

# NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

## NATIONAL VOLUNTARY CONSENSUS STANDARDS FOR PEDIATRIC CARDIAC SURGERY: A CONSENSUS REPORT

### DRAFT REPORT FOR COMMENTING

# NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

24 TO: NQF Members

25

26 FROM: NQF Staff

27

28 RE: Comments for *National Voluntary Consensus Standards for Pediatric Cardiac Surgery: A*  
29 *Consensus Report*

30

31 DA: August 9, 2010

32

33 This draft report is from NQF's 2009 Pediatric Cardiac Surgery Project. This project seeks to identify  
34 and endorse quality measures that specifically address the pediatric cardiac surgical population for  
35 public reporting and quality improvement. Performance measurement for healthcare quality reporting  
36 and improvement have to date focused largely on the adult population. This is NQF's first project  
37 focused on pediatric heart surgery.

38

39 In an effort to understand the full implications of this process for NQF and other relevant  
40 stakeholders, NQF convened a Steering Committee comprised of 13 experts in the field to evaluate  
41 submitted measures and to make recommendations across the spectrum of pediatric cardiac surgery.  
42 As part of the Steering Committee's work, the group evaluated measures regarding the topic areas of  
43 mortality, programmatic structure, and antibiotic use. The Steering Committee ultimately  
44 recommended 2 measures for full endorsement and 11 for time-limited endorsement.

45

46 The draft document, *National Voluntary Consensus Standards for Pediatric Cardiac Surgery: A Consensus*  
47 *Report*, is posted on the NQF website at [http://www.qualityforum.org/projects/pediatric-cardiac-](http://www.qualityforum.org/projects/pediatric-cardiac-surgery.aspx#t=2&s=&p=5%7C)  
48 [surgery.aspx#t=2&s=&p=5%7C](http://www.qualityforum.org/projects/pediatric-cardiac-surgery.aspx#t=2&s=&p=5%7C) along with the following additional information:

49

- 50 • measure submission forms,
- 51 • measure evaluation summary table, and
- 52 • meeting and call summaries for the Steering Committee.

53

54 Pursuant to section II.A of the Consensus Development Process v. 1.8, this draft document, along  
55 with the accompanying materials, is being provided to you at this time for purposes of review and  
56 comment only—not voting. You may post your comments and view the comments of others on the  
57 NQF website.

58

59 **Public comments must be submitted no later than 6:00 pm ET, August 31, 2010.**

60 **NQF Member comments must be submitted no later than 6:00 pm ET, September 7, 2010.**

61

62 NQF is now using a program that facilitates electronic submission of comments on this draft report.  
63 **All comments must be submitted using the online submission process.**

64

65 Supporting documents related to your comments may be submitted by e-mail to  
66 [pediatriccardiacsurgery@qualityforum.org](mailto:pediatriccardiacsurgery@qualityforum.org) with “*Comment-Pediatric Cardiac Surgery*” in the subject  
67 line and your contact information in the body of the e-mail.

68

69 Thank you for your interest in NQF's work. We look forward to your review and comments.

70

# NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

## NATIONAL VOLUNTARY CONSENSUS STANDARDS FOR PEDIATRIC CARDIAC SURGERY

71		
72		
73		
74		
	<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	
75	Executive Summary .....	4
76	Background.....	6
77	Strategic Directions for NQF .....	7
78	National Priorities Partnership .....	8
79	NQF’s Consensus Development Process.....	8
80	Evaluating Potential Consensus Standards.....	9
81	Relationship to NQF-Endorsed Consensus Standards.....	9
82	Recommendations for Endorsement .....	9
83	Competing Measures.....	10
84	Candidate Consensus Standards Recommended for Endorsement .....	10
85	Candidate Consensus Standards Recommended for Time-Limited Endorsement.....	12
86	Candidate Consensus Standards Withdrawn from Consideration (by Developer).....	19
87	Notes .....	21
88	Appendix A—Specifications of the National Voluntary Consensus Standards for Pediatric Cardiac	
89	Surgery .....	A-1
90	Appendix B—Pediatric Cardiac Surgery Steering Committee and NQF Staff.....	B-1
91	Appendix C—Competing Measures.....	C-1
92		

# NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

## NATIONAL VOLUNTARY CONSENSUS STANDARDS FOR PEDIATRIC CARDIAC SURGERY

### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Heart defects are among the most common birth defects and are the leading cause of birth defect-related deaths in the United States.<sup>1</sup> Each year, about 35,000 infants (1 out of every 125) are born with heart defects.<sup>2</sup> Quality improvement strategies must be aimed not only at further reduction of mortality but also at efficient use of resources and reduction of morbidities to the maximum extent possible.

Performance measurement for healthcare quality reporting and improvement have to date focused largely on the adult population, but there are growing interest and momentum to include pediatric measures in these efforts. The National Quality Forum (NQF) has endorsed measures specific to adult cardiac surgery, pediatric heart surgery volume, and pediatric heart surgery mortality. Quality improvement strategies for pediatric cardiac surgery will benefit from specific measures aimed at further reduction of mortality, efficient use of resources, and reduction of morbidities. These goals are also directly aligned with the National Priorities Partnership's priority for safety.

In an effort to understand the full implications of measurement in this population, NQF convened the 12-member Pediatric Cardiac Surgery Committee to evaluate measures and make recommendations across the spectrum of pediatric cardiac surgery. The Steering Committee considered measures in the topic areas of mortality, programmatic structure, and antibiotic use. This report presents the results of the re-evaluation of 13 measures considered under NQF's Consensus Development Process (CDP). Two measures are recommended for endorsement and 11 measures for time-limited endorsement as voluntary consensus standards suitable for public reporting and quality improvement.

- 
1. Kochanek, KD, Murphy SL, Anderson RN, et al.,. Deaths: final dataFinal Data for 2002. Natl Vit Stat Rep, 2004;53(5):1-115. National
  2. National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute (NHLBI). *Congenital Heart Defects*. Bethesda, MD:NHLBI, 2009. Available at [www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health/dci/Diseases/chd/chd\\_what.html](http://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health/dci/Diseases/chd/chd_what.html). Last accessed August 2010. *Congenital Heart Defects*.

# NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

- 119  
120 **Measures Recommended for Endorsement**  
121  
122 • PCS-018-09: Operative mortality stratified by the five STS-EACTS Mortality Levels [Society  
123 of Thoracic Surgeons (STS)]
- 124 • PCS-021-09: Standardized mortality ratio for congenital heart surgery, Risk Adjustment for  
125 Congenital Heart Surgery (RACHS-1 method) [Children’s Hospital Boston (CHB)]

## 126 **Measures Recommended for Time-Limited Endorsement**

- 127 • PCS-001-09: Participation in a national database for pediatric and congenital heart surgery  
128 (STS)
- 129 • PCS-002-09: Multidisciplinary preoperative planning conference (STS)
- 130 • PCS-003-09: Multidisciplinary rounds involving multiple members of the healthcare team  
131 (STS)
- 132 • PCS-004-09: Regularly scheduled quality assurance and quality improvement cardiac care  
133 conference (STS)
- 134 • PCS-005-09: Availability of intraoperative transesophageal echocardiography (TEE) (STS)
- 135 • PCS-006-09: Availability of institutional pediatric ECLS (extracorporeal life support) (STS)
- 136 • PCS-007-09: Surgical volume for pediatric and congenital heart surgery (STS)
- 137 • PCS-008-09: Surgical volume for pediatric and congenital heart surgery, stratified by the five  
138 STS-EACTS Mortality Levels (STS)
- 139 • PCS-010-09: Timing of antibiotic administration for pediatric and congenital cardiac surgery  
140 (STS)
- 141 • PCS-011-09: Selection of antibiotic administration for pediatric and congenital cardiac  
142 surgery patients (STS)
- 143 • PCS-012-09: Use of an expanded pre-procedural and post-procedural time-out (STS)

144  
145  
146

# NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

## NATIONAL VOLUNTARY CONSENSUS STANDARDS FOR PEDIATRIC CARDIAC SURGERY

### BACKGROUND

Heart defects are among the most common birth defects and are the leading cause of birth defect-related deaths in the United States.<sup>3</sup> Each year, about 35,000 infants (1 out of every 125) are born with heart defects.<sup>4</sup> Other children will develop acquired heart disease, including such conditions as arrhythmias, cardiomyopathies, Kawasaki disease, and rheumatic fever. Because of advances in diagnosis and surgical treatment of these children, the mortality rate related to surgery has decreased dramatically. Today, about 1.4 million children and adults are living with congenital heart defects.<sup>5</sup> And yet, a retrospective cohort study from 1992 to 1996 revealed that children with Medicaid insurance have a higher risk of dying after congenital heart surgery than those with commercial and some managed care insurance, likely because of barriers to accessing care and differential referral patterns.<sup>6</sup> This is significant because more than 20 million, or 25 percent, of children in the United States rely on Medicaid and SCHIP (State Children's Health Insurance Program) for health insurance coverage. Furthermore, many survivors experience morbidities that impact dramatically on their quality of life and that of their family members and on the consumption of resources, and consequently on the ultimate costs of healthcare, which are borne by society as a whole. Quality improvement strategies must be aimed not only at further reduction of mortality but also at efficient use of resources and reduction of morbidities to the maximum extent possible. Indeed, annual national charges for care currently exceed 2.2 billion dollars for inpatient congenital cardiac surgery.<sup>7</sup>

National efforts to promote accountability and improvement in healthcare through performance measurement and reporting have focused largely on the adult population, but there are growing interest and momentum to include pediatric measures in these efforts. In September 2006 the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services issued a compendium containing primarily adult, but some pediatric, quality measures in broad categories to support states' programmatic needs in the areas of quality-based purchasing or pay-for-performance, public reporting, quality improvement, service delivery, benchmarking, and program/plan monitoring. Among the measures in the compendium was a measure of pediatric cardiac surgery mortality developed by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality.<sup>8</sup>

# NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

178  
179 In October 2009, the 12-member Pediatric Cardiac Surgery Steering Committee met in person to  
180 evaluate 21 measures in the topic areas of mortality, programmatic structure, and antibiotic use and to  
181 make recommendations across the spectrum of pediatric cardiac surgery performance measurement.  
182 During that meeting, the Committee recommended 20 measures for time-limited endorsement and  
183 one for endorsement with the condition that its risk-stratification methodology be harmonized with  
184 that of another submitted measure. After the meeting, eight of those measures (seven outcome, one  
185 structural) were withdrawn by the developer. The seven outcome measures were submitted without  
186 risk adjustment or rationale and analysis supporting no risk adjustment. The developers agreed the  
187 measures need risk adjustment and withdrew them from further consideration at this time.

