

DRAFT

DRAFT

DRAFT

## NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

TO: NQF Members

FROM: NQF Staff

RE: Voting for *National Voluntary Consensus Standards for Pediatric Cardiac Surgery: A Consensus Report*

DA: October 15, 2010

This draft report is for the National Quality Forum's (NQF's) Pediatric Cardiac Surgery Project. This project seeks to identify and endorse quality measures that specifically address the pediatric cardiac surgery population for public reporting and quality improvement.

In an effort to understand the full implications of this process for NQF and other relevant stakeholders, NQF convened a Steering Committee comprised of 12 experts in the field to evaluate submitted measures and to make recommendations across the spectrum of pediatric cardiac surgery. As part of the Steering Committee's work, the group evaluated measures regarding the topic areas of mortality, programmatic structure, and antibiotic use. The Steering Committee ultimately recommended 2 measures for endorsement and 11 for time-limited endorsement.

### COMMENTS AND REVISED DRAFT REPORT

The comment period for the draft document, *National Voluntary Consensus Standards for Pediatric Cardiac Surgery: A Consensus Report*, concluded on September 7, 2010. NQF received 43 comments from 11 organizations. The distribution of comments from organizations by Member Councils is as follows:

Consumers-0	Health Professionals-6
Purchasers-0	Public Health/Community-0
Health Plans-0	QMRI-1
Providers-1	Supplier and Industry-0
Non-members-3	

All measure-specific comments were forwarded to the measure developers, who were invited to respond. A table of detailed comments submitted during the review period, with responses and actions taken by the Steering Committee, is posted on the [Pediatric Cardiac Surgery project webpage](#). Revisions to the draft report and the accompanying measure specifications table (Appendix A) have been made using the track changes functionality.

## NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

### COMMENTS AND THEIR DISPOSITION

In general, comments were supportive of the report and the Committee's recommendations. General comments on the report expressed concern with the use of administrative data versus clinical data for measurement. Measure-specific comments typically addressed the lack of specificity, exclusion criteria, and the level of analysis of some measures. The strengths and weaknesses of competing mortality measures PCS-021-09 and PCS-018-09 were also addressed in the comments. These topics were discussed by the Committee and are summarized below.

#### General Comments

##### **Claims-based versus clinically-based databases**

One comment on the measures focused on the use of administrative claims data versus clinical data for measurement and weighed the benefits of clinically based measures over claims-based measures.

*Action Taken:* Given the current environment and the growing use of electronic health records, NQF supports the endorsement of both claims-based and clinically based measures.

#### Measure-Specific Comments

##### **PCS-002-09: Multidisciplinary preoperative planning conference (STS)**

One comment addressed the lack of specificity of this measure in identifying the components of this conference.

*Action Taken:* After some discussion, the Committee affirmed its previous rationale for recommending the measure for endorsement and agreed that the measure is sufficient "as is." The Committee preferred that the specifications for the conference components allow for institutional variation in practice.

##### **PCS-003-09: Multidisciplinary rounds involving multiple members of the healthcare team (STS)**

Similar to the comment above for PCS-002-09, one comment addressed the lack of specificity of this measure in identifying what should take place during the rounds. The comment also noted that this measure would be most useful as a process measure but recognized the challenge in implementing it as such.

*Action Taken:* The Committee agreed that the measure is sufficient "as is" and preferred that the specifications for the rounds components allow for institutional variation in practice. The Committee agreed that specifying this measure as a process measure would be very difficult and not feasible within the timeframe of this project.

##### **PCS-004-09: Regularly scheduled quality assurance and quality improvement cardiac care conference (STS)**

## NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

Similar to the comment above for PCS-002-09 and PCS-003-09, one comment addressed the lack of specificity of this measure in identifying what should take place in a quality assurance and quality improvement conference.

*Action Taken:* The Committee agreed that the measure is sufficient “as is” and preferred that the specifications for the conference components allow for institutional variation in practice.

### **PCS-005-09: Availability of intraoperative transesophageal echocardiography (TEE) and epicardial echocardiography (STS)**

One comment addressed the usefulness of this measure as a structure measure as specified rather than a process measure. Additionally, the comment noted that epicardial echocardiography should be available for use by facilities when TEE is contraindicated.

*Action Taken:* The Committee discussed the re-specification of this measure as a process measure; however, it is not feasible within this timeframe for this project. The measure developer agreed to modify the measure title and description to reflect the availability of epicardial echocardiography when TEE is contraindicated (see Appendix A).

### **PCS-010-09: Timing of antibiotic administration for pediatric and congenital cardiac surgery (STS)**

A primary concern with this measure was the exclusion from the denominator of cases with incomplete documentation. It was argued that such cases should be excluded from the numerator instead of the denominator because incomplete documentation signals a need for quality improvement.

*Action Taken:* The measure developer agreed to modify the measure description and numerator statement to denote that the measure only includes those cases with documentation of antibiotic administration (see Appendix A).

### **PCS-011-09: Selection of appropriate prophylactic antibiotics and weight-appropriate dosage for pediatric and congenital cardiac surgery patients (STS)**

One comment noted that the measure title does not reflect the measure description and recommended that the title be changed to more accurately reflect the measure’s intent. There was also concern about the measure’s lack of flexibility to change as the list of approved antibiotics changes over time. As noted above, the exclusion from the denominator of patients for whom there is inadequate documentation of antibiotic administration was of concern.

*Action Taken:* The measure developer recognized that it will be a challenge to maintain the measure’s list of approved antibiotics and agreed to modify the description and numerator to reflect the intent of the measure and to denote that it measures only those cases with documentation of antibiotic administration (see Appendix A).

## NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

### **PCS-012-09: Use of an expanded pre-procedural and post-procedural time-out (STS)**

One comment noted that this measure would be most useful as a process measure and should include a checklist as an implementation tool.

*Action Taken:* The Committee and measure developer agreed that specifying this measure as a process measure would be most useful; however, doing so is not feasible within the timeframe of this project.

### **PCS-021-09: Standardized mortality ratio for congenital heart surgery, Risk Adjustment for Congenital Heart Surgery (RACHS-1 method) [Children's Hospital Boston (CHB)]**

Two comments noted that this measure as specified could not be supported as an accountability measure at the clinician level.

*Action Taken:* The measure developer agreed and modified the specifications to indicate that this measure is recommended for use at the facility level only (see Appendix A).

### **COMPETING MEASURES**

The draft report called for comments on competing measures for volume and mortality. However, the submitted comments only addressed 2 of the 3 competing mortality measures (PCS-018-09 and PCS-021-09) and did not address the 3 competing volume measures. After discussion with the Steering Committee co-chairs and internal NQF review, all measures will be moved forward for member voting.

### **NQF MEMBER VOTING**

Information for electronic voting was sent to NQF Member primary contacts. Accompanying comments must be submitted by e-mail to [pediatriccardiacsurgery@qualityforum.org](mailto:pediatriccardiacsurgery@qualityforum.org). The e-mail must identify submitter, organization, and the specific ballot item that the comments accompany.

**All votes must be submitted no later than 6:00 pm ET, November 15, 2010.**

Thank you for your interest in this Consensus Development Project.

**NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

**NATIONAL VOLUNTARY CONSENSUS STANDARDS FOR  
PEDIATRIC CARDIAC SURGERY: A CONSENSUS REPORT**

**DRAFT REPORT FOR MEMBER VOTING**

# NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

## NATIONAL VOLUNTARY CONSENSUS STANDARDS FOR PEDIATRIC CARDIAC SURGERY: A CONSENSUS REPORT

24  
25  
26  
  
27  
  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

- Executive Summary .....2
- Measures Recommended for Endorsement.....3
- Measures Recommended for Time-Limited Endorsement.....3
- Background.....5
- Strategic Directions for NQF .....6
- National Priorities Partnership .....7
- NQF’s Consensus Development Process.....8
- Evaluating Potential Consensus Standards.....8
- Relationship to Other NQF-Endorsed Consensus Standards.....8
- Recommendations for Endorsement .....9
- Competing Measures.....9
- Justification for Multiple Measures.....11
- Candidate Consensus Standards Recommended for Endorsement .....12
- Candidate Consensus Standards Recommended for Time-Limited Endorsement.....14
- Candidate Consensus Standards Withdrawn from Consideration .....23
- Notes .....24
- Appendix A—Specifications of the National Voluntary Consensus Standards for Pediatric Cardiac Surgery .....25
- Appendix B—Pediatric Cardiac Surgery Steering Committee and NQF Staff.....39
- Appendix C—Competing Measures.....40

## NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

# 49 NATIONAL VOLUNTARY CONSENSUS STANDARDS FOR PEDIATRIC 50 CARDIAC SURGERY: A CONSENSUS REPORT

51

## 52 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

53 Heart defects are among the most common birth defects and are the leading cause of birth defect-  
54 related deaths in the United States.<sup>1</sup> Each year, about 35,000 infants (1 out of every 125) are born  
55 with heart defects.<sup>2</sup> Quality improvement strategies must be aimed not only at further reduction of  
56 mortality but also at efficient use of resources and reduction of morbidities to the maximum extent  
57 possible.

58 Performance measurement for healthcare quality reporting and improvement have to date focused  
59 largely on the adult population, but there are growing interest and momentum to include pediatric  
60 measures in these efforts. The National Quality Forum (NQF) has endorsed measures specific to adult  
61 cardiac surgery, pediatric heart surgery volume, and pediatric heart surgery mortality. Quality  
62 improvement strategies for pediatric cardiac surgery will benefit from specific measures aimed at  
63 further reduction of mortality, efficient use of resources, and reduction of morbidities. These goals  
64 are also directly aligned with the National Priorities Partnership's priority for safety.

65 In an effort to understand the full implications of measurement in this population, NQF convened the  
66 12-member Pediatric Cardiac Surgery Committee to evaluate measures and to make  
67 recommendations across the spectrum of pediatric cardiac surgery. The Steering Committee  
68 considered measures in the topic areas of mortality, programmatic structure, and antibiotic use. This  
69 report presents the results of the re-evaluation of 13 measures considered under NQF's Consensus  
70 Development Process (CDP). Two measures are recommended for endorsement and 11 measures for  
71 time-limited endorsement as voluntary consensus standards suitable for public reporting and quality  
72 improvement.

73

- 
1. Kochanek KD, Murphy SL, Anderson RN, et al., Deaths: Final data for 2002, *Natl Vit Stat Rep*, 2004;53(5):1-115.
  2. National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI), *Congenital Heart Defects*, Bethesda, MD: NHLBI; 2009. Available at [www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health/dci/Diseases/chd/chd\\_what.html](http://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health/dci/Diseases/chd/chd_what.html). Last accessed August 2010.

## NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

- 74  
75  
76 **Measures Recommended for Endorsement**  
77  
78     • PCS-018-09: Operative mortality stratified by the five STS-EACTS Mortality Levels (Society  
79         of Thoracic Surgeons [STS])  
80     • PCS-021-09: Standardized mortality ratio for congenital heart surgery, Risk Adjustment for  
81         Congenital Heart Surgery (RACHS-1 method) (Children’s Hospital Boston [CHB])  
82
- 83 **Measures Recommended for Time-Limited Endorsement**
- 84     • PCS-001-09: Participation in a national database for pediatric and congenital heart surgery  
85         (STS)  
86     • PCS-002-09: Multidisciplinary preoperative planning conference (STS)  
87     • PCS-003-09: Multidisciplinary rounds involving multiple members of the healthcare team  
88         (STS)  
89     • PCS-004-09: Regularly scheduled quality assurance and quality improvement cardiac care  
90         conference (STS)  
91     • PCS-005-09: Availability of intraoperative transesophageal echocardiography (TEE) and  
92         epicardial echocardiography (STS)  
93     • PCS-006-09: Availability of institutional pediatric ECLS (extracorporeal life support) (STS)  
94     • PCS-007-09: Surgical volume for pediatric and congenital heart surgery (STS)  
95     • PCS-008-09: Surgical volume for pediatric and congenital heart surgery, stratified by the five  
96         STS-EACTS Mortality Levels (STS)  
97     • PCS-010-09: Timing of antibiotic administration for pediatric and congenital cardiac surgery  
98         (STS)



## NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

- 99 | • PCS-011-09: Selection of appropriate prophylactic antibiotics and weight-appropriate dosage  
100 | for pediatric and congenital cardiac surgery patients ~~Selection of antibiotic administration for~~  
101 | ~~pediatric and congenital cardiac surgery patients~~ (STS)
- 102 | • PCS-012-09: Use of an expanded pre-procedural and post-procedural time-out (STS)
- 103 |
- 104 |
- 105 |
- 106 |
- 107 |
- 108 |
- 109 |
- 110 |
- 111 |
- 112 |
- 113 |
- 114 |
- 115 |
- 116 |
- 117 |
- 118 |
- 119 |
- 120 |

## NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

# 121 NATIONAL VOLUNTARY CONSENSUS STANDARDS FOR PEDIATRIC 122 CARDIAC SURGERY: A CONSENSUS REPORT

123

## 124 BACKGROUND

125 Heart defects are among the most common birth defects and are the leading cause of birth defect-  
126 related deaths in the United States.<sup>3</sup> Each year, about 35,000 infants (1 out of every 125) are born  
127 with heart defects.<sup>4</sup> Other children will develop acquired heart disease, including such conditions as  
128 arrhythmias, cardiomyopathies, Kawasaki disease, and rheumatic fever. Because of advances in  
129 diagnosis and surgical treatment of these children, the mortality rate related to surgery has decreased  
130 dramatically. Today, about 1.4 million children and adults are living with congenital heart defects.<sup>5</sup>  
131 And yet, a retrospective cohort study from 1992 to 1996 revealed that children with Medicaid  
132 insurance have a higher risk of dying after congenital heart surgery than those with commercial and  
133 some managed care insurance, likely because of barriers to accessing care and differential referral  
134 patterns.<sup>6</sup> This is significant because more than 20 million, or 25 percent, of children in the United  
135 States rely on Medicaid and SCHIP (State Children's Health Insurance Program) for health insurance  
136 coverage. Furthermore, many survivors experience morbidities that impact dramatically on their  
137 quality of life and that of their family members and on the consumption of resources, and  
138 consequently on the ultimate costs of healthcare, which are borne by society as a whole. Quality  
139 improvement strategies must be aimed not only at further reduction of mortality but also at efficient  
140 use of resources and reduction of morbidities to the maximum extent possible. Indeed, annual  
141 national charges for care currently exceed \$2.2 billion for inpatient congenital cardiac surgery.<sup>7</sup>

142  
143 National efforts to promote accountability and improvement in healthcare through performance  
144 measurement and reporting have focused largely on the adult population, but there are growing  
145 interest and momentum to include pediatric measures in these efforts. In September 2006 the Centers  
146 for Medicare & Medicaid Services issued a compendium containing primarily adult, but some  
147 pediatric, quality measures in broad categories to support states' programmatic needs in the areas of  
148 quality-based purchasing or pay-for-performance, public reporting, quality improvement, service  
149 delivery, benchmarking, and program/plan monitoring. Among the measures in the compendium was

## NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

150 a measure of pediatric cardiac surgery mortality developed by the Agency for Healthcare Research  
151 and Quality.<sup>8</sup>

152  
153 In October 2009, the 12-member Pediatric Cardiac Surgery Steering Committee (Appendix B) met in  
154 person to evaluate 21 measures in the topic areas of mortality, programmatic structure, and antibiotic  
155 use and to make recommendations across the spectrum of pediatric cardiac surgery performance  
156 measurement. After the meeting, eight of the measures (seven outcomes, 1 structure) were withdrawn  
157 by the developers. The seven outcome measures were submitted without risk-adjustment or a  
158 rationale and analysis to support the lack of risk-adjustment. The developers agreed that the measures  
159 need risk-adjustment and withdrew them from further consideration at this time.

