

Memo

July 28, 2020

To: Consensus Standards Approval Committee (CSAC)

From: Prevention and Population Health Project Team

Re: Prevention and Population Health Fall 2019, Track 1 Measures

COVID-19 Updates

With the recent COVID-19 global pandemic, many organizations needed to focus their attention on the public health emergency. To provide greater flexibility for stakeholders and continue the important work in quality measurement, the National Quality Forum (NQF) extended commenting periods and adjusted measure endorsement timelines for the Fall 2019 cycle.

Commenting periods for all measures evaluated in the Fall 2019 cycle were extended from 30 days to 60 days. Based on the comments received during this 60-day extended commenting period, measures entered one of two tracks:

Track 1: Measures Continuing in Fall 2019 Cycle

Measures that did not receive public comments or only received comments in support of the Standing Committees' recommendations will be reviewed by the CSAC on July 28–29.

Exceptions

Exceptions were granted to measures if non-supportive comments received during the extended post-comment period were similar to those received during the pre-evaluation meeting period and were already adjudicated by the respective Standing Committees during the measure evaluation Fall 2019 meetings.

Track 2: Measures Deferred to Spring 2020 Cycle

Fall 2019 measures requiring further action or discussion from a Standing Committee were deferred to the Spring 2020 cycle. This includes measures where consensus was not reached or those that require a response to member and public comments. Measures undergoing maintenance review retain endorsement during this time. Track 2 measures will be reviewed during the CSAC's meeting in November 2020.

During the CSAC meeting on July 28-29, the CSAC will review Fall 2019 measures assigned to Track 1. Evaluation summaries for measures in track 1 have been described in this memo and related Prevention and Population Health draft report. A list of measures assigned to Track 2 can be found in the Executive Summary section of the Prevention and Population Health draft report for tracking purposes and will be described further in a subsequent report. Measures in track 2 will be reviewed by the CSAC on November 17-18, 2020.

CSAC Action Required

The CSAC will review recommendations from the Prevention and Population Health, Track 1 project at its July 28–29, 2020 meeting and vote on whether to uphold the recommendations from the Committee.

http://www.qualityforum.org

This memo includes a summary of the project, measure recommendations, themes identified and responses to the member and public comments and the results from the NQF member expression of support. The following documents accompany this memo:

- Prevention and Population Health Fall 2019, Track 1 Draft Report. The draft report includes measure evaluation details on all measures that followed Track 1. Measures that followed Track 2 will be reviewed during the CSAC's meeting in November. The complete draft report and supplemental materials are available on the project webpage.
- Comment Table. This table lists comments received during the post-meeting comment period.
 Two of these comments relates to Track 1 measures and the other comments in the table relate
 to Track 2 measures.

Background

Performance measures are needed to assess improvements in population health, as well as the extent to which healthcare stakeholders are using evidence-based strategies (e.g., prevention programs, screening, and community needs assessments). To support this effort, NQF endorses and maintains performance measures related to prevention and population health through a multistakeholder Consensus Development Process (CDP). The purpose of this project was to review prevention and population health measures submitted for endorsement or undergoing endorsement maintenance during the Fall 2019 cycle.

For this project, the <u>Prevention and Population Health Standing Committee</u> evaluated two newly submitted measures, and one measure undergoing maintenance review against NQF's standard evaluation criteria.

Draft Report

The Prevention and Population Health Fall 2019, Track 1 draft report presents the results of the evaluation of two measures considered under the CDP.

The measures were evaluated against the 2019 version of the measure evaluation criteria.

	Maintenance	New	Total
Measures under consideration	1	1	2
Measures recommended for endorsement	1	1	2

CSAC Action Required

Pursuant to the CDP, the CSAC is asked to consider endorsement of two candidate consensus measures.

Measures Recommended for Endorsement

• <u>0658 Appropriate Follow-Up Interval for Normal Colonoscopy in Average Risk Patients</u> (American Gastroenterological Association)

Overall Suitability for Endorsement: Yes-15; No-3

• 3484 Prenatal Immunization Status (National Committee for Quality Assurance)

Overall Suitability for Endorsement: Yes-21; No-0

Comments and Their Disposition

NQF received two comments from two organizations and individuals pertaining to the draft report and to Track 1 measures under consideration.

A table of comments submitted during the comment period, with the NQF responses to each comment, is posted to the Prevention and Population Health <u>project webpage</u>.

Member Expression of Support

Throughout the 16-week continuous public commenting period, NQF members had the opportunity to express their support ('support' or 'do not support') for each measure submitted for endorsement consideration to inform the Committee's recommendations. Two NQF members provided their expression of support. Appendix C details the expression of support.

Removal of NQF Endorsement

Two measures previously endorsed by NQF have not been re-submitted, and endorsement has been removed.

Measure	Measure Description	Reason for Removal of Endorsement
0275: Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) or Asthma in Older Adults Admission Rate (PQI 05)	Admissions with a principal diagnosis of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) or asthma per 100,000 population, ages 40 years and older. Excludes obstetric admissions and transfers from other institutions.	Measure Steward (AHRQ) chose to withdraw measure for consideration
0283: Asthma in Younger Adults Admission Rate (PQI 15)	Admissions for a principal diagnosis of asthma per 100,000 population, ages 18 to 39 years. Excludes admissions with an indication of cystic fibrosis or anomalies of the respiratory system, obstetric admissions, and transfers from other institutions.	Measure Steward (AHRQ) chose to withdraw measure for consideration

Appendix A: CSAC Checklist

The table below lists the key considerations to inform the CSAC's review of the measures submitted for endorsement consideration.

Key Consideration	Yes/No	Notes
Were there any process concerns raised during the CDP project? If so, briefly explain.	No	
Did the Standing Committee receive requests for reconsideration? If so, briefly explain.	No	
Did the Standing Committee overturn any of the Scientific Methods Panel's ratings of Scientific Acceptability? If so, state the measure and why the measure was overturned.	No	
If a recommended measure is a related and/or competing measure, was a rationale provided for the Standing Committee's recommendation? If not, briefly explain.	N/A	
Were any measurement gap areas addressed? If so, identify the areas.	No	
Are there additional concerns that require CSAC discussion? If so, briefly explain.	No	

Appendix B: Measures Not Recommended for Endorsement

Not Applicable

Appendix C: NQF Member Expression of Support Results

Two NQF members provided their expression of support. NQF members provided their expression of support for one measure under consideration. Results for each measure are provided below.

3484 Prenatal Immunization Status (NCQA)

Member Council	Support	Do Not Support	Total
Consumer	0	0	0
Health Plan	0	0	0
Health Professional	0	0	0
Provider Organization	0	0	0
Public/Community Health Agency	2	0	2
Purchaser	0	0	0
QMRI	0	0	0
Supplier/Industry	0	0	0

Appendix D: Details of Measure Evaluation

Measures Recommended

0658 Appropriate Follow-Up Interval for Normal Colonoscopy in Average Risk Patients

<u>Submission</u> | <u>Specifications</u>

Description: Percentage of patients aged 50 years to 75 years receiving a screening colonoscopy without biopsy or polypectomy who had a recommended follow-up interval of at least 10 years for repeat colonoscopy documented in their colonoscopy report.

Numerator Statement: Patients who had a recommended follow-up interval of at least 10 years for repeat colonoscopy documented in their colonoscopy report

Denominator Statement: All patients aged 50 years to 75 years and receiving screening a screening colonoscopy without biopsy or polypectomy

Exclusions: Documentation of medical reason(s) for not recommending at least a 10 year follow-up interval (e.g., inadequate prep,familial or personal history of colonic polyps, patient had no adenoma and age is >= 66 years old, or life expectancy <10 years, other medical reasons)

Adjustment/Stratification: No risk adjustment or risk stratification

Level of Analysis: Clinician: Individual **Setting of Care:** Outpatient Services

Type of Measure: Process

Data Source: Claims, Electronic Health Data, Electronic Health Records, Other, Registry Data

Measure Steward: American Gastroenterological Association

STANDING COMMITTEE MEETING 02/18/2020

1. Importance to Measure and Report: The measure meets the Importance criteria

(1a. Evidence, 1b. Performance Gap)

1a. Evidence: M-15; L-0; I-3; 1b. Performance Gap: H-2; M-11; L-2; I-0

Rationale:

- The measure captures the percentage of patients aged 50 to 75 years receiving a screening colonoscopy without biopsy or polypectomy who had a recommended follow-up interval of at least 10 years for repeat colonoscopy documented in their colonoscopy report.
- The developer updated the evidence since its last review in 2013. The developer stated the 2016 U.S. Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) guideline recommending screening for colorectal cancer starting at age 50 years and continuing until age 75 years indicated is grade A.
- The developer also cited 2017 USMSTF guidance recommending colonoscopy every 10 years as a tier-1 recommendation, which is a strong recommendation with moderate quality of evidence. The Committee noted that the USMSTF recommendation is very strong.
- The Committee stated it would be ideal if there were direct evidence for the correlation between the colonoscopy follow-up recommendation and the 10-year timing of the follow-up, but acknowledged the feasibility and time interval are prohibitive barriers to assessing this.
- The developer reported the literature and performance data (2016, 2017, 2018) have identified variation in performance for the recommended time interval between colonoscopies for patients with a normal colonoscopy. Mean performance was 85.12%, 85.63%, 85.43%; range was 0-100%.
- The Committee expressed concern that the mean performance score from the CMS data is 100% and so the measure may be topped out. The developer replied that the CMS data set is self-selected and likely comprises high performers, whereas the other data set, GIGuIC, a qualified clinician data registry, has a broader set of reporters and is likely more reflective of what is happening in the field, generally.
- The Committee noted that the developer should consider whether the measure is topped out during the measure's next maintenance review cycle. Further, the Committee recommended that, during the next maintenance review, the developer provide disparities data or a review of the literature.

2. Scientific Acceptability of Measure Properties: The measure meets the Scientific Acceptability criteria

(2a. Reliability - precise specifications, testing; 2b. Validity - testing, threats to validity

2a. Reliability: H-4; M-11; L-2; I-0; 2b. Validity: H-4; M-12; L-2; I-0

Rationale:

- The developer used a beta-binomial methodology, measuring the ratio of signal to noise at the physician level.
- The developer stated that, overall, the data suggest that, for physicians with an average or greater number of events (10), the measure has high reliability.
- The developer reported a reliability statistic of 0.90 for the CMS data set; 237 physicians had all the required data elements and met the minimum number of quality reporting events (10).
- The developer reported a reliability statistic of 0.94 for the GIQuIC data set; 2,666 physicians had all the required data elements and met the minimum number of quality reporting events.
- The Committee noted that the developer conducted a beta-binomial analysis of both reported data sets and achieved a reliability score of 0.9, which is high.
- Some members of the Committee expressed concern that this high reliability score could be the result of selection bias for CMS reporters, and that the minimum case count of 10 does not allow for a sufficient reliability score. The developer responded that the average number of cases for the CMS data was 23 and for GiGuIC was 83.
- The developer conducted construct validity, using colorectal cancer screening (PQRS #113) for
 correlation analysis due to the similarities in patient population and domain. The developer
 hypothesized a positive association between patients receiving a screening colonoscopy (PQRS #113)
 and those who had documentation of appropriate recommended follow-up interval of at least 10 years
 for repeat colonoscopy (this measure).
- The developer could only provide correlation analysis for the CMS data set (237 physicians). For this analysis, the coefficient was 0.20 and p-value = 0.007. The developer stated this result is a moderate positive correlation.

3. Feasibility: H-8; M-10; L-0; I-0

(3a. Clinical data generated during care delivery; 3b. Electronic sources; 3c. Susceptibility to inaccuracies/unintended consequences identified; 3d. Data collection strategy can be implemented)

Rationale:

- Measure is reported via claims and registry data, which increases measure reporting feasibility.
- Data can be collected electronically via endowriter, an automated endoscopy record system (not an EHR/EMR), or manually via a web portal.

4. Use and Usability

4a. Use; 4a1. Accountability and transparency; 4a2. Feedback on the measure by those being measured and others; 4b. Usability; 4b1. Improvement; 4b2. The benefits to patients outweigh evidence of unintended negative consequences to patients)

4a. Use: Pass-16; No Pass-1 4b. Usability: H-7; M-10; L-1; I-0

Rationale:

- The measure is currently used in professional certification programs, payment programs, and for public reporting.
- The measure has been implemented in the Quality Payment Program (QPP) as an individual measure for claims and registry reporting where feedback is provided via CMS Quality and Resource Use Reports (QRURs).
- The measure also is implemented in multiple Qualified Clinical Data Registry (QCDRs), where feedback is required quarterly.
- The measure also is reported in GIQuIC procedure-focused benchmarking registry.

5. Related and Competing Measures

- This measure is related to NQF 0572: Follow-up after Initial Diagnosis and Treatment of Colorectal Cancer: colonoscopy that captures all eligible members who have been newly diagnosed and resected with colorectal cancer receive a follow-up colonoscopy within 15 months of resection, with its focus on the same clinical area of care.
- The measure does not compete with any measures.

6. Standing Committee Recommendation for Endorsement: Y-15; N-3

7. Public and Member Comment

No comments received

8. Consensus Standards Approval Committee (CSAC) Vote: Y-X; N-X

9. Appeals

3484 Prenatal Immunization Status

<u>Submission</u> | <u>Specifications</u>

Description: Percentage of deliveries in the measurement period in which women received influenza and tetanus, diphtheria toxoids and acellular pertussis (Tdap) vaccinations.

Numerator Statement: Deliveries in which women received influenza and tetanus, diphtheria toxoids and acellular pertussis (Tdap) vaccinations.

Denominator Statement: Deliveries that occurred during the measurement period.

Exclusions: Deliveries that occurred at less than 37 weeks gestation.

Deliveries in which women were in hospice during the measurement period.

Adjustment/Stratification: No risk adjustment or risk stratification

Level of Analysis: Health Plan
Setting of Care: Outpatient Services
Type of Measure: Composite

Data Source: Claims, Electronic Health Data, Electronic Health Records, Enrollment Data, Management Data,

Other, Registry Data

Measure Steward: National Committee for Quality Assurance

STANDING COMMITTEE MEETING 02/18/2020 and 02/20/2020

1. Importance to Measure and Report: The measure meets the Importance criteria

(1a. Evidence, 1b. Performance Gap, 1c. Composite – Quality Construct)

1a. Evidence: H-8; M-8; L-1; I-0; 1b. Performance Gap: H-9; M-9; L-0; 1c. Composite — Quality Construct: H-6; M-10; L-1; I-0

Rationale:

- This new composite measure assesses the percentage of deliveries in the measurement period in which women received two vaccinations: influenza; and tetanus, diphtheria toxoids, and acellular pertussis (Tdap) vaccinations.
- The developer cited guidelines for each of the prenatal vaccines that are included in the measure and the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) recommendations.
- The developer cited data extracted from 2018 HEDIS data collection reflecting the most recent year of measurement.
- Data are stratified by product line (i.e., commercial, Medicaid and Medicare). The mean performance was 33.1% for commercial, 16.7% for Medicaid, and 23.8% for Medicare.
- The Committee agreed that the evidence provided supported the measure, and that there is evidence of a performance gap among health plans.
- The Committee requested that the developer provide data on racial, ethnic, and SES disparities in performance during the measure's next review cycle.

2. Scientific Acceptability of Measure Properties: The measure meets the Scientific Acceptability criteria

(2a. Reliability - precise specifications, testing; 2b. Validity - testing, threats to validity, 2c. Composite construction)

- 2a. Reliability: Yes-17; No-1 2b. Validity: Yes-18; No-1 2c. Composite Construction: H-4; M-14; L-2; I-0
 - Because it is a composite, this measure was deemed as complex and was evaluated by the NQF SMP.
 - The Standing Committee voted to accept the SMP's ratings for Reliability and Validity.
 - The SMP votes for Reliability: **H-4; M-1; L-0; I-1**, for an overall rating of High.
 - The SMP votes for Validity: **H-2**; **M-3**; **L-1**; **I-0**, for an overall rating of Moderate.

Rationale:

• The measure was reviewed by the SMP. The SMP did not have concerns with the methodology for score-level reliability testing or the results, but two SMP members expressed concern related to the

lack of clarity in defining the continuous enrollment requirement/previous vaccination, and that the developer selected integrated delivery system as a level of analysis but did not provide testing information for this.

- The Committee supported the SMP's rating of high for Reliability.
- Face validity was reported; several SMP members noted deficiencies in the description and/or that it does not match NQF's criteria for face validity assessment.
- Empirical validity testing at the score level was conducted. The developer used construct validity and
 calculated the Pearson correlation coefficient with each vaccine to the other and of the composite as
 compared to other HEDIS vaccination measures. SMP members overall felt the construct validity
 results demonstrated moderate to high correlation. A few SMP members noted that validating a
 measure with itself is not a strong approach.
- Most SMP members did not have concerns about the exclusions, but one member noted that the
 developer did not provide an analysis related to the hospice exclusion, only the deliveries <37 weeks
 gestation.
- The Standing Committee also raised questions about the hospice exclusion; the developer noted that the hospice exclusion is uniform across all its HEDIS measures.
- The Committee agreed the validity of the measure was theoretically sound, but noted that the recommendations of timing for the influenza and Tdap vaccines differ—the influenza vaccine can be given at any time during pregnancy, while Tdap administration is recommended in the third trimester. Since the measure excludes women who give birth prior to 37 weeks, the Committee stated that this might not be a random subsection of pregnant women, and is an unintended consequence of the composite construction. The developer responded to the Committee's concerns, noting that the measure is intended to hold the reporting entities accountable for the optimal timing of the Tdap vaccine while also providing health plans the full, appropriate window for administering the vaccines.
- SMP members had no concerns related to missing data.
- SMP members had no concerns related to meaningful differences.
- No risk adjustment. One commenter noted that, theoretically, the differences across plans could be
 explained in part by case mix.
- Most SMP members did not have concerns about the composite construct; the Cronbach alpha showed high internal consistency.

3. Feasibility: H-14; M-5; L-1; I-0

(3a. Clinical data generated during care delivery; 3b. Electronic sources; 3c. Susceptibility to inaccuracies/unintended consequences identified; 3d. Data collection strategy can be implemented)

Rationale:

- The Committee agreed that measure reporting is feasible.
- All data elements are in defined fields in a combination of electronic sources; data elements are generated or collected by and used by healthcare personnel during the provision of care (e.g., blood pressure, lab value, diagnosis, depression score).
- Data are coded by someone other than the person obtaining original information (e.g., DRG, ICD-9 codes on claims) and/or abstracted from a record by someone other than person obtaining original information (e.g., chart abstraction for quality measure or registry).

4. Use and Usability

4a. Use; 4a1. Accountability and transparency; 4a2. Feedback on the measure by those being measured and others; 4b. Usability; 4b1. Improvement; 4b2. The benefits to patients outweigh evidence of unintended negative consequences to patients)

4a. Use: Pass-20; No Pass-0 4b. Usability: H-8; M-11; L-0; I-0

Rationale:

- New HEDIS measure in 2018.
- The developer stated that the measure is currently reported by numerous health plans with no identified potential harms.
- The developer also noted that, during a recent public comment posting that was held during the development process, measured entities supported the new measure and found it to be relevant and clearly specified.
- The Committee did not express concerns with the use or usability of the measure.

5. Related and Competing Measures

- This measure is related to the influenza measures within the portfolio:
 - o 0039: Flu Vaccinations for Adults Ages 18 and Older
 - o 0041: Preventive Care and Screening: Influenza Immunization
 - o 0431: Influenza Vaccination Coverage Among Healthcare Personnel
 - 0680: Percent of Residents Who Were Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Short Stay)
 - 0681: Percent of Residents Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Long Stay)
 - o 1659: Influenza Immunization
- The measure does not compete with any measures in the portfolio.

