

# NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

## Measure Evaluation 4.1 December 2009

This form contains the measure information submitted by stewards. Blank fields indicate no information was provided. Attachments also may have been submitted and are provided to reviewers. The subcriteria and most of the footnotes from the evaluation criteria are provided in Word comments within the form and will appear if your cursor is over the highlighted area. Hyperlinks to the evaluation criteria and ratings are provided in each section.

**TAP/Workgroup** (if utilized): Complete all **yellow highlighted** areas of the form. Evaluate the extent to which each subcriterion is met. Based on your evaluation, summarize the strengths and weaknesses in each section.

Note: *If there is no TAP or workgroup, the SC also evaluates the subcriteria (yellow highlighted areas).*

**Steering Committee:** Complete all **pink** highlighted areas of the form. Review the workgroup/TAP assessment of the subcriteria, noting any areas of disagreement; then evaluate the extent to which each major criterion is met; and finally, indicate your recommendation for the endorsement. Provide the rationale for your ratings.

Evaluation ratings of the extent to which the criteria are met

C = Completely (unquestionably demonstrated to meet the criterion)

P = Partially (demonstrated to partially meet the criterion)

M = Minimally (addressed BUT demonstrated to only minimally meet the criterion)

N = Not at all (NOT addressed; OR incorrectly addressed; OR demonstrated to NOT meet the criterion)

NA = Not applicable (only an option for a few subcriteria as indicated)

<b>(for NQF staff use)</b> NQF Review #: PSM-003-10      NQF Project: Patient Safety Measures
<b>MEASURE DESCRIPTIVE INFORMATION</b>
<b>De.1 Measure Title:</b> National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN) Catheter-associated Urinary Tract Infection (CAUTI) Outcome Measure
<b>De.2 Brief description of measure:</b> Standardized Infection Ratio (SIR) of healthcare-associated, catheter-associated urinary tract infections (CAUTI) among patients in intensive care units (ICUs), excluding patients in neonatal ICUs (NICUs)
<b>1.1-2 Type of Measure:</b> Outcome <b>De.3 If included in a composite or paired with another measure, please identify composite or paired measure</b>
<b>De.4 National Priority Partners Priority Area:</b> Safety <b>De.5 IOM Quality Domain:</b> Safety <b>De.6 Consumer Care Need:</b>

<b>CONDITIONS FOR CONSIDERATION BY NQF</b>	
Four conditions must be met before proposed measures may be considered and evaluated for suitability as voluntary consensus standards:	<b>NQF Staff</b>
<b>A.</b> The measure is in the public domain or an intellectual property (measure steward agreement) is signed. <i>Public domain only applies to governmental organizations. All non-government organizations must sign a measure steward agreement even if measures are made publicly and freely available.</i> <b>A.1</b> Do you attest that the measure steward holds intellectual property rights to the measure and the right to use aspects of the measure owned by another entity (e.g., risk model, code set)? <b>Yes</b> <b>A.2 Indicate if Proprietary Measure</b> (as defined in measure steward agreement): <b>A.3 Measure Steward Agreement:</b> Government entity and in the public domain - no agreement necessary <b>A.4 Measure Steward Agreement attached:</b>	<b>A</b> Y <input type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/>
<b>B.</b> The measure owner/steward verifies there is an identified responsible entity and process to maintain and	<b>B</b>

update the measure on a schedule that is commensurate with the rate of clinical innovation, but at least every 3 years. <b>Yes, information provided in contact section</b>	Y <input type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/>
C. The intended use of the measure includes <u>both</u> public reporting <u>and</u> quality improvement. ► <b>Purpose:</b> Public reporting, Internal quality improvement	C Y <input type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/>
D. The requested measure submission information is complete. Generally, measures should be fully developed and tested so that all the evaluation criteria have been addressed and information needed to evaluate the measure is provided. Measures that have not been tested are only potentially eligible for a time-limited endorsement and in that case, measure owners must verify that testing will be completed within 12 months of endorsement. D.1 Testing: <b>Yes, fully developed and tested</b> D.2 Have NQF-endorsed measures been reviewed to identify if there are similar or related measures? <b>Yes</b>	D Y <input type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/>
(for NQF staff use) Have all conditions for consideration been met? Staff Notes to Steward (if submission returned):	Met Y <input type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/>
Staff Notes to Reviewers (issues or questions regarding any criteria):	
Staff Reviewer Name(s):	

TAP/Workgroup Reviewer Name:	
Steering Committee Reviewer Name:	
<b>1. IMPORTANCE TO MEASURE AND REPORT</b>	
Extent to which the specific measure focus is important to making significant gains in health care quality (safety, timeliness, effectiveness, efficiency, equity, patient-centeredness) and improving health outcomes for a specific high impact aspect of healthcare where there is variation in or overall poor performance. <i>Measures must be judged to be important to measure and report in order to be evaluated against the remaining criteria.</i> (evaluation criteria) 1a. High Impact	Eval Ratin g
(for NQF staff use) Specific NPP goal:	
1a.1 Demonstrated High Impact Aspect of Healthcare: <b>Affects large numbers, Frequently performed procedure, Leading cause of morbidity/mortality, Patient/societal consequences of poor quality</b> 1a.2 1a.3 Summary of Evidence of High Impact: <b>CAUTI is the most common type of healthcare-associated infection, accounting for more than 30% of acute care hospital infections (Citation-1) 13,000 deaths associated with UTIs each year1 449,334 estimated CAUTIs/yr (Citation 2) \$758 medical cost/CAUTI (Citation 2) Total &gt;\$340 million attributable to CAUTI in U.S. each year (Citation 2)</b> 1a.4 Citations for Evidence of High Impact: <b>1 Klevens RM, Edwards JR, et al. Estimating healthcare-associated infection and deaths in U.S. hospitals, 2002. Public Health Reports 2007; 122:160-166. 2 Scott, RD. The Direct Medical Costs of Healthcare-Associated Infections in U.S. Hospitals and the Benefits of Prevention. <a href="http://www.cdc.gov/ncidod/dhqp/pdf/Scott_CostPaper.pdf">http://www.cdc.gov/ncidod/dhqp/pdf/Scott_CostPaper.pdf</a> accessed April 12, 2010.</b>	1a C <input type="checkbox"/> P <input type="checkbox"/> M <input type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/>
1b. Opportunity for Improvement	1b C <input type="checkbox"/>