160  
161 Both developers were also provided an opportunity to submit additional information to further  
162 support the reliability and validity of their measure submissions. The Steering Committee was  
163 subsequently asked to re-evaluate the 13 remaining measures.

164  
165 This report presents the results of the re-evaluation of the remaining 13 measures, 2 of which are  
166 recommended for endorsement and 11 of which for time-limited endorsement as voluntary consensus  
167 standards suitable for public reporting and quality improvement.

168

### 169 **STRATEGIC DIRECTIONS FOR NQF**

170 NQF's mission includes three parts: 1) setting national priorities and goals for performance  
171 improvement, 2) endorsing national consensus standards for measuring and publicly reporting on  
172 performance, and 3) promoting the attainment of national goals through education and outreach  
173 programs. As greater numbers of quality measures are developed and brought to NQF for  
174 consideration of endorsement, it is incumbent on NQF to assist stakeholders to “measure what makes  
175 a difference” and address what is important to achieve the best outcomes for patients and populations.  
176 For more information see [www.qualityforum.org/projects/pediatric-cardiac-surgery.aspx](http://www.qualityforum.org/projects/pediatric-cardiac-surgery.aspx).

177 Several strategic issues have been identified to guide consideration of candidate consensus standards:

## NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

178 **DRIVE TOWARD HIGH PERFORMANCE.** Over time, the bar of performance expectations  
179 should be raised to encourage achievement of higher levels of system performance.

180 **EMPHASIZE COMPOSITES.** Composite measures provide much needed summary information  
181 pertaining to multiple dimensions of performance and are more comprehensible to patients and  
182 consumers.

183 **MOVE TOWARD OUTCOME MEASUREMENT.** Outcome measures provide information of  
184 keen interest to consumers and purchasers, and when coupled with healthcare process measures, they  
185 provide useful and actionable information to providers. Outcome measures also focus attention on  
186 much-needed system-level improvements, since achieving the best patient outcomes often requires  
187 carefully designed care process, teamwork, and coordinated action on the part of many providers.

188 **CONSIDER DISPARITIES IN ALL THAT WE DO.** Some of the greatest performance gaps  
189 relate to care of minority populations. Particular attention should be focused on identifying  
190 disparities-sensitive performance measures and on identifying the most relevant  
191 race/ethnicity/language strata for reporting purposes.

### 192 **NATIONAL PRIORITIES PARTNERSHIP**

193  
194 NQF seeks to endorse measures that address the National Priorities and Goals of the NQF-convened  
195 National Priorities Partnership.<sup>9</sup> The National Priorities Partnership represents those who receive, pay  
196 for, provide, and evaluate healthcare. The National Priorities and Goals focus on these areas:

- 198 • equitable access
- 199 • patient and family engagement,
- 200 • population health,
- 201 • safety,
- 202 • care coordination,
- 203 • palliative and end-of-life care,
- 204 • overuse, and
- 205 • infrastructure support.

206

## NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

### 207 **NQF'S CONSENSUS DEVELOPMENT PROCESS (CDP)**

208 The purpose of the National Voluntary Consensus Standards for Pediatric Cardiac Surgery project is  
209 to identify and endorse measures for public reporting and quality improvement related to pediatric  
210 cardiac surgery processes, structure, and patient outcomes. It will establish national, multi-  
211 stakeholder voluntary consensus on performance standards that are ready for immediate  
212 implementation, as well as on recommendations for priority areas for research and measure  
213 development.

### 214 **Evaluating Potential Consensus Standards**

215 This report presents the re-evaluation of 13 pediatric cardiac surgery measures. Candidate consensus  
216 standards were solicited through a Call for Measures on July 31-August 31, 2009, and were actively  
217 sought through searches of the National Quality Measures Clearinghouse, NQF Member websites,  
218 and an environmental scan. NQF staff contacted potential measure stewards to encourage submission  
219 of measures for this project.

220 The measures were evaluated using [NQF's standard evaluation criteria](#).<sup>10</sup> The 12-member, multi-  
221 stakeholder Steering Committee provided evaluations of the four main criteria: importance to  
222 measure and report, scientific acceptability of the measure properties, usability, and feasibility, as  
223 well as the recommendation for endorsement. Measure developers responded to Committee questions  
224 and clarified any issues or concerns.

225

### 226 **RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER NQF-ENDORSED CONSENSUS STANDARDS**

227 This report does not represent the entire scope of NQF work relevant to pediatric patients. To date, NQF  
228 has endorsed more than a sixty quality measures specific to pediatric patients through past projects  
229 and continues work in this area with upcoming projects:

230

- 231 • [Hospital Care: Additional Measures \(2007\)](#)
- 232 • [Perinatal Care \(2008\)](#)
- 233 • [Child Health Outcomes \(Patient Outcomes Measures-Phase III\) \(2009\)](#)
- 234 • [Child Health Quality Measures Project \(2010\)](#)

## NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

235

### 236 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR ENDORSEMENT

237 This report presents the results of the re-evaluation of 13 measures considered under NQF's CDP (see  
238 Appendix A for detailed specifications). Two measures are recommended for endorsement and 11 are  
239 recommended for time-limited endorsement as national voluntary consensus standards suitable for  
240 public reporting and quality improvement.

241

242

### 243 Competing Measures

244 ~~NQF has previously endorsed pediatric cardiac surgery measures of volume (#0340) and mortality~~  
245 ~~(#0339). For this project, measures similar to these were recommended by the Steering Committee.~~  
246 ~~NQF aims to have a portfolio of parsimonious and harmonized endorsed measures that represent the~~  
247 ~~best in class, and typically does not endorse multiple measures with the same focus and target~~  
248 ~~population. As such, the Steering Committee is seeking comment on these competing measures and~~  
249 ~~will be asked to make recommendations for best in class for the measures considered following this~~  
250 ~~comment period. Appendix C provides a side-by-side comparison of the endorsed and submitted~~  
251 ~~measures.~~

252

253 ~~Two outcome measures were submitted to this project: one a measure of operative mortality stratified~~  
254 ~~by the STS-EACTS complexity tool (PCS-018-09), and the other a standardized mortality ratio~~  
255 ~~(SMR) (PCS-021-09) using the RACHS-1 method in a statistical risk-adjustment model. Although~~  
256 ~~these measure similar outcomes in the same population of patients, as per NQF procedure, the~~  
257 ~~measures were evaluated and recommended for endorsement based on their individual merits and~~  
258 ~~how well they meet the evaluation criteria. These two submitted measures are similar to each other~~  
259 ~~and to the endorsed measure #0339. Despite the different approaches to measuring volume, the two~~  
260 ~~submitted volume measures, PCS-007-09 and PCS-008-09 (volume stratified by complexity) are also~~  
261 ~~similar to each other and endorsed measure #0340 in that they each measure volume in the same~~  
262 ~~population. While similar, the Committee did consider whether both the volume and mortality~~  
263 ~~competing measures could co-exist as endorsed measures.~~

## NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

264 NQF generally prefers to endorse one measure for a specific focus and target population unless there  
265 is a justification for endorsing multiple measures. The NQF evaluation process calls for a competing  
266 measures to be evaluated first individually on the four criteria to determine if it is suitable to be  
267 recommended for endorsement and then compared to competing measures. If multiple measures meet  
268 the criteria, they are compared to determine best in class or, to determine, whether there is  
269 justification for more than one measure.

270 In 2008 NQF endorsed pediatric cardiac surgery measures of risk-adjusted mortality (0339 by the  
271 Agency for Health Research and Quality [AHRQ]) and of volume (0340 by AHRQ). During this  
272 current project, the Steering Committee recommended the following similar mortality and volume  
273 measures (See Appendix C for detailed specifications of competing measures):

- 274 • PCS-018-09: Operative mortality stratified by the STS-EACTS Mortality Levels (STS)
- 275 • PCS-021-09: Standardized mortality ratio for congenital heart surgery, RACHS-1 method  
276 (CHB)
- 277 • PCS-007-09: Surgical volume for pediatric and congenital heart surgery
- 278 • PCS-008-09: Surgical volume for pediatric and congenital heart surgery, stratified by the five  
279 STS-EACTS Mortality Levels (STS)

280 Although these measures focus on the same outcome (or volume) in the same target population of  
281 patients as do the endorsed measures, they include some differences in data source, exclusion, and  
282 risk--adjustment methodology.

283

### 284 **Justification for Multiple Measures**

285 In comparing the competing mortality measures, the STS measure (PCS-018-09) is based on clinical  
286 data submitted according to the STS registry specifications; it produces a 30-day mortality rate for  
287 each European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (EACTS) risk category. The CHB measure  
288 (PCS-021-09) is based on either claims data or clinical record data; it is risk--adjusted and produces  
289 an in-hospital standardized mortality ratio. The endorsed AHRQ measure (0339) is based on claims

## NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

290 data and produces an in-hospital risk--adjusted mortality rate per 1,000 patients. Ideally, NQF would  
291 prefer to endorse the measure that provides the best representation of quality of care. Unfortunately,  
292 there is no definitive way to make that determination at this time. Evidence of risk model validation  
293 was presented for all three measures. The reported C-statistics indicate adequate discrimination: STS  
294 measure: 0.778-0.812; CHB measure: 0.809-0.854; AHRQ measure: 0.875.

295  
296 The differences in the volume measures also lie in the data sources and the methodologies used.  
297 Endorsed measure #0340 is a measure of raw volume using administrative claims data. Most similar  
298 to this measure is submitted measure PCS-007-09, which also measures raw volume; but uses registry  
299 data. The third volume measure, PCS-008-09, stratifies volume for the five EACSTS risk categories  
300 and uses registry data.

301  
302 After review and consultation with the Steering Committee and CSAC Co-Chairs, all of the measures  
303 are recommended to move forward for member voting. In addition to the above considerations, there  
304 is a noted lack of consensus on the best methodology, and no new information emerged from the  
305 public comment period. In the current environment without widespread adoption of electronic health  
306 records that are capable of using clinical data to generate quality measure scores, the competing  
307 interests of having a standardized measure and promoting widespread public reporting and  
308 improvement on quality need to be weighed. Measures based on different data sources may be  
309 needed to promote the greatest scope of measurement and improvement. Currently, head-to-head  
310 comparisons of measures based on different data sources are generally not feasible. There is also an  
311 urgent need to place volume and mortality data for pediatric cardiac surgery into public view, even  
312 though there is not objective evidence to support one proposed measure over another.

313  
314 The NQF three-year maintenance cycle allows for time to use measures in the field and to gather  
315 additional evidence and data about their use for analysis and re-evaluation at the time of endorsement  
316 maintenance review. As part of the endorsement maintenance cardiovascular project, the AHRQ PDI  
317 6 mortality measure (0339) will be reviewed within the coming months in the context of this  
318 Committee's discussions and challenges and along with any revisions and data about its current use.

319



## NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

### 320 **Candidate Consensus Standards Recommended for Endorsement**

321 **PCS-018-09 Operative mortality stratified by the five STS-EACTS Mortality Levels** *Operative mortality*  
322 *stratified by the five STS-EACTS Mortality Levels, a multi-institutional validated complexity*  
323 *stratification tool (STS)*

324 The initial specifications for this operative mortality measure were reviewed during the October 2009  
325 Steering Committee meeting and included three methods for stratifying or adjusting the population  
326 (Aristotle, RACHS-1, and STS-EACTS), allowing the user of the measure to select the method for  
327 each use. However, in an effort to standardize this measure and to improve comparability, NQF asked  
328 the measure developer to select one method of risk-stratification and to resubmit the measure with  
329 support of the method. The measure that is presented in this report was resubmitted by the developer  
330 as a measure of operative mortality within 30 days after surgery or prior to discharge for patients who  
331 undergo pediatric and congenital open heart surgery, stratified for complexity using only the STS-  
332 EACTS Mortality Levels. The use of this measure relies on the STS registry database staff or  
333 statisticians to calculate the mortality for each level of complexity. The Committee agreed that  
334 understanding of comparative mortality following congenital and pediatric cardiac surgery across  
335 institutions is immensely important. The Committee also emphasized the importance of capturing  
336 post-discharge mortality, especially for distant referrals, which needs to be assured for this measure  
337 to work. The Committee ultimately recommended this measure for endorsement based on the  
338 agreement that the measure is important for the field.

339 **PCS-021-09 Standardized mortality ratio for congenital heart surgery, Risk Adjustment for Congenital**  
340 **Heart Surgery (RACHS-1) method** *Adjusted ratio of observed to expected rate of in-hospital mortality*  
341 *following surgical repair of congenital heart defect among patients <18 years of age, risk-adjusted*  
342 *using the Risk Adjustment for Congenital Heart Surgery (RACHS-1) method (CHB)*

343 This measure uses the RACHS-1 system of risk analysis to compute an observed-to-expected (O/E)  
344 standardized mortality ratio (SMR). A score of greater than 1.0 indicates that the observed mortality  
345 is greater than the expected mortality. The risk analysis method (RACHS-1) incorporates five clinical  
346 characteristics: six predefined risk categories, age at surgery, prematurity, presence of a major non-  
347 cardiac structural anomaly, and combinations of cardiac procedures performed. The data required for  
348 this measure can be collected through manual chart abstraction or administrative data (ICD-9-CM  
349 codes) to determine the RACHS-1 score. During its initial evaluation of this measure in October

## NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

350 2009, the Committee voted to recommend this measure for endorsement on the condition that the risk  
351 analysis method used in the SMR is harmonized with the three methods used in the initial submission  
352 for PCS-018-09. The Committee was reluctant to determine a best-in-class among these three  
353 methods (Aristotle, RACHS-1, and STS-EACTS), given that the field has yet to determine which  
354 method is best.

355 The Committee expressed concerns about the use of administrative data to calculate this measure and  
356 noted references that have demonstrated the shortcomings of the use of administrative data in  
357 congenital heart disease. The concerns about data also extended to potential issues resulting from the  
358 conversion from ICD-9-CM to ICD-10-CM/PCS codes; however, the measure developer confirmed  
359 that this mapping process has already begun and no major issues are anticipated. This measure was  
360 ultimately recommended for endorsement without conditions following its second review.

361 During the comment period, the issue of administrative data versus clinical data was raised again in a  
362 comparative analysis between this measure and PCS-018-09. In response to this comment, the  
363 developer pointed out that this measure has been tested in both administrative databases and clinical  
364 databases such as the Pediatric Cardiac Care Consortium (PCCC) data-base and has been specified  
365 and tested using both types of data with comparable results. Other comments expressed concern with  
366 the level of analysis indicated for this measure, which was specified at all levels. Upon review of the  
367 comment, the developer agreed that mortality should not be attributed at the surgeon level and  
368 changed the measure submission form to indicate that it should only be applied at the facility level.  
369 The developer also responded to detailed comments submitted via letter, all of which are available on  
370 the project webpage for review.