6. Standing Committee Recommendation for Endorsement: Y-21; N-0

7. Public and Member Comment

- American Immunization Registry Association (AIRA) provided a comment strongly supporting the
 endorsement of the Prenatal Immunization Status (PRS) measure. They emphasized the importance
 of public health Immunization Information Systems (IIS) to contribute to more complete, quality
 vaccination data among adults to inform efforts to improve vaccine uptake, access and delivery.
- American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) provided a comment in support of the Prenatal Immunization Status measure mentioning that the measure encourages the meeting of all nationally accepted immunization guidelines
- 8. Consensus Standards Approval Committee (CSAC) Vote: Y-X; N-X

9. Appeals



Prevention and Population Health Fall 2019 Review Cycle

CSAC Review and Endorsement

July 28–29, 2020



Standing Committee Recommendations

- Three measures reviewed for Fall 2019
 - No measures were reviewed by the Scientific Methods Panel
- Two measures recommended for endorsement
 - 0658 Appropriate Follow-Up Interval for Normal Colonoscopy in Average Risk Patients
 - 3484 Prenatal Immunization Status
- One measure was deferred to Spring 2020 due to COVID-19 extended commenting periods
 - 3483 Adult Immunization Status



Public and Member Comment and Member Expressions of Support

- 2 comments received
 - All supportive of measure 3484 under review
- No NQF member expressed support or concern for the measures



Timeline and Next Steps

Process Step	Timeline
CSAC Endorsement Meeting	July 28 - 29, 2020
Appeals Period	August 3 – September 1, 2020



Questions?

- Project team:
 - Nicole Williams, Director
 - Kate Buchanan, Senior Manager
 - Mike DiVecchia, Project Manager
 - Isaac Sakyi, Analyst
 - Robyn Nishimi, Consultant
- Project page: <u>http://www.qualityforum.org/Prevention and Population Health.as</u>
 <u>px</u>
- Email: populationhealth@qualityforum.org

THANK YOU.

NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

http://www.qualityforum.org



Prevention and Population Health, Fall 2019 Cycle, Track 1 Measures: CDP Report

DRAFT REPORT FOR CSAC REVIEW JULY 28 – 29, 2020

This report is funded by the Department of Health and Human Services under contract HHSM-500-2017-00060I Task Order HHSM-500-T0001

http://www.qualityforum.org

Contents

Executive Summary	3
Introduction	4
NQF Portfolio of Performance Measures for Prevention and Population Health Conditions	5
Table 1. NQF Prevention and Population Health Portfolio of Measures	5
Prevention and Population Health Measure Evaluation	5
Table 2. Prevention and Population Health Measure Evaluation Summary – Track 1	5
Comments Received Prior to Committee Evaluation	6
Overarching Issues	7
Summaries of Measure Evaluations: Fall 2019 Measures, Track 1	7
Measures Withdrawn from Consideration	9
Table 3. Measures Withdrawn from Consideration	9
References	10
Appendix A: Details of Measure Evaluation	11
Track 1 – Meassures Recommended	11
0658 Appropriate Follow-Up Interval for Normal Colonoscopy in Average Risk Patients	11
3484 Prenatal Immunization Status	13
Appendix B: Prevention and Population Health Portfolio—Use in Federal Programs	16
Appendix C: Prevention and Population Health Standing Committee and NQF Staff	19
Appendix D: Measure Specifications	22
0658 Appropriate Follow-Up Interval for Normal Colonoscopy in Average Risk Patients	22
3484 Prenatal Immunization Status	24
Appendix E: Related and Competing Measures (narrative format)	27
Appendix F: Pre-Evaluation Comments	80

Executive Summary

Traditionally, medical care has been the primary focus of efforts to improve the health and well-being of individuals and populations. As a result, nearly all national health expenditures have been attributed to healthcare services—yet, medical care has a relatively small influence on health outcomes when compared to interventions that address smoking, lower educational attainment, poverty, poor diet, and physical environmental hazards (e.g., unsafe housing and polluted air). There is growing recognition of the role of social determinants of health (SDOH) in influencing health outcomes. Maintaining and improving the health and well-being of individuals and populations will require a multidisciplinary, multifactorial approach to address SDOH.

Performance measures are needed to assess improvements in population health, as well as the extent to which healthcare stakeholders are using evidence-based strategies (e.g., prevention programs, screening, and community needs assessments). To support this effort, the National Quality Forum (NQF) endorses and maintains performance measures related to prevention and population health through a multistakeholder consensus development process. The purpose of this project was to review prevention and population health measures submitted for endorsement or undergoing endorsement maintenance during the Fall 2019 cycle.

Although this project focused on measure endorsement, NQF's work in population health and prevention includes additional efforts that provide context for and supplement this measure endorsement work, including efforts to reduce disparities in health outcomes and efforts that promote the coordination of care in communities to improve local population health. For example, NQF commissioned a report to identify opportunities to align health improvement activities and measurement across the healthcare and government public health systems. Most recently, NQF developed an action guide that provides practical guidance for communities to make lasting improvements in population health.

NQF's prevention and population health portfolio of measures includes measures for health-related behaviors to promote healthy living; community-level indicators of health and disease; social, economic, and environmental determinants of health; primary prevention and/or screening; and oral health.

Due to circumstances around the COVID-19 global pandemic, commenting periods for all measures evaluated in the Fall 2019 cycle were extended from 30 days to 60 days. Based on the comments received during this 60-day extended commenting period, measures entered into one of two tracks:

Track 1: measures continuing its review in Fall 2019 Cycle:

Recommended for Endorsement:

- NQF 0658 Appropriate Follow-Up Interval for Normal Colonoscopy in Average Risk Patients
- NQF 3484 Prenatal Immunization Status

Track 2: measures deferred to Spring 2020 Cycle:

• NQF 3483 Adult Immunization Status

This report contains details of the evaluation of measures assigned to *Track 1* and are continuing in the Fall 2019 cycle. The detailed evaluation summary of measures assigned to *Track 2* and deferred to the Spring 2020 cycle will be included in a subsequent report. Brief summaries of *Fall 2019 Track 1* measures currently under review are included in the body of the report; detailed summaries of the Committee's discussion and ratings of the criteria for each measure are in <u>Appendix A</u>.

Introduction

The United States continues to lag behind other nations in key population health indicators such as infant mortality, obesity, and life expectancy, despite spending more on healthcare than any other nation in the world.² Population health describes the "health outcomes of a group of individuals, including the distribution of such outcomes within the group." Both medical care and SDOH influence health outcomes. SDOH are known as the conditions in the places where people live, learn, work, and play; specific factors include availability of safe housing and local food markets, access to healthcare services, and culture. Healthy People 2020 highlights the importance of addressing SDOH by including "social and physical environments that promote good health for all" as one of the four overarching goals for the decade.⁴ Nearly 60 percent of deaths in the United States have been attributed to SDOH, 5 yet less than 5 percent of national health expenditures have been attributed to prevention services. Furthermore, healthcare systems are increasingly expanding their roles to collaborate with patients and communities to better address SDOH.

Performance measurement is necessary to assess whether healthcare stakeholders are using strategies to increase prevention and improve population health. Strengthening measurement of prevention and population health will require joint efforts from communities, public health entities, and other nonhealthcare stakeholders (e.g., education, transportation, and employment) that influence health outcomes. A growing body of evidence demonstrates that targeted programs and policies can prevent disease, increase productivity, and yield billions of dollars in savings for the U.S. healthcare system. The United States can reduce the incidence of morbidity and premature mortality by identifying the right measures and implementing evidence-based interventions.

To support this goal, NQF maintains a portfolio of measures endorsed through a multistakeholder consensus development process, and has developed best practices for prevention and population health. NQF's prevention and population health portfolio includes measures that assess the promotion of healthy behaviors, community-level indicators of health, oral health, and primary prevention strategies. For example, NQF has endorsed several measures related to immunizations and preventive health screenings that are widely used in public reporting and accountability programs.

This project seeks to identify and endorse measures that can be used to assess prevention and population health in both healthcare and community settings. It also focuses on the assessment of disparities in health outcomes. The measures reviewed during the Fall 2019 cycle focused on childhood immunizations and well-child visits. These measures promote population health and lower morbidity and cost over an individual's lifetime.

NQF Portfolio of Performance Measures for Prevention and Population Health Conditions

The Prevention and Population Health Standing Committee (<u>Appendix C</u>) oversees the majority of NQF's portfolio of prevention and population health measures (<u>Appendix B</u>), which includes measures for immunization, admission rates, and cancer screening. This portfolio contains 32 measures: 21 process measures and 11 outcome measures (see Table 1 below).

Table 1. NQF Prevention and Population Health Portfolio of Measures

	Process	Outcome
Immunization	8	0
Pediatric Dentistry	4	1
Weight/BMI	1	0
Diabetes	0	4
Admission Rates	0	5
Cancer Screening	4	0
Cardiovascular/Pulmonary	1	1
Well-Child Visits	2	0
Colonoscopy	1	0
Total	21	11

Some measures related to prevention and population health are assigned to other projects. These include various diabetes assessment and screening measures (Behavioral Health project), HIV viral load (Primary Care and Chronic Illness project), Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor/Angiotensin II receptor blockers (ACEI/ARB) medication measures (Cardiovascular project), perinatal immunization and screening (Perinatal and Women's Health project), asthma admission rates (All-Cause Admissions and Readmissions project), and one population-based resource use measure (Cost and Efficiency project).

Prevention and Population Health Measure Evaluation

On February 18 and 20, 2020, the Prevention and Population Health Standing Committee evaluated two new measures and one measure undergoing maintenance review against NQF's <u>standard measure</u> <u>evaluation criteria</u>. Two measures were assigned to *Track 1* and are continuing in the Fall 2019 cycle. One measure was assigned to *Track 2* and deferred to the Spring 2020 cycle will be included in a subsequent report.

Table 2. Prevention and Population Health Measure Evaluation Summary – Track 1

	Maintenance	New	Total
Measures under consideration	1	1	2
Measures recommended for	1	1	2
endorsement			

Comments Received Prior to Committee Evaluation

NQF solicits comments on endorsed measures on an ongoing basis through the <u>Quality Positioning System (QPS)</u>. In addition, NQF solicits comments for a continuous 16-week period during each evaluation cycle via an online tool located on the project webpage. For this evaluation cycle, the commenting period opened on December 11, 2019 and closed on May 28, 2020. No comments were submitted prior to the measure evaluation meeting(s).

Comments Received After Committee Evaluation

With the recent COVID-19 global pandemic, many organizations needed to focus their attention on the public health emergency. To provide greater flexibility for stakeholders and continue the important work in quality measurement, the National Quality Forum (NQF) extended commenting periods and adjusted measure endorsement timelines for the Fall 2019 cycle.

Commenting periods for all measures evaluated in the Fall 2019 cycle were extended from 30 days to 60 days. Based on the comments received during this 60-day extended commenting period, measures entered one of two tracks:

Track 1: Measures Continuing in Fall 2019 Cycle

Measures that did not receive public comments or only received comments in support of the Standing Committees' recommendations will be reviewed by the CSAC on July 28–29.

Exceptions

Exceptions were granted to measures if non-supportive comments received during the extended post-comment period were similar to those received during the pre-evaluation meeting period and were already adjudicated by the respective Standing Committees during the measure evaluation Fall 2019 meetings.

Track 2: Measures Deferred to Spring 2020 Cycle

Fall 2019 measures requiring further action or discussion from a Standing Committee were deferred to the Spring 2020 cycle. This includes measures where consensus was not reached or those that require a response to member and public comments. Measures undergoing maintenance review retain endorsement during this time. Track 2 measures will be reviewed during the CSAC's meeting in November 2020.

During the Fall 2019 CSAC meeting on July 28-29, the Consensus Standards Approval Committee (CSAC) will review all measures assigned to Track 1. A list of measures assigned to Track 2 can be found in the <u>Executive Summary section</u> of this report for tracking purposes, but these measures will be reviewed by CSAC on November 17 and 18, 2020.

The extended public commenting period with NQF member support closed on May 28, 2020. Following the Committee's evaluation of the measures under consideration, NQF received two comments from two organizations and individuals pertaining to the draft report and to the measures under consideration. All comments for each measure under consideration have been summarized in <a href="Appendix Appendix A

Throughout the extended public commenting period, NQF members had the opportunity to express their support ('support' or 'do not support') for each measure submitted for endorsement consideration to inform the Committee's recommendations. Two NQF organizations provided their expression of support.

Overarching Issues

During the Standing Committee's discussion of the measures, several overarching issues emerged that were factored into the Committee's ratings and recommendations for multiple measures, and are not repeated in detail with each individual measure.

Lack of Data on Disparities

The Committee raised the issue of a lack of performance data for measures where there are known disparities. For example, racial and ethnic disparities in obesity, counseling on healthy eating and exercise, and colorectal cancer screening have all been documented in the literature. Yet the colorectal screening and one immunization measure submitted for review did not include recent performance data stratified by race and ethnicity. The Committee suggested that such data be included in future maintenance cycles and, barring that, expected a thorough review of the literature.

Summaries of Measure Evaluations: Fall 2019 Measures, Track 1

The following brief summaries of the two measures evaluated in this cycle highlight the major issues that the Committee considered. Details of the Committee's discussion and ratings of the criteria for each measure are included in Appendix A.

Colonoscopy

0658 Appropriate Follow-Up Interval for Normal Colonoscopy in Average Risk Patients (American Gastroenterological Association): Recommended

Description: Percentage of patients aged 50 to 75 years receiving a screening colonoscopy without biopsy or polypectomy who had a recommended follow-up interval of at least 10 years for repeat colonoscopy documented in their colonoscopy report; **Measure Type**: Process; **Level of Analysis**: Clinician: Individual; **Setting of Care**: Outpatient Services; **Data Source**: Claims, Electronic Health Data, Electronic Health Records, Other, Registry Data

The Standing Committee recommended the measure for continued endorsement. The measure captures the percentage of patients aged 50 to 75 years receiving a screening colonoscopy without biopsy or polypectomy who had a recommended follow-up interval of at least 10 years for repeat colonoscopy documented in their colonoscopy report.

The Committee noted that the measure is a process appropriateness measure that captures documentation. The committee felt it would be ideal if there was direct evidence for the correlation between the colonoscopy follow-up recommendation and the 10-year timing of the follow-up, but acknowledged the feasibility and time interval are prohibitive barriers to assessing this. The Committee also noted that the 2017 U.S. Multi-Society Task Force (USMSTF) recommendation of a colonoscopy every 10 years is a tier-1 recommendation, which is very strong.

The developer reported a mean performance score of 85%, which is an increase from the previous review, but noted there is still room for improvement. The Committee expressed concern, however, that the mean performance score from the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid (CMS) data is 100%, and so the measure may be topped out. The developer replied that the CMS data set is self-selected and likely comprises high performers, whereas the other data set, the GI Quality Improvement Consortium (GIGuIC), a qualified clinician data registry, has a broader set of reporters, and is likely more reflective of what is happening in the field, generally. The Committee noted that the developer should consider whether the measure is topped out during the measure's next maintenance review cycle. Further, the Committee recommended that, during the next maintenance review, the developer provide or cite disparities data.

The Committee reviewed reliability and noted that the developer conducted a beta-binomial analysis of both reported data sets and achieved a reliability score of 0.9, which is high. Some members of the Committee expressed concern that this high reliability score could be the result of selection bias of those reporting data to CMS, and that the minimum case count of 10 does not allow for a sufficient reliability score. The developer responded that the average number of cases for the CMS data was 23 and for GiGulC was 83. The Committee passed this measure on reliability. The Committee did not have any concerns with the validity or feasibility of the measure. The Committee also did not express concerns about use and usability; the measure is publicly reported in CMS quality payment programs and GIGulC.

Immunization

3484 Prenatal Immunization Status (National Committee for Quality Assurance): Recommended

Description: Percentage of deliveries in the measurement period in which women received influenza and tetanus, diphtheria toxoids, and acellular pertussis (Tdap) vaccinations; **Measure Type**: Composite; **Level of Analysis**: Health Plan; **Setting of Care**: Outpatient Services; **Data Source**: Claims, Electronic Health Data, Electronic Health Records, Enrollment Data, Management Data, Other, Registry Data

The Standing Committee recommended the measure for NQF endorsement. This composite measure assesses the percentage of deliveries in the measurement period in which women received two vaccinations: influenza and tetanus, diphtheria toxoids, and acellular pertussis (Tdap). The Committee agreed that the evidence provided supported the measure, and that there is evidence of a performance gap among health plans. The Committee requested that the developer provide data on racial, ethnic, and socioeconomic status (SES) disparities in performance during the measure's next review cycle.

The Committee supported the SMP's ratings of high for reliability. With respect to the validity of the measure, the Committee agreed it was theoretically sound, but noted that the recommendations of timing for the influenza and Tdap vaccines differ—the influenza vaccine can be given at any time during pregnancy, while Tdap administration is recommended in the third trimester. Since the measure excludes women who give birth prior to 37 weeks, the Committee stated that this might not be a random subset of pregnant women and may be an unintended consequence of the composite construction. The developer responded to the Committee's concerns, noting that the measure is intended to hold the reporting entities accountable for the optimal timing of the Tdap vaccine while also providing health plans the full, appropriate window for administering the vaccines. The Committee also

raised questions about the hospice exclusion; the developer noted that the hospice exclusion is uniform across all its measures. The Committee agreed that measure reporting is feasible. It also noted that the measure is currently reported by numerous health plans with no identified potential harms.

Measures Withdrawn from Consideration

Two measures previously endorsed by NQF have not been re-submitted for maintenance of endorsement or have been withdrawn during the endorsement evaluation process. Endorsement for these measures will be removed.

Table 3. Measures Withdrawn from Consideration

Measure	Reason for withdrawal
0275: Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) or Asthma in Older Adults Admission Rate (PQI 05)	Measure Steward (AHRQ) chose to withdraw measure for consideration
0283: Asthma in Younger Adults Admission Rate (PQI 15)	Measure Steward (AHRQ) chose to withdraw measure for consideration

References

- 1 Eggleston EM, Finkelstein JA. Finding the Role of Health Care in Population Health. *JAMA*. 2014;311(8):797-798.
- 2 OECD. *Tackling Wasteful Spending on Health*. OECD; 2017. https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/social-issues-migration-health/tackling-wasteful-spending-on-health_9789264266414-en. Last accessed March 2020.
- 3 Kindig D, Stoddart G. What Is Population Health? Am J Public Health. 2003;93(3):380-383.
- 4 Social Determinants of Health | Healthy People 2020. https://www.healthypeople.gov/2020/topics-objectives/topic/social-determinants-health/interventions-resources. Last accessed March 2020.
- 5 Kindig DA, Asada Y, Booske B. A Population Health Framework for Setting National and State Health Goals. *JAMA*. 2008;299(17):2081-2083.
- 6 Lots to Lose: How America's Health and Obesity Crisis Threatens our Economic Future | Bipartisan Policy Center. https://bipartisanpolicy.org/report/lots-lose-how-americas-health-and-obesity-crisis-threatens-our-economic-future/. Last accessed March 2020.

Appendix A: Details of Measure Evaluation

Rating Scale: H=High; M=Moderate; L=Low; I=Insufficient; NA=Not Applicable

Track 1 – Measures Recommended

0658 Appropriate Follow-Up Interval for Normal Colonoscopy in Average Risk Patients

Submission | Specifications

Description: Percentage of patients aged 50 years to 75 years receiving a screening colonoscopy without biopsy or polypectomy who had a recommended follow-up interval of at least 10 years for repeat colonoscopy documented in their colonoscopy report.