<p><b>1b.1 Benefits (improvements in quality) envisioned by use of this measure:</b> It is envisioned that the use of this measure will promote CAUTI prevention activities which will lead to improved patient outcomes. Such activities include reducing the number of unnecessary indwelling catheters inserted, removing indwelling catheters at their earliest, clinically-appropriate time; avoiding patient exposures to antibiotics; reducing avoidable medical costs, and patient morbidity and mortality.</p> <p><b>1b.2 Summary of data demonstrating performance gap (variation or overall poor performance) across providers:</b> CAUTI rates vary considerably when stratified by location type and in some instances, by location bed size and type of medical affiliation of the facility.</p> <p><b>1b.3 Citations for data on performance gap:</b> Edwards JR, Peterson KD, et al. National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN) report: Data summary for 2006 through 2008, issued December, 2009. American Journal of Infection Control 2009; 37:783-805.</p> <p><b>1b.4 Summary of Data on disparities by population group:</b> According to the cited NHSN Report, CAUTI rates range from low of 0.0 per 1000 catheter days to high of 35.2 per 1000 catheter days between location types and in some instances, bed-size and type of medical affiliation of the facility.</p> <p><b>1b.5 Citations for data on Disparities:</b> Edwards JR, Peterson KD, et al. National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN) report: Data summary for 2006 through 2008, issued December, 2009. American Journal of Infection Control 2009; 37:783-805.</p>	<p>P <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>M <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>N <input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p><b>1c. Outcome or Evidence to Support Measure Focus</b></p> <p><b>1c.1 Relationship to Outcomes</b> (<i>For non-outcome measures, briefly describe the relationship to desired outcome. For outcomes, describe why it is relevant to the target population</i>): CAUTI SIRs are relevant to patient populations because prevention recommendations have been published to reduce the incidence of CAUTI. A high SIR indicates an opportunity for improvement.</p> <p><b>1c.2-3. Type of Evidence:</b> Evidence-based guideline, Randomized controlled trial, Expert opinion, Systematic synthesis of research, Meta-analysis</p> <p><b>1c.4 Summary of Evidence</b> (<i>as described in the criteria; for outcomes, summarize any evidence that healthcare services/care processes influence the outcome</i>): The Guideline for Prevention of Catheter-associated Urinary Tract Infections, 2009 published by the Healthcare Infection Control Practices and Advisory Committee (HICPAC) retrieved over 1050 published studies from the scientific literature for consideration into the development of the recommendations.</p> <p><b>1c.5 Rating of strength/quality of evidence</b> (<i>also provide narrative description of the rating and by whom</i>): See Attachment under Ad.11 Additional Information</p> <p><b>1c.6 Method for rating evidence:</b> See Attachment under Ad.11 Additional Information</p> <p><b>1c.7 Summary of Controversy/Contradictory Evidence:</b> None</p> <p><b>1c.8 Citations for Evidence</b> (<i>other than guidelines</i>):</p> <p><b>1c.9 Quote the Specific guideline recommendation</b> (<i>including guideline number and/or page number</i>): see 1c.10</p> <p><b>1c.10 Clinical Practice Guideline Citation:</b> The Guideline for Prevention of Catheter-associated Urinary Tract Infections, 2009, HICPAC. <a href="http://www.cdc.gov/hicpac/pdf/CAUTI/CAUTIGuideline2009final.pdf">http://www.cdc.gov/hicpac/pdf/CAUTI/CAUTIGuideline2009final.pdf</a> Accessed April 13, 2010.</p> <p><b>1c.11 National Guideline Clearinghouse or other URL:</b></p>	<p>1c</p> <p>C <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>P <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>M <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>N <input type="checkbox"/></p>

<p><b>1c.12 Rating of strength of recommendation</b> (<i>also provide narrative description of the rating and by whom</i>): See section 1c.6</p> <p><b>1c.13 Method for rating strength of recommendation</b> (<i>If different from USPSTF system, also describe rating and how it relates to USPSTF</i>):</p> <p><b>1c.14 Rationale for using this guideline over others:</b></p>	
<p><b>TAP/Workgroup: What are the strengths and weaknesses in relation to the subcriteria for <i>Importance to Measure and Report</i>?</b></p>	1
<p><b>Steering Committee: Was the threshold criterion, <i>Importance to Measure and Report</i>, met?</b> Rationale:</p>	<p>1 Y <input type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p><b>2. SCIENTIFIC ACCEPTABILITY OF MEASURE PROPERTIES</b></p>	
<p>Extent to which the measure, <u>as specified</u>, produces consistent (reliable) and credible (valid) results about the quality of care when implemented. (evaluation criteria)</p>	Eval Ratin g
<p><b>2a. MEASURE SPECIFICATIONS</b></p>	
<p><b>S.1 Do you have a web page where current detailed measure specifications can be obtained?</b> <b>S.2 If yes, provide web page URL:</b></p> <p><b>2a. Precisely Specified</b></p>	
<p><b>2a.1 Numerator Statement</b> (<i>Brief, text description of the numerator - what is being measured about the target population, e.g. target condition, event, or outcome</i>): Total number of observed healthcare-associated CAUTI among patients in ICUs (excluding patients in NICUs)</p> <p><b>2a.2 Numerator Time Window</b> (<i>The time period in which cases are eligible for inclusion in the numerator</i>): Cases are included if they are healthcare-associated and their infection dates are during a month in which a patient care area (location) was selected for surveillance (i.e., if CAUTI surveillance is done in a medical ICU during January, all healthcare-associated CAUTI with infection dates in January are included). With low numbers of expected infections, it will be necessary to have a data sample of sufficient size to generate meaningful SIRs, thus the time window will be a period greater than monthly.</p> <p><b>2a.3 Numerator Details</b> (<i>All information required to collect/calculate the numerator, including all codes, logic, and definitions</i>): 1. Definition of healthcare-associated Infection (HAI): Any infection reported to NHSN must meet the definition of an NHSN healthcare-associated infection, that is, a localized or systemic condition resulting from an adverse reaction to the presence of an infectious agent(s) or its toxin(s). There must be no evidence that the infection was present or incubating at the time of admission to the care setting. Clinical evidence may be derived from direct observation of the infection site or review of information in the patient chart or other clinical records. For certain, but not all, infection sites, a physician's or surgeon's diagnosis of infection derived from direct observation during a surgical operation, endoscopic examination, or other diagnostic studies or from clinical judgment may be an acceptable criterion for an NHSN infection, unless there is compelling evidence to the contrary. 2. Definition of CAUTI: CAUTI is a urinary tract infection (UTI) that occurs in a patient who had an indwelling urinary catheter in place within the 48-hour period before the onset of the UTI. NOTE: There is no minimum period of time that the catheter must be in place in order for the UTI to be considered catheter-associated.</p>	<p>2a- specs C <input type="checkbox"/> P <input type="checkbox"/> M <input type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/></p>