371

372

### 373 **Candidate Consensus Standards Recommended for Time-Limited Endorsement**

374 These untested measures were submitted prior to the December 2009 policy change restricting time-  
375 limited endorsement. Should these measures be endorsed, testing results must be submitted within 12

## NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

376 | months. The Steering Committee recommended 11 of the submitted measures for time-limited  
377 endorsement. These measures include both structure and process measures.

378

### 379 **Structure Measures**

380

381 Each of the following structure measures seeks to measure quality at the programmatic level, not at  
382 the patient level. They are dichotomous and require a “Yes” or “No” response to complete the  
383 measure.

384

385 **PCS-001-09 Participation in a national database for pediatric and congenital heart surgery**  
386 *Participation in at least one multi-center, standardized, data collection, and feedback program that*  
387 *provides benchmarking of the physician’s data relative to national and regional programs and uses*  
388 *process and outcome measures (STS)*

389

390 This structure measure requires a “Yes” or “No” response to whether the facility or program  
391 participates in a national database for pediatric and congenital heart surgery. Based on the condition  
392 for recommendation put forth by the Committee at the October 2009 meeting, “participation” is  
393 defined as “submission of all congenital and pediatric operations performed by the database.” The  
394 Steering Committee agreed that this activity is important to measure and report. Research has shown  
395 that participation in multi-institutional databases/registries improves patient outcomes. Given the  
396 volume of pediatric surgeries performed, the Committee agreed it is important to track them via a  
397 database and to collect feedback as to what types of interventions increase the likelihood of positive  
398 outcomes, which enhances the ability to identify opportunities for improvement. Although the  
399 measure does not specify the sole use of the STS registry, the measure developer noted that the STS  
400 registry database is already used by a large number of programs and includes more than 90 percent of  
401 the active programs in the United States. Although the Committee members agreed that this measure  
402 is feasible for those who already participate in the STS database, and that the required information is  
403 most likely already maintained within the institutions, several expressed concern that it may be more  
404 difficult for smaller institutions to adhere to this measure. Other members raised concerns about how  
405 the submission of data to a registry would work with electronic health records (EHRs), as well as  
406 about the expenses that might be incurred to implement a measure that requires a high level of  
407 administrative commitment. This measure was recommended for time-limited endorsement by the  
408 Committee.

## NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

409 **PCS-002-09 Multidisciplinary preoperative planning conference** *Occurrence of a pre-operative*  
410 *multidisciplinary planning conference to plan pediatric and congenital heart surgery cases. This*  
411 *conference will involve multiple members of the healthcare team, with recommended participation*  
412 *including but not limited to cardiology, cardiac surgery, anesthesia, and critical care. (STS)*  
413

414 The intent of this measure is to determine whether a facility or program has in place a  
415 multidisciplinary pre-operative planning conference involving multiple members of the healthcare  
416 team. Although this type of conference has not been evaluated in research studies, the Steering  
417 Committee thought it was reasonable to expect that this type of conference would allow for issues to  
418 be aired and discussed before surgery is performed, leading to better outcomes. Due to the lack of  
419 evidence supporting the relationship of this structure measure to an outcome at this point, support for  
420 importance is based on expert opinion. Experts on the Committee agreed that pre-operative  
421 conferences enhance both the process of the operation and the education for trainees. The Committee  
422 raised the question of what constitutes this type of meeting. Furthermore, it was unclear from the  
423 measure specifications which components of this meeting should be in place before an institution can  
424 answer “Yes.” As such, the ratings for scientific acceptability varied widely among the Committee  
425 members. Most Committee members thought that because of its dichotomous structure this measure  
426 will be fairly simple to implement. However, the Committee agreed that some type of record of the  
427 meeting must be maintained to enable assessment of the measure and monitoring of the extent to  
428 which cases are discussed. This type of record would not necessarily be available from electronic  
429 sources unless there is a hospital-specific documentation requirement. The Committee agreed that  
430 these types of meetings are important for institutions to implement, but, without more specific  
431 specifications, ensuring that standards for conferences are maintained across centers will be  
432 challenging. NQF received comments that echoed this concern and requested additional guidance in  
433 the specifications on the frequency and the components of this meeting. In order to allow flexibility  
434 for facility variations in practice the Committee maintained that the components of this meeting be  
435 left up to the individual institution. One comment suggested that family members be involved in this  
436 conference. The Committee disagreed and suggested that family participation would be more  
437 appropriate at the multidisciplinary rounds. The Committee agreed that this is an important measure,  
438 even as a starting point for the field, and recommended it for time-limited endorsement.

439 **PCS-003-09 Multidisciplinary rounds involving multiple members of the healthcare team**  
440 *Occurrence of multidisciplinary rounds for pediatric and congenital cardiac surgery patients*

## NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

441 *involving multiple members of the healthcare team, with recommended participation including but*  
442 *not limited to cardiology, cardiac surgery, critical care, primary caregiver, family, nurses,*  
443 *pharmacist, and respiratory therapist. Involvement of the family is encouraged. (STS)*  
444

445 The purpose of this measure is to determine whether a program holds multidisciplinary rounds with a  
446 multidisciplinary healthcare team. Clear and detailed rounds help with planning of therapeutic  
447 interventions. The literature supporting the importance of this measure provides strong evidence that  
448 multidisciplinary rounds lead to improved clinical outcomes. As such, there was general consensus  
449 among the Committee members that this activity is important to measure and report. The Committee  
450 agreed that this measure is of particular importance in advancing the partnership between families  
451 and healthcare providers. In particular, given the nature of children with cardiac-related issues, it is  
452 usually their families and/or caregivers who need to be fully engaged in the care plan to ensure  
453 effective communication among all entities involved. Despite agreement on the measure's  
454 importance, the Committee had concerns with this measure's specifications similar to those for the  
455 previous measure, PCS-002-09. Although the measure specifies that rounds should take place daily,  
456 there is no definition or description of a "round" and its components. This concern of lack of  
457 specificity of this measure was also addressed in the comments as was a suggestion for this measure  
458 to be specified as a process measure. After further discussion, the Committee affirmed its initial  
459 recommendation to allow for ininstitutional flexibility. In addition, re-specifying the measure as a  
460 process measure would at this point is not feasible. Related to concerns regarding the measure's  
461 feasibility, the Committee agreed that this type of activity can be easily tracked through progress  
462 notes in the patients' charts. The Committee agreed that the measure is important for the field and  
463 voted to recommend it for time-limited endorsement.

464 **PCS-004-09 Regularly scheduled quality assurance and quality improvement cardiac care**  
465 **conference** *Occurrence of a regularly scheduled quality assurance and quality improvement cardiac*  
466 *care conference to discuss care provided to patients who undergo pediatric and congenital cardiac*  
467 *surgery operations and to discuss opportunities for improvement. This conference should be held at*  
468 *least every three months (quarterly). (STS)*

469 The purpose of this measure is to determine whether a facility or pediatric/congenital heart surgery  
470 program implements regularly scheduled quality assurance and quality improvement cardiac care  
471 conferences. The purpose of the conference is to discuss opportunities for improvement. Although  
472 there is no direct evidence linking this activity to patient outcomes, there is indirect evidence from

## NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

473 other fields that shows that such conferences improve quality. Based on the conditions for  
474 recommendation put forth by the Committee in October 2009, the measure developer changed the  
475 specifications to reflect that these meetings should occur quarterly. The Steering Committee agreed  
476 that this measure addresses an important aspect of healthcare, but similar to the two previous  
477 measures (PCS-002-09, PCS-003-09), its specifications do not clearly identify the components of a  
478 quality assurance and quality improvement care conference and the criteria for selecting the patients  
479 to be discussed during the conference. This concern was also addressed during the comment period.  
480 The Committee clarified that the intent of this meeting is to discuss opportunities for improvement,  
481 adverse outcomes, and complications, and as such additional specifications were not needed. The  
482 Committee voted to recommend the measure for time-limited endorsement.

483 **PCS-005-09 Availability of intraoperative transesophageal echocardiography (TEE) and**  
484 **epicardial echocardiography** *Availability of intraoperative transesophageal echocardiography*  
485 *(TEE) for pediatric and congenital heart operations. Epicardial echocardiography should be readily*  
486 *available for those with patients in whom TEE is contraindicated or less informative.* (STS)

487  
488 The purpose of this measure is to determine whether a facility or program has a TEE available for  
489 use. The Committee agreed that TEE is a well-known and well-documented imaging technique that  
490 has been shown to positively affect the outcome of operations for congenital heart disease. However,  
491 the accessibility of this tool by facilities and its range of appropriate use are unclear. The  
492 Committee's discussion of this measure revolved around the many publications that support the use  
493 of TEE. Because this is not a patient-level measure, it will be used to determine the availability of  
494 TEE, not necessarily whether it is being used for patients who need it. Some Committee members  
495 stated that the measure will be more useful if it calculates a percentage of patients for whom TEE is  
496 used appropriately, and they recommended that a future version should specify the measure in this  
497 way. The Committee agreed that this measure will be easy to report. Although not required because  
498 this is a structure measure, patient-level data should be available from clinical sources to determine  
499 the presence of this tool. Commenters noted that these measures would be more appropriate as a  
500 process measure rather than a structure measure since most centers have this technology available.  
501 Commenters also noted that it would be a more valuable measure if it could provide information on  
502 the relationship between the availability of the TEE, using it appropriately, and associated outcomes.  
503 In cases where TEE is contraindicated, a commenter noted that epicardial echocardiography should

**NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM**

504 also be available. The measure developers agreed with this comment and changed the measure title  
505 and description accordingly. While the use of TEE or epicardial echocardiography in individual  
506 patients can be captured, consistent availability cannot be implied. These concerns were ultimately  
507 outweighed by the Committee’s assessment that this is an important measure for the field, and it was  
508 recommended for time-limited endorsement.

509  
510 **PCS-006-09 Availability of institutional pediatric ECLS (extracorporeal life support)**  
511 *Availability of an institutional pediatric extracorporeal life support (ECLS) program for pediatric*  
512 *and congenital cardiac surgery patients (STS)*

513  
514 Similar to the previous measure, PCS-005-09, the purpose of this measure is to determine the  
515 availability of an ECLS program at a facility. During its discussion, the Steering Committee cited  
516 multiple manuscripts that document the importance of ECLS, which can rehabilitate hearts, save  
517 lives, and in the end serve as a bridge to transplantation. Clear evidence exists that ties improved  
518 outcomes to ECLS therapy in cardiac surgery patients with an estimated 50 to 60 percent chance of  
519 survival. Some Committee members expressed concern about the overlap of ECLS and  
520 extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) programs: Does one program produce better  
521 outcomes than the other for cardiac patients? Similar to the concerns of the previous measures, the  
522 Committee pointed out that the specifications of this measure do not clearly delineate the criteria for  
523 answering “Yes” and for what having a “program” actually means (e.g., having any ECLS capability  
524 at all, existing program components). The Committee discussed the issue of capturing the measure  
525 data. Data on ECLS use for individual patients can be retrieved by the STS database and EHRs.  
526 However, the measure does not require patient-level data because it is a structure measure focused  
527 only on the availability of the program. The Committee believed that this was an important measure  
528 for the field and voted to recommend it for time-limited endorsement.

529  
530 **PCS-007-09 Surgical volume for pediatric and congenital heart surgery** *Surgical volume for*  
531 *pediatric and congenital heart surgery (STS)*

532  
533 The purpose of this measure is to provide a count of cases at a facility that provides pediatric or  
534 congenital heart surgery. The Committee agreed that the relationship between volume and outcome is  
535 unclear, although there is likely a volume below which outcome suffers. In its discussions, the

## NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

536 Committee identified the following issues: 1) the need to review procedure codes to remove  
537 noncardiac surgical and nonsurgical procedures; 2) the capture of surgery in adults with congenital  
538 versus acquired disease; and 3) the requirement that those who do not participate in the STS database  
539 use a crosswalk from STS codes to ICD-9-CM to identify cases that should be included in the count.  
540 This measure is similar to and considered to compete with NQF-endorsed measure 0340, Pediatric  
541 Heart Surgery Volume (PDI 7). In discussions of best-in-class between these two measures, several  
542 Committee members stated that data derived from a clinical dataset more validly represent the  
543 number of procedures than do the administrative data used in the NQF-endorsed measure. The  
544 Committee questioned the measure developer to determine why both this measure and the following  
545 measure, PCS-008-09, which stratifies volume by complexity, are needed. The developer responded  
546 that although this measure can be calculated with simple addition, a roll-up of the cases at each  
547 mortality level in PCS-008-09 would not equal the total cases for this measure. This measure was  
548 ultimately recommended for time-limited endorsement.

549  
550  
551  
552 **PCS-008-09 Surgical volume for pediatric and congenital heart surgery, stratified by the five**  
553 **STS-EACTS Mortality Levels** *Surgical volume for pediatric and congenital heart surgery stratified*  
554 *by the five STS-EACTS Mortality Levels, a multi-institutional validated complexity stratification tool*  
555 *(STS)*

556  
557 This is a volume measure similar to the previous measure, but it stratifies the cases by complexity  
558 level using the STS-EACTS Mortality Levels in a stratified schema based on data in the STS  
559 database. This measure can be used in conjunction with PCS-018-09, Operative mortality stratified  
560 by the five STS-EACTS Mortality Levels, to determine the denominator. The method of risk-  
561 stratification used for this measure requires the use of STS codes and registry data. The Committee  
562 agreed that this measure will provide a useful comparison across centers, rated it highly for usability,  
563 and ultimately recommended it for time-limited endorsement.

564 **PCS-012-09 Use of an expanded pre-procedural and post-procedural time-out** *Use of an*  
565 *expanded pre-procedural and post-procedural “time-out” that includes the following elements: 1)*  
566 *The conventional pre-procedural “time-out”, which includes identification of patient, operative site,*  
567 *procedure, and history of any allergies; 2) A pre-procedural briefing wherein the surgeon shares*  
568 *with all members of the operating room team the essential elements of the operative plan, including*



## NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

569 *diagnosis, planned procedure, outline of essentials of anesthesia and bypass strategies, anticipated*  
570 *or planned implants or device applications, and anticipated challenges; 3) A post-procedural*  
571 *debriefing wherein the surgeon succinctly reviews with all members of the operating room team the*  
572 *essential elements of the operative plan, identifying both the successful components and the*  
573 *opportunities for improvement. This debriefing should take place prior to the patient leaving the*  
574 *operating room or its equivalent, and may be followed by a more in-depth dialogue involving team*  
575 *members at a later time. (The actual debriefing in the operating room is intentionally and*  
576 *importantly brief, in recognition of the fact that periods of transition may be times of instability or*  
577 *vulnerability for the patient.); 4) A briefing or hand-off protocol at the time of transfer (arrival) to*  
578 *the Intensive Care Unit at the end of the operation, involving the anesthesiologist, surgeon, physician*  
579 *staff of the Intensive Care Unit (including critical care and cardiology) and nursing. (STS)*

580 The purpose of this measure is to determine whether a facility with a congenital/pediatric heart  
581 surgery program implements pre- and post-procedural timeouts for surgical cases. There is an  
582 emerging area of research focused on linking “time-outs” to improved outcomes. Although data are  
583 not yet amassed to determine whether this measure yields improved outcomes, the Committee’s  
584 expert opinion was that this activity is important to measure and report, because a time-out is a  
585 critical component of knowledge sharing for the healthcare team. Its importance is supported by the  
586 implementation of this activity as policy by most centers around the country. The Committee sought  
587 to clarify whether or not this measure is specified as an “all-or-none” (i.e., all four elements must be  
588 in place for the institution to answer “Yes”) to ensure consistency and usability of the results.  
589 Although the Committee was in agreement about this measure’s importance, some members  
590 questioned the feasibility of measuring the presence of time-outs in a program. They argued that such  
591 information is not routinely documented, and it is unclear from the measure specifications if time-  
592 outs must occur for every patient. In support of this opinion, one comment noted that although it  
593 would be difficult to track time-outs on a patient-level, this measure would be most useful as a  
594 process measure that includes the use of a checklist. The Committee and measure developers agreed  
595 that this measure is sufficient as a structure measure at this point in time, and the Committee voted to  
596 recommend the measure for time-limited endorsement.

