:6,20,22 68:373:4138:9 213:13 233:2 334:11 convinced 248:15 convincing 321:1 cooperation 191:8 coordinate 181:7 197:10 212:9 coordinated 229:13 coordinating 18:12 28:14 34:3,4 140:3 142:1 149:13 150:9 150:12,18 151:21 154:20 197:17 212:16 coordination 47:14 48:20 52:7,15 177:22 178:1 180:3 184:20 186:12 187:3,18 191:8,22 203:20 204:21 217:1 224:12 260:20 263:20 271:12 308:6 **cope** 315:17 **COPs** 289:13

cord 182:11 228:10,14 229:22 230:18,22 231:3 233:5,11 234:3 234:9 242:19 244:22 core 3:10 102:14 277:6 277:8 294:2 315:4,9 323:19 Coronary 187:14 correct 10:2 69:8 73:9 104:16,19 108:4 116:1 118:3,8 153:9 165:16 195:15 215:4 280:9 314:12 326:15 336:9 correctional 253:9 correctly 103:13 192:20 193:1 correlated 49:22 99:14 cost 47:10 156:1,1 172:18 180:15 192:14 202:16,17,20 209:6 211:1,7,8,13 214:11 215:10,11 216:6,14 216:19 217:9 219:8 220:1,5,8,9,17 222:9 223:2 224:15 252:21 cost-ineffective 91:5 cost/resource 179:18 costs 139:9 191:11 213:4 217:14 220:12 220:16 222:10 223:3 Council 252:15 counsel 2:17 5:20 counseling 77:17 counting 16:18 country 91:21 93:11 95:12 101:20 233:14 333:15 couple 62:18 106:8 198:17 245:4 253:12 276:22 286:6 322:22 323:1 335:12 coupled 90:8 course 91:11 98:18 106:7 293:21 Court 344:4 cover 74:21 323:3 335:15 coverage 157:1 161:22 162:2 163:10 164:5 165:14 278:19 covered 74:14,15,19 265:8 338:13 covers 74:16 338:12 344:13 **CPT** 156:16 162:13,17 crazy 135:14 create 42:2 51:7 65:12

98:6 269:10 293:17 301:1 310:17 creates 293:13 creating 252:1 347:22 credibility 30:12 credit 204:11 271:20 Cristie 2:6 8:18 167:3 197:1 279:13 281:1 292:3 criteria 19:20 22:21 29:3,16 30:9 127:20 142:4 257:6 287:18 304:16 critical 46:19,22 47:16 61:17 66:1 70:12 76:19 119:14 123:3 126:4 155:16 164:5 178:17 191:12 241:11 247:9,13 259:14 263:17 307:9,15,19 308:9 316:22 350:14 critically 325:15 343:11 Cross 2:7 9:16 cross-cutting 74:3 197:6 crucial 331:4 CS 1:21 CT 50:22 51:8 53:16 54:1 113:1,20 114:8 114:12.20 116:2 119:17 121:21 125:11 178:7 190:11 207:2 208:14,19 214:8 218:1,2 220:9 221:12 225:3.8 240:1 CTs 142:6 cue 140:10 145:22 cultural 80:11,13 103:22 culture 61:14 62:1 68:2 76:11,20 79:15 80:6 80:20 102:21 109:2 109:11 347:16 cultures 347:22 curious 40:6 61:10 134:20 269:8 current 21:9 64:4 96:11 118:6 129:21 163:19 208:14 235:6 242:4 243:5 277:22 292:1 293:5 currently 12:19 48:13 50:8 51:4 56:11 64:8 85:8 104:21 110:14 111:8,11 120:17 124:18 155:8 156:13 158:19 162:9 170:7 179:7 183:9,16,22

(202) 234-4433

193:22 194:6 199:15 202:9 208:4 224:6 231:13 241:22 256:4 256:20 291:14 294:19 299:3 curtail 43:6 customer 106:1 cut 197:20 341:1 cycle 258:3 328:5 cystoscopy 184:2 D D.C 1:9 Dan 112:19 117:8 121:14 125:12 232:15 232:19 256:11,12,14 257:12,22 329:6 331:16 Dana 7:11,13 12:16,17 72:20 73:11 101:16 152:4 Danforth 1:15 11:1.1 245:4 246:3 249:19 316:19 317:5 318:2 318:18 319:11 dangers 234:8 Daniel 2:13 9:3 28:2 data 13:1 46:15 53:19 97:13 111:11 113:5,6 113:9.12.17.21 114:18 115:11 121:19 125:13,14,22 126:3,3 159:13 168:7 170:4 181:3,5,7 182:2,17 195:8 196:3.6 206:8 214:16,18,19 217:11 218:17 222:18 226:21 226:22,22 238:17 239:3 255:13 257:3,5 259:11,13 274:5 311:9 315:4,7,10 321:19 324:3,12,14 328:15,20 332:8 333:9 339:5,8 date 163:12 252:12 254:16 255:5 David 1:17 9:6 59:10,11 319:20 322:21 326:21 332:19 342:21 343:16 343:17 345:6 347:1 **Davis** 3:13 228:8,9,9 229:2,12 231:16 232:1,6,8,14,20 233:1 234:18 235:2,8,10 day 4:20 39:4 41:6 188:14 240:9 260:5 272:7 278:22 332:6 334:16 338:11 340:10

341:21 347:13 349:21 days 19:2 20:11 37:18 37:22 38:20 67:21 179:19,20 214:20,21 215:6,7 258:17 266:17 278:4,16 344:18 deadline 41:14 deal 78:11 193:2 197:17 209:14 230:4 233:16 236:8 dealing 252:3 299:7 deals 157:4 158:22 316:7 dealt 244:18 death 349:6 deaths 273:4 December 1:6 240:19 decent 248:2 decide 27:13 29:3 34:4 67:14 86:6 222:19 250:1 293:5 296:15 297:11 deciding 288:1 decile 193:13.13 decision 22:10 24:5 25:12 26:12.14 27:5 29:10 30:13 33:22 81:10 114:5 126:4 143:5,7,11 153:15 302:5 decisions 13:6,14 34:2 34:8 41:9 75:22 76:1 155:15 163:17 decrease 99:15 158:10 Dedicated 1:18 deep 122:2 default 154:3 defend 281:13 deferring 117:8 deficit 115:10 define 72:2 defined 71:1 137:11 defines 253:3 defining 253:3 definitely 248:22 336:3 definition 254:19 263:6 334:21 definitional 25:8 248:3 definitions 247:22 degree 213:1 delay 218:17 deliberation 41:18 deliberations 27:3 38:5 40:17 41:1,2 160:4 **deliver** 94:10 deliverable 37:10 **delivered** 179:19

309:19 339:21 delivery 138:17 180:4 317:1,8 318:3 319:17 Delores 265:22 demographic 328:21 demonstrate 307:21 demonstrated 49:7 91:4 denominator 24:19 79:22 88:5 96:20 156:15,18 162:21 department 46:19 47:7 50:6 52:10 74:21 75:7 75:8 92:8 113:2 140:20 284:8 311:7 340:3 departments 14:9 74:19 95:6 107:13 111:20 113:17 114:15 253:7 **departure** 128:12 dependent 276:17 depending 119:7 195:15 215:21 depends 129:3 304:21 depression 192:10 269:2 273:10 derived 49:16 94:22 99:7 140:16 dermatologist 71:21 dermatology 78:18 describe 96:5 246:19 299:10 described 31:4 304:19 325:13 describing 138:12 description 44:22 45:2 81:5 deserves 121:16 designated 38:2 designed 190:19 desirable 295:3 desire 243:4 desk 80:18 desperately 236:3 despite 112:13 230:20 detail 21:4,17 105:8 330:9 detailed 12:4 20:9 265:1 326:18 details 5:15 100:7,10 214:2 detect 184:3,6 determine 32:7,8,11,13 193:8,9 195:17 determined 41:21 241:7 308:10 determines 32:16

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc.
determining 34:17 121:20 develop 8:1 96:13 142:20 294:7 300:21 developed 24:2,4 25:9 25:10,13 47:22 52:21 53:14 133:5 142:16 144:14 159:15 177:8 177:16 229:5 264:4 271:3 317:7 318:22 319:3 developer 7:19 85:22 86:6 116:4 162:11 229:9 329:8 335:21 developers 38:1,18 143:2 319:9 328:16 345:21 developing 36:14 256:20 279:7 development 24:3,8,9 24:16 25:3 26:1,11,13 51:16 55:15 56:1,10 122:15 131:13 132:19 133:7 134:5,8,12 140:22 143:14 144:16 144:16 147:13 148:16 148:18 172:7 174:8 175:5 176:12 177:9 185:5.8 241:16 309:1 311:12,16,17 312:18 312:21 313:1.10 315:1 318:4,10,11,14 319:18 327:15,17,21 331:17 332:5,15,21 333:1,18,22 334:8,21 335:11 336:6 337:22 339:7,14,18 340:5 diagnoses 113:9 122:3 262:22 diagnosis 271:19 Diagnostic 114:6 dialed 37:21 42:15 diathesis 158:12 dictating 222:21 diff 252:3 254:6 345:15 difference 65:9 221:15 277:20 349:6 differences 38:12 different 6:6 23:18.22 24:1 28:1 62:17 65:7 67:7,8,11,11 72:1 80:3,3 81:15 119:4 125:4 134:16 135:13 135:17 136:12 137:7 142:19 150:14 164:19 177:13 188:19 204:10 204:11 214:4,4 228:22 246:14,21

247:14 248:16 274:8 279:8 292:9 295:4 303:3 314:5 330:7 340:12 349:15 differentiating 334:10 differently 73:21 248:17 279:22 280:18 298:18 differs 271:13 difficile 254:3 difficult 63:14 64:3,11 78:3 115:9,11 300:20 difficulties 229:7 difficulty 63:21 154:2 181:6 229:10 234:21 digest 177:2,3 digesting 177:4 dilemma 89:13 90:7 diminish 302:3 diminishes 302:8 dipping 164:15 direct 54:21 142:3 186:7 218:13 310:16 312:8 directed 61:1 124:9 Directing 95:3 direction 27:11,12 80:7 80:19 81:2 101:9,13 270:18 276:7 295:2 328:7 334:5 336:3 directionality 236:17 directions 275:13 directive 67:15 75:5,9 77:9,16 78:13 81:7,17 157:3 163:21 222:13 directives 62:5,7 66:13 79:4 161:21 162:5 163:14 272:3 308:9 directly 16:22 19:9 45:3 45:11 254:4 director 2:16,18 5:11 11:2 14:17 15:22 16:3 17:22 directs 98:8 disability 185:14 disagree 61:12 139:13 222:2,14 299:22 disagreeing 66:12 248:14 249:3 333:16 disagreement 233:3 discharge 133:15,16 135:8 179:21 224:14 261:5,10,11 264:3 267:8 270:1,20 271:19 272:3 274:12 274:19 278:2 285:4 306:2,6,7 335:16 discharged 74:20 125:9

260:17 315:17 discharges 261:8 305:11 306:2,12 disclose 6:3,10,22 7:10 7:16,19 8:5,9,14,17 8:20 9:2,5,8,12,15,17 9:20 10:7,10,13,17,22 11:5,9 12:6 13:3 14:21 15:13,19 16:9 disclosure 6:20 7:3 12:4,13 15:4 36:12 48:1 disclosures 5:21 6:6 discord 289:2 discover 322:1 discrepancies 37:6 discretion 32:10 41:11 discuss 17:2 27:2 32:6 35:7,8,21 discussant 115:5 160:1 266:1 discussants 31:18,20 31:21 35:6 112:16,17 173:2 discussed 32:9 70:3 93:6 140:3 160:1 172:9 174:2 discussing 19:11 40:20 253:14 discussion 19:12 20:3 21:15,16,19 23:17 24:18,22 31:14 32:4 33:18 37:7,12 38:11 39:4 44:6 45:16,21 58:11,16 64:15 77:9 81:9,20 85:15 94:16 112:9,14 120:20 123:18 132:7 144:19 164:19 166:21,22 168:15,17 170:9 174:21 188:21 189:2 200:18 210:9 212:2 223:7 244:10 258:20 262:10 265:2 266:20 267:18 268:12 270:12 279:12 282:4 284:13 284:20,22 285:13 301:14 316:1 322:9 322:13 327:22 328:11 339:2 347:17 discussions 59:10 63:5 70:9 81:13 140:7 160:4 282:9 Disease 2:13 9:4 diseases 192:2 disorder 273:15 disorders 263:11 disparities 47:7 308:5

343:1 348:22 disparity 310:3 disposition 23:18 32:8 32:13 33:19 dispositions 24:1 disproportionate 47:8 disruptive 40:10 259:1 distinction 304:14 dive 177:3 divided 203:6 Division 9:1 doc 65:12 doctor 63:2 78:12 98:1 document 163:18 257:17 documentation 157:2 163:21 documented 64:8 66:17 113:19 documents 288:5 dog 201:19 **DOI** 17:14 doing 7:3 36:9 69:2 79:4 93:19 116:2 126:21,21 175:7 206:6 217:20 237:13 247:13,14 296:2,12 307:12 318:22 326:9 326:10,11,13,17 327:6,8 328:4 348:9 dollars 15:2 domain 49:16 94:22 140:16 195:13 205:16 206:14 domains 194:18 196:1 214:4 dominant 266:16 **Don** 3:12 338:7,9 donator 250:18 Donna 2:3 7:14,17 68:13,19 161:12,13 165:9,14 167:3,4 169:11 Donna's 168:4 169:10 door 185:9 dosing 310:19 340:1 double 164:14 double-dipping 223:20 doubt 336:7 downloaded 45:20 downstream 99:20 **Dr** 8:6,10,15 9:3,6,9,16 10:8,14 11:10 13:4 14:3 15:9,21 16:2 26:19 28:3 29:6,14 30:3,19 48:7 53:9 57:10,18 61:6,9,12 62:11,15 63:21 66:10

67:17 68:14,18 69:19 70:16,19,22 73:18 74:16 77:3 79:9 80:10 83:4,7,10 88:3 90:12 90:16,17 91:20 92:11 93:3 95:18 96:4 97:4 97:8,15 100:4,6,12,15 100:22 102:12 104:17 105:2 106:12,18,19 106:21 107:1,2,4,5,14 107:16 108:4 110:16 110:21 111:3 112:19 115:5 117:9,13,22 118:4,9 119:1 120:19 121:15 122:20 124:13 126:11 127:9 132:13 137:5 139:2 144:3,6 144:21 145:5,9,15,18 152:17 153:3 154:7 154:11 160:9 164:9 164:22 165:3,8 168:22 172:3 173:3,7 173:19 175:12 192:20 196:14,19 205:22 208:17 213:22 215:8 215:16 217:7 219:20 220:3,6,22 221:5,19 223:16 227:5 235:16 243:13 245:14 247:4 247:21 264:9 266:2 270:6 273:21 275:5 276:19 281:17 282:5 286:5 287:22 288:4 288:14 293:15 294:18 296:6 301:21 302:12 303:4,8,11,15,18,21 304:3 311:20 314:2 314:18,21 315:13,22 317:3,16,20 318:17 319:6,15,21 322:12 322:18 323:17,21 324:19 325:17 326:15 327:11 328:11 329:7 329:13 330:11,15,22 331:3,8,12,14,19,22 332:7 335:10 343:16 343:19 345:7,20 346:4 348:21 draw 110:20 137:10 drive 119:11 126:1 161:9 214:6,16 234:13 241:4 253:11 258:5 337:2 driven 22:18 drivers 241:8 driving 106:6 211:11 218:13,14 drop 200:20

267:4,15 310:18,20 313:14 318:19 319:1 339:22 343:9,10 345:8 Drug-Eluting 187:14 dual 11:12 48:20 88:21 dual-eligible 102:13 duals 271:5 277:4 278:17 288:8 due 228:15 231:15 298:16 duplicate 69:2 duplicative 263:22 duress 61:21 dye 178:22 dysreflexia 233:16 Е **e** 343:5 e-metric 320:22 e-spec'd 314:16 e-specified 314:14 e-specifying 325:14 eager 89:9 212:14 eagerly 37:3 earlier 103:1 111:17 117:14 141:8 161:3 166:21 168:7 212:3 213:19 244:22 295:17 342:21 350:21 early 39:14 40:18 210:20 244:4 316:22 317:8 318:3 349:22 350:6 earn 195:13,18 216:1 earning 194:15 195:2 easier 6:13 180:22 274:1.6 easily 35:5 easy 87:4 93:14 97:22 138:4 172:3 289:21 eating 189:7 Ebola 256:17 echo 91:20 121:15 235:17 economic 13:19 economically 175:3 eCQMs 319:19 Ed 50:12 64:12 76:18 77:8,14 110:12 114:8 114:12 122:3 131:11 242:10 251:15 252:4 260:2 344:5,18 edematous 236:3 editor 114:1 editorial 113:22 114:5 **EDs** 47:9 119:3

drug 158:13 266:17,22

educate 233:12 234:7 348:7 education 340:13 **EDWARD** 3:20 effect 29:6 30:9 117:19 131:18 243:17 253:1 effective 90:6 91:4 108:20 115:17 253:16 effectively 89:3 343:3 effectiveness 108:10 114:3 205:16 253:19 effects 158:13 efficiency 50:11 53:15 119:8 120:22 121:2,8 121:12 125:1,3 126:12 133:18 139:19 142:13 178:12 203:4 203:8 212:4 213:11 218:4,6 221:8,9 223:22 224:3,7 308:4 311:7 efficient 183:7 209:5 217:12 263:16 291:15 efficiently 175:2 effort 16:19 38:9 124:14 139:16 142:8 175:1 213:16 221:11 efforts 19:8 47:14 328:7 **EHR** 4:16 64:4,9 80:1,5 126:3 307:8,21 308:2 324:3,10,11 325:22 EHRs 12:22 308:3 315:5 329:20 eight 19:4 166:15 Eisenberg 1:16 10:8,8 100:4,12,15 311:20 either 120:11 124:15,20 138:2 149:14 152:21 166:22 224:12 232:4 235:4 254:17 267:13 276:12 281:5,15 334:11 elective 179:3 317:1,8 318:3 319:17 electronic 123:9 126:3 307:13 314:17 323:12 329:5 electronically 125:22 element 23:4 173:18 250:9 287:4 296:19 296:22 elements 172:8 260:16 271:14 274:11 296:21 306:1 315:4 eligible 11:13 48:20 162:12 194:15 195:2 195:13,19 204:10 215:22 216:16 307:18

307:19 eliminate 119:6 240:22 **ELISA** 2:18 eloquently 347:2 elucidate 116:16 332:1 eMeasure 309:3,5,9,10 310:1,5 311:1,2,12 313:4,8 315:2 316:21 317:2,7,9 318:4 320:3 320:9,18 321:14 339:3,19 eMeasures 308:17 emergencies 230:6 emergency 9:11 14:4,7 14:8 46:19 47:6 50:6 51:7 52:9 54:5 74:19 74:21 75:7 111:20 113:2,16,17 114:15 119:20 126:19 140:20 141:21 253:6 311:7 340:3 343:21 emergency-type 233:17 Emma 1:22 10:18 24:14 57:19 58:2 102:17 109:3 116:10 160:3 160:13 211:16 222:15 248:10 332:16 Emma's 213:22 emphasize 277:22 employees 2:1 9:14 104:9 employer 11:21 encapsulated 304:6 encompass 293:22 294:3 encourage 20:8 21:12 22:2 24:9,10 26:10 34:13 38:11,13 61:14 62:9 65:17 126:11 134:4,6 138:20 144:16 148:17 175:5 176:12 184:20 185:8 187:10,18 191:22 309:1 311:17 312:20 312:22 313:10 320:7 326:10 328:10,16,19 332:2,11 334:20 335:10 349:10 encouraged 339:14,17 340:4 345:17 encouragement 311:15 337:21 encourages 186:11 encouraging 63:5 139:21 327:8 328:8,9 337:13 endarterectomy 199:1

ended 23:7 318:8 341:4 347:12 endlessly 41:18 endone 233:20 endorse 27:4 302:14 endorsed 28:8 29:1,5,8 29:9,18 56:12,20 91:15 102:2 117:17 121:4 131:15 151:2 156:20 177:17 183:9 183:16,22 242:15 254:17 258:5 260:18 261:12 262:15 271:4 271:12 277:17 289:16 308:11 309:6,20 310:2 endorsement 26:22 27:9,10,11 28:7,11,17 28:20 29:15,17,19 30:1 50:4,15 55:12 56:8 90:22,22 116:15 117:12 118:7 130:19 132:21,22 140:18 141:1 146:15,18 147:14 182:22 183:14 184:5.12 228:22 229:3,9 232:12 239:14,18 289:6 290:18,20 291:12 297:15 302:13 309:11 309:14 311:2 334:1 334:14,19 335:2 337:5 endorsing 228:18 243:12 302:20 endoscopy 63:10 endovascular 185:9 engage 34:16 109:4 engaged 308:5 engagement 47:12 48:12 49:19 126:13 156:2 259:20 318:21 347:15,16,21,21,22 engagements 12:10 engineering 247:11 Engler 1:17 9:6,6 282:18 283:1,10,12 319:21 322:12,18 345:7.20 enhance 47:13 324:3 324:22 enhanced 19:12 330:8 enhancement 324:15 enhancements 20:3,10 20:14 39:6 enhancing 39:2 52:15 enormous 71:15 343:4 343:7

ensure 52:7 136:11 168:3 259:16 308:17 ensuring 38:19 88:22 191:16 260:20 enter 212:15 268:2 entered 113:10 enters 194:13 entertain 305:8 entire 99:8 152:20 201:8 210:11 242:22 entirely 39:17 104:5 261:21 entity 6:14,16 74:15 environment 36:13 64:4 68:7 71:17 80:1 80:5 81:14 98:7 266:15 268:10,21 315:16 environments 268:14 316:2 envision 211:10 245:11 envisioning 65:5 epidemiologist 9:4 episode 214:22 215:7 ER 65:11 66:5 Erin 2:19 17:18 22:15 34:11 39:10 40:20 47:19 131:22 133:2 162:15 181:13 311:21 312:4 313:13 314:2 314:11 err 76:10 error 10:22 164:11 312:1 313:18 especially 12:8 109:4 115:21 209:21 347:8 essence 270:18 essential 1:17 9:7 213:7 275:2 essentially 66:16 67:5 336:20 establish 80:12 253:20 established 143:7 155:12 195:7,16 196:5 establishes 33:12 establishing 259:20 302:2 estimated 116:5 et 250:4 etcetera 99:6,6 evaluate 213:6 evaluating 14:8 114:5,8 177:18 evaluation 53:20 156:12,16 162:13 163:6 311:6 312:13 316:10 340:2

event 136:13 231:1 310:20 313:14 318:19 319:1 343:9 events 310:19 339:22 343:10 345:8 everybody 18:2 22:5 65:1 76:16 134:16 151:7 161:19 240:8 293:4 314:9 351:4 everybody's 68:22 everyone's 24:13 43:13 evidence 50:21 51:11 57:22 119:15 321:2 349:1 evidence-based 114:4 127:14 241:2 evolving 349:16 exact 106:9 exactly 107:1 127:18 152:18 214:2 244:2,3 312:11 328:3 331:12 examination 117:1 184:16 **example** 136:19,21 198:18 204:21 205:2 examples 255:9.17 258:9 excellent 257:8 exceptionally 115:8 **exchange** 308:13 excited 39:21 excluded 163:4 165:17 234:4.5 **exclusion** 115:15 127:15 exclusions 115:8 142:10 231:4 261:7 exclusive 268:7 exclusively 163:2 excuse 135:22 271:11 276:20 executed 163:20 executive 14:19 252:18 253:3 Executives 2:5 10:16 existence 243:21 existing 22:20 181:10 202:20 264:1,21 265:4 269:12 274:8 exists 286:14,18,21 expand 49:11 expanded 242:7 266:21 expect 6:14 34:7 256:22 expectancy 272:5 expectation 274:20 expected 33:22 38:3,14 68:8 155:10 236:7,9

278:4 experience 8:3 20:19 71:10 133:11 136:15 137:11,14 172:15 259:19 experienced 19:14 expert 7:12 8:2 9:11,22 12:12,19 14:1,4,11 62:3 89:6 101:11 114:3 expertise 15:11 87:16 experts 2:9 6:6 7:8 11:16,17 12:15 14:13 14:15 15:6 115:21 117:3 explain 98:1 312:2 323:22 explained 67:12 explaining 31:15 93:20 explanation 54:11 explicitly 209:19 explore 127:6 exploring 122:12 exposed 67:7 exposure 117:5 178:21 expressed 298:13 326:21 extend 18:20 extensive 18:22 19:7 53:19 69:21 81:20 extensively 13:2,6 19:4 93:6 extent 265:13 286:20 **external** 49:4,5 54:15 55:8 87:10 140:14 146:8 extra 100:1 extract 325:21 330:1 extracted 315:5 329:20 extremely 23:9 **eye** 157:11,19 F **FAAN** 1:21 face 164:10 303:20 facelift 248:22 **FACEP** 2:11 faces 5:22 **FACHE** 2:2 facilitate 52:22 191:8 265:18 facilitating 263:20 308:13 facilities 52:12 74:13 74:22 104:8,12 112:3 126:21 203:21 251:13

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC 253:8,9 264:5 271:8

274:16

facility 4:13 75:12 91:10 103:20 104:6 186:12 206:9,13,18 207:2,8,9,10 250:13 250:16 259:6 261:16 266:6 285:16 305:11 306:3,12 335:17 facility-based 73:22 **FACP** 1:13 FACS 1:11 fact 63:1 69:14 93:15 94:17 103:18 113:12 114:18 117:10 121:17 211:12 221:14 230:20 269:11 273:3 282:12 292:11 298:21 312:7 323:3,6 333:8 factor 28:10 266:16 327:20 349:18 factored 30:10 factors 119:21 320:5,14 320:20 321:2,17 324:5 327:3,5 328:21 329:9,16 330:2 333:20,20,21 336:2 347:1 failed 118:7 119:9,10 325:6 fair 138:22 fairly 24:20 168:22 250:7 291:6 faith 334:8 fall 19:19 22:19 88:5 120:11 151:7 190:20 260:11 284:9,9,14,14 284:18,21,21,21 falls 85:21 285:3 343:11 falsely 200:17 familiar 5:22 44:7 214:10 340:15 families 1:22 10:19 48:19,21 180:11 212:14 family 47:11 49:18 156:2 180:12 288:7 308:6 310:4 347:15 347:20 family/caregiver 259:19 famous 63:9 70:3 far 60:13 99:19 104:12 128:13 142:16 216:17 236:21 237:1 318:15 332:13 344:6 fashion 123:8 favor 115:2 132:11 151:20 173:20 175:11 189:4 296:6,8,10