3. Definition of indwelling catheter: a drainage tube that is inserted into the urinary bladder through the urethra, is left in place, and is connected to a closed collection system; also called a Foley catheter.

4. UTI criteria:

• Symptomatic Urinary Tract Infection (SUTI)

Must meet at least 1 of the following criteria:

Criterion 1a:

Patient had an indwelling urinary catheter in place at the time of specimen collection

AND

at least 1 of the following signs or symptoms with no other recognized cause:

fever ( $>38^{\circ}\text{C}$ ), suprapubic tenderness, or costovertebral angle pain or tenderness

AND

a positive urine culture of  $\geq 10^5$  colony-forming units (CFU)/ml with no more than 2 species of microorganisms.

OR

Patient had indwelling urinary catheter removed within the 48 hours prior to specimen collection

AND

at least 1 of the following signs or symptoms with no other recognized cause:

fever ( $>38^{\circ}\text{C}$ ), urgency, frequency, dysuria, suprapubic tenderness, or costovertebral angle pain or tenderness

AND

a positive urine culture of  $\geq 10^5$  colony-forming units (CFU)/ml with no more than 2 species of microorganisms.

Criterion 1b:

Patient did not have an indwelling urinary catheter in place at the time of specimen collection nor within 48 hours prior to specimen collection

AND

has at least 1 of the following signs or symptoms with no other recognized cause: fever ( $>38^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) in a patient that is  $\geq 65$  years of age, urgency, frequency, dysuria, suprapubic tenderness, or costovertebral angle pain or tenderness

AND

a positive urine culture of  $\geq 10^5$  CFU/ml with no more than 2 species of microorganisms.

Criterion 2a:

Patient had an indwelling urinary catheter in place at the time of specimen collection

AND

at least 1 of the following signs or symptoms with no other recognized cause:

fever ( $>38^{\circ}\text{C}$ ), suprapubic tenderness, or costovertebral angle pain or tenderness

AND

a positive urinalysis demonstrated by at least 1 of the following findings:

a. positive dipstick for leukocyte esterase and/or nitrite

b. pyuria (urine specimen with  $\geq 10$  white blood cells [WBC]/mm<sup>3</sup> or  $\geq 3$  WBC/high power field of unspun urine)

c. microorganisms seen on Gram stain of unspun urine

AND

a positive urine culture of  $\geq 10^3$  and  $< 10^5$  CFU/ml with no more than 2 species of microorganisms.

OR

Patient had indwelling urinary catheter removed within the 48 hours prior to specimen collection

AND

at least 1 of the following signs or symptoms with no other recognized cause: fever (>38°C), urgency, frequency, dysuria, suprapubic tenderness, or costovertebral angle pain or tenderness

AND

a positive urinalysis demonstrated by at least 1 of the following findings:

- a. positive dipstick for leukocyte esterase and/or nitrite
- b. pyuria (urine specimen with =10 white blood cells [WBC]/mm<sup>3</sup> or =3 WBC/high power

Criterion 2b:

Patient did not have an indwelling urinary catheter in place at the time of specimen collection nor within 48 hours prior to specimen collection

AND

has at least 1 of the following signs or symptoms with no other recognized cause: fever (>38°C) in a patient that is =65 years of age, urgency, frequency, dysuria, suprapubic tenderness, or costovertebral angle pain or tenderness

AND

a positive urinalysis demonstrated by at least 1 of the following findings:

- a. positive dipstick for leukocyte esterase and/or nitrite
- b. pyuria (urine specimen with =10 WBC/mm<sup>3</sup> or =3 WBC/high power field of unspun urine)
- c. microorganisms seen on Gram stain of unspun urine

AND

a positive urine culture of =10<sup>3</sup> and <10<sup>5</sup> CFU/ml with no more than 2 species of microorganisms.

Criterion 3:

Patient =1 year of age with or without an indwelling urinary catheter has at least 1 of the following signs or symptoms with no other recognized cause: fever (>38°C core), hypothermia (<36°C core), apnea, bradycardia, dysuria, lethargy, or vomiting

AND

a positive urine culture of =10<sup>5</sup> CFU/ml with no more than 2 species of microorganisms.

Criterion 4:

Patient =1 year of age with or without an indwelling urinary catheter has at least 1 of the following signs or symptoms with no other recognized cause: fever (>38°C core), hypothermia (<36°C core), apnea, bradycardia, dysuria, lethargy, or vomiting

AND

a positive urinalysis demonstrated by at least one of the following findings:

- a. positive dipstick for leukocyte esterase and/or nitrite
- b. pyuria (urine specimen with =10 WBC/mm<sup>3</sup> or =3 WBC/high power field of unspun urine)
- c. microorganisms seen on Gram's stain of unspun urine

AND

a positive urine culture of between =10<sup>3</sup> and <10<sup>5</sup> CFU/ml with no more than two species of microorganisms .

•Asymptomatic Bacteremic Urinary Tract Infection (ABUTI):

Patient with or without an indwelling urinary catheter has no signs or symptoms (i.e. no fever (>38° C) for patient < 65 years of age\*; and for any age patient no urgency, frequency, dysuria, suprapubic tenderness, or costovertebral angle pain or tenderness, OR for a patient < 1 year of age, no fever (>38° C core), hypothermia (<36° C core), apnea, bradycardia, dysuria, lethargy, or vomiting)

AND

a positive urine culture of > 10<sup>5</sup> CFU/ml with no more than 2 species of uropathogen microorganisms\*\*

AND

a positive blood culture with at least 1 matching uropathogen mircorooganism to the urine culture

\*Fever is not diagnostic for UTI in the elderly (> 65 years of age) and therefore fever in this age group does

not disqualify from meeting the criteria for an ABUTI.

\*\*Uropathogen microorganisms are: Gram-negative bacilli, Staphylococcus spp., yeasts, beta-hemolytic Streptococcus spp. Enterococcus spp., G. vaginalis, Aerococcus urinae, and Corynebacterium (urease positive)

Urinary catheter tips should not be cultured and are not acceptable for the diagnosis of a urinary tract infection.

5.CDC Location: A CDC-defined designation given to a patient care area housing patients who have similar disease conditions or who are receiving care for similar medical or surgical specialties. Each facility location that is monitored is "mapped" to one CDC Location. The specific CDC Location code is determined by the type of patients cared for in that area according to the 80% Rule. That is, if 80% of patients are of a certain type (e.g., pediatric patients with orthopedic problems) then that area is designated as that type of location (in this case, an Inpatient Pediatric Orthopedic Ward).

6.Location: The patient care area to which a patient is assigned while receiving care in the healthcare facility.

7.Location of attribution: The location to which the event is being attributed.

8.Date of event: In the case of an infection event, the date when the first signs or symptoms of infection (clinical evidence) appeared, or the date the specimen used to meet the infection criterion was collected, whichever came first.

9.Facility-specific data for individual patient locations (i.e., bedsize of location, affiliation and level of affiliation with a medical school [Teaching statuses: major, graduate, limited, not affiliated -

- Major: A hospital that is an important part of the teaching program of a medical school and the majority of medical students rotate through multiple clinical services.
- Graduate: Hospital is used by the medical school for graduate trainings only (residency and/or fellowships).
- Limited: Hospital is used in the medical school's teaching program to only a limited extent.

**2a.4 Denominator Statement** (*Brief, text description of the denominator - target population being measured*):

Total number of expected CAUTIs, which is calculated by multiplying the number of urinary catheter days for each location under surveillance for CAUTI during the period by the CAUTI rate for the same types of locations obtained from the standard population. These expected numbers are summed across locations and used as the denominator of this measure (see also 2a.8).

**2a.5 Target population gender:** Female, Male

**2a.6 Target population age range:** Patients of all ages are eligible except patients in neonatal ICUs.

**2a.7 Denominator Time Window** (*The time period in which cases are eligible for inclusion in the denominator*):

The number of urinary catheter days for the location under surveillance for CAUTI during the period is collected. This number is multiplied by the 2006 through 2008 standard population's CAUTI rate, derived from the NHSN national data, for the same type of location to obtain the number of expected CAUTIs. The expected number of CAUTIs is the sum across all location types during the period. The expected number of CAUTIs will be influenced by the number of catheter days in the facility and the CAUTI rate in the standard population; with low numbers of expected infections, it will be necessary to have a data sample of sufficient size to generate meaningful SIRs.

**2a.8 Denominator Details** (*All information required to collect/calculate the denominator - the target population being measured - including all codes, logic, and definitions*):

Data required to calculate the denominator:

1.Number of urinary catheter days for locations under CAUTI surveillance during the period

2.CAUTI rate per 1000 catheter days for the same location types from the standard population, derived

from the NHSN national data, (2006 through 2008; see NHSN Report at <http://www.cdc.gov/nhsn/PDFs/dataStat/2009NHSNReport.PDF>).

3. Definition of urinary catheter days: Indwelling urinary catheter days are the number of patients with an indwelling urinary catheter device in place at the time when the daily count is made. The counts are done daily, at the same time each day, for each location under surveillance for CAUTI. The daily counts are summed and the total for the month is used as a denominator.

4. See 2a.3 for definitions of CDC location, location, and location of attribution.

5. Facility-specific data for individual patient locations (i.e., bedsize of location, affiliation and level of affiliation with a medical school [major, graduate, limited, not affiliated]:

- Major: A hospital that is an important part of the teaching program of a medical school and the majority of medical students rotate through multiple clinical services.
- Graduate: Hospital is used by the medical school for graduate trainings only (residency and/or fellowships).
- Limited: Hospital is used in the medical school’s teaching program to only a limited extent.

**2a.9 Denominator Exclusions** (*Brief text description of exclusions from the target population*): Non-indwelling catheters by NHSN definitions:

1. Suprapubic catheters
2. Condom catheters
3. “In and out” catheterizations

**2a.10 Denominator Exclusion Details** (*All information required to collect exclusions to the denominator, including all codes, logic, and definitions*):  
See 2a.9

**2a.11 Stratification Details/Variables** (*All information required to stratify the measure including the stratification variables, all codes, logic, and definitions*):

1. Facility-specific data for individual patient locations (i.e., bedsize of location, affiliation and level of affiliation with a medical school [Teaching statuses: major, graduate, limited, not affiliated -
    - Major: A hospital that is an important part of the teaching program of a medical school and the majority of medical students rotate through multiple clinical services.
    - Graduate: Hospital is used by the medical school for graduate trainings only (residency and/or fellowships).
    - Limited: Hospital is used in the medical school’s teaching program to only a limited extent.
- 2a. 8 Facility Specific Data)

**2a.12-13 Risk Adjustment Type:** SIR is an indirect standardization method for summarizing HAI experience across any number of stratified groups of data (in this case, CAUTI incidence rates stratified by patient care location and in some instances, location bed size and type of medical school affiliation). Expected numbers of CAUTI (and CAUTI rates) in a medical ICU are not the same as in a surgical ICU, for example.

**2a.14 Risk Adjustment Methodology/Variables** (*List risk adjustment variables and describe conceptual models, statistical models, or other aspects of model or method*):

CAUTI rates per 1000 urinary catheter days, which are used to calculate the expected number of CAUTI for the denominator of the SIR, are indirectly standardized rates accounting for the influence of length of stay and length of urinary catheterization, and are stratified by patient care location, which adjusts for differences in patient morbidity and disease-specific variables which may influence CAUTI risk. See also 2a.4 and 2a.20.

**2a.15-17 Detailed risk model available** Web page URL or attachment: URL No such URL. Please see 2a.21.

**2a.18-19 Type of Score:** Ratio

**2a.20 Interpretation of Score:**



**2a.21 Calculation Algorithm** (*Describe the calculation of the measure as a flowchart or series of steps*):  
 The SIR is calculated as follows:  
 1. Identify the number of CAUTI in each location type  
 2. Total these numbers for an observed number of CAUTIs  
 3. Obtain the number of expected number of CAUTIs in the same location types for a standard population using the NHSN data report ( <http://www.cdc.gov/nhsn/PDFs/dataStat/2009NHSNReport.PDF> )  
 4. Identify the number of expected CAUTIs for the facility based on its location types and numbers of catheter days:  
 a. For each location type, multiply the number of catheter days experienced, by the expected CAUTI rate for that location  
 b. Sum the number of expected CAUTIs from all locations  
 5. Divide the total number of observed CAUTI events ("2" above) by the "expected" number of CAUTI rates ("4.c." above).  
 6. Result = SIR  
 See example attached under Ad.11. Additional information  
 (The NHSN analysis tool will perform the calculations once the patient infection data and denominator information are entered into the system.)

**2a.22 Describe the method for discriminating performance** (*e.g., significance testing*):  
 Performance evaluation can be conducted through at least 2 processes. First an SIR can be compared to the nominal value of 1.0 through significance testing, i.e., P value and confidence intervals. Second, successive SIRs obtained for a given reporting entity can be compared to each other to assess changes over time.

**2a.23 Sampling (Survey) Methodology** *If measure is based on a sample (or survey), provide instructions for obtaining the sample, conducting the survey and guidance on minimum sample size (response rate):*  
 Not based on sample or survey

**2a.24 Data Source** (*Check the source(s) for which the measure is specified and tested*)  
 Electronic clinical data, Electronic Health/Medical Record, Lab data, Paper medical record/flow-sheet, Special or unique data

**2a.25 Data source/data collection instrument** (*Identify the specific data source/data collection instrument, e.g. name of database, clinical registry, collection instrument, etc.*):  
 NHSN Urinary Tract Infection form; NHSN Denominators for Intensive Care Unit (ICU)/Other Locations (not NICU or SCA) form

**2a.26-28 Data source/data collection instrument reference web page URL or attachment:** URL  
[http://www.cdc.gov/nhsn/forms/57.114\\_UTI\\_BLANK.pdf](http://www.cdc.gov/nhsn/forms/57.114_UTI_BLANK.pdf) ;  
[http://www.cdc.gov/nhsn/forms/57.118\\_DenominatorICU\\_BLANK.pdf](http://www.cdc.gov/nhsn/forms/57.118_DenominatorICU_BLANK.pdf)

**2a.29-31 Data dictionary/code table web page URL or attachment:** Attachment Data Dictionary.docx

**2a.32-35 Level of Measurement/Analysis** (*Check the level(s) for which the measure is specified and tested*)  
 Population: states, Population: national, Facility/Agency

**2a.36-37 Care Settings** (*Check the setting(s) for which the measure is specified and tested*)  
 Behavioral health/psychiatric unit, Hospice, Hospital, Long term acute care hospital, Nursing home (NH) /Skilled Nursing Facility (SNF), Rehabilitation Facility

**2a.38-41 Clinical Services** (*Healthcare services being measured, check all that apply*)

**TESTING/ANALYSIS**

**2b. Reliability testing**

**2b.1 Data/sample** (*description of data/sample and size*): The standard population's CAUTI rates used in

2b  
 C   
 P

<p>the SIR calculations are from 15 different types of ICUs. The numerators of these location-specific rates range from 8 to 2100 CAUTI, and the denominators range from approximately 2000 to 676,000 urinary catheter days, with 9 of the 15 locations having &gt;200,000 urinary catheter days. Therefore, we conclude for most of the locations, the standard population's rates are robust enough to use for determining the expected number of CAUTI. (National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN) report: Data summary for 2006 through 2008, issued December 2009, Am J of Infect Control 2009; 37: 783-805.)</p> <p><b>2b.2 Analytic Method</b> (<i>type of reliability &amp; rationale, method for testing</i>):          An SIR is identical in concept to a standardized mortality ratio (SMR) and can summarize HAI experience across any number of stratified groups of data using indirect standardization. The SMR is a widely accepted method of measurement within the public health community. An SIR is felt to be a good measurement for CAUTI experiences within facilities because it:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•provides a single measure that is simple to interpret for assessing CAUTI incidence problems and prevention efficacy,</li> <li>•gives a better estimate of the infection experience when there are small numerators or denominators in some or all strata</li> </ul> <p><b>2b.3 Testing Results</b> (<i>reliability statistics, assessment of adequacy in the context of norms for the test conducted</i>):          The final measure score (SIR) is a deterministic function that is demonstrably reliable as a result of its calculation.</p>	<p>M <input type="checkbox"/>          N <input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p><b>2c. Validity testing</b></p> <p><b>2c.1 Data/sample</b> (<i>description of data/sample and size</i>): The CAUTI data used in this measure have been endorsed by NQF in 2 other measure sets (see 3b.1) and as described in 2b.2, the SMR, upon which the SIR is based, is a widely accepted method for summarizing mortality experience. Therefore, we conclude the SIR measure has inherent face validity. However, we are undertaking validity studies beginning in July 2010 (see 2c.2).</p> <p>1 state has independently completed and reported validity testing in their state HAI report. Those reports can be found at the following URLs:</p> <p>Pennsylvania:  <a href="http://www.portal.state.pa.us/portal/server.pt/.../padoh_2009_hai_report_pdf">www.portal.state.pa.us/portal/server.pt/.../padoh_2009_hai_report_pdf</a></p> <p>(Annual Report identifies current methods of monthly internal consistency checks that are completed, as well as annual on-site facility audits that are scheduled to begin the summer of 2010.</p> <p>Additionally, validity testing has begun through CDC's Emerging Infections Program in July, 2010 in one state and in 2 states in August, 2010 and is expected to begin in 7 other states in August, 2010. Using ARRA funding, another state has also started validation testing in May, 2010 and 2 others are presently working on protocols to do so.</p> <p><b>2c.2 Analytic Method</b> (<i>type of validity &amp; rationale, method for testing</i>):          To address concerns regarding validity, HHS has provided funding, utilizing Recovery Act of 2009 funds, to CDC to support 10 state Emerging Infections Programs in validating NHSN-related measures and to support reporting on HHS metrics through NHSN.</p> <p><b>2c.3 Testing Results</b> (<i>statistical results, assessment of adequacy in the context of norms for the test conducted</i>):</p>	<p>2c          C <input type="checkbox"/>          P <input type="checkbox"/>          M <input type="checkbox"/>          N <input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p><b>2d. Exclusions Justified</b></p> <p><b>2d.1 Summary of Evidence supporting exclusion(s):</b>          NICU locations are excluded since indwelling urinary catheters are rarely used in patients in these locations.</p>	<p>2d          C <input type="checkbox"/>          P <input type="checkbox"/>          M <input type="checkbox"/>          N <input type="checkbox"/></p>

<p>Subject matter experts inform us that these devices are not commonly used, because these incontinent patients are diapered and there is the increased possibility of stool contamination of the catheter tubing with resulting introduction into the bladder.</p> <p><b>2d.2 Citations for Evidence:</b></p> <p><b>2d.3 Data/sample</b> (<i>description of data/sample and size</i>):</p> <p><b>2d.4 Analytic Method</b> (<i>type analysis &amp; rationale</i>):</p> <p><b>2d.5 Testing Results</b> (<i>e.g., frequency, variability, sensitivity analyses</i>):</p>	<p>NA <input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p><b>2e. Risk Adjustment for Outcomes/ Resource Use Measures</b></p> <p><b>2e.1 Data/sample</b> (<i>description of data/sample and size</i>): The standard population’s CAUTI rates used in the SIR calculations are from 15 different types of ICUs. The numerators of these location-specific rates range from 8 to 2100 CAUTI, and the denominators range from approximately 2000 to 676,000 urinary catheter days, with 9 of the 15 locations having &gt;200,000 urinary catheter days. Therefore, we conclude for most of the locations, the standard population’s rates, derived from the NHSN national data, are robust enough to use for determining the expected number of CAUTI. (National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN) report: Data summary for 2006 through 2008, issued December 2009, Am J of Infect Control 2009; 37: 783-805.)</p> <p><b>2e.2 Analytic Method</b> (<i>type of risk adjustment, analysis, &amp; rationale</i>): The SIR is the ratio of the observed number of CAUTI to the expected number of CAUTI. CAUTI rates per 1000 urinary catheter days, which are used to calculate the expected number of CAUTI for the denominator of the SIR, are indirectly standardized rates accounting for the influence of length of stay and length of urinary catheterization, and are stratified by patient care location, which adjusts for differences in patient morbidity and disease-specific variables which may influence CAUTI risk. If the number of CAUTIs that is observed is the same as the number expected for a patient care location of that type and size, then the SIR will = 1.0. If the number of observed CAUTIs is less than the number expected for a patient care location of that type and size, then the SIR will be less than 1.0. Likewise, if the number of observed CAUTIs is more than the number expected for a patient care location of that type and size, then the SIR will be greater than 1.0 (e.g., an SIR of 2.0 represents a location that has observed twice the number of expected CAUTIs for that location type). See also 2a.4 and 2a.20.</p> <p><b>2e.3 Testing Results</b> (<i>risk model performance metrics</i>):</p> <p><b>2e.4 If outcome or resource use measure is not risk adjusted, provide rationale:</b></p>	<p>2e C <input type="checkbox"/> P <input type="checkbox"/> M <input type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p><b>2f. Identification of Meaningful Differences in Performance</b></p> <p><b>2f.1 Data/sample from Testing or Current Use</b> (<i>description of data/sample and size</i>): SIRs have been used as metrics for identifying differences in performance by state.</p> <p><b>2f.2 Methods to identify statistically significant and practically/meaningfully differences in performance</b> (<i>type of analysis &amp; rationale</i>): The SIR by nature identifies variation from an expected rate of occurrence of an event and a sense of the magnitude of that variation (e.g., a facility CAUTI SIR of 2.0 represents twice as many CAUTIs as expected for the patient population). Additionally, the confidence interval provides further information regarding the likelihood that the SIR occurs within a specified range. See NHSN State Report for an example.</p> <p><b>2f.3 Provide Measure Scores from Testing or Current Use</b> (<i>description of scores, e.g., distribution by quartile, mean, median, SD, etc.; identification of statistically significant and meaningfully differences in</i></p>	<p>2f C <input type="checkbox"/> P <input type="checkbox"/> M <input type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/></p>

<p>performance):                  The SIR and 95% confidence interval will be calculated and graphically represented to show relationship to the nominal value of 1.0 (i.e., where observed equals expected).</p>	
<p><b>2g. Comparability of Multiple Data Sources/Methods</b></p> <p><b>2g.1 Data/sample</b> (<i>description of data/sample and size</i>):</p> <p><b>2g.2 Analytic Method</b> (<i>type of analysis &amp; rationale</i>):</p> <p><b>2g.3 Testing Results</b> (<i>e.g., correlation statistics, comparison of rankings</i>):</p>	<p>2g                  C <input type="checkbox"/>                  P <input type="checkbox"/>                  M <input type="checkbox"/>                  N <input type="checkbox"/>                  NA <input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p><b>2h. Disparities in Care</b></p> <p><b>2h.1</b> If measure is stratified, provide stratified results (<i>scores by stratified categories/cohorts</i>):</p> <p><b>2h.2</b> If disparities have been reported/identified, but measure is not specified to detect disparities, provide follow-up plans:</p>	<p>2h                  C <input type="checkbox"/>                  P <input type="checkbox"/>                  M <input type="checkbox"/>                  N <input type="checkbox"/>                  NA <input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p><b>TAP/Workgroup: What are the strengths and weaknesses in relation to the subcriteria for <i>Scientific Acceptability of Measure Properties</i>?</b></p>	<p>2</p>
<p><b>Steering Committee: Overall, to what extent was the criterion, <i>Scientific Acceptability of Measure Properties</i>, met?</b>                  Rationale:</p>	<p>2                  C <input type="checkbox"/>                  P <input type="checkbox"/>                  M <input type="checkbox"/>                  N <input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p><b>3. USABILITY</b></p>	
<p>Extent to which intended audiences (e.g., consumers, purchasers, providers, policy makers) can understand the results of the measure and are likely to find them useful for decision making. (evaluation criteria)</p>	<p>Eval                  Ratin                  g</p>
<p><b>3a. Meaningful, Understandable, and Useful Information</b></p> <p><b>3a.1 Current Use:</b> <a href="#">In use</a></p> <p><b>3a.2 Use in a public reporting initiative (disclosure of performance results to the public at large)</b> (<i>If used in a public reporting initiative, provide name of initiative(s), locations, Web page URL(s). <u>If not publicly reported</u>, state the plans to achieve public reporting within 3 years</i>):                  The SMR is a widely accepted measurement tool within the public health community and the SIR is but a variation on this method. The SIR has been available and used by NHSN member facilities for surgical site infection rate surveillance since 2005 and in NNIS facilities before that.                  A Centers for Disease Control and Prevention report on HAIs with SIRs for individual U.S. states is scheduled for publication in May, 2010 on the NHSN website at <a href="http://www.cdc.gov/nhsn/index.html">http://www.cdc.gov/nhsn/index.html</a>. Precedence has been set for using SIRs for public reporting of HAIs by several states. Such states include Pennsylvania (report may be found at <a href="http://www.portal.health.state.pa.us/portal/server.pt/community/department_of_health_home/1745">http://www.portal.health.state.pa.us/portal/server.pt/community/department_of_health_home/1745</a>), Tennessee (report may be found at <a href="http://health.state.tn.us/Downloads/TN_HAI_Report_2008_Jan_Dec_final.pdf">http://health.state.tn.us/Downloads/TN_HAI_Report_2008_Jan_Dec_final.pdf</a>), and South Carolina (<a href="http://www.scdhec.gov/health/disease/hai/reports.htm">http://www.scdhec.gov/health/disease/hai/reports.htm</a>).</p> <p><b>3a.3 If used in other programs/initiatives</b> (<i>If used in quality improvement or other programs/initiatives, name of initiative(s), locations, Web page URL(s). <u>If not used for QI</u>, state the plans to achieve use for QI within 3 years</i>):                  See 3a.2</p>	<p>3a                  C <input type="checkbox"/>                  P <input type="checkbox"/>                  M <input type="checkbox"/>                  N <input type="checkbox"/></p>

<p><b>Testing of Interpretability</b> (<i>Testing that demonstrates the results are understood by the potential users for public reporting and quality improvement</i>)</p> <p><b>3a.4 Data/sample</b> (<i>description of data/sample and size</i>):</p> <p><b>3a.5 Methods</b> (<i>e.g., focus group, survey, QI project</i>):</p> <p><b>3a.6 Results</b> (<i>qualitative and/or quantitative results and conclusions</i>):</p>	
<p><b>3b/3c. Relation to other NQF-endorsed measures</b></p> <p><b>3b.1 NQF # and Title of similar or related measures:</b> NQF #0138 and Nursing-sensitive Care (NSC-6)</p>	
<p><b>(for NQF staff use) Notes on similar/related endorsed or submitted measures:</b></p>	
<p><b>3b. Harmonization</b> If this measure is related to measure(s) already endorsed by NQF (e.g., same topic, but different target population/setting/data source <u>or</u> different topic but same target population):</p> <p><b>3b.2 Are the measure specifications harmonized? If not, why?</b></p>	<p><b>3b</b> C <input type="checkbox"/> P <input type="checkbox"/> M <input type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p><b>3c. Distinctive or Additive Value</b></p> <p><b>3c.1 Describe the distinctive, improved, or additive value this measure provides to existing NQF-endorsed measures:</b></p> <p><b>5.1 If this measure is similar to measure(s) already endorsed by NQF (i.e., on the same topic and the same target population), Describe why it is a more valid or efficient way to measure quality:</b> The cited existing measures are the CAUTI rate measures. The currently proposed measure, CAUTI SIR, uses the same numerator and denominator specifications as the rate measures. As already described, SIRs are useful risk-adjusted summary metrics that complement the existing NQF-endorsed measures.</p>	<p><b>3c</b> C <input type="checkbox"/> P <input type="checkbox"/> M <input type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/> NA <input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p><b>TAP/Workgroup: What are the strengths and weaknesses in relation to the subcriteria for <i>Usability</i>?</b></p>	<p><b>3</b></p>
<p><b>Steering Committee: Overall, to what extent was the criterion, <i>Usability</i>, met?</b> <b>Rationale:</b></p>	<p><b>3</b> C <input type="checkbox"/> P <input type="checkbox"/> M <input type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/></p>
<b>4. FEASIBILITY</b>	
<p>Extent to which the required data are readily available, retrievable without undue burden, and can be implemented for performance measurement. (evaluation criteria)</p>	<p>Eval Ratin g</p>
<p><b>4a. Data Generated as a Byproduct of Care Processes</b></p> <p><b>4a.1-2 How are the data elements that are needed to compute measure scores generated?</b> Other CAUTI and catheter days must be collected by trained hospital staff from information available in clinical data sources. The standard population's CAUTI rates are available from the NHSN Report. The NHSN analysis tool will automatically calculate SIRs.</p>	<p><b>4a</b> C <input type="checkbox"/> P <input type="checkbox"/> M <input type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p><b>4b. Electronic Sources</b></p> <p><b>4b.1 Are all the data elements available electronically?</b> (<i>elements that are needed to compute measure scores are in defined, computer-readable fields, e.g., electronic health record, electronic claims</i>) No</p>	<p><b>4b</b> C <input type="checkbox"/> P <input type="checkbox"/> M <input type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/></p>

<p><b>4b.2</b> If not, specify the near-term path to achieve electronic capture by most providers.                  Currently studies are underway to determine the validity of an algorithm using electronically captured data to identify one type of HAI (central line-associated bloodstream infections. This will serve as a test project for other HAI surveillance.</p>	
<p><b>4c. Exclusions</b></p> <p><b>4c.1</b> Do the specified exclusions require additional data sources beyond what is required for the numerator and denominator specifications?                  No</p> <p><b>4c.2</b> If yes, provide justification.</p>	<p>4c                  C <input type="checkbox"/>                  P <input type="checkbox"/>                  M <input type="checkbox"/>                  N <input type="checkbox"/>                  NA <input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p><b>4d. Susceptibility to Inaccuracies, Errors, or Unintended Consequences</b></p> <p><b>4d.1</b> Identify susceptibility to inaccuracies, errors, or unintended consequences of the measure and describe how these potential problems could be audited. If audited, provide results.                  Patient medical records and other sources of patient data must be reviewed to determine if the patient meets the necessary criteria for a healthcare-associated CAUTI. It is possible that reviewers may miss symptoms or fail to identify that patients meet criteria thereby underreporting CAUTI events. Data collectors might also intentionally underreport CAUTIs. Both of these actions would result in an SIR that is calculated to be lower than actual. Alternatively, patients may be identified as having a CAUTI when in fact they do not meet CAUTI criteria and thereby calculate an SIR that is higher than actual. In addition, it is possible SIRs may be miscalculated. The NHSN reporting tool includes business logic to minimize misclassification of CAUTI and inaccurate reporting of catheter days. In addition, site visits can be conducted to audit data validity and this has been done for other infection types by some of the states using NHSN as their mandatory reporting tool (for example, see New York’s audit process summary: <a href="http://www.health.state.ny.us/statistics/facilities/hospital/hospital_acquired_infections/2008/docs/hospital-acquired_infection.pdf">http://www.health.state.ny.us/statistics/facilities/hospital/hospital_acquired_infections/2008/docs/hospital-acquired_infection.pdf</a>, p20).</p>	<p>4d                  C <input type="checkbox"/>                  P <input type="checkbox"/>                  M <input type="checkbox"/>                  N <input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p><b>4e. Data Collection Strategy/Implementation</b></p> <p><b>4e.1</b> Describe what you have learned/modified as a result of testing and/or operational use of the measure regarding data collection, availability of data/missing data, timing/frequency of data collection, patient confidentiality, time/cost of data collection, other feasibility/ implementation issues:                  CAUTI rates and SIR using the methodologies described above have been in use by hospitals participating in CDC surveillance systems since 1986, and the rate measure has been endorsed by NQF in 2 measure sets since 2004. The criteria for UTI were streamlined in 2009 and the asymptomatic bacteriuria specific site of UTI dropped as it was felt to represent colonization rather than infection.</p> <p><b>4e.2</b> Costs to implement the measure (<i>costs of data collection, fees associated with proprietary measures</i>):                  We have estimated the time for identifying and reporting a CAUTI to be 30 minutes, and 2.5 hours per selected ICU per month for collecting and reporting urinary catheter days. As an example of the cost to implement the measure, if a hospital identifies and reports 5 CAUTI from 2 ICUs per month for a year, it would be 90 hours of effort. If the salary of the data collectors averaged \$36 per hour, that level of effort would cost \$3240 per year for the hospital.</p> <p><b>4e.3</b> Evidence for costs:                  See OMB submission number 0920-0666, expires 03-31-2011 (labor cost adjusted for inflation).</p> <p><b>4e.4</b> Business case documentation:</p>	<p>4e                  C <input type="checkbox"/>                  P <input type="checkbox"/>                  M <input type="checkbox"/>                  N <input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p><b>TAP/Workgroup: What are the strengths and weaknesses in relation to the subcriteria for <i>Feasibility</i>?</b></p>	<p>4</p>
<p><b>Steering Committee: Overall, to what extent was the criterion, <i>Feasibility</i>, met?                  Rationale:</b></p>	<p>4                  C <input type="checkbox"/></p>

	P <input type="checkbox"/> M <input type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/>
<b>RECOMMENDATION</b>	
(for NQF staff use) Check if measure is untested and only eligible for time-limited endorsement.	Time-limited <input type="checkbox"/>
Steering Committee: Do you recommend for endorsement? Comments:	Y <input type="checkbox"/> N <input type="checkbox"/> A <input type="checkbox"/>
<b>CONTACT INFORMATION</b>	
<b>Co.1 Measure Steward (Intellectual Property Owner)</b> <b>Co.1 Organization</b> Centers for Disease Control and Prevention , 1600 Clifton Rd, NE, Mailstop A-24, Atlanta, Georgia, 30333	
<b>Co.2 Point of Contact</b> Daniel, Pollock, M.D., DPA1@cdc.gov, 404-639-4237-	
Measure Developer If different from Measure Steward <b>Co.3 Organization</b> Centers for Disease Control and Prevention , 1600 Clifton Rd, NE, Mailstop A-24, Atlanta, Georgia, 30333	
<b>Co.4 Point of Contact</b> Daniel, Pollock, M.D., DPA1@cdc.gov, 404-639-4237-	
<b>Co.5 Submitter If different from Measure Steward POC</b> Daniel, Pollock, M.D., DPA1@cdc.gov, 404-639-4237-, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention	
<b>Co.6 Additional organizations that sponsored/participated in measure development</b>	
<b>ADDITIONAL INFORMATION</b>	
Workgroup/Expert Panel involved in measure development <b>Ad.1</b> Provide a list of sponsoring organizations and workgroup/panel members' names and organizations. Describe the members' role in measure development.	
<b>Ad.2</b> If adapted, provide name of original measure: <a href="#">NQF 0138 Catheter-associated Urinary Tract Infection</a> <b>Ad.3-5</b> If adapted, provide original specifications URL or attachment <a href="http://www.cdc.gov/nhsn/pdfs/pscManual/7pscCAUTIcurrent.pdf">http://www.cdc.gov/nhsn/pdfs/pscManual/7pscCAUTIcurrent.pdf</a>	
Measure Developer/Steward Updates and Ongoing Maintenance <b>Ad.6</b> Year the measure was first released: 2004 <b>Ad.7</b> Month and Year of most recent revision: 01, 2009 <b>Ad.8</b> What is your frequency for review/update of this measure? annually and when needed <b>Ad.9</b> When is the next scheduled review/update for this measure? 04, 2011	
<b>Ad.10</b> Copyright statement/disclaimers:	
<b>Ad.11 -13</b> Additional Information web page URL or attachment: <a href="#">Attachment Additional Information NQF Measure.docx</a>	
Date of Submission (MM/DD/YY): 08/12/2010	