

http://www.qualityforum.org

Person-Centered Planning and Practice

Web Meeting 7

February 3, 2020

Welcome



Housekeeping

- Google Chrome is the preferred web browser.
- To dial in, call 1-800-768-2983
 - When prompted for the access code dial 5148141
 - If not speaking, please mute your line *6 and *7 to unmute



NQF Project Team

- Samuel Stolpe, PharmD, MPH, Senior Director
- Kate Buchanan, MPH, Senior Project Manager
- Yvonne Kalumo-Banda, MSc, Project Manager
- Teja Vemuganti, MPH, Project Analyst



Person-Centered Committee

- Gretchen Napier MSHA, CMC Co-chair
- Cheryl Phillips, MD, AGSF Co-chair

Members

- Glenda Armstrong, BSN, RN
- Pearl Barnett, MPA
- Sally Burton-Hoyle, MS, EdD
- Amber Carey-Navarrete
- Bruce Chernof, MD
- Bevin Croft, MPP, PhD
- Amber Decker, FPA
- Gail Fanjoy, MS
- Susan Fegen, LVN, PCTCMT, PCTCT
- Sara Link, MS
- Joseph Macbeth
- Denise Myler
- Melissa Nelson
- Patricia Nobbie, PhD
- Kate Norby

- Ann O'Hare, MD, MA
- Leolinda Parlin, BA
- Richard Petty, MBA
- Mia Phifer, MSJ
- Michael Smull
- Dori Tempio, MS
- Janis Tondora, PsyD
- Maggie Winston

Liaisons

- Daniel Fisher, MD, PhD
- Mathew McCollough
- Pam Montana, MSPM
- Penny Shaw, PhD

Meeting Objectives



Meeting Objectives

- Finalize the draft measurement framework
- Solicit Committee input on the person-centered planning research agenda

Draft Measurement Framework



Framework for Measure Development

A measure framework is a way of structuring measures within a conceptual model of a system

- Includes measures to influence desirable structures, processes, and outcomes
- Focuses on the strategies, goals and objectives that lead to improvement



Framework Domains for Person-Centered Planning

- Person-Centered Plan Measures
- PCP Facilitator Measures
 - Includes the person-centered planning process
- System Level Measures



Person-Centered Plan Measures

Plan Creating and Implementation

- Preplanning implemented to ensure individuals prepared to make plan
- Documentation of a plan
- Updating of plan
- Plan assessment during care transitions
- Lookback measure: what was the last year like and have the goals changed

Plan Content

- Plan reviews the goals of the person
- Barriers to goals were identified and addressed
- Goals include a diversity of desired outcomes rather than reflecting a fixed set of goals dictated by the facilitator
- Plan is written in person's own words



Person-Centered Plan Measures

Person-reported outcome-based performance measure (PRO-PMs)

- Goal attainment
- Person feels that they know what their rights are
- Person able to access their own plan
- Person's assessment of planning experience
 - Perception of leadership role, empowerment
 - Perception of informed decision making
 - Focus on strengths
 - Addressed goals and preferences, things important to person
 - Correct people were in the room
 - Overall satisfaction with the facilitator



PCP Facilitator Measures

Competency measurement

- PCP training completion
- Knowledge of PCP principles
- Measurement of competencies
 - Measure of knowledge
 - » Resource knowledge
 - » Policy and regulations, e.g., knowledge of HIPAA and HITECH
 - » Knowledge of non-medical transportation
 - » Knowledge of disabilities and health conditions with persons they are working with
 - Measure of skill
 - » Cultural competency
 - » Person-centeredness training
 - » Facilitator as advocate for the person



PCP Facilitator Measures

Communication metrics

- Receiving language services
- Screening for preferred spoken language
- Hearing and other communication tools available and utilized

Plan production and updating

- Timely assessment and update
- Timely sharing and review
- Was the plan produced within the timeframe required
- Tracking what happens after a plan is in place



System Level Measures

- Structural measures
 - Training programs in place
 - Ratio of PCP facilitators to participants
 - Resource allocation
- Process measures
 - Person-centered planning completeness rate
 - Staff training completeness rate/percentage of trained staff
 - PCP quality improvement participation
- Outcome measures
 - Barriers were addressed
 - Person reported outcomes measures
 - » Quality of life
 - » Experience



Discussion

What further considerations does the Committee have for measures to include in the measure framework?