FCCM 2:10 FCCP 2:10 feasible 233:7 feasibly 325:21 329:19 feature 45:7 features 272:12 341:11 federal 2:12 6:9 15:18 18:6,13 20:20 21:8,11 25:2 29:11 163:1 Federation 2:5 8:22 285:11 290:3 fee 179:13 185:14 196:7 fee-for 195:7 fee-for-service 191:10 191:15 feedback 19:8 20:9 21:2 48:14 122:16 202:15 204:3 216:6 228:17 244:6 feel 28:20 31:21 35:6 43:12 67:19,22 68:11 72:10 136:6 151:9 164:5 217:4 230:19 257:21 267:11 298:19 299:21 feeling 120:3 138:17 feels 22:5 fellow 10:20 felt 28:22 123:16 230:7 230:13 330:16 fetus 310:12 fewer 57:13 field 68:5,10 230:21 233:11,12 295:20 300:21 fielding 135:11 Fields 1:18 8:6,6 90:17 93:3 302:12 303:4,8 303:11,15,18,21 304:3 Fifty-one 145:3 figure 65:16 198:10 222:9 236:5 294:17 297:9 file 45:21 fill 97:22 133:22 138:6 filling 52:13 98:19 167:11 204:19 fills 157:21 158:16 final 20:11 25:11 32:7 33:2,3,15,19,22 34:8 34:18 35:14 36:14 37:10,12 41:9 140:8 177:8 187:8 316:16 finalize 18:11 74:2 finalized 21:10,14 88:7 172:16 196:7 205:3 finally 19:21 32:22

35:10 38:6 49:15 141:3 156:22 179:15 184:1,14 186:19 188:9 262:11 263:12 300:22 311:5 financial 7:20 139:11 202:18 financials 215:19 find 45:13 61:17 97:20 104:14 106:2 115:13 138:1 160:15 249:13 250:18 346:7 348:7 **finding** 114:14 findings 51:10 121:20 122:1 fine 64:20 120:3 291:18 292:12 finer 218:11 finish 349:21 fire 231:7 first 6:12 20:2,7 21:21 22:15 27:7 36:8,16,20 39:11 40:15 42:7 44:3 44:9 47:19 48:8 51:22 61:11 67:21 69:20 70:2 87:2,17 105:17 109:9 112:16 117:8 124:14 143:3 156:5 157:8 159:21 160:1 161:18 165:10 167:4 168:21 170:10 173:2 177:7 181:4 182:22 187:12 190:8 194:12 197:11 202:5 206:19 228:6 244:9.13.20 245:6 260:11,15 265:18,21 266:3 268:17 270:6,16 279:15 281:5 286:6 289:22 307:11 309:2 310:9 311:21 319:16 320:8 321:15 331:1 333:2 338:13 340:8 345:2.5 fit 214:15 fits 94:16 260:19 336:14 349:10 five 53:21 93:9 116:6 119:16 125:8 133:9 133:11,14 144:11,12 148:20,21 149:2 173:14 177:7 182:7 185:1 fixed 176:19 flags 142:6 flash 226:6 flaw 122:6 310:15 312:11

flawed 312:9 flaws 122:2 flipping 124:3 floor 1:8 129:20 130:9 130:14 326:19 328:9 335:5 FMQAI 319:4,5 focal 115:9 focus 12:21 35:2 47:17 52:11 64:6 127:11 204:20 205:8 216:12 217:1,10 218:1 220:15 222:6 241:7 241:11 262:7 268:22 331:20 343:21 focused 79:6 273:10 330:13 339:4 focuses 46:17 47:2 99:4 259:9 310:22 focusing 203:10 218:2 folks 43:11 86:19 112:8 120:2 233:4 316:6 321:18 345:21 follow 22:2 75:13,22 121:6 133:16 188:16 304:22 follow-on 273:14 follow-up 47:12 155:22 188:15 192:14 272:9 272:10 279:4 318:18 341:8 followed 344:2 following 22:7 179:3 for-reporting 194:4 for-service 185:14 force 120:10 349:16 forefront 77:13 foreign 241:15 forgot 312:18 form 11:17,20 25:8 98:20 113:10 307:17 345:4 formal 32:13,15 33:12 176:1,4 299:2 formality 322:15 formally 342:7 format 20:1 former 114:1 314:15 forms 17:14 67:8,11 97:22 forth 60:11 82:3 91:10 108:12 134:17 135:8 325:11 Fortunately 159:17 **Forty-nine** 145:4,5 forum 1:1,8 5:5 30:15 174:4 233:9 forward 19:1 27:15

31:11 32:12 33:10 39:7,20 40:22 46:9 84:6 85:6 87:19 89:21 90:9 102:16 103:11 120:17 123:7 129:21 130:1,9 145:11 150:8 169:4 175:3 180:20 182:20 226:21 230:20 250:20 280:12 281:3 291:14 292:13 293:8 296:15,16 297:1,3 320:12 321:13 332:3 332:11 334:6 337:4 337:13 343:9 345:10 345:22 346:22 348:19 Foster 1:19 11:6,6 25:19 39:19 54:10,14 54:22 55:4,17 56:14 56:21 57:2,6 59:22 64:22 69:10 73:10,15 87:13 88:19 89:18 93:13 95:2 108:7 115:20 125:16 134:10 135:3 136:2 137:20 150:22 165:12 167:9 168:1 201:4 210:12 218:10 236:21 237:2 243:6 244:17 268:17 273:19,22 282:11,19 283:5 287:20 288:1 288:13.20 290:14 291:1,4,10,17,21 295:10 298:10 312:15 312:22 313:5,7,12,20 322:21 325:1 326:3 326:20 336:16 342:12 350:19 fostering 47:12 found 91:1 191:13 310:15 325:21 foundation 13:7,12 four 93:9 129:2 144:11 144:12,14,17 145:10 173:14 180:17 182:6 194:18 196:1 246:9 260:10 305:21 308:22 fourth 147:20,22 205:11 Fowler 2:9 13:4,4 57:10 57:18 96:4 97:4,15 107:16 137:5 173:19 314:2,18 315:13 fraction 91:22 92:6 93:4 fractions 91:2 92:14 93:1,4,9,10 fragmentation 180:4 frame 265:10 269:9,13 277:19

framed 302:2 framework 138:20 201:21 202:6 Frank 1:9,11 5:10,19 14:16 18:20 23:13 25:16 26:19 34:15 52:22 62:11 68:14 70:17 77:5 83:7,10 89:19 90:12 110:16 132:13 139:3 147:5 154:7 174:19 175:12 189:1 190:15 208:17 236:22 251:14 290:15 298:11 311:20 321:21 325:12 336:16 338:10 free 31:21 34:13 299:21 frequently 98:21 Friday 23:7 friend 342:13 friendly 282:20 friends 345:21 front 26:12,15 33:1 34:9 39:3 62:14 80:18 84:2 85:10 109:5 129:17 189:9 201:7 253:15 255:15 256:9 frustration 228:13 FTEs 220:18 fuel 231:6 **Fuld** 1:19 10:11,11 76:3 94:7 103:5 fulfills 260:19 261:13 262:15 full 5:7 36:12 38:16 45:1.9 54:10 83:1 98:21 174:15 181:11 243:11 fully 24:2,4 25:6,9,9,12 28:22 30:17 47:22 52:21 91:14 124:21 133:5 144:14 152:11 159:15 167:6 174:13 177:8,16 185:2 248:4 264:11 311:1 fully-specified 183:6 260:18 261:16 262:14 263:14 fun 110:20 function 76:15 functional 192:10 fundamental 113:3 114:11 122:6 223:17 fundamentally 274:13 346:13 funded 219:16,16 **funny** 48:4 154:8 further 24:10 27:15 117:1 120:7 134:6

144:16 156:19 164:8 187:11 236:14 311:17 312:22 313:10 336:6 337:5 furthering 134:12 future 27:16,22 134:9 138:14 155:10 308:12 318:15 319:18 G **G** 199:20 204:8 gain 115:13 gaining 226:22 gallery 42:9 game 293:10 gaming 120:10 Ganey 105:13 gap 49:8,19 52:6,14 94:11 112:1 125:21 133:22 138:13 157:22 158:16 180:1 187:16 201:1 203:18 252:10 256:4,10 257:18,22 260:19 261:13 262:15 267:21 268:10 317:12 317:15 321:22 322:11 322:13 333:5 343:4 344:21 348:14 gaps 18:10 47:5,10 94:16 123:21 167:11 178:11 184:18 190:20 202:9 204:19 241:13 259:22 260:6 319:18 341:14,18 342:1,6 349:22 gather 198:14 geared 218:5 gee 274:22 general 2:17 5:20 28:14 82:1,4 99:18 109:14 175:13,14 197:6 232:10 247:14 260:4 261:15 262:17 268:2 268:9 272:6 273:3 275:13 346:10 generalized 102:6 generally 38:14 49:1 50:19 157:19 185:18 186:4 187:5,21 188:7 202:10 261:19 262:6 262:18 309:13 generate 194:16 215:22 generating 202:19 216:15,17 geographically 247:8 geriatrics 15:10 getting 65:14 70:10 72:14 75:16 77:6,7,15

78:19 125:14 126:2 134:21 201:8 218:17 227:16 248:21 305:1 320:21 332:11,15 340:15,19 343:5,20 344:15 **Ginsburg** 3:14 135:21 135:22 136:5,6 174:11.12 give 7:4 36:10 44:5 47:18 77:17 90:4 155:3 161:15 231:9 242:5 293:3 294:8 310:2 325:22 350:6 given 62:22 63:15 165:15 246:4 248:20 301:2 308:10,11 gives 89:7 giving 23:10 303:6 gladly 337:8 glass 344:11 **global** 219:15,22 223:17,18,20 224:4 globally 223:3 go 5:15 6:1,2 7:5,15 12:14 16:20,22 18:9 21:13,17 22:3 23:11 24:14 40:22 41:8,17 44:16 45:3,4,6,11,12 45:13,16 46:5,6 47:19 55:1,3 56:12,14,16 58:17 61:10 63:16 65:7,14 77:2 98:21 101:10,13 102:11 104:7,16 106:14 109:14 112:15,17 120:17 121:4 129:12 129:14,18 130:12 149:5,17 153:5 160:1 161:20 168:12 174:16 180:20 185:3 190:17 210:3 211:13 218:22 219:1 238:4 243:14 243:16 244:8 257:16 258:17 260:8 264:7,8 265:19 268:11 270:2 279:1 290:22 296:20 297:9,11 302:15 304:7 307:10 312:4 318:17 331:17 337:13 337:22 338:18 342:7 344:6 346:14,22 350:17 goal 34:20 35:1 49:10 104:15 191:7 213:17 222:8 goals 46:20 240:21 308:1

God 79:3 goes 39:20 117:13 129:4 139:7,16 254:4 348:3 going 7:5 18:16,17 39:6 41:15 44:11 48:4 62:16 65:17 66:20,22 67:1,5,13 69:2 70:14 70:16 76:17,18 78:9 78:18,22 79:5,14 80:20 81:19 82:2,7,8 82:10 84:8 94:8,13 101:7 102:5,10 103:19 107:17,19 111:4,5 114:13 115:11,17 117:22 120:7,10,21 122:22 124:22 125:7 128:2 130:11 134:15 135:11 137:17,18 138:3 140:8 145:1,6 149:5 149:18 150:2,4,15 153:5 154:4 161:7.8,9 176:21 177:1 190:19 194:8 200:15,18 204:22 207:11 208:20 217:18 220:13,14,20 221:3,15 223:19 230:2,9 236:19 245:17 248:4,5 251:11 252:11.22 255:8 257:15 258:19 259:5 270:11 276:6 277:15 279:16 286:5 287:14 288:16 292:6 293:16 295:10 296:15 296:16,22 297:9,21 302:18 305:3 306:19 314:19 315:20 319:16 321:21 323:14,19,19 327:2,2,2 331:16,21 332:3,11 334:2 340:22 342:2 344:3 345:18,22 348:1 350:17 good 5:3 8:10 12:17 57:12 76:3,9 81:17 89:9,18 95:9 97:7,7 106:1 119:22 120:17 125:5,7 143:13 165:6 165:22 167:14,19 173:8 203:1 208:22 208:22 214:15,16 274:19 276:3 278:7 286:2,7 293:6 296:11 326:18 343:12 346:14 346:15 **Goodrich** 2:12 15:21,21

73:18 118:9 122:20 193:16,21 196:2,11 198:17 202:1 204:14 204:17 207:17 208:6 208:8,10 215:5,9 270:8 **qotten** 75:2 234:20 Government 2:12 6:9 15:18 grab 189:5 grade 95:21 graded 193:12 grant 14:8 grants 12:9 15:2 graphic 33:1 great 18:1,19 36:5 64:14 92:9 175:6 189:3 204:9 251:21 258:14 346:1,3 348:17,20 349:20 greater 33:10 99:10,12 116:5 149:15 ground 338:12 grounded 21:9 grounds 114:21 groundwork 328:4 group 2:7 8:19 11:3,13 16:1 17:20 29:7,9 32:12 40:3 41:13 42:20 87:3 102:13 104:7 128:3 135:12 150:13,19 156:16 157:22 173:11 177:21 184:19 187:16 197:13 197:14,16 199:14 204:22 206:11,16,17 207:7,22 208:4 228:12 231:11 252:12 253:15 257:10 263:18 288:11 323:22 334:12 341:8 344:12 348:19 group-identified 158:16 groups 6:7 123:10 203:7 341:5 growing 345:16 349:1 349:12 growth 191:11,20 guarantee 350:2 guarding 209:17 guess 46:7 75:2,10 88:19 90:7 107:17 116:12 138:7,11 197:2,7,10 198:3 202:4 207:15 220:11 233:3,8 275:5,6 279:14 280:1 296:4 guidance 39:1 105:4

112:22 150:10 197:21 303:7 guide 19:12 20:3 24:18 44:6 45:16,21 111:18 143:8 222:3 266:20 guideline 121:6 143:3 guidelines 53:18 57:22 92:3 111:18 116:18 119:4,6,11 120:1 125:6,7,12 142:5 241:3 guiding 28:15 221:10 guilty 246:20 guinea 39:18 guys 17:13 180:21 231:6,16 Н HAC 4:11 107:9 240:11 240:16,18,22 241:1,4 241:9,20 242:22,22 243:7 244:12 245:7 245:12 250:22 341:9 342:5 hair 159:4,6 168:19 171:18 half 144:22 157:12 160:6 218:16 273:4 332:6 Hammersmith 2:17 5:16,19,20 10:3 11:15 13:22 14:10 15:5,14 16:5 hand 132:10,14 175:12 handled 196:22 hands 32:11 58:17 132:12 175:10 hang 90:14 Hanrahan 1:21 11:10 11:10 102:12 267:19 300:7 348:21 happen 64:15,18 65:18 67:8 76:22 79:14 247:17 297:5 322:5 happened 61:19 happening 63:14 73:5 344:12 happens 62:4 75:16 81:18 happy 72:4 256:8 hard 93:20 209:5 248:7 harder 63:3 harkening 213:18 harm 116:2 119:17 241:8 harmed 119:17 harmful 104:2 harmonization 260:14

276:18 279:17 288:22 290:9,13 291:9 292:8 292:18 293:10,21 294:7,9,10 297:4,6,16 303:1,3,5 harmonize 280:15 296:10,20 harmonized 264:6 279:8 285:20 299:15 299:19 harmonizing 302:15,21 harms 117:4 343:11 Harold 113:22 harried 341:20 Hart 285:10,10 Haskell 10:21 hat 138:8 174:19 219:11 Hatlie 1:20 9:21 10:2,5 10:5 42:5,19 43:4 67:4 85:12,19 86:3,8 108:22 153:5,12 169:8 170:1 295:16 300:1 301:8 304:5,21 346:17 348:11 HBIPS 271:10 282:13 HBIPS-1 269:18 289:8 HBIPS-6 270:17,19 271:13,16,17,21 272:14,19 287:4,12 **HBIPS-7** 264:1,13,13,15 269:9,20 270:12 277:22 279:17 280:6 280:14 282:16 285:13 285:15,20 287:3,13 299:17 HCAHPS 108:3 135:5 136:7,16 137:22 head 53:16 113:20 114:12,20 116:3 265:20 head-to-head 289:18 298:12 headache 50:7,13 51:1 110:13 111:20 113:2 140:20 142:7 146:20 headaches 53:17 114:9 heading 334:4 heads 140:1 health 1:15 2:2,7 8:19 9:1,19 11:5,13 12:19 12:21 13:1,15 16:1 50:1 78:2 94:21,22 95:2,7,12,20 96:9,11 99:4 101:4,7 103:7 106:7 109:1 136:13 139:4 140:15,16 146:9 188:16 191:14

191:17,19 205:1 216:22 260:2 268:13 277:17 300:20 307:13 308:5,7,8 322:3 323:12,12 342:15,17 342:20 345:8 346:1 347:17 health-literacy 49:15 49:16,22 54:16 55:6 healthcare 2:6 180:4 191:11,20 238:18,21 251:1,4 254:8,10 **Healthwise** 13:7,12 healthy 212:14 hear 43:11,19,21 61:10 102:20 116:12 120:21 123:12 131:20 160:19 167:3 173:11 215:14 232:13,16 237:7 282:6 317:20 338:8 338:17 heard 73:2 144:18 165:22 166:20 234:1 299:11,21,22 301:3 337:1 hearing 43:17,18 59:19 109:13 125:16 139:18 139:19 174:21 236:16 276:10 296:7 heart 207:18 325:10 heavily 159:22 heighten 240:22 held 229:6 Helen 10:21 160:2 help 16:18 17:13 49:6 50:10 52:1 87:20 88:1 89:4,22 93:17 94:2 116:16 125:1 127:20 201:20 204:20 214:6 215:14 220:14 221:3 241:11 285:1 302:5 315:5 323:2 helped 314:22 helpful 43:22 58:1 87:17 100:19 127:7 154:19 196:11 229:11 257:17 264:22 328:12 349:20 351:3 helpfully 24:19 helping 17:15 90:6 220:21 helps 93:21 155:14 178:19 212:17 340:20 Herman 228:11 hesitating 208:2 hey 231:18 HHS 316:11 hi 8:6 10:18 15:21 16:2

17:11,18 141:20 174:11 228:9 256:14 285:10 323:21 338:8 338:9,10 hidden 99:17 high 18:10 51:9 81:11 99:5 103:17 116:6 179:22 188:16 192:8 192:13 252:9 256:3 278:11 336:15 345:16 346:5 high-impact 47:3 78:19 133:16 155:18 158:6 311:8 high-level 23:1 204:15 205:19 high-quality 99:11 143:8 high-risk 188:15 311:6 340:2 higher 74:10 91:12 272:15 273:2,7 284:18 highlight 62:18 highlighting 69:22 highlights 94:17 highly 20:8 114:2 157:9 235:20 236:12 263:18 336:7 highly-respected 230:21 hire 105:12 historically 12:22 history 284:15 hit 52:18 128:19 193:4 hold 30:14 68:16 100:14 121:18 196:17 213:2 219:5 270:13 holding 95:6 201:10,14 211:6 232:21 344:5 holidays 265:14 home 125:10 205:1 212:13 306:3 337:2 home/self-care 305:12 306:13 homes 253:8 homework 302:15 homolysis 14:8 honest 221:13 honor 161:21 hope 75:15 116:21 125:20 126:7 143:14 159:22 167:18 217:17 300:8 322:12 335:3 345:20 hopefully 34:21,22 36:20 80:1,4 83:20 160:5 258:2 321:21

hoping 284:10,20 horizon 254:18 hospice 48:21 hospital 1:3,8,14,19 4:6 5:6 8:16 9:18 11:2,8 16:3 34:7 44:2 45:17 46:10,14,14 60:5 64:18 71:4 74:19 75:6 79:19 88:8 95:6 97:20 97:22 98:4 101:19 102:15 103:9 104:6 106:3,22 107:7,10,11 113:17 135:15 148:18 162:3 179:3 180:8,9 197:5,13,14,22 198:7 199:14 200:13,14 206:13 213:4,7,15 218:20 219:1,4,15 220:1 221:17 225:16 237:8 240:15,19 241:10 244:8 246:9 246:21 247:10 248:20 249:9 259:9 262:7 263:18 268:9 273:17 280:5 308:16 318:21 322:16 323:7.7.10 326:2 331:5 335:15 344:17 hospital's 97:21 307:9 hospital-based 90:19 237:6 hospital-related 339:5 hospital-wide 309:2,7 330:6 339:18 hospital/facility-based 226:9 hospitality 190:11 hospitalization 179:20 211:13 214:20 215:2 215:3,6 260:5 271:18 331:11 hospitalizations 210:20 211:12 268:1 hospitalized 211:1,8,14 329:19 hospitals 1:17 4:17 8:13 9:7 49:13 51:7 78:10 88:11,12 101:4 105:20 107:20 108:2 108:9,15 138:4 180:3 199:15 213:2 214:16 218:22 224:11 230:3 233:13 250:10,13 253:5 257:4 259:10 268:2,5,8 274:2 277:12 285:12 307:9 307:14,15,19,20 316:22 321:9 329:21

345:12 347:6 349:2 host 223:17 hot 316:1 hour 87:7 160:6 265:9 272:6 278:5 house 243:11 hovered 321:7 huge 42:19 104:3 112:4 112:7 345:15 human 349:11 humor 159:20 HVBP 107:8 243:22 244:9 hybrid 309:3 315:2 322:16 324:1,11 339:19 hypertension 142:5 hypertensive 230:5 233:17 hypothermia 158:8 hypothetical 286:18 hysterectomy 184:2 **Ibragimova** 2:18 17:11 17:12 82:12 83:6,9 84:11,16,18,21 85:2 86:12 109:20 128:9 146:1,10,21 147:3,7 147:15.18 148:9.13 148:19 149:1 152:2 153:14 154:1,4,12,15 166:14 171:2,12,16 172:1 175:13 176:11 176:15 225:7,12,17 225:22 238:2,6,12,16 239:6,12,20 240:5,14 250:22 251:9 305:9 305:16,22 306:17 339:16 ICD 142:6 ICU 186:11 242:7 ICU-based 237:5 ICUs 245:20 idea 42:6 53:6 63:22 64:2,14 165:6 172:14 279:1 ideally 58:13 identification 201:11 260:3 261:14 263:6 272:2,9 identified 47:6 49:20 52:6,14 113:20 134:1 157:22 167:11,20 177:21 180:1,10 184:18 187:16 190:20 213:17 241:14 263:10 263:17 273:15 312:12

identifies 178:11 identify 16:7 18:10 52:1 125:9 223:10,13 315:10 324:2 329:16 identifying 202:11 203:11 ideological 13:20 ignore 328:21 ill 235:20 344:16 **illness** 268:1,4,12,13 330:13 346:18,20 **illnesses** 273:6 349:2 imagine 278:18 imaging 50:12,14,22 119:8 120:13 142:13 178:12 203:4 immediate 286:10 impact 99:5 179:22 252:20 253:21 316:7 316:12 320:5,10,13 320:19 321:3,5 345:11 impactful 157:9 260:19 263:19 impacting 325:15 impacts 254:10,11 impairment 158:7 implement 246:1 264:19 307:20 implementation 29:22 51:2,6 244:2 310:1 implemented 22:8 23:3 137:1 274:3 implementing 64:3,10 172:18 253:4,16 implication 224:16 274:22 implicitly 275:7 implies 292:8 importance 52:18 261:1 301:11,22 309:13 important 22:4 28:21 35:22 47:13 48:11 49:18 50:1 66:11 72:2 73:1 79:2 81:1 89:11 95:3,5,20 100:1 103:11,16 106:4 112:21 122:14 123:15 127:10 167:10 179:5 179:12 183:5,15,21 184:6 185:12,13 186:1,9 187:2,15 188:12 210:17 219:18 249:20 250:7 251:21 260:21 268:19 269:3 269:5 284:20,22 288:21 289:11,14

290:16 300:19 302:1 310:22 311:9 325:16 327:5 328:14,20 330:16 341:12 343:12 346:21 348:6 importantly 224:14 254:10 277:5 impossible 210:1 246:17 improve 19:8 20:19 50:10 111:14 119:12 133:17 157:15 180:3 186:15 191:9,14,19 259:17 326:11 improved 308:6 improvement 36:13 88:22 89:2 93:18 103:3 179:13 186:2 214:6,17 223:13 241:5 348:1 improvements 20:18 191:16 improving 19:5 52:6,18 213:8 222:21 223:4 263:19 308:4 333:6 in-hospital 207:4 in-person 5:7 37:15 inappropriate 114:10 210:4 310:19 340:1 incent 103:19 incentive 4:16 307:8,14 incentives 253:11 255:1 307:18 incentivize 180:2 308:3 incentivized 235:21 236:12 incidents 241:1,4 include 27:13 192:8 199:4 202:20 203:1 216:8 245:18 263:7 269:13 274:9 325:15 included 30:5 44:22 146:8 190:9 199:4 203:12 232:2,3 284:13 310:4,9 333:21 includes 88:12 93:4,5 185:6 214:19,22 246:8 253:8 254:19 272:1 including 19:6 48:19 49:1,9 102:15 116:8 119:4 142:9 156:3 158:9 159:11 178:10 192:1 205:6 295:20 336:1 inclusion 127:14 158:3 172:21 182:10 226:10

337:5 inclusive 42:7 290:7 337:18 **income** 220:20 inconsequential 139:17 inconsistency 30:20 inconsistent 279:5 incorporate 40:7 315:7 incorporated 271:16 287:4 incorporates 270:20 incorrect 69:7 incorrectly 165:7 increase 99:9,14 255:7 286:19 increased 139:10 251:20 increasing 254:3 incredibly 95:3,5 235:20 236:11 351:3 incumbent 271:10 independent 286:8 347:8 index 331:11 indicate 32:3 142:5 indicated 313:13 indicates 53:20 indication 30:6 113:20 114:16,20 121:21 122:4 127:5 indications 114:12 122:1 indicator 284:4 330:12 331:10 indicators 50:1 336:2 **individual** 2:9 6:18 11:21 23:12 40:21 112:3 124:6 163:20 174:5 191:3 200:3,12 207:7 211:6 222:5 223:2 individually 151:16 177:14 individuals 192:2 244:22 311:6 340:2 induction 155:22 industry 163:9 167:8 169:17 infection 238:20,22 246:9,15,22 248:17 251:3,5,20 infections 158:12 241:18 249:9 254:11 345:11 inflexible 265:11 inform 150:12,18 151:22 163:15 197:21