### 597 **Process Measures**

598 Both of the submitted process measures are untested, and therefore reliability or validity data are not  
599 available. Consequently, the evaluation of scientific acceptability is limited to review of the measure

## NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

600 specifications. Although evidence was provided to show that the reliability and validity of the current  
601 STS database have been verified, the measure developer acknowledged that the measures have not  
602 yet been added to the database. Therefore, these measures are only eligible for time-limited  
603 endorsement.

604 **PCS-010-09 Timing of antibiotic administration for pediatric and congenital cardiac surgery**  
605 *Percentage of patients undergoing pediatric and congenital cardiac surgery who were documented*  
606 *as having received prophylactic antibiotics within one hour of surgical incision (two hours if*  
607 *receiving Vancomycin) (STS)*

608 This measure is intended to determine the rate at which congenital and pediatric heart surgery  
609 patients are receiving prophylactic antibiotics within the appropriate timeframe (one hour prior to  
610 surgical incision). The Steering Committee agreed that this measure is clinically relevant and has a  
611 clear linkage to improved outcomes; there is evidence that timely administration of antibiotics  
612 prevents infections. There is also evidence that adherence to timing improves outcomes in pediatric  
613 cardiac surgery, although on a limited basis. The Committee did, however, express some concerns  
614 with the specifications related to coding and exclusions. For example, the Committee believed that  
615 the number of patients for whom documentation of such things as incision and/or antibiotic start time  
616 should be captured in some way rather than excluded from the measure. Comments on this measure  
617 echoed this concern. In response, the measure developer agreed to change the measure description  
618 and numerator to clarify that the intent of the measure is to include only those patients whose  
619 antibiotic administration is documented. There was also concern that the codes, particularly for  
620 congenital surgery, were too inclusive and could result in the inadvertent counting of patients with  
621 acquired heart disease requiring surgery rather than counting only the patients with congenital heart  
622 disease requiring surgery. Other comments expressed concern about the guidelines for redosing,  
623 because the numerator indicates that redosing should be done only “if clinically appropriate” when  
624 the surgery is delayed. Those comments sought additional specificity for acceptable redosing  
625 procedures and reasons for delay. The Committee and developer agreed that redosing procedures  
626 should be determined by the institution because of patient variability and lack of consistency in the  
627 literature on this practice.

628 A significant amount of Committee discussion, during and subsequent to the October 2009 meeting,  
629 focused on this measure as it relates to measure PCS-011-09, Selection of antibiotic administration

## NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

630 for pediatric and congenital heart surgery patients. The Committee was divided over whether  
631 measurement of appropriate antibiotic administration should include both timely administration  
632 combined with appropriate antibiotic selection and weight-based dosing. Proponents of this approach  
633 believed that the selection and administration of the appropriate antibiotic is pointless if it is not done  
634 in a timely manner and is not weight appropriate. Opponents argued that for quality improvement  
635 purposes it would be better to keep the measures separate to determine whether the issues occurred at  
636 selection or administration. The Committee ultimately recommended this measure for time-limited  
637 endorsement as an individual measure.  
638

639 **PCS-011-09 Selection of appropriate prophylactic antibiotics and weight-appropriate dosage**  
640 **antibiotic administration for pediatric and congenital cardiac surgery patients** *Percentage of*  
641 *patients undergoing pediatric and congenital cardiac surgery who were documented as having*  
642 *received body weight appropriate prophylactic antibiotics recommended for the operation (STS)*

643 This measure is intended to measure both the selection of the appropriate prophylactic antibiotic and  
644 the appropriate weight-based dose administration. The Steering Committee agreed that this is a  
645 relevant measure with high impact because surgical site infection in cardiac patients is a major  
646 complication. The Committee was concerned, however, that the list of appropriate antibiotics  
647 specified in this measure may be subject to debate. There are many acceptable antibiotics that can be  
648 used, and they change often. Nationwide variations in the types of antibiotics used may make it  
649 difficult to meet this measure's requirements. The Committee acknowledged that experience with  
650 measures in other fields indicates that options for quickly changing the approved drug list in the  
651 specifications must be in place. Public comments echoed this concern, and the measure developer  
652 agreed that maintaining the list will be a challenge. Several Committee members asserted that body  
653 weight is not the only factor that determines the appropriate dosages of antibiotics in high-risk  
654 patients: Clinicians also take into account renal/liver dysfunction and anticipated drug clearance,  
655 which are not accounted for in the measure. Other comments noted the lack of specificity about how  
656 clinicians should calculate the dose and recommended the use of an algorithm as guidance for this  
657 measure as well as for PCS-010-09. Furthermore, the measure does not clearly identify who is  
658 responsible for selecting the dose. Similar to PCS-010-09, comments expressed concerned about the  
659 exclusion of patients for whom documentation is incomplete; the developer agreed to change the  
660 measure description and numerator to clarify that the intent of the measure is to include only those

## NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

661 patients for whom antibiotic administration is documented. In response to comments that the measure  
662 title does not reflect the intent of the measure, the developer changed the title to indicate that both  
663 administration of the antibiotic and weight-appropriate dosing are being measured. In terms of  
664 feasibility, the Committee agreed that the required information can be feasibly obtained from  
665 electronic medical records. The Steering Committee ultimately recommended time-limited  
666 endorsement of the measure as an individual measure.

### 667 **Candidate Consensus Standards Withdrawn from Consideration**

668 As discussed above in the Background section, the following 8 of the originally submitted 21  
669 measures were withdrawn from consideration by the developer.

- 670 • PCS-009-09 Surgical volume for six pediatric and congenital heart operations (STS)
- 671 • PCS-013-09 Mediastinitis after pediatric and congenital heart surgery (STS)
- 672 • PCS-014-09 Stroke/cerebrovascular accident after pediatric and congenital heart surgery  
673 (STS)
- 674 • PCS-015-09 Post-operative renal failure requiring dialysis at hospital discharge (STS)
- 675 • PCS-016-09 Arrhythmia necessitating permanent pacemaker insertion (STS)
- 676 • PCS-017-09 Surgical re-exploration (STS)
- 677 • PCS-019-09 Operative mortality for six benchmark operations (STS)
- 678 • PCS-020-09 Operative survival free of major complication (STS)

## NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

### NOTES

1. Kochanek, KD, Murphy SL, Anderson RN, et al., Deaths: final data for 2002, *Natl Vit Stat Rep*, 2004;53(5):1-115.
2. National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute (NHLBI). *Congenital Heart Defects*. Bethesda, MD: NHLBI; 2009. Available at [www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health/dci/Diseases/chd/chd\\_what.html](http://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health/dci/Diseases/chd/chd_what.html). Last accessed August 2010.
3. Kochanek, KD, Murphy SL, Anderson RN, et al., Deaths: final data for 2002, *Natl Vit Stat Rep*, 2004;53(5):1-115.
4. March of Dimes. *Quick references: fact sheets. Congenital health defects*. White Plains, NY: March of Dimes; 2010. Available at [www.marchofdimes.com/professionals/14332\\_1212.asp](http://www.marchofdimes.com/professionals/14332_1212.asp). Last accessed July 2010.
5. Ibid.
6. DeMone JA, Gonzalez PC, Gauvreau K, et al., Risk of death for Medicaid recipients undergoing congenital heart surgery, *Pediatr Cardiol*, 2003 *Cardiology*. 2006;24(2):97-102.
7. American Heart Association (AHA), *Congenital Heart Disease in Children Fact Sheet*, Dallas, TX: AHA; 2009. Available at [www.americanheart.org/presenter.jhtml?identifier=12012](http://www.americanheart.org/presenter.jhtml?identifier=12012) . Last accessed August 2010.
8. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), *The Guide to Quality Measures: A Compendium, Medicaid and SCHIP Quality Improvement, Volume 1*, Bethesda, MD: CMS; 2006. Available at: [www.cms.hhs.gov/MedicaidSCHIPQualPrac/Downloads/pmfinalaugust06.pdf](http://www.cms.hhs.gov/MedicaidSCHIPQualPrac/Downloads/pmfinalaugust06.pdf). Last accessed May 2007.
9. National Quality Forum (NQF), *National Priorities Partnership*, Washington, DC: NQF. Available at [www.nationalprioritiespartnership.org](http://www.nationalprioritiespartnership.org). Last accessed June 2010.
10. NQF, *Measure Evaluation Criteria*, Washington, DC: NQF; 2008. Available at [www.qualityforum.org/docs/measure\\_evaluation\\_criteria.aspx](http://www.qualityforum.org/docs/measure_evaluation_criteria.aspx). Last accessed June 2010.

## NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

### APPENDIX A: SPECIFICATIONS OF THE NATIONAL VOLUNTARY CONSENSUS STANDARDS FOR PEDIATRIC CARDIAC SURGERY

The following table presents the detailed specifications for the National Quality Forum (NQF)-endorsed<sup>®</sup> *National Voluntary Consensus Standards for Pediatric Cardiac Surgery*. All information presented has been derived directly from measure sources/developers without modification or alteration (except when the measure developer agreed to such modification during the NQF Consensus Development Process) and is current as of ~~July 22~~October 13, 2010. All NQF-endorsed voluntary consensus standards are open source, meaning they are fully accessible and disclosed. Measures were developed by Children’s Hospital Boston (CHB) and The Society of Thoracic Surgeons (STS).

**\*Note: Denotes measures recommended for time-limited endorsement.**

Measure Number	Measure Title	Measure Description	Measure Steward	Numerator	Denominator	Exclusions	Data Source	Level of Analysis
PCS-001-09*	Participation in a national database for pediatric and congenital heart surgery	Participation in at least one multi-center, standardized data collection, and feedback program that provides benchmarking of the physician’s data relative to national and regional programs and uses process and outcome measures.	STS	Whether or not there is participation in at least one multi-center, data collection, and feedback program for pediatric and congenital heart surgery.	N/A	Any operation that is not a pediatric or congenital cardiac operation. Cardiac operations are defined as operations that are of operation types of “CPB” or “No CPB cardiovascular”.	Electronic Health/Medical Record, Clinical Database, Name: The Society of Thoracic Surgeons Congenital Heart Surgery Database, Electronic Clinical Registry, Name: The Society of Thoracic Surgeons Congenital Heart Surgery Database <del>Electronic Clinical Database,</del> <del>Electronic Clinical Registry,</del> Electronic Claims, Paper Medical Records	Group of clinicians, Facility, Integrated delivery system, Health plan, Community/Population

## NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

Measure Number	Measure Title	Measure Description	Measure Steward	Numerator	Denominator	Exclusions	Data Source	Level of Analysis
PCS-002-09*	Multidisciplinary preoperative planning conference	Occurrence of a pre-operative multidisciplinary planning conference to plan pediatric and congenital heart surgery cases. This conference will involve multiple members of the healthcare team, with recommended participation including but not limited to cardiology, cardiac surgery, anesthesia, and critical care.	STS	Whether or not there is a pre-operative multidisciplinary conference involving cardiology, cardiac surgery, anesthesia, and critical care to plan surgical cases for pediatric and congenital heart surgery.	N/A	Any operation that is not a pediatric or congenital cardiac operation. Cardiac operations are defined as operations that are of operation types of "CPB" or "No CPB cardiovascular".	Electronic Health/Medical Record, Electronic Claims, Paper Medical Record, Other: Upon receiving NQF endorsement will be added to the STS congenital heart surgery database for collection and analysis	Group of clinicians, Facility, Integrated delivery system, Health plan, Community/Population
PCS-003-09*	Multidisciplinary rounds involving multiple	Occurrence of multidisciplinary rounds	STS	Whether or not the facility implements	N/A	Any operation that is not a pediatric or congenital cardiac operation. Cardiac	Electronic Health/Medical Record, Electronic Claims, Paper Medical Record, Other: Upon receiving NQF	Group of clinicians, Facility, Integrated

DRAFT

DRAFT

DRAFT

## NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

Measure Number	Measure Title	Measure Description	Measure Steward	Numerator	Denominator	Exclusions	Data Source	Level of Analysis
	members of the healthcare team	for pediatric and congenital cardiac surgery patients involving multiple members of the healthcare team, with recommended participation including but not limited to cardiology, cardiac surgery, critical care, primary caregiver, family, nurses, pharmacist and respiratory therapist. Involvement of the family is encouraged.		multidisciplinary rounds involving cardiology, cardiac surgery, and critical care professionals for pediatric and congenital cardiac surgery patients.		operations are defined as operations that are of operation types of "CPB" or "No CPB cardiovascular". <sup>2</sup>	endorsement will be added to the STS congenital heart surgery database for collection and analysis	delivery system, Health plan, Community/Population



DRAFT

DRAFT

DRAFT

## NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

Measure Number	Measure Title	Measure Description	Measure Steward	Numerator	Denominator	Exclusions	Data Source	Level of Analysis
PCS-004-09*	Regularly scheduled quality assurance and quality improvement cardiac care conference	Occurrence of a regularly scheduled quality assurance and quality improvement cardiac care conference to discuss care provided to patients who undergo pediatric and congenital cardiac surgery operations and to discuss opportunities for improvement. This conference should be held at least every three months (quarterly).	STS	Whether or not the facility holds a regularly scheduled quality assurance and quality improvement cardiac care conference to discuss care provided to patients who undergo pediatric and congenital cardiac surgery operations and to discuss opportunities for improvement. This conference should be held at least every three months (quarterly).	N/A	Any operation that is not a pediatric or congenital cardiac operation. Cardiac operations are defined as operations that are of operation types of "CPB" or "No CPB cardiovascular". (CPB is cardiopulmonary bypass.)	Electronic Health/Medical Record, Electronic Claims, Clinical Database, Name: The Society of Thoracic Surgeons Congenital Heart Surgery Database, Electronic Clinical Registry, Name: The Society of Thoracic Surgeons Congenital Heart Surgery Database <del>Electronic Clinical Database, Electronic Clinical Registry</del> Paper Medical Record, Other: Upon receiving NQF endorsement will be added to the STS congenital heart surgery database for collection and analysis	Group of clinicians, Facility, Integrated delivery system, Health plan, Community/Population
PCS-005-09*	Availability of	Availability of	STS	Whether or not	N/A	Any operation that is not a pediatric or	Electronic Health/Medical Record, Electronic Claims,	Group of clinicians,

DRAFT

DRAFT

DRAFT

## NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

Measure Number	Measure Title	Measure Description	Measure Steward	Numerator	Denominator	Exclusions	Data Source	Level of Analysis
	intraoperative transesophageal echocardiography (TEE) <u>and Epicardial echocardiography</u>	intraoperative transesophageal echocardiography (TEE) for pediatric and congenital heart operations. <u>Epicardial echocardiography should be readily available for those patients whom TEE is contraindicated or less informative.</u>		intraoperative transesophageal echocardiography (TEE) is available for pediatric and congenital cardiac surgery operations. <u>Epicardial echocardiography should be readily available for those patients whom TEE is contraindicated or less informative.</u>		congenital cardiac operation. Cardiac operations are defined as operations that are of operation types of “CPB” or “No CPB cardiovascular”. <del>“(CPB is cardiopulmonary bypass.)”</del>	Paper Medical Record, <del>Hospital Records, Electronic Clinical Database, Electronic Clinical Registry</del> , Other: Upon receiving NQF endorsement will be added to the STS congenital heart surgery database for collection <del>&amp; and</del> analysis	Facility, Integrated delivery system, Health plan, Community/Population
PCS-006-09*	Availability of institutional pediatric ECLS (extracorporeal life support)	Availability of an institutional pediatric extracorporeal life support (ECLS) program for pediatric and congenital cardiac surgery patients.	STS	Whether or not the facility has available an institutional pediatric extracorporeal life support (ECLS) program for pediatric and congenital cardiac surgery	N/A	Any operation that is not a pediatric or congenital cardiac operation. Cardiac operations are defined as operations that are of operation types of “CPB” or “No CPB cardiovascular”. (CPB is cardiopulmonary bypass.)	Electronic Health/Medical Record, Electronic Claims, Electronic Pharmacy Data, Paper Medical Record, Other: Upon receiving NQF endorsement will be added to the STS congenital heart surgery database for collection and analysis	Facility, Integrated delivery system

## NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

Measure Number	Measure Title	Measure Description	Measure Steward	Numerator	Denominator	Exclusions	Data Source	Level of Analysis
				operations.				
PCS-007-09*	Surgical volume for pediatric and congenital heart surgery	Surgical volume for pediatric and congenital heart surgery.	STS	Number of pediatric and congenital heart surgery operations.	N/A	Any operation that is not a pediatric or congenital cardiac operation. Cardiac operations are defined as operations that are of operation types of “CPB” or “No CPB cardiovascular”. (CPB is cardiopulmonary bypass.)	Electronic Health/Medical Record, Clinical Database, Name: The Society of Thoracic Surgeons Congenital Heart Surgery Database, Electronic Clinical Registry, Name: The Society of Thoracic Surgeons Congenital Heart Surgery Database, Electronic Claims, Paper Medical Record	Group of clinicians, Facility, Integrated facility, integrated delivery system, Health plan, Community/Population
PCS-008-09*	Surgical volume for pediatric and congenital heart surgery, stratified by the five STS-EACTS mortality levels	Surgical volume for pediatric and congenital heart surgery stratified by the five STS-EACTS mortality levels, a multi-institutional validated complexity stratification tool.	STS	Number of pediatric and congenital cardiac surgery operations (types “CPB” and “No CPB cardiovascular”) in each of the strata of complexity specified by the five STS-EACTS mortality levels, a multi-institutional validated complexity stratification	N/A	Any operation that is a pediatric or congenital open heart surgery (operation types of “CPB” or “No CPB cardiovascular”) that cannot be classified into a level of complexity by the five STS-EACTS mortality levels.	Electronic Health/Medical Record, Clinical Database, Name: The Society of Thoracic Surgeons Congenital Heart Surgery Database, Electronic Clinical Registry, Name: The Society of Thoracic Surgeons Congenital Heart Surgery Database, Electronic Claims, Paper Medical Record, Other: <a href="http://www.sts.org/documents/pdf/ndb/CongenitalDataCollectionForm3_0_NonAnnotated_20090916.pdf">http://www.sts.org/documents/pdf/ndb/CongenitalDataCollectionForm3_0_NonAnnotated_20090916.pdf</a>	Group of clinicians, Facility, Integrated Delivery System, Community/Population

## NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

Measure Number	Measure Title	Measure Description	Measure Steward	Numerator	Denominator	Exclusions	Data Source	Level of Analysis
				tool.				
PCS-010-09*	Timing of antibiotic administration for pediatric and congenital cardiac surgery patients	Percentage of patients undergoing pediatric and congenital cardiac surgery who <u>were documented as having</u> received prophylactic antibiotics within one hour of surgical incision (two hours if receiving Vancomycin).	STS	Number of pediatric and congenital cardiac surgery patients who <u>were documented as having</u> received prophylactic antibiotics within one hour of surgical incision (two hours if Vancomycin). In the event that surgery is delayed, as long as the patient is re-dosed (if clinically appropriate) the patient should be included in the numerator.	All patients undergoing pediatric and congenital cardiac surgery operations.	<p>Patients who:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>had principal or admission diagnosis of preoperative infectious disease</li> <li>were receiving antibiotics at time of admission</li> <li>have medical records that do not include antibiotic start date/time or incision date/time</li> <li>were receiving antibiotics more than 24 hours prior to surgery</li> <li>have physician documentation of infection prior to surgical procedure.</li> </ul> <p>Any operation that is not a pediatric or congenital cardiac operation. Cardiac operations are defined as operations that are of operation types of "CPB" or "No CPB cardiovascular". (CPB is cardiopulmonary bypass.)</p>	Electronic Health/Medical Record, Electronic Claims, Paper Medical Record, Electronic Pharmacy Data, Other: Upon receiving NQF endorsement will be added to the STS congenital heart surgery database for collection and analysis	Individual clinician, Group of clinicians, Facility
PCS-011-	Selection of	Percentage	STS	Number of	Number of	Patients who:	Electronic Health/Medical	Individual

## NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

Measure Number	Measure Title	Measure Description	Measure Steward	Numerator	Denominator	Exclusions	Data Source	Level of Analysis
09*	<u>appropriate prophylactic antibiotics and weight-appropriate dosage antibiotic administration</u> for pediatric and congenital cardiac surgery patients	of patients undergoing pediatric and congenital cardiac surgery who <u>were documented as having</u> received body weight appropriate prophylactic antibiotics recommended for the operation.		pediatric and congenital cardiac surgery patients who <u>were documented as having</u> received body weight appropriate prophylactic antibiotics recommended for the operation.	pediatric and congenital cardiac surgery operations.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>had principal or admission diagnosis of preoperative infectious disease</li> <li>were receiving antibiotics at time of admission</li> <li>have medical records that do not include antibiotic start date/time or incision date/time</li> <li>were receiving antibiotics more than 24 hours prior to surgery</li> <li>have physician documentation of infection prior to surgical procedure.</li> </ul> <p>Any operation that is not a pediatric or congenital cardiac operation. Cardiac operations are defined as operations that are of operation types of "CPB" or "No CPB cardiovascular" (CPB is cardiopulmonary bypass.)</p>	Record, Electronic Claims, Paper Medical Record, Electronic Pharmacy Data, Paper Medical Records, Other: Upon receiving NQF endorsement will be added to the STS congenital heart surgery database for collection <u>&amp;-and</u> analysis	clinician, Group of clinicians, facility;
PCS-012-09*	Use of an expanded pre-procedural	Use of an expanded pre-procedural	STS	Whether or not the facility implements	N/A	Any operation that is not a pediatric or congenital cardiac operation. Cardiac	Electronic Health/Medical Record, Clinical Database, Electronic Claims, Paper Medical Record, Electronic	Individual clinicians, Group of clinicians,

## NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

Measure Number	Measure Title	Measure Description	Measure Steward	Numerator	Denominator	Exclusions	Data Source	Level of Analysis
	and post-procedural time-out	and post-procedural “time-out” that includes the following elements: 1. The conventional pre-procedural “time-out”, which includes identification of patient, operative site, procedure and history of any allergies. 2. A pre-procedural briefing wherein the surgeon shares with all members of the operating room team the essential elements of the operative plan, including		an expanded pre-procedural and post-procedural “time-out” for all patients undergoing pediatric and congenital heart surgery operations. Pre-procedural and post-procedural time-out includes the following elements: 1. The conventional pre-procedural “time-out”, which includes identification of patient, operative site, procedure and history of any allergies.		operations are defined as operations that are of operation types of “CPB” or “No CPB cardiovascular.” (CPB is cardiopulmonary bypass.)	Pharmacy Data, Other: Upon receiving NQF endorsement will be added to the STS congenital heart surgery database for collection & analysis	Facility

DRAFT

DRAFT

DRAFT

### NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

Measure Number	Measure Title	Measure Description	Measure Steward	Numerator	Denominator	Exclusions	Data Source	Level of Analysis
		diagnosis, planned procedure, outline of essentials of anesthesia and bypass strategies, anticipated or planned implants or device applications, and anticipated challenges. 3. A post-procedural debriefing wherein the surgeon succinctly reviews with all members of the operating room team the essential elements of the operative plan, identifying both the successful components and the opportunitie		2. A pre-procedural briefing wherein the surgeon shares with all members of the operating room team the essential elements of the operative plan, including diagnosis, planned procedure, outline of essentials of anesthesia and bypass strategies, anticipated or planned implants or device applications, and anticipated challenges. 3. A post-procedural debriefing wherein the surgeon succinctly				

**NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM**

Measure Number	Measure Title	Measure Description	Measure Steward	Numerator	Denominator	Exclusions	Data Source	Level of Analysis
		<p>s for improvement. This debriefing should take place prior to the patient leaving the operating room or its equivalent, and may be followed by a more in-depth dialogue involving team members at a later time. (The actual debriefing in the operating room is intentionally and importantly brief; in recognition of the fact that periods of transition may be times of instability or</p>		<p>reviews with all members of the operating room team the essential elements of the operative plan, identifying both the successful components and the opportunities for improvement. This debriefing should take place prior to the patient leaving the operating room or its equivalent, and may be followed by a more in-depth dialogue involving team members at a later time. (The actual</p>				



**NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM**

Measure Number	Measure Title	Measure Description	Measure Steward	Numerator	Denominator	Exclusions	Data Source	Level of Analysis
		vulnerability for the patient). 4. A briefing or hand-off protocol at the time of transfer (arrival) to the Intensive Care Unit at the end of the operation, involving the anesthesiologist, surgeon, physician staff of the Intensive Care Unit (including critical care and cardiology) and nursing.		debriefing in the operating room is intentionally and importantly brief; in recognition of the fact that periods of transition may be times of instability or vulnerability for the patient). 4. A briefing or hand-off protocol at the time of transfer (arrival) to the Intensive Care Unit at the end of the operation, involving the anesthesiologist, surgeon, physician staff of the Intensive				

## NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

Measure Number	Measure Title	Measure Description	Measure Steward	Numerator	Denominator	Exclusions	Data Source	Level of Analysis
				Care Unit (including critical care and cardiology) and nursing.				
PCS-018-09	Operative mortality stratified by the five STS-EACTS Mortality Levels	Operative mortality stratified by the five STS-EACTS Mortality Levels, a multi-institutional validated complexity stratification tool.	STS	Number of patients who undergo pediatric and congenital open heart surgery in a given level of complexity stratification and die during either of the following two time intervals: 1. Prior to hospital discharge 2. Within 30 days of the date of surgery.	Number of index cardiac operations in each level of complexity stratification using the five STS-EACTS mortality levels, a multi-institutional validated complexity stratification tool.	Any operation that is not a pediatric or congenital cardiac operation. Cardiac operations are defined as operations that are of operation types of “CPB” or “No CPB cardiovascular”. <sup>2</sup> (CPB is cardiopulmonary bypass.)  Any operation that is a pediatric or congenital open heart surgery (operation types of “CPB” or “No CPB cardiovascular” <sup>2</sup> ) that cannot be classified into a level of complexity by the five STS-EACTS mortality levels.	Electronic Health/Medical Record, Clinical Database, Name: The Society of Thoracic Surgeons Congenital Heart Surgery Database, Electronic Clinical Registry, Name: The Society of Thoracic Surgeons Congenital Heart Surgery Database, Electronic Claims, Paper Medical Record, Other: <a href="http://www.sts.org/documents/pdf/ndb/CongenitalDataCollectionForm3_0_NonAnnotated_20090916.pdf">http://www.sts.org/documents/pdf/ndb/CongenitalDataCollectionForm3_0_NonAnnotated_20090916.pdf</a>	Group of clinicians, Facility, Integrated facility, Integrated delivery system, Health plan, Community/Population
PCS-021-09	Standardized mortality ratio for congenital heart surgery, Risk	Ratio of observed to expected rate of in-hospital mortality	CHB	Cases of congenital heart surgery among patients <18	Total cases of congenital heart surgery among patients <18 years of age.	Patients ≥ 18 years of age, those undergoing heart transplantation, neonates or premature infants with patent ductus arteriosus repair	Electronic Health/Medical Record, Electronic Clinical Database, Paper Medical Records, Other: Data elements may be obtained from an administrative database (e.g.,	Can be measured at all levels-Facility

## NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

Measure Number	Measure Title	Measure Description	Measure Steward	Numerator	Denominator	Exclusions	Data Source	Level of Analysis
	Adjustment for Congenital Heart Surgery (RACHS-1)	following surgical repair of congenital heart defect among patients <18 years of age, risk-adjusted using the Risk Adjustment for Congenital Heart Surgery (RACHS-1) method.		years of age resulting in in-hospital death.		as the only cardiac surgical procedure, transcatheter interventions, surgical cases unable to be assigned to a RACHS-1 risk category.	Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project (HCUP) Kids' Inpatient Database (KID), Pediatric Health Information System (PHIS)); from a clinical database (e.g., Pediatric Cardiac Care Consortium (PCCC), Society of Thoracic Surgeons (STS) Congenital Heart Surgery Database)*; from hospital-specific electronic medical records; or from paper medical records.  * The STS database does not currently include all variables, but there are plans to add them.	

**NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM****APPENDIX B: PEDIATRIC CARDIAC SURGERY STEERING COMMITTEE AND NQF STAFF****Howard Jeffries, MD, MPH, MBA (Co-Chair)**

Seattle Children's Hospital, Seattle, WA

**Lisa M. Kohr, MS, MPH, RN, CPNP (Co-Chair)**

Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, PA

**Schonay Barnett-Jones, MBA**

Visa, Silver Spring, MD

**Patricia A. Galvin, RN, BSN, CNOR**

Children's Hospital Boston, Boston, MA

**Nancy Ghanayem, MD**

Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI

**Darryl Gray, MD, ScD**

Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Rockville, MD

**Allen J. Hinkle, MD**

Tufts Health Plan, Watertown, MA

**Mark Hoyer, MD**

Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, IN

**Sylvia Lopez, MD**

Oklahoma Health Care Authority, Oklahoma City, OK

**John E. Mayer, MD**

Children's Hospital Boston, Boston, MA

**Constantine Mavroudis, MD**

Cleveland Clinic-Children's Hospital, Cleveland, OH

**Lisa Nugent, MFA**

Johnson & Johnson, New York, NY

***NQF Staff*****Helen Burstin, MD, MPH**

Senior Vice President

**Karen Pace, RN, PhD**

Senior Program Director

**Ashlie Wilbon, RN, MPH**

Project Manager

**Sarah Fanta**

Research Analyst

## NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

### APPENDIX C: COMPETING MEASURES

#### Competing Mortality Measures

	<u>Measure# PCS-018-09</u>	<u>Measure# PCS-021-09</u>	<u>Measure # NQF-0339</u>
<b>Title</b>	<u>Pre-Operative mortality stratified by the five STS-EACTS Mortality Levels</u>	<u>Standardized mortality ratio for congenital heart surgery, Risk Adjustment for Congenital Heart Surgery (RACHS-1) method</u>	<u>Pediatric heart surgery mortality (PDI 6) (risk adjusted)</u>
<b>Status</b>	<u>Under Review</u>	<u>Under Review 9/18/2009</u>	<u>Endorsed 5/15/2008 (Maintenance review begins Oct 2010 in Cardiovascular Project)</u>
<b>Steward</b>	<u>Society of Thoracic Surgeons</u>	<u>Program for Patient Safety and Quality, Children's Hospital Boston</u>	<u>Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality</u>
<b>Description</b>	<u>Operative mortality stratified by the five STS-EACTS Mortality Levels, a multi-institutional validated complexity stratification tool.</u>	<u>Ratio of observed to expected rate of in-hospital mortality following surgical repair of congenital heart defect among patients &lt;18 years of age, risk-adjusted using the Risk Adjustment for Congenital Heart Surgery (RACHS-1) method.</u>	<u>Number of in-hospital deaths in patients undergoing surgery for congenital heart disease per 1000 patients.</u>
<b>Numerator</b>	<u>Number of patients who undergo pediatric and congenital open heart surgery and die during either of the following two time intervals:</u>  <u>1. Prior to hospital discharge</u>  <u>2. Within 30 days of the date of surgery</u>	<u>Cases of congenital heart surgery among patients &lt;18 years of age resulting in in-hospital death.</u>	<u>Number of deaths, age under 18 years, with a code of pediatric heart surgery in any procedure field with an International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM) code of congenital heart disease in any field.</u>
<b>Denominator</b>	<u>Number of index cardiac operations in each level of complexity stratification using the five STS-EACTS Mortality Levels, a multi-institutional validated complexity stratification tool</u>	<u>Total cases of congenital heart surgery among patients &lt;18 years of age.</u>	<u>All discharges age under 18 years with ICD-9-CM procedure codes for congenital heart disease (1P) in any field or non-specific heart surgery (2P) in any field with ICD-9-CM diagnosis of congenital heart disease (2D) in any field.</u>
<b>Exclusions</b>	<u>Any operation that is not a pediatric or congenital Cardiac Operation. Cardiac operations are defined as operations that are of operation types of "CPB" or "No CPB</u>	<u>Patients ≥18 years of age, those undergoing heart transplantation, neonates or premature infants with patent ductus arteriosus repair as the only cardiac surgical procedure, transcatheter</u>	<u>Exclude patients with MDC 14 (Pregnancy, Childbirth, Puerperium); patients with transcatheter interventions as single cardiac procedures, performed without bypass but with catheterization; patients with septal defects as single cardiac</u>

NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

	<u>Measure# PCS-018-09</u>	<u>Measure# PCS-021-09</u>	<u>Measure # NQF-0339</u>
	<p><u>Cardiovascular” (CPB is cardiopulmonary bypass.) [1].</u></p> <p><u>Any operation that is a pediatric or congenital open heart surgery (operation types of “CPB” or “No CPB Cardiovascular”) that cannot be classified into a level of complexity by the five STS-EACTS Mortality Levels.</u></p>	<p><u>interventions, surgical cases unable to be assigned to a RACHS-1 risk category.</u></p>	<p><u>procedures without bypass; heart transplant; premature infants with PDA closure as only cardiac procedure; age less than 30 days with PDA closure as only cardiac procedure; missing discharge disposition; transferring to another short-term hospital and newborns less than 500 grams.</u></p>
<b><u>Methods and Risk Adjustment</u></b>	<p><u>Stratified by the five STS-EACTS Mortality Levels, a multi-institutional validated complexity stratification tool.</u></p>	<p><u>Uses a statistical risk model RACHS-1 risk categories, age at surgery, prematurity, presence of major non-cardiac structural anomaly, combinations of cardiac procedures performed.</u></p>	<p><u>The predicted value for each case is computed using a hierarchical model (logistic regression with hospital random effect) and covariates for gender, birthweight (500g groups), age in days (29-60, 61-90, 91+), age in years (in 5-year age groups), modified CMS DRG and AHRQ CCS comorbidities. The reference population used in the regression is the universe of discharges for states that participate in the HCUP State Inpatient Data (SID) for the years 2002-2004 (combined), a database consisting of 37 states and approximately 20 million pediatric discharges. The expected rate is computed as the sum of the predicted value for each case divided by the number of cases for the unit of analysis of interest (i.e., hospital, state, and region). The risk adjusted rate is computed using indirect standardization as the observed rate divided by the expected rate, multiplied by the reference population rate. The model includes additional covariates for RACHS-1 risk categories.</u></p>
<b><u>Risk Model Performance Statistics</u></b>	<p><u>C-statistics:</u></p> <p><u>STS-EACTS Congenital Heart Surgery Mortality Categories (2009)</u></p>	<p><u>I -- Validation of Risk Adjustment Model</u></p> <p><u>Original derivation of RACHS-1:</u></p> <p><u>(1) Pediatric Cardiac Care Consortium (PCCC)</u></p>	<p><u>Risk model C-statistic: 0.875</u></p>

**NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM**

	<u>Measure# PCS-018-09</u>	<u>Measure# PCS-021-09</u>	<u>Measure # NQF-0339</u>
	<p><u>Model without patient covariates: C = 0.778</u></p> <p><u>Model with patient covariates: C = 0.812</u></p>	<p><u>database 1996; 4370 cases from 32 institutions.</u></p> <p><u>(2) Hospital discharge data from three states (Illinois 1994, Massachusetts 1995, California 1995); 3646 total cases.</u></p> <p><u>Subsequent validation:</u></p> <p><u>(3) 1996 hospital discharge data from six states (California, Illinois, Massachusetts, New York, Pennsylvania, Washington); 4318 total cases.</u></p> <p><u>(4) Retrospectively collected primary data from a newly created pediatric cardiac care program in Guatemala, 1997-2004; 1215 total cases.</u></p> <p><u>(5) Kids' Inpatient Database (KID) 2000; 12717 total cases. Other uses:</u></p> <p><u>(6) Kids' Inpatient Database (KID) 2003; 11395 total cases.</u></p> <p><u>(7) Pediatric Health Information System (PHIS) 2002-2006; 45621 total cases.</u></p> <p><u>Risk Model C-Statistics:</u></p> <p><u>(1) Area under the ROC curve for the full RACHS-1 model 0.811; p value for Hosmer-Lemeshow test 0.34.</u></p> <p><u>(2) Area under the ROC curve 0.814; p value for Hosmer-Lemeshow test 0.21.</u></p> <p><u>(3) Area under the ROC curve 0.818; p value for</u></p>	

**NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM**

	<u>Measure# PCS-018-09</u>	<u>Measure# PCS-021-09</u>	<u>Measure # NQF-0339</u>
		<p><u>Hosmer-Lemeshow test 0.83.</u></p> <p><u>(4) Area under the ROC curve 0.854.</u></p> <p><u>(5) Area under the ROC curve 0.828; p value for Hosmer-Lemeshow test 0.66.</u></p> <p><u>(6) Area under the ROC curve 0.809; p value for Hosmer-Lemeshow test 0.18.</u></p> <p><u>(7) Area under the ROC curve 0.822; p value for Hosmer-Lemeshow test 0.08.</u></p>	
<u>Numerator Details</u>		<p><u>Number of cases of congenital heart surgery among patients &lt;18 years of age able to be placed into a RACHS-1 risk category (see item 8 below) where patient disposition is death prior to hospital discharge.</u></p>	
<u>Denominator Details</u>	<p><u>As demonstrated in the following publication (STS Attachment 1 (of 2) - O'Brien et al, JTCVS, Nov 2009), the five STS-EACTS Mortality Levels constitute an objective and empirically based tool for complexity stratification. In addition, it represents an improvement over existing consensus-based tools.</u></p> <p><u>Definition: The number of patients who undergo pediatric and congenital Cardiac Operation - Cardiac operations are defined as operations that are of operation types of "CPB" or "No CPB Cardiovascular". (CPB is cardiopulmonary bypass.) [1].</u></p> <p><u>Definition: The number of index cardiac operations in each level of complexity stratification using the five STS-EACTS Mortality Levels, a multi-institutional validated complexity</u></p>	<p><u>Pediatric cases &lt;18 years of age undergoing surgical repair of a congenital heart defect and able to be placed into a RACHS-1 risk category (see item 8 below).</u></p>	



DRAFT

DRAFT

DRAFT

### NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

	<u>Measure# PCS-018-09</u>	<u>Measure# PCS-021-09</u>	<u>Measure # NQF-0339</u>
	<p><u>stratification tool.</u></p> <p><u>The following are STS procedure codes for pediatric and congenital cardiac operations per the STS Congenital Heart Surgery Database Version 3.0 Data Specifications. Analysis should include any index operation performed with any of the following component procedures on a patient with pediatric and/or congenital cardiac disease:</u></p> <p><u>STS Denominator Codes:</u></p> <p><u>10, 20, 30, 40, 2110, 50, 60, 70, 80, 85, 100, 110, 120, 130, 140, 150, 170, 180, 190, 2300, 2250, 2230, 210, 220, 230, 240, 2290, 250, 2220, 260, 270, 2120, 280, 2200, 290, 300, 310, 330, 340, 350, 360, 370, 380, 390, 400, 420, 430, 440, 450, 460, 2280, 465, 470, 480, 490, 500, 510, 520, 530, 540, 550, 570, 590, 2270, 600, 630, 640, 650, 610, 620, 1774, 1772, 580, 660, 2240, 2310, 2320, 670, 680, 690, 700, 715, 720, 730, 735, 740, 750, 760, 770, 780, 2100, 790, 800, 810, 820, 830, 2260, 840, 850, 860, 870, 880, 2160, 2170, 2180, 2140, 2150, 890, 900, 910, 920, 930, 940, 950, 960, 970, 980, 1000, 1010, 1025, 1030, 2340, 1035, 1050, 1060, 1070, 1080, 1090, 1110, 1120, 1123, 1125, 1130, 1140, 1145, 1150, 1160, 2190, 2210, 1180, 1200, 1210, 1220, 1230, 1240, 1250, 1260, 1275, 1280, 1285, 1290, 1291, 1300, 1310, 1320, 1330, 1340, 1360, 1365, 1370, 1380, 1390, 1410, 1450, 1460, 2350, 1470, 1480, 1490, 1500, 1590, 1600, 1610, 1630, 2095, 1640, 1650, 1660, 1670, 1680, 1690, 1700, 2330, 2130, 1720, 1730, 1740, 1760, 1780.</u></p>		

## NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

	<u>Measure# PCS-018-09</u>	<u>Measure# PCS-021-09</u>	<u>Measure # NQF-0339</u>
	<p><u>1790, 1802, 1804, 1830, 1860</u></p> <p><u>**Please find data definitions in STS Attachment 2 (of 2) - STS Procedure Code Definitions.</u></p> <p><u>Pediatric heart surgery is heart surgery on patients &lt;18 years of age to treat congenital or acquired cardiac disease. Congenital heart surgery is heart surgery on patients of any age to treat congenital cardiac disease.</u></p> <p><u>Our measures apply to both pediatric heart surgery and congenital heart surgery, thus applying to the following operations:</u></p> <p><u>1. heart surgery on patients less than 18 years of age to treat congenital or acquired cardiac disease</u></p> <p><u>2. heart surgery on patients of any age to treat congenital cardiac disease</u></p>		
<u>Exclusion Details</u>		<p><u>Neonates are defined as patients ≤30 days of age at surgery; premature infants are defined as &lt;37 weeks gestation. See item 8 for RACHS-1 risk categories.</u></p>	<p><u>Exclude patients with MDC 14 (Pregnancy, Childbirth, Puerperium); patients with transcatheter interventions as single cardiac procedures, performed without bypass but with catheterization; patients with septal defects as single cardiac procedures without bypass; heart transplant; premature infants with PDA closure as only cardiac procedure; age less than 30 days with PDA closure as only cardiac procedure; missing discharge disposition; transferring to another short-term hospital and newborns less than 500 grams.</u></p>
<u>Data Source</u>	<p><u>Paper Medical Record, Electronic Clinical Registry, Electronic Clinical Database, Electronic Health/Medical Record</u></p>	<p><u>Paper Medical Record, Electronic Clinical Database, Electronic Health/Medical Record, Other</u></p>	<p><u>Electronic Claims</u></p>
<u>Level</u>	<p><u>Community/Population, Health Plan, Group of clinicians (facility, dept/unit, group), Facility</u></p>	<p><u>Facility (e.g., hospital, nursing home)</u></p>	<p><u>Facility (e.g., hospital, nursing home)</u></p>

## NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

	<a href="#">Measure# PCS-018-09</a>	<a href="#">Measure# PCS-021-09</a>	<a href="#">Measure # NQF-0339</a>
	<a href="#">(e.g., hospital, nursing home)</a>		
<b>Setting</b>	<a href="#">Hospital</a>	<a href="#">Hospital</a>	<a href="#">Hospital</a>

### Competing Volume Measures

	<a href="#">Measure# PCS-007-09</a>	<a href="#">Measure# PCS-008-09</a>	<a href="#">Measure # NQF- 0340</a>
<b>Title</b>	<a href="#">Surgical volume for pediatric and congenital heart surgery</a>	<a href="#">Surgical volume for pediatric and congenital heart surgery, stratified by the five STS-EACTS Mortality Levels</a>	<a href="#">Pediatric heart surgery volume (PDI 7)</a>
<b>Status</b>	<a href="#">Under Review</a>	<a href="#">Under Review</a>	<a href="#">Endorsed 5/15/2008 (Maintenance Review begins Oct 2010 in Cardiovascular Project)</a>
<b>Steward</b>	<a href="#">Society of Thoracic Surgeons</a>	<a href="#">Society of Thoracic Surgeons</a>	<a href="#">Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality</a>
<b>Description</b>	<a href="#">Surgical volume for pediatric and congenital heart surgery</a>	<a href="#">Surgical volume for pediatric and congenital heart surgery stratified by the five STS-EACTS Mortality Levels, a multi-institutional validated complexity stratification tool</a>	<a href="#">Raw volume compared to annual thresholds (100 procedures)</a>
<b>Numerator</b>	<a href="#">Number of pediatric and congenital heart surgery operations</a>	<a href="#">Number of pediatric and congenital cardiac surgery operations (types “CPB” and “No-CPB Cardiovascular”) in each of the strata of complexity specified by the five STS-EACTS Mortality Levels, a multi-institutional validated complexity stratification tool.</a>	<a href="#">Discharges, age under 18 years, with an International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM) code for either congenital heart disease (1P) in any field or non-specific heart surgery (2P) in any field with ICD-9-CM diagnosis of congenital heart disease (2D) in any field.</a>
<b>Denominator</b>	<a href="#">N/A</a>	<a href="#">N/A</a>	<a href="#">N/A</a>
<b>Exclusions</b>	<a href="#">Measure Exclusions: Any operation that is not a pediatric or congenital Cardiac Operation. Cardiac operations are defined as operations that are of operation types of “CPB” or “No CPB Cardiovascular”. (CPB is cardiopulmonary</a>	<a href="#">Any operation that is not a pediatric or congenital Cardiac Operation. Cardiac operations are defined as operations that are of operation types of “CPB” or “No CPB Cardiovascular” (CPB is</a>	<a href="#">Exclude patients with MDC 14 (Pregnancy, Childbirth, Puerperium); patients with transcatheter interventions as single cardiac procedures, performed without bypass but with catheterization; patients with septal defects as single cardiac</a>

**NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM**

	<u>Measure# PCS-007-09</u>	<u>Measure# PCS-008-09</u>	<u>Measure # NQF- 0340</u>
	<u>bypass.) [1].</u>	<u>cardiopulmonary bypass.) [1].</u>  <u>Any operation that is a pediatric or congenital open heart surgery (operation types of "CPB" or "No CPB Cardiovascular") that cannot be classified into a level of complexity by the five STS-EACTS Mortality Levels.</u>	<u>procedures without bypass.</u>
<b><u>Methods &amp; Risk Adjustment</u></b>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u>
<b><u>Numerator Details</u></b>	<u>Cardiac operations are defined as operations that are of operation types "CPB" or "No CPB Cardiovascular" (CPB is cardiopulmonary bypass.) [1].</u>  <u>The following are STS procedure codes for pediatric and congenital cardiac operations per the STS Congenital Heart Surgery Database Version 3.0 Data Specifications. Analysis should include any index operation performed with any of the following component procedures on a patient with pediatric and/or congenital cardiac disease:</u>  <u>10, 20, 30, 40, 2110, 50, 60, 70, 80, 85, 100, 110, 120, 130, 140, 150, 170, 180, 190, 2300, 2250, 2230, 210, 220, 230, 240, 2290, 250, 2220, 260, 270, 2120, 280, 2200, 290, 300, 310, 330, 340, 350, 360, 370, 380, 390, 400, 420, 430, 440, 450, 460, 2280, 465, 470, 480, 490, 500, 510, 520, 530, 540, 550, 570, 590, 2270, 600, 630,</u>	<u>There are currently three validated systems of Complexity Stratification in use to categorize operations for pediatric and congenital heart disease on the basis of complexity. Each of these is used in some registry databases, and data is currently stratified using each of the three systems in the most recent outcome reports of the Society of Thoracic Surgery Congenital Heart Surgery database. The three systems are: 1. the RACHS-1 (Risk Adjustment in Congenital Heart Surgery) System with 5 functional levels; 2. The Aristotle Basic Complexity Score with 4 levels; and 3. STS-EACTS Mortality Levels (5 levels).</u>  <u>As demonstrated in the following publication (STS Attachment 1 (of 2) - O'Brien et al, JTCVS, Nov 2009), the five STS-EACTS Mortality Levels constitute an objective and empirically based tool for complexity stratification. In addition, it represents an improvement over existing consensus-based tools.</u>	<u>N/A</u>

NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

Measure# <u>PCS-007-09</u>	Measure# <u>PCS-008-09</u>	Measure # <u>NQF- 0340</u>
<p><u>640, 650, 610, 620, 1774, 1772, 580, 660, 2240, 2310, 2320, 670, 680, 690, 700, 715, 720, 730, 735, 740, 750, 760, 770, 780, 2100, 790, 800, 810, 820, 830, 2260, 840, 850, 860, 870, 880, 2160, 2170, 2180, 2140, 2150, 890, 900, 910, 920, 930, 940, 950, 960, 970, 980, 1000, 1010, 1025, 1030, 2340, 1035, 1050, 1060, 1070, 1080, 1090, 1110, 1120, 1123, 1125, 1130, 1140, 1145, 1150, 1160, 2190, 2210, 1180, 1200, 1210, 1220, 1230, 1240, 1250, 1260, 1275, 1280, 1285, 1290, 1291, 1300, 1310, 1320, 1330, 1340, 1360, 1365, 1370, 1380, 1390, 1410, 1450, 1460, 2350, 1470, 1480, 1490, 1500, 1590, 1600, 1610, 1630, 2095, 1640, 1650, 1660, 1670, 1680, 1690, 1700, 2330, 2130, 1720, 1730, 1740, 1760, 1780, 1790, 1802, 1804, 1830, 1860</u></p> <p><u>**Please find data definitions in STS Attachment 2 (of 2) - STS Procedure Code Definitions.</u></p> <p><u>Pediatric heart surgery is heart surgery on patients &lt;18 years of age to treat congenital or acquired cardiac disease. Congenital heart surgery is heart surgery on patients of any age to treat congenital cardiac disease.</u></p> <p><u>Our measures apply to both pediatric heart surgery and congenital heart surgery, thus applying to the following operations:</u></p> <p><u>1. heart surgery on patients less than 18 years of age to treat congenital or acquired cardiac disease</u></p>	<p><u>Numerator definition: The number of patients who undergo pediatric and congenital Cardiac Operation - Cardiac operations are defined as operations that are of operation types of "CPB" or "No CPB Cardiovascular". (CPB is cardiopulmonary bypass.) [1].</u><u>Numerator definition: The number of index cardiac operations in each level of complexity stratification using the five STS-EACTS Mortality Levels, a multi-institutional validated complexity stratification tool.</u></p> <p><u>The following are STS procedure codes for pediatric and congenital cardiac operations per the STS Congenital Heart Surgery Database Version 3.0 Data Specifications. Analysis should include any index operation performed with any of the following component procedures on a patient with pediatric and/or congenital cardiac disease:</u></p> <p><u>10, 20, 30, 40, 2110, 50, 60, 70, 80, 85, 100, 110, 120, 130, 140, 150, 170, 180, 190, 2300, 2250, 2230, 210, 220, 230, 240, 2290, 250, 2220, 260, 270, 2120, 280, 2200, 290, 300, 310, 330, 340, 350, 360, 370, 380, 390, 400, 420, 430, 440, 450, 460, 2280, 465, 470, 480, 490, 500, 510, 520, 530, 540, 550, 570, 590, 2270, 600, 630, 640, 650, 610, 620, 1774, 1772, 580, 660, 2240, 2310, 2320, 670, 680, 690, 700, 715, 720, 730, 735, 740, 750, 760, 770, 780, 2100, 790, 800, 810, 820, 830, 2260, 840, 850, 860, 870, 880, 2160, 2170, 2180, 2140, 2150, 890, 900, 910, 920, 930, 940, 950, 960, 970, 980, 1000, 1010, 1025, 1030, 2340, 1035, 1050, 1060, 1070, 1080, 1090, 1110, 1120, 1123, 1125, 1130, 1140, 1145, 1150, 1160, 2190, 2210, 1180, 1200, 1210, 1220, 1230, 1240, 1250, 1260, 1275, 1280, 1285, 1290, 1291, 1300, 1310, 1320, 1330, 1340, 1360, 1365, 1370, 1380, 1390, 1410, 1450, 1460, 2350, 1470, 1480, 1490, 1500, 1590, 1600.</u></p>	

**NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM**

	<u>Measure# PCS-007-09</u>	<u>Measure# PCS-008-09</u>	<u>Measure # NQF- 0340</u>
	<p><u>2. heart surgery on patients of any age to treat congenital cardiac disease</u></p>	<p><u>1610, 1630, 2095, 1640, 1650, 1660, 1670, 1680, 1690, 1700, 2330, 2130, 1720, 1730, 1740, 1760, 1780, 1790, 1802, 1804, 1830, 1860</u></p> <p><u>**Please find data definitions in STS Attachment 2 (of 2) - STS Procedure Code Definitions.</u></p> <p><u>Pediatric heart surgery is heart surgery on patients &lt;18 years of age to treat congenital or acquired cardiac disease. Congenital heart surgery is heart surgery on patients of any age to treat congenital cardiac disease.</u></p> <p><u>Our measures apply to both pediatric heart surgery and congenital heart surgery, thus applying to the following operations:</u></p> <p><u>1. heart surgery on patients less than 18 years of age to treat congenital or acquired cardiac disease</u></p> <p><u>2. heart surgery on patients of any age to treat congenital cardiac disease</u></p>	
<u>Denominator Details</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u>
<u>Exclusion Details</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>Exclude patients with MDC 14 (Pregnancy, Childbirth, Puerperium); patients with transcatheter interventions as single cardiac procedures, performed without bypass but with catheterization; patients with septal defects as single cardiac procedures without bypass.</u>
<u>Data Source</u>	<u>Paper Medical Record, Electronic Claims, Electronic Clinical Registry, Electronic Clinical Database, Electronic Health/Medical Record</u>	<u>Paper Medical Record, Electronic Claims, Electronic Clinical Registry, Electronic Clinical Database, Electronic Health/Medical Record</u>	<u>Electronic Claims</u>

## NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

	<u>Measure# PCS-007-09</u>	<u>Measure# PCS-008-09</u>	<u>Measure # NQF- 0340</u>
<b>Level</b>	<u>Community/Population, Health Plan, Group of clinicians (facility, dept/unit, group), Facility (e.g., hospital, nursing home), Integrated delivery system</u>	<u>Health Plan, Group of clinicians (facility, dept/unit, group), Facility (e.g., hospital, nursing home), Integrated delivery system</u>	<u>Facility (e.g., hospital, nursing home)</u>
<b>Setting</b>	<u>Hospital</u>	<u>Hospital</u>	<u>Hospital</u>

	<b>Measure Review # PCS-018-09</b>	<b>Measure Review # PCS-021-09</b>	<b>Measure ID # 0339</b>
<b>Title</b>	Operative Mortality Stratified by the Five STS-EACTS Mortality Levels	Standardized Mortality Ratio for Congenital Heart Surgery, Risk Adjustment for Congenital Heart Surgery (RACHS-1).	Pediatric heart surgery mortality/Heart Surgery Mortality (PDI-6) (risk-adjusted)
<b>Status</b>	Under Review 9/18/2009	Under Review 9/18/2009	Endorsed 5/15/2008
<b>Steward</b>	Society of Thoracic Surgeons	Children's Hospital Boston	Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality
<b>Description</b>	Operative mortality stratified by the five STS-EACTS Mortality Levels, a multi-institutional validated complexity stratification tool.	Ratio of observed to expected rate of in-hospital mortality following surgical repair of congenital heart defect among patients <18 years of age, risk-adjusted using the Risk Adjustment for Congenital Heart Surgery (RACHS-1) method.	Number of in-hospital deaths in patients undergoing surgery for congenital heart disease per 1,000/1000 patients.
<b>Numerator</b>	Number of patients who undergo pediatric and congenital open heart surgery and die during	Cases of congenital heart surgery among patients <18 years of age resulting in in-hospital death.	Number of deaths, age under 18 years, with a code of pediatric heart surgery in any procedure field with an <i>International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification</i>

## NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

	Measure Review # PCS-018-09	Measure Review # PCS-021-09	Measure ID # 0339
	<p>either of the following two time intervals:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Prior to hospital discharge</li> <li>2. Within 30 days of the date of surgery.</li> </ol>		(ICD-9-CM) code of congenital heart disease in any field.
<b>Denominator</b>	Number of index cardiac operations in each level of complexity stratification using the five STS-EACTS Mortality Levels, a multi-institutional validated complexity stratification tool	Total cases of congenital heart surgery among patients <18 years of age.	All discharges age under 18 years with ICD-9-CM procedure codes for congenital heart disease (1P) in any field or non-specific heart surgery (2P) in any field with ICD-9-CM diagnosis of congenital heart disease (2D) in any field.
<b>Exclusions</b>	<p>Any operation that is not a pediatric or congenital cardiac operation. Cardiac Operation: Cardiac operations are defined as operations that are of operation types of "CPB" or "No-CPB Cardiovascular." (CPB is cardiopulmonary bypass.) [1]</p> <p>Any operation that is a pediatric or congenital open heart surgery (operation types of "CPB" or "'No-CPB Cardiovascular'") that cannot be classified into a level of complexity by the five STS-EACTS Mortality Levels.</p>	Patients ≥18 years of age, those undergoing heart transplantation, neonates or premature infants with patent ductus arteriosus repair as the only cardiac surgical procedure, transcatheter interventions, surgical cases unable to be assigned to a RACHS-1 risk category.	Exclude patients with MDC 14 (Pregnancy, Childbirth, Puerperium); patients with transcatheter interventions as single cardiac procedures, performed without bypass but with catheterization; patients with septal defects as single cardiac procedures without bypass; heart transplant; premature infants with PDA closure as only cardiac procedure; age less than 30 days with PDA closure as only cardiac procedure; missing discharge disposition; transferring to another short-term hospital and newborns less than 500 grams.
<b>Methods &amp; Risk-</b>	N/A	RACHS-1 risk categories, age at surgery, prematurity, presence of major non-cardiac	The predicted value for each case is computed using a hierarchical model (logistic regression with hospital random effect) and



## NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

	Measure Review # PCS-018-09	Measure Review # PCS-021-09	Measure ID # 0339
<b>Adjustment</b>		structural anomaly, combinations of cardiac procedures performed.	covariates for gender, birthweight (500g groups), age in days (29-60, 61-90, 91+), age in years (in 5-year age groups), modified CMS DRG and AHRQ CCS co-morbidities. The reference population used in the regression is the universe of discharges for states that participate in the HCUP State Inpatient Data (SID) for the years 2002-2004 (combined), a database consisting of 37 states and approximately 20 million pediatric discharges. The expected rate is computed as the sum of the predicted value for each case divided by the number of cases for the unit of analysis of interest (i.e., hospital, state, and region). The risk-adjusted rate is computed using indirect standardization as the observed rate divided by the expected rate, multiplied by the reference population rate.
<b>Numerator Details</b>	N/A	Number of cases of congenital heart surgery among patients <18 years of age able to be placed into a RACHS-1 risk category (see item 8 below) where patient disposition is death prior to hospital discharge.	N/A
<b>Denominator Details</b>	As demonstrated in the following publication (STS Attachment 1 (of 2) — O'Brien — O'Brien et al., JTCVS, Nov 2009), the five STS-EACTS Mortality Levels constitute an objective and empirically based tool for complexity stratification. In addition, it represents an improvement over existing consensus based	Pediatric cases <18 years of age undergoing surgical repair of a congenital heart defect and able to be placed into a RACHS-1 risk category (see item 8 below).	N/A

# NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

	Measure Review # PCS-018-09	Measure Review # PCS-021-09	Measure ID # 0339
	<p>tools.</p> <p>Definition: The number of patients who undergo pediatric and congenital cardiac operation—Cardiac Operation—Cardiac operations are defined as operations that are of operation types of “CPB” or “No-CPB Cardiovascular”. (CPB is cardiopulmonary bypass.) [1]</p> <p>Definition: The number of index cardiac operations in each level of complexity stratification using the five STS-EACTS Mortality Levels, a multi-institutional validated complexity stratification tool.</p> <p>The following are STS procedure codes for pediatric and congenital cardiac operations per the STS Congenital Heart Surgery Database Version 3.0 Data Specifications. Analysis should include any index operation performed with any of the following component procedures on a</p>		

DRAFT

DRAFT

DRAFT

# NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

	<b>Measure Review # PCS-018-09</b>	<b>Measure Review # PCS-021-09</b>	<b>Measure ID # 0339</b>
	<p>patient with pediatric and/or congenital cardiac disease:</p> <p>10, 20, 30, 40, 2110, 50, 60, 70, 80, 85, 100, 110, 120, 130, 140, 150, 170, 180, 190, 2300, 2250, 2230, 210, 220, 230, 240, 2290, 250, 2220, 260, 270, 2120, 280, 2200, 290, 300, 310, 330, 340, 350, 360, 370, 380, 390, 400, 420, 430, 440, 450, 460, 2280, 465, 470, 480, 490, 500, 510, 520, 530, 540, 550, 570, 590, 2270, 600, 630, 640, 650, 610, 620, 1774, 1772, 580, 660, 2240, 2310, 2320, 670, 680, 690, 700, 715, 720, 730, 735, 740, 750, 760, 770, 780, 2100, 790, 800, 810, 820, 830, 2260, 840, 850, 860, 870, 880, 2160, 2170, 2180, 2140, 2150, 890, 900, 910, 920, 930, 940, 950, 960, 970, 980, 1000, 1010, 1025, 1030, 2340, 1035, 1050, 1060, 1070, 1080, 1090, 1110, 1120, 1123, 1125, 1130, 1140, 1145, 1150, 1160, 2190, 2210, 1180, 1200, 1210, 1220, 1230, 1240, 1250, 1260, 1275, 1280, 1285, 1290, 1291, 1300, 1310, 1320, 1330, 1340, 1360, 1365, 1370, 1380, 1390, 1410, 1450, 1460, 2350, 1470, 1480, 1490, 1500, 1590, 1600, 1610, 1630, 2095,</p>		

NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

	Measure Review # PCS-018-09	Measure Review # PCS-021-09	Measure ID # 0339
	<p>1640, 1650, 1660, 1670, 1680, 1690, 1700, 2330, 2130, 1720, 1730, 1740, 1760, 1780, 1790, 1802, 1804, 1830, 1860</p> <p><del>Pediatric heart surgery is heart surgery on patients &lt;18 years of age to treat congenital or acquired cardiac disease. Congenital heart surgery is heart surgery on patients of any age to treat congenital cardiac disease.</del></p> <p>Our measures apply to both pediatric heart surgery and congenital heart surgery, thus applying to the following operations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>—1. heart surgery on patients less than 18 years of age to treat congenital or acquired cardiac disease</li> <li>—2. heart surgery on patients of any age to treat congenital cardiac disease.</li> </ul>		
<b>Exclusion Details</b>	N/A	Neonates are defined as patients $\leq$ 30 days of age at surgery; premature infants are defined as <37 weeks gestation. See item 8 below for RACHS-1 risk	Exclude patients with MDC 14 (Pregnancy, Childbirth, Puerperium)); patients with transcatheter interventions as single cardiac procedures, performed without bypass but with

**NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM**

	<b>Measure Review # PCS-018-09</b>	<b>Measure Review # PCS-021-09</b>	<b>Measure ID # 0339</b>
		categories.	catheterization; patients with septal defects as single cardiac procedures without bypass; heart transplant; premature infants with PDA closure as only cardiac procedure; age less than 30 days with PDA closure as only cardiac procedure; missing discharge disposition; transferring to another short-term hospital and newborns less than 500 grams.
<b>Data Source</b>	Paper Medical Record, Electronic Clinical Registry, Electronic Clinical Database, Electronic Health/Medical Record	Paper Medical Record, Electronic Clinical Database, Electronic Health/Medical Record, Other	Electronic Claims
<b>Level</b>	Community/Population, Health Plan, Group of clinicians (facility, dept/unit, group), Facility (e.g., hospital, nursing home)	Can be measured at all levels	Facility (e.g., hospital, nursing home)
<b>Setting</b>	Hospital	Hospital	Hospital
	<b>Measure Review # PCS-007-09</b>	<b>Measure Review # PCS-008-09</b>	<b>Measure ID # 0340</b>
<b>Title</b>	Surgical volume Volume for pediatricPediatric and congenital heart surgeryCongenital Heart Surgery	Surgical volume Volume for pediatricPediatric and congenital heart surgery, stratifiedCongenital Heart Surgery, Stratified by the fiveFive STS-EACTS Mortality Levels	Pediatric heart surgery volumeHeart Surgery Volume (PDI.7)

DRAFT

DRAFT

DRAFT

## NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

	Measure Review # PCS-007-09	Measure Review # PCS-008-09	Measure ID # 0340
<b>Status</b>	Under Review – 9/18/2009	Under Review – 9/21/2009	Endorsed 5/15/2008
<b>Steward</b>	Society of Thoracic Surgeons	Society of Thoracic Surgeons	Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality
<b>Description</b>	Surgical volume for pediatric and congenital heart surgery. Volume for pediatric and congenital heart surgery. Congenital Heart Surgery	Surgical volume for pediatric and congenital heart surgery stratified by the five STS-EACTS Mortality Levels, a multi-institutional validated complexity stratification tool.	Raw volume compared to annual thresholds (100 procedures.)
<b>Numerator</b>	Number of pediatric and congenital heart surgery operations.	Number of pediatric and congenital cardiac surgery operations (types “CPB” and “No CPB Cardiovascular”) in each of the strata of complexity specified by the five STS-EACTS Mortality Levels, a multi-institutional validated complexity stratification tool.	Discharges, age under 18 years, with an <i>International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM)</i> code for either congenital heart disease (1P) in any field or non-specific heart surgery (2P) in any field with ICD-9-CM diagnosis of congenital heart disease (2D) in any field.
<b>Denominator</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Exclusions</b>	Measure Exclusions: Any operation that is not a pediatric or congenital cardiac operation. Cardiac Operation. Cardiac operations are defined as operations that are of operation types of “CPB” or “No CPB Cardiovascular”. (CPB is cardiopulmonary bypass.) [1]	Any operation that is not a pediatric or congenital cardiac operation. Cardiac Operation. Cardiac operations are defined as operations that are of operation types of “CPB” or “No CPB Cardiovascular”. (CPB is cardiopulmonary bypass.) [1]	Exclude patients with MDC 14 (Pregnancy, Childbirth, Puerperium); patients with transcatheter interventions as single cardiac procedures, performed without bypass but with catheterization; patients with septal defects as single cardiac procedures without bypass.

NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

	Measure Review # PCS-007-09	Measure Review # PCS-008-09	Measure ID # 0340
		Any operation that is a pediatric or congenital open heart surgery (operation types of “CPB” or “No CPB Cardiovascular”) that cannot be classified into a level of complexity by the five STS-EACTS Mortality Levels.	
<b>Methods &amp; Risk-Adjustment</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Numerator Details</b>	<p>Cardiac operations are defined as operations that are of operation types “CPB” or “No CPB Cardiovascular.” (CPB is cardiopulmonary bypass.) [1]</p> <p>The following are STS procedure codes for pediatric and congenital cardiac operations per the STS Congenital Heart Surgery Database Version 3.0 Data Specifications. Analysis should include any index operation performed with any of the following component procedures on a patient with pediatric and/or congenital cardiac disease:</p>	<p>There are currently three validated systems of Complexity Stratification in use to categorize operations for pediatric and congenital heart disease on the basis of complexity. Each of these is used in some registry databases, and data is currently stratified using each of the three systems in the most recent outcome reports of the Society of Thoracic Surgery Congenital Heart Surgery database. The three systems are: 1). the RACHS-1 (Risk Adjustment in Congenital Heart Surgery) System with 5 functional levels; 2). The Aristotle Basic Complexity Score with 4 levels; and 3). STS-EACTS Mortality Levels (5 levels).</p> <p>As demonstrated in the following publication (STS</p>	N/A

**NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM**

	Measure Review # <b>PCS-007-09</b>	Measure Review # <b>PCS-008-09</b>	Measure ID # <b>0340</b>
	<p>10, 20, 30, 40, 2110, 50, 60, 70, 80, 85, 100, 110, 120, 130, 140, 150, 170, 180, 190, 2300, 2250, 2230, 210, 220, 230, 240, 2290, 250, 2220, 260, 270, 2120, 280, 2200, 290, 300, 310, 330, 340, 350, 360, 370, 380, 390, 400, 420, 430, 440, 450, 460, 2280, 465, 470, 480, 490, 500, 510, 520, 530, 540, 550, 570, 590, 2270, 600, 630, 640, 650, 610, 620, 1774, 1772, 580, 660, 2240, 2310, 2320, 670, 680, 690, 700, 715, 720, 730, 735, 740, 750, 760, 770, 780, 2100, 790, 800, 810, 820, 830, 2260, 840, 850, 860, 870, 880, 2160, 2170, 2180, 2140, 2150, 890, 900, 910, 920, 930, 940, 950, 960, 970, 980, 1000, 1010, 1025, 1030, 2340, 1035, 1050, 1060, 1070, 1080, 1090, 1110, 1120, 1123, 1125, 1130, 1140, 1145, 1150, 1160, 2190, 2210, 1180, 1200, 1210, 1220, 1230, 1240, 1250, 1260, 1275, 1280, 1285, 1290, 1291, 1300, 1310, 1320, 1330, 1340, 1360, 1365, 1370, 1380, 1390, 1410, 1450, 1460, 2350, 1470, 1480, 1490, 1500, 1590, 1600, 1610, 1630, 2095, 1640, 1650, 1660, 1670, 1680, 1690, 1700, 2330, 2130, 1720, 1730, 1740, 1760, 1780, 1790, 1802, 1804, 1830, 1860</p>	<p>Attachment 1 (of 2) — O'Brien — O'Brien et al., JTCVS, Nov 2009), the five STS-EACTS Mortality Levels constitute an objective and empirically based tool for complexity stratification. In addition, it represents an improvement over existing consensus-based tools.</p> <p>Numerator definition: The number of patients who undergo pediatric and congenital cardiac operation. Congenital cardiac operations are defined as operations that are of operation types of “CPB” or “No CPB Cardiovascular”. (CPB is cardiopulmonary bypass.) [1]</p> <p>] Numerator definition: The number of index cardiac operations in each level of complexity stratification using the five STS-EACTS Mortality Levels, a multi-institutional validated complexity stratification tool.</p> <p>The following are STS procedure codes for pediatric and congenital cardiac operations per the STS</p>	



### NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

	<b>Measure Review # PCS-007-09</b>	<b>Measure Review # PCS-008-09</b>	<b>Measure ID # 0340</b>
	<p>Pediatric heart surgery is heart surgery on patients &lt;18 years of age to treat congenital or acquired cardiac disease. Congenital heart surgery is heart surgery on patients of any age to treat congenital cardiac disease.</p> <p>Our measures apply to both pediatric heart surgery and congenital heart surgery, thus applying to the following operations:</p> <p>—1. heart surgery on patients less than 18 years of age to treat congenital or acquired cardiac disease</p> <p>—2. heart surgery on patients of any age to treat congenital cardiac disease.</p>	<p>Congenital Heart Surgery Database Version 3.0 Data Specifications. Analysis should include any index operation performed with any of the following component procedures on a patient with pediatric and/or congenital cardiac disease:</p> <p>10, 20, 30, 40, 2110, 50, 60, 70, 80, 85, 100, 110, 120, 130, 140, 150, 170, 180, 190, 2300, 2250, 2230, 210, 220, 230, 240, 2290, 250, 2220, 260, 270, 2120, 280, 2200, 290, 300, 310, 330, 340, 350, 360, 370, 380, 390, 400, 420, 430, 440, 450, 460, 2280, 465, 470, 480, 490, 500, 510, 520, 530, 540, 550, 570, 590, 2270, 600, 630, 640, 650, 610, 620, 1774, 1772, 580, 660, 2240, 2310, 2320, 670, 680, 690, 700, 715, 720, 730, 735, 740, 750, 760, 770, 780, 2100, 790, 800, 810, 820, 830, 2260, 840, 850, 860, 870, 880, 2160, 2170, 2180, 2140, 2150, 890, 900, 910, 920, 930, 940, 950, 960, 970, 980, 1000, 1010, 1025, 1030, 2340, 1035, 1050, 1060, 1070, 1080, 1090, 1110, 1120, 1123, 1125, 1130, 1140, 1145, 1150, 1160, 2190, 2210, 1180, 1200, 1210, 1220, 1230, 1240, 1250, 1260, 1275, 1280, 1285, 1290, 1291, 1300, 1310, 1320, 1330, 1340, 1360, 1365, 1370, 1380, 1390, 1410, 1450, 1460, 2350,</p>	

**NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM**

	<b>Measure Review # PCS-007-09</b>	<b>Measure Review # PCS-008-09</b>	<b>Measure ID # 0340</b>
		<p>1470, 1480, 1490, 1500, 1590, 1600, 1610, 1630, 2095, 1640, 1650, 1660, 1670, 1680, 1690, 1700, 2330, 2130, 1720, 1730, 1740, 1760, 1780, 1790, 1802, 1804, 1830, 1860</p> <p>Pediatric heart surgery is heart surgery on patients &lt;18 years of age to treat congenital or acquired cardiac disease. Congenital heart surgery is heart surgery on patients of any age to treat congenital cardiac disease.</p> <p>Our measures apply to both pediatric heart surgery and congenital heart surgery, thus applying to the following operations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>—1. heart surgery on patients less than 18 years of age to treat congenital or acquired cardiac disease</li> <li>—2. heart surgery on patients of any age to treat congenital cardiac disease.</li> </ul>	
<b>Denominator Details</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A

## NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

	Measure Review # PCS-007-09	Measure Review # PCS-008-09	Measure ID # 0340
<b>Exclusion Details</b>	N/A	N/A	Exclude patients with MDC-14 (Pregnancy, Childbirth, Puerperium)); patients with transcatheter interventions as single cardiac procedures, performed without bypass but with catheterization; patients with septal defects as single cardiac procedures without bypass.
<b>Data Source</b>	Paper Medical Record, Electronic Claims, Electronic Clinical Registry, Electronic Clinical Database, Electronic Health/Medical Record	Paper Medical Record, Electronic Claims, Electronic Clinical Registry, Electronic Clinical Database, Electronic Health/Medical Record	Electronic Claims
<b>Level</b>	Community/Population, Health Plan, Group of clinicians (facility, dept/unit, group), Facility (e.g., hospital, nursing home), Integrated delivery system	Health Plan, Group of clinicians (facility, dept/unit, group), Facility (e.g., hospital, nursing home), Integrated delivery system	Facility (e.g., hospital, nursing home)
<b>Setting</b>	Hospital	Hospital	Hospital