188  
189 At that time, both developers were also given the opportunity to submit additional information to  
190 further support the reliability and validity of their measure submissions. The Steering Committee was  
191 subsequently asked to re-evaluate the 13 remaining measures.

192  
193 This report presents the results of the re-evaluation of the remaining 13 measures, two of which are  
194 recommended for endorsement and 11 for time-limited endorsement as voluntary consensus  
195 standards suitable for public reporting and quality improvement.

196

## 197 **STRATEGIC DIRECTIONS FOR NQF**

198 NQF’s mission includes three parts: 1) setting national priorities and goals for performance  
199 improvement, 2) endorsing national consensus standards for measuring and publicly reporting on  
200 performance, and 3) promoting the attainment of national goals through education and outreach  
201 programs. As greater numbers of quality measures are developed and brought to NQF for  
202 consideration of endorsement, it is incumbent on NQF to assist stakeholders to “measure what makes  
203 a difference” and address what is important to achieve the best outcomes for patients and populations.  
204 For more information see [www.qualityforum.org/projects/pediatric-cardiac-surgery.aspx](http://www.qualityforum.org/projects/pediatric-cardiac-surgery.aspx).

205 Several strategic issues have been identified to guide consideration of candidate consensus standards:

206 **DRIVE TOWARD HIGH PERFORMANCE.** Over time, the bar of performance expectations  
207 should be raised to encourage achievement of higher levels of system performance.

# NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

208 **EMPHASIZE COMPOSITES.** Composite measures provide much needed summary information  
209 pertaining to multiple dimensions of performance and are more comprehensible to patients and  
210 consumers.

211 **MOVE TOWARD OUTCOME MEASUREMENT.** Outcome measures provide information of  
212 keen interest to consumers and purchasers, and when coupled with healthcare process measures, they  
213 provide useful and actionable information to providers. Outcome measures also focus attention on  
214 much-needed system-level improvements, since achieving the best patient outcomes often requires  
215 carefully designed care process, teamwork, and coordinated action on the part of many providers.

216 **CONSIDER DISPARITIES IN ALL THAT WE DO.** Some of the greatest performance gaps  
217 relate to care of minority populations. Particular attention should be focused on identifying  
218 disparities-sensitive performance measures and on identifying the most relevant  
219 race/ethnicity/language strata for reporting purposes.

## 220 221 **NATIONAL PRIORITIES PARTNERSHIP**

222  
223 NQF seeks to endorse measures that address the National Priorities and Goals of the NQF-convened  
224 National Priorities Partnership.<sup>9</sup> The National Priorities Partnership represents those who receive, pay  
225 for, provide, and evaluate healthcare. The National Priorities and Goals focus on these areas:

- 226 • patient and family engagement,
- 227 • population health,
- 228 • safety,
- 229 • care coordination,
- 230 • palliative and end-of-life care, and
- 231 • overuse.

## 232 233 **NQF'S CONSENSUS DEVELOPMENT PROCESS (CDP)**

234 The purpose of the National Voluntary Consensus Standards for Pediatric Cardiac Surgery project is  
235 to identify and endorse measures for public reporting and quality improvement related to pediatric  
236 cardiac surgery processes, structure, and patient outcomes. It will establish national, multi-  
237 stakeholder voluntary consensus on performance standards that are ready for immediate

# NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

238 implementation, as well as on recommendations for priority areas for research and measure  
239 development.

## 240 **Evaluating Potential Consensus Standards**

241 This report presents the re-evaluation of 13 pediatric cardiac surgery measures. Candidate consensus  
242 standards were solicited through a Call for Measures on July 31-August 31, 2009, and were actively  
243 sought through searches of the National Quality Measures Clearinghouse, NQF Member websites,  
244 and an environmental scan. NQF staff contacted potential measure stewards to encourage submission  
245 of measures for this project.

246 The measures were evaluated using NQF's standard evaluation criteria.<sup>10</sup> The 12-member, multi-  
247 stakeholder Committee provided evaluations of the four main criteria: importance to measure and  
248 report, scientific acceptability of the measure properties, usability, and feasibility, as well as the  
249 recommendation for endorsement. Measure developers responded to Steering Committee questions  
250 and clarified any issues or concerns.

251

## 252 **RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER NQF-ENDORSED CONSENSUS STANDARDS**

253 This report does not represent the entire scope of NQF work relevant to pediatric patients. To date, NQF  
254 has endorsed more than a dozen quality measures specific to pediatric patients through past projects  
255 and continues work in this area with upcoming projects:

- 256 • [Hospital Care: Additional Measures \(2007\)](#)
- 257 • [Perinatal Care \(2008\)](#)
- 258 • [Child Health Outcomes \(Patient Outcomes Measures-Phase III\) \(2009\)](#)
- 259 • [Child Health Quality Measures Project \(2010\)](#)

260

## 261 **RECOMMENDATIONS FOR ENDORSEMENT**

262 This report presents the results of the re-evaluation of 13 measures considered under NQF's CDP (see  
263 Appendix A for detailed specifications). Two measures are recommended for endorsement and 11 are  
264 recommended for time-limited endorsement as national voluntary consensus standards suitable for  
265 public reporting and quality improvement.

266

267

# NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

## 268 **Competing Measures**

269 NQF has previously endorsed pediatric cardiac surgery measures of volume (#0340) and mortality  
270 (#0339). For this project, measures similar to these were recommended by the Steering Committee.  
271 NQF aims to have a portfolio of parsimonious and harmonized endorsed measures that represent the  
272 best-in-class, and typically does not endorse multiple measures with the same focus and target  
273 population. As such, the Steering Committee is seeking comment on these competing measures and  
274 will be asked to make recommendations for best-in-class for the measures considered following this  
275 comment period. Appendix C provides a side-by-side comparison of the endorsed and submitted  
276 measures.

277  
278 Two outcome measures were submitted to this project: one a measure of operative mortality stratified  
279 by the STS-EACTS complexity tool (PCS-018-09), and the other a standardized mortality ratio  
280 (SMR) (PCS-021-09) using the RACHS-1 method in a statistical risk-adjustment model. Although  
281 these measure similar outcomes in the same population of patients, as per NQF procedure, the  
282 measures were evaluated and recommended for endorsement based on their individual merits and  
283 how well they meet the evaluation criteria. These two submitted measures are similar to each other  
284 and to the endorsed measure #0339. Despite the different approaches to measuring volume, the two  
285 submitted volume measures, PCS-007-09 and PCS-008-09 (volume stratified by complexity) are also  
286 similar to each other and endorsed measure #0340 in that they each measure volume in the same  
287 population. While similar, the Committee did consider whether both the volume and mortality  
288 competing measures could co-exist as endorsed measures.

289

## 290 **Candidate Consensus Standards Recommended for Endorsement**

291 PCS-018-09 Operative mortality stratified by the five STS-EACTS Mortality Levels *Operative mortality*  
292 *stratified by the five STS-EACTS Mortality Levels, a multi-institutional validated complexity*  
293 *stratification tool* (STS)

294 The initial specifications for this operative mortality measure that were reviewed at the October 2009  
295 Steering Committee meeting included three methods for stratifying or adjusting the population  
296 (Aristotle, RACHS-1, and STS-EACTS) allowing the user to select the method that would be used  
297 for each use. However, in an effort to standardize this measure and improve comparability, NQF

# NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

298 asked the measure developer to select one method of risk-stratification and resubmit the measure with  
299 support of this method. The measure reflected in this report was resubmitted by the developer as a  
300 measure of operative mortality within 30 days after surgery or prior to discharge for patients who  
301 undergo pediatric and congenital open heart surgery, stratifying for complexity using only the STS-  
302 EACTS mortality levels. The use of this measure relies on the STS registry database to calculate the  
303 mortality levels and determine complexity levels. The Steering Committee agreed that understanding  
304 of comparative mortality following congenital and pediatric cardiac surgery across institutions is  
305 immensely important. The Committee also emphasized the importance of capturing post-discharge  
306 mortality, especially for distant referrals, which needs to be assured for this measure to work. This  
307 measure was ultimately recommended for endorsement by the Committee based on the agreement  
308 that this is an important measure for the field.

309 PCS-021-09 Standardized mortality ratio for congenital heart surgery, Risk Adjustment for Congenital  
310 Heart Surgery (RACHS-1) method *Adjusted ratio of observed to expected rate of in-hospital mortality*  
311 *following surgical repair of congenital heart defect among patients <18 years of age, risk-adjusted*  
312 *using the Risk Adjustment for Congenital Heart Surgery (RACHS-1) method (CHB)*

313 This measure uses the RACHS-1 system of risk analysis to compute an observed-to-expected (O/E)  
314 standardized mortality ratio (SMR). A score of  $>1.0$  indicates that the observed mortality is greater  
315 than the expected mortality. The risk analysis method (RACHS-1) incorporates five clinical  
316 characteristics: six predefined risk categories, age at surgery, prematurity, presence of a major non-  
317 cardiac structural anomaly, and combinations of cardiac procedures performed. The data required for  
318 this measure can be collected through manual chart abstraction or administrative data (ICD-9-CM  
319 codes) to determine the RACHS-1 score. During the initial evaluation of this measure at the October  
320 meeting, the Committee voted to recommend this measure for endorsement on the condition that the  
321 risk analysis method used in the SMR is harmonized with the three methods used in the initial  
322 submission for PCS-018-09. The Committee was reluctant to determine a best-in-class among these  
323 three methods (Aristotle, RACHS-1, and STS-EACTS) given that the field has yet to determine  
324 which method is best. The Committee expressed concerns about the use of administrative data to  
325 calculate this measure and noted references that have demonstrated the shortcomings of the use of  
326 administrative data in congenital heart disease. The concerns with administrative data also extended  
327 to any potential issues with the conversion from ICD-9-CM to ICD-10-CM/PCS codes; however, the  
328 measure developer confirmed that this mapping process has already begun and no major issues are

# NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

329 anticipated. This measure was ultimately recommended for endorsement without conditions  
330 following its second review..