232:11 information 34:11 46:13,21 52:4,7,19 89:8 90:5 96:13,14 122:4 135:16 150:18 154:19 155:8,14 163:13 181:8 182:18 199:8 200:12 213:3,5 221:22 250:2,4,13 259:8 260:21 270:2 272:7,8 274:18 298:20 300:8 308:8 308:13 325:7,22 329:9 332:1 336:5 informed 13:6,14,17 155:15 163:17 infrastructure 105:14 inherent 108:13 initial 119:9 330:3,11 330:19,22 initially 331:6 injuries 182:11 229:22 242:20 284:19 **injury** 116:3 183:2,12 183:18 184:4,6 228:10,14 230:16,17 230:22 233:5,11 284:9,21 innovation 319:4 inordinate 343:22 **inpatient** 4:13 61:22 73:3,8,12 106:14 135:5 137:8,13 169:2 179:20 180:8 251:13 259:2,6,10 262:21 267:10 271:7 275:16 276:5 280:5 284:16 293:18 295:5 305:11 306:3,12 342:5 344:16 inpatients 69:10 **input** 4:6,8,10,11,13,15 18:5,12 19:5 21:7 22:19 28:5 112:11 244:16 245:15 246:2 319:17 327:17 inside 135:15 202:22 instance 143:6 203:4 244:7 institute 40:17 41:8 157:11 instituted 40:16 institution 70:2 institutionalized 253:7 institutions 297:19 instructions 133:15 261:2 272:6 instrument 97:17 99:8

172:16 instruments 138:15 175:2,8 insurer 78:11 integrate 103:2 135:19 138:2 342:20 integrated 134:13,17 integration 322:3 345:9 integrity 29:20 intelligent 40:7 intend 142:20 intended 30:10 331:9 intensive 186:8 263:2,7 intent 75:19 83:17 88:3 264:12 293:16 intention 296:3 interactions 38:13 **interacts** 197:19 intercranial 51:10 intercurrent 339:9 interest 5:22 7:1 16:12 62:10 interested 12:9 37:3 59:18 76:4 89:6 122:12.17 173:11 203:3 236:16 316:3 324:5 interesting 145:6 interface 199:5,7,19,20 204:8 intermediate 158:14 167:13 185:10 intermittent 233:15 Internal 114:1 International 2:1 9:14 interpretation 34:21 226:3 231:10 327:14 interpreted 300:12 interpreting 128:17 280:7 intervened 98:9 intervention 253:18 262:12,13 263:9,10 267:9 273:17 306:9 306:10 interventions 188:17 192:14 210:20 263:7 intraoperative 184:15 intro 176:22 introduce 6:10 15:18 17:10 106:20 242:10 251:15 270:7 introduced 36:6 194:3 introduction 155:4 190:18 Introductions 4:3 inundated 175:4 investigation 113:12

investigators 114:14 invite 15:17 **involved** 13:14,17 99:19 137:6 228:18 involving 113:16 IPFQR 277:14 305:22 **IPS** 264:11 **IQR** 106:15 159:7 197:8 198:6 200:10 205:14 243:19 244:8,18 310:6,21 311:10 317:11 344:14 ischemia 158:11 issue 78:11,21,22 79:2 95:3 102:14 103:21 104:4 149:19 165:9 173:21 206:7 212:6 218:3 248:9 256:9 263:9 277:5 289:5,6 293:13 294:18 295:6 310:22 314:4 320:2 333:11 337:6 341:18 **issues** 13:16 125:12 142:2 160:5 167:1 212:5 213:19 241:12 244:21 273:1 278:9 315:14 327:18.19 332:18 333:19 336:21 344:11,14 it'd 322:12 item 53:5 96:6 149:10 202:5 items 96:16,17 97:19 98:5 311:18 iteration 109:9 350:22 iterative 326:16 J Jack 2:9 13:4 57:9 96:3 107:15 137:4,20 173:5 314:1 Jamie 2:1 9:13 59:10,11 Jane 285:10 January 243:11 248:1 Jayne 288:20 JD 1:20 2:1,17 Jeff 270:8 287:20 291:13 295:11 **JEFFREY** 3:11 job 296:12 join 265:22 326:4 joined 5:12 **Joint** 103:22 291:4 292:5,11 294:19 Joint-Commission 71:6

Jones 3:15 141:20,20

**Jr** 2:9

judge 298:20 judgment 290:17 judicious 210:18 jump 5:14 44:1 Κ Kaizen 23:4 Karen 1:18 3:14 8:6 90:15 93:2 135:21 136:5 174:11 175:6 Kate 2:12 15:20,21 111:5 122:16 124:5 125:16 193:16 194:12 194:19 200:8,16 201:4 204:1,14 211:3 245:14 270:7 Kate's 126:7 127:9 141:22 Kathy 141:10 227:22 338:1 351:8 keep 20:9 41:17 43:7 79:18 93:22 127:19 137:16 189:7 207:1 227:6,8,16 285:20,21 296:17 299:9 324:7 327:1,2 keeping 127:21 131:12 280:13 Kelly 2:5 8:21 kept 99:13 key 70:1 202:9 219:19 220:15 277:20 323:13 keypad 141:15 283:19 **Khan** 3:16 193:18,20 194:11 196:4 200:8 202:4 204:16 215:17 kind 43:13 60:17 61:15 63:13 67:14 71:19 75:2 99:22 101:13 105:10,12,15 109:8 112:12 134:19 138:15 164:14 167:13 197:6 197:9 228:15 229:12 229:15,18 230:8,12 230:19 231:6 232:17 233:3,5 245:9 252:11 267:11 268:3 278:3 296:11 301:3 302:17 305:3 314:8 347:19 349:15 350:8 kinds 102:14 174:1 268:6 knew 87:4 257:22 know 6:4 13:18 34:11 43:2 45:22 46:4 48:4 54:22 61:18 64:7 65:21 68:9,21 75:4,8 75:11,12,21 76:5,7

80:18 81:18 95:8 100:4,6,9,19 101:22 104:15,19 111:2 112:8 126:16,22 127:15,17,18 128:17 135:5 138:13 142:2 144:8,21 151:5 161:19 163:9 169:4 169:11,16 170:3 173:9 175:3 176:19 192:21 193:17 198:4 198:5,18 202:22 203:10 206:19 207:18 213:8 216:5 218:3 219:13,19 221:14,17 222:10,19 228:12,15 229:17 230:4,7 231:5 231:19 232:14,17 233:8,10,13,20,22,22 234:4,14,15 235:5,16 235:18,19 236:2,14 243:13,19 244:6,7 245:15 248:3,7,12 249:21 252:8,13 254:14 255:18 256:6 256:20 257:10.20 268:2 269:14 275:2 284:16 289:19,20 290:8 292:10 295:22 296:1,16 300:10,15 304:19 309:4 314:3 315:11 318:22 320:1 321:20 323:15 325:2 330:8,9 343:10,17 344:4,5,5,7 348:3,15 349:10,11,22 350:12 knowing 81:16 117:2,4 285:1 knowledge 114:17 known 46:12 63:18 110:13 155:7 191:6 199:19 240:16 307:16 Kopleff 1:22 10:18,18 24:15 25:14 55:20 57:20 58:4,7 102:18 116:11 128:15 160:14 211:17 222:16 248:11 332:17 335:7 336:9 348:13 Kyle 319:6 L lab 330:12,20 331:9,15 laboratory 330:3 lack 62:4,22 119:10 254:19,20,22 344:9 344:10 language 261:3 299:12

large 19:13 101:4 104:9 112:1 179:5 222:8 278:17 321:10 largely 203:3 larger 101:3 262:20 lately 232:17 laudable 104:15 Laughter 87:5 129:9 130:22 131:9 153:19 172:5 launch 351:6 Laura 2:18 17:9,11 36:5 82:10 84:9 86:10 147:5 law 316:15 layer 248:8 lead 31:18,20,21 35:6 59:10 160:1,3 173:1 189:1 266:1 270:8 319:6 leaders 98:6 leadership 109:5 223:9 333:11 347:16.21 leading 114:2 157:13 185:13 leads 347:15 leaning 64:5 leap 18:16 Leapfrog 11:3 250:12 leaping 135:8 learn 82:8 336:5 learned 166:8 258:17 340:11 347:14 348:5 350:4.8 learning 87:7 learnings 36:22 leave 16:10 27:4,8 41:11 227:2 270:1 292:16 303:4 leaving 123:17 303:18 ledger 209:16 left 7:11 43:14 115:16 283:10,11,13 321:18 341:18 legal 65:8 67:9 **lens** 117:2,6 lessen 6:8 lessons 348:5 350:8 let's 6:12 12:14 27:17 44:1 54:12 65:15,16 84:3 85:4 112:16 129:14 131:7 140:5 149:17 155:1 170:10 170:11 173:1 174:10 189:5 198:6 225:3 227:9,21 276:10,15 283:2 287:1 289:22 302:10 326:17 342:19

level 34:7 96:11 102:8 105:8 121:9,10 154:21 160:22 178:1 192:8 195:17 197:15 199:13,16,20 200:11 200:20 202:12 205:8 206:10,12,14,21 207:2,6 212:8 217:16 224:9 250:13,16 258:21 261:17 264:3 266:8 271:19 274:18 274:20 335:19 levels 103:10,17 **LEVY** 2:10 61:6,12 67:17 83:4,7,10 106:12,19 107:1,4,14 152:17 153:3 164:9 164:22 165:3 168:22 172:3 247:4 **LHRM** 2:3 liability 168:3 **liaison** 11:12 liaisons 2:12 6:9 15:18 lie 78:22 lies 127:17 236:5 life 91:9 120:14 272:5 life-threatening 120:5 light 124:4 344:19 likelihood 121:18 **likeness** 291:20 limit 21:16 limitations 112:13 123:19 limited 50:14 159:5 line 15:16 42:18 97:9 109:5 113:18 170:15 212:10 232:15 238:21 242:11 251:4 317:17 317:19 333:3 351:8 lines 98:1 193:15 244:2 338:6 link 44:12 255:21 liquid 157:19 list 7:22 18:3,7 21:11 27:16,22 54:11,13 62:14 64:1 72:14 88:14 102:11 111:5 118:6,10,12 123:17 126:15 127:5 136:20 151:11 162:12,17,18 163:7 172:21 183:17 193:22 202:7 207:14 208:2,14 226:4,12,17 234:3 245:16 264:11 264:16 267:21 312:16 312:18 313:8,9 342:11 346:6 350:16 listed 182:5 231:3

260:1 listening 42:11 230:8 338:11 lists 318:19 literacy 94:21,22 95:2,7 95:12,21 96:9,11 99:4 99:21 102:8,13 103:7 103:17 106:7 109:1 139:5 140:15,16 146:9 347:17 literally 267:1 literature 349:12 liters 234:12 little 44:5 75:2 115:15 122:21 123:21 125:17 127:6 136:7,14 161:7 180:22 194:9 215:13 219:7 222:17 230:8 231:19 248:6 257:21 258:22 268:11 280:17 285:12 313:16 332:1 332:13 341:13 343:20 live 219:14 logic 244:19 logical 30:20 139:3 218:6 logistic 43:9 logistically 206:8 logistics 36:5 144:3 lone 89:11 90:1 94:18 long 13:10 62:2 67:21 91:6 333:7 334:3 long-term 253:7 longer 11:18,20 34:2 59:15 91:3 93:8 305:18 330:4 look 19:1 24:20 25:2 26:5 58:15 81:5 105:9 117:15,20 125:3 126:12,15 160:21 197:22 200:19 202:8 203:22 207:15 211:4 216:14 219:22 220:18 221:6 224:10 231:18 262:1,3,9 266:19 293:4 296:1 318:14 320:13 321:15 322:2 328:19 342:3 345:10 347:19 350:3 looked 30:4,12 101:12 203:9 296:2 317:9 320:19 326:1 looking 7:11 39:19 53:15 105:7 117:19 119:8 138:11 142:20 143:10 197:4,15 201:6 202:14 203:4 210:22 211:2 214:5,7

216:9 217:3,11,12,13 217:15 218:13,15 220:12 231:9 246:12 307:7 316:11 323:9 329:4 344:11 345:9 350:1 looks 39:20 40:5 70:22 74:7 79:21 83:11 105:15 107:21 129:1 173:8 193:3,11 201:19 229:4 315:20 323:6 loop 266:7 lose 249:14 286:3 losses 216:1 lost 156:13 332:5 336:10,13 lot 13:8 34:11 35:9 40:19 64:14 76:7 77:22 87:15 91:5 94:13 136:10,17,21 165:19 189:9 193:2 201:3 203:20 204:1 205:14 216:21 228:13 230:2 236:1 240:9 245:3 247:10.11 315:13 330:18 340:11 340:20 341:4 348:7 lots 330:17 **louder** 44:8 Louis 1:15 11:4 Louise 11:4 Louisiana 14:20 129:8 **love** 112:11 125:19 235:4 low 57:11 227:14 236:11 239:11,15 low-burden 169:12 lower 184:3 224:11 lowering 191:20 lowest 345:4 LTC 197:16,17 lumbar 226:13 227:14 239:10.15 lunch 176:21 177:2,5 180:21 189:5,6 Μ ma'am 42:17 machines 176:19 magic 115:14

308:7 maintaining 242:4 290:7 maintains 325:18

magnifying 344:11

main 177:20 259:21

maintain 242:3 290:11

major 102:13 142:2 183:11 241:8 253:16 257:22 268:3 276:22 289:1,2 291:5 310:15 311:22 321:4 341:11 345:13 348:5 349:18 majority 32:16 62:21 77:8 90:17 203:14 271:6 278:13,17 maker 81:10 making 22:10 37:12 43:6 114:6 136:9 153:7 292:15 299:5 304:8 334:3,20 manage 220:18 management 156:12,16 162:14 163:6 188:4 221:10 260:3 261:15 262:16 manager 2:17,19 17:17 17:19 Managing 2:18 mandated 162:4 mandatory 78:6 manner 16:16 **MAP** 6:4 18:12.21 19:5 22:20 24:6 34:7 40:17 48:19 111:10 122:17 123:6,18,22 167:11 180:1 202:14 213:17 242:1 244:6.10 253:15 263:18 264:19 271:4 277:3 279:10 287:11,21 288:17 294:15 296:12 303:6 310:4 322:1 327:22 328:5,6 333:16 334:10,19 335:2 337:17 340:12 **MAP's** 19:19 112:11 244:15 275:20 **MAP-affordability** 180:11 MAP-duals 180:12 maps 97:21 marching 230:20 Marie 15:15,15 marketing 106:5 Martin 1:20 169:7 296:6 297:2 Martin's 296:22 Marty 10:2,5 42:4 67:3 68:21 85:11 91:19 108:21 153:4 304:19 346:16 mass 9:17 349:12 Massachusetts 2:8 224:1

material 21:22 46:2 178:7 225:8 240:1 materials 19:1,14 20:5 20:6 21:20 39:2 95:3 116:1 350:21 maternity 317:6 Matt 228:9 matter 2:9 6:6 7:7,12 9:22 11:16,17 12:12 12:15,18 14:1,4,11,12 14:14 15:6 149:20 150:6 165:5 189:13 300:13 307:3 351:9 Matthew 3:13 228:7 MBA 1:12,13 2:4 **MD** 1:11,12,13,14,16,18 2:7,10,10,11,12,13,14 3:10,13,20 15:1 mean 25:9 30:16 63:12 71:21 87:19 96:5 99:18 103:20 105:16 122:21 134:21 137:7 151:7 152:17,18 166:2,3 169:14 173:19 216:19 219:21 229:19 237:22 267:2 280:16 290:5 291:19 292:5,7,10,19,21 293:2 297:5,6 304:12 304:13,22 330:16 333:13 meaning 23:22 195:1 278:15 meaningful 4:17 66:22 99:3,5,19 133:18 155:18 157:10 213:3 259:17 307:10,17,21 308:3,21 339:16 341:10 342:6 means 125:14 135:2 225:3 236:6 327:2 336:18 meant 222:13 measure 1:3 4:7,9,10 4:12,13,18 7:19 18:10 19:20 22:20 24:2,2,3 24:4 25:5,9,20 26:7,9 26:13 27:1,14 28:6,7 28:12,17,19 29:4,7,11 29:21 30:5,6,11,11,15 31:12,14 32:14,17,19 34:1 35:7 40:22 44:22 45:4,5,12,14 46:13 47:3,19 48:8,10,13,18 48:22 49:3,7,9,14,16 49:17 50:2,5,7,10,16 50:19 51:3,17 52:5,10 52:13,17 53:13,15

54:6,7,16,17,19 55:7 55:10 57:16,21 60:7 61:1,21 63:12,15 65:16 66:14,15 67:21 71:9 73:2,19 74:8 78:9 79:5,19,19,21 80:14 81:5 83:13 84:4 85:7,15,18,22 86:6 87:6,12,15,18,22 88:3 88:4,6 89:2,12,14 90:2,21 91:13,18 93:16 94:22 96:8,15 97:1 98:19,21 99:3,9 100:19 101:2,12 102:19 103:1,7 104:2 105:3,17,18 109:1 110:8,11,18 111:7,13 111:15 112:12 113:4 114:22 115:18 116:4 116:8,14,22 117:1,11 117:21 118:16,17,19 118:21 120:9,16,17 120:22 121:3,5,9,11 121:13 122:13,14,18 123:1,9,20 124:21 125:18,19 126:12,18 126:19 128:13 129:16 129:22 130:17 132:18 133:17 140:16 141:1 141:2 142:3,11,13,18 143:2,13 146:9 147:14 149:12,14 150:7,14,16,17 151:9 152:21 153:16 154:21 155:20 156:5,6,10,18 156:20 157:9,21 158:3,5,6,6,14,15,15 158:19,21 159:2,4,8 159:11,13 160:16,17 160:21 161:2,12,14 162:9,19 164:10,18 165:4 166:7 167:14 168:16,18 169:21 172:10 173:8 177:16 177:17 178:5,6,8,14 178:18,19 179:1,4,6 179:10,17,18 180:6 180:10,14 181:4,10 181:12,18,22 182:4 182:13,16 183:1,5,15 183:21 184:6,10,13 184:17 185:12,16,18 185:21 186:1,4,5,9,14 186:21 187:6,15 188:2,4,5,6,11,16,18 190:14 192:13 194:7 196:1 197:4,5,16 198:11,18 199:8

200:4 202:9 203:1 205:1,4 206:21 207:3 210:2,16,22 211:21 212:18,21 213:2,9,11 213:14 214:11 215:5 215:11 216:2,3,7,20 216:20 218:5,12,19 219:7,8,9 222:20 223:2,5,6,16,22 224:4 224:7,16 228:14,19 228:20 229:4,8,16,20 230:11,19 231:13,15 231:17,21 232:11,12 235:5 238:22 240:15 242:2,4,8,17 245:8 246:8,20 249:8,20 251:3,5 255:18,21 256:21 257:6 258:5,6 260:15,18 261:1,2,9 261:12,19 262:8,15 262:19 263:4,8,15,22 264:1,10,12,16,20,21 265:2,3,4,5,7,8,16 266:3,4,5 267:6 269:10,12,15,18 271:1,2,11,22 273:13 273:14 274:2,8,21 275:8 276:2,17,21 277:3,6,7,16 278:7,8 279:6,8,8,12,16 280:3 280:4,6 281:22,22 282:13 284:11 285:13 285:17,19 286:4,12 287:2,9 288:2,3,22 289:9,16 290:10,22 291:15 292:7,12,19 292:22 293:6,11,17 293:20 294:1,2,8,17 294:19,21 295:3,4,8 295:12,13,14,17,18 295:21 296:8,9,11,11 296:14,14,17,18 297:3,7,12,17 298:4,6 298:9,14,21 299:2,14 299:15,16,18 300:15 301:4,11,12 302:1,14 302:16,19 305:6 308:15 309:6,8,14,16 309:21 310:1,4,9,11 310:18,20 311:4,5,7 311:13 312:16 313:3 314:13,14,15,17,22 315:3,9 316:20 317:6 317:7,8,13 318:3,5,11 318:13,20,20 319:2,7 319:9,9,12 320:4 322:7,16 323:1,3,5,8 323:13 324:1,20,22

			372
	I	I	I
325:13,14,19 326:12	203:10,11,13,14,18	4:15 46:17 51:1 78:7	mentioning 348:22
328:22 329:15 330:6	204:1,7,9,20 205:9,9	78:15 165:17 176:22	<b>mess</b> 82:20
330:21 331:17 332:5	205:14,18 208:5,18	179:6,13,16 184:19	message 328:13 329:3
333:4,5,16 334:9,16	208:20,22 209:1,11	185:6,14 186:3,15	345:22
334:22 335:11 336:13	209:14,16 210:14	187:8,17 188:9,22	met 1:8 5:9 287:1,3
337:4 339:7 343:3,9	211:18 212:4,9 214:1	190:4,13 191:5,10,15	metabolic 158:11
343:14 346:5,11	214:4,5,11,12 215:10	193:2 195:7 199:6	metastases 49:5 87:10
349:18	216:8,9 217:1,2 218:8	200:7 201:16,17	91:8 140:15 146:9
measure-related	221:7,8,9 222:4,12,13	208:4,15 209:2,22	method 159:4 168:19
254:18	226:8,9 231:12 237:4	210:16 211:20 212:21	171:18 242:9
measured 127:4 209:19	237:5,6,11 238:15	214:9 217:9 218:20	methodologic 113:4
217:19 279:21 289:12	241:9,16,21 242:6,13	219:6 221:16 225:18	114:6,21 329:12
289:15,15	242:13 243:9,16,18	226:10,19 227:3	methodological 116:17
measurement 15:22	243:21 244:11,12	237:10 238:8 271:6	methodologically
29:19 49:12 50:22	246:10,15,22 247:12	278:19 307:8,13	122:6
81:19 143:9 164:6	248:2,17,18 249:6,14	333:8 341:3 342:4	methodology 180:15
		medication 16:3 177:19	
178:9 180:1 183:7	249:16,22 250:6		236:6 245:12,19
188:12 192:7 223:1	253:18,21 254:17	319:3	315:8 336:1
223:12 237:13 252:10	255:16,20 258:21	medicine 9:11 14:4	methods 114:7
255:19 256:2 263:16	259:11,13,16 260:10	15:11 113:16 114:2	metric 71:1 95:22 119:8
308:12,16	264:5 265:22 266:12	126:19 230:18,22	125:3 235:18
measurements 254:21	267:12,20 268:6,9,20	233:11 322:4 343:21	metrics 125:2 193:5,7,8
measures 5:5 7:22,22	269:7,17 272:20	MedPAC 205:6	193:9 216:20 255:12
8:3 16:3 18:5,13 21:7	274:9 275:15,21	meds 271:19	345:15
21:10,15 22:1,12	276:9,11,18 277:9	meet 108:16 195:3,10	mets 92:1,7
23:12,16,18,21 24:7	279:20 286:9,11	195:21 304:1	<b>MEYYUR</b> 3:17 97:10,18
24:17,20 25:4,12 26:4	287:5 288:7,8,16	meeting 4:4 5:4,7 16:20	100:9
28:5 31:7 32:9 33:6	291:6 292:9 293:5,8	18:4 19:10,19 20:1,5	MHA 1:12 2:6
33:17 34:5,9,14 35:4	294:16 297:7,14	21:3,6,19 22:6,20	mic 43:19
35:12,17 45:5 46:15	298:3 300:9,16,17,19	28:4 37:1,18,22 38:15	Michael 2:11 9:9 12:11
47:2,4,5,10,22 48:19	300:21,21 303:5	41:4,14,14 42:16 46:2	14:1,3 53:8 63:19
48:21 49:22 51:14,18	305:21 308:11,12,14	47:2 82:13 181:20	68:21 77:1 100:11,13
52:3,9,21 74:1,3	308:19,22 310:5	190:21 191:13 258:14	100:21 117:7 118:22
88:17 89:9,20 90:4,8	316:13,17 317:13	351:7	124:12 127:22 129:15
94:1,8,13 101:8	318:9 319:1,3 320:19	meetings 19:2,5 37:16	129:21 130:1,9,14
102:20 107:6 117:16	325:3,4,6 328:15	37:20 288:11	145:8 196:13 218:12
118:9 122:11 126:1	329:4 335:14 336:11	melanoma 78:20	227:4 298:10 343:15
126:22 127:7 133:5,6	336:11 337:4 342:15	member 7:6,7,15 31:8	<b>Michael's</b> 79:10
133:9,11,22 134:5	342:18 343:6 348:14	31:13 151:3	<b>microphone</b> 43:10,18
136:20 138:11 139:5	348:16	members 1:10 6:5,12	<b>mics</b> 43:14
140:8 142:16,21	measuring 13:16 95:5	6:13 16:13 20:13,20	middle 153:1
144:15,15 148:16	95:12 167:19 188:14	20:21 23:15 31:11	midst 237:4
155:17,19 156:3	209:18 270:4	32:2 33:17 37:17,21	mile 218:16
157:4 158:22 159:6	mechanisms 134:16	37:22 38:3,14,17	million 157:14
159:16 165:20 166:20	136:11 200:4 274:4	86:20 244:11	mind 93:22 110:16
167:6,10 172:7	<b>media</b> 96:15	Memorial 228:11	127:20,22 151:6
174:13 176:13 177:8	Medicaid 2:13,15 4:16	Memphis 2:6 8:19	152:8 193:1 256:1
177:9 179:22 180:11	271:5 277:4,7,8,13	mental 11:13 201:9	288:17 342:9
180:12 181:14 185:2	278:19 279:9 286:16	268:1 273:5 277:17	Mindful 301:21
185:4 187:7,8,12	288:9 294:2 307:8,13	342:15,17,20 346:18	minimal 271:1
192:1,3,4,8,9,12,15	medical 5:10 8:11 9:3	346:19 349:2	minimizing 113:7
192:16 193:21 194:3	10:22 13:6 14:17 52:4	mentally 344:16	minimum 96:18 97:11
194:6,18 195:1,12	96:14 99:11,13,16,17	mention 277:16	195:3,5,11,21
197:3,13,15,17,20,22	163:19 164:1 260:4	mentioned 53:12 77:5	minute 71:19 100:14
198:7,21 199:1,2,10	261:15 262:17 268:13	109:3 125:5 190:16	145:22 150:2 196:18
199:13,17,20 200:9	329:5 349:2	194:19 204:2 277:2	211:22
201:6,20 202:7,10,12	medically 192:13	277:21 286:14 311:21	minutes 86:5 93:15
202:17,21 203:5,7,8	Medicare 2:12,14 4:10	342:7 346:20 347:12	177:2 189:6 240:10
	l	l	l

304:7,13 306:20,20 306:21 mirror 218:14,15 Misadventure 114:7 misalignment 255:1 misguided 95:8 mishearing 126:6 misperception 336:19 mispronounce 157:18 misreading 314:20 miss-use 254:4 missed 16:8 338:21 missing 342:1 345:5 Missy 1:15 11:1 248:14 249:17 316:18 317:21 347:12 Missy's 319:16 mistake 99:16 mistaken 214:21 Mitchell 2:10 61:5,10 62:17 65:21 67:16 69:3,11 76:11 106:11 164:8 168:21 mix 349:13 mixed 269:19 336:12 mixture 315:3 mod 293:20 mode 194:7 model 47:15 108:13 201:9 214:3 218:21 314:8 329:10 330:8 models 210:18 298:1 330:7,18 modification 263:5,8 modified 204:3 292:2 Modifier 27:18 **module** 255:3,4,6 modules 156:3 Moffitt 8:7 mold 336:14 mom 67:19 101:9 moment 228:6 270:14 money 139:16 monitor 223:3 308:14 month 252:13 months 19:4 63:9 229:18 **morbidity** 158:10 morning 5:3 8:10 12:17 19:11 117:15 166:8 270:4 338:14 Morrison 2:10 15:8,9,9 48:3,7 61:9 62:11,15 68:14,18 69:19 70:16 70:19 90:12,16 91:20 132:13 154:7,11 160:9 175:12 282:5 mortality 158:13 179:3

185:13 190:12 196:15 207:5 325:10 345:16 Mothers 10:21 motion 31:11,17 58:22 59:7,14 82:6 83:4,5 83:12 84:5,10,12 85:6 85:14 93:14 94:5,20 95:18 109:15,16 110:7 128:4,14 129:11,14,14,15,18 130:9,14,16,17 131:2 131:11,17,21 132:4,5 134:22 161:8,9,11 165:22 166:3,4,7,9,10 166:12 170:7 173:10 175:16,19 206:1 225:4,9,19 227:2 237:15 281:15,17,21 282:7,10 296:4 298:7 299:2,6 300:2 301:5,6 301:6,14 305:6,8,13 326:19 328:9 333:1 334:20 335:5,5,6,8 337:9 motioned 87:11.13 motions 276:11.13 **motivates** 68:10 motivators 209:8 move 11:16 22:10 32:12 34:17 35:5 46:8 58:22 59:7 82:5 83:5 83:12,18 84:12 85:7 87:11,14 89:9,21 94:21 95:10,14,14 103:11 124:17 128:5 129:15 132:11 140:5 145:11 146:14 149:15 150:2 155:1 166:1,9 166:22 167:18 168:15 170:7 194:21 206:5 208:20 224:21 225:1 225:4 227:2,9,10,19 234:22 237:5 243:4 250:1 251:12 259:2 269:15 275:13 276:10 276:12,15 280:12 281:3 282:8 283:9 295:2 296:14,17 297:1,3 299:2 301:8 302:10 305:6,13 311:18 313:9 322:17 326:6 334:6 moved 19:13 27:17 58:12 59:16 86:22 110:14 144:10 166:17 168:16 210:10 226:16 322:15 movement 167:20

243:7 moves 68:5 89:16 158:15 250:8,20 320:10 moving 12:22 50:3 53:6 55:22 59:19 61:22 68:7,12 80:7,19 84:6 84:13 85:21 86:16 100:22 101:1 102:16 105:20 109:17 115:3 115:6 130:15 169:4 180:18 182:20 184:9 190:6 237:9 240:11 245:1,2 247:8 248:12 270:18 272:14 280:13 292:13 301:16 320:11 321:13 341:4 **MPH** 2:2,14 MRI 227:13 239:10,15 **MRSA** 252:2 **MSN** 2:4 MSPB 216:4 225:19 238:2,9 **MSSP** 177:6 181:6,15 181:19 184:14 191:6 193:17 194:2 215:15 238:7,16 239:13,22 242:18 **Mt** 15:11 **MU** 307:17 **MUC** 27:16,22 32:14 88:14 111:5 118:6 136:20 151:10 245:16 264:11,16 313:8 MUC's 153:15 multi-drug 254:12 multi-fraction 92:2 multi-stakeholder 38:9 223:7 multifaceted 54:17,19 multiple 21:8 65:6,7 92:7 93:4 123:10 184:21 187:19 192:2 198:14 275:22 278:21 multiplicity 65:19 mumblings 128:16 **MUNTHALI** 2:18 mute 61:9 myocardial 158:11 Ν **N.W** 1:9

N.W 1:9 name 15:7 17:21 81:10 names 230:18 Nancy 1:19,21 11:6,10 25:18 39:18 40:12 54:9 62:18 64:6,21 68:20 69:5,7 84:5

85:7 87:11 89:15 92:18 93:12 94:14,21 102:11 108:6 115:19 116:12 119:13 125:15 131:10 135:21 138:7 141:7 150:21 151:14 160:2 167:22 201:3 210:11 217:5 218:9 243:5 246:6 247:5 267:18 268:16,18 282:10 287:19 295:9 305:3 322:15,20 323:21 342:11,13 347:4 348:20 350:17 Nancy's 42:6 43:5 59:7 69:13 71:14 85:14 153:6 212:22 283:3 301:7,8 343:19 narrower 153:7 Nasso 1:19 10:11,11 76:3 94:7 103:5 national 1:1,8,19,22 2:2 5:5 9:19 10:12.19 157:11 204:18 233:9 238:18,20 250:10 251:1.3 277:18 289:17 nationwide 345:14 nausea 185:22 NCCN 15:3 NCMS 316:14 near 254:17 nearly 49:8 necessarily 29:18 144:19 224:15 288:10 necessary 68:6 70:20 265:11 274:13 301:2 need 6:10 15:19 17:13 22:13 35:7 36:9 56:20 63:17,20 64:15 66:12 67:1 71:15,16 76:14 77:8,20 78:12 79:15 80:22 92:5 94:5,11,18 103:20 105:12 107:6 113:8 123:5 129:6 131:21 143:12 145:21 149:7 151:22 168:12 181:7 185:19 194:16 195:20 202:2 212:18 214:1,14 221:22 222:19 223:1,4,8,9 233:14 234:22 235:22 236:19 247:15 249:4 250:16 252:19 255:17 261:6 265:8 268:18 274:6 292:15 293:7 298:2,20 302:4

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc.

322:10,15,17 327:19

328:16,18 334:1,7 342:19 347:19 needed 32:8 62:7 301:12 336:19 needle 123:19 needless 193:2 needs 16:8 34:17 76:22 77:21 78:7 80:11 93:7 127:12 138:14 222:6 249:13 261:4 280:22 negative 113:8 248:19 negotiation 294:9 neighborhoods 347:9 net 347:5 Network 238:18,21 251:1,4 networks 318:22 neurological 115:10 never 56:17 95:9 new 15:12 19:15 22:9 23:5 31:9,12,16 34:17 36:15 39:17 41:20 58:15 107:19 128:19 148:7 245:8 246:7 249:5,20,21 250:8 269:10,15 279:7 280:3 285:18 286:4 292:7,12 294:1 296:17 318:15 324:17 newly 23:3 news 156:4 226:6 NHSN 181:6 226:7 227:1 238:19,21 251:2,4 255:3 256:20 257:5 nice 128:18 255:11 256:18,19 nightmare 65:8 nod 227:16 nodding 140:1 nominated 12:1 nomination 12:3 non-binding 32:10 non-clinical 96:22 non-controversial 22:11 non-endorsement 235:5 normothermia 158:5 167:2,13 171:6 note 38:17 48:18 133:20 158:18 160:16 180:16,19 202:10 213:13 231:12 248:11 271:9 noted 50:20 156:19,22 159:11 172:15,17,19 178:18 180:14 182:6

261:1,2,6 265:3 266:20 310:8 notes 20:9 33:2 **noticed** 169:8 **noticing** 116:14 noting 24:18 50:21 52:17 156:11 185:19 261:20 262:6,20 265:10 309:13 notion 60:1 125:20,21 320:12 NQF 2:16 11:13 16:21 16:22 17:8 19:3 20:17 22:8 23:10 25:1 26:22 27:2,4,8,8 28:17 29:8 29:10,15 30:5,8 37:3 37:6,17 38:22 41:21 43:2 50:4,14 55:11 56:11,20 91:15 115:22 116:15,20,22 117:10,11 118:1,20 121:4 130:18 131:14 132:20 140:18 141:1 146:14,17 151:1 182:22 183:4,14 184:5,12 229:6 231:6 239:10,14,17 242:14 252:6 254:16 255:15 256:4,10,22 257:6 258:4 271:12 287:11 289:5,7,16,19 290:17 291:2,11 298:11 299:11 302:14,19 303:5 308:11 309:6 309:10,13,20 312:13 316:1,4 320:11 334:1 334:9,14 335:1 337:16,19 NQF's 5:20 NQF-endorsed 48:13 51:14 56:15 151:15 158:20 223:6 242:14 255:18 263:15 291:6 NQF-endorsement 157:7 160:20 168:2 168:13 171:5,9 227:13,15 245:17 NTSV 310:13 316:20 317:6 318:5 nub 66:8 nulliparous 309:18 310:11 339:20 number 36:17,18 48:19 78:15,15 82:19,22 83:2 88:9 101:5 115:5 115:14 125:3 126:1 127:16,17 141:14 147:11 148:6 170:19

179:5 182:3,4 194:22 211:11,12 228:3 254:7 271:14 272:11 278:21 310:7 330:5,7 338:3 351:1 numbers 97:14 106:9 118:15 159:19 numerator 24:19 96:8 numerous 49:11 nurse 2:4 10:16 12:18 98:8 135:7 284:7 349:13 Nurses 8:22 nursing 11:12 253:8 0 O'Rourke 2:19 17:18,18 42:14 47:20 48:8 55:14 56:3,6,18 58:20 59:4 87:9 94:20 96:7 110:11 131:10 132:17 133:4 140:6.13 144:13 145:21 146:16 147:12,22 148:15 156:4 172:6 173:15 181:14,18 197:11 207:1,6,10 241:19 243:2 244:3 251:14 256:11 308:20 312:5 313:17.21 **O-F** 4:1 **O/ASPECS** 137:1 148:18 172:8 O2 309:17 **OB** 346:4,7,8 **OBERLIES** 2:4 10:14 173:3,7 objective 18:3 263:17 275:20 286:11 objectives 4:4 18:4,15 22:22 28:4 46:22 47:17 155:16 177:20 191:12 212:16 241:6 259:15 287:18 observe 236:7 observed 112:1 obtain 89:5 97:1 181:8 182:18 obtaining 125:10 obviously 6:14 19:13 21:8 23:8 24:12 28:8 41:6 74:9 167:16 168:12 198:19 244:7 251:21 299:5 occur 22:14 79:6 81:14 285:3 344:14 occurred 199:14 occurrence 284:21

occurring 203:19 262:22 occurs 90:18 October 45:10 47:1 155:17 191:13 241:7 odd 72:8 108:18 210:21 odds 99:10,12,15 off-base 165:5 offer 24:19 40:5,10 111:4 211:17 282:17 332:22 offered 261:10 262:12 267:8 273:16 306:6,9 office 14:18 72:14,18 74:18 279:4 316:9 office-based 253:5 Officer 8:11 offices 73:7 official 33:13 oh 44:7 56:18 58:4 79:3 83:6 132:4 152:3,9 165:2,2 232:20 238:4 288:17 302:11 okay 7:14 10:5 11:15 15:5,17 16:5,8,10 17:4 18:16.19 24:13 25:18 29:14 30:14.22 31:1 36:5 41:16 44:4 46:9 55:4,18 56:4,18 57:1,8 58:7,10 59:9 73:14 75:1 79:19,20 79:21 81:22 82:19 85:3,19 86:3 90:10 100:1 102:4 107:14 117:13 127:16 130:4 132:6 136:5 143:20 145:6,20,21 146:13 149:3 152:1,13,16 153:3,3,13 154:12,21 155:1,11,11 165:22 170:4,6,9,11,19 172:6 174:9,18 175:10,15 176:5,18 177:6 181:17,21 191:4 196:11,17 208:1 215:8 217:7 225:7,14 226:4 227:7,17 228:7 229:12 232:6,14,20 233:1 235:2,14 237:21 240:14 246:3 251:11 260:7 264:22 265:20 267:17 273:21 275:1,3 276:10 282:18 283:8,14 285:7 288:20 292:20 301:13 302:10 303:8 305:5,18 306:19 307:12 312:14 313:11

317:15 320:18 321:15 322:14 331:8,19 335:7 337:19 339:1 339:13 340:9 old 249:14 325:13,14 older 51:9 64:13 111:9 162:11 296:17 once 18:1 27:20 33:4,6 35:14 36:8,9 42:2 83:2 115:13 118:13 166:8 198:8 217:7 219:15 279:3 286:5 327:21 oncology 60:10 64:8 92:21 one-third 99:10 onerous 91:7 ones 13:20 58:12 173:20 347:8 ongoing 61:2 66:7 online 110:1 195:10 onus 78:8,16 120:8 oomph 231:9 **OP-15** 51:4,6 53:10 110:13 142:2 146:18 **Opelka** 1:9,11 5:3,10 14:16,16 17:5 18:1 25:18 26:18 27:6 28:13 29:12,15 30:16 30:22 39:12 41:12 43:8 53:2 54:8,12,20 55:2,18,22 56:5 57:1 57:4,7,15,19 58:2,5,8 58:19 59:6,9,14,21 61:5 62:13 63:7,19 64:21 66:9 67:3,16 68:13,16 69:18 70:13 70:18,21 71:12 74:11 76:2 77:1 79:8 80:9 81:3,22 83:15 85:11 85:17,20 86:4,9,22 87:6 89:15 90:10,14 91:19 92:9 93:2,12 94:4.14 95:16 96:3 98:17 100:3,11,13,21 101:16,22 102:10,17 103:12 104:22 106:11 107:15 108:6,21 109:13 110:1,4,19,22 115:2,19 116:10 117:7 118:22 120:18 121:14 122:8 124:2 125:15 126:9 127:8 127:21 128:21 129:3 129:6,10 130:20 131:5,19 132:1,5,7,9 132:15 134:19 136:4 137:4 138:7 139:18

140:12 141:5,18 143:17,20 144:5,8,18 145:3,8,13,17,20 146:12 147:4,9,20 149:3 150:1 151:1,4 152:4,7,10,14,19 153:4,10,13 154:6,9 154:18 159:17 160:11 161:6,17 164:7 165:21 166:6,16 167:22 168:14 169:7 170:6 171:14 173:1,5 173:13 174:9,18 175:14,21 176:1,5,7,9 176:18 189:3 190:3 192:17 196:13,17,20 198:1,13 200:15 205:21 206:2 207:4,9 207:14 208:1,13 210:6,8 211:16 217:5 218:9 219:10,21 220:4,7 221:1.6 222:15 223:15 224:18 225:14 226:2 227:6 228:4,21 229:3 231:8 231:21 232:2,7,9,19 232:21 234:17.19 235:7,9,11,14 236:18 237:1,14,18,22 238:4 238:14 239:8 240:7 242:21 243:3 247:20 248:10 249:17 250:19 251:11 257:14 258:7 258:11,15 289:21 290:19 291:2,8,11,19 291:22 292:18,21 293:2 294:6 295:7 297:4 302:22 303:6,9 303:12,17,19,22 304:11 307:6 311:14 312:14,20 313:2,6,11 314:1,11 316:18 317:12 319:20 322:8 322:14,19 324:18 326:7,16,22 328:3 329:6 331:16,20 332:4,13 335:4,8 337:11 338:4,9,15,21 339:12 340:8 343:15 343:17 345:1,19 346:3,16 348:10,20 349:19 351:4 open 37:20 39:8 123:17 141:10 164:7 170:14 174:10 179:3 196:15 199:12 210:9 227:21 244:5,14,15 267:17 292:10,16

opening 41:18 110:17 153:6 **operate** 163:2 operating 36:12 operational 217:16 222:13 operationally 36:7 41:10 222:20 223:9 274:1 operative 241:18 **Operator** 42:14,17 141:12 170:14,17 228:1,6 235:12 283:15,17 338:2,5 opinion 13:18 38:12 63:4 89:7 106:10 165:5 298:13,16 opportunities 91:12 223:13 252:5 opportunity 103:2 151:8 165:16 179:12 221:3 285:6 298:18 333:12 345:10 opposed 135:20 311:16 opposite 79:11 opposition 139:19 optable 263:10 optimal 127:16 **optimally** 127:15 **optimum** 127:19 option 280:12 options 150:14 280:17 297:1 **OQR** 20:7 38:8 46:11 47:4 49:1,9 50:8 74:15,17 87:4 88:14 90:2 104:1,18 106:17 134:8 148:18 155:20 172:9 340:21 342:3 344:15 order 16:11 72:11 79:15 81:8,21 97:13 106:19 108:16 182:8 193:5 194:15 195:2 252:19 253:3 267:14 297:20 315:10 334:6 335:16 336:20 345:2,5 ordering 114:10 organ 183:3,12,19 184:3 organisms 254:13 organization 2:4 6:19 10:16 12:20 96:10 109:6 139:11 161:1 169:11,15 193:4 201:18 organizational 6:5,12 6:13 7:6,7,15 9:22

10:4 11:18 organizations 218:2 250:11 255:5 orientation 177:11 original 116:19 290:13 originally 148:5 151:13 278:14 origins 319:12 ought 274:18,20 289:7 339:6 342:12,13 outcome 133:13 157:21 158:6,14,15 167:2,13 171:6 179:5 181:3 182:15 183:5,15,21 185:12 192:9,12 203:10,11 205:8 238:20,22 251:3,5 253:21 285:1 291:21 292:1 310:3 316:12 324:12 335:17 340:17 346:5,8,11 348:16 outcomes 47:11 99:7 99:20 102:15 158:9 167:12 191:17,19 217:2 228:16 252:21 253:22 255:22 316:17 348:14 349:17 outlined 281:12 outpatient 4:6 8:2 44:2 45:17 46:10,18 47:14 60:3,5 61:19 63:16 68:12 74:14,17 75:6 78:10 79:20 80:2 87:19 88:10,16 90:18 95:6 101:20 107:12 107:20 108:3 133:10 136:8,14 137:9,11,14 162:5 173:9,21 178:10 213:15 215:1 220:7 253:6 344:15 outpatients 134:15 outside 86:2 outstanding 149:19 150:6 341:17 outweighs 63:4 68:1 over-distention 234:9 over-estimates 114:9 over-prescribed 92:22 over-radiation 91:6 over-use 178:19 213:20 254:4 over-utilization 209:15 overall 145:9 149:5 191:14 overarching 197:18 overcomes 287:17 overcrowding 47:7 overdosage 92:16,16

participate 32:4 215:21 overlap 136:7,9,14,18 136:22 241:8 298:3 316:3 overlapping 137:18 participating 20:22 participation 69:9 overlaps 264:12 overly 178:16 73:13 77:12 78:6 overruling 29:9 162:3 258:16 269:22 overuse 117:5 270:22 274:15 overview 192:19 257:9 particular 12:7 25:21 307:11 335:16 26:8,14 36:3 54:21 **Owens** 16:6,7 60:9 102:16 112:6 ownership 293:13 123:20 154:21 165:9 197:4 205:12 247:7 Ρ 250:12 264:10 277:10 P 2:11 277:16 288:11 315:19 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 330:21 345:9 5:1 particularly 34:6 35:3 p.m 189:14,15 190:2 125:5 325:3 343:22 307:4,5 351:10 parties 291:12 293:4 **PAC** 197:16,17 partners 21:11 partnership 1:3,22 5:6 pace 334:15 packet 77:18 10:19 256:3 347:11 347:14 348:5 **PACU** 186:22 page 44:9,16,20 156:14 pass 108:12 149:7,8 197:12 290:15 paid 209:6 222:4 passed 316:7 passes 147:4 297:20 pain 49:6 226:14 passionate 13:13 212:7 Pat 3:19 283:20 284:3,7 227:14 239:11,15 painful 92:6 pathogen 254:8 paired 267:12 pathway 25:13 palliative 15:10 pathways 23:22 **Paloka** 255:10 patient 1:20 8:2 10:6 11:7 13:10 47:11 Pamela 16:5,7 panel 8:2 9:11 167:6 48:11 49:18 53:17 174:12 60:4,15,19,20 61:3 62:21 64:12 65:9,22 panels 101:11 71:15 72:7 73:6 75:13 paper 6:22 46:12 155:8 309:21 320:3 75:21 77:10 79:22 paper-based 308:19 80:2 81:6,9 91:7 paralyzed 229:22 92:13,15 93:7 96:9,16 96:18 97:2,12,19 99:4 park 340:22 99:15 102:8 103:3 parsimonious 330:17 part 13:7,9 17:8 24:21 105:21 107:13 117:6 28:9 33:21 40:17 41:2 119:18 120:5.16 56:9 74:3 99:21 102:2 133:10 136:15 138:15 138:17 139:9 146:19 141:22 163:18 164:1 194:2 199:5 204:5 156:2 157:3 163:11 216:14 243:18 253:2 163:15,18 172:15 270:19 277:18 284:22 178:12,19 182:13 285:15 322:8,10 192:8,12 197:6 203:11 211:8 212:6 324:6 333:21 335:13 344:21 212:10 213:20 224:8 228:16 229:14 230:4 part-time 13:5 231:1 234:11 241:8 partial 260:4 PARTICIPANT 57:17 242:10 251:16 258:2 146:5 210:7 237:17 259:19 261:4,13 266:9 269:22 272:6 237:21 272:13 308:8 326:1 participants 33:16

331:5,6,7 346:11 347:15,20 348:13,16 patient's 120:14 161:22 163:12,16,16,19 308:14 330:19 331:10 patient-reported 47:11 133:13 patients 13:13,17 49:6 50:12 52:13 53:14,16 53:22 60:1,8 61:16,18 62:2,6,10 64:8,15 65:7 66:12 72:4,13 74:20 87:21 88:4,9 89:7 90:5 92:5,21 93:8 94:10,12 95:4 96:22 97:5,5,11 98:7 98:10,10,14 99:3,6,10 99:12 100:5,7,18 101:6 102:21 103:10 103:17 108:12 113:19 114:8 116:3 119:7,16 125:9 126:16,20 135:12 136:12 138:4 155:18 157:10 159:3 162:10 168:19 171:18 175:1.4 177:18 182:10 183:2,11,18 184:22 187:13,20 192:4,13 198:22 199:9 201:11 211:1 212:13,18 231:3 233:14,19 234:3,3,9 234:10 242:19 259:17 260:17,20 261:5,7,8 262:20 263:1 285:22 306:2 308:5 329:19 343:11,22 344:5,12 346:14 347:11 348:6 patterns 254:1 pause 336:21 pay 126:14 139:7 165:18 194:3 223:21 224:7 258:22 307:15 346:21 347:10 pay-for 104:22 195:22 259:7 pay-for-performance 191:7 194:6,20 195:1 195:12,19 240:17 pay-for-reporting 191:6 194:14 payable 156:17 payer 123:10 289:3 333:9 payers 302:7 paying 130:21 214:22 payment 46:17 80:12 80:14,21 104:18

106:13,16 107:3,5,8 107:12 190:12 204:12 208:14 210:15 211:19 212:20 217:21 218:4 219:15,22 223:18,18 224:4 225:18 238:8 248:8 249:11 297:21 Payment-Standardized 179:16 payments 223:21 PC 309:17 339:20 **PCAST** 252:14,14 255:6 **PECARN** 143:5 pediatrics 143:6 236:11 **pelvic** 183:3,12,19 184:3 penalties 106:13 321:9 penalty 104:18 106:20 107:9 245:3 248:8 pencil 320:4 pending 26:22 50:4 51:16 55:11,15 56:1,7 56:10 116:20 130:18 131:13 132:18 140:18 140:22 146:17 147:13 157:6 168:10 171:4.8 181:2,5 182:2,16,22 183:4,14 184:5,11 238:17 239:3,14,17 272:3.8 Pennsylvania 1:21 11:11 people 35:19 40:4 42:9 42:11,15 43:20 63:16 65:17 67:7,10 69:11 78:1 98:19 116:8 151:6 165:18 211:14 218:21 228:18 229:21 230:21 233:18 234:7 236:12 246:11 268:1 273:5 275:9 292:16 296:1 301:3 315:17 315:19 324:4 330:17 336:9 349:1,4 people's 234:13 percent 33:11,15 49:8 53:21 74:7 80:16,17 92:21 99:11,15 110:6 112:2 113:19 116:6,6 119:16 127:18 129:2 129:6 144:21 145:3,4 145:5 146:13 147:8,8 147:19,19 148:14,14 149:2,2,8,14 150:9,10 154:10,16,17,17 166:15,15 169:2,20 171:13,13 172:2,2 176:17,17 220:19

221:2 225:13.13 226:1,1 238:13,13 239:7,7,21,21 240:6,6 251:10,10,12 259:14 268:3 274:22 275:3 305:17,17 306:18,18 321:7 340:6,7 percentage 64:7 193:14 percentile 195:11,22 196.2 Perfect 46:9 perfectly 64:19 perform 275:9 performance 14:6 49:8 50:22 71:3 73:19 74:6 74:9 92:18 112:1,3 143:8 159:5 194:5,22 195:16 196:1 222:3 247:7,19 274:22 308:16 performed 157:15 performing 142:10 184:2,15 performs 71:9 perfunctory 83:21 perinatal 309:17 339:19 period 23:3.6.7 37:15 40:1,18 53:22 91:3 137:12 161:10 194:13 194:21 264:15 278:22 periods 37:17 38:2 perioperative 158:8 177:15 188:3 190:10 206:20 person 7:13 63:10 77:14 259:17 262:15 263:19 318:1 personally 91:14 161:1 221:12 persons 263:10 278:18 perspective 13:11 54:3 63:17 103:3 120:15 167:9 211:18 248:20 329:11,12 perspectives 38:10 119:14 125:4 pharmacy 1:16 10:9 220:4 phase 333:18 phasing 194:20 249:16 **PhD** 1:17,21 2:4,9 3:11 Phelan 2:11 9:9,9 12:11 14:2,3,3 53:9 63:21 77:3 100:22 104:17 119:1 124:13 145:9 145:15,18 196:14,19 213:22 215:8,16 227:5 281:17 296:6

343:16.19 phone 15:7 16:6,9 37:19 42:10,16 43:11 43:20 48:3 110:5 111:1 189:11 193:18 193:19 265:22 307:2 319:10 338:6 phones 141:11 physical 322:3 342:20 physician 8:7 9:10 48:14,14 61:17 68:22 73:3,5,7 74:2,18 78:2 79:18 120:8 135:7 196:7 199:12,16,20 204:3 206:17 207:20 258:21 272:10 341:5 physicians 14:7 54:6 68:3 73:6 74:4 77:20 141:21 **pick** 43:10 51:20 86:6 196:15 267:11,12 Picker 105:13 picking 43:16 **picks** 108:14 picture 135:4 211:2,5 234:21 336:8 pie 67:19 101:9 piece 6:21 98:3 109:2 113:15 324:11 331:4 pieces 250:4 323:13 341:14 Pierre 2:14 16:2 92:10 111:1 272:17 317:18 323:2,22 327:10 332:20 333:3 **pig** 39:18 **pilot** 36:19 316:4 320:12 321:16 pivotal 121:19 placard 35:7 placated 230:13 place 60:18 64:6 65:6,7 78:13 79:7 87:21 89:5 97:6 102:5 212:5 253:12 268:10 285:20 placed 33:7 200:17 places 67:8,11 plan 48:10 54:15 55:5 58:21 59:5,17 60:15 64:14 65:2 73:1 81:11 84:4 141:4 148:1,2,11 150:7 151:10 153:16 156:6 159:21 166:16 171:19 172:4 198:13 264:2,14 270:2 272:8 274:12,19 planned 159:8 planning 124:2 316:10

plans 60:2 169:3 245:22 play 119:21 145:1 175:7 plays 104:12 please 7:8 12:5 16:16 36:19 136:4 141:13 144:5 147:6 161:17 170:18 174:13 180:18 228:2 259:4 283:18 284:1 318:1 338:3 350:3 351:8 pleased 72:5 **plenty** 256:7 plus 113:6 324:11 point 7:21 21:5,14,20 23:20 31:22 32:4,11 33:20 34:10 35:1 36:11 37:5,14 38:6 39:3 40:15 42:21 44:7 53:3 58:3 67:4,5 69:3 69:14,16 72:16 76:4 77:19 81:4 82:1,3,18 83:22 94:7 99:14 104:17 106:12 108:8 109:15 117:9 119:13 121:8.19 122:9 126:13 129:10 134:21 139:5 143:13,21 150:11 156:8 169:6 181:9 189:7 212:22 213:2,6 218:11 219:13 223:12 244:1 245:22 246:1,3 249:22 254:6 264:7 265:1 268:19 281:14 286:2.6 288:21 289:18 291:8 299:1 304:5 333:22 335:9 337:3,7,9 339:6 pointed 70:1 116:19 270:21 272:17 325:5 pointing 229:7,19 points 43:9 69:20 204:15 336:12 337:12 337:14 policies 163:14 Policy 11:7 Pollock 2:13 9:3,3 28:3 29:6,14 30:3,19 112:19,20 117:9,13 121:15 232:15 256:12 256:13,16,19 329:7 330:11,22 331:8,14 331:19,22 332:7 **pool** 137:10 **Poonam** 2:17 17:16 20:6 39:10 45:18 46:6 47:20 155:3 191:1

260:7 poor 252:1,21 populate 199:7 population 13:1 51:9 53:18 88:15 91:7 95:21 103:8 116:7 179:14 182:13 185:15 186:16 191:15 202:11 205:8 218:20 230:4 231:14 237:6,8,12 271:6,7 272:4,6 273:2 273:3,8 278:10,10 284:15,17 308:7 311:8 345:3 346:7 349:7 populations 47:9 96:12 96:21 104:9 119:3 137:7 191:18 197:20 271:5 314:6 321:10 portfolio 256:5,10 portion 36:4 42:16 157:19 177:4 335:15 portions 52:4 316:8 pose 178:15 position 35:16 43:10 149:16 295:19 309:19 310:12 339:21 positions 6:16 positive 169:9 possibility 339:8 possible 24:5,8 41:10 210:3 241:4 273:16 275:14,18 292:5 299:20 possibly 42:10 116:6 post 179:20 186:21 203:17 217:11 241:17 post-acute 203:21 204:22 316:8 Post-Anesthetic 186:6 186:20 post-antibiotic 252:22 post-discharge 264:2 264:13 post-hospital 224:14 post-operative 185:22 postponed 50:9 potential 33:18 42:5 91:2 96:12 115:12 116:2 117:4 136:18 178:21 186:2,14 209:10 232:18 233:22 234:2 242:18 251:22 252:5 263:20 277:11 286:13 343:7 potentially 53:21 92:16 104:2 120:13 122:18 122:19 123:8 247:1

249:21 334:16 **PPS** 88:7,11 **PPS-exempt** 49:12 **PQF** 204:2 **PQMM** 142:18 PQRS 27:17,21 73:20 73:21 142:18 200:2 205:13 PQRS-based 179:8 183:8 185:17 practical 67:10 practicalities 64:2 practicality 64:20 practically 64:11 233:6 practice 36:1 92:4 94:10 114:4 207:7,7 practices 223:10 253:6 practitioner 119:19 pre 247:10 pre-admission 224:13 pre-comment 23:3,6 Pre-Operative 187:13 pre-rulemaking 4:5,6,8 4:10,11,13,15 18:8 pre-surgery 224:13 predict 63:15 prefer 41:7 244:12 preference 280:2,7 295:11 308:10 preferred 297:16 298:6 preliminary 19:17 20:4 22:17,18 31:5 32:1,20 33:11 34:12 45:8 47:18 48:9 49:4 51:15 116:22 118:19 134:4 140:7 157:5 159:1 172:11 175:19 178:3 187:1,10 260:8 280:21 300:5 308:22 335:13 premature 25:11 Premier 1:13 8:12 prepare 140:9 prepared 35:8 prerogative 124:3 prescribed 92:22 prescribers 254:22 present 3:8,22 251:18 252:4,15 329:17 presentation 321:18 presented 8:4 34:15,19 76:9 143:22 144:9 234:22 281:6 presenting 50:12 262:21 presently 23:16 presents 237:12 president 11:7 14:19

230:15,16 presiding 1:9 press 82:20,21 83:2 105:12 130:2,16 141:13 146:3 170:18 228:2 283:18 338:3 pressing 86:13 pressure 51:7 70:5,15 pressures 234:14,15 pretty 34:20,22 35:5 81:12 99:19 109:10 113:15 221:21 248:2 270:19 341:2 prevalence 273:8 prevalent 32:12 254:6 266:18 prevent 210:19 prevented 241:2 prevention 2:14 9:5 185:21 205:16 284:9 preventive 216:22 previous 47:6 79:10 118:1,16 128:12 174:20 202:14 249:7 318:7 322:9 324:20 325:19 351:2 previously 52:6 134:1 172:9 213:13 239:9 243:9 primarily 330:2 primary 18:14 46:2 60:12 63:1,2 77:20 78:1,12 112:16,17 216:22 219:3 226:22 262:7 272:21 322:3 principal 271:18 principals 28:15 prior 41:15 118:7 179:19 284:4 329:15 338:22 priorities 38:10 47:3 205:20 319:18 priority 18:10 52:14 157:22 167:21 252:9 255:8 256:4 333:5 336:15 privacy 308:7 private 99:13 179:7 Pro 199:20 204:8 proactive 79:16 probably 44:6 66:3 68:6 77:7,8 93:19 98:20 101:2 106:8 109:10 119:14 120:16,20 125:6,13 153:22 180:22 182:8 207:21 209:7 224:13 234:6 257:15 266:16 267:21

320:1 problem 81:1,1 233:7 286:18,18,19,20,20 291:3,3 298:11 320:17 345:13,16,16 345:17 problematic 236:1 279:10 289:3 294:5 problems 46:4 113:3 153:21 236:1 325:5 **Probst** 11:4 procedural 299:6 procedure 19:22 21:5 22:9 63:11 69:15,17 75:6,20 76:18 156:1 157:22 163:13 177:19 186:8,21 procedures 47:12 63:16 80:3 272:2 349:3 proceed 283:22 process 6:1 16:12 20:14,22 21:19 22:7 22:11 27:8 29:10,16 29:17,19,21 30:8,10 31:2 33:22 35:20 36:14 37:4 39:21 41:21 53:3 64:17 67:13 79:11 116:15 116:16,21 117:12,16 119:11 121:5 128:19 160:20 184:17 228:22 229:1,4,5,9 232:12 247:11 253:20 258:16 263:15 289:7 294:7 302:13 334:14 336:4 337:17 340:12 processes 64:17 prodigious 233:21 produced 288:6 productive 66:1,3 72:19 81:14 professional 92:3 professionals 9:1 204:11 230:17 307:19 professor 15:10 proforma 80:18 program 4:10,12,16 18:7,11,13 20:7 25:21 26:14 27:14,17,18,21 28:1,21 36:8 39:11 44:2,12,14,17 45:12 45:13,17 46:11,12,22 47:16 48:17 49:2,9,20 50:8 51:4 69:1 73:20 73:21 88:8,21 90:2 95:13 104:20 105:1 106:16 107:5,9,10,11

107:12 108:18 110:9 111:9.10 112:13 118:10 122:19 133:21 134:1 142:18,19 155:2,2,4,7,16 158:4 159:7,9,16 169:22 170:5 172:10 176:22 177:20 178:11 180:9 180:10 181:6,15,19 182:1 184:20 185:7 187:17 188:10,22 190:5,17,18,19 191:5 191:7,12 192:22 193:18 194:1,2,18 197:19 198:12 199:3 199:4,18 200:5,6 201:10,12,14,17 202:18 203:2,15 204:5 205:4,7,18 206:3 208:5 209:2,3,5 210:5 211:4 212:17 213:15 215:10 216:3 217:9 218:5,21 219:6 220:13,14 222:8 226:10 227:4 232:3,3 237:10 240:12.13.16 240:18 241:9.10.20 241:22 242:16 243:1 243:7,19 244:12,19 245:3,8,13 259:3,7,8 259:15,16,16 260:6,9 263:17 264:6 270:8,9 273:13 275:14 277:14 279:9,19,21 280:15 287:18 288:9 290:11 306:21 307:8,11,14 307:16 308:9,17,21 310:6 317:10 326:14 331:21 333:9 341:3,9 348:15 program's 263:12 programmatic 22:21 196:21 programs 21:8,13 35:15 44:17 48:12,15 73:22 88:18 89:10 107:9 155:20 165:18 178:10 179:9 180:7 183:9 185:17 192:7 198:8,14 199:21 200:10,14,17 202:21 203:16 204:4,7,10 205:12,15 207:20 213:16 216:5,10 243:14,17,22 244:5 249:11,12 253:5,22 260:5 276:1 278:8

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC 286:12,16 290:8

11	1		i
295:5 308:17 331:13	163:4 200:12	push 44:14,19 121:1	74:13 75:1 78:19
341:16 342:3,10,16	proving 328:12	123:7 343:13	80:11 81:16 84:5 85:5
342:18 348:4	provisions 228:19	pushed 27:15	85:9,13 89:18 99:21
prohibits 287:7	proxy 48:2 61:7	pushes 116:8	125:17 126:8 127:10
project 1:20 2:17,18,19	<b>PSI-5</b> 241:14	pushing 71:16	129:20 135:22 136:2
10:6 17:12,17,17,19	psychiatric 4:13 251:13	put 31:11 32:3 33:10	138:19 141:22 144:2
226:12 241:6 319:4	259:3,6,10,18 261:5	34:12 42:2 62:12	146:2 152:2,3 153:14
320:12 321:16 329:14	261:14 262:7,16,21	65:16,17 68:14 78:8	168:1 196:14 197:3,7
prolapse 183:3,13,19	264:4 267:22 268:8	84:5 85:6 88:14 90:2	197:10 198:1 201:5
184:3,16	268:12,21 271:7	90:13 96:7 104:1	207:15 221:7 223:17
prominent 163:18	273:2 274:16 275:16	118:9,12 124:7 130:1	225:8,17 242:1 243:3
164:1	278:16 280:5 294:20	130:9,10 142:9 150:7	243:6 245:6 255:14
promote 185:16 192:6	295:1 321:19 343:21	169:14 175:3,7,8	256:8 273:20 284:10
288:15 308:1	psychiatry 284:6,16	199:13 201:6 208:20	290:18 291:9,12
promoted 126:18	341:10 342:5	209:10 218:10 220:13	292:4 293:15 299:8
287:10,11,12 288:3	public 20:21 37:15,16	220:17 221:11 224:3	311:21 313:13 317:12
promotes 48:12 178:8	37:17,20,21 38:1,2	225:9,20 226:20	317:13,14,20 318:1
180:6	40:1,5,7,10,18,20	229:15 235:22 245:16	318:19 319:16 320:16
promoting 89:2 288:2,5	40.1,5,7,10,18,20	256:9 264:10 280:16	323:20 327:12 328:6
promulgated 120:9	54:4 63:9 88:21 89:4	287:1 291:14 293:3	323.20 327.12 328.6
propensity 325:9	93:21 94:2 111:16	296:3 298:7 303:22	questioned 77:15
proper 79:13 328:13	134:2 141:8,11,16,19	312:16 320:8,21	questioning 95:14
properly 218:8	142:17 156:9 158:2	341:17 342:11	questions 17:1,3 18:15
prophylaxis 258:9	159:10 160:15 170:15	puts 62:13 120:7	24:11 36:3 39:9,17
proportion 183:1,11,17	170:15,18,20,21,22	putting 217:21 230:5	45:22 46:8 66:20 72:9
262:20	170:10,17,170,20,21,22	299:11 332:14 339:8	85:9 87:15 97:6,16,18
proposal 40:9 58:15	227:22 228:2 240:17	299.11 552.14 559.0	98:11,13,14 105:8,9
131:22 256:22	245:1 246:5 247:6,18	Q	105:22 106:1 107:18
propose 23:17 31:9	248:12,19,21 249:5	quagmire 65:12 67:9,10	109:4,8 122:11
160:22 288:16 312:15	249:11 258:10 266:14	qualification 290:6	133:14 135:10,13,19
314:7	283:15,16,18,19	qualifies 76:5	137:13 138:2 150:21
proposed 25:22 107:21	308:7 310:7 311:3,13	qualify 290:5	168:6 174:5 196:21
314:10 337:20 346:12	338:1,11,16	quality 1:1,8,16 2:3 4:6	245:5 319:8,12 323:1
proposing 31:16	publicly 106:9 107:6	4:8,13 5:5 7:18 10:9	326:18
protocol 186:7	155:10 244:13 246:7	11:7 14:6 15:22 20:17	<b>queue</b> 42:2,3
protocols 127:13	pull 18:2 23:15 33:17	44:2 45:17 46:10,21	quick 57:10 81:13
protracted 91:11	34:14 51:19 53:5	48:15,17 50:1 69:1	132:10 177:10 237:2
provide 21:6 23:1 26:16	54:14 57:2,3 121:1	73:3,4,5,12 74:14	267:18
28:5 31:15 32:18 37:8	131:11 139:14 161:14	88:8,17,22 89:1,4	<b>quicker</b> 44:18
49:6 81:11 155:13	173:17,18 208:1	93:18 94:11 103:3	quickly 22:10 91:21
163:11 196:9 213:3	267:4,14 313:18	107:13 114:5 133:17	163:10 340:10 341:16
265:11 308:18 329:8	pulled 31:7,14 33:7	155:3,6,12,14,19,22	quiet 319:22
330:10 333:10	pulling 51:19 58:3,5	162:5 169:3 172:13	Quigley 3:19 283:20,21
provided 19:7 21:10,21	229:22	178:8,9,10 179:13	284:2,3,7
32:2 39:1 232:18	Pulmonary 178:17	180:7,8 181:3 191:9	quite 19:3 38:15 89:12
261:10 262:12 263:3	puncture 185:9	191:19 192:7 199:8	101:8 314:4 338:12
273:16 306:6,9	purchaser 123:12	202:21 203:16,22	349:11
350:22	purchasing 106:22	204:19 209:11 216:20	quiz 96:6
provider 264:3 266:8	180:9 197:8 198:6	222:11,12 223:12	quoted 118:15
provider's 279:4	241:10 244:8 254:15	224:9,17 233:9	-
providers 61:15 65:8	<b>purely</b> 189:4	256:21 259:3,7	R
67:6 76:17 112:9	purpose 88:20,21 89:3	272:15 277:19 278:7	<b>R</b> 2:10
159:6 178:16 179:11	115:1 163:3 164:17	278:8 286:12 297:22	Rabia 3:16 193:18,19
186:13,17 187:4	288:18	308:4,11 333:6,11	194:8,9 201:4 202:1
191:9 217:4	purposes 29:11 37:9,10	345:2,5 346:13	204:18 205:7 215:12
provides 98:8 103:1	122:19 246:5 299:17	question 24:15 26:20	radiation 49:6 54:15
307:18	<b>pursue</b> 124:1	39:22 45:19 57:11	88:6,10,16 90:18,19
providing 155:12 163:3	<b>pursuing</b> 216:18	60:2 71:11 73:18	91:6,10,11 92:7,20
l		l	

117:5 178:20 radiographic 217:14 radiologist 65:11 **Radiology** 119:5 142:15 radiotherapy 49:5 54:16 55:8 87:10 140:14 146:8 raise 35:7 71:13 237:3 295:6 raised 111:16 165:9 182:9 188:13 216:11 244:22 262:5 288:21 320:2 raising 132:13 272:15 275:10 random 97:5 100:16 range 74:17,21 202:12 329:18 ranges 112:2 ranking 245:10,11 rate 74:9 158:11 191:11 191:20 273:1 275:1 278:11 284:18 rates 155:22 251:20 254:3.10.12 284:21 321:3,7 333:14 349:6 rating 248:13 Ratings 11:2 ratio 236:7 rationale 31:13 32:19 59:19 279:17 332:9 re-categorization 33:18 re-review 130:18 re-reviewed 121:16 re-specification 86:1 re-specified 61:1 re-specify 85:14 reach 33:14,22 149:13 149:14 150:10 151:21 294:11.13 reached 33:14 150:8 react 31:19 79:13 reactions 178:21 reactive 80:8 read 99:22 147:6 163:9 172:12 238:6 288:14 readily 62:5,7 reading 103:6,12 115:22 266:22 readmission 107:10,11 205:1,4 254:12 268:4 278:11 309:3,8 313:3 314:4 321:3,7 322:16 325:9 331:1 335:11 335:18 336:11 337:4 339:19 readmissions 102:15

254:14,14 263:21 278:12,22 285:2 312:16 315:11 320:6 320:13 323:4,6,9,15 323:16 324:13 325:6 336:13 339:3 readmitted 279:2,2 ready 42:1 46:5 146:1 176:9 348:18 real 16:20 36:21 95:9 229:20 314:19 345:15 realize 123:18 287:9 realized 230:9 really 16:12,18 22:10 35:9,22 39:21 47:17 65:17 66:21,22 69:17 71:9,20 76:8,19 78:11 89:21 93:20 94:1,11 95:9 103:2 104:5 109:6,7,12 116:7 119:9 120:15 123:3 123:15 124:1 126:13 127:3 134:17 138:19 142:8 143:13 165:18 167:19 181:11 203:15 204:20 212:10 214:16 216:9.21 217:3 223:11 230:18,21 231:2 233:19 246:17 247:10 248:21 258:5 269:5 270:22 281:4 289:3 293:13 300:9 300:18 301:2 321:20 322:4 324:5,15 325:5 325:8,12 335:17 336:21 337:3 343:1 345:9,20,22 346:21 347:3,7,7 348:6 349:17,20 350:10,16 realm 296:18 rear 218:14,15 reason 11:19 42:8 94:12 95:14,19 109:11 114:11 119:2 119:9,19 153:2 162:7 209:4 245:16 253:14 271:18 272:22 278:14 278:16 279:16 297:13 298:11 reasonable 174:6,7 reasonably 241:1 reasoning 31:16 59:19 reasons 88:13 115:7 122:21 126:2 161:3 161:16 276:22 279:5 279:18 335:12 recalculate 248:6 recall 6:21 274:14

**receive** 46:16 49:13 50:2,18 52:16 88:10 134:2 136:12 158:20 172:9 184:22 188:6 192:4 242:17 259:13 260:20 265:2 311:13 received 18:7 22:19 23:2 48:9,21 88:5,16 92:13 156:9 158:2 159:1,2,9 160:15 180:13 182:4,9 184:13 188:18 202:15 216:6 260:17 261:18 262:13 265:15 306:2 306:10 309:12 310:7 311:3 335:22 receiving 266:8 recite 12:5 recognition 293:22 recognize 23:8 35:10 58:14 233:14,15 recognized 333:13 recognizing 209:9 255:2 recommend 54:7 143:2 247:5 267:3.9.15 302:19 344:20 recommendation 33:12 55:21 116:19 118:19 149:12 169:5 175:20 178:4 267:5 271:20 280:22 283:3 293:22 294:4 296:22 301:10 304:8 recommendations 21:9 33:13 34:12 142:22 143:3 316:16 343:20 recommended 205:7 242:13 273:9 277:3 279:10 288:8,18 recommending 293:19 recommends 29:7 reconcile 290:2 reconsider 30:15 **reconvene** 189:10 306:22 record 35:19 52:4 78:13 99:16 113:13 114:19 147:6 149:21 163:19 164:1 189:14 238:7 239:13 260:16 263:14 265:14 266:3,6,9 269:18 270:20 271:15 271:22 272:1 276:21 278:2,3 279:3 284:5,5 284:14 305:10,10 306:1 307:4,14 309:21 323:13 329:5

351:10 recorded 114:20 337:10 records 99:13 122:3 306:12 recruit 230:15 rectal 184:16 red 142:6 reduce 50:11 51:8 180:3 191:10 209:6 222:9 241:3 255:22 263:21 285:2 reduced 308:5 reducing 241:7 249:9 277:11 reduction 4:11 46:16 107:9,11 178:20 240:12,16 241:9,20 245:8,12 259:14 341:9 reemphasize 108:8 reference 122:10 referenced 137:21 336:10 referred 111:17 referring 106:22 288:5 288:10,10,19 336:12 refine 345:14 refined 138:16 reflect 84:2 93:15 113:13 114:18 130:5 130:10 131:2 160:4 253:18 300:5 reflected 114:13 reflecting 22:16 334:22 reflects 188:14 reframed 300:4 **refresh** 170:12 refusing 261:8 regard 12:8 232:10 325:1 326:4 regarded 114:2 regarding 18:15 133:14 150:19 160:16 161:11 163:17 172:17 182:10 229:16 242:18 248:11 316:4 320:13 339:8 Regardless 119:1 regards 212:5,8 270:15 registers 66:19 Registration 163:1 registries 179:7 rehospitalizations 339:11 reimburse 95:22 reimbursement 92:1 104:3 253:10 reiterate 22:4 129:13

reiterated 161:19 reiterating 22:17 194:11 relate 13:16 related 7:2 54:1 99:4 102:14 117:4 161:4 178:11 190:12 215:11 268:4 272:21 284:4 327:13 333:3 346:8 relates 20:4 35:17 40:21 relating 102:22 320:16 relationship 60:19 61:3 66:7 67:14 349:16 relationships 76:16 259:20 relative 322:6 339:2 341:7 relatively 278:11 relevant 12:7,9 28:11 106:6 192:1 212:22 213:1 332:2,10 reliability 157:6 158:18 168:7,10 171:4,8 reliable 253:17 308:18 reliably 168:4 relief 49:7 160:12 reluctant 290:16 rely 16:12 relying 80:21 122:2 remain 226:8 remainder 229:8 remaining 185:3 208:18 remains 86:16 110:8 226:3,14 227:11,16 314:15 remark 275:6 remarks 31:15 270:13 270:15 remember 347:2 remind 12:11 16:11 74:13 217:8 249:7 reminder 37:19 86:15 remiss 123:16 remission 192:10 remissions 260:3 removal 70:7,15 77:5 159:4,6 168:20 171:18 remove 280:6 removed 66:6 159:7 169:2 224:20 280:14 removes 313:3 removing 53:9 264:20 renal 310:19 340:1 **rental** 72:10 reorienting 31:1 repair 157:18 179:4

183:3,13,19 184:17 199:12 repeat 156:7 172:11 213:12 239:12 284:18 305:9 318:1 rephrase 282:22 replace 272:19 282:13 replacement 290:9 replacing 274:7 282:15 replicate 250:5,11 **report** 46:15 99:10,12 102:9 126:20 140:3 157:11 194:17 195:21 198:8,9 200:3,11 246:7 252:14,16 253:2 255:7 259:11 259:12 277:13 285:17 reported 106:9 107:7 113:15 155:9 192:9 192:12 194:1 199:18 204:8 240:18 244:13 244:20 246:10 247:1 259:8 346:11 348:14 348:16 reporting 4:6,8,13 44:2 45:17 46:11,12 48:15 48:17 50:9 69:1 73:3 73:4,6,12 74:14 88:8 88:21 104:20 105:1 106:15 155:3,6,8,19 162:6 169:3,19 178:9 178:9,11 180:7,8 192:7 200:6 202:21 203:16 204:12 240:17 243:11 245:2 246:5 247:7,18 248:12,19 248:21 249:5,11 255:6 257:4 258:10 259:3,7,8 277:12 285:14 286:12 299:17 307:16 reports 288:6 represent 6:14,17 8:8 11:21,22 12:2 179:12 188:15 224:9 308:12 representative 6:18 10:1,4 13:11 163:12 163:16 representatives 11:19 representing 8:16 10:9 10:15,20 161:2 224:12 represents 131:6 139:10 179:4 request 124:17 141:8 190:17 290:13 requesting 128:15 requests 311:18

require 29:18 178:1 300:1 316:9 required 46:15 74:1,4 97:13 157:2 270:5,21 274:19 285:15 289:12 304:22 316:15 requirement 28:18 64:19 108:17 272:12 278:6 287:3 303:1.2 304:1 requirements 30:5 257:7 287:2 requires 98:19 178:14 247:10 269:22 requiring 227:13 rerun 128:16 research 12:9 13:8 15:1 114:3 349:13 researcher 11:14 reserving 22:12 **residents** 157:12 resides 299:3 resistance 252:2,17 254:1,9 255:4 resistant 254:13 resolution 181:2.5 182:17 238:17 239:3 resolve 149:16,19 290:10 resonate 212:11 resource 192:15 202:16 216:7.21 resources 47:13 183:8 210:19 220:17 259:21 263:16 344:10,15,16 349:11 **respec** 293:7 297:12 respecification 324:17 respecified 201:1 respecifying 153:7 respect 28:11 29:10 38:12 113:1,4 121:22 298:16 323:8 respectful 98:9 respond 38:4 98:12 211:22 221:19 270:9 286:1 responding 160:14 response 110:3 132:8 143:19 173:4 246:4 responses 96:19,19 97:2,3,12,12 responsibility 20:19 21:1 responsible 38:19 95:7 302:20 rest 295:20 restate 55:20

resubmission 184:11 239:10,14,17 result 32:21 153:21,22 156:18 187:10 248:18 271:3 298:5 results 99:9 147:3,7,18 148:13 149:1 154:15 166:14 171:12 172:1 176:17 225:12.22 238:12 239:6,20 240:5 251:9 272:1 305:16 306:17 308:18 328:19 340:6 résumé 12:5 resumed 149:21 189:14 307:4 retained 241:15 retaining 169:10 retired 188:4 retooling 324:18,19 325:13 revenue 220:20 review 4:4 18:5 21:12 22:16 23:10,17 28:4 29:10 44:18 45:14 50:14 51:5 116:20 117:17 118:20 132:20 132:22 141:1 168:2 168:13 178:18 180:16 182:22 183:4,14 184:5.12 188:8 208:4 239:15,18 299:16 310:6 311:10 335:1 reviewed 20:5 22:22 29:8 30:11 56:20 90:21 118:2 131:15 207:11 242:12 309:10 311:2 reviewing 18:22 47:21 48:16 revise 283:2 revision 119:2 revote 154:5 reward 297:21 rich 67:4 304:9 347:4 Richard 1:13 8:11 26:18 27:7 55:19 66:9 80:9 95:17 115:4 127:8 205:21 208:16 210:12 217:5 218:11 219:11 328:10 RICKSICKER 3:18 317:18,22 318:6 319:2,14 ride 291:17 right 17:9 26:1 35:22 36:2 38:16 41:17 42:16 43:15 44:1 53:2

54:8 55:17 57:12.19 65:18 70:18 71:4 79:7 80:19 83:16 84:3 86:9 87:2,21 88:1 89:5,8 89:13 90:1,10,14 93:17 94:4,19 97:14 102:10 107:1 110:4 110:10 115:16 118:5 123:11 126:2 127:21 128:11 130:6,11 131:2,7 132:9 133:3 134:3 139:1,8 140:4 140:12 144:8,8 150:21 151:4,9 152:1 154:18 160:2 162:18 163:17 168:6 169:20 174:4 175:15,21 181:22 190:3 200:8 201:2 207:5 210:8 215:17 219:8,9 220:22 221:2,5 226:2 227:7 228:12 232:22 235:10 236:10,13 237:14,20,22 240:7 249:19 250:17 255:20 258:6.11 266:4 269:19 275:4.7 281:11 283:4 287:21 289:9 295:12 300:2 303:15 304:10 307:6 313:5 315:20 320:8 320:21 322:14,19 325:17 327:14 328:3 328:7,10 331:22 332:7 333:17 334:5 336:3,7 337:19 338:14 340:16 347:6 349:19 351:4 rigor 29:20 30:2 rigorous 223:7 risk 51:9 120:12 185:19 188:16 192:14 230:5 236:8 242:8 255:12 272:15 309:14 310:13 310:17 312:9 314:19 315:6,8 316:5,12 324:4,6,7,12,21 325:20 331:4,13 336:1.2 risks 284:14 **RN** 1:21 2:4 road 336:6 346:12 Robertson 2:1 9:13,14 59:12,18 robin 20:12 robust 298:19 320:12 323:8 ROCs 321:6

roll 300:12 roll-out 104:7 rolled 249:22 Ron 5:13 14:22 23:13 34:16 63:7 64:22 70:1 71:19 98:17 150:3 208:2 251:12 255:10 259:5 265:17 280:20 281:12 282:5 **RONALD** 1:12 room 1:8 6:3 16:9 37:22 43:19 86:20,21 87:16 130:8 141:19 160:12 170:16,22 186:8,21 189:12 228:4 232:19 235:14 252:20 291:13 293:4 298:8 302:5 319:5 338:4 339:13 rooms 300:17 root 223:10 roughly 278:4,13 round 20:12 routine 68:3 70:6 routinely 68:8 79:16 RT 91:22 92:2,6 rule 143:6,7 196:8 rulemaking 243:14 rules 143:5 243:16 run 62:2 223:11 224:1 running 252:8 257:20 rupture 157:18 rural 2:2 9:19 104:8 rushed 66:4 S **S** 1:12 **S-CAHPS** 136:18,22 138:10 S-E-S-S-I-O-N 190:1 sacrifice 222:11 safe 285:2 safely 51:11 125:9,10 safety 11:7 62:3 63:17 92:15 121:1,7 177:17 178:13,20 203:11 205:15 212:6 213:20 224:8 229:14,20 231:1 238:18,21 241:11 242:11 251:1 251:4,16 258:2 308:4 310:4,22 347:5 **SAMHSA** 287:12 sample 97:4,5,11 100:5 100:17 199:6,9 200:7 **sampled** 100:8 satisfaction 105:22 save 120:13

role 117:18

saved 350:1 saving 203:15 savings 4:10 176:22 184:19 185:7 187:17 188:10,22 190:5 191:5 193:10 194:16 195:3,14,18 199:18 201:10,12,14,17,17 202:19 203:19 208:5 209:3,20 210:2,5 215:10,12,22 216:15 217:9,18,22 218:21 219:6 220:14 222:5 226:10,19 227:4 237:10 258:20 341:3 342:5 saw 65:12 346:19 saying 27:3 30:17 43:3 72:16,22 78:11 79:18 81:20 89:21 92:12 125:13 130:6 135:18 138:1 145:6 152:6 218:1 220:11 232:13 232:16 248:15 275:7 281:1 286:22 294:14 294:15 295:1 296:7 296:18 298:4.6 304:4 313:6,7 326:4 327:13 says 108:15 160:17 163:21 271:17 273:14 278:20 297:2.21 302:4 313:15 314:18 scan 113:1 119:17 120:2,6 121:22 scans 111:19 112:4 116:3 119:20 scenario 72:1 scenarios 72:3 schedule 43:7 149:5 196:7 schedules 91:1,6 schizophrenia 273:10 School 11:11 Science 252:15 scientific 30:9,12 257:6 335:1 scientifically 334:17 **scope** 21:6,15 92:4 331:18 scoped 24:21 score 57:12,12 97:7 98:15,15 scored 96:16 194:4 scores 49:21 240:18 scoring 245:12 screen 46:3 83:1 96:8 162:10 screening 269:2,12,13

273:13 scrutiny 113:11 **SCS** 316:4 327:18 sea 293:5 Sean 2:10 15:7,9 48:3 61:7 62:11,14 67:22 68:15,16 69:18 76:11 90:12,14 91:19 132:14,15 154:9 160:2,7 282:6 Sean's 48:5 61:7 seating 21:21 second 21:22 23:13 31:6 49:3 51:1 54:8 55:18,19 68:17 70:4 102:18 140:10 147:9 166:18 178:6 188:2 198:1 202:5 210:6,7 225:15 260:13 261:9 263:12 265:19 281:1 281:17 282:1,2 283:6 286:10 301:20 309:16 310:10 322:17,18 332:19 335:20 338:18 348:13 secondary 122:2,3 seconded 31:18 95:18 282:9 secondly 99:2 104:5 162:22 213:11 269:16 269:21 274:11 342:22 349:9 seconds 83:1 Secretary 316:10 section 44:13 107:22 309:17,19 322:11 339:20,22 sector 179:7 security 78:14 308:8 see 5:22 7:12 18:3 27:1 27:19 31:2 33:1 37:2 37:3 38:16 39:20 45:1 45:9 48:2,9 49:15 51:13 60:22 62:4 63:1 66:17 70:9 71:14,16 78:22 90:4 101:6 105:2 110:6 130:21 162:10 181:19 189:9 201:7 203:5,12,17 204:21 234:15 235:4 275:9 284:11 285:8 290:17 294:15 295:1 300:16 351:7 seeing 118:14 139:22 203:19 205:17 230:2 234:10,10 250:19 334:12 349:15 seek 187:20

seeks 180:2 seemingly 24:20 135:10 seen 56:17 67:8 72:17 95:9 97:17 141:2 258:8 330:17 seizures 230:6 234:16 select 44:17 45:5 104:6 127:12 214:3 308:11 selected 183:8 329:11 330:7 selecting 94:1 selection 19:20 22:21 222:3 299:14 selects 215:20 self-care 306:3 semantics 299:7 send 23:7 199:6 295:19 328:13 329:3 sending 301:11 senior 2:16,18,19 11:2 17:19,22 98:5 sense 24:17 29:9 42:10 60:16 94:9 137:14 159:20 245:3.7 247:18 279:20 332:11 340:14 sensitive 22:13 137:3 261:4 310:3 sent 34:3 142:17 sentiment 152:20 sentiments 345:2 **separate** 102:3 108:5 215:18,19 233:10 270:12 separated 247:9 separately 145:19 198:20 225:2 229:5 302:16 **Septimus** 3:20 242:10 251:15 252:7 256:14 256:18 257:12,19 258:8,13 sequence 246:1 sequencing 244:6 series 228:15 serious 284:19 seriously 21:1 81:6 serve 14:19 321:9 331:9 serves 347:9 service 2:1 9:14 12:1 15:3 60:21 80:4 106:1 164:15 179:14 195:8 206:9,13,18 235:19 services 2:13,15 11:14 46:18,20 60:6 163:3,5 179:18 209:13 277:18

**SES** 336:5,8 337:6,14 session 20:11 **set** 4:7,9,10,12,14,18 51:14,18,20 52:10,13 58:8 74:3 98:14 125:2 131:11 133:9 142:13 173:17 174:20 195:5 195:8 201:6,8,19 203:15 206:8 209:11 216:20 244:1 274:4 280:5 282:14 294:10 294:12 304:1 308:16 333:10 sets 18:11 98:13 202:9 240:12 setting 60:3 63:6 80:2 87:19 90:19 119:20 156:13,17,21 160:18 160:21 161:5 162:8 186:18 187:4 196:8 197:3 206:9 213:4 275:17 276:3 301:22 settings 49:12 61:20 62:21 81:12 88:10,16 179:11 184:21 187:19 187:19 192:3.5 197:14 242:7 253:6 276:6 293:18 294:20 295:1,5,13,15 299:19 settled 138:14 seven 51:14.21 52:11 159:12 177:7 188:20 272:7 severity 325:10,11 330:13 331:10 share 87:3 123:1 154:7 216:16 266:13 330:4 345:1 shared 4:10 45:10 176:22 184:19,21 185:6 187:17,18 188:9,22 190:4 191:5 191:17,22 193:5,9 194:15 195:3,14,18 199:18 201:10,12,14 201:16,17 203:14 204:13 208:5 209:2 209:20 210:2,5 215:10,22 216:15 217:9,17,22 218:21 219:6 226:10,19 227:4 237:10 258:19 341:3 SharePoint 21:12 sharing 57:22 116:11 202:19 215:11 sheet 313:19 Shelley 1:19 76:2 94:5

Shelly 10:11 Shield 2:7 9:17 **shift** 80:11,13 **shifted** 224:6 **shining** 344:19 short 6:21 18:22 23:9 307:17 332:17 shoulders 117:20 show 27:22 32:11 132:12 140:8 159:19 164:21 175:10 271:18 showed 273:4 **showing** 128:3 321:4 shown 49:21 272:4 shows 75:5 **shuffled** 230:12 sick 331:6 sicker 211:15 **side** 43:14,15 76:10 82:2 113:6,8 120:12 202:18,19 209:16,20 224:17 233:5 302:13 320:21 sides 76:9 sigh 160:12 signage 108:1,11 signal 295:19 301:11 301:22 305:2 signed 252:18 significance 252:20 **significant** 51:10 88:9 88:15 116:2,4 158:10 182:3,9,12 278:21 significantly 255:7 **signing** 67:11 signs 97:21 106:3 113:10 330:3,12,20 331:1,8 silence 16:17 similar 50:20 135:9,12 139:2 162:2 173:9 182:16 187:1 242:17 244:13 282:13 285:19 286:9 simple 32:15 83:17 231:2 simply 262:22 282:16 302:4 323:9 325:13 339:3 Sinai 15:12 sing 93:17 single 51:16 55:15 60:21 77:14 79:22 91:22 92:6 93:3 131:13 132:19 140:22 147:14 152:20 160:21 188:13 310:12 singleton 309:18

339:21 sinking 152:5 sir 141:12 228:1 236:6 245:9,10,11,13 246:13,16 SIRs 246:10,21 sit 8:1 16:16 site 21:12 52:5 80:3 158:12 206:9,9,13,18 241:16,17 272:10 305:12 306:4,13 sites 52:8 61:2 97:1 sitting 6:17,18 11:20 situation 257:9 situations 61:18 76:22 **six** 19:4 63:9 182:5,5 246:14 sized 248:3 skill 349:13 skimp 209:10 skin 293:10 skipped 190:18 Slabach 2:2 9:18,18 81:4 103:15 131:17 131:21 132:4.6 slated 318:7.9 sleeping 341:22 slide 84:2,10 slides 130:4,5,12 131:1 141:2 145:22 slight 128:12 slightly 62:16 135:13 279:22 **Slosburg** 2:3 7:14,17 7:17 68:19,19 69:13 161:13,13,18 164:20 165:2 166:5 167:5 169:16 170:2 slots 318:11 slowed 334:18 small 229:21 233:12 335:15 347:8 smaller 104:8 smoking 77:17 188:10 188:11 192:11 273:1 273:5 smooth 341:2 **SNF** 205:4 Social 78:14 **Society** 92:20 187:22 sociodemographic 320:5,14,20 321:2,16 333:20 socioeconomic 315:15 328:20 347:1 solely 275:16 339:4 solid 26:13 113:15 solution 233:7 286:15

solutions 93:5 solved 337:6 somebody 74:15 75:14 137:8 159:20 175:16 231:18 273:9 277:21 278:20 279:1 314:8 somebody's 69:14 70:5 72:8 sorry 44:7 45:2 55:10 56:8 58:2 97:16 100:13 112:16 131:19 137:21 148:6 154:8 156:2,13 160:3 170:13 190:15 227:10 237:18 238:1,5 259:12 269:20 271:10 282:5,6 302:11 317:3 317:22 319:15 321:18 343:16 sort 63:3 65:9 66:7 89:11 92:15 96:4 108:19 161:4 201:9 201:19 202:5 205:19 209:10 222:17,20,22 223:11 246:14 248:13 248:16 249:7.12 269:14 274:21 289:20 294:4 316:4 327:15 333:10 347:21 sorting 258:20 269:3 sound 113:11 sounds 103:6 139:20 152:18 source 126:2 313:22 sources 227:1 335:22 Sox 113:22 114:7 space 143:16 214:6 255:3 270:3 344:9 **span** 192:4 spared 19:16 speak 13:1 16:13,16,20 38:1 43:9 44:8 164:15 169:12 215:18 319:7 speaking 12:9 41:22 61:16 155:5 212:20 230:22 291:5 347:2 speaks 29:20 special 252:12 319:3 specialist 63:2 specialists 217:13 specialties 142:15 265:12 specific 12:20 20:10 40:8 107:12 133:14 151:14 167:11 197:3 206:5 225:16 245:22 312:7 319:8 325:4 335:14

specifically 25:3 50:20 150:13 155:20 180:14 205:10 281:11 348:14 specification 105:3,5 121:6 specifications 28:6 45:2 57:16 130:19 284:12 specifics 274:14 specified 24:21 25:6,7 156:15 162:9 185:3 199:15 206:21 218:20 231:14 260:16 264:4 274:18 275:16 306:1 311:1 314:16 343:6 specify 264:15 specifying 271:14 specs 96:7 98:22 200:19,19 207:16,18 285:9 **spectrum** 120:12 344:13 spend 219:19 225:16 343:22 344:17 spending 136:17 179:17 187:8 190:13 191:21 208:15 209:22 210:16 211:20 212:21 214:9 221:16 225:15 225:18 238:8 **spent** 19:11 36:9 136:10,21 160:6 208:19 spinal 182:11 228:10 228:14 229:22 230:15 230:17,18,22 231:3 233:5,11 234:2,9 242:19 244:22 spine 227:14 239:11,15 spine/low 226:14 **split** 23:21 34:2 63:13 spoke 325:9 **spot** 169:14 **spotty** 341:14 spreadsheet 313:21,22 square 269:18 297:13 St 1:15 11:4 Stacie 3:15 141:20 staff 2:16 16:21,22 17:8 23:10 25:1 32:2 37:6 38:18,22 43:2 48:5 96:17,19,21 97:2,12 98:4,5,9,11,15 100:5 100:7,18 101:5 109:5 117:10 281:13 312:13 350:20 staffing 349:13 stage 24:17 25:3

staging 249:12 stakeholders 19:6 143:16 205:6 302:4,6 stand 58:10 141:6 149:6,11 151:22 337:12 standard 70:8,10 91:22 96:6 112:22 163:8 245:9,13,19 246:10 271:21 272:15 278:1 278:3,20 286:7 289:17 294:12 333:10 standardization 223:5 310:16 312:8 standardized 101:18 102:1 190:13 211:20 212:21 223:1,6 224:11 225:18 238:8 standards 208:15 275:19 294:11,22 **Standing** 178:17 229:14 242:11,12 251:16 299:16 standpoint 7:20 25:8 stands 57:7 252:14 291:14 star 141:14 170:18 228:2 248:13 283:18 338:3 start 12:15 36:1,2 44:4 44:10 47:21 54:12 58:20 59:2,4 86:12 103:2 105:20 111:5 143:3 167:14 177:10 183:1 193:19 202:2.8 268:22 270:17 275:8 329:21 341:21 350:6 351:5 started 18:18 20:7 36:8 38:8,21 87:3 190:16 191:2 340:18 starting 58:19 72:9 143:13 213:6 286:15 329:15 starts 215:3 state 14:20 31:22 152:15 235:6 265:7 277:13 stated 49:10 151:13 161:3 173:8 335:13 statement 29:20,22 271:3 332:20 334:3 statements 35:11,16 116:13 193:6 249:3 states 39:13 91:17 233:17 286:14 stating 231:11 statistical 104:11

329:12 status 28:7,11 192:10 330:19 stay 124:20 130:17 212:15 267:10 285:17 stays 131:6 262:7 Steering 271:12 Stefancyk 2:4 10:14,15 173:3.7 **Stents** 187:14 step 22:15 23:13 24:12 31:3,6 32:6,22 105:17 139:4 174:16 336:3 step-down 260:1 steps 6:8 98:6 106:8 266:10 steward 188:5 318:20 stewarded 99:1 stewardship 251:17 252:1,10 253:4,11,17 253:20 345:11,18 stigmatized 76:21 stood 314:15 stop 24:10 25:22 85:4 134:7 256:6 326:13 326:19.20 327:1.1 336:7.19 straightforward 22:11 34:20,22 35:4 169:1 strains 252:2 strange 89:20 211:5 strategy 96:13 204:19 252:16 302:17 stratification 77:4 Street 1:9 strength 301:10 302:9 strike 72:7 121:7 strikes 89:12 stroke 185:9,11 strokes 230:6 234:16 strong 301:12 stronger 295:16,17 strongest 209:7 295:18 305:2 strongly 20:16 61:12 158:3 247:5 253:3 320:7 334:7 structural 105:18 structure 41:4 224:4 structured 41:6 struggle 289:5,20 struggling 328:13 347:7 stuck 298:2 studied 114:15 studies 49:21 272:2,8 316:11 study 254:7 273:3

321:4 stuff 99:19 100:1 256:7 288:15 320:11 style 193:12 sub 191:17 267:16 294:2 SUB-1 266:19 SUB-2 262:11 267:4 306:8 SUB-2a 306:9 SUB-3 267:4,15 sub-population 228:14 229:21 sub-specialist 228:10 subject 2:9 6:5 7:7,12 9:21 11:16,17 12:12 12:15,18 13:22 14:4 14:11,12,14 15:6 submission 30:5 submit 256:22 280:21 281:14 299:8 submitted 17:15 132:20 172:14 207:19 subsequent 27:21 335:6 subset 162:12 186:9 231:14 substance 262:22 263:2 266:13,17 267:14 277:17 346:20 substantiated 114:17 substantive 118:11,13 121:17 substitute 290:17 292:1 substituting 11:3 substitution 290:9 successful 211:11 249:8 succinct 85:13 suffers 345:4 suggest 43:5 55:6,7,9 244:17 326:5 suggested 40:2 54:18 173:12 202:16 224:21 245:10 263:5 suggestion 134:11 suggests 119:15 suitable 114:22 Sullivan 15:15.15 summaries 44:12 summarization 23:11 summary 4:20 23:2 32:18 34:10 38:7 44:14,17 45:8 114:13 190:17 242:5 257:16 259:4 summer 318:8 sun 66:19

superior 295:21 351:2 **supply** 46:20 105:14 support 24:6,6,7 26:20 26:22 27:4,11,12 28:16,16,16,19,22 29:1,2,4 43:4 47:3 48:10 49:4 50:4,22 51:2,16 53:9,10,10,18 54:7,18 55:6,7,9,10 55:11,12,15 56:1,7,10 58:22 59:1,8,16,16,20 63:15 68:12 82:5 83:12,13 84:6,13,14 85:7,8,21,22 86:16,17 87:1,14 89:16,17,22 91:14,17 95:1,15 101:2,5 102:16,19 109:12,16,17 110:8 110:15,15 115:3,7 116:20 117:1 121:3 124:7,8,8,15,15,18,19 124:20,21 128:1,4,5,6 128:7,8 129:2,16,17 129:19 130:2,15,16 130:17,18 131:2,7,12 139:17 140:14,18,21 141:3 143:5,7,11 146:7,13,13,14,17 147:10,13,21 148:1,2 148:4,5,8,10 149:8 150:8,16,16,17 151:5 151:17,19,20 152:6 152:12,21 153:16,17 153:17 154:16,16,17 157:6 159:2.21 161:14,15 164:3 166:1,3,4,10,11,20 168:17 169:6 171:3,8 171:17,20 174:7 177:12,12 178:4 179:1 181:2,5 182:1 182:21 183:4,14,20 184:4,11,15 190:9 208:21 210:10 213:22 224:19,22 225:1,4,10 225:20 226:4,15,19 227:3,5,13,15 235:4 238:3,3,7,9,17 239:3 239:10,13,17,22 240:2 242:2,3 250:21 251:1,6,10 260:12,13 266:2 267:19 268:18 269:7 276:16 280:13 281:9,18,19,19 282:1 282:1,8,8,15 283:3 290:5 295:9,19 296:3 296:4,14 297:1,2 299:4,10,13 300:2,3,6

300:8,10,11,16,16 301:1,3,9,15,17 302:3 302:9 303:1,2,9,12 304:8,15,15,15,17,18 304:20 305:7,7,14 306:1,14 311:3 312:17 321:13 322:17 326:6,8,14,14 327:15 328:1,2,2 329:3 333:1 334:7 343:19 supported 12:2 27:20 46:13 110:6 173:21 188:6 261:22 299:1 supporting 17:13,19,22 26:21 101:14,15 129:18 132:18 151:10 151:12,14 152:11 153:9 156:10 158:3 172:14 282:16 305:3 327:7 supportive 49:1 50:19 60:1 159:10 161:2 185:19 186:4 187:5 187:21 188:7,19 261:19 262:19 264:19 309:13 334:13 **supports** 166:10 **suppose** 320:4 supposed 99:2 174:2 253:12 supposedly 63:11 suprapubic 234:5 **Supreme** 344:4 sure 19:10 20:1 21:18 22:5 24:11,13 37:13 39:5 45:20 46:1,3,5 59:21 66:21 71:7,9 75:18 77:17,19 83:5 85:5 91:15 103:18 104:6 110:19 112:13 121:5 123:11 130:6,8 134:22 136:9 145:7 164:17 192:22 193:20 194:11 196:19 201:5 202:4 206:4 209:12 214:2 218:18 228:11 231:8 244:20 248:5 261:21 266:7 267:1 268:7,22 273:19 284:11 290:4 292:14 296:5 304:11 312:5 317:5 329:2 337:9 340:18 341:19,22 342:17 346:5 surgeons 5:11 14:18 136:19 200:3 surgeries 157:14 surgery 133:10 150:3

157:20 159:3 162:1 162:16,20 163:2 167:12 168:19 169:18 171:17 184:8 220:9 241:15,17 342:4 surgical 4:8 48:16 75:5 155:2,6,21 158:11 163:3,5 173:22 186:2 186:15 268:13 349:2 surprised 67:17 surrogate 81:10 330:12 surrogates 66:13 survey 13:8 73:16 96:17,18 97:19 98:4,5 105:10,15 108:2 133:11,14 134:14,21 135:3,5,6,20 136:8,15 136:16,22 137:2,17 138:15 139:7 148:18 172:8,15,15,18,19,20 176:13 surveying 105:18 surveyor 104:1 surveys 108:5 134:14 135:11,18 136:10,12 138:5 139:8.9 Survivorship 1:20 10:12 Susannah 3:10 323:17 325:2 suspect 199:13 suspended 111:12 sustain 348:1 sustaining 183:2,11,18 Suzanne 315:11 **SVS** 207:20 316:12 sweep 64:9 swiftly 335:3 swing 340:19 symptoms 113:10 system 43:18,20 48:15 78:3 80:12,21 87:20 115:13 155:11 180:5 212:8,15 213:7 248:13 systematic 113:11 335:17 systematically 114:9 systems 101:4 138:17 systolic 234:14 Т T-A-B-L-E 4:1 tab 98:22 table 6:15 7:6 12:15 17:8 59:15 129:15 166:1,7,9 170:7 281:21 305:6 341:18

351:1 tabulate 152:19 tackle 202:5 tailored 93:7 take 5:16,21 6:7 20:22 22:2 40:3 58:14 67:14 81:6 124:11 128:18 135:18 145:13 149:6 149:17 150:4,15 154:19 170:10 177:2 189:5,6 191:2 197:11 197:22 208:11 211:6 225:5 232:9 235:21 236:13 253:12 261:22 262:1,9 274:2 276:8 289:22 293:3 294:8 297:2,14 303:20 306:20 316:15 325:7 327:16,19 350:3,8 taken 30:7 32:15 40:11 98:6 117:20 224:19 talk 98:20 107:18 125:20 172:4 173:13 194:8,10 215:12 259:6 270:16 275:13 306:21 talked 102:22 153:6 266:5 talking 25:20 60:9 111:1 130:5 211:7 212:3.5 215:2 232:17 267:22 268:19 274:12 321:21 336:11 tallying 48:6 target 96:21 targeted 103:9 targets 196:9 Taroon 2:16 17:21 18:18 25:19 39:12,19 122:8 177:5 190:5 191:4 299:4 314:2,11 350:21 task 233:21 Teachers 2:6 8:22 team 13:9 138:18 215:19 221:10 250:1 251:18,19 teased 343:2 technical 8:1 9:10 50:15 101:11 154:1 Technically 148:21 176:16 technique 310:16 312:8 technology 252:16 307:22 308:2 teeth 341:1 teleconference 3:22 telephone 141:14

283:19 tell 7:8 42:15 60:14 70:14,16 72:16 86:10 120:1 126:16,20,22 127:1 193:11 252:19 262:2 314:10 326:20 330:18 telling 327:9 temperature 188:3 tend 211:15 tenet 222:22 tent 7:12 62:12 68:14 71:13 90:13 TEP 329:17 term 247:6 309:18 310:12 339:20 terms 92:16,17 112:2,6 203:10 216:2,5 223:20 231:11 243:15 243:16 245:22 335:22 343:2 test 60:20 66:5 99:20 114:10 224:1 tested 36:17,18 156:20 160:18 167:6 174:13 174:15 177:16 183:6 183:15 260:18 261:16 262:14 263:14 321:15 330:21 testified 321:11 testimony 29:16 testing 25:7,10 157:6 158:18 161:5 168:3 168:10 171:4,9 174:14 297:14 309:9 329:17 330:6 tests 114:6 272:1 thank 5:18,19 10:7 11:15 14:10 15:5,14 17:4,5 18:19,21 25:14 38:22 39:12 43:7 48:7 54:9 55:19 57:18,21 58:4,7 61:5 73:17 86:8 92:9 94:14 110:21 111:3 112:19 116:11 117:9 132:15 136:4 137:4 141:18 143:17 152:16 153:12 154:11,22 160:11 161:6 168:8 175:6 181:21 191:4 194:12 208:17 210:12 224:18 228:21 234:19 235:2 235:7,8 240:8 252:7 256:13,16 257:14 258:7,11,13,15 260:7 270:6 276:19 283:21 284:2 285:5,7,22

295:7 307:2 313:20 319:13 322:19,22 326:3 327:11 335:3,7 336:16 339:12 343:15 345:7,19 346:3,16 348:10,11,21 350:20 351:3,7 thankful 320:9 thanks 21:11 26:19 46:6 47:20 165:12 176:8 192:18 213:21 268:15,17 319:21 the-box 66:17 theory 236:8 Therapeutic 92:20 therapy 88:6,10,16 90:18 92:8 177:15 190:10 198:22 199:11 thermo-regulatory 158:7 thing 37:5 44:21 62:15 63:14 71:7 76:21 89:13,20 105:12 106:17 107:19 137:9 214:8 249:2,20 267:2 275:11 277:15 279:21 280:11 304:4 335:20 346:2,10 349:9 things 12:6,10 13:18 35:18 62:4 72:15 82:14 98:1 105:13 106:4 108:12 123:5 139:12,14 160:6 198:17 201:15 215:1 230:6 233:5 234:16 269:3 274:9 286:6 289:9 312:17 324:9 329:22 330:5 344:3 346:4 think 9:21 13:11,21 16:13,14,15 18:9 25:20 29:12 30:17,20 40:3 42:7,12 54:3 56:3 61:13 62:1,8 63:3,21 65:13 66:10 67:6,13 68:1,4 69:1,6 69:11,15,19,22 70:19 71:5,8,17 72:2,18,22 73:1 76:3,8,10,12,20 77:3 78:1 79:9,12 80:13,20,22 81:15,17 82:1 88:20 92:12 93:19 94:8,12,16,16 95:11,19 99:21 100:22 101:1,6,7,10 101:12 102:20 103:12 103:15,20 104:3,14 104:18,20 105:16,21

105:21 106:5.7.21 107:22 108:22 109:2 109:9 111:15 112:8 112:12 113:3,8,14 114:16,21 115:7,12 115:17 116:15 118:15 119:13 120:15,19 122:11 123:3,5,20 124:13,16,19 127:11 127:19 132:1 134:12 136:13 137:16,19 138:18,21 139:3,5,6 139:13 140:1 145:15 160:19 161:3 164:18 165:6,8 167:10,14 168:22 173:19 174:4 193:18 198:4 203:1,2 203:6 205:12 206:7 206:16 207:5 208:22 209:1,11 210:4,13,17 211:6 212:15,18 213:5,12,18 214:5,19 215:13 220:13 221:12 221:15,20 222:5,7,12 223:1,8,9,16,19 224:10,22 231:19 234:6.20 236:4 243:19,22 246:6,12 246:16,20 247:22 248:22 249:12 250:7 252:19 255:14 256:2 256:10 257:15 258:17 264:20 266:3,6 268:14 270:11 272:14 275:6,10,19 276:6 277:4,10,10,21 278:6 279:7 280:11,17 281:6,13 286:2,7,10 287:17 290:14,19,20 291:19,20 294:4,6 295:3,18 296:1,4 298:10 299:7 302:1,8 304:3 319:22 327:5,9 327:12 328:14,16 332:2,10 333:4,15 334:3,7 335:9,12,20 337:1 338:11,13,18 338:19 340:10,14,19 340:20 341:12 342:12 342:22,22 343:4,14 344:19 345:2 346:12 346:14 347:10,19 349:17,20 350:9,21 thinking 41:22 65:3 109:4 135:14 136:10 136:17,21 145:1 202:6 224:2,5 274:1 280:8 289:10 293:12

342:13,14,19 350:15 third 51:5 179:1 183:17 186:5 310:15,18 332:22 343:5 thoracic 190:11 208:19 220:9 221:12 thorax 178:7 206:22 207:1,2 208:14 213:14 225:3,8 240:1 thorough 23:10 156:8 335:1 thoroughly 334:18 thought 67:18 70:13 85:14 224:6 264:18 280:18 331:14 340:22 346:21 350:6 thoughtful 40:4 109:7 109:10 thoughtfully 38:5 thoughts 26:9 40:13 41:12 257:16 305:1 349:22 thread 123:19 three 18:14 19:9 20:10 21:20 24:1,5 50:18 82:17,19 84:20,22 85:1 124:4 125:8 128:2,3,7 142:16 144:12,14 145:10 150:14 153:17 159:18 179:19 187:7 188:18 215:5 234:12 260:11 265:21 267:20 276:9 276:13 310:8 332:17 three-quarters 278:13 threshold 33:15 thresholds 193:4 195:16 throw 269:14 293:6 throwing 274:7 tie 80:14 218:4 ties 47:13 time 13:10,15,15 15:17 16:20 18:22 19:11 22:12,22 23:9 30:4 32:5 36:9,21,21 38:22 40:19,19 43:6 55:5 58:14 66:1,18 70:8 80:4,7 90:9 91:3 93:20 115:1 120:22 126:15 131:18 136:10 136:17,21 137:9,12 139:16 141:12,17 145:14,16 159:9 161:10 165:10 170:19 181:15 183:2,12,18 184:2,16 185:9 189:7 205:22 208:16 211:19

212:4 229:19 235:13 244:1 257:20 264:15 265:9,12 269:9,13 278:1 308:15 317:4 320:18 328:18 329:18 331:10 333:2,7 338:6 338:13 340:10 344:1 351:5 timeline 18:8 41:14 332:18 timeliness 185:11 timely 263:13 276:20 284:5 305:10 306:11 311:5 340:2 times 36:17,19 47:7 49:11 68:6 70:20 198:9 259:1 timing 237:9 243:7 244:7 247:6 248:9 296:19,21 334:18 **TIRR** 228:10 tissue 158:10 title 114:4 titled 21:22 to-do 346:6 TOB-3 306:5 TOB-3a 306:6 tobacco 261:9,11,20 262:5,6 266:13 267:6 267:7,13,16 269:1,12 269:13 272:21 306:5 306:7 today 5:4,8 10:20 11:3 20:1 42:6,11 142:20 212:3 232:13 235:1 253:15 258:16 272:16 280:4 307:7 340:11 341:6 350:4.9 told 65:10,11,11 tolerance 120:12 tomography 50:6 57:11 57:21 110:12 140:19 146:19 tomorrow 321:22 322:13 341:22 350:1 350:4,9,11,12,16 351:5 ton 217:10 tool 36:7,14 101:18,18 102:1 103:19 tools 143:11 top 28:4 59:3,4 162:19 223:21 topic 112:6,7,9 150:2 164:6 254:18 316:1 topics 35:21 topped 159:4 169:1 total 335:15

touch 229:15 touching 138:21 tournament 193:12 toxicity 134:22 track 192:18 215:20 336:7 348:17 tracked 349:18 tracking 222:1 tract 184:4,6 238:19 251:2 trademark 174:17 tradeoffs 236:4 traditionally 41:5 transfer 52:10,12,18 131:11 186:6,7,20 268:9 transferred 52:8 186:11 transferring 52:3 transformation 247:17 transition 186:16 194:19 237:4 243:9 246:7 251:12 260:16 260:21 263:13 265:14 266:3,6 269:17,17 271:15,22 276:20,21 278:3.10 279:3 284:14 305:10 306:1 306:11,11 transitioning 237:11 translate 19:22 100:2 translated 36:22 transmission 263:13 264:2,14,16 276:21 278:2,5 284:4,5 305:10 transparent 243:15 250:10 Trautner 2:5 8:21,21 **Travis** 2:6 8:18,18 74:12 75:1 197:2 198:3,15 279:14 280:10 282:2 283:6 292:4,20 293:1 treat 285:22 treating 248:16 treatment 185:11 201:13 261:10,11 267:7 270:1 306:5,7 treatments 185:10 263:2 tremendously 79:2 249:8 tricky 123:18 161:8 tried 79:10 92:2 250:2 trips 92:7 trouble 222:17 true 29:13 106:17,17 107:6 118:15 164:11

164:18 267:3 truly 79:14 350:1 try 6:8 18:9 21:16 35:2 39:14 42:1 79:12 85:13 102:11 111:14 126:19,20 127:5 138:1 154:12 160:15 175:2 176:18 224:2 233:12 236:5 252:8 257:15 270:9 275:21 284:3 329:13 341:19 346:6 trying 13:13 42:12 61:13 65:8 75:10,18 77:19 82:4 87:20 88:20 89:3,22 94:2 95:21 117:14 125:1,8 138:18 196:20 198:3 198:10 205:5 206:7 209:5 210:18,22 212:9 217:1 218:12 219:12 234:7 236:2 258:1 274:21 275:12 275:12 280:16 289:5 290:2 294:11,13,21 315:17 331:22 335:21 340:11 TUESDAY 1:5 turn 10:1 18:17 25:16 39:9 40:13 52:22 67:1 122:15 189:1 190:22 191:1 265:17 322:21 turnaround 18:22 23:9 turned 71:19 turning 43:13 turnover 81:12 tutorial 44:5 tutorials 36:10 tweak 269:9 274:6,8 **two** 6:4,7,7 7:21 19:2 20:2,11 23:22 24:8,12 27:6 37:16,22 38:20 39:5,15 41:5 50:19 53:21 67:21 69:20 82:18 84:7,18 85:8 86:13,16 96:20,22 98:13 108:5 109:21 113:16 119:14,16 124:4,16 127:19 128:6 130:10 134:16 135:11,17 137:6 138:5 146:3 147:1,16 148:11,20 153:16 158:2 166:20 167:10 177:8 185:3 187:7 188:19 194:22 195:21 196:8 202:22 208:13 208:18,20 209:14

type 52:19 72:1 105:17 105:19 121:12 125:2 184:7 271:1 332:9 344:19 types 6:5 105:22 205:17 typically 38:16 105:11 U **U.S** 8:13 88:12 113:17 157:12 **UHC** 255:13 ultimately 300:9 unacceptable 65:13 unavailable 325:8 uncertain 103:18 uncertainty 121:10,10 uncomfortable 68:4 uncomplicated 63:11 142:7 unconstitutional 344:6 under-ask 68:7 under-utilization 209:17 212:11 under-utilizing 209:13 undergoing 133:7 198:22 undergone 118:11,13 understand 15:6 69:4 71:2,22 75:10,18 80:15 87:17 89:1 90:1 90:11 94:13 95:4,20 97:21 106:3 123:6 124:22 135:1 138:5 192:20 201:20 206:7 212:22 216:11 219:12 222:6 246:12,18 248:4 249:5 275:6,20 285:18 287:16 303:15 323:3 331:5 344:9 understandable 261:3 349:7 understanding 138:21 213:14 222:17 285:14 314:12 327:18 332:8

210:10 214:20 224:20

224:21 226:7 234:11

261:18 262:4,10

303:3 313:15,22

two-percent 46:16

two-vote 124:14

346:4

two-day 21:2

241:21 246:21 248:16

264:5 266:12 272:20

276:13 279:20 281:5

294:15 297:1 298:3

320:15 340:5 344:18

understandings 206:3 understands 22:6 **understood** 30:3,19 122:22 145:7 302:18 undertaking 38:9 undesirable 182:14 unduly 159:13 unfortunately 61:21 234:22 unhealthy 263:1 unintended 35:18 66:18 231:15 235:17 251:22 254:1 255:22 Union 2:1 9:14 unique 103:1 121:11 unit 186:8,22 **United** 91:17 units 246:8 247:9,13 259:10 University 1:21 11:11 14:20 unknown 119:20 unmeasured 217:19 unnecessary 50:11 unplanned 157:8,20 167:1 171:5 261:7 309:3.8 322:16 324:13 339:18 update 46:17 130:11 167:5 168:11 174:12 181:10,20 226:5,18 314:3,9 updated 19:21 118:4 122:10 130:19 242:2 242:13 246:7 updates 181:13 241:21 242:6,14 updating 130:12 upgrade 307:20 uploaded 78:8 **UPSHAW** 2:6 ureter 183:18 urinary 184:3,6 238:19 251:2 urine 234:12 usable 159:13 use 4:17 18:13 25:21 29:11 30:11 36:7,10 36:16 44:5 45:15 47:8 50:5 51:8 82:15 92:15 96:12 105:11 108:16 110:12 112:7 113:1,6 114:8 123:14 135:16 140:19 146:18 150:17 169:13 174:17 175:15 177:18 178:7,15 179:18 183:7,8 186:6 187:13 190:11 192:15

192:15 202:17 203:3 203:7 205:9 210:19 216:7,21 217:11,13 218:1,2,12 222:17 225:8 231:13 240:1 245:7 246:19 249:10 253:10 254:20 255:4 256:21 257:5 261:9 261:11,20 262:5,6,11 262:13 263:1,16 267:6,7,9 269:1,12 271:5 272:18 273:11 273:15,18 276:4 277:3,8 278:1 279:9 288:22 292:6 294:21 306:5,7,8,10 307:10 307:17,21 308:3,21 315:9 339:16 341:10 342:6 useful 112:12 135:17 201:22 217:4 useless 100:18 uses 276:5 291:5 usual 278:3 usually 153:22 273:9 utilization 50:11 53:16 178:12 212:1 214:9 216:13 219:3,4 296:8 utilize 296:16 utilized 102:2 318:12 V vaginal 310:10 valid 287:15 334:17 validated 143:12 199:22 validation 51:5 valuable 22:12 220:2,5 220:8,10 221:9 333:12 value 50:13 188:14,16 245:7 246:13,13 275:22 303:20 334:13 value-based 27:18 106:22 180:9 197:8 198:6 204:3 216:4 241:10 244:8 254:15 values 38:10 330:3,12 330:20 331:9,15 variables 324:21 325:20 variation 91:1 112:5 119:2 159:5 221:2 varied 91:1 varies 119:7 variety 19:6 60:6 108:9 218:22 269:2 various 38:10 155:19

190:6 335:22 vascular 200:3 vast 62:20 77:7 vein 244:13 vendor 105:11 139:16 vendors 139:7 274:5 venue 68:12 verbally 96:14 verify 313:19 version 111:9 117:11 118:1,4,5,7,16,17,20 242:2,4 295:13,17 309:5,6,21 310:2 324:17 330:5 versions 249:6,7 versus 65:10,11 292:10 300:11 vertex 309:19 310:12 339:21 veterans 6:1 284:8 vetted 101:11 102:1 300:17,18 334:17 Vice 11:6 14:19 33:5 view 31:22 32:11 121:8 126:13 197:18 218:14 218:15 viaorous 121:5 Vinitha 3:17 97:8 100:6 violate 165:15 Virginia 255:10 virtually 342:15 virtue 113:7 298:6 viscus 183:12 vision 157:15 visit 54:2 72:18 77:14 80:1 114:14 visits 46:18,19 77:8 113:18 vital 52:7 330:3,11,20 331:1,8 336:21 vitrectomy 157:9,17 167:2 171:5 voice 43:11 volume 42:12 81:12 voluntary 172:21 Volunteer 15:2 vomiting 185:22 vote 26:21 28:21 29:2 32:13,15 33:2,3,8 34:18 35:14 39:14 40:11 41:16 56:17 58:16 82:7,9,20,21,22 83:2,2,3,18,19,21 84:3 101:14 109:15 109:18,19,21 110:6 124:4,4,11 128:2,16 128:22 129:11 130:7 131:6 132:10 138:19

	1	1	1
139:4 140:9 143:21	281:20 282:3,7,21	344:4	56:16 58:12 59:9
144:2,6 145:10 146:2	283:8,11,14 284:1	wasn't 70:16 137:6	66:17 73:2 82:1 94:4
146:10,12,17,21	285:7 286:1 287:19	312:6,10,13 318:3	111:7,13 112:1
148:16 149:8 150:6	298:22 301:5,13,16	way 11:18 31:10 43:13	114:17 125:4 231:19
150:15 151:8,18	301:19 302:10 305:5	44:18 57:14 62:1	321:10 325:20 334:11
152:1 166:2,2,3,10	305:18 306:19	66:15 70:10 80:12	337:5 338:15 340:10
170:11 176:1,4,16	want 5:9 7:20 12:6,11	83:11 89:4 93:21 95:4	340:21 341:13,16
189:4 224:22 225:2,3	15:17 16:10 17:9 18:2	95:9 97:20 100:16	347:10 350:12
231:21,22 232:4	21:5,17 22:4 24:13	102:6 103:6 104:16	weakness 115:16
236:19 282:3 289:20	25:22 36:17 38:16	105:20 106:2 108:14	wealth 222:18
301:15 305:8,20	40:4 44:5,20 45:1,9	108:20 135:17 138:1	web 19:19 22:20 42:22
337:22 338:16,22	45:19 46:1,2 48:1	149:3 173:8 175:16	86:19 190:20 199:5,7
voted 151:19,19 176:15	51:18 54:10,18 58:17	212:18 223:1 228:17	199:19,20 204:8
voters 175:15	61:6,7 65:14,18 67:15	231:5,17 232:5	webchat 171:1
votes 33:5,11 37:12	68:20 73:11 75:4,8,11	248:20 249:13 275:7	website 46:14 169:19
41:17 48:5 86:18	75:12,17,20 76:7,20	287:1,14 291:15	240:19 259:9
110:2 124:16 144:22	80:16 82:21 83:4,7,11	293:16,20 302:3,9	week 37:1,1 40:18 93:10 235:19 256:17
147:6 154:9	86:7 89:19 93:22	305:2 314:6 334:3,11	
<b>voting</b> 17:15 19:21 21:5	101:1,7,10,13 120:4	340:17,19 349:3,20	321:4 341:6
21:19 22:1,9 31:2	124:7 126:14 130:7	350:10,14	weekends 265:13
35:20 36:7,13 37:7	134:8 145:11 153:8	ways 95:10 108:9	Wei 2:7 9:16 79:8
40:6 48:2,2 82:4,15	156:8 161:18,21	210:17 248:16 343:12	120:18 126:9 223:15
82:16 85:2 86:12,14	168:6 169:11 172:12	we'll 6:2,7 11:16 12:15	266:1 335:9 346:3
109:20,22 124:6	173:17 181:9 192:22	20:13 30:14,14 34:18	weigh 298:17
128:6,9,10,12,13	194:10 200:22 201:1	36:10,21 42:1 45:8	welcome 4:2 5:4,10,18
131:4 141:5 143:22	202:1 203:21 206:4	46:4 48:15 51:19 59:2	16:19 18:2,21 21:1
144:4 146:11 147:2	217:8 233:8 234:17	83:3 96:7 109:14	26:15 35:16 36:4 38:1
147:17 148:12,22	235:15 240:8 242:9	124:10 125:20 126:7	245:15 246:2 319:14
153:18 154:12,14	251:15 256:9 258:18	129:10,12 130:6	319:17 321:20 348:11
166:13 171:2,11,22	264:9 268:14 271:9	132:16,17 140:1	welcoming 98:7
176:11,14,19 189:4	274:5,10 275:18	145:21 148:15 196:8	well-prepared 230:3
225:11,21 227:20	277:21 280:11 285:18	332:5 350:10	went 20:14 39:5 63:10
237:19 238:11 239:5	286:3 291:21,22	we're 7:18 12:8 16:18	149:21 189:14 307:4
239:19 240:4 250:20	292:14 294:15,16	18:16 24:12 26:21	351:10
251:8 302:11 305:15	295:6 296:16 298:17	27:9 30:13 33:14	West 344:3
306:16 326:12 332:21	299:6 302:16 303:22	36:12 38:8,19 41:15	whatsoever 121:11
339:13			
	312:3 316:3 320:10	56:17 58:3,19 61:13	whichever 295:13
vulnerable 47:9 284:17	320:11 326:5,8,9	65:16 67:20,21 69:1	who've 117:20
	320:11 326:5,8,9 329:2 330:9 332:2,4	65:16 67:20,21 69:1 72:22 80:20 82:3,8	who've 117:20 wide 60:6 74:16,21
vulnerable 47:9 284:17 321:10	320:11 326:5,8,9 329:2 330:9 332:2,4 332:18 334:15 335:18	65:16 67:20,21 69:1 72:22 80:20 82:3,8 83:5,15,16,19,21,22	who've 117:20 wide 60:6 74:16,21 329:18
vulnerable 47:9 284:17 321:10 W	320:11 326:5,8,9 329:2 330:9 332:2,4 332:18 334:15 335:18 337:2,7 341:8,19	65:16 67:20,21 69:1 72:22 80:20 82:3,8 83:5,15,16,19,21,22 84:1 86:11,18 87:7,20	who've 117:20 wide 60:6 74:16,21 329:18 widely 91:2 191:17
vulnerable 47:9 284:17 321:10 W wait 47:7 225:6	320:11 326:5,8,9 329:2 330:9 332:2,4 332:18 334:15 335:18 337:2,7 341:8,19 342:10 350:15	65:16 67:20,21 69:1 72:22 80:20 82:3,8 83:5,15,16,19,21,22 84:1 86:11,18 87:7,20 91:21 94:1,1 107:17	who've 117:20 wide 60:6 74:16,21 329:18 widely 91:2 191:17 widespread 63:5
vulnerable 47:9 284:17 321:10 W wait 47:7 225:6 waiting 84:1 320:17	320:11 326:5,8,9 329:2 330:9 332:2,4 332:18 334:15 335:18 337:2,7 341:8,19 342:10 350:15 wanted 19:10 44:21	65:16 67:20,21 69:1 72:22 80:20 82:3,8 83:5,15,16,19,21,22 84:1 86:11,18 87:7,20 91:21 94:1,1 107:17 111:3 117:15,19	<ul> <li>who've 117:20</li> <li>wide 60:6 74:16,21</li> <li>329:18</li> <li>widely 91:2 191:17</li> <li>widespread 63:5</li> <li>169:13 308:2</li> </ul>
vulnerable 47:9 284:17 321:10 wait 47:7 225:6 waiting 84:1 320:17 344:18	320:11 326:5,8,9 329:2 330:9 332:2,4 332:18 334:15 335:18 337:2,7 341:8,19 342:10 350:15 wanted 19:10 44:21 81:4 88:13 99:22	65:16 67:20,21 69:1 72:22 80:20 82:3,8 83:5,15,16,19,21,22 84:1 86:11,18 87:7,20 91:21 94:1,1 107:17 111:3 117:15,19 120:20 128:2,5,13	<pre>who've 117:20 wide 60:6 74:16,21 329:18 widely 91:2 191:17 widespread 63:5 169:13 308:2 wise 93:21</pre>
vulnerable 47:9 284:17 321:10 wait 47:7 225:6 waiting 84:1 320:17 344:18 walk 20:6 31:4 36:6	320:11 326:5,8,9 329:2 330:9 332:2,4 332:18 334:15 335:18 337:2,7 341:8,19 342:10 350:15 wanted 19:10 44:21 81:4 88:13 99:22 102:18 108:7 112:18	65:16 67:20,21 69:1 72:22 80:20 82:3,8 83:5,15,16,19,21,22 84:1 86:11,18 87:7,20 91:21 94:1,1 107:17 111:3 117:15,19 120:20 128:2,5,13 130:4,5,11,11 131:5	<ul> <li>who've 117:20</li> <li>wide 60:6 74:16,21</li> <li>329:18</li> <li>widely 91:2 191:17</li> <li>widespread 63:5</li> <li>169:13 308:2</li> <li>wise 93:21</li> <li>Wisely 112:10 126:15</li> </ul>
vulnerable 47:9 284:17 321:10 W wait 47:7 225:6 waiting 84:1 320:17 344:18 walk 20:6 31:4 36:6 82:10 177:13 180:20	320:11 326:5,8,9 329:2 330:9 332:2,4 332:18 334:15 335:18 337:2,7 341:8,19 342:10 350:15 wanted 19:10 44:21 81:4 88:13 99:22 102:18 108:7 112:18 141:22 144:10 145:7	65:16 67:20,21 69:1 72:22 80:20 82:3,8 83:5,15,16,19,21,22 84:1 86:11,18 87:7,20 91:21 94:1,1 107:17 111:3 117:15,19 120:20 128:2,5,13 130:4,5,11,11 131:5 134:14,15,21 137:3	<ul> <li>who've 117:20</li> <li>wide 60:6 74:16,21</li> <li>329:18</li> <li>widely 91:2 191:17</li> <li>widespread 63:5</li> <li>169:13 308:2</li> <li>wise 93:21</li> <li>Wisely 112:10 126:15</li> <li>142:21</li> </ul>
vulnerable 47:9 284:17 321:10 W wait 47:7 225:6 waiting 84:1 320:17 344:18 walk 20:6 31:4 36:6 82:10 177:13 180:20 240:13 340:11,15,22	320:11 326:5,8,9 329:2 330:9 332:2,4 332:18 334:15 335:18 337:2,7 341:8,19 342:10 350:15 wanted 19:10 44:21 81:4 88:13 99:22 102:18 108:7 112:18 141:22 144:10 145:7 167:5 169:21 197:22	65:16 67:20,21 69:1 72:22 80:20 82:3,8 83:5,15,16,19,21,22 84:1 86:11,18 87:7,20 91:21 94:1,1 107:17 111:3 117:15,19 120:20 128:2,5,13 130:4,5,11,11 131:5 134:14,15,21 137:3 138:11 140:8 141:5	<ul> <li>who've 117:20</li> <li>wide 60:6 74:16,21</li> <li>329:18</li> <li>widely 91:2 191:17</li> <li>widespread 63:5</li> <li>169:13 308:2</li> <li>wise 93:21</li> <li>Wisely 112:10 126:15</li> <li>142:21</li> <li>wish 7:9 32:3 53:5 64:1</li> </ul>
vulnerable 47:9 284:17 321:10 wait 47:7 225:6 waiting 84:1 320:17 344:18 walk 20:6 31:4 36:6 82:10 177:13 180:20 240:13 340:11,15,22 341:16 350:7,15	320:11 326:5,8,9 329:2 330:9 332:2,4 332:18 334:15 335:18 337:2,7 341:8,19 342:10 350:15 wanted 19:10 44:21 81:4 88:13 99:22 102:18 108:7 112:18 141:22 144:10 145:7 167:5 169:21 197:22 200:9 210:15 237:3	65:16 67:20,21 69:1 72:22 80:20 82:3,8 83:5,15,16,19,21,22 84:1 86:11,18 87:7,20 91:21 94:1,1 107:17 111:3 117:15,19 120:20 128:2,5,13 130:4,5,11,11 131:5 134:14,15,21 137:3 138:11 140:8 141:5 143:22 146:1 149:3,4	<ul> <li>who've 117:20</li> <li>wide 60:6 74:16,21</li> <li>329:18</li> <li>widely 91:2 191:17</li> <li>widespread 63:5</li> <li>169:13 308:2</li> <li>wise 93:21</li> <li>Wisely 112:10 126:15</li> <li>142:21</li> <li>wish 7:9 32:3 53:5 64:1</li> <li>81:9 145:14 166:22</li> </ul>
vulnerable 47:9 284:17 321:10 W wait 47:7 225:6 waiting 84:1 320:17 344:18 walk 20:6 31:4 36:6 82:10 177:13 180:20 240:13 340:11,15,22 341:16 350:7,15 walked 31:3 190:5	320:11 326:5,8,9 329:2 330:9 332:2,4 332:18 334:15 335:18 337:2,7 341:8,19 342:10 350:15 wanted 19:10 44:21 81:4 88:13 99:22 102:18 108:7 112:18 141:22 144:10 145:7 167:5 169:21 197:22 200:9 210:15 237:3 250:14 251:18 252:4	65:16 67:20,21 69:1 72:22 80:20 82:3,8 83:5,15,16,19,21,22 84:1 86:11,18 87:7,20 91:21 94:1,1 107:17 111:3 117:15,19 120:20 128:2,5,13 130:4,5,11,11 131:5 134:14,15,21 137:3 138:11 140:8 141:5 143:22 146:1 149:3,4 149:5,18 150:1 152:6	<ul> <li>who've 117:20</li> <li>wide 60:6 74:16,21</li> <li>329:18</li> <li>widely 91:2 191:17</li> <li>widespread 63:5</li> <li>169:13 308:2</li> <li>wise 93:21</li> <li>Wisely 112:10 126:15</li> <li>142:21</li> <li>wish 7:9 32:3 53:5 64:1</li> <li>81:9 145:14 166:22</li> <li>340:17</li> </ul>
vulnerable 47:9 284:17 321:10 wait 47:7 225:6 waiting 84:1 320:17 344:18 walk 20:6 31:4 36:6 82:10 177:13 180:20 240:13 340:11,15,22 341:16 350:7,15 walked 31:3 190:5 walking 240:8 349:21	320:11 326:5,8,9 329:2 330:9 332:2,4 332:18 334:15 335:18 337:2,7 341:8,19 342:10 350:15 wanted 19:10 44:21 81:4 88:13 99:22 102:18 108:7 112:18 141:22 144:10 145:7 167:5 169:21 197:22 200:9 210:15 237:3 250:14 251:18 252:4 257:9 270:17 309:4	65:16 67:20,21 69:1 72:22 80:20 82:3,8 83:5,15,16,19,21,22 84:1 86:11,18 87:7,20 91:21 94:1,1 107:17 111:3 117:15,19 120:20 128:2,5,13 130:4,5,11,11 131:5 134:14,15,21 137:3 138:11 140:8 141:5 143:22 146:1 149:3,4 149:5,18 150:1 152:6 152:14 189:4 232:21	<ul> <li>who've 117:20</li> <li>wide 60:6 74:16,21</li> <li>329:18</li> <li>widely 91:2 191:17</li> <li>widespread 63:5</li> <li>169:13 308:2</li> <li>wise 93:21</li> <li>Wisely 112:10 126:15</li> <li>142:21</li> <li>wish 7:9 32:3 53:5 64:1</li> <li>81:9 145:14 166:22</li> <li>340:17</li> <li>wishes 63:17 161:22</li> </ul>
vulnerable 47:9 284:17 321:10 W wait 47:7 225:6 waiting 84:1 320:17 344:18 walk 20:6 31:4 36:6 82:10 177:13 180:20 240:13 340:11,15,22 341:16 350:7,15 walked 31:3 190:5 walking 240:8 349:21 wall 333:14	320:11 326:5,8,9 329:2 330:9 332:2,4 332:18 334:15 335:18 337:2,7 341:8,19 342:10 350:15 wanted 19:10 44:21 81:4 88:13 99:22 102:18 108:7 112:18 141:22 144:10 145:7 167:5 169:21 197:22 200:9 210:15 237:3 250:14 251:18 252:4 257:9 270:17 309:4 312:12 327:11 329:20	65:16 67:20,21 69:1 72:22 80:20 82:3,8 83:5,15,16,19,21,22 84:1 86:11,18 87:7,20 91:21 94:1,1 107:17 111:3 117:15,19 120:20 128:2,5,13 130:4,5,11,11 131:5 134:14,15,21 137:3 138:11 140:8 141:5 143:22 146:1 149:3,4 149:5,18 150:1 152:6 152:14 189:4 232:21 307:12 320:9 321:21	<ul> <li>who've 117:20</li> <li>wide 60:6 74:16,21</li> <li>329:18</li> <li>widely 91:2 191:17</li> <li>widespread 63:5</li> <li>169:13 308:2</li> <li>wise 93:21</li> <li>Wisely 112:10 126:15</li> <li>142:21</li> <li>wish 7:9 32:3 53:5 64:1</li> <li>81:9 145:14 166:22</li> <li>340:17</li> <li>wishes 63:17 161:22</li> <li>withdraw 93:14 337:9</li> </ul>
vulnerable 47:9 284:17 321:10 wait 47:7 225:6 waiting 84:1 320:17 344:18 walk 20:6 31:4 36:6 82:10 177:13 180:20 240:13 340:11,15,22 341:16 350:7,15 walked 31:3 190:5 walking 240:8 349:21 wall 333:14 Walters 1:12 5:13 14:22	320:11 326:5,8,9 329:2 330:9 332:2,4 332:18 334:15 335:18 337:2,7 341:8,19 342:10 350:15 wanted 19:10 44:21 81:4 88:13 99:22 102:18 108:7 112:18 141:22 144:10 145:7 167:5 169:21 197:22 200:9 210:15 237:3 250:14 251:18 252:4 257:9 270:17 309:4 312:12 327:11 329:20 336:21 346:17 350:20	65:16 67:20,21 69:1 72:22 80:20 82:3,8 83:5,15,16,19,21,22 84:1 86:11,18 87:7,20 91:21 94:1,1 107:17 111:3 117:15,19 120:20 128:2,5,13 130:4,5,11,11 131:5 134:14,15,21 137:3 138:11 140:8 141:5 143:22 146:1 149:3,4 149:5,18 150:1 152:6 152:14 189:4 232:21 307:12 320:9 321:21 327:7,7 328:8 333:17	<ul> <li>who've 117:20</li> <li>wide 60:6 74:16,21</li> <li>329:18</li> <li>widely 91:2 191:17</li> <li>widespread 63:5</li> <li>169:13 308:2</li> <li>wise 93:21</li> <li>Wisely 112:10 126:15</li> <li>142:21</li> <li>wish 7:9 32:3 53:5 64:1</li> <li>81:9 145:14 166:22</li> <li>340:17</li> <li>wishes 63:17 161:22</li> <li>withdraw 93:14 337:9</li> <li>withdrawal 94:15</li> </ul>
vulnerable 47:9 284:17 321:10 wait 47:7 225:6 waiting 84:1 320:17 344:18 walk 20:6 31:4 36:6 82:10 177:13 180:20 240:13 340:11,15,22 341:16 350:7,15 walked 31:3 190:5 walking 240:8 349:21 wall 333:14 Walters 1:12 5:13 14:22 14:22 63:8 98:18	320:11 326:5,8,9 329:2 330:9 332:2,4 332:18 334:15 335:18 337:2,7 341:8,19 342:10 350:15 wanted 19:10 44:21 81:4 88:13 99:22 102:18 108:7 112:18 141:22 144:10 145:7 167:5 169:21 197:22 200:9 210:15 237:3 250:14 251:18 252:4 257:9 270:17 309:4 312:12 327:11 329:20 336:21 346:17 350:20 wanting 211:17	65:16 67:20,21 69:1 72:22 80:20 82:3,8 83:5,15,16,19,21,22 84:1 86:11,18 87:7,20 91:21 94:1,1 107:17 111:3 117:15,19 120:20 128:2,5,13 130:4,5,11,11 131:5 134:14,15,21 137:3 138:11 140:8 141:5 143:22 146:1 149:3,4 149:5,18 150:1 152:6 152:14 189:4 232:21 307:12 320:9 321:21 327:7,7 328:8 333:17 334:3,12 337:13	<ul> <li>who've 117:20</li> <li>wide 60:6 74:16,21</li> <li>329:18</li> <li>widely 91:2 191:17</li> <li>widespread 63:5</li> <li>169:13 308:2</li> <li>wise 93:21</li> <li>Wisely 112:10 126:15</li> <li>142:21</li> <li>wish 7:9 32:3 53:5 64:1</li> <li>81:9 145:14 166:22</li> <li>340:17</li> <li>wishes 63:17 161:22</li> <li>withdraw 93:14 337:9</li> <li>withdrawal 94:15</li> <li>withdrawn 94:5</li> </ul>
vulnerable 47:9 284:17 321:10 wait 47:7 225:6 waiting 84:1 320:17 344:18 walk 20:6 31:4 36:6 82:10 177:13 180:20 240:13 340:11,15,22 341:16 350:7,15 walked 31:3 190:5 walking 240:8 349:21 wall 333:14 Walters 1:12 5:13 14:22 14:22 63:8 98:18 112:15 128:11 129:1	320:11 326:5,8,9 329:2 330:9 332:2,4 332:18 334:15 335:18 337:2,7 341:8,19 342:10 350:15 wanted 19:10 44:21 81:4 88:13 99:22 102:18 108:7 112:18 141:22 144:10 145:7 167:5 169:21 197:22 200:9 210:15 237:3 250:14 251:18 252:4 257:9 270:17 309:4 312:12 327:11 329:20 336:21 346:17 350:20 wanting 211:17 wants 65:4 85:22	65:16 67:20,21 69:1 72:22 80:20 82:3,8 83:5,15,16,19,21,22 84:1 86:11,18 87:7,20 91:21 94:1,1 107:17 111:3 117:15,19 120:20 128:2,5,13 130:4,5,11,11 131:5 134:14,15,21 137:3 138:11 140:8 141:5 143:22 146:1 149:3,4 149:5,18 150:1 152:6 152:14 189:4 232:21 307:12 320:9 321:21 327:7,7 328:8 333:17 334:3,12 337:13 339:6 341:20 342:1	<ul> <li>who've 117:20</li> <li>wide 60:6 74:16,21</li> <li>329:18</li> <li>widely 91:2 191:17</li> <li>widespread 63:5</li> <li>169:13 308:2</li> <li>wise 93:21</li> <li>Wisely 112:10 126:15</li> <li>142:21</li> <li>wish 7:9 32:3 53:5 64:1</li> <li>81:9 145:14 166:22</li> <li>340:17</li> <li>wishes 63:17 161:22</li> <li>withdraw 93:14 337:9</li> <li>withdrawal 94:15</li> <li>withdrawn 94:5</li> <li>wolf 94:18</li> </ul>
vulnerable 47:9 284:17 321:10 W wait 47:7 225:6 waiting 84:1 320:17 344:18 walk 20:6 31:4 36:6 82:10 177:13 180:20 240:13 340:11,15,22 341:16 350:7,15 walked 31:3 190:5 walked 31:3 190:5 walking 240:8 349:21 wall 333:14 Walters 1:12 5:13 14:22 14:22 63:8 98:18 112:15 128:11 129:1 129:5,7 146:7 208:3,7	320:11 326:5,8,9 329:2 330:9 332:2,4 332:18 334:15 335:18 337:2,7 341:8,19 342:10 350:15 wanted 19:10 44:21 81:4 88:13 99:22 102:18 108:7 112:18 141:22 144:10 145:7 167:5 169:21 197:22 200:9 210:15 237:3 250:14 251:18 252:4 257:9 270:17 309:4 312:12 327:11 329:20 336:21 346:17 350:20 wanting 211:17 wants 65:4 85:22 wards 247:15	65:16 67:20,21 69:1 72:22 80:20 82:3,8 83:5,15,16,19,21,22 84:1 86:11,18 87:7,20 91:21 94:1,1 107:17 111:3 117:15,19 120:20 128:2,5,13 130:4,5,11,11 131:5 134:14,15,21 137:3 138:11 140:8 141:5 143:22 146:1 149:3,4 149:5,18 150:1 152:6 152:14 189:4 232:21 307:12 320:9 321:21 327:7,7 328:8 333:17 334:3,12 337:13 339:6 341:20 342:1 348:8 349:15 350:17	<ul> <li>who've 117:20</li> <li>wide 60:6 74:16,21</li> <li>329:18</li> <li>widely 91:2 191:17</li> <li>widespread 63:5</li> <li>169:13 308:2</li> <li>wise 93:21</li> <li>Wisely 112:10 126:15</li> <li>142:21</li> <li>wish 7:9 32:3 53:5 64:1</li> <li>81:9 145:14 166:22</li> <li>340:17</li> <li>wishes 63:17 161:22</li> <li>withdraw 93:14 337:9</li> <li>withdrawal 94:15</li> <li>withdrawn 94:5</li> <li>wolf 94:18</li> <li>woman 309:18</li> </ul>
vulnerable 47:9 284:17 321:10 W wait 47:7 225:6 waiting 84:1 320:17 344:18 walk 20:6 31:4 36:6 82:10 177:13 180:20 240:13 340:11,15,22 341:16 350:7,15 walked 31:3 190:5 walking 240:8 349:21 wall 333:14 Walters 1:12 5:13 14:22 14:22 63:8 98:18 112:15 128:11 129:1 129:5,7 146:7 208:3,7 208:9,11 265:20	320:11 326:5,8,9 329:2 330:9 332:2,4 332:18 334:15 335:18 337:2,7 341:8,19 342:10 350:15 wanted 19:10 44:21 81:4 88:13 99:22 102:18 108:7 112:18 141:22 144:10 145:7 167:5 169:21 197:22 200:9 210:15 237:3 250:14 251:18 252:4 257:9 270:17 309:4 312:12 327:11 329:20 336:21 346:17 350:20 wanting 211:17 wants 65:4 85:22 wards 247:15 warning 332:14	65:16 67:20,21 69:1 72:22 80:20 82:3,8 83:5,15,16,19,21,22 84:1 86:11,18 87:7,20 91:21 94:1,1 107:17 111:3 117:15,19 120:20 128:2,5,13 130:4,5,11,11 131:5 134:14,15,21 137:3 138:11 140:8 141:5 143:22 146:1 149:3,4 149:5,18 150:1 152:6 152:14 189:4 232:21 307:12 320:9 321:21 327:7,7 328:8 333:17 334:3,12 337:13 339:6 341:20 342:1 348:8 349:15 350:17 we've 5:14 19:12 23:5	<ul> <li>who've 117:20</li> <li>wide 60:6 74:16,21</li> <li>329:18</li> <li>widely 91:2 191:17</li> <li>widespread 63:5</li> <li>169:13 308:2</li> <li>wise 93:21</li> <li>Wisely 112:10 126:15</li> <li>142:21</li> <li>wish 7:9 32:3 53:5 64:1</li> <li>81:9 145:14 166:22</li> <li>340:17</li> <li>wishes 63:17 161:22</li> <li>withdraw 93:14 337:9</li> <li>withdrawal 94:15</li> <li>withdrawal 94:5</li> <li>wolf 94:18</li> <li>woman 309:18</li> <li>women 1:22 10:19</li> </ul>
vulnerable 47:9 284:17 321:10 W wait 47:7 225:6 waiting 84:1 320:17 344:18 walk 20:6 31:4 36:6 82:10 177:13 180:20 240:13 340:11,15,22 341:16 350:7,15 walked 31:3 190:5 walking 240:8 349:21 wall 333:14 Walters 1:12 5:13 14:22 14:22 63:8 98:18 112:15 128:11 129:1 129:5,7 146:7 208:3,7 208:9,11 265:20 267:17 268:16 276:8	320:11 326:5,8,9 329:2 330:9 332:2,4 332:18 334:15 335:18 337:2,7 341:8,19 342:10 350:15 wanted 19:10 44:21 81:4 88:13 99:22 102:18 108:7 112:18 141:22 144:10 145:7 167:5 169:21 197:22 200:9 210:15 237:3 250:14 251:18 252:4 257:9 270:17 309:4 312:12 327:11 329:20 336:21 346:17 350:20 wanting 211:17 wants 65:4 85:22 wards 247:15 warning 332:14 wart 66:6 70:7,15 77:5	65:16 67:20,21 69:1 72:22 80:20 82:3,8 83:5,15,16,19,21,22 84:1 86:11,18 87:7,20 91:21 94:1,1 107:17 111:3 117:15,19 120:20 128:2,5,13 130:4,5,11,11 131:5 134:14,15,21 137:3 138:11 140:8 141:5 143:22 146:1 149:3,4 149:5,18 150:1 152:6 152:14 189:4 232:21 307:12 320:9 321:21 327:7,7 328:8 333:17 334:3,12 337:13 339:6 341:20 342:1 348:8 349:15 350:17 <b>we've</b> 5:14 19:12 23:5 25:5 30:9 31:19 35:14	<ul> <li>who've 117:20</li> <li>wide 60:6 74:16,21</li> <li>329:18</li> <li>widely 91:2 191:17</li> <li>widespread 63:5</li> <li>169:13 308:2</li> <li>wise 93:21</li> <li>Wisely 112:10 126:15</li> <li>142:21</li> <li>wish 7:9 32:3 53:5 64:1</li> <li>81:9 145:14 166:22</li> <li>340:17</li> <li>wishes 63:17 161:22</li> <li>withdraw 93:14 337:9</li> <li>withdrawal 94:15</li> <li>withdrawn 94:5</li> <li>wolf 94:18</li> <li>woman 309:18</li> <li>women 1:22 10:19</li> <li>310:9,11 333:6</li> </ul>
vulnerable 47:9 284:17 321:10 W wait 47:7 225:6 waiting 84:1 320:17 344:18 walk 20:6 31:4 36:6 82:10 177:13 180:20 240:13 340:11,15,22 341:16 350:7,15 walked 31:3 190:5 walking 240:8 349:21 wall 333:14 Walters 1:12 5:13 14:22 14:22 63:8 98:18 112:15 128:11 129:1 129:5,7 146:7 208:3,7 208:9,11 265:20	320:11 326:5,8,9 329:2 330:9 332:2,4 332:18 334:15 335:18 337:2,7 341:8,19 342:10 350:15 wanted 19:10 44:21 81:4 88:13 99:22 102:18 108:7 112:18 141:22 144:10 145:7 167:5 169:21 197:22 200:9 210:15 237:3 250:14 251:18 252:4 257:9 270:17 309:4 312:12 327:11 329:20 336:21 346:17 350:20 wanting 211:17 wants 65:4 85:22 wards 247:15 warning 332:14	65:16 67:20,21 69:1 72:22 80:20 82:3,8 83:5,15,16,19,21,22 84:1 86:11,18 87:7,20 91:21 94:1,1 107:17 111:3 117:15,19 120:20 128:2,5,13 130:4,5,11,11 131:5 134:14,15,21 137:3 138:11 140:8 141:5 143:22 146:1 149:3,4 149:5,18 150:1 152:6 152:14 189:4 232:21 307:12 320:9 321:21 327:7,7 328:8 333:17 334:3,12 337:13 339:6 341:20 342:1 348:8 349:15 350:17 we've 5:14 19:12 23:5	<ul> <li>who've 117:20</li> <li>wide 60:6 74:16,21</li> <li>329:18</li> <li>widely 91:2 191:17</li> <li>widespread 63:5</li> <li>169:13 308:2</li> <li>wise 93:21</li> <li>Wisely 112:10 126:15</li> <li>142:21</li> <li>wish 7:9 32:3 53:5 64:1</li> <li>81:9 145:14 166:22</li> <li>340:17</li> <li>wishes 63:17 161:22</li> <li>withdraw 93:14 337:9</li> <li>withdrawal 94:15</li> <li>withdrawal 94:5</li> <li>wolf 94:18</li> <li>woman 309:18</li> <li>women 1:22 10:19</li> </ul>

wonder 117:17 214:12 237:9 wonderful 64:1,2,10 wondering 71:2 85:15 105:4,10 225:2 318:2 329:7 341:15 Woody 1:16 10:8 100:3 100:14 word 324:16,20 worded 161:9 words 282:14 320:20 work 7:2,18 12:7 13:8 14:5 15:1 16:12 17:20 17:22 23:5 31:10 36:20 38:8,20 61:14 69:2 96:5 100:1 106:6 109:7 111:15 113:15 137:15 143:4 154:20 157:21 158:16 174:3 174:3,5 175:6 184:19 187:16 196:10 197:16 199:14 203:7 204:22 206:11,16,17 207:21 208:4 215:17 217:2 249:6,15 251:19,21 252:5 257:12 263:18 277:19 284:8 292:15 295:22 316:14 318:21 326:11,13,16 327:8,9 329:15 340:16 341:5 341:8 342:18 344:8 344:21 345:17 348:2 348:8 349:15 350:13 350:16 worked 13:5 136:8 workforce 349:17 workgroup 1:3,8 5:6 18:21 19:7,15,18 20:20 22:9 23:14 31:11 32:2,6 33:13,17 34:6,8 36:11,16 37:8 38:3 45:19 49:10,19 52:14 122:17 133:22 Workgroup's 19:19 32:18 workgroups 33:21 36:22 working 19:3 111:7,13 123:9 130:4 137:12 137:16 142:12 177:5 177:5 257:11 258:1 274:5 316:14 348:15 350:5 works 37:4 57:6,14 73:21 198:10 199:9 215:13,20 323:18 world 91:21 252:21 worried 109:8 120:8

341:13 worry 174:4 worth 93:19 worthwhile 94:12 101:3 wouldn't 28:9 75:8,11 75:12 101:8 139:12 294:3 300:1 302:16 304:13 317:1 wrapped 69:17 write 13:2 writing 65:18 96:15 written 60:13 261:3 wrong 53:17 79:6 103: 125:2 128:20 176:20 209:16 220:17 241:16 241:17 338:19 Х **X** 193:13,14 X-rays 57:13 **XRT** 92:14 93:1 Υ **Y** 193:13.14 Yale 3:10 314:22 323:18 Yeah 118:7 121:15 122:20 126:11 134:19 323:21 351:5 vear 20:15 27:21 39:2 74:2 106:14,15 107:7 194:13,14,22 195:19 201:13 219:15,17 244:4,20 245:16 248:1 257:1 320:2 322:5 335:16 347:3 vear's 322:1 year-long 329:14 years 47:6 111:8 113:16 120:7 125:8 159:12 169:17 196:9 244:11 253:13 272:5 351:1,2 yes/no 83:17 129:12 yesterday 347:12,13 yields 217:6 Ying 2:7 9:16,16 79:9 120:19 126:11 223:16 266:2 335:10 346:4 Yong 2:14 16:2,2 74:16 88:3 92:11 97:8 100:6 106:18,21 107:2,5 108:4 110:16,21 111:3 117:22 118:4 165:8 243:13 245:14 264:9 314:21 315:22 317:3.16.20 318:17 319:15 323:17 327:1

York 15:12 younger 278:18	166:11,19 171:3,9,2 176:7 177:11 181:1
Jeanger 27 on to	183:10 225:10,20
Z	226:6 237:15 238:1
<b>Z</b> 193:13,14	238:15,16 239:4,18
<b>zero</b> 156:18 162:20	240:3 251:7 259:13
171:13 172:2 176:17	266:19,20 267:13
340:6	276:15,17 279:12
	281:21 295:8 299:3
0	305:14,19 306:15
<b>0</b> 112:2 127:17	<b>2,500</b> 8:12
<b>02</b> 339:20	<b>2:59</b> 307:4
<b>0647</b> 270:17	<b>20</b> 49:8 240:10
	<b>2002</b> 157:11
1	<b>200s</b> 234:15
<b>1</b> 140:14 146:3,6 166:11	<b>2012</b> 196:3,6
171:9,21 176:7 179:2	<b>2013</b> 74:7 178:18
179:16 180:17 220:19	<b>2013/2014</b> 241:13
	<b>2013</b> /2014 241.13
225:10,20 238:5,7,10	
239:4,18 240:3	<b>2015</b> 196:5
250:22 251:7 266:20	<b>21</b> 113:17 114:15
269:20 276:10,12,14	<b>22</b> 238:13
283:9 289:22 299:1,3	<b>24</b> 195:9 265:9 272:6
305:14,20,22 306:15	278:5
<b>1.5</b> 157:14	<b>240</b> 4:11
<b>10</b> 83:1 142:21 182:8	<b>25</b> 99:11,14 272:5
189:6 220:18 306:20	25-year 349:6
306:21	<b>25-year-old</b> 70:6
<b>100</b> 80:16,17 96:18 97:2	<b>251</b> 4:13
97:5,11 127:18	201 4.10
	3
171:12 172:1 176:17 251:12 340:6	<b>3</b> 56:9 140:21 147:11
	158:22 166:17,18
<b>1030</b> 1:8	
<b>11</b> 88:12	168:15,16,18 171:1
<b>11:00</b> 149:4	177:11 182:21 183:
<b>11:16</b> 149:21	226:11 266:19,21
<b>11:30</b> 149:18	267:6,13
<b>11:33</b> 149:22	<b>3:10</b> 306:22 307:2,5
<b>12:20</b> 189:14	<b>3:57</b> 351:10
<b>12:45</b> 189:11	<b>30</b> 179:20 214:20 215
<b>12:47</b> 189:15 190:2	215:7 221:2 268:3
<b>1200</b> 169:18	278:4,16,22
<b>13</b> 42:18 96:17 239:7	<b>30-day</b> 53:22 324:13
	<b>30-minute</b> 20:12
<b>15</b> 96:5,16 189:6 289:8	<b>307</b> 4:15
306:20	
<b>15-minute</b> 37:16	<b>30th</b> 195:11,22 196:2
<b>15,20</b> 120:7	<b>31</b> 42:22
<b>155</b> 4:8	<b>33</b> 154:10 194:17
<b>15th</b> 1:8	202:10 225:13 305:
<b>17</b> 154:16 306:18	<b>34</b> 92:21 112:2
<b>176</b> 4:10	<b>340</b> 4:20
<b>1789</b> 309:7	<b>35</b> 240:6
<b>18</b> 4:4	<b>351</b> 4:22
<b>19</b> 4:5	<b>38</b> 225:22
<b>1st</b> 243:11	<b>39</b> 147:8 154:16
131243.11	<b>33</b> 147.0 134.10
	A
2	4

172:6 177:11 184:9 226:13 239:9,13 251:10 266:19,21 <b>400-thing</b> 77:18 <b>41</b> 148:14 151:18 <b>42</b> 74:7 <b>43</b> 110:6 154:17 <b>44</b> 4:7
5
<b>5</b> 4:2,3 32:22 99:13 147:19 175:11 184:14 226:16 <b>5-point</b> 99:8
<b>5,000</b> 169:19 <b>50</b> 96:19 97:1,12 104:10 119:7 144:21
<b>51</b> 129:6 <b>57</b> 110:7
<b>59</b> 148:13 150:8 151:19
6
<b>6</b> 185:7 186:5 226:16 289:8
<b>60</b> 33:10,15 149:7,14
150:10 257:3 321:7 <b>61</b> 147:7
<b>63</b> 226:1
<b>65</b> 60:5,8 64:13 65:2 113:19 123:11 157:12 162:10 240:5
<b>67</b> 225:12 305:16
7
<b>7</b> 187:9 226:16 266:5 269:19 287:4 289:8 290:10
<b>750</b> 113:18 <b>78</b> 238:12
8
8:00 351:5 8:30 351:6 8:37 1:9 5:2 80 274:22 275:3 83 146:12 306:17 87 239:6
9

## CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Measure Application Partnership

Before: NQF

Date: 12-09-14

Place: Washington, DC

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

near A ans f

Court Reporter

## NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

392

(202) 234-4433