Numerator Statement: Patients who had a recommended follow-up interval of at least 10 years for repeat colonoscopy documented in their colonoscopy report

Denominator Statement: All patients aged 50 years to 75 years and receiving screening a screening colonoscopy without biopsy or polypectomy

Exclusions: Documentation of medical reason(s) for not recommending at least a 10 year follow-up interval (e.g., inadequate prep,familial or personal history of colonic polyps, patient had no adenoma and age is >= 66 years old, or life expectancy <10 years, other medical reasons)

Adjustment/Stratification: No risk adjustment or risk stratification

Level of Analysis: Clinician: Individual **Setting of Care:** Outpatient Services

Type of Measure: Process

Data Source: Claims, Electronic Health Data, Electronic Health Records, Other, Registry Data

Measure Steward: American Gastroenterological Association

STANDING COMMITTEE MEETING 02/18/2020

1. Importance to Measure and Report: The measure meets the Importance criteria

(1a. Evidence, 1b. Performance Gap)

1a. Evidence: M-15; L-0; I-3; 1b. Performance Gap: H-2; M-11; L-2; I-0

Rationale:

- The measure captures the percentage of patients aged 50 to 75 years receiving a screening colonoscopy without biopsy or polypectomy who had a recommended follow-up interval of at least 10 years for repeat colonoscopy documented in their colonoscopy report.
- The developer updated the evidence since its last review in 2013. The developer stated the 2016 U.S. Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) guideline recommending screening for colorectal cancer starting at age 50 years and continuing until age 75 years indicated is grade A.
- The developer also cited 2017 USMSTF guidance recommending colonoscopy every 10 years as a tier-1 recommendation, which is a strong recommendation with moderate quality of evidence. The Committee noted that the USMSTF recommendation is very strong.
- The Committee stated it would be ideal if there were direct evidence for the correlation between the colonoscopy follow-up recommendation and the 10-year timing of the follow-up, but acknowledged the feasibility and time interval are prohibitive barriers to assessing this.
- The developer reported the literature and performance data (2016, 2017, 2018) have identified variation in performance for the recommended time interval between colonoscopies for patients with a normal colonoscopy. Mean performance was 85.12%, 85.63%, 85.43%; range was 0-100%.
- The Committee expressed concern that the mean performance score from the CMS data is 100% and so the measure may be topped out. The developer replied that the CMS data set is self-selected and likely comprises high performers, whereas the other data set, GIGuIC, a qualified clinician data registry, has a broader set of reporters and is likely more reflective of what is happening in the field, generally.
- The Committee noted that the developer should consider whether the measure is topped out during the measure's next maintenance review cycle. Further, the Committee recommended that, during the next maintenance review, the developer provide disparities data or a review of the literature.

2. Scientific Acceptability of Measure Properties: The measure meets the Scientific Acceptability criteria

(2a. Reliability - precise specifications, testing; 2b. Validity - testing, threats to validity

2a. Reliability: H-4; M-11; L-2; I-0; 2b. Validity: H-4; M-12; L-2; I-0

Rationale:

- The developer used a beta-binomial methodology, measuring the ratio of signal to noise at the physician level.
- The developer stated that, overall, the data suggest that, for physicians with an average or greater number of events (10), the measure has high reliability.
- The developer reported a reliability statistic of 0.90 for the CMS data set; 237 physicians had all the required data elements and met the minimum number of quality reporting events (10).
- The developer reported a reliability statistic of 0.94 for the GIQuIC data set; 2,666 physicians had all the required data elements and met the minimum number of quality reporting events.
- The Committee noted that the developer conducted a beta-binomial analysis of both reported data sets and achieved a reliability score of 0.9, which is high.
- Some members of the Committee expressed concern that this high reliability score could be the result of
 selection bias for CMS reporters, and that the minimum case count of 10 does not allow for a sufficient
 reliability score. The developer responded that the average number of cases for the CMS data was 23 and
 for GiGulC was 83.
- The developer conducted construct validity, using colorectal cancer screening (PQRS #113) for correlation
 analysis due to the similarities in patient population and domain. The developer hypothesized a positive
 association between patients receiving a screening colonoscopy (PQRS #113) and those who had
 documentation of appropriate recommended follow-up interval of at least 10 years for repeat
 colonoscopy (this measure).
- The developer could only provide correlation analysis for the CMS data set (237 physicians). For this analysis, the coefficient was 0.20 and p-value = 0.007. The developer stated this result is a moderate positive correlation.

3. Feasibility: H-8; M-10; L-0; I-0

(3a. Clinical data generated during care delivery; 3b. Electronic sources; 3c. Susceptibility to inaccuracies/unintended consequences identified; 3d. Data collection strategy can be implemented)

Rationale:

- Measure is reported via claims and registry data, which increases measure reporting feasibility.
- Data can be collected electronically via endowriter, an automated endoscopy record system (not an EHR/EMR), or manually via a web portal.

4. Use and Usability

4a. Use; 4a1. Accountability and transparency; 4a2. Feedback on the measure by those being measured and others; 4b. Usability; 4b1. Improvement; 4b2. The benefits to patients outweigh evidence of unintended negative consequences to patients)

4a. Use: Pass-16; No Pass-1 4b. Usability: H-7; M-10; L-1; I-0

Rationale:

- The measure is currently used in professional certification programs, payment programs, and for public reporting.
- The measure has been implemented in the Quality Payment Program (QPP) as an individual measure for claims and registry reporting where feedback is provided via CMS Quality and Resource Use Reports (QRURs).
- The measure also is implemented in multiple Qualified Clinical Data Registry (QCDRs), where feedback is required quarterly.
- The measure also is reported in GIQuIC procedure-focused benchmarking registry.

5. Related and Competing Measures

• This measure is related to NQF 0572: Follow-up after Initial Diagnosis and Treatment of Colorectal Cancer: colonoscopy that captures all eligible members who have been newly diagnosed and resected with colorectal cancer receive a follow-up colonoscopy within 15 months of resection, with its focus on the same clinical area of care.

• The measure does not compete with any measures.

6. Standing Committee Recommendation for Endorsement: Y-15; N-3

7. Public and Member Comment

No comments received

8. Consensus Standards Approval Committee (CSAC) Vote: Y-X; N-X

9. Appeals

3484 Prenatal Immunization Status

Submission | Specifications

Description: Percentage of deliveries in the measurement period in which women received influenza and tetanus, diphtheria toxoids and acellular pertussis (Tdap) vaccinations.

Numerator Statement: Deliveries in which women received influenza and tetanus, diphtheria toxoids and acellular pertussis (Tdap) vaccinations.

Denominator Statement: Deliveries that occurred during the measurement period.

Exclusions: Deliveries that occurred at less than 37 weeks gestation.

Deliveries in which women were in hospice during the measurement period.

Adjustment/Stratification: No risk adjustment or risk stratification

Level of Analysis: Health Plan **Setting of Care:** Outpatient Services

Type of Measure: Composite

Data Source: Claims, Electronic Health Data, Electronic Health Records, Enrollment Data, Management Data,

Other, Registry Data

Measure Steward: National Committee for Quality Assurance

STANDING COMMITTEE MEETING 02/18/2020 and 02/20/2020

1. Importance to Measure and Report: The measure meets the Importance criteria

(1a. Evidence, 1b. Performance Gap, 1c. Composite – Quality Construct)

1a. Evidence: H-8; M-8; L-1; I-0; 1b. Performance Gap: H-9; M-9; L-0; I-0; 1c. Composite – Quality Construct: H-6; M-10; L-1; I-0

Rationale:

- This new composite measure assesses the percentage of deliveries in the measurement period in which women received two vaccinations: influenza; and tetanus, diphtheria toxoids, and acellular pertussis (Tdap) vaccinations.
- The developer cited guidelines for each of the prenatal vaccines that are included in the measure and the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) recommendations.
- The developer cited data extracted from 2018 HEDIS data collection reflecting the most recent year of measurement.
- Data are stratified by product line (i.e., commercial, Medicaid and Medicare). The mean performance was 33.1% for commercial, 16.7% for Medicaid, and 23.8% for Medicare.
- The Committee agreed that the evidence provided supported the measure, and that there is evidence of a performance gap among health plans.
- The Committee requested that the developer provide data on racial, ethnic, and SES disparities in performance during the measure's next review cycle.

2. Scientific Acceptability of Measure Properties: The measure meets the Scientific Acceptability criteria

(2a. Reliability - precise specifications, testing; 2b. Validity - testing, threats to validity, 2c. Composite construction)

- 2a. Reliability: Yes-17; No-1 2b. Validity: Yes-18; No-1 2c. Composite Construction: H-4; M-14; L-2; I-0
 - Because it is a composite, this measure was deemed as complex and was evaluated by the NQF SMP.
 - The Standing Committee voted to accept the SMP's ratings for Reliability and Validity.

- The SMP votes for Reliability: **H-4; M-1; L-0; I-1**, for an overall rating of High.
- The SMP votes for Validity: H-2; M-3; L-1; I-0, for an overall rating of Moderate.

Rationale:

- The measure was reviewed by the SMP. The SMP did not have concerns with the methodology for scorelevel reliability testing or the results, but two SMP members expressed concern related to the lack of clarity in defining the continuous enrollment requirement/previous vaccination, and that the developer selected integrated delivery system as a level of analysis but did not provide testing information for this.
- The Committee supported the SMP's rating of high for Reliability.
- Face validity was reported; several SMP members noted deficiencies in the description and/or that it does not match NQF's criteria for face validity assessment.
- Empirical validity testing at the score level was conducted. The developer used construct validity and calculated the Pearson correlation coefficient with each vaccine to the other and of the composite as compared to other HEDIS vaccination measures. SMP members overall felt the construct validity results demonstrated moderate to high correlation. A few SMP members noted that validating a measure with itself is not a strong approach.
- Most SMP members did not have concerns about the exclusions, but one member noted that the
 developer did not provide an analysis related to the hospice exclusion, only the deliveries <37 weeks
 gestation.
- The Standing Committee also raised questions about the hospice exclusion; the developer noted that the hospice exclusion is uniform across all its HEDIS measures.
- The Committee agreed the validity of the measure was theoretically sound, but noted that the recommendations of timing for the influenza and Tdap vaccines differ—the influenza vaccine can be given at any time during pregnancy, while Tdap administration is recommended in the third trimester. Since the measure excludes women who give birth prior to 37 weeks, the Committee stated that this might not be a random subsection of pregnant women, and is an unintended consequence of the composite construction. The developer responded to the Committee's concerns, noting that the measure is intended to hold the reporting entities accountable for the optimal timing of the Tdap vaccine while also providing health plans the full, appropriate window for administering the vaccines.
- SMP members had no concerns related to missing data.
- SMP members had no concerns related to meaningful differences.
- No risk adjustment. One commenter noted that, theoretically, the differences across plans could be explained in part by case mix.
- Most SMP members did not have concerns about the composite construct; the Cronbach alpha showed high internal consistency.

3. Feasibility: H-14; M-5; L-1; I-0

(3a. Clinical data generated during care delivery; 3b. Electronic sources; 3c. Susceptibility to inaccuracies/unintended consequences identified; 3d. Data collection strategy can be implemented)

Rationale:

- The Committee agreed that measure reporting is feasible.
- All data elements are in defined fields in a combination of electronic sources; data elements are generated or collected by and used by healthcare personnel during the provision of care (e.g., blood pressure, lab value, diagnosis, depression score).
- Data are coded by someone other than the person obtaining original information (e.g., DRG, ICD-9 codes on claims) and/or abstracted from a record by someone other than person obtaining original information (e.g., chart abstraction for quality measure or registry).

4. Use and Usability

4a. Use; 4a1. Accountability and transparency; 4a2. Feedback on the measure by those being measured and others; 4b. Usability; 4b1. Improvement; 4b2. The benefits to patients outweigh evidence of unintended negative consequences to patients)

4a. Use: Pass-20; No Pass-0 4b. Usability: H-8; M-11; L-0; I-0

Rationale:

- New HEDIS measure in 2018.
- The developer stated that the measure is currently reported by numerous health plans with no identified

potential harms.

- The developer also noted that, during a recent public comment posting that was held during the development process, measured entities supported the new measure and found it to be relevant and clearly specified.
- The Committee did not express concerns with the use or usability of the measure.

5. Related and Competing Measures

- This measure is related to the influenza measures within the portfolio:
 - o 0039: Flu Vaccinations for Adults Ages 18 and Older
 - o 0041: Preventive Care and Screening: Influenza Immunization
 - o 0431: Influenza Vaccination Coverage Among Healthcare Personnel
 - 0680: Percent of Residents Who Were Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Short Stay)
 - 0681: Percent of Residents Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Long Stay)
 - o 1659: Influenza Immunization
- The measure does not compete with any measures in the portfolio.

6. Standing Committee Recommendation for Endorsement: Y-21; N-0

7. Public and Member Comment

- American Immunization Registry Association (AIRA) provided a comment strongly supporting the
 endorsement of the Prenatal Immunization Status (PRS) measure. They emphasized the importance of
 public health Immunization Information Systems (IIS) to contribute to more complete, quality
 vaccination data among adults to inform efforts to improve vaccine uptake, access and delivery.
- American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) provided a comment in support of the Prenatal Immunization Status measure mentioning that the measure encourages the meeting of all nationally accepted immunization guidelines

8. Consensus Standards Approval Committee (CSAC) Vote: Y-X; N-X

9. Appeals

Appendix B: Prevention and Population Health Portfolio—Use in Federal Programs^a

NQF#	Title	Federal Programs: Finalized or Implemented
0024	Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents (WCC)	Merit-Based Incentive Payment System (MIPS) Program; Medicaid; Marketplace Quality Rating System (QRS)
0032	Cervical Cancer Screening (CCS)	Merit-Based Incentive Payment System (MIPS) Program; Medicaid; Marketplace Quality Rating System (QRS)
0034	Colorectal Cancer Screening (COL)	Medicare Shared Savings Program; Merit-Based Incentive Payment System (MIPS) Program; Marketplace Quality Rating System (QRS); Medicare Part C Star Rating
0038	Childhood Immunization Status (CIS)	Merit-Based Incentive Payment System (MIPS) Program; Marketplace Quality Rating System (QRS) (Implemented)
0041	Preventive Care and Screening: Influenza Immunization	Medicare Shared Savings Program; Merit-Based Incentive Payment System (MIPS) Program
0041e	Preventive Care and Screening: Influenza Immunization	Merit-Based Incentive Payment System (MIPS) Program; Medicaid Promoting Interoperability Program for Eligible Professionals
0226	Influenza Immunization in the ESRD Population (Facility Level)	No federal program usage specified for this measure.
0272	Diabetes Short-Term Complications Admission Rate (PQI 01)	Medicaid
0273	Perforated Appendix Admission Rate (PQI 02)	No federal program usage specified for this measure.
0274	Diabetes Long-Term Complications Admission Rate (PQI 03)	No federal program usage specified for this measure.
0275	Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) or Asthma in Older Adults Admission Rate (PQI 05)	Medicaid
0277	Congestive Heart Failure Rate (PQI 08)	Medicaid
0279	Community Acquired Pneumonia Admission Rate (PQI 11)	No federal program usage specified for this measure.

_

^a Per CMS Measures Inventory Tool as of 2/28/2020

0280	Dehydration Admission Date	No federal program usage specified for this
0200	Dehydration Admission Rate (PQI 10)	measure.
0281	Urinary Tract Infection	No federal program usage specified for this
	Admission Rate (PQI 12)	measure.
0283	Asthma in Younger Adults Admission Rate (PQI 15)	Medicaid
0285	Lower-Extremity Amputation among Patients with Diabetes Rate (PQI 16)	No federal program usage specified for this measure.
0431	INFLUENZA VACCINATION COVERAGE AMONG HEALTHCARE PERSONNEL	Hospital Compare; Hospital Inpatient Quality Reporting; Inpatient Rehabilitation Facility Quality Reporting; Long- Term Care Hospital Quality Reporting; Home Health Value Based Purchasing; Inpatient Rehabilitation Facility Compare; Long-Term Care Hospital Compare
0509	Diagnostic Imaging: Reminder System for Screening Mammograms	No federal program usage specified for this measure.
0638	Uncontrolled Diabetes Admission Rate (PQI 14)	No federal program usage specified for this measure.
0658	Appropriate Follow-Up Interval for Normal Colonoscopy in Average Risk Patients	Ambulatory Surgical Center Quality Reporting; Hospital Compare; Hospital Outpatient Quality Reporting; Merit-Based Incentive Payment System (MIPS) Program
0680	Percent of Residents or Patients Who Were Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (short stay)	Inpatient Rehabilitation Facility Quality Reporting (Proposed); Long-Term Care Hospital Quality Reporting
0681	Percent of Residents Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (long stay)	Nursing Home Compare; Nursing Home Quality Initiative
1407	Immunizations for Adolescents	Merit-Based Incentive Payment System (MIPS) Program; Medicaid; Marketplace Quality Rating System (QRS)
1516	Well-Child Visits in the Third, Fourth, Fifth, and Sixth Years of Life	Medicaid; Marketplace Quality Rating System (QRS)
2020	Adult Current Smoking Prevalence	No federal program usage specified for this measure.
2372	Breast Cancer Screening	Medicare Part C Star Rating; Merit-Based Incentive Payment System (MIPS) Program; Medicaid; Marketplace Quality Rating System (QRS)
2508	Prevention: Dental Sealants for 6-9 Year-Old Children at Elevated Caries Risk, Dental	Medicaid

	Services	
2511	Utilization of Services, Dental Services	No federal program usage specified for this measure.
2517	Oral Evaluation, Dental Services	No federal program usage specified for this measure.
2528	Prevention: Topical Fluoride for Children at Elevated Caries Risk, Dental Services	No federal program usage specified for this measure.
2689	Ambulatory Care Sensitive Emergency Department Visits for Dental Caries in Children	No federal program usage specified for this measure.
2695	Follow-Up after Emergency Department Visits for Dental Caries in Children	No federal program usage specified for this measure.

Appendix C: Prevention and Population Health Standing Committee and NQF Staff

STANDING COMMITTEE

Thomas McInerny, MD (Co-Chair)

Retired

Honeoye Falls, New York

Amir Qaseem, MD, PhD, MHA (Co-Chair)

Director, American College of Physicians

West Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

John Auerbach, MBA

President and Chief Executive Officer, Trust for America's Health Washington, District of Columbia

Philip Alberti, PhD

Senior Director, Health Equity Research & Policy, Association of American Medical Colleges Washington, District of Columbia

Jayaram Brindala, MD, MBA, MPH

Chief Medical Officer for Population Health, AdventHealth Maitland, Florida

Ron Bialek, MPP, CQIA

President, Public Health Foundation Washington, District of Columbia

Juan Emilio Carrillo, MD, MPH

Clinical Associate Professor of Medicine, Weill Cornell Medicine New York, New York

Gigi Chawla, MD, MHA

Chief of General Pediatrics, Children's Minnesota Minneapolis, Minnesota

Larry Curley

Executive Director, National Indian Council on Aging Albuquerque, New Mexico

Barry-Lewis Harris, II, MD

Regional Medical Director, Corizon Health Memphis, Tennessee

Catherine Hill, DNP, APRN

Chief Nursing Officer/Director of Quality and Clinical Outcomes, Texas Health Resources Frisco, Texas

NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

Amy Nguyen Howell, MD, MBA, FAAFP

Chief Medical Officer, America's Physician Groups Los Angeles, California

Ronald Inge, DDS

Chief Dental Officer & Vice President of Professional Services, Delta Dental of Missouri St. Louis, Missouri

Julia Logan, MD, MPH

Associate Medical Director, California Department of Health Care Services Sacramento, California

Patricia McKane, DVM, MPH

State MCH Epidemiologist and Director Lifecourse Epidemiology and Genomics, Michigan Department of Health and Human Services Lansing, Michigan

Amy Minnich, RN, MHSA

Director, Geisinger Health System Danville, Pennsylvania

Bruce K. Muma, MD, FACP

Chief Medical Officer and President and CEO, Henry Ford Physician Network Detroit, Michigan

Jason Spangler, MD, MPH, FACPM

Executive Director, Medical Policy, Amgen, Inc. Washington, District of Columbia

Rosalyn Carr Stephens, RN, MSN, CCM

Clinical Director, Population Health, AmeriHealth Caritas Washington, District of Columbia

Matt Stiefel, MPA, MS

Senior Director, Center for Population Health, Care Management Institute, Kaiser Permanente Oakland, California

Marcel Salive, MD, MPH

Health Scientist Administrator, Division of Geriatrics and Clinical Gerontology, National Institute on Aging Rockville, MD

Michael Stoto, PhD

Professor of Health Systems Administration and Population Health, Georgetown University Washington, District of Columbia

Arjun Venkatesh, MD, MBA

RWJF Clinical Scholar, Yale University School of Medicine New Haven, Connecticut

NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

PAGE 21

Renee Walk, MPH

Strategic Policy Advisor, Wisconsin Department of Employee Trust Funds Madison, Wisconsin

Whitney Bowman-Zatzkin, MPA, MSR

Executive Officer, Rare Dots Consulting Burke, Virginia

NQF STAFF

Kathleen Giblin, RN

Acting Senior Vice President, Quality Measurement

Apryl Clark, MHSA

Acting Vice President, Quality Measurement

Nicole Williams, MPH

Director

Kate Buchanan, MPH

Senior Project Manager

Isaac Sakyi, MSGH

Project Analyst

Robyn Y. Nishimi, PhD

NQF Senior Consultant

Appendix D: Measure Specifications

0658 Appropriate Follow-Up Interval for Normal Colonoscopy in Average Risk Patients

STEWARD

American Gastroenterological Association

DESCRIPTION

Percentage of patients aged 50 years to 75 years receiving a screening colonoscopy without biopsy or polypectomy who had a recommended follow-up interval of at least 10 years for repeat colonoscopy documented in their colonoscopy report.

TYPE

Process

DATA SOURCE

Claims, Electronic Health Data, Electronic Health Records, Other, Registry Data Not applicable.

LEVEL

Clinician: Individual

SETTING

Outpatient Services

NUMERATOR STATEMENT

Patients who had a recommended follow-up interval of at least 10 years for repeat colonoscopy documented in their colonoscopy report

NUMERATOR DETAILS

Patients will be counted in the numerator if it is documented in the final colonoscopy report that the appropriate follow-up interval for the next colonoscopy is at least 10 years from the date of the current colonoscopy (ie, the colonoscopy performed during the measurement period).

DENOMINATOR STATEMENT

All patients aged 50 years to 75 years and receiving screening a screening colonoscopy without biopsy or polypectomy

DENOMINATOR DETAILS

All patients aged 50 to 75 years of age receiving a screening colonoscopy without biopsy or polypectomy during the measurement period.

ICD-10-CM: Z12.11

AND

Patient encounter during the reporting period (CPT or HCPCS): 44388, 45378, G0121

WITHOUT

CPT Category I Modifiers: 52, 53, 73, 74

NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

EXCLUSIONS

Documentation of medical reason(s) for not recommending at least a 10 year follow-up interval (eg, inadequate prep,familial or personal history of colonic polyps, patient had no adenoma and age is >= 66 years old, or life expectancy < 10 years, other medical reasons)

EXCLUSION DETAILS

The measure exception categories are not uniformly relevant across all measures; for each measure, there must be a clear rationale to permit an exception for a medical, patient, or system reason. Examples are provided in the measure exception language of instances that may constitute an exception and are intended to serve as a guide to clinicians. For measure 0658, exceptions may include medical reason(s) (eg, inadequate prep, other medical reasons) for not recommending at least a 10 year follow-up interval. Examples of exceptions are included in the measure language.

RISK ADJUSTMENT

No risk adjustment or risk stratification

STRATIFICATION

We encourage the results of this measure to be stratified by race, ethnicity, gender, and primary language.

TYPE SCORE

Rate/proportion better quality = higher score

ALGORITHM

To calculate performance rates:

1)Find the patients who meet the initial patient population (ie, the general group of patients that the performance measure is designed to address).

2)From the patients within the initial patient population criteria, find the patients who qualify for the denominator (ie, the specific group of patients for inclusion in a specific performance measure based on defined criteria). Note: in some cases the initial patient population and denominator are identical.

3)From the patients within the denominator, find the patients who qualify for the Numerator (ie, the group of patients in the denominator for whom a process or outcome of care occurs). Validate that the number of patients in the numerator is less than or equal to the number of patients in the denominator

4) From the patients who did not meet the numerator criteria, determine if the physician has documented that the patient meets any criteria for denominator exception when exceptions have been specified [for this measure: medical reason(s) (eg, inadequate prep, familial or personal history of colonic polyps, patient had no adenoma and age is >= 66 years old, life expectancy < 10 years, other medical reasons)]. If the patient meets any exception criteria, they should be removed from the denominator for performance calculation. --Although the exception cases are removed from the denominator population for the performance calculation, the number of patients with valid exceptions should be calculated and reported along with performance rates to track variations in care and highlight possible areas of focus for QI.

If the patient does not meet the numerator and a valid exception is not present, this case represents performance not met. 136611 | 124667 | 141015

COPYRIGHT / DISCLAIMER

The Measures are not clinical guidelines, do not establish a standard of medical care, and have not been tested for all potential applications.

The Measures, while copyrighted, can be reproduced and distributed, without modification, for noncommercial purposes, e.g., use by health care providers in connection with their practices. Commercial use is defined as the sale, license, or distribution of the Measures for commercial gain, or incorporation of the Measures into a product or service that is sold, licensed or distributed for commercial gain.

Commercial uses of the Measures require a license agreement between the user and the American Medical Association (AMA), [on behalf of the Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement® (PCPI®)] or the American Gastroenterological Association (AGA), or American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ASGE) or the American College of Gastroenterology (ACG). Neither the AMA, AGA, ASGE, ACG, PCPI, nor its members shall be responsible for any use of the Measures.

The AMA's, PCPI's and National Committee for Quality Assurance's significant past efforts and contributions to the development and updating of the Measures is acknowledged. AGA, ASGE and ACG are solely responsible for the review and enhancement ("Maintenance") of the Measures as of August 14, 2014.

AGA, ASGE and ACG encourage use of the Measures by other health care professionals, where appropriate.

THE MEASURES AND SPECIFICATIONS ARE PROVIDED "AS IS" WITHOUT WARRANTY OF ANY KIND.

© 2019 American Medical Association, American Gastroenterological Association, American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy and American College of Gastroenterology. All Rights Reserved. Applicable FARS/DFARS Restrictions Apply to Government Use. For the Merit-Based Incentive Payment System, American Gastroenterological Association is the primary steward for measure revisions.

Limited proprietary coding is contained in the Measure specifications for convenience. Users of the proprietary code sets should obtain all necessary licenses from the owners of these code sets. The AMA, AGA, ASGE, ACG, the PCPI and its members disclaim all liability for use or accuracy of any Current Procedural Terminology (CPT®) or other coding contained in the specifications.

CPT® contained in the Measures specifications is copyright 2004-2019 American Medical Association. LOINC® copyright 2004-2019 Regenstrief Institute, Inc. SNOMED CLINICAL TERMS (SNOMED CT®) copyright 2004-2019 College of American Pathologists. All Rights Reserved.

3484 Prenatal Immunization Status

STEWARD

National Committee for Quality Assurance

DESCRIPTION

Percentage of deliveries in the measurement period in which women received influenza and tetanus, diphtheria toxoids and acellular pertussis (Tdap) vaccinations.

TYPE

Composite

DATA SOURCE

Claims, Electronic Health Data, Electronic Health Records, Enrollment Data, Management Data, Other, Registry Data This measure is specified for administrative claims, electronic health record, registry, health information exchange or case management data collected in the course of providing care to health plan members. NCQA collects the Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set (HEDIS) data for this measure directly from Health Management Organizations and Preferred Provider Organizations via NCQA's online data submission system.

LEVEL

Health Plan

SETTING

Outpatient Services

NUMERATOR STATEMENT

Deliveries in which women received influenza and tetanus, diphtheria toxoids and acellular pertussis (Tdap) vaccinations.

NUMERATOR DETAILS

Deliveries during the measurement period in which women received influenza and tetanus, diphtheria toxoids and acellular pertussis (Tdap) vaccinations. Three numerators are reported:

Numerator 1: Deliveries where members received an influenza vaccine on or between July 1 of the year prior to the measurement period and the delivery date; or deliveries where members had an influenza virus vaccine adverse reaction any time during or before the Measurement Period.

Numerator 2: Deliveries where members received at least one Tdap vaccine during the pregnancy (including the delivery date); or deliveries where members had an anaphylactic reaction to Tdap or Td vaccine or its components any time during or before the Measurement Period or encephalopathy due to Td or Tdap vaccination (post-tetanus vaccination encephalitis, post-diphtheria vaccination encephalitis, post-pertussis vaccination encephalitis) any time during or before the Measurement Period.

Numerator 3: Deliveries that met criteria for both Numerator 1 and Numerator 2.

See attached code value sets.

DENOMINATOR STATEMENT

Deliveries that occurred during the measurement period.

DENOMINATOR DETAILS

Deliveries that occurred during the measurement period.

Note: women who had multiple deliveries during the measurement period count multiple times.

EXCLUSIONS

Deliveries that occurred at less than 37 weeks gestation.

Deliveries in which women were in hospice during the measurement period.

EXCLUSION DETAILS

Exclude deliveries that occurred at 37 weeks of gestation or less.

Exclude deliveries where the woman was in hospice or using hospice services during the measurement period.

See attached code value sets.

RISK ADJUSTMENT

No risk adjustment or risk stratification

STRATIFICATION

Not applicable.

TYPE SCORE

Rate/proportion better quality = higher score

ALGORITHM

Step 1: Determine the eligible population. Identify all deliveries during the measurement period (January 1 - December 31) in which the patient was continuously enrolled from 28 days prior to delivery through the delivery date.

Step 2: Determine the denominator by excluding deliveries that occurred at less than 37 gestational weeks or where women were in hospice or using hospice services during the measurement period.

Step 3: Determine the numerators:

- -Numerator 1: deliveries where members received an influenza vaccine on or between July 1 of the year prior to the measurement period and the delivery date; or deliveries where members had an influenza virus vaccine adverse reaction any time during or before the Measurement Period.
- -Numerator 2: Deliveries where members received at least one Tdap vaccine during the pregnancy (including the delivery date); or deliveries where members had an aanaphylactic reaction to Tdap or Td vaccine or its components any time during or before the Measurement Period or encephalopathy due to Td or Tdap vaccination (post-tetanus vaccination encephalitis, post-diphtheria vaccination encephalitis, post-pertussis vaccination encephalitis) any time during or before the Measurement Period.
- -Numerator 3: Deliveries in which criteria was met for both Numerator 1 and Numerator 2.

Step 4: Calculate three measure rates:

- -Numerator 1 / Denominator
- -Numerator 2 / Denominator
- -Numerator 3 / Denominator 123834

COPYRIGHT / DISCLAIMER

© 2018 by the National Committee for Quality Assurance 1100 13th Street, NW, 3rd floor Washington, DC 20005

Appendix E: Related and Competing Measures (narrative format)

Comparison of NQF 0658 and NQF 0572

0658: Appropriate Follow-Up Interval for Normal Colonoscopy in Average Risk Patients 0572: Follow-up after initial diagnosis and treatment of colorectal cancer: colonoscopy

Steward

- **0658: Appropriate Follow-Up Interval for Normal Colonoscopy in Average Risk Patients**American Gastroenterological Association
- **0572: Follow-up after initial diagnosis and treatment of colorectal cancer: colonoscopy**Health Benchmarks-IMS Health

Description

0658: Appropriate Follow-Up Interval for Normal Colonoscopy in Average Risk Patients

Percentage of patients aged 50 years to 75 years receiving a screening colonoscopy without biopsy or polypectomy who had a recommended follow-up interval of at least 10 years for repeat colonoscopy documented in their colonoscopy report.

0572: Follow-up after initial diagnosis and treatment of colorectal cancer: colonoscopyTo ensure that all eligible members who have been newly diagnosed and resected with colorectal cancer receive a follow-up colonoscopy within 15 months of resection.

Туре

- **0658: Appropriate Follow-Up Interval for Normal Colonoscopy in Average Risk Patients**Process
- **0572: Follow-up after initial diagnosis and treatment of colorectal cancer: colonoscopy**Process

Data Source

0658: Appropriate Follow-Up Interval for Normal Colonoscopy in Average Risk PatientsClaims, Electronic Health Data, Electronic Health Records, Other, Registry Data Not applicable.

No data collection instrument provided No data dictionary

0572: Follow-up after initial diagnosis and treatment of colorectal cancer: colonoscopy Claims (Only), Other

Level

- **0658: Appropriate Follow-Up Interval for Normal Colonoscopy in Average Risk Patients**Clinician: Individual
- **0572: Follow-up after initial diagnosis and treatment of colorectal cancer: colonoscopy**Population: Community, County or City, Clinician: Group/Practice, Health Plan, Clinician: Individual

Setting

0658: Appropriate Follow-Up Interval for Normal Colonoscopy in Average Risk PatientsOutpatient Services

0572: Follow-up after initial diagnosis and treatment of colorectal cancer: colonoscopy

Ambulatory Care: Clinician Office, Other Health Plan

Numerator Statement

0658: Appropriate Follow-Up Interval for Normal Colonoscopy in Average Risk Patients

Patients who had a recommended follow-up interval of at least 10 years for repeat colonoscopy documented in their colonoscopy report

0572: Follow-up after initial diagnosis and treatment of colorectal cancer: colonoscopy

Members receiving a colonoscopy, sigmoidoscopy, or protoscopy as appropriate during the 15 months after the index date.

Note: Index date is defined as the first instance of denominator criterion A or B.

Time Window: The 15 months after the index date.

Numerator Details

0658: Appropriate Follow-Up Interval for Normal Colonoscopy in Average Risk Patients

Patients will be counted in the numerator if it is documented in the final colonoscopy report that the appropriate follow-up interval for the next colonoscopy is at least 10 years from the date of the current colonoscopy (ie, the colonoscopy performed during the measurement period).

0572: Follow-up after initial diagnosis and treatment of colorectal cancer: colonoscopy

Numerator logic: A or B or C

Note: Members who qualified for the denominator by meeting denominator criterion [A] can only be considered a numerator hit by meeting numerator criterion [A]. However, members who qualified for the denominator by meeting denominator criterion [B] may be considered a numerator hit by meeting either numerator criterion [A] or [B] or [C].

[A] Members who received a colonoscopy during the 0-15 months after the index date.

Colonoscopy:

CPT-4 code(s): 3017F,44388-44394, 44397, 45378-45387, 45391, 45392

HCPCS code(s): G0105, G0121

ICD-9 surgical proc code(s): 45.22, 45.23, 45.25, 45.42, 45.43

[B] Members who received a sigmoidoscopy during the 0-15 months after the index date.

Sigmoidoscopy:

CPT-4 code(s): 45330-45335, 45337, 45338-45342, 45345

HCPCS code(s): G0104

ICD-9 surgical proc code(s): 45.24

[C] Members who received a proctoscopy during the 0-15 months after the index date.

Proctoscopy:

CPT-4 code(s): S0601

Denominator Statement

0658: Appropriate Follow-Up Interval for Normal Colonoscopy in Average Risk Patients

All patients aged 50 years to 75 years and receiving screening a screening colonoscopy without biopsy or polypectomy

0572: Follow-up after initial diagnosis and treatment of colorectal cancer: colonoscopy

Continuously enrolled members who are status post resection of colorectal cancer during the year ending 15 months prior to the measurement year.

Time Window: The one year period ending 15 months prior to the measurement year.

Denominator Details

0658: Appropriate Follow-Up Interval for Normal Colonoscopy in Average Risk Patients

All patients aged 50 to 75 years of age receiving a screening colonoscopy without biopsy or polypectomy during the measurement period.

ICD-10-CM: Z12.11

AND

Patient encounter during the reporting period (CPT or HCPCS): 44388, 45378, G0121

WITHOUT

CPT Category I Modifiers: 52, 53, 73, 74

0572: Follow-up after initial diagnosis and treatment of colorectal cancer: colonoscopy

Denominator logic: (A or B) and C and CE

[A] Partial colectomy or proctectomy during the year ending 15 months prior to the end of the measurement year.

Partial Colectomy or Proctectomy

CPT-4 code(s): 44139-44141, 44143-44147, 44160, 44204-44208, 44213, 45110-45114, 45116, 45119, 45123, 45126, 45160, 45170, 45395, 45397

ICD-9 surgical proc code(s): 45.4x, 45.7x, 48.35, 48.36, 48.4x, 48.5, 48.6x, 48.8x

[B] Total abdominal colectomy without protectomy during the year ending 15 months prior to the end of the measurement year.

Total Colectomy

CPT-4 code(s): 44150, 44151, 44210

ICD-9 surgical proc code(s): 45.8

[C] Diagnosis of colorectal cancer on the same date of service as the index date.

Colorectal Cancer

ICD-9 diagnosis code(s): 153.0-153.4, 153.6-153.9 154.0, 154.1, 154.8

[CE] Members continuously enrolled during the 0-15 months after the index date.

Note: Index date is defined as the first instance of denominator criterion A or B.

Note: Denominator criteria([A] or [B]) are required to occur on the same date of service as denominator criterion [C].

Exclusions

0658: Appropriate Follow-Up Interval for Normal Colonoscopy in Average Risk Patients

Documentation of medical reason(s) for not recommending at least a 10 year follow-up interval (eg, inadequate prep,familial or personal history of colonic polyps, patient had no adenoma and age is >= 66 years old, or life expectancy < 10 years, other medical reasons)

0572: Follow-up after initial diagnosis and treatment of colorectal cancer: colonoscopy

Members who are status post resection of colon cancer any time prior to the index date, or members who were in hospice care 0 to 15 months after the index date.

Note: Index date is defined as the first instance of denominator criterion A or B.

Exclusion Details

0658: Appropriate Follow-Up Interval for Normal Colonoscopy in Average Risk Patients

The measure exception categories are not uniformly relevant across all measures; for each measure, there must be a clear rationale to permit an exception for a medical, patient, or system reason. Examples are provided in the measure exception language of instances that may constitute an exception and are intended to serve as a guide to clinicians. For measure 0658, exceptions may include medical reason(s) (eg, inadequate prep, other medical reasons) for not recommending at least a 10 year follow-up interval. Examples of exceptions are included in the measure language.

0572: Follow-up after initial diagnosis and treatment of colorectal cancer: colonoscopy

Denominator exclusion criteria: (A and B) or C

[A] Members with a diagnosis of colorectal cancer any time prior to the index date.

Colorectal Cancer:

ICD-9 diagnosis code(s): 153.0-153.4, 153.6-153.9 154.0, 154.1, 154.8

[B] Members who had prior resection of colon prior to the index date.

Resection of Colon or Rectum:

CPT-4 code(s): 44139-44141, 44143-44147, 44150, 44151, 44160, 44204-44208, 44210,

45110-45114, 45116, 45119, 45123, 45126, 45160, 45170, 45395, 45397

ICD-9 surgical proc code(s): 45.4x, 45.7x, 45.8, 48.35, 48.36, 48.4x, 48.5, 48.6x, 48.8x

[C] Members who were in hospice care 0 to 15 months after the index date.

Hospice Care:

ICD-9 diagnosis code(s): V66.7

CPT-4 code(s): 99376*, 99377, 99378

HCPCS code(s): G0065*, G0182, G0337, Q5001-Q5009, S0255, S0271, S9126, T2042-T2046

UB revenue code(s): 0115, 0125, 0135, 0145, 0155, 0235, 0650-0652, 0655-0659

UB type of bill code(s): 81x, 82x

Place of service code(s): 34

*Code range expired, but still appropriate for retrospective analysis

Risk Adjustment

0658: Appropriate Follow-Up Interval for Normal Colonoscopy in Average Risk Patients

No risk adjustment or risk stratification

0572: Follow-up after initial diagnosis and treatment of colorectal cancer: colonoscopyNo risk adjustment or risk stratification

Stratification

0658: Appropriate Follow-Up Interval for Normal Colonoscopy in Average Risk PatientsWe encourage the results of this measure to be stratified by race, ethnicity, gender, and primary language.

0572: Follow-up after initial diagnosis and treatment of colorectal cancer: colonoscopy

Type Score

0658: Appropriate Follow-Up Interval for Normal Colonoscopy in Average Risk PatientsRate/proportion better quality = higher score

0572: Follow-up after initial diagnosis and treatment of colorectal cancer: colonoscopy

Rate/proportion better quality = higher score

Algorithm

0658: Appropriate Follow-Up Interval for Normal Colonoscopy in Average Risk Patients

To calculate performance rates:

- 1)Find the patients who meet the initial patient population (ie, the general group of patients that the performance measure is designed to address).
- 2)From the patients within the initial patient population criteria, find the patients who qualify for the denominator (ie, the specific group of patients for inclusion in a specific performance measure based on defined criteria). Note: in some cases the initial patient population and denominator are identical.
- 3)From the patients within the denominator, find the patients who qualify for the Numerator (ie, the group of patients in the denominator for whom a process or outcome of care occurs). Validate that the number of patients in the numerator is less than or equal to the number of patients in the denominator
- 4) From the patients who did not meet the numerator criteria, determine if the physician has documented that the patient meets any criteria for denominator exception when exceptions have been specified [for this measure: medical reason(s) (eg, inadequate prep, familial or personal history of colonic polyps, patient had no adenoma and age is >= 66 years old, life expectancy < 10 years, other medical reasons)]. If the patient meets any exception criteria, they should be removed from the denominator for performance calculation. --Although the exception cases are removed from the denominator population for the performance calculation, the number of patients with valid exceptions should be calculated and reported along with performance rates to track variations in care and highlight possible areas of focus for QI.

If the patient does not meet the numerator and a valid exception is not present, this case represents performance not met.

0572: Follow-up after initial diagnosis and treatment of colorectal cancer: colonoscopy

Submission items

0658: Appropriate Follow-Up Interval for Normal Colonoscopy in Average Risk Patients

5.1 Identified measures: 0659 : Colonoscopy Interval for Patients with a History of Adenomatous Polyps- Avoidance of Inappropriate Use

0572: Follow-up after initial diagnosis and treatment of colorectal cancer: colonoscopy

5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized? No

5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact: The list of measures above, includes several different populations and capture different elements in the numerator. None of them are aiming to capture the same information as measure 0658. Measures 0572, ACP-018-10, and 0392 actually aim to capture specific elements within the colonoscopy report or pathology report (after colon/rectum resection). Measure 0034 intends to capture one of four different types of colorectal cancer screening tests, instead of looking specifically at the interval between colonoscopies. Measure 0659 focuses on a different patient population, as the patients in 0659 have had a history of a prior colonic polyp(s) in previous colonoscopy findings. The patient population in measure 0659 has a different follow up interval recommendation, according to evidence based guidelines.

5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value: There are no competing measures.

0572: Follow-up after initial diagnosis and treatment of colorectal cancer: colonoscopy

- 5.1 Identified measures:
- 5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized?
- 5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact:
- 5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value:

Comparison of NQF 0658 and NQF 0659

0658: Appropriate Follow-Up Interval for Normal Colonoscopy in Average Risk Patients 0659: Colonoscopy Interval for Patients with a History of Adenomatous Polyps- Avoidance of Inappropriate Use

Steward

0658: Appropriate Follow-Up Interval for Normal Colonoscopy in Average Risk PatientsAmerican Gastroenterological Association

0659: Colonoscopy Interval for Patients with a History of Adenomatous Polyps- Avoidance of Inappropriate Use

American Gastroenterological Association

Description

0658: Appropriate Follow-Up Interval for Normal Colonoscopy in Average Risk Patients

Percentage of patients aged 50 years to 75 years receiving a screening colonoscopy without biopsy or polypectomy who had a recommended follow-up interval of at least 10 years for repeat colonoscopy documented in their colonoscopy report.

0659: Colonoscopy Interval for Patients with a History of Adenomatous Polyps- Avoidance of Inappropriate Use

Percentage of patients aged 18 years and older receiving a surveillance colonoscopy, with a history of a prior adenomatous polyp(s) in previous colonoscopy findings, which had an interval of 3 or more years since their last colonoscopy

Type

0658: Appropriate Follow-Up Interval for Normal Colonoscopy in Average Risk Patients Process

0659: Colonoscopy Interval for Patients with a History of Adenomatous Polyps- Avoidance of Inappropriate Use

Process

Data Source

0658: Appropriate Follow-Up Interval for Normal Colonoscopy in Average Risk Patients

Claims, Electronic Health Data, Electronic Health Records, Other, Registry Data Not applicable.

No data collection instrument provided No data dictionary

0659: Colonoscopy Interval for Patients with a History of Adenomatous Polyps- Avoidance of Inappropriate Use

Claims, Electronic Health Data, Electronic Health Records, Other, Registry Data N/A NoAttachment No data dictionary

Level

0658: Appropriate Follow-Up Interval for Normal Colonoscopy in Average Risk Patients

Clinician: Individual

0659: Colonoscopy Interval for Patients with a History of Adenomatous Polyps- Avoidance of Inappropriate Use

Clinician: Group/Practice, Clinician: Individual

Setting

0658: Appropriate Follow-Up Interval for Normal Colonoscopy in Average Risk PatientsOutpatient Services

0659: Colonoscopy Interval for Patients with a History of Adenomatous Polyps- Avoidance of Inappropriate Use

Inpatient/Hospital, Outpatient Services

Numerator Statement

0658: Appropriate Follow-Up Interval for Normal Colonoscopy in Average Risk Patients

Patients who had a recommended follow-up interval of at least 10 years for repeat colonoscopy documented in their colonoscopy report

0659: Colonoscopy Interval for Patients with a History of Adenomatous Polyps- Avoidance of Inappropriate Use

Patients who had an interval of 3 or more years since their last colonoscopy

Numerator Details

0658: Appropriate Follow-Up Interval for Normal Colonoscopy in Average Risk Patients

Patients will be counted in the numerator if it is documented in the final colonoscopy report that the appropriate follow-up interval for the next colonoscopy is at least 10 years from the date of the current colonoscopy (ie, the colonoscopy performed during the measurement period).

0659: Colonoscopy Interval for Patients with a History of Adenomatous Polyps- Avoidance of Inappropriate Use

Patients will be counted in the numerator if there is an interval of 3 or more years since their last colonoscopy.

Denominator Statement

0658: Appropriate Follow-Up Interval for Normal Colonoscopy in Average Risk Patients

All patients aged 50 years to 75 years and receiving screening a screening colonoscopy without biopsy or polypectomy

0659: Colonoscopy Interval for Patients with a History of Adenomatous Polyps- Avoidance of Inappropriate Use

All patients aged 18 years and older receiving a surveillance colonoscopy, with a history of a prior adenomatous polyp(s) in previous colonoscopy findings

Denominator Details

0658: Appropriate Follow-Up Interval for Normal Colonoscopy in Average Risk Patients

All patients aged 50 to 75 years of age receiving a screening colonoscopy without biopsy or polypectomy during the measurement period.

ICD-10-CM: Z12.11

AND

Patient encounter during the reporting period (CPT or HCPCS): 44388, 45378, G0121

WITHOUT

CPT Category I Modifiers: 52, 53, 73, 74

0659: Colonoscopy Interval for Patients with a History of Adenomatous Polyps- Avoidance of Inappropriate Use

The denominator of this measure includes patients at least 18 years of age receiving a surveillance colonoscopy during the measurement period with a history of a prior adenomatous polyp(s) in previous colonoscopy findings.

ICD-10-CM: Z86.010

AND

Patient encounter during the reporting period (CPT or HCPCS): 44388, 44389, 44392, 44394, 45378, 45380, 45381, 45384, 45385, G0105

WITHOUT

CPT Category I Modifiers: 52, 53, 73 or 74

Exclusions

0658: Appropriate Follow-Up Interval for Normal Colonoscopy in Average Risk Patients

Documentation of medical reason(s) for not recommending at least a 10 year follow-up interval (eg, inadequate prep,familial or personal history of colonic polyps, patient had no adenoma and age is >= 66 years old, or life expectancy < 10 years, other medical reasons)

0659: Colonoscopy Interval for Patients with a History of Adenomatous Polyps- Avoidance of Inappropriate Use

Documentation of medical reason(s) for an interval of less than 3 years since the last colonoscopy (eg, last colonoscopy incomplete, last colonoscopy had inadequate prep, piecemeal removal of adenomas, last colonoscopy found greater than 10 adenomas, or patient at high risk for colon cancer [Crohn's disease, ulcerative colitis, lower gastrointestinal bleeding, personal or family history of colon cancer])

Documentation of system reason(s) for an interval of less than 3 years since the last colonoscopy (eg, unable to locate previous colonoscopy report, previous colonoscopy report was incomplete)

Exclusion Details

0658: Appropriate Follow-Up Interval for Normal Colonoscopy in Average Risk Patients

The measure exception categories are not uniformly relevant across all measures; for each measure, there must be a clear rationale to permit an exception for a medical, patient, or system reason. Examples are provided in the measure exception language of instances that may constitute an exception and are intended to serve as a guide to clinicians. For measure 0658, exceptions may include medical reason(s) (eg, inadequate prep, other medical reasons) for not recommending at least a 10 year follow-up interval. Examples of exceptions are included in the measure language.

0659: Colonoscopy Interval for Patients with a History of Adenomatous Polyps- Avoidance of Inappropriate Use

Documentation of medical reason(s) for an interval of less than 3 years since the last colonoscopy (eg, last colonoscopy incomplete, last colonoscopy had inadequate prep, piecemeal removal of adenomas, last colonoscopy found greater than 10 adenomas, or patient at high risk for colon cancer [Crohn's disease, ulcerative colitis, lower gastrointestinal bleeding, personal or family history of colon cancer])

Documentation of system reason(s) for an interval of less than 3 years since the last colonoscopy (eg, unable to locate previous colonoscopy report, previous colonoscopy report was incomplete)

Risk Adjustment

0658: Appropriate Follow-Up Interval for Normal Colonoscopy in Average Risk PatientsNo risk adjustment or risk stratification

0659: Colonoscopy Interval for Patients with a History of Adenomatous Polyps- Avoidance of Inappropriate Use

No risk adjustment or risk stratification

Stratification

0658: Appropriate Follow-Up Interval for Normal Colonoscopy in Average Risk Patients

We encourage the results of this measure to be stratified by race, ethnicity, gender, and primary language.

0659: Colonoscopy Interval for Patients with a History of Adenomatous Polyps- Avoidance of Inappropriate Use

We encourage the results of this measure to be stratified by race, ethnicity, gender, and primary language.

Type Score

0658: Appropriate Follow-Up Interval for Normal Colonoscopy in Average Risk PatientsRate/proportion better quality = higher score

0659: Colonoscopy Interval for Patients with a History of Adenomatous Polyps- Avoidance of Inappropriate Use

Rate/proportion better quality = higher score

Algorithm

0658: Appropriate Follow-Up Interval for Normal Colonoscopy in Average Risk Patients

To calculate performance rates:

- 1)Find the patients who meet the initial patient population (ie, the general group of patients that the performance measure is designed to address).
- 2)From the patients within the initial patient population criteria, find the patients who qualify for the denominator (ie, the specific group of patients for inclusion in a specific performance measure based on defined criteria). Note: in some cases the initial patient population and denominator are identical.

- 3)From the patients within the denominator, find the patients who qualify for the Numerator (ie, the group of patients in the denominator for whom a process or outcome of care occurs). Validate that the number of patients in the numerator is less than or equal to the number of patients in the denominator
- 4) From the patients who did not meet the numerator criteria, determine if the physician has documented that the patient meets any criteria for denominator exception when exceptions have been specified [for this measure: medical reason(s) (eg, inadequate prep, familial or personal history of colonic polyps, patient had no adenoma and age is >= 66 years old, life expectancy < 10 years, other medical reasons)]. If the patient meets any exception criteria, they should be removed from the denominator for performance calculation. --Although the exception cases are removed from the denominator population for the performance calculation, the number of patients with valid exceptions should be calculated and reported along with performance rates to track variations in care and highlight possible areas of focus for QI.

If the patient does not meet the numerator and a valid exception is not present, this case represents performance not met.

0659: Colonoscopy Interval for Patients with a History of Adenomatous Polyps- Avoidance of Inappropriate Use

To calculate performance rates:

- 1) Find the patients who meet the initial patient population (ie, the general group of patients that a set of performance measures is designed to address).
- 2) From the patients within the initial patient population criteria, find the patients who qualify for the denominator (ie, the specific group of patients for inclusion in a specific performance measure based on defined criteria). Note: in some cases the initial patient population and denominator are identical.
- 3) From the patients within the denominator, find the patients who qualify for the Numerator (ie, the group of patients in the denominator for whom a process or outcome of care occurs). Validate that the number of patients in the numerator is less than or equal to the number of patients in the denominator
- 4) From the patients who did not meet the numerator criteria, determine if the physician has documented that the patient meets any criteria for denominator when exceptions have been specified [for this measure: medical reason(s) (eg, patients with high risk for colon cancer, last colonoscopy incomplete, last colonoscopy had inadequate prep, piecemeal removal of adenomas, or last colonoscopy found greater than 10 adenomas) or system reason(s) for an interval of less than 3 years since the last colonoscopy (eg, unable to locate previous colonoscopy report)]. If the patient meets any exception criteria, they should be removed from the denominator for performance calculation. --Although the exception cases are removed from the denominator population for the performance calculation, the exception rate (ie, percentage with valid exceptions) should be calculated and reported along with performance rates to track variations in care and highlight possible areas of focus for QI.

If the patient does not meet the numerator and a valid exception is not present, this case represents performance not met.

Submission items

0658: Appropriate Follow-Up Interval for Normal Colonoscopy in Average Risk Patients

5.1 Identified measures: 0659 : Colonoscopy Interval for Patients with a History of Adenomatous Polyps- Avoidance of Inappropriate Use

0572 : Follow-up after initial diagnosis and treatment of colorectal cancer: colonoscopy 5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized? No

5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact: The list of measures above, includes several different populations and capture different elements in the numerator. None of them are aiming to capture the same information as measure 0658. Measures 0572, ACP-018-10, and 0392 actually aim to capture specific elements within the colonoscopy report or pathology report (after colon/rectum resection). Measure 0034 intends to capture one of four different types of colorectal cancer screening tests, instead of looking specifically at the interval between colonoscopies. Measure 0659 focuses on a different patient population, as the patients in 0659 have had a history of a prior colonic polyp(s) in previous colonoscopy findings. The patient population in measure 0659 has a different follow up interval recommendation, according to evidence based guidelines.

5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value: There are no competing measures.

0659: Colonoscopy Interval for Patients with a History of Adenomatous Polyps- Avoidance of Inappropriate Use

5.1 Identified measures: 0658 : Appropriate Follow-Up Interval for Normal Colonoscopy in Average Risk Patients

ACP-018-10 : Endoscopy/Polyp Surveillance: Comprehensive Colonoscopy Documentation

0034: Colorectal Cancer Screening (COL)

0392: Colorectal Cancer Resection Pathology Reporting- pT category (primary tumor) and pN category (regional lymph nodes) with histologic grade

0572 : Follow-up after initial diagnosis and treatment of colorectal cancer: colonoscopy 5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized? No

5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact: The list of measures above, includes several different populations and capture different elements in the numerator. None of them are aiming to capture the same information as measure 0658. Measures 0572, ACP-018-10, and 0392 actually aim to capture specific elements within the colonoscopy report or pathology report (after colon/rectum resection). Measure 0034 has an entirely different patient population, as it captures patients ages 51-75 only. Measure 0658 focuses on a different patient population than measure 0659, as the patients in 0659 have had a history of a prior colonic polyp(s) in previous colonoscopy findings. The patient population in measure 0658 has a different follow up interval recommendation, according to evidence based guidelines.

5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value: There are no competing measures.

Comparison of NQF 3484 and NQF 0041

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

0041e: Preventive Care and Screening: Influenza Immunization

Steward

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

National Committee for Quality Assurance

0041e: Preventive Care and Screening: Influenza Immunization

PCPI

Description

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Percentage of deliveries in the measurement period in which women received influenza and tetanus, diphtheria toxoids and acellular pertussis (Tdap) vaccinations.

0041e: Preventive Care and Screening: Influenza Immunization

Percentage of patients aged 6 months and older seen for a visit between October 1 and March 31 who received an influenza immunization OR who reported previous receipt of an influenza immunization

Type

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Composite

0041e: Preventive Care and Screening: Influenza Immunization

Process

Data Source

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Claims, Electronic Health Data, Electronic Health Records, Enrollment Data, Management Data, Other, Registry Data This measure is specified for administrative claims, electronic health record, registry, health information exchange or case management data collected in the course of providing care to health plan members. NCQA collects the Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set (HEDIS) data for this measure directly from Health Management Organizations and Preferred Provider Organizations via NCQA's online data submission system.

No data collection instrument provided Attachment 3484_PRS_Value_Sets_Fall_2019-637093372926667747.xlsx

0041e: Preventive Care and Screening: Influenza Immunization

Electronic Health Records Not applicable

No data collection instrument provided Attachment Influenza_Immunization_Value_Sets_05102019.xlsx

Level

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Health Plan

0041e: Preventive Care and Screening: Influenza Immunization

Clinician: Group/Practice, Clinician: Individual

Setting

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Outpatient Services

0041e: Preventive Care and Screening: Influenza Immunization

Home Care, Other, Outpatient Services, Post-Acute Care Domiciliary

Numerator Statement

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Deliveries in which women received influenza and tetanus, diphtheria toxoids and acellular pertussis (Tdap) vaccinations.

0041e: Preventive Care and Screening: Influenza Immunization

Patients who received an influenza immunization OR who reported previous receipt of an influenza immunization

Numerator Details

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Deliveries during the measurement period in which women received influenza and tetanus, diphtheria toxoids and acellular pertussis (Tdap) vaccinations. Three numerators are reported:

Numerator 1: Deliveries where members received an influenza vaccine on or between July 1 of the year prior to the measurement period and the delivery date; or deliveries where members had an influenza virus vaccine adverse reaction any time during or before the Measurement Period.

Numerator 2: Deliveries where members received at least one Tdap vaccine during the pregnancy (including the delivery date); or deliveries where members had an anaphylactic reaction to Tdap or Td vaccine or its components any time during or before the Measurement Period or encephalopathy due to Td or Tdap vaccination (post-tetanus vaccination encephalitis, post-diphtheria vaccination encephalitis, post-pertussis vaccination encephalitis) any time during or before the Measurement Period.

Numerator 3: Deliveries that met criteria for both Numerator 1 and Numerator 2. See attached code value sets.

0041e: Preventive Care and Screening: Influenza Immunization

Time Period for Data Collection:

At least once during the measurement period

NUMERATOR DEFINITION:

Previous Receipt - receipt of the current season's influenza immunization from another provider OR from same provider prior to the visit to which the measure is applied (typically, prior vaccination would include influenza vaccine given since August 1st)

NUMERATOR GUIDANCE:

As a result of updated CDC/ACIP guidelines which include the interim recommendation that live attenuated influenza vaccine (LAIV) should not be used due to low effectiveness against influenza A(H1N1)pdm09 in the United States during the 2013-14 and 2015-16 seasons, the measure specifications have been updated and no longer include LAIV or intranasal flu vaccine as an option for numerator eligibility.

HQMF eCQM developed and is included in this submission.

Denominator Statement

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Deliveries that occurred during the measurement period.

0041e: Preventive Care and Screening: Influenza Immunization

All patients aged 6 months and older seen for a visit between October 1 and March 31

Denominator Details

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Deliveries that occurred during the measurement period.

Note: women who had multiple deliveries during the measurement period count multiple times.

0041e: Preventive Care and Screening: Influenza Immunization

Time Period for Data Collection: 12 consecutive months

DENOMINATOR GUIDANCE:

The timeframe for the visit during the "Encounter, Performed": "Encounter-Influenza" or "Procedure, Performed": "Peritoneal Dialysis" or "Procedure, Performed": "Hemodialysis" in the Population Criteria-Denominator, refers to the influenza season defined by the measure: October through March (October 1 for the year prior to the start of the reporting period through March 31 during the reporting period). The "Encounter-Influenza" Grouping OID detailed in the data criteria section below is comprised of several individual OIDs of different encounter types. The individual OIDs are included in the value set and should be reviewed to determine that an applicable visit occurred during the timeframe for "Encounter, Performed": "Encounter-Influenza" as specified in the denominator.

To enable reporting of this measure at the close of the reporting period, this measure will only assess the influenza season that ends in March of the reporting period. The subsequent influenza season (ending March of the following year) will be measured and reported in the following year.

HQMF eCQM developed and is included in this submission.

Exclusions

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Deliveries that occurred at less than 37 weeks gestation.

Deliveries in which women were in hospice during the measurement period.

0041e: Preventive Care and Screening: Influenza Immunization

Documentation of medical reason(s) for not receiving influenza immunization (e.g., patient allergy, other medical reasons)

Documentation of patient reason(s) for not receiving influenza immunization (e.g., patient declined, other patient reasons)

Documentation of system reason(s) for not receiving influenza immunization (e.g., vaccine not available, other system reasons)

Exclusion Details

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Exclude deliveries that occurred at 37 weeks of gestation or less.

Exclude deliveries where the woman was in hospice or using hospice services during the measurement period.

See attached code value sets.

0041e: Preventive Care and Screening: Influenza Immunization

Time Period for Data Collection: at the time of the denominator eligible encounter

The PCPI distinguishes between denominator exceptions and denominator exclusions.

Denominator exclusions arise when the clinical action indicated in the numerator is not appropriate for a particular group of patients who otherwise meet the denominator criteria. These are absolute and would be removed from the denominator of a measure in order to determine the eligible population.

Denominator exceptions are used to remove a patient from the denominator when the patient does not receive the action(s) required in the numerator AND that action(s) would not be appropriate due to a patient-specific reason(s). The patient would otherwise meet the denominator criteria. Exceptions are not absolute and are based on provider judgment or individual patient characteristics or preferences. The PCPI methodology includes two categories of exceptions for which a patient may be removed from the denominator of an individual measure: 1) medical OR 2) patient or non-medical reasons. These exception categories are not uniformly relevant across all measures. The denominator exception language may include specific examples of instances that may constitute an exception, which are intended to serve as a guide to providers. Where examples of exceptions are included in the measure language, value sets for these examples are developed and are included in the eCQM.

Although this methodology does not require the external reporting of more detailed exception data, the PCPI recommends that providers document the specific reasons for exception in patients' medical records for purposes of optimal patient management and audit-readiness. The PCPI also advocates the systematic review and analysis of each provider's exceptions data to identify practice patterns and opportunities for quality improvement.

Additional details: This measure includes denominator exceptions.

HQMF eCQM developed and is attached to this submission in fields S.2a and S.2b.

Risk Adjustment

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

No risk adjustment or risk stratification

0041e: Preventive Care and Screening: Influenza Immunization

No risk adjustment or risk stratification

Stratification

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Not applicable.

0041e: Preventive Care and Screening: Influenza Immunization

Consistent with CMS' Measures Management System Blueprint and recent national recommendations put forth by the IOM and NQF to standardize the collection of race and ethnicity data, we encourage the results of this measure to be stratified by race, ethnicity, administrative sex, and payer and have included these variables as recommended data elements to be collected.

Type Score

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Rate/proportion better quality = higher score

0041e: Preventive Care and Screening: Influenza Immunization

Rate/proportion better quality = higher score

Algorithm

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Step 1: Determine the eligible population. Identify all deliveries during the measurement period (January 1 – December 31) in which the patient was continuously enrolled from 28 days prior to delivery through the delivery date.

Step 2: Determine the denominator by excluding deliveries that occurred at less than 37 gestational weeks or where women were in hospice or using hospice services during the measurement period.

Step 3: Determine the numerators:

- -Numerator 1: deliveries where members received an influenza vaccine on or between July 1 of the year prior to the measurement period and the delivery date; or deliveries where members had an influenza virus vaccine adverse reaction any time during or before the Measurement Period.
- -Numerator 2: Deliveries where members received at least one Tdap vaccine during the pregnancy (including the delivery date); or deliveries where members had an aanaphylactic reaction to Tdap or Td vaccine or its components any time during or before the Measurement Period or encephalopathy due to Td or Tdap vaccination (post-tetanus vaccination encephalitis, post-diphtheria vaccination encephalitis, post-pertussis vaccination encephalitis) any time during or before the Measurement Period.
- -Numerator 3: Deliveries in which criteria was met for both Numerator 1 and Numerator 2.

Step 4: Calculate three measure rates:

- -Numerator 1 / Denominator
- -Numerator 2 / Denominator
- -Numerator 3 / Denominator

0041e: Preventive Care and Screening: Influenza Immunization

Calculating the performance rate:

- 1. Define the initial population. The initial population is identified through a common set of characteristics that define the overall group of patients or other unit of measurement targeted for evaluation
- 2. Define the denominator by identifying the subset of the initial population that meets the denominator criteria. Note: in some cases, the initial population and denominator are identical
- 3. Determine the numerator by identifying the subset of the denominator that meets the numerator criteria
- 4. From the patients who did not meet the numerator criteria, determine if the provider has documented whether each patient represents an exception. Subtract from the denominator those patients that meet the conditions for a denominator exception; although the exception cases are removed from the denominator for the measure calculation, the exception rate (i.e., percentage of patients with valid exceptions) should be calculated and reported along with performance rates to highlight variations in care
- 5. Calculate the performance rate

A patient not meeting the numerator criteria and without a valid and documented exception represents a quality failure.

Submission items

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

5.1 Identified measures: 0680 : Percent of Residents Who Were Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Short Stay)

0681: Percent of Residents Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Long Stay)

0039: Flu Vaccinations for Adults Ages 18 and Older

0041: Preventive Care and Screening: Influenza Immunization

0431: INFLUENZA VACCINATION COVERAGE AMONG HEALTHCARE PERSONNEL

1659: Influenza Immunization

5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized? No

5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact: This measure specifically assesses immunizations administered during prenatal care. Other related measures assess broader populations and older adults, and do not provide information about the quality of care provided to pregnant women.

5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value: Not applicable.

0041e: Preventive Care and Screening: Influenza Immunization

5.1 Identified measures: 0680 : Percent of Residents Who Were Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Short Stay)

0681: Percent of Residents Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Long Stay)

0226: Influenza Immunization in the ESRD Population (Facility Level)

0039: Flu Vaccinations for Adults Ages 18 and Older

0431 : INFLUENZA VACCINATION COVERAGE AMONG HEALTHCARE PERSONNEL

0522: Influenza Immunization Received for Current Flu Season (Home Health)

1659: Influenza Immunization

5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized? No

5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact: Related measures have differing target populations from measure 0041 Preventive Care and Screening: Influenza Immunization. Measure #0041 is intended to evaluate adherence to the current recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices for all persons aged >=6 months who do not have contraindications. Measure #0039 - Flu Vaccinations for Adults ages 18 and Older focuses on the self-reported receipt of influenza vaccination among adults using the CAHPS survey. Measure #0226 - Influenza Immunization in the ESRD Population is a facility level measure focused on influenza vaccination among end stage renal disease (ESRD) patients receiving hemodialysis or peritoneal dialysis. Measure #0431 - Influenza Vaccination Coverage Among Healthcare Personnel focuses on influenza vaccination among healthcare workers. Measure #0522 Influenza Immunization Received for Current Flu Season (Home Health) evaluates influenza immunization during home health episodes of care. Measure # 0680 Percent of Residents or Patients Who Were Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (short stay) applies to patients of Inpatient Rehabilitation Facilities and Long-Term Care Hospitals, and to shortstay nursing home residents. Measure #0681 - Percent of Residents Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (long stay) assess influenza vaccination among long-stay nursing facility residents. Measure #1659 Influenza Immunization is limited to the assessment of influenza vaccination upon discharge from the inpatient setting.

5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value:

Comparison of NQF 3484 and NQF 0431

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

0431: Influenza Vaccination Coverage Among Healthcare Personnel

Steward

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

National Committee for Quality Assurance

0431: Influenza Vaccination Coverage Among Healthcare Personnel

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Description

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Percentage of deliveries in the measurement period in which women received influenza and tetanus, diphtheria toxoids and acellular pertussis (Tdap) vaccinations.

0431: Influenza Vaccination Coverage Among Healthcare Personnel

Percentage of healthcare personnel (HCP) who receive the influenza vaccination.

Type

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Composite

0431: Influenza Vaccination Coverage Among Healthcare Personnel

Process

Data Source

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Claims, Electronic Health Data, Electronic Health Records, Enrollment Data, Management Data, Other, Registry Data This measure is specified for administrative claims, electronic health record, registry, health information exchange or case management data collected in the course of providing care to health plan members. NCQA collects the Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set (HEDIS) data for this measure directly from Health Management Organizations and Preferred Provider Organizations via NCQA's online data submission system.

No data collection instrument provided Attachment 3484_PRS_Value_Sets_Fall_2019-637093372926667747.xlsx

0431: Influenza Vaccination Coverage Among Healthcare Personnel

Electronic Health Records, Instrument-Based Data, Management Data, Other, Paper Medical Records Data sources for required data elements include management/personnel data, medical or occupational health records, vaccination record documents, HCP self-reporting in writing (paper or electronic) that vaccination was received elsewhere, HCP providing documentation of receipt of vaccine elsewhere, verbal or written declination by HCP, and verbal or written documentation of medical contraindications.

Available at measure-specific web page URL identified in S.1 Attachment HCP Flu Data Dictionary-635049906022226964.docx

Level

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Health Plan

0431: Influenza Vaccination Coverage Among Healthcare Personnel

Facility

Settina

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Outpatient Services

0431: Influenza Vaccination Coverage Among Healthcare Personnel

Inpatient/Hospital, Outpatient Services, Post-Acute Care

Numerator Statement

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Deliveries in which women received influenza and tetanus, diphtheria toxoids and acellular pertussis (Tdap) vaccinations.

0431: Influenza Vaccination Coverage Among Healthcare Personnel

HCP in the denominator population who during the time from October 1 (or when the vaccine became available) through March 31 of the following year:

- (a) received an influenza vaccination administered at the healthcare facility, or reported in writing (paper or electronic) or provided documentation that influenza vaccination was received elsewhere; or
- (b) were determined to have a medical contraindication/condition of severe allergic reaction to eggs or to other component(s) of the vaccine, or history of Guillain-Barré Syndrome within 6 weeks after a previous influenza vaccination; or
- (c) declined influenza vaccination

Each of the three submeasure numerators described above will be calculated and reported separately, alongside the overall numerator calculated as the aggregate of the three submeasure numerators.

Numerator Details

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Deliveries during the measurement period in which women received influenza and tetanus, diphtheria toxoids and acellular pertussis (Tdap) vaccinations. Three numerators are reported:

Numerator 1: Deliveries where members received an influenza vaccine on or between July 1 of the year prior to the measurement period and the delivery date; or deliveries where members had an influenza virus vaccine adverse reaction any time during or before the Measurement Period.

Numerator 2: Deliveries where members received at least one Tdap vaccine during the pregnancy (including the delivery date); or deliveries where members had an anaphylactic reaction to Tdap or Td vaccine or its components any time during or before the Measurement Period or encephalopathy due to Td or Tdap vaccination (post-tetanus vaccination encephalitis, post-diphtheria vaccination encephalitis, post-pertussis vaccination encephalitis) any time during or before the Measurement Period.

Numerator 3: Deliveries that met criteria for both Numerator 1 and Numerator 2. See attached code value sets.

0431: Influenza Vaccination Coverage Among Healthcare Personnel

- 1. Persons who declined vaccination because of conditions other than those specified in the 2nd numerator category above should be categorized as declined vaccination.
- 2. Persons who declined vaccination and did not provide any other information should be categorized as declined vaccination.
- 3. Persons who did not receive vaccination because of religious or philosophical exemptions should be categorized as declined vaccination.
- 4. Persons who deferred vaccination all season should be categorized as declined vaccination.

Denominator Statement

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Deliveries that occurred during the measurement period.

0431: Influenza Vaccination Coverage Among Healthcare Personnel

Number of HCP in groups(a)-(c) below who are working in the healthcare facility for at least 1 working day between October 1 and March 31 of the following year, regardless of clinical responsibility or patient contact.

Denominator is reported in the aggregate; rates for each HCP group may be calculated separately for facility-level quality improvement purposes:

- (a) Employees: all persons who receive a direct paycheck from the reporting facility (i.e., on the facility's payroll).
- (b) Licensed independent practitioners: include physicians (MD, DO), advanced practice nurses, and physician assistants only who are affiliated with the reporting facility who do not receive a direct paycheck from the reporting facility.
- (c) Adult students/trainees and volunteers: include all students/trainees and volunteers aged 18 or over who do not receive a direct paycheck from the reporting facility.

Denominator Details

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Deliveries that occurred during the measurement period.

Note: women who had multiple deliveries during the measurement period count multiple times.

0431: Influenza Vaccination Coverage Among Healthcare Personnel

- 1. Include all HCP in each of the denominator categories who have worked at the facility between October 1 and March 31 for at least 1 working day. This includes persons who joined after October 1 or who left before March 31, or who were on extended leave during part of the reporting period. Working for any number of hours in a day should be counted as a working day.
- 2. Include both full-time and part-time personnel. If a person works in two or more facilities, each facility should include the person in their denominator.
- 3. Count persons as individuals rather than full-time equivalents.
- 4. Licensed practitioners who receive a direct paycheck from the reporting facility, or who are owners of the reporting facility, should be counted as employees.

Exclusions

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Deliveries that occurred at less than 37 weeks gestation.

Deliveries in which women were in hospice during the measurement period.

0431: Influenza Vaccination Coverage Among Healthcare Personnel

None.

Exclusion Details

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Exclude deliveries that occurred at 37 weeks of gestation or less.

Exclude deliveries where the woman was in hospice or using hospice services during the measurement period.

See attached code value sets.

0431: Influenza Vaccination Coverage Among Healthcare Personnel

Not applicable.

Risk Adjustment

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

No risk adjustment or risk stratification

0431: Influenza Vaccination Coverage Among Healthcare Personnel

No risk adjustment or risk stratification

Stratification

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Not applicable.

0431: Influenza Vaccination Coverage Among Healthcare Personnel

The measure should be calculated separately for each denominator group of healthcare personnel: employees; licensed independent practitioners; and adult students/trainees and volunteers. Definitions for these groups are as follows:

- (a) Employees: all persons who receive a direct paycheck from the reporting facility (i.e., on the facility's payroll).
- (b) Licensed independent practitioners: physicians (MD, DO), advanced practice nurses, and physician assistants who are affiliated with the reporting facility, but are not directly employed by it (i.e., they do not receive a paycheck from the facility), regardless of clinical responsibility or patient contact. Post-residency fellows are also included in this category if they are not on the facility's payroll.
- (c) Adult students/trainees and volunteers: medical, nursing, or other health professional students, interns, medical residents, or volunteers aged 18 or older who are affiliated with the healthcare facility, but are not directly employed by it (i.e., they do not receive a paycheck from the facility), regardless of clinical responsibility or patient contact.

Type Score

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Rate/proportion better quality = higher score

0431: Influenza Vaccination Coverage Among Healthcare Personnel

Rate/proportion better quality = higher score

Algorithm

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Step 1: Determine the eligible population. Identify all deliveries during the measurement period (January 1 – December 31) in which the patient was continuously enrolled from 28 days prior to delivery through the delivery date.

Step 2: Determine the denominator by excluding deliveries that occurred at less than 37 gestational weeks or where women were in hospice or using hospice services during the measurement period.

Step 3: Determine the numerators:

- -Numerator 1: deliveries where members received an influenza vaccine on or between July 1 of the year prior to the measurement period and the delivery date; or deliveries where members had an influenza virus vaccine adverse reaction any time during or before the Measurement Period.
- -Numerator 2: Deliveries where members received at least one Tdap vaccine during the pregnancy (including the delivery date); or deliveries where members had an aanaphylactic reaction to Tdap or Td vaccine or its components any time during or before the Measurement Period or encephalopathy due to Td or Tdap vaccination (post-tetanus vaccination encephalitis, post-diphtheria vaccination encephalitis, post-pertussis vaccination encephalitis) any time during or before the Measurement Period.
- -Numerator 3: Deliveries in which criteria was met for both Numerator 1 and Numerator 2.

Step 4: Calculate three measure rates:

- -Numerator 1 / Denominator
- -Numerator 2 / Denominator
- -Numerator 3 / Denominator

0431: Influenza Vaccination Coverage Among Healthcare Personnel

Among each of the denominator groups, the measure may be calculated by dividing the number of HCP in the first numerator category (i.e., received an influenza vaccination) by the number of HCP in that denominator group, and multiplying by 100 to produce a vaccination rate expressed as a percentage of all HCP in the denominator group. Rates of medical contraindications, declinations, and unknown vaccination status can be calculated similarly using the second, third, and fourth numerator categories, respectively.

As noted above, numerator categories should not be summed; each numerator status should be calculated and reported separately.

Submission items

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

5.1 Identified measures: 0680 : Percent of Residents Who Were Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Short Stay)

0681: Percent of Residents Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Long Stay)

0039: Flu Vaccinations for Adults Ages 18 and Older

0041: Preventive Care and Screening: Influenza Immunization

0431: INFLUENZA VACCINATION COVERAGE AMONG HEALTHCARE PERSONNEL

1659: Influenza Immunization

5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized? No

5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact: This measure specifically assesses immunizations administered during prenatal care. Other related measures assess broader populations and older adults, and do not provide information about the quality of care provided to pregnant women.

5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value: Not applicable.

0431: Influenza Vaccination Coverage Among Healthcare Personnel

5.1 Identified measures:

- 5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized? Yes
- 5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact:
- 5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value: Not applicable.

Comparison of NQF 3484 and NQF 0680

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

0680: Percent of Residents Who Were Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Short Stay)

Steward

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

National Committee for Quality Assurance

0680: Percent of Residents Who Were Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Short Stay)

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

Description

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Percentage of deliveries in the measurement period in which women received influenza and tetanus, diphtheria toxoids and acellular pertussis (Tdap) vaccinations.

0680: Percent of Residents Who Were Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Short Stay)

The measure reports the percentage of short-stay residents who were assessed and appropriately given the influenza vaccine during the most recent influenza season. The influenza vaccination season (IVS) is defined as beginning on October 1, or when the vaccine first becomes available*, and ends on March 31 of the following year. This measure is based on the NQF's National Voluntary Standards for Influenza and Pneumococcal Immunizations.

The measure is the aggregate of three separately calculated submeasures to reflect the process by which a resident is assessed and appropriately given the influenza vaccination during the current or most recent influenza season.

The three submeasures are as follows:

- Percent of residents who received the seasonal influenza vaccine (Short Stay) (NQF #0680a);
- Percent of residents who were offered and declined the seasonal influenza vaccine (Short Stay) (NQF #0680b);
- Percent of residents who did not receive, due to medical contraindication, the seasonal influenza vaccine (Short Stay) (NQF #0680c).
- *Note: While the IVS officially begins when the vaccine becomes available, which may be before October 1, the denominator time window for the quality measure and references to the IVS for the denominator specification is from October 1 to March 31 of the following year. The numerator time window and references to the IVS in the numerator

specifications may include residents who were assessed and offered the vaccine before October 1. This is based on how the influenza items were coded by the facility.

The denominator consists of short-stay residents, 180 days of age or older on the target date of assessment, who were in the facility for at least one day during the most recently-completed IVS. The measure is based on data from the Minimum Data Set (MDS) assessments of nursing home residents.

The measure is limited to short-stay residents, identified as residents who have had 100 or fewer days of nursing home care.

Type

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Composite

0680: Percent of Residents Who Were Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Short Stay)

Process

Data Source

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Claims, Electronic Health Data, Electronic Health Records, Enrollment Data, Management Data, Other, Registry Data This measure is specified for administrative claims, electronic health record, registry, health information exchange or case management data collected in the course of providing care to health plan members. NCQA collects the Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set (HEDIS) data for this measure directly from Health Management Organizations and Preferred Provider Organizations via NCQA's online data submission system.

No data collection instrument provided Attachment 3484_PRS_Value_Sets_Fall_2019-637093372926667747.xlsx

0680: Percent of Residents Who Were Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Short Stay)

Assessment Data Nursing Home Minimum Data Set 3.0, Inpatient Rehabilitation Facility Patient Assessment Instrument (IRF-PAI), LTCH Continuity Assessment Record & Evaluation (Care) Data Set

Available at measure-specific web page URL identified in S.1 No data dictionary

Level

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Health Plan

0680: Percent of Residents Who Were Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Short Stay)

Facility

Setting

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Outpatient Services

0680: Percent of Residents Who Were Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Short Stay)

Other Nursing Home Care

Numerator Statement

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Deliveries in which women received influenza and tetanus, diphtheria toxoids and acellular pertussis (Tdap) vaccinations.

0680: Percent of Residents Who Were Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Short Stay)

The numerator for the overall measure (NQF #0680) is the number of residents in the denominator sample who, during the numerator time window, meet any one of the following criteria: (1) those who received the seasonal influenza vaccine during the most recently-completed influenza season, either in the facility or outside the facility (NQF #0680a); (2) those who were offered and declined the seasonal influenza vaccine (NQF #0680b); or (3) those who were ineligible due to medical contraindication(s) (NQF #0680c). The numerator time window coincides with the most recently-completed seasonal IVS which begins on October 1 and ends on March 31 of the following year.

Each of the three submeasure numerators described above will be computed and reportedly separately, alongside the overall numerator calculated as the aggregate of the three submeasure numerators.

Numerator Details

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Deliveries during the measurement period in which women received influenza and tetanus, diphtheria toxoids and acellular pertussis (Tdap) vaccinations. Three numerators are reported:

Numerator 1: Deliveries where members received an influenza vaccine on or between July 1 of the year prior to the measurement period and the delivery date; or deliveries where members had an influenza virus vaccine adverse reaction any time during or before the Measurement Period.

Numerator 2: Deliveries where members received at least one Tdap vaccine during the pregnancy (including the delivery date); or deliveries where members had an anaphylactic reaction to Tdap or Td vaccine or its components any time during or before the Measurement Period or encephalopathy due to Td or Tdap vaccination (post-tetanus vaccination encephalitis, post-diphtheria vaccination encephalitis, post-pertussis vaccination encephalitis) any time during or before the Measurement Period.

Numerator 3: Deliveries that met criteria for both Numerator 1 and Numerator 2. See attached code value sets.

0680: Percent of Residents Who Were Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Short Stay)

The numerator for the overall measure (NQF #0680) includes all short-stay residents in the denominator sample who, during the numerator time window, meet one of three criteria: (1) received the seasonal influenza vaccine during the most recent influenza season, either

inside or outside the facility, (2) were offered and declined the vaccine, or (3) were ineligible due to medical contraindication(s).

The numerator components are also computed and reportedly separately as a submeasure.

Residents are counted if they are short-stay residents, defined as residents whose length of stay is less than or equal to 100 days. Short-stay residents are included in the numerator for the overall measure (NQF #0680) if they meet any of the following criteria during the numerator time window: (1) received the influenza vaccine during the most recent influenza vaccine season, either in the facility (O0250A = [1]) or outside the facility (O0250C = [2]) (also computed and reportedly separately as a submeasure); or (2) offered and declined the influenza vaccine (O0250C = [4]) (also computed and reportedly separately as a submeasure); or (3) ineligible due to medical contraindication(s) (O0250C = [3]) (also computed and reportedly separately as a submeasure). Included in the numerator are short-stay residents who meet the criteria on the selected MDS assessment. The record selected will be the record with the latest target date that meets all of the following conditions: (1) it has a qualifying reason for assessment (OBRA (A0310A = [01, 02, 03, 04, 05, 06]), PPS (A0310B = [01, 02, 03, 04, 05, 06]) or discharge assessment (A0310F = [10, 11]), (2) the target date is on or after October 1st of the most recently completed influenza season, and (3) the entry date is on or before March 31st of the most recently completed influenza season.

Denominator Statement

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Deliveries that occurred during the measurement period.

0680: Percent of Residents Who Were Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Short Stay)

The denominator consists of short-stay residents 180 days of age and older on the target date of the assessment who were in the facility for at least one day during the denominator time window. The denominator time window is defined as the most recently-completed IVS, from October 1 to March 31 of the following year. If a nursing home resident has more than one episode during the denominator time window only the more recent episode is included in this QM.

Denominator Details

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Deliveries that occurred during the measurement period.

Note: women who had multiple deliveries during the measurement period count multiple times.

0680: Percent of Residents Who Were Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Short Stay)

The denominator time window is defined as the most recently-completed IVS, from October 1 to March 31 of the following year. If a nursing home resident has more than one episode during the denominator time window only the more recent episode is included in this QM.

Residents are counted if they are short-stay residents, defined as residents whose length of stay is less than or equal to 100 days. The sample includes residents, aged 180 days or

older, meeting the following conditions: the resident has an OBRA assessment (A0310A = [01, 02, 03, 04, 05, 06]) or PPS assessment (A0310B = [01, 02, 03, 04, 05, 06]) or discharge assessment (A0310F = [10, 11]) with an assessment reference date on or after the start of the denominator time window and an entry date (A1600) on or before the end of the denominator time window.

Exclusions

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Deliveries that occurred at less than 37 weeks gestation.

Deliveries in which women were in hospice during the measurement period.

0680: Percent of Residents Who Were Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Short Stay)

Residents whose age is 179 days of less of age on target date of the selected influenza vaccination assessment are excluded. Nursing homes with denominator counts of less than 20 residents in the sample are excluded from public reporting due to small sample size.

Exclusion Details

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Exclude deliveries that occurred at 37 weeks of gestation or less.

Exclude deliveries where the woman was in hospice or using hospice services during the measurement period.

See attached code value sets.

0680: Percent of Residents Who Were Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Short Stay)

Residents with age 179 days or less are excluded, with age calculation based on the resident's birthdate and the target date of the selected influenza vaccination assessment.

Risk Adjustment

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

No risk adjustment or risk stratification

0680: Percent of Residents Who Were Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Short Stay)

No risk adjustment or risk stratification

Stratification

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Not applicable.

0680: Percent of Residents Who Were Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Short Stay)

This section is not applicable.

Type Score

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Rate/proportion better quality = higher score

0680: Percent of Residents Who Were Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Short Stay)

Rate/proportion better quality = higher score

Algorithm

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Step 1: Determine the eligible population. Identify all deliveries during the measurement period (January 1 – December 31) in which the patient was continuously enrolled from 28 days prior to delivery through the delivery date.

Step 2: Determine the denominator by excluding deliveries that occurred at less than 37 gestational weeks or where women were in hospice or using hospice services during the measurement period.

Step 3: Determine the numerators:

-Numerator 1: deliveries where members received an influenza vaccine on or between July 1 of the year prior to the measurement period and the delivery date; or deliveries where members had an influenza virus vaccine adverse reaction any time during or before the Measurement Period.

-Numerator 2: Deliveries where members received at least one Tdap vaccine during the pregnancy (including the delivery date); or deliveries where members had an aanaphylactic reaction to Tdap or Td vaccine or its components any time during or before the Measurement Period or encephalopathy due to Td or Tdap vaccination (post-tetanus vaccination encephalitis, post-diphtheria vaccination encephalitis, post-pertussis vaccination encephalitis) any time during or before the Measurement Period.

-Numerator 3: Deliveries in which criteria was met for both Numerator 1 and Numerator 2.

Step 4: Calculate three measure rates:

- -Numerator 1 / Denominator
- -Numerator 2 / Denominator
- -Numerator 3 / Denominator

0680: Percent of Residents Who Were Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Short Stay)

The calculation algorithm for the overall measure and submeasures a-c are:

Step 1: Identify the total number of residents meeting the denominator criteria.

Step 2: For the first submeasure (NQF #0680a: Percent of Residents Who Received the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Short Stay)):

Step 2a: Identify the total number of short-stay residents who received the seasonal influenza vaccine during the current or most recently completed influenza season, either in the facility (00250A = [1]) or outside the facility (00250C = [2]).

Step 2b: Divide the results of Step 2a by the result of Step 1.

Step 3: For the second submeasure (NQF #0680b: Percent of Residents Who Were Offered and Declined the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Short Stay)):

Step 3a: Identify the total number of short-stay residents who were offered and declined the seasonal influenza vaccine (O0250C = [4]).

Step 3b: Divide the results of Step 3a by the result of Step 1.

Step 4: For the third submeasure (NQF #0680c): Percent of Residents Who Did Not Receive, Due to Medical Contraindication, the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Short Stay)):

Step 4a: Identify the total number of short-stay residents who were ineligible due to medical contraindication(s) (O0250C = [3]).

Step 4b: Divide the results of Step 4a by the result of Step 1.

Step 5: For the overall measure (NQF #0680): Percent of Residents Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Short Stay)):

Step 5a: Aggregate Step 2a, 3a, and 4a [Sum the total number of short-stay residents who met any one of the following criteria: who received the seasonal influenza vaccine during the current or most recently completed influenza season, either in the facility (O0250A = [1]) or outside the facility (O0250C = [2]); OR who were offered and declined the seasonal influenza vaccine (O0250C = [4]); OR who were ineligible due to medical contraindication(s) (O0250C = [3]).]

Step 5b: Divide the results of Step 5a by the result of Step 1.

Submission items

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

5.1 Identified measures: 0680 : Percent of Residents Who Were Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Short Stay)

0681 : Percent of Residents Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Long Stay)

0039: Flu Vaccinations for Adults Ages 18 and Older

0041: Preventive Care and Screening: Influenza Immunization

0431: INFLUENZA VACCINATION COVERAGE AMONG HEALTHCARE PERSONNEL

1659: Influenza Immunization

5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized? No

5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact: This measure specifically assesses immunizations administered during prenatal care. Other related measures assess broader populations and older adults, and do not provide information about the quality of care provided to pregnant women.

5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value: Not applicable.

0680: Percent of Residents Who Were Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Short Stay)

5.1 Identified measures: 0681: Percent of Residents Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Long Stay)

1659: Influenza Immunization

5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized? Yes

5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact:

5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value: The current measure for Nursing Homes is expanded to both additional post-acute care settings (LTCHs and IRFs), as well as to additional data sources (MDS 3.0 remained the data source of nursing homes, IRF-PAI is the data source for IRFs, and the LTCH CARE Data Set is the data source for LTCHs). The proposed measure is harmonized to the NQF Voluntary Consensus Standards for Influenza and Pneumococcal Immunizations.

A possible competing measure is the National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA) measure titled: Flu vaccinations for adults ages 65 and older: percentage of Medicare members 65 years of age and older who received an influenza vaccination between July 1 of the measurement year and the date when Medicare CAHPS survey was completed.

This NCQA measure is based on the CAHPS Health Plan Survey and targets a different and non-institutionalized population, so while this is a related measure, it does not complete with NQF #0680, which provides distinctive value.

Another possible competing measure for IRFs and LTCHs is NQF #1659 titled: Influenza Immunization for Hospital/Acute Care Facility AND Institute for Clinical Systems (ICS). The measure suggests immunizations of adult patients 18 years and older to be up to date with all immunization vaccines with follow up time periods.

NQF #1659 targets a different population in multiple settings and does not include those assessed but not given the vaccine. ICS is not NQF endorsed and has a different target population with a broader numerator (multiple other vaccines). NQF #0680 targets a different population in multiple settings, so while it is a related measure, it does not compete with NQF# 0680.

Comparison of NQF 3484 and NQF 0680

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

0680: Percent of Residents Who Were Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Short Stay)

Steward

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

National Committee for Quality Assurance

0680: Percent of Residents Who Were Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Short Stay)

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

Description

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Percentage of deliveries in the measurement period in which women received influenza and tetanus, diphtheria toxoids and acellular pertussis (Tdap) vaccinations.

0680: Percent of Residents Who Were Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Short Stay)

The measure reports the percentage of short-stay residents who were assessed and appropriately given the influenza vaccine during the most recent influenza season. The influenza vaccination season (IVS) is defined as beginning on October 1, or when the vaccine first becomes available*, and ends on March 31 of the following year. This measure is based on the NQF's National Voluntary Standards for Influenza and Pneumococcal Immunizations.

The measure is the aggregate of three separately calculated submeasures to reflect the process by which a resident is assessed and appropriately given the influenza vaccination during the current or most recent influenza season.

The three submeasures are as follows:

- Percent of residents who received the seasonal influenza vaccine (Short Stay) (NQF #0680a);
- Percent of residents who were offered and declined the seasonal influenza vaccine (Short Stay) (NQF #0680b);
- Percent of residents who did not receive, due to medical contraindication, the seasonal influenza vaccine (Short Stay) (NQF #0680c).

*Note: While the IVS officially begins when the vaccine becomes available, which may be before October 1, the denominator time window for the quality measure and references to the IVS for the denominator specification is from October 1 to March 31 of the following year. The numerator time window and references to the IVS in the numerator specifications may include residents who were assessed and offered the vaccine before October 1. This is based on how the influenza items were coded by the facility.

The denominator consists of short-stay residents, 180 days of age or older on the target date of assessment, who were in the facility for at least one day during the most recently-completed IVS. The measure is based on data from the Minimum Data Set (MDS) assessments of nursing home residents.

The measure is limited to short-stay residents, identified as residents who have had 100 or fewer days of nursing home care.

Type

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Composite

0680: Percent of Residents Who Were Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Short Stay)

Process

Data Source

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Claims, Electronic Health Data, Electronic Health Records, Enrollment Data, Management Data, Other, Registry Data This measure is specified for administrative claims, electronic health record, registry, health information exchange or case management data collected in the course of providing care to health plan members. NCQA collects the Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set (HEDIS) data for this measure directly from Health Management Organizations and Preferred Provider Organizations via NCQA's online data submission system.

No data collection instrument provided Attachment 3484_PRS_Value_Sets_Fall_2019-637093372926667747.xlsx

0680: Percent of Residents Who Were Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Short Stay)

Assessment Data Nursing Home Minimum Data Set 3.0, Inpatient Rehabilitation Facility Patient Assessment Instrument (IRF-PAI), LTCH Continuity Assessment Record & Evaluation (Care) Data Set

Available at measure-specific web page URL identified in S.1 No data dictionary

Level

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Health Plan

0680: Percent of Residents Who Were Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Short Stay)

Facility

Setting

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Outpatient Services

0680: Percent of Residents Who Were Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Short Stay)

Other Nursing Home Care

Numerator Statement

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Deliveries in which women received influenza and tetanus, diphtheria toxoids and acellular pertussis (Tdap) vaccinations.

0680: Percent of Residents Who Were Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Short Stay)

The numerator for the overall measure (NQF #0680) is the number of residents in the denominator sample who, during the numerator time window, meet any one of the following criteria: (1) those who received the seasonal influenza vaccine during the most recently-completed influenza season, either in the facility or outside the facility (NQF #0680a); (2) those who were offered and declined the seasonal influenza vaccine (NQF #0680b); or (3) those who were ineligible due to medical contraindication(s) (NQF #0680c). The numerator time window coincides with the most recently-completed seasonal IVS which begins on October 1 and ends on March 31 of the following year.

Each of the three submeasure numerators described above will be computed and reportedly separately, alongside the overall numerator calculated as the aggregate of the three submeasure numerators.

Numerator Details

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Deliveries during the measurement period in which women received influenza and tetanus, diphtheria toxoids and acellular pertussis (Tdap) vaccinations. Three numerators are reported:

Numerator 1: Deliveries where members received an influenza vaccine on or between July 1 of the year prior to the measurement period and the delivery date; or deliveries where members had an influenza virus vaccine adverse reaction any time during or before the Measurement Period.

Numerator 2: Deliveries where members received at least one Tdap vaccine during the pregnancy (including the delivery date); or deliveries where members had an anaphylactic reaction to Tdap or Td vaccine or its components any time during or before the

Measurement Period or encephalopathy due to Td or Tdap vaccination (post-tetanus vaccination encephalitis, post-diphtheria vaccination encephalitis, post-pertussis vaccination encephalitis) any time during or before the Measurement Period.

Numerator 3: Deliveries that met criteria for both Numerator 1 and Numerator 2. See attached code value sets.

0680: Percent of Residents Who Were Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Short Stay)

The numerator for the overall measure (NQF #0680) includes all short-stay residents in the denominator sample who, during the numerator time window, meet one of three criteria: (1) received the seasonal influenza vaccine during the most recent influenza season, either inside or outside the facility, (2) were offered and declined the vaccine, or (3) were ineligible due to medical contraindication(s).

The numerator components are also computed and reportedly separately as a submeasure.

Residents are counted if they are short-stay residents, defined as residents whose length of stay is less than or equal to 100 days. Short-stay residents are included in the numerator for the overall measure (NQF #0680) if they meet any of the following criteria during the numerator time window: (1) received the influenza vaccine during the most recent influenza vaccine season, either in the facility (O0250A = [1]) or outside the facility (O0250C = [2]) (also computed and reportedly separately as a submeasure); or (2) offered and declined the influenza vaccine (O0250C = [4]) (also computed and reportedly separately as a submeasure); or (3) ineligible due to medical contraindication(s) (00250C = [3]) (also computed and reportedly separately as a submeasure). Included in the numerator are short-stay residents who meet the criteria on the selected MDS assessment. The record selected will be the record with the latest target date that meets all of the following conditions: (1) it has a qualifying reason for assessment (OBRA (A0310A = [01, 02, 03, 04, 05, 06]), PPS (A0310B = [01, 02, 03, 04, 05, 06]) or discharge assessment (A0310F = [10, 11]), (2) the target date is on or after October 1st of the most recently completed influenza season, and (3) the entry date is on or before March 31st of the most recently completed influenza season.

Denominator Statement

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Deliveries that occurred during the measurement period.

0680: Percent of Residents Who Were Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Short Stay)

The denominator consists of short-stay residents 180 days of age and older on the target date of the assessment who were in the facility for at least one day during the denominator time window. The denominator time window is defined as the most recently-completed IVS, from October 1 to March 31 of the following year. If a nursing home resident has more than one episode during the denominator time window only the more recent episode is included in this QM.

Denominator Details

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Deliveries that occurred during the measurement period.

Note: women who had multiple deliveries during the measurement period count multiple times.

0680: Percent of Residents Who Were Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Short Stay)

The denominator time window is defined as the most recently-completed IVS, from October 1 to March 31 of the following year. If a nursing home resident has more than one episode during the denominator time window only the more recent episode is included in this QM.

Residents are counted if they are short-stay residents, defined as residents whose length of stay is less than or equal to 100 days. The sample includes residents, aged 180 days or older, meeting the following conditions: the resident has an OBRA assessment (A0310A = [01, 02, 03, 04, 05, 06]) or PPS assessment (A0310B = [01, 02, 03, 04, 05, 06]) or discharge assessment (A0310F = [10, 11]) with an assessment reference date on or after the start of the denominator time window and an entry date (A1600) on or before the end of the denominator time window.

Exclusions

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Deliveries that occurred at less than 37 weeks gestation.

Deliveries in which women were in hospice during the measurement period.

0680: Percent of Residents Who Were Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Short Stay)

Residents whose age is 179 days of less of age on target date of the selected influenza vaccination assessment are excluded. Nursing homes with denominator counts of less than 20 residents in the sample are excluded from public reporting due to small sample size.

Exclusion Details

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Exclude deliveries that occurred at 37 weeks of gestation or less.

Exclude deliveries where the woman was in hospice or using hospice services during the measurement period.

See attached code value sets.

0680: Percent of Residents Who Were Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Short Stay)

Residents with age 179 days or less are excluded, with age calculation based on the resident's birthdate and the target date of the selected influenza vaccination assessment.

Risk Adjustment

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

No risk adjustment or risk stratification

0680: Percent of Residents Who Were Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Short Stay)

No risk adjustment or risk stratification

Stratification

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Not applicable.

0680: Percent of Residents Who Were Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Short Stay)

This section is not applicable.

Type Score

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Rate/proportion better quality = higher score

0680: Percent of Residents Who Were Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Short Stay)

Rate/proportion better quality = higher score

Algorithm

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Step 1: Determine the eligible population. Identify all deliveries during the measurement period (January 1 – December 31) in which the patient was continuously enrolled from 28 days prior to delivery through the delivery date.

Step 2: Determine the denominator by excluding deliveries that occurred at less than 37 gestational weeks or where women were in hospice or using hospice services during the measurement period.

Step 3: Determine the numerators:

- -Numerator 1: deliveries where members received an influenza vaccine on or between July 1 of the year prior to the measurement period and the delivery date; or deliveries where members had an influenza virus vaccine adverse reaction any time during or before the Measurement Period.
- -Numerator 2: Deliveries where members received at least one Tdap vaccine during the pregnancy (including the delivery date); or deliveries where members had an aanaphylactic reaction to Tdap or Td vaccine or its components any time during or before the Measurement Period or encephalopathy due to Td or Tdap vaccination (post-tetanus vaccination encephalitis, post-diphtheria vaccination encephalitis, post-pertussis vaccination encephalitis) any time during or before the Measurement Period.
- -Numerator 3: Deliveries in which criteria was met for both Numerator 1 and Numerator 2.

Step 4: Calculate three measure rates:

- -Numerator 1 / Denominator
- -Numerator 2 / Denominator
- -Numerator 3 / Denominator

0680: Percent of Residents Who Were Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Short Stay)

The calculation algorithm for the overall measure and submeasures a-c are:

Step 1: Identify the total number of residents meeting the denominator criteria.

Step 2: For the first submeasure (NQF #0680a: Percent of Residents Who Received the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Short Stay)):

Step 2a: Identify the total number of short-stay residents who received the seasonal influenza vaccine during the current or most recently completed influenza season, either in the facility (00250A = [1]) or outside the facility (00250C = [2]).

Step 2b: Divide the results of Step 2a by the result of Step 1.

Step 3: For the second submeasure (NQF #0680b: Percent of Residents Who Were Offered and Declined the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Short Stay)):

Step 3a: Identify the total number of short-stay residents who were offered and declined the seasonal influenza vaccine (O0250C = [4]).

Step 3b: Divide the results of Step 3a by the result of Step 1.

Step 4: For the third submeasure (NQF #0680c): Percent of Residents Who Did Not Receive, Due to Medical Contraindication, the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Short Stay)):

Step 4a: Identify the total number of short-stay residents who were ineligible due to medical contraindication(s) (00250C = [3]).

Step 4b: Divide the results of Step 4a by the result of Step 1.

Step 5: For the overall measure (NQF #0680): Percent of Residents Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Short Stay)):

Step 5a: Aggregate Step 2a, 3a, and 4a [Sum the total number of short-stay residents who met any one of the following criteria: who received the seasonal influenza vaccine during the current or most recently completed influenza season, either in the facility (O0250A = [1]) or outside the facility (O0250C = [2]); OR who were offered and declined the seasonal influenza vaccine (O0250C = [4]); OR who were ineligible due to medical contraindication(s) (O0250C = [3]).]

Step 5b: Divide the results of Step 5a by the result of Step 1.

Submission items

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

5.1 Identified measures: 0680 : Percent of Residents Who Were Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Short Stay)

0681 : Percent of Residents Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Long Stay)

0039: Flu Vaccinations for Adults Ages 18 and Older

0041: Preventive Care and Screening: Influenza Immunization

0431: INFLUENZA VACCINATION COVERAGE AMONG HEALTHCARE PERSONNEL

1659: Influenza Immunization

5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized? No

5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact: This measure specifically assesses immunizations administered during prenatal care. Other related measures assess broader populations and older adults, and do not provide information about the quality of care provided to pregnant women.

5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value: Not applicable.

0680: Percent of Residents Who Were Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Short Stay)

5.1 Identified measures: 0681 : Percent of Residents Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Long Stay)

1659: Influenza Immunization

5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized? Yes

5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact:

5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value: The current measure for Nursing Homes is expanded to both additional post-acute care settings (LTCHs and IRFs), as well as to additional data sources (MDS 3.0 remained the data source of nursing homes, IRF-PAI is the data source for IRFs, and the LTCH CARE Data Set is the data source for LTCHs). The proposed measure is harmonized to the NQF Voluntary Consensus Standards for Influenza and Pneumococcal Immunizations.

A possible competing measure is the National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA) measure titled: Flu vaccinations for adults ages 65 and older: percentage of Medicare members 65 years of age and older who received an influenza vaccination between July 1 of the measurement year and the date when Medicare CAHPS survey was completed.

This NCQA measure is based on the CAHPS Health Plan Survey and targets a different and non-institutionalized population, so while this is a related measure, it does not complete with NQF #0680, which provides distinctive value.

Another possible competing measure for IRFs and LTCHs is NQF #1659 titled: Influenza Immunization for Hospital/Acute Care Facility AND Institute for Clinical Systems (ICS). The measure suggests immunizations of adult patients 18 years and older to be up to date with all immunization vaccines with follow up time periods.

NQF #1659 targets a different population in multiple settings and does not include those assessed but not given the vaccine. ICS is not NQF endorsed and has a different target population with a broader numerator (multiple other vaccines). NQF #0680 targets a different population in multiple settings, so while it is a related measure, it does not compete with NQF# 0680.

Comparison of NQF 3484 and NQF 0681

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

0681: Percent of Residents Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Long Stay)

Steward

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

National Committee for Quality Assurance

0681: Percent of Residents Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Long Stay)

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

Description

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Percentage of deliveries in the measurement period in which women received influenza and tetanus, diphtheria toxoids and acellular pertussis (Tdap) vaccinations.

0681: Percent of Residents Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Long Stay)

This measure reports the percentage of long-stay residents, 180 days of age and older, who were in a nursing facility for at least one day during the most recently completed influenza vaccination season (IVS), and who were assessed and appropriately given the seasonal influenza vaccine. The IVS is defined as beginning on October 1 and ends on March 31 of the following year. The measure is the aggregate of three separately calculated submeasures to reflect the process by which a resident is assessed and appropriately given the influenza vaccination during the current or most recent influenza season.

The three submeasures are as follows:

- Percent of resident who received the seasonal influenza vaccine (Long Stay) (NQF #0681a);
- Percent of resident who were offered and declined the seasonal influenza vaccine (Long Stay) (NQF #0681b); and
- Percent of resident who did not receive, due to medical contraindication, the seasonal influenza vaccine (Long Stay) (NQF #0681c).
- *Note: While the IVS officially begins when the vaccine becomes available, which may be before October 1, the denominator time window for the quality measure and references to the IVS for the denominator specification is from October 1 to March 31 of the following year. The numerator time window and references to the IVS in the numerator specifications may include patients and residents who were assessed and offered the vaccine before October 1. This is based on how the influenza items were coded by the facility.

The denominator consists of long-stay residents, 180 days of age or older on the target date of assessment, who were in the facility for at least one day during the most recently-completed IVS. This measure is based on data from the Minimum Data Set (MDS 3.0) OBRA, PPS, and/or discharge assessments during the selected influenza season. Long-stay residents are identified as those who have had 101 or more cumulative days of nursing facility care.

A separate measure (NQF #0680, Percent of Residents or Patients Who Were Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Short Stay)) is to be used for residents who have had 100 or fewer cumulative days of nursing facility care.

Туре

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Composite

0681: Percent of Residents Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Long Stay)

Process

Data Source

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Claims, Electronic Health Data, Electronic Health Records, Enrollment Data, Management Data, Other, Registry Data This measure is specified for administrative claims, electronic health record, registry, health information exchange or case management data collected in the course of providing care to health plan members. NCQA collects the Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set (HEDIS) data for this measure directly from Health Management Organizations and Preferred Provider Organizations via NCQA's online data submission system.

No data collection instrument provided Attachment 3484_PRS_Value_Sets_Fall_2019-637093372926667747.xlsx

0681: Percent of Residents Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Long Stay)

Assessment Data Nursing Home Minimum Data Set 3.0

Available at measure-specific web page URL identified in S.1 No data dictionary

Level

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Health Plan

0681: Percent of Residents Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Long Stay)

Facility

Setting

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Outpatient Services

0681: Percent of Residents Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Long Stay)

Other Nursing Home Care

Numerator Statement

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Deliveries in which women received influenza and tetanus, diphtheria toxoids and acellular pertussis (Tdap) vaccinations.

0681: Percent of Residents Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Long Stay)

The numerator is the number of long-stay residents with a target assessment (OBRA admission, quarterly, annual or significant change/correction assessments; PPS 5-,14-, 30-, 60-, 90-day, or readmission/return assessments; or discharge assessment with or without return anticipated) who were in the denominator sample, AND who meet any of the following criteria for the selected influenza season: (1) they received the influenza vaccine during the most recent influenza season, either in the facility or outside the facility (NQF #0681a), (2) they were offered and declined the influenza vaccine (NQF #0681b), or (3) they were ineligible due to medical contraindication(s) (NQF #0681c). The influenza season

is defined as July 1 of the current year to June 30 of the following year. The IVS begins on October 1 and ends on March 31 of the following year.

Each of the three submeasure numerators described above will be computed and reported separately, alongside the overall numerator calculated as the aggregate of the three submeasure numerators.

Numerator Details

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Deliveries during the measurement period in which women received influenza and tetanus, diphtheria toxoids and acellular pertussis (Tdap) vaccinations. Three numerators are reported:

Numerator 1: Deliveries where members received an influenza vaccine on or between July 1 of the year prior to the measurement period and the delivery date; or deliveries where members had an influenza virus vaccine adverse reaction any time during or before the Measurement Period.

Numerator 2: Deliveries where members received at least one Tdap vaccine during the pregnancy (including the delivery date); or deliveries where members had an anaphylactic reaction to Tdap or Td vaccine or its components any time during or before the Measurement Period or encephalopathy due to Td or Tdap vaccination (post-tetanus vaccination encephalitis, post-diphtheria vaccination encephalitis, post-pertussis vaccination encephalitis) any time during or before the Measurement Period.

Numerator 3: Deliveries that met criteria for both Numerator 1 and Numerator 2. See attached code value sets.

0681: Percent of Residents Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Long Stay)

Residents are counted if they are long-stay residents, defined as residents who have had 101 or more cumulative days of nursing facility care, are 180 days of age and older, and who were in a nursing facility for at least one day during the most recently completed IVS. Residents who return to the nursing home following a hospital discharge will not have their stay reset to zero. The numerator is the number of long-stay residents in the denominator sample with a selected target assessment (OBRA admission, quarterly, annual or significant change/correction assessments; PPS 5-, 14-, 30-, 60-, 90-day, or readmission/return assessments; or discharge assessment with or without return anticipated) during the most recently selected influenza season who meet any of the following criteria:

- (1) Resident received the influenza vaccine during the most recent influenza season, either in the facility (O0250A = [1]) or outside the facility (O0250C = [2]) (NQF #0681a, computed separately); or
- (2) Resident was offered and declined the influenza vaccine (O0250C = [4]) (NQF #0681b, computed separately); or
- (3) Resident was ineligible due to medical contraindication(s) (O0250C = [3]) (NQF #0681c, computed separately) (e.g., anaphylactic hypersensitivity to eggs or other components of the vaccine).

Denominator Statement

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Deliveries that occurred during the measurement period.

0681: Percent of Residents Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Long Stay)

The denominator is the total number of long-stay residents 180 days of age or older on the target date of the assessment who were in the nursing facility for at least one day during the most recently completed IVS that have an OBRA, PPS, or discharge assessment and who did not meet the exclusion criteria.

Denominator Details

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Deliveries that occurred during the measurement period.

Note: women who had multiple deliveries during the measurement period count multiple times.

0681: Percent of Residents Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Long Stay)

Residents are counted if they are long-stay residents, defined as residents who have had 101 or more cumulative days of nursing facility care. Residents who return to the nursing home following a hospital discharge will not have their length of stay reset to zero. The target population includes all long-stay residents with a target assessment (assessments may be OBRA admission, quarterly, annual or significant change/correction assessments (A0310A = [01, 02, 03, 04, 05, 06]) or PPS 5-, 14-, 30-, 60-, 90-day, or readmission/return assessments (A0310B = 01, 02, 03, 04, 05, 06) or discharge assessment with or without return anticipated (A0310F = [10, 11]) who were in a nursing facility for at least one day during the most recently completed IVS, except for those who meet the exclusion criteria (specified in S.10 and S.11).

Exclusions

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Deliveries that occurred at less than 37 weeks gestation.

Deliveries in which women were in hospice during the measurement period.

0681: Percent of Residents Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Long Stay)

Residents whose age is 179 days or less on target date of selected influenza vaccination assessment are excluded.

If the facility sample includes fewer than 20 residents after all other resident-level exclusions are applied, then the facility is excluded from public reporting.

Exclusion Details

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Exclude deliveries that occurred at 37 weeks of gestation or less.

Exclude deliveries where the woman was in hospice or using hospice services during the measurement period.

See attached code value sets.

0681: Percent of Residents Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Long Stay)

Residents whose age is 179 days or less are excluded, with age calculation based on the resident birthdate and the target date of the selected influenza vaccination assessment.

Risk Adjustment

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

No risk adjustment or risk stratification

0681: Percent of Residents Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Long Stay)

No risk adjustment or risk stratification

Stratification

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Not applicable.

0681: Percent of Residents Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Long Stay)

This is not applicable.

Type Score

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Rate/proportion better quality = higher score

0681: Percent of Residents Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Long Stay)

Rate/proportion better quality = higher score

Algorithm

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Step 1: Determine the eligible population. Identify all deliveries during the measurement period (January 1 – December 31) in which the patient was continuously enrolled from 28 days prior to delivery through the delivery date.

Step 2: Determine the denominator by excluding deliveries that occurred at less than 37 gestational weeks or where women were in hospice or using hospice services during the measurement period.

Step 3: Determine the numerators:

- -Numerator 1: deliveries where members received an influenza vaccine on or between July 1 of the year prior to the measurement period and the delivery date; or deliveries where members had an influenza virus vaccine adverse reaction any time during or before the Measurement Period.
- -Numerator 2: Deliveries where members received at least one Tdap vaccine during the pregnancy (including the delivery date); or deliveries where members had an aanaphylactic reaction to Tdap or Td vaccine or its components any time during or before the Measurement Period or encephalopathy due to Td or Tdap vaccination (post-tetanus

vaccination encephalitis, post-diphtheria vaccination encephalitis, post-pertussis vaccination encephalitis) any time during or before the Measurement Period.

-Numerator 3: Deliveries in which criteria was met for both Numerator 1 and Numerator 2.

Step 4: Calculate three measure rates:

- -Numerator 1 / Denominator
- -Numerator 2 / Denominator
- -Numerator 3 / Denominator

0681: Percent of Residents Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Long Stay)

The calculation algorithm for the overall measure and submeasures a-c are:

Step 1: Identify the total number of residents meeting the denominator criteria.

For the first submeasure (NQF #0681a): Percent of Residents Who Received the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Long Stay)):

Step 2a: Identify the total number of long-stay residents who received the seasonal influenza vaccine during the current or most recently completed influenza season, either in the facility (00250A = [1]) or outside the facility (00250C = [2]).

Step 3a: Divide the results of Step 2a by the result of Step 1.

For the second submeasure (NQF #0681b): Percent of Residents Who Were Offered and Declined the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Long Stay)):

Step 2b: Identify the total number of long-stay residents who were offered and declined the seasonal influenza vaccine (O0250C = [4]).

Step 3b: Divide the results of Step 2b by the result of Step 1.

For the third submeasure (NQF #0681c): Percent of Residents Who Did Not Receive, Due to Medical Contraindication, the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Long Stay)):

Step 2c: Identify the total number of long-stay residents who were ineligible due to medical contraindication(s) (00250C = [3]).

Step 3c: Divide the results of Step 2c by the result of Step 1.

For the overall measure (NQF #0681): Percent of Residents Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Long Stay)):

Step 2d: Aggregate Step 2a, 2b, and 2c [Sum the total number of long-stay residents who met any of the following criteria: who received the seasonal influenza vaccine during the current or most recently completed influenza season, either in the facility (00250A = [1]) or outside the facility (00250C = [2]); OR who were offered and declined the seasonal influenza vaccine (00250C = [4]); OR who were ineligible due to medical contraindication(s) (00250C = [3]).]

Step 3d: Divide the results of Step 2d by the result of Step 1.

Submission items

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

5.1 Identified measures: 0680 : Percent of Residents Who Were Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Short Stay)

0681: Percent of Residents Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Long Stay)

0039: Flu Vaccinations for Adults Ages 18 and Older

0041: Preventive Care and Screening: Influenza Immunization

0431: INFLUENZA VACCINATION COVERAGE AMONG HEALTHCARE PERSONNEL

1659: Influenza Immunization

5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized? No

5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact: This measure specifically assesses immunizations administered during prenatal care. Other related measures assess broader populations and older adults, and do not provide information about the quality of care provided to pregnant women.

5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value: Not applicable.

0681: Percent of Residents Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Long Stay)

5.1 Identified measures: 0680 : Percent of Residents Who Were Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Short Stay)

1659: Influenza Immunization

5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized? Yes

5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact:

5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value: NQF #0680 Percent of Residents or Patients Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (SS) applies to short-stay nursing home residents as well as additional post-acute care settings (LTCHs and IRