

## Measure Sets and Measurement Systems

**Full TEP Meeting** 

September 18, 2019

### **Objectives**

Review emerging themes from subgroup meetings

Come to consensus on key differentiators of sets of systems for the purposes of design and evaluation

Discuss draft submission forms for sets and systems

Measure Sets and Measurement Systems Technical Expert Panel *Measure Sets Subgroup* 

- Co-chair: Amy Nguyen Howell, MD, MBA, FAAFP
- Thomas Aloia, MD
- Tricia Elliott, MBA, CPHQ
- Lindsay Erickson
- Louis Galterio, MBA
- Frank A. Ghinassi, PhD, ABPP
- Denise Morse, MBA

- Matthew Pickering, PharmD
- E. Clarke Ross, DPA
- Mathew Sapiano, PhD
- Sharon Sutherland, MD MPH
- Traci Thompson Ferguson, MD, MBA, CPE
- Michelle Schreiber, MD (CMS liaison)

Measure Sets and Measurement Systems Technical Expert Panel Measurement Systems Subgroup

- Co-chair: Michael Chernew, PhD
- Co-chair: Sam Simon, PhD
- Philip Alberti, PhD
- Matt Austin, PhD
- Kari Baldonado
- Julie Bershadsky, PhD

- Amy Chin, MS
- William Conway, MD
- Melissa Danforth
- Marybeth Farquhar, PhD, MSN, RN
- Frank A. Ghinassi, PhD, ABPP
- Danielle Lloyd, MPH
- Jeffrey Sussman, MPH, PhD
- Michelle Schreiber, MD (CMS liaison)

## **Project Goal**

#### Objectives

- Build upon and refine the key components of measure sets and measurement systems
- Discuss and come to consensus on recommendations for the development and evaluation of sets and systems

#### Deliverables

- Draft and final report that addresses both measure sets and measurement systems
- Submission forms that NQF could use to systematically and transparently evaluate sets and systems

## **Draft Definition**

Measure Set – a collection of individual performance measures that address an aspect of cost or quality, often grouped based on intent

#### **Components:**

- Intent
- Measure Selection
- Implementation
- Maintenance
- Feedback

## **Draft Definition**

Measurement System – a group of individual measures that, based on a predefined methodology, work together to assess quality or cost in relationship to a goal

#### **Components:**

- Intent
- Incentive structure
- Attribution method
- Measure set
- Aggregation and scoring approaches
- Risk adjustment

### **Progress to Date**

- Each subgroup has had two meetings.
- Sets subgroup has discussed the following elements: intent (purpose and quality construct), measure selection (specification alignment, grouping, principles for selection), and implementation (picklist, guidance).
- Systems subgroup has discussed the following elements: intent, measure selection, aggregation, and risk adjustment.

### Themes – Measure Sets

- Measure selection approach and principles used should be transparent.
- If measures are assigned to groups, the grouping rationale should be clear and consistent.
- The sets subgroup was generally in agreement that measure sets should not be developed to function as "picklists".
  - However, there was acknowledgement of the need for the set/system to consider if all providers can report and how to handle missing data
- A proactive approach to measure alignment is greatly needed.
- We should be moving towards the use of electronic measurement that allows for more timely access to performance results.

### Themes – Measurement Systems

- How missing data is handled must be explicitly stated and not create inherit bias.
- There should be the ability to replicate results.
- There is not a one-size-fits-all approach to risk-adjustment. Whether of not to adjust depends on the intent and relationships between the risk factor and outcome being measured.
- Risk adjustment at the individual measure level, measure group level, or overall program level should be considered, and the method and rationale should be transparent.

### Themes – General

- Design should start with the conceptualization of the *specific* intent.
  Evaluation of key elements depends on the intent.
- Design decisions are often value judgements. Methods should be transparent, statistically appropriate, aligned across programs when possible, and the rationale for decisions should be reasonable.
  - For example, evaluation could consider the transparency and appropriateness of the rationale for decisions made (e.g., weighting method, scoring approach, risk adjustment method used) based on intent.
- Public vs. private sector use of sets and systems
  - Do considerations differ?
- Measure efficiency/ burden reduction where does this fit within our framework?
- It is crucial to ensure usability of the system and actionability of the result(s) by all relevant audiences, especially consumers.
- Unintended consequences must be considered.

## **Differences between Sets and Systems**

- Conversations around the key differences between sets, systems, and composite measures are ongoing.
- Original thinking:
  - Measure sets are not aggregated to create a single composite score.
  - A measure set plus other programmatic elements: aggregation, incentive mechanism, risk adjustment, and attribution model forms a measurement system.
- Points of general agreement by TEP subgroups:
  - Measures in a measurement system do not necessarily have to be aggregated to a single score.
  - A composite measure can be a measure set, but not all measure sets are composites.
- What distinctions between sets and systems are necessary for the purposes of design and evaluation?

## Discussion of Measure Sets and Systems Submission Forms

## **General Discussion Questions**

- Are the approaches to evaluate measure sets and measurement systems appropriate?
- Should measure sets be evaluated on their own or in the context of the program in which they are used?

# **Upcoming Meetings and Timeline**

Meeting	Date/Time
Measure Sets Subgroup Meeting #1	July 9, 2019 at 12:00PM-2:00 PM ET
Measurement Systems Subgroup Meeting #1	July 17, 2019 at 12:00PM-2:00 PM ET
Measure Sets Subgroup Meeting #2	August 14, 2019 at 12:00PM-2:00 PM ET
Measurement Systems Subgroup Meeting #2	August 20, 2019 at 12:00PM-2:00 PM ET
Full TEP Meeting	September 18, 2019 at 3:00-5:00 PM ET
Measurement Systems Subgroup Meeting #3	September 26, 2019 at 12:00PM-2:00 PM ET CANCELLED
Full TEP Meeting	October 30, 2019 at 12:00PM-2:00 PM ET
Measure Sets Subgroup Meeting #3	November 21, 2019 at 12:00PM-2:00 PM ET
Measurement Systems Subgroup Meeting #4	March 17, 2020 at 12:00PM-2:00 PM ET LIKELY WILL BE RESCHEDULED TO OCCUR EARLIER

## Project Contact Info

- Email: <u>measuresets@qualityforum.org</u>
- NQF phone: 202-783-1300
- Project page: <u>http://www.qualityforum.org/Measure Sets and Measur</u> <u>ement Systems.aspx</u>
- SharePoint site: <u>http://share.qualityforum.org/Projects/MeasureSets/SitePages/Home.aspx</u>