Person-Centered Planning Research Agenda



Environmental Scan Goals

A scan of existing person-centered quality and efficiency measures will inform several objectives of the project:

- Framework of PCP measure development
- Research agenda for PCP
- Identification of gaps in quality and efficiency measures available
- Identification of priorities to advance or address measurement gaps



Environmental Scan Results

- PubMed search of "Person Centered Planning" returned 39 results.
- Recent literature is limited
 - Many studies conducted in late 1990s and early 2000s
 - Limitations in funding and study design
 - Many are IDD specific
- Key research areas identified
 - Effectiveness/preferences identified/assessing goal attainment
 - Training impact
 - Comparison or compatibility with other programs
 - Barriers to adoption
 - Impact of PCP on treatment adherence



Research Domains Identified

- Effectiveness of PCP
- Person's Perceptions of PCP
- Facilitation Improvement
- Program Improvement
- Population-Specific Research
- Others



Importance of a Research Agenda

- Research agenda: a plan and a focus on issues and ideas in a subset of the research field of interest.
 - Not sufficient resources to study everything
 - The agenda determines a plan of prioritization
- Research agendas are not static; they are dynamic
 As understanding grows, priorities will naturally shift
- Types of studies to consider
 - Hypothesis generating vs. hypothesis testing (low cost/high cost)
 - Qualitative vs. quantitative



Defining Priorities in PCP Research

- Effectiveness of PCP
 - Outcomes
 - Comparative effectiveness
 - » Different PCP approaches
 - » Other approaches (e.g., interdisciplinary service planning)
 - Goal attainment
 - Impact on mental health
 - Specific program evaluation
 - Cost effectiveness
- Person's Perceptions of PCP
 - Actual "Person Centeredness"
 - Critical elements to person satisfaction with PCP process
 - Perceptions of goal attainment and QOL



Defining Priorities in PCP Research

- Facilitation Improvement
 - Process improvement
 - Training effectiveness
 - Facilitation preferences in PCP
 - Overcoming barriers to individual person-level goals
- Program Improvement
 - Overcoming barriers to PCP program implementation
 - Cost effectiveness and resource utilization in PCP
 - Strategies to encourage participation
 - Cultural considerations within PCP
 - Comparative effectiveness of service delivery models



Defining Priorities in PCP Research

- Population Specific Research
 - IDD
 - Elderly
 - Culture
 - Disability
 - Etc.
- Others
 - Impact of PCP related policy changes
 - Effective PCP in care transitions



Discussion

What other research domains are missing?

What other research ideas/projects does the Committee consider to be of highest priority?

Public Comment

Next Steps



Project Timeline



May 2019

July 2020



Committee Web Meeting Schedule

Timing	Web Meeting	Description
June 1, 2020 1:00 pm – 3:30 pm ET	Web Meeting 8	Review public comments received on the draft comprehensive report.



Next Steps

- Final draft report will be posted for a 30-day public commenting period from April 8 to May 8, 2020.
- Final draft report will include:
 - Definition of PCP; set of core competencies of people performing PCP facilitation; recommendations to HHS for systems characteristics that support person-centered thinking, planning, and practice; framework for PCP measure development; research agenda for PCP; and environmental scan.



Contacts

- Project email: <u>pcplanning@qualityforum.org</u>
- Phone: 202-783-1300
- Project page: <u>http://www.qualityforum.org/Person_Centered_Planning_and_Pract_ice.aspx</u>
- SharePoint:

http://share.qualityforum.org/Projects/PersonCenteredPlanningand Practice/SitePages/Home.aspx

Project alert subscription: <u>http://nqf.informz.net/NQF/profile.asp?fid=2509</u>

THANK YOU.

NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

http://www.qualityforum.org