## 331 **Candidate Consensus Standards Recommended for Time-Limited Endorsement**

332 The Steering Committee recommended 11 of the submitted measures for time-limited endorsement.  
333 These measures include both structural and process measures.

### 334 335 **Structural Measures**

336  
337 Each of the following structural measures seeks to measure quality at the programmatic level, not at  
338 the patient level. They are dichotomous and require a “Yes” or “No” response to complete the  
339 measure.

340  
341 **PCS-001-09 Participation in a national database for pediatric and congenital heart surgery**  
342 *Participation in at least one multi-center, standardized, data collection, and feedback program that*  
343 *provides benchmarking of the physician’s data relative to national and regional programs and uses*  
344 *process and outcome measures (STS)*

345  
346 This structural measure requires a “Yes” or “No” response to whether the facility or program  
347 participates in a national database for pediatric and congenital heart surgery. Based on the condition  
348 for recommendation put forth by the Committee at the October 2009 meeting, “participation” is  
349 defined as, “submission of all congenital and pediatric operations performed by the database”. The  
350 Steering Committee agreed that this activity is important to measure and report. Research has shown  
351 that participation in multi-institutional databases/registries improves patient outcomes. Given the  
352 volume of pediatric surgeries performed, the Committee agreed it is important to track them via a  
353 database and to collect feedback as to what types of interventions increase the likelihood of positive  
354 outcomes, which enhances the ability to identify opportunities for improvement. Although the  
355 measure does not specify use of the STS registry, the measure developer noted that the STS registry  
356 database is already used by a large number of programs and includes more than 90 percent of the  
357 active programs in the United States. While the Committee agreed this measure is feasible for those  
358 who already participate in the STS database, and that the required information is most likely already  
359 maintained within the institutions, several expressed concern that it may be more difficult for smaller  
360 institutions to adhere to this measure. Other members raised concerns about how the submission of  
361 data to a registry would work with electronic health records (EHRs), as well as about the expenses

# NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

362 that might be incurred to implement a measure that requires a high level of administrative  
363 commitment. This measure was recommended for time-limited endorsement by the Committee.

364 **PCS-002-09 Multidisciplinary preoperative planning conference** *Occurrence of a pre-operative*  
365 *multidisciplinary planning conference to plan pediatric and congenital heart surgery cases. This*  
366 *conference will involve multiple members of the healthcare team, with recommended participation*  
367 *including but not limited to cardiology, cardiac surgery, anesthesia, and critical care. (STS)*  
368

369 The intent of this measure is to determine whether a facility or program has in place a  
370 multidisciplinary pre-operative planning conference involving multiple members of the healthcare  
371 team. Although this type of conference has not been evaluated in research studies, the Committee  
372 thought it was reasonable to expect that this type of conference would allow for issues to be aired and  
373 discussed before surgery is performed, leading to better outcomes. Due to the lack of evidence  
374 supporting the relationship of this structure measure to an outcome at this point, support for  
375 importance is based on expert opinion. Experts on the Committee agreed that pre-operative  
376 conferences enhance both the process of the operation and the education for trainees. The Committee  
377 raised the question of what constitutes this type of meeting. Furthermore, it was unclear from the  
378 measure specifications which specific components of this meeting should be in place before an  
379 institution can answer “Yes.” As such, the ratings for scientific acceptability varied widely among the  
380 Committee members. Most Committee members thought that due to its dichotomous structure, this  
381 measure will be fairly simple to implement. However, related to the concern of specific meeting  
382 components, the Committee agreed that some type of records of this meeting would need to be  
383 maintained for the measure to be assessed and allow for monitoring of the extent to which cases are  
384 discussed. This type of record would not necessarily be available from electronic sources unless there  
385 is a hospital-specific documentation requirement. The Committee agreed that these types of meetings  
386 are important for institutions to implement, but without more specific specifications ensuring that  
387 standards for conferences are maintained across centers would be challenging. Despite these concerns  
388 with the measure specifications, the Committee believed this was an important measure, even as a  
389 starting point for the field, and recommended it for time-limited endorsement.

390 **PCS-003-09 Multidisciplinary rounds involving multiple members of the healthcare team**  
391 *Occurrence of multidisciplinary rounds for pediatric and congenital cardiac surgery patients*  
392 *involving multiple members of the healthcare team, with recommended participation including but*  
393 *not limited to cardiology, cardiac surgery, critical care, primary caregiver, family, nurses,*  
394 *pharmacist, and respiratory therapist. Involvement of the family is encouraged. (STS)*  
395

# NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

396 The intent of this measure is to determine whether a program holds multidisciplinary rounds with a  
397 multidisciplinary healthcare team. Clear and detailed rounds help with planning of the therapeutic  
398 interventions. The literature supporting the importance of this measure shows there is strong evidence  
399 that multidisciplinary rounds lead to improved clinical outcomes. As such, there was general  
400 consensus among the Committee that this activity is important to measure and report. The  
401 Committee agreed this measure is of particular importance in advancing the partnership between  
402 families and healthcare providers. In particular, given the nature of children with cardiac-related  
403 issues, it is usually their families and/or caregivers who need to be fully engaged in the care plan to  
404 ensure effective communication among all entities involved. Despite agreement on the measure's  
405 importance, the Committee had similar concerns with this measure's specifications as with the  
406 previous measure PCS-002-09. Although the measure specifies that rounds should take place daily,  
407 there is no definition or description of a "round" and its components. The Committee agreed that the  
408 specifications must be defined such that the requirements are clear to the user as whether they have or  
409 have not been met. Related to the measure's feasibility, the Committee agreed this type of activity  
410 can be easily tracked through progress notes in the patients' charts. Despite the Committee's concerns  
411 with the specifications, they believed the measure is important for the field and voted to recommend  
412 this measure for time-limited endorsement.

413 **PCS-004-09 Regularly scheduled quality assurance and quality improvement cardiac care**  
414 **conference** *Occurrence of a regularly scheduled quality assurance and quality improvement cardiac*  
415 *care conference to discuss care provided to patients who undergo pediatric and congenital cardiac*  
416 *surgery operations and to discuss opportunities for improvement. This conference should be held at*  
417 *least every three months (quarterly). (STS)*

418 The intent of this measure is to determine whether a facility or pediatric/congenital heart surgery  
419 program implements regularly scheduled quality assurance and quality improvement cardiac care  
420 conferences. The purpose of the conference is to discuss opportunities for improvement. While there  
421 is no direct evidence linking this activity to patient outcomes, there is indirect evidence from other  
422 fields that shows that such conferences improve quality. Based on the conditions for recommendation  
423 put forth by the Committee in October 2009, the developers changed the specifications to reflect  
424 these meetings should occur quarterly. Steering Committee agreed that this measure addresses an  
425 important aspect of healthcare, but similar to the two previous measures (PCS-002-09, PCS-003-09),  
426 the specifications do not clearly identify the components of a quality assurance and quality  
427 improvement care conference and the criteria for selecting the patients to be discussed during the

# NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

428 conference. More precise measure specifications are needed to ensure that the measure is comparable  
429 across sites. Despite the Committee's concerns with the specifications, they did vote to recommend it  
430 for time-limited endorsement.

431 **PCS-005-09 Availability of intraoperative transesophageal echocardiography (TEE)** *Availability*  
432 *of intraoperative transesophageal echocardiography (TEE) for pediatric and congenital heart*  
433 *operations (STS)*

434

435 The purpose of this measure is to determine whether a facility or program has a TEE available for  
436 use. The Committee agreed that TEE is a well-known and well-documented imaging technique that  
437 has been shown to positively affect the outcome of operations for congenital heart disease. However,  
438 the accessibility of this tool by facilities and its range of appropriate use are unclear. The  
439 Committee's discussion of this measure revolved around the many publications that support the use  
440 of TEE. Because this is not a patient-level measure, it will be used to determine the availability of  
441 TEE, not necessarily whether it is being used for patients who need it. Some Committee members  
442 stated that the measure will be more useful if it calculated a percentage of patients for which TEE is  
443 used appropriately, and recommended that a future version should specify the measure in this way.  
444 The Committee agreed that this measure would be easy to report. Although not required because this  
445 is a structure measure, patient-level data should be available from clinical sources to determine the  
446 presence of this tool. While the use of TEE or extracorporeal life support (ECLS) in individual  
447 patients can be captured, that does not always imply consistent availability. These concerns were  
448 ultimately outweighed by the Committee's belief that this was an important measure for the field, and  
449 it was recommended for time-limited endorsement.

450

451 **PCS-006-09 Availability of institutional pediatric ECLS (extracorporeal life support)**  
452 *Availability of an institutional pediatric extracorporeal life support (ECLS) program for pediatric*  
453 *and congenital cardiac surgery patients (STS)*

454

455 Similar to the previous measure, PCS-005-09, the intent of this measure is to determine the  
456 availability of an ECLS program at a facility. During its discussion, the Steering Committee cited  
457 multiple manuscripts that have documented the importance of ECLS, which can rehabilitate hearts,  
458 save lives, and in the end serve as a bridge to transplantation. Clear evidence exists that ties improved  
459 outcomes to ECLS therapy in cardiac surgery patients with an estimated 50 to 60 percent chance of  
460 survival. Some Committee members expressed concern about the overlap of ECLS and

# NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

461 extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) programs: Does one program produce better  
462 outcomes than the other for cardiac patients? Similar to the concerns of the previous measures, the  
463 Committee was pointed out that the specifications of this measure do not clearly delineate the criteria  
464 for answering “Yes” and what having a “program” actually means (e.g., having any ECLS capability  
465 at all, existing program components). The Committee discussed the issue of capturing the measure  
466 data. Data on ECLS use for individual patients can be retrieved by the STS database and EHRs.  
467 However, the measure does not require patient-level data because it is a structure measure focused  
468 only on the availability of the program. The Committee believed that this was an important measure  
469 for the field and voted to recommend it for time-limited endorsement.

470  
471 **PCS-007-09 Surgical volume for pediatric and congenital heart surgery** *Surgical volume for*  
472 *pediatric and congenital heart surgery* (STS)

473  
474 The purpose of this measure is to provide a count of cases at a facility that have had pediatric or  
475 congenital heart surgery. The Committee agreed that the relationship between volume and outcome is  
476 unclear, although there is likely a volume below which outcome suffers. In its discussions, the  
477 Committee identified the following issues: 1) the need to review procedure codes to remove non-  
478 cardiac surgical and nonsurgical procedures; 2) the capture of surgery in adults for congenital versus  
479 acquired disease; and, 3) those who do not participate in the STS database will require the use of a  
480 crosswalk from STS codes to ICD-9-CM to identify cases that should be included in the count. This  
481 measure is similar to and considered to compete with NQF-endorsed measure 0340, Pediatric Heart  
482 Surgery Volume (PDI 7). In discussions of best-in-class between these two measures, several  
483 Committee members stated that data derived from a clinical dataset more validly represent the  
484 number of procedures than do the administrative data used in the NQF-endorsed measure. The  
485 Committee questioned the developers to determine why both this measure and the following measure,  
486 PCS-008-09 which stratifies volume by complexity are needed. The measure developer responded  
487 that while this measure can be calculated with simple addition, a roll up of the cases at each mortality  
488 level in PCS-008-09 would not equal the total cases for this measure. The measure was ultimately  
489 recommended for time-limited endorsement.

490  
491 **PCS-008-09 Surgical volume for pediatric and congenital heart surgery, stratified by the five**  
492 **STS-EACTS Mortality Levels** *Surgical volume for pediatric and congenital heart surgery stratified*  
493 *by the five STS-EACTS Mortality Levels, a multi-institutional validated complexity stratification tool*  
494 (STS)

# NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

495 This is a volume measure similar to the previous measure, but stratifies the cases by complexity level  
496 using the STS-EACTS mortality level in a stratified schema based data in the STS database. This  
497 measure can be used in conjunction with PCS-018-09, Operative mortality stratified by the five STS-  
498 EACTS mortality levels, to determine the denominator. The method of risk-stratification used for this  
499 measure requires the use of STS codes and registry data. The Committee agreed this measure would  
500 be a useful comparison across centers, rating it highly for usability, and ultimately recommending it  
501 for time- limited endorsement.

502 **PCS-012-09 Use of an expanded pre-procedural and post-procedural time-out** *Use of an*  
503 *expanded pre-procedural and post-procedural “time-out” that includes the following elements: 1)*  
504 *The conventional pre-procedural “time-out”, which includes identification of patient, operative site,*  
505 *procedure, and history of any allergies; 2) A pre-procedural briefing wherein the surgeon shares*  
506 *with all members of the operating room team the essential elements of the operative plan.,; including*  
507 *diagnosis, planned procedure, outline of essentials of anesthesia and bypass strategies, anticipated*  
508 *or planned implants or device applications, and anticipated challenges; 3) A post-procedural*  
509 *debriefing wherein the surgeon succinctly reviews with all members of the operating room team the*  
510 *essential elements of the operative plan, identifying both the successful components and the*  
511 *opportunities for improvement. This debriefing should take place prior to the patient leaving the*  
512 *operating room or its equivalent, and may be followed by a more in-depth dialogue involving team*  
513 *members at a later time. (The actual debriefing in the operating room is intentionally and*  
514 *importantly brief, in recognition of the fact that periods of transition may be times of instability or*  
515 *vulnerability for the patient.); 4) A briefing or hand-off protocol at the time of transfer (arrival) to*  
516 *the Intensive Care Unit at the end of the operation, involving the anesthesiologist, surgeon, physician*  
517 *staff of the Intensive Care Unit (including critical care and cardiology) and nursing. (STS)*

518 The intent of this measure is to determine whether a facility with a congenital/pediatric heart surgery  
519 program implements pre- and post-procedural timeouts for surgical cases. This is an emerging area of  
520 research with evidence that shows that “time-outs” are related to improved outcomes. While data are  
521 not yet amassed to determine whether this measurement will yield improved outcomes, the  
522 Committee’s expert opinion was that this activity is important to measure and report, as a time-out is  
523 a critical component of knowledge sharing for the healthcare team. This is also supported by the  
524 implementation of this activity as policy by most centers around the country. The Steering Committee  
525 sought to clarify that this is specified as an “all-or-none” (i.e., all four elements must be in place for  
526 the institution to answer “Yes”) or not to ensure consistency and usability of the results. While the  
527 Committee was in agreement of this measure’s importance, some members questioned the feasibility  
528 of measuring the presence of time-outs in a program. They argued that such information is not

# NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

529 routinely documented, and it is unclear from the measure specifications if time-outs must occur for  
530 every patient. These concerns were outweighed by the measure's importance and the Committee  
531 voted to recommend the measure for endorsement.

532

## 533 **Process Measures**

534 Both of the submitted process measures are untested and therefore reliability or validity data are not  
535 available. Consequently, the evaluation of scientific acceptability is limited to review of the measure  
536 specifications. Although evidence was provided to show that the reliability and validity of the current  
537 STS database have been verified, the measure developer acknowledged that the measures have not  
538 yet been added to the database. Therefore, these measures are only eligible for time-limited  
539 endorsement.

### 540 **PCS-010-09 Timing of antibiotic administration for pediatric and congenital cardiac surgery** 541 *Percentage of patients undergoing pediatric and congenital cardiac surgery who received* 542 *prophylactic antibiotics within one hour of surgical incision (two hours if receiving Vancomycin)* 543 (STS)

544 This measure is intended to determine the rate at which congenital and pediatric heart surgery  
545 patients are receiving prophylactic antibiotics within the appropriate time-frame (one hour prior to  
546 surgical incision). The Steering Committee agreed that this measure is clinically relevant and has a  
547 clear linkage to improved outcomes; there is evidence to support that timely administration of  
548 antibiotics prevents infections. There is also evidence that adherence to timing improves outcomes in  
549 pediatric cardiac surgery, although on a limited basis. The Committee, did however, express some  
550 concerns with the specifications of the measure related to coding and exclusions. For example,  
551 knowing the number of patients who are excluded from a measure because of inadequate  
552 documentation of such things as incision and/or antibiotic start times is itself important and should be  
553 captured in some way rather than excluding the case from the measure. There was also concern that  
554 the codes, particularly for congenital surgery, were too inclusive and could inadvertently count  
555 patients with acquired heart disease requiring surgery rather than strictly counting congenital heart  
556 surgeries.

557 A significant amount of Committee discussion of this measure, at both the October 2009 meeting and  
558 subsequent discussions, centered on this measure as it relates to the following measure (PCS-011-09,

# NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

559 Selection of antibiotic administration for pediatric and congenital heart surgery patients). The  
560 Committee was divided over whether measuring appropriate antibiotic administration should include  
561 both timely administration combined with appropriate antibiotic selection and weight-based dosing.  
562 Proponents of this approach believed that the selection and administration of the appropriate  
563 antibiotic is pointless if it is not done in a timely manner and is not weight appropriate. Opponents  
564 argued that for quality improvement purposes it would be better to keep the measures separate to  
565 determine whether the issues occurred at selection or administration. Ultimately the Committee  
566 voted this measure through for recommendation for time-limited endorsement as an individual  
567 measure.

568 **PCS-011-09 Selection of antibiotic administration for pediatric and congenital cardiac surgery**  
569 **patients** *Percentage of patients undergoing pediatric and congenital cardiac surgery who received*  
570 *body weight appropriate prophylactic antibiotics recommended for the operation (STS)*

571 This measure is intended to measure both the selection of the appropriate prophylactic antibiotic as  
572 well as the appropriate weight-based dose administration. The Steering Committee agreed that this is  
573 a relevant measure with high impact as surgical site infection in cardiac patients is a major  
574 complication. The Committee was concerned, however, that the list of the appropriate antibiotics  
575 specified in this measure may be subject to debate. There are many acceptable antibiotics that can be  
576 used, and they change often. The variations in the type of antibiotics used nationwide may make this  
577 a difficult measure to meet requirements. The Committee acknowledged that experience with  
578 measures in other fields indicates that options for quickly changing the approved drug list in the  
579 specifications must be in place. Other Committee members were concerned that body weight is not  
580 the only factor that determines the appropriate dosages of antibiotics in high-risk patients. Clinicians  
581 also take into account renal/liver dysfunction and anticipated drug clearance, and these are not  
582 accounted for in the measure. The measure also does not clearly identify who is responsible for  
583 selecting the dose. In terms of feasibility, the Committee agreed that the required information can be  
584 feasibly obtained from electronic medical records. The Steering Committee ultimately recommended  
585 time-limited endorsement of the measure individually.

## 586 **Candidate Consensus Standards Withdrawn from Consideration**

587 As discussed above in the Background section, eight of the originally submitted 21 measures were  
588 withdrawn from consideration by the developer.

# NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

- 589 • PCS-009-09 Surgical volume for six pediatric and congenital heart operations (STS)
- 590 • PCS-013-09 Mediastinitis after pediatric and congenital heart surgery (STS)
- 591 • PCS-014-09 Stroke/cerebrovascular accident after pediatric and congenital heart surgery
- 592 (STS)
- 593 • PCS-015-09 Post-operative renal failure requiring dialysis at hospital discharge (STS)
- 594 • PCS-016-09 Arrhythmia necessitating permanent pacemaker insertion (STS)
- 595 • PCS-017-09 Surgical re-exploration (STS)
- 596 • PCS-019-09 Operative mortality for six benchmark operations (STS)
- 597 • PCS-020-09 Operative survival free of major complication (STS)

# NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

## NOTES

1. Kochanek, KD, Murphy SL, Anderson RN, et al.,. Deaths: final dataFinal Data for 2002. Natl Vit Stat Rep, 2004;53(5):1-115. National
2. National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute (NHLBI). *Congenital Heart Defects*. Bethesda, MD:NHLBI, 2009. Available at [www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health/dci/Diseases/chd/chd\\_what.html](http://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health/dci/Diseases/chd/chd_what.html). Last accessed August 2010.. *Congenital Heart Defects*.
3. Kochanek KD, p.1-115.
4. March of Dimes. *Quick references:fact sheets. Congenital Health Defects*. White Plains, NY:March of Dimes, 2010. Available at [www.marchofdimes.com/professionals/14332\\_1212.asp](http://www.marchofdimes.com/professionals/14332_1212.asp). Last accessed July 2010.
5. [http://www.marchofdimes.com/professionals/14332\\_1212.asp](http://www.marchofdimes.com/professionals/14332_1212.asp). Ibid.
6. DeMone JA, Gonzalez PC, Gauvreau K, et al.,. Risk of Death for Medicaid recipients undergoing congenital heart surgery. *Pediatr Cardiol*, 2003*Cardiology*. 2006;24(2):97-102.
7. American Heart Association (AHA). *Congenital Heart Disease in Children Fact Sheet*. Dallas, TX: AHA, 2009. Available at [www.americanheart.org/presenter.jhtml?identifier=12012](http://www.americanheart.org/presenter.jhtml?identifier=12012) . Last accessed August 2010.
8. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). *The Guide to Quality Measures: A Compendium, Medicaid and SCHIP Quality Improvement, Volume 1*. Bethesda, MD:CMS, 2006. Available at: [www.cms.hhs.gov/MedicaidSCHIPQualPrac/Downloads/pmfinalaugust06.pdf](http://www.cms.hhs.gov/MedicaidSCHIPQualPrac/Downloads/pmfinalaugust06.pdf).; Last accessed May 2007.
9. National Quality Forum (NQF), *National Priorities Partnership*, Washington, DC: NQF. Available at [www.nationalprioritiespartnership.org](http://www.nationalprioritiespartnership.org). Last accessed June 2010.
10. NQF. *Measure Evaluation Criteria*. Washington, DC: NQF; 2008. Available at [www.qualityforum.org/docs/measure\\_evaluation\\_criteria.aspx](http://www.qualityforum.org/docs/measure_evaluation_criteria.aspx). Last accessed June 2010.

# NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

## APPENDIX A: SPECIFICATIONS OF THE NATIONAL VOLUNTARY CONSENSUS STANDARDS FOR PEDIATRIC CARDIAC SURGERY

The following table presents the detailed specifications for the National Quality Forum (NQF)-endorsed<sup>®</sup> *National Voluntary Consensus Standards for Pediatric Cardiac Surgery*. All information presented has been derived directly from measure sources/developers without modification or alteration (except when the measure developer agreed to such modification during the NQF Consensus Development Process) and is current as of July 22, 2010. All NQF-endorsed voluntary consensus standards are open source, meaning they are fully accessible and disclosed. Measures were developed by Children’s Hospital Boston (CHB) and The Society of Thoracic Surgeons (STS).

**\*Note: Denotes measures recommended for time-limited endorsement.**

Measure Number	Measure Title	Measure Description	Measure Steward	Numerator	Denominator	Exclusions	Data Source	Level of Analysis
PCS-001-09*	Participation in a national database for pediatric and congenital heart surgery	Participation in at least one multi-center, standardized data collection, and feedback program that provides benchmarking of the physician’s data relative to national and regional programs and uses process and outcome measures.	STS	Whether or not there is participation in at least one multi-center, data collection, and feedback program for pediatric and congenital heart surgery.	N/A	Any operation that is not a pediatric or congenital cardiac operation. Cardiac operations are defined as operations that are of operation types of “CPB” or “No CPB cardiovascular”.	Electronic Health/Medical Record, Electronic Clinical Database, Name: The Society of Thoracic Surgeons Congenital Heart Surgery Database, Electronic Clinical Registry, Electronic Claims, Paper Medical Records	Group of clinicians, Facility, Integrated delivery system, Health plan, Community/Population
PCS-002-09*	Multidisciplinary preoperative	Occurrence of a pre-operative	STS	Whether or not there is a pre-	N/A	Any operation that is not a pediatric or congenital cardiac	Electronic Health/Medical Record,, Electronic Claims, Paper Medical Record, Other:	Group of clinicians, Facility,

# NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

Measure Number	Measure Title	Measure Description	Measure Steward	Numerator	Denominator	Exclusions	Data Source	Level of Analysis
	planning conference	multidisciplinary planning conference to plan pediatric and congenital heart surgery cases. This conference will involve multiple members of the healthcare team, with recommended participation including but not limited to cardiology, cardiac surgery, anesthesia, and critical care.		operative multidisciplinary conference involving cardiology, cardiac surgery, anesthesia, and critical care to plan surgical cases for pediatric and congenital heart surgery.		operation. Cardiac operations are defined as operations that are of operation types of "CPB" or "No CPB cardiovascular".	Upon receiving NQF endorsement will be added to the STS congenital heart surgery database for collection & analysis	Integrated delivery system, Health plan, Community/Population
PCS-003-09*	Multidisciplinary rounds involving multiple members of the healthcare team	Occurrence of multidisciplinary rounds for pediatric and congenital cardiac surgery patients	STS	Whether or not the facility implements multidisciplinary rounds involving cardiology, cardiac surgery, and	N/A	Any operation that is not a pediatric or congenital cardiac operation. Cardiac operations are defined as operations that are of operation types of "CPB" or "No CPB cardiovascular".	Electronic Health/Medical Record, Electronic Claims, Paper Medical Record, Other: Upon receiving NQF endorsement will be added to the STS congenital heart surgery database for collection & analysis	Group of clinicians, Facility, Integrated delivery system, Health plan, Community/Population

# NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

Measure Number	Measure Title	Measure Description	Measure Steward	Numerator	Denominator	Exclusions	Data Source	Level of Analysis
		involving multiple members of the healthcare team, with recommended participation including but not limited to cardiology, cardiac surgery, critical care, primary caregiver, family, nurses, pharmacist and respiratory therapist. Involvement of the family is encouraged.		critical care professionals for pediatric and congenital cardiac surgery patients.				
PCS-004-09*	Regularly scheduled quality assurance and quality improvement cardiac care conference	Occurrence of a regularly scheduled quality assurance and quality improvement cardiac care conference to discuss	STS	Whether or not the facility holds a regularly scheduled quality assurance and quality improvement cardiac care	N/A	Any operation that is not a pediatric or congenital cardiac operation. Cardiac operations are defined as operations that are of operation types of "CPB" or "No CPB cardiovascular." (CPB is cardiopulmonary bypass.)	Electronic Health/Medical Record, Electronic Claims, Paper Medical Record, Other: Upon receiving NQF endorsement will be added to the STS congenital heart surgery database for collection & analysis	Group of clinicians, Facility, Integrated delivery system, Health plan, Community/Population

# NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

Measure Number	Measure Title	Measure Description	Measure Steward	Numerator	Denominator	Exclusions	Data Source	Level of Analysis
		care provided to patients who undergo pediatric and congenital cardiac surgery operations and to discuss opportunities for improvement. This conference should be held at least every three months (quarterly).		conference to discuss care provided to patients who undergo pediatric and congenital cardiac surgery operations and to discuss opportunities for improvement. This conference should be held at least every three months (quarterly).				
PCS-005-09*	Availability of intraoperative transesophageal echocardiography (TEE)	Availability of intraoperative transesophageal echocardiography (TEE) for pediatric and congenital heart operations.	STS	Whether or not intraoperative transesophageal echocardiography (TEE) is available for pediatric and congenital cardiac surgery operations.	N/A	Any operation that is not a pediatric or congenital cardiac operation. Cardiac operations are defined as operations that are of operation types of "CPB" or "No CPB cardiovascular". (CPB is cardiopulmonary bypass.).	Electronic Health/Medical Record, Electronic Claims, Paper Medical Record, Hospital Records, Other: Upon receiving NQF endorsement will be added to the STS congenital heart surgery database for collection & analysis	Group of clinicians, Facility, Integrated delivery system, Health plan, Community/Population
PCS-006-09*	Availability of	Availability of an	STS	Whether or not the	N/A	Any operation that is not a pediatric or	Electronic Health/Medical Record, Electronic Claims,	Facility, Integrated

# NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

Measure Number	Measure Title	Measure Description	Measure Steward	Numerator	Denominator	Exclusions	Data Source	Level of Analysis
	institutional pediatric ECLS (extracorporeal life support)	institutional pediatric extracorporeal life support (ECLS) program for pediatric and congenital cardiac surgery patients.		facility has available an institutional pediatric extracorporeal life support (ECLS) program for pediatric and congenital cardiac surgery operations.		congenital cardiac operation. Cardiac operations are defined as operations that are of operation types of "CPB" or "No CPB cardiovascular." (CPB is cardiopulmonary bypass.)	Electronic Pharmacy Data, Paper Medical Record, Other: Upon receiving NQF endorsement will be added to the STS congenital heart surgery database for collection & analysis	delivery system
PCS-007-09*	Surgical volume for pediatric and congenital heart surgery	Surgical volume for pediatric and congenital heart surgery.	STS	Number of pediatric and congenital heart surgery operations.	N/A	Any operation that is not a pediatric or congenital cardiac operation. Cardiac operations are defined as operations that are of operation types of "CPB" or "No CPB cardiovascular." (CPB is cardiopulmonary bypass.)	Electronic Health/Medical Record, Clinical Database, Name: The Society of Thoracic Surgeons Congenital Heart Surgery Database, Electronic Clinical Registry, Name: The Society of Thoracic Surgeons Congenital Heart Surgery Database, Electronic Claims, Paper Medical Record	Group of clinicians, Facility, Integrated facility, integrated delivery system, Health plan, Community/Population
PCS-008-09*	Surgical volume for pediatric and congenital heart surgery, stratified by the five STS-EACTS mortality levels	Surgical volume for pediatric and congenital heart surgery stratified by the five STS-EACTS mortality levels, a multi-institutional validated	STS	Number of pediatric and congenital cardiac surgery operations (types "CPB" and "No CPB cardiovascular") in each of the strata of complexity specified by	N/A	Any operation that is a pediatric or congenital open heart surgery (operation types of "CPB" or "No CPB cardiovascular") that cannot be classified into a level of complexity by the five STS-EACTS mortality levels.	Electronic Health/Medical Record, Clinical Database, Name: The Society of Thoracic Surgeons Congenital Heart Surgery Database, Electronic Clinical Registry, Name: The Society of Thoracic Surgeons Congenital Heart Surgery Database, Electronic Claims, Paper Medical Record, Other: <a href="http://www.sts.org/documents/pdf/ndb/CongenitalDataCollectionForm3_0_NonAnnotated_20090916.pdf">http://www.sts.org/documents/pdf/ndb/CongenitalDataCollectionForm3_0_NonAnnotated_20090916.pdf</a>	Group of clinicians, Facility, Integrated Delivery System, Health facility, integrated delivery system, health plan, Community/Population

# NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

Measure Number	Measure Title	Measure Description	Measure Steward	Numerator	Denominator	Exclusions	Data Source	Level of Analysis
		complexity stratification tool.		the five STS-EACTS mortality levels, a multi-institutional validated complexity stratification tool.				
PCS-010-09*	Timing of antibiotic administration for pediatric and congenital cardiac surgery patients	Percentage of patients undergoing pediatric and congenital cardiac surgery who received prophylactic antibiotics within one hour of surgical incision (two hours if receiving Vancomycin).	STS	Number of pediatric and congenital cardiac surgery patients who received prophylactic antibiotics within one hour of surgical incision (two hours if Vancomycin). In the event that surgery is delayed, as long as the patient is re-dosed (if clinically appropriate) the patient should be included in the	All patients undergoing pediatric and congenital cardiac surgery operations.	<p>Patients who:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• had principal or admission diagnosis of preoperative infectious disease</li> <li>• were receiving antibiotics at time of admission</li> <li>• have medical records that do not include antibiotic start date/time or incision date/time</li> <li>• were receiving antibiotics more than 24 hours prior to surgery</li> <li>• have physician documentation of infection prior to surgical procedure.</li> </ul> <p>Any operation that is not a pediatric or congenital cardiac operation. Cardiac operations are defined</p>	Electronic Health/Medical Record, Electronic Claims, Paper Medical Record, Electronic Pharmacy Data, Other: Upon receiving NQF endorsement will be added to the STS congenital heart surgery database for collection & analysis	Individual clinician, Group of clinicians, Facility, Integrated facility, integrated delivery system, Health plan

# NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

Measure Number	Measure Title	Measure Description	Measure Steward	Numerator	Denominator	Exclusions	Data Source	Level of Analysis
				numerator.		as operations that are of operation types of "CPB" or "No CPB cardiovascular"." (CPB is cardiopulmonary bypass.))		
PCS-011-09*	Selection of antibiotic administration for pediatric and congenital cardiac surgery patients	Percentage of patients undergoing pediatric and congenital cardiac surgery who received body weight appropriate prophylactic antibiotics recommended for the operation.	STS	Number of pediatric and congenital cardiac surgery patients who received body weight appropriate prophylactic antibiotics recommended for the operation.	Number of pediatric and congenital cardiac surgery operations.	<p>Patients who:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• had principal or admission diagnosis of preoperative infectious disease</li> <li>• were receiving antibiotics at time of admission</li> <li>• have medical records that do not include antibiotic start date/time or incision date/time</li> <li>• were receiving antibiotics more than 24 hours prior to surgery</li> <li>• have physician documentation of infection prior to surgical procedure.</li> </ul> <p>Any operation that is not a pediatric or congenital cardiac operation. Cardiac operations are defined as operations that are of operation types of "CPB" or "No CPB cardiovascular"." (CPB is cardiopulmonary</p>	Electronic Health/Medical Record, Electronic Claims, Paper Medical Record, Electronic Pharmacy Data, Paper Medical Records, Other: Upon receiving NQF endorsement will be added to the STS congenital heart surgery database for collection & analysis	Individual clinician, Group of clinicians, facility,

# NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

Measure Number	Measure Title	Measure Description	Measure Steward	Numerator	Denominator	Exclusions	Data Source	Level of Analysis
PCS-012-09*	Use of an expanded pre-procedural and post-procedural "time-out"	Use of an expanded pre-procedural and post-procedural "time-out" that includes the following elements: 1. The conventional pre-procedural "time-out", which includes identification of patient, operative site, procedure and history of any allergies. 2. A pre-procedural briefing wherein the surgeon shares with all members of the operating room team the essential elements of the operative	STS	Whether or not the facility implements an expanded pre-procedural and post-procedural "time-out" for all patients undergoing pediatric and congenital heart surgery operations. Pre-procedural and post-procedural time-out includes the following elements: 1. The conventional pre-procedural "time-out", which includes identification of patient, operative site, procedure and history	N/A	bypass.)) Any operation that is not a pediatric or congenital cardiac operation. Cardiac operations are defined as operations that are of operation types of "CPB" or "No CPB cardiovascular". (CPB is cardiopulmonary bypass.)	Electronic Health/Medical Record, Clinical Database, Electronic Claims, Paper Medical Record, Electronic Pharmacy Data, Other: Upon receiving NQF endorsement will be added to the STS congenital heart surgery database for collection & analysis	Individual clinicians, Group of clinicians, Facility

# NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

Measure Number	Measure Title	Measure Description	Measure Steward	Numerator	Denominator	Exclusions	Data Source	Level of Analysis
		<p>plan; including diagnosis, planned procedure, outline of essentials of anesthesia and bypass strategies, anticipated or planned implants or device applications, and anticipated challenges. 3. A post-procedural debriefing wherein the surgeon succinctly reviews with all members of the operating room team the essential elements of the operative plan, identifying both the successful components and the opportunities for</p>		<p>of any allergies. 2. A pre-procedural briefing wherein the surgeon shares with all members of the operating room team the essential elements of the operative plan; including diagnosis, planned procedure, outline of essentials of anesthesia and bypass strategies, anticipated or planned implants or device applications, and anticipated challenges. 3. A post-procedural debriefing wherein the surgeon succinctly reviews with</p>				

# NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

Measure Number	Measure Title	Measure Description	Measure Steward	Numerator	Denominator	Exclusions	Data Source	Level of Analysis
		<p>improvement. This debriefing should take place prior to the patient leaving the operating room or its equivalent, and may be followed by a more in-depth dialogue involving team members at a later time. (The actual debriefing in the operating room is intentionally and importantly brief; in recognition of the fact that periods of transition may be times of instability or vulnerability for the patient).</p> <p>4. A briefing</p>		<p>all members of the operating room team the essential elements of the operative plan, identifying both the successful components and the opportunities for improvement. This debriefing should take place prior to the patient leaving the operating room or its equivalent, and may be followed by a more in-depth dialogue involving team members at a later time. (The actual debriefing in the operating room is</p>				

# NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

Measure Number	Measure Title	Measure Description	Measure Steward	Numerator	Denominator	Exclusions	Data Source	Level of Analysis
		<p>or hand-off protocol at the time of transfer (arrival) to the Intensive Care Unit at the end of the operation, involving the anesthesiologist, surgeon, physician staff of the Intensive Care Unit (including critical care and cardiology) and nursing.</p>		<p>intentionally and importantly brief, in recognition of the fact that periods of transition may be times of instability or vulnerability for the patient). 4. A briefing or hand-off protocol at the time of transfer (arrival) to the Intensive Care Unit at the end of the operation, involving the anesthesiologist, surgeon, physician staff of the Intensive Care Unit (including critical care and cardiology) and nursing.</p>				

# NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

Measure Number	Measure Title	Measure Description	Measure Steward	Numerator	Denominator	Exclusions	Data Source	Level of Analysis
PCS-018-09	Operative mortality stratified by the five STS-EACTS Mortality Levels Adjusted	Operative mortality stratified by the five STS-EACTS Mortality Levels, a multi-institutional validated complexity stratification tool	STS	Number of patients who undergo pediatric and congenital open heart surgery in a given level of complexity stratification and die during either of the following two time intervals: 1. Prior to hospital discharge 2. Within 30 days of the date of surgery.	Number of index cardiac operations in each level of complexity stratification using the five STS-EACTS mortality levels, a multi-institutional validated complexity stratification tool.	Any operation that is not a pediatric or congenital cardiac operation. Cardiac operations are defined as operations that are of operation types of "CPB" or "No CPB cardiovascular." (CPB is cardiopulmonary bypass.)  Any operation that is a pediatric or congenital open heart surgery (operation types of "CPB" or "No CPB cardiovascular") that cannot be classified into a level of complexity by the five STS-EACTS mortality levels.	Electronic Health/Medical Record, Clinical Database, Name: The Society of Thoracic Surgeons Congenital Heart Surgery Database, Electronic Clinical Registry, Name: The Society of Thoracic Surgeons Congenital Heart Surgery Database, Electronic Claims, Paper Medical Record, Other: <a href="http://www.sts.org/documents/pdf/ndb/CongenitalDataCollectionForm3_0_NonAnnotated_20090916.pdf">http://www.sts.org/documents/pdf/ndb/CongenitalDataCollectionForm3_0_NonAnnotated_20090916.pdf</a>	Group of clinicians, Facility, Integrated facility, integrated delivery system, Health plan, Community/Population
PCS-021-09	Standardized mortality ratio for congenital heart surgery, Risk Adjustment for Congenital Heart Surgery (RACHS-1)	Ratio of observed to expected rate of in-hospital mortality following surgical repair of congenital heart defect among patients <18 years of age, risk-adjusted	CHB	Cases of congenital heart surgery among patients <18 years of age resulting in in-hospital death.	Total cases of congenital heart surgery among patients <18 years of age.	Patients ≥ 18 years of age, those undergoing heart transplantation, neonates or premature infants with patent ductus arteriosus repair as the only cardiac surgical procedure, transcatheter interventions, surgical cases unable to be assigned to a RACHS-1 risk category.	Electronic Health/Medical Record, Electronic Clinical Database, Paper Medical Records, Other: Data elements may be obtained from an administrative database (e.g., Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project (HCUP) Kids' Inpatient Database (KID), Pediatric Health Information System (PHIS)); from a clinical database (e.g., Pediatric Cardiac Care Consortium (PCCC), Society of Thoracic Surgeons (STS))	Can be measured at all levels

# NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

Measure Number	Measure Title	Measure Description	Measure Steward	Numerator	Denominator	Exclusions	Data Source	Level of Analysis
		using the Risk Adjustment for Congenital Heart Surgery (RACHS-1) method.					<p>Congenital Heart Surgery Database)*; from hospital-specific electronic medical records; or from paper medical records.</p> <p>* The STS database does not currently include all variables, but there are plans to add them.</p>	

# NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

## APPENDIX B: PEDIATRIC CARDIAC SURGERY STEERING COMMITTEE AND NQF STAFF

**Howard Jeffries, MD, MPH, MBA (Co-Chair)**

Seattle Children's Hospital, Seattle, WA

**Lisa M. Kohr, MS, MPH, RN, CPNP (Co-Chair)**

Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, PA

**Schonay Barnett-Jones, MBA**

Visa, Silver Spring MD

**Patricia A. Galvin, RN, BSN, CNOR**

Children's Hospital Boston, Boston, MA

**Nancy Ghanayem, MD**

Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI

**Darryl Gray, MD, ScD**

Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Rockville, MD

**Allen J. Hinkle, MD**

Tufts Health Plan, Watertown, MA

**Mark Hoyer, MD**

Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, IN

**Sylvia Lopez, MD**

Oklahoma Health Care Authority, Oklahoma City, OK

**John E. Mayer, MD**

Children's Hospital Boston, Boston, MA

**Constantine Mavroudis, MD**

Cleveland Clinic-Children's Hospital, Cleveland, OH

**Lisa Nugent, MFA**

Johnson & Johnson, New York, NY

### *NQF Staff*

**Helen Burstin, MD, MPH**

Senior Vice President

**Karen Pace, RN, PhD**

Senior Program Director

**Ashlie Wilbon, RN, MPH**

Project Manager

**Sarah Fanta**

Research Analyst

# NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

## APPENDIX C: COMPETING MEASURES

	Measure Review # PCS-018-09	Measure Review # PCS-021-09	Measure ID # 0339
<b>Title</b>	Operative Mortality Stratified by the Five STS-EACTS Mortality Levels	Standardized Mortality Ratio for Congenital Heart Surgery, Risk Adjustment for Congenital Heart Surgery (RACHS-1).	Pediatric heart surgery mortalityHeart Surgery Mortality (PDI 6) (risk adjusted)
<b>Status</b>	Under Review 9/18/2009	Under Review 9/18/2009	Endorsed 5/15/2008
<b>Steward</b>	Society of Thoracic Surgeons	Children's Hospital Boston	Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality
<b>Description</b>	Operative mortality stratified by the five STS-EACTS Mortality Levels, a multi-institutional validated complexity stratification tool.	Ratio of observed to expected rate of in-hospital mortality following surgical repair of congenital heart defect among patients <18 years of age, risk-adjusted using the Risk Adjustment for Congenital Heart Surgery (RACHS-1) method.	Number of in-hospital deaths in patients undergoing surgery for congenital heart disease per 1,0001000 patients.
<b>Numerator</b>	Number of patients who undergo pediatric and congenital open heart surgery and die during either of the following two time intervals: 1. Prior to hospital discharge 2. Within 30 days of the date of surgery.	Cases of congenital heart surgery among patients <18 years of age resulting in in-hospital death.	Number of deaths, age under 18 years, with a code of pediatric heart surgery in any procedure field with an <i>International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification</i> (ICD-9-CM) code of congenital heart disease in any field.
<b>Denominator</b>	Number of index cardiac operations in each level of complexity stratification using the five STS-EACTS Mortality Levels, a multi-institutional validated complexity stratification tool	Total cases of congenital heart surgery among patients <18 years of age.	All discharges age under 18 years with ICD-9-CM procedure codes for congenital heart disease (1P) in any field or non-specific heart surgery (2P) in any field with ICD--9-CM diagnosis of congenital heart disease (2D) in any field.
<b>Exclusions</b>	Any operation that is not a pediatric or congenital cardiac operation.Cardiac Operation. Cardiac operations are defined as operations that are of operation types of "CPB" or "No CPB Cardiovascular". (CPB is cardiopulmonary bypass.) [1]  Any operation that is a pediatric or congenital open heart surgery (operation types of "CPB" or ""No CPB Cardiovascular"") that cannot be classified into a level of complexity by the five STS-EACTS Mortality Levels.	Patients ≥18 years of age, those undergoing heart transplantation, neonates or premature infants with patent ductus arteriosus repair as the only cardiac surgical procedure, transcatheter interventions, surgical cases unable to be assigned to a RACHS-1 risk category.	Exclude patients with MDC 14 (Pregnancy, Childbirth, Puerperium); patients with transcatheter interventions as single cardiac procedures, performed without bypass but with catheterization; patients with septal defects as single cardiac procedures without bypass; heart transplant; premature infants with PDA closure as only cardiac procedure; age less than 30 days with PDA closure as only cardiac procedure; missing discharge disposition; transferring to another short-term hospital and newborns less than 500 grams.
<b>Methods &amp; Risk-Adjustment</b>	N/A	RACHS-1 risk categories, age at surgery, prematurity, presence of major non-cardiac structural anomaly, combinations of cardiac procedures performed.	The predicted value for each case is computed using a hierarchical model (logistic regression with hospital random effect) and covariates for gender, birthweight (500g groups), age in days (29-60, 61-90, 91+), age in years (in 5-year age groups), modified CMS DRG and AHRQ CCS co-morbidities. The reference population used

# NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

	Measure Review # PCS-018-09	Measure Review # PCS-021-09	Measure ID # 0339
			in the regression is the universe of discharges for states that participate in the HCUP State Inpatient Data (SID) for the years 2002-2004 (combined), a database consisting of 37 states and approximately 20 million pediatric discharges. The expected rate is computed as the sum of the predicted value for each case divided by the number of cases for the unit of analysis of interest (i.e., hospital, state, and region). The risk-adjusted rate is computed using indirect standardization as the observed rate divided by the expected rate, multiplied by the reference population rate.
<b>Numerator Details</b>	N/A	Number of cases of congenital heart surgery among patients <18 years of age able to be placed into a RACHS-1 risk category (see item 8 below) where patient disposition is death prior to hospital discharge.	N/A
<b>Denominator Details</b>	<p>As demonstrated in the following publication (STS Attachment 1 (of 2)—O'Brien) - O'Brien et al., JTCVS, Nov 2009), the five STS-EACTS Mortality Levels constitute an objective and empirically based tool for complexity stratification. In addition, it represents an improvement over existing consensus-based tools.</p> <p>Definition: The number of patients who undergo pediatric and congenital cardiac operation—Cardiac Operation Cardiac operations are defined as operations that are of operation types of “CPB” or “No CPB Cardiovascular”. (CPB is cardiopulmonary bypass.) [1]</p> <p>Definition: The number of index cardiac operations in each level of complexity stratification using the five STS-EACTS Mortality Levels, a multi-institutional validated complexity stratification tool.</p>	Pediatric cases <18 years of age undergoing surgical repair of a congenital heart defect and able to be placed into a RACHS-1 risk category (see item 8 below).	N/A

# NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

	Measure Review # PCS-018-09	Measure Review # PCS-021-09	Measure ID # 0339
	<p>The following are STS procedure codes for pediatric and congenital cardiac operations per the STS Congenital Heart Surgery Database Version 3.0 Data Specifications. Analysis should include any index operation performed with any of the following component procedures on a patient with pediatric and/or congenital cardiac disease:</p> <p>10, 20, 30, 40, 2110, 50, 60, 70, 80, 85, 100, 110, 120, 130, 140, 150, 170, 180, 190, 2300, 2250, 2230, 210, 220, 230, 240, 2290, 250, 2220, 260, 270, 2120, 280, 2200, 290, 300, 310, 330, 340, 350, 360, 370, 380, 390, 400, 420, 430, 440, 450, 460, 2280, 465, 470, 480, 490, 500, 510, 520, 530, 540, 550, 570, 590, 2270, 600, 630, 640, 650, 610, 620, 1774, 1772, 580, 660, 2240, 2310, 2320, 670, 680, 690, 700, 715, 720, 730, 735, 740, 750, 760, 770, 780, 2100, 790, 800, 810, 820, 830, 2260, 840, 850, 860, 870, 880, 2160, 2170, 2180, 2140, 2150, 890, 900, 910, 920, 930, 940, 950, 960, 970, 980, 1000, 1010, 1025, 1030, 2340, 1035, 1050, 1060, 1070, 1080, 1090, 1110, 1120, 1123, 1125, 1130, 1140, 1145, 1150, 1160, 2190, 2210, 1180, 1200, 1210, 1220, 1230, 1240, 1250, 1260, 1275, 1280, 1285, 1290, 1291, 1300, 1310, 1320, 1330, 1340, 1360, 1365, 1370, 1380, 1390, 1410, 1450, 1460, 2350, 1470, 1480, 1490, 1500, 1590, 1600, 1610, 1630, 2095, 1640, 1650, 1660, 1670, 1680, 1690, 1700, 2330, 2130, 1720, 1730, 1740, 1760, 1780, 1790, 1802, 1804, 1830, 1860</p> <p>Pediatric heart surgery is heart surgery on patients &lt;18 years of age to treat congenital or acquired cardiac disease. Congenital heart surgery is heart surgery on patients of any age to treat congenital cardiac disease.</p>		

# NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

	Measure Review # PCS-018-09	Measure Review # PCS-021-09	Measure ID # 0339
	Our measures apply to both pediatric heart surgery and congenital heart surgery, thus applying to the following operations: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. heart surgery on patients less than 18 years of age to treat congenital or acquired cardiac disease</li> <li>2. heart surgery on patients of any age to treat congenital cardiac disease.</li> </ol>		
<b>Exclusion Details</b>	N/A	Neonates are defined as patients $\leq 30$ days of age at surgery; premature infants are defined as $< 37$ weeks gestation. See item 8 below for RACHS-1 risk categories.	Exclude patients with MDC 14 (Pregnancy, Childbirth, Puerperium); patients with transcatheter interventions as single cardiac procedures, performed without bypass but with catheterization; patients with septal defects as single cardiac procedures without bypass; heart transplant; premature infants with PDA closure as only cardiac procedure; age less than 30 days with PDA closure as only cardiac procedure; missing discharge disposition; transferring to another short-term hospital and newborns less than 500 grams.
<b>Data Source</b>	Paper Medical Record, Electronic Clinical Registry, Electronic Clinical Database, Electronic Health/Medical Record	Paper Medical Record, Electronic Clinical Database, Electronic Health/Medical Record, Other	Electronic Claims
<b>Level</b>	Community/Population, Health Plan, Group of clinicians (facility, dept/unit, group), Facility (e.g., hospital, nursing home)	Can be measured at all levels	Facility (e.g., hospital, nursing home)
<b>Setting</b>	Hospital	Hospital	Hospital

	Measure Review # PCS-007-09	Measure Review # PCS-008-09	Measure ID # 0340
<b>Title</b>	Surgical volume Volume for pediatric Pediatric and congenital heart surgery Congenital Heart Surgery	Surgical volume Volume for pediatric Pediatric and congenital heart surgery, stratified Congenital Heart Surgery, Stratified by the five Five STS-EACTS Mortality Levels	Pediatric heart surgery volume Heart Surgery Volume (PDI 7)
<b>Status</b>	Under Review 9/18/2009	Under Review 9/21/2009	Endorsed 5/15/2008
<b>Steward</b>	Society of Thoracic Surgeons	Society of Thoracic Surgeons	Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality
<b>Description</b>	Surgical volume Volume for pediatric Pediatric and congenital heart surgery. Congenital Heart Surgery	Surgical volume for pediatric and congenital heart surgery stratified by the five STS-EACTS Mortality Levels, a multi-institutional validated complexity stratification tool.	Raw volume compared to annual thresholds (100 procedures.)
<b>Numerator</b>	Number of pediatric and congenital heart	Number of pediatric and congenital cardiac surgery	Discharges, age under 18 years, with an <i>International Classification</i>

# NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

	Measure Review # PCS-007-09	Measure Review # PCS-008-09	Measure ID # 0340
	surgery operations.	operations (types “CPB” and “No CPB Cardiovascular”) in each of the strata of complexity specified by the five STS-EACTS Mortality Levels, a multi-institutional validated complexity stratification tool.	<i>of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM) code for either congenital heart disease (1P) in any field or non-specific heart surgery (2P) in any field with ICD-9-CM diagnosis of congenital heart disease (2D) in any field.</i>
<b>Denominator</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Exclusions</b>	Measure Exclusions: Any operation that is not a pediatric or congenital cardiac operation. Cardiac Operation. Cardiac operations are defined as operations that are of operation types of “CPB” or “No CPB Cardiovascular”. (CPB is cardiopulmonary bypass.) [1]	Any operation that is not a pediatric or congenital cardiac operation. Cardiac Operation. Cardiac operations are defined as operations that are of operation types of “CPB” or “No CPB Cardiovascular”. (CPB is cardiopulmonary bypass.) [1]  Any operation that is a pediatric or congenital open heart surgery (operation types of “CPB” or “No CPB Cardiovascular”) that cannot be classified into a level of complexity by the five STS-EACTS Mortality Levels.	Exclude patients with MDC 14 (Pregnancy, Childbirth, Puerperium); patients with transcatheter interventions as single cardiac procedures, performed without bypass but with catheterization; patients with septal defects as single cardiac procedures without bypass.
<b>Methods &amp; Risk-Adjustment</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Numerator Details</b>	Cardiac operations are defined as operations that are of operation types “CPB” or “No CPB Cardiovascular”. (CPB is cardiopulmonary bypass.) [1]  The following are STS procedure codes for pediatric and congenital cardiac operations per the STS Congenital Heart Surgery Database Version 3.0 Data Specifications. Analysis should include any index operation performed with any of the following component procedures on a patient with pediatric and/or congenital cardiac disease:  10, 20, 30, 40, 2110, 50, 60, 70, 80, 85, 100, 110, 120, 130, 140, 150, 170, 180, 190, 2300, 2250, 2230, 210, 220, 230, 240, 2290, 250, 2220, 260,	There are currently three validated systems of Complexity Stratification in use to categorize operations for pediatric and congenital heart disease on the basis of complexity. Each of these is used in some registry databases, and data is currently stratified using each of the three systems in the most recent outcome reports of the Society of Thoracic Surgery Congenital Heart Surgery database. The three systems are: 1). the RACHS-1 (Risk Adjustment in Congenital Heart Surgery) System with 5 functional levels; 2). The Aristotle Basic Complexity Score with 4 levels; and 3). STS-EACTS Mortality Levels (5 levels).  As demonstrated in the following publication (STS Attachment 1 (of 2)—O’Brien) - O'Brien et al., JTCVS, Nov 2009), the five STS-EACTS Mortality	N/A

# NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

	Measure Review # PCS-007-09	Measure Review # PCS-008-09	Measure ID # 0340
	<p>270, 2120, 280, 2200, 290, 300, 310, 330, 340, 350, 360, 370, 380, 390, 400, 420, 430, 440, 450, 460, 2280, 465, 470, 480, 490, 500, 510, 520, 530, 540, 550, 570, 590, 2270, 600, 630, 640, 650, 610, 620, 1774, 1772, 580, 660, 2240, 2310, 2320, 670, 680, 690, 700, 715, 720, 730, 735, 740, 750, 760, 770, 780, 2100, 790, 800, 810, 820, 830, 2260, 840, 850, 860, 870, 880, 2160, 2170, 2180, 2140, 2150, 890, 900, 910, 920, 930, 940, 950, 960, 970, 980, 1000, 1010, 1025, 1030, 2340, 1035, 1050, 1060, 1070, 1080, 1090, 1110, 1120, 1123, 1125, 1130, 1140, 1145, 1150, 1160, 2190, 2210, 1180, 1200, 1210, 1220, 1230, 1240, 1250, 1260, 1275, 1280, 1285, 1290, 1291, 1300, 1310, 1320, 1330, 1340, 1360, 1365, 1370, 1380, 1390, 1410, 1450, 1460, 2350, 1470, 1480, 1490, 1500, 1590, 1600, 1610, 1630, 2095, 1640, 1650, 1660, 1670, 1680, 1690, 1700, 2330, 2130, 1720, 1730, 1740, 1760, 1780, 1790, 1802, 1804, 1830, 1860</p> <p>Pediatric heart surgery is heart surgery on patients &lt;18 years of age to treat congenital or acquired cardiac disease. Congenital heart surgery is heart surgery on patients of any age to treat congenital cardiac disease.</p> <p>Our measures apply to both pediatric heart surgery and congenital heart surgery, thus applying to the following operations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. heart surgery on patients less than 18 years of age to treat congenital or acquired cardiac disease</li> <li>2. heart surgery on patients of any age to treat congenital cardiac disease.</li> </ol>	<p>Levels constitute an objective and empirically based tool for complexity stratification. In addition, it represents an improvement over existing consensus-based tools.</p> <p>Numerator definition: The number of patients who undergo pediatric and congenital cardiac operation. Congenital cardiac operations are defined as operations that are of operation types of “CPB” or “No CPB Cardiovascular”. (CPB is cardiopulmonary bypass.) [1]</p> <p>].Numerator definition: The number of index cardiac operations in each level of complexity stratification using the five STS-EACTS Mortality Levels, a multi-institutional validated complexity stratification tool.</p> <p>The following are STS procedure codes for pediatric and congenital cardiac operations per the STS Congenital Heart Surgery Database Version 3.0 Data Specifications. Analysis should include any index operation performed with any of the following component procedures on a patient with pediatric and/or congenital cardiac disease:</p> <p>10, 20, 30, 40, 2110, 50, 60, 70, 80, 85, 100, 110, 120, 130, 140, 150, 170, 180, 190, 2300, 2250, 2230, 210, 220, 230, 240, 2290, 250, 2220, 260, 270, 2120, 280, 2200, 290, 300, 310, 330, 340, 350, 360, 370, 380, 390, 400, 420, 430, 440, 450, 460, 2280, 465, 470, 480, 490, 500, 510, 520, 530, 540, 550, 570, 590, 2270, 600, 630, 640, 650, 610, 620, 1774, 1772, 580, 660, 2240, 2310, 2320, 670, 680, 690, 700, 715, 720, 730, 735, 740, 750, 760, 770, 780, 2100, 790, 800, 810, 820, 830, 2260, 840, 850,</p>	

# NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

	Measure Review # PCS-007-09	Measure Review # PCS-008-09	Measure ID # 0340
		<p>860, 870, 880, 2160, 2170, 2180, 2140, 2150, 890, 900, 910, 920, 930, 940, 950, 960, 970, 980, 1000, 1010, 1025, 1030, 2340, 1035, 1050, 1060, 1070, 1080, 1090, 1110, 1120, 1123, 1125, 1130, 1140, 1145, 1150, 1160, 2190, 2210, 1180, 1200, 1210, 1220, 1230, 1240, 1250, 1260, 1275, 1280, 1285, 1290, 1291, 1300, 1310, 1320, 1330, 1340, 1360, 1365, 1370, 1380, 1390, 1410, 1450, 1460, 2350, 1470, 1480, 1490, 1500, 1590, 1600, 1610, 1630, 2095, 1640, 1650, 1660, 1670, 1680, 1690, 1700, 2330, 2130, 1720, 1730, 1740, 1760, 1780, 1790, 1802, 1804, 1830, 1860</p> <p>Pediatric heart surgery is heart surgery on patients &lt;18 years of age to treat congenital or acquired cardiac disease. Congenital heart surgery is heart surgery on patients of any age to treat congenital cardiac disease.</p> <p>Our measures apply to both pediatric heart surgery and congenital heart surgery, thus applying to the following operations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. heart surgery on patients less than 18 years of age to treat congenital or acquired cardiac disease</li> <li>2. heart surgery on patients of any age to treat congenital cardiac disease.</li> </ol>	
<b>Denominator Details</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Exclusion Details</b>	N/A	N/A	Exclude patients with MDC 14 (Pregnancy, Childbirth, Puerperium)); patients with transcatheter interventions as single cardiac procedures, performed without bypass but with catheterization; patients with septal defects as single cardiac procedures without bypass.
<b>Data Source</b>	Paper Medical Record, Electronic Claims, Electronic Clinical Registry, Electronic Clinical Database, Electronic Health/Medical Record	Paper Medical Record, Electronic Claims, Electronic Clinical Registry, Electronic Clinical Database, Electronic Health/Medical Record	Electronic Claims
<b>Level</b>	Community/Population, Health Plan, Group of clinicians (facility, dept/unit, group), Facility (e.g., hospital, nursing home), Integrated delivery system	Health Plan, Group of clinicians (facility, dept/unit, group), Facility (e.g., hospital, nursing home), Integrated delivery system	Facility (e.g., hospital, nursing home)

# NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

	<b>Measure Review # PCS-007-09</b>	<b>Measure Review # PCS-008-09</b>	<b>Measure ID # 0340</b>
<b>Setting</b>	Hospital	Hospital	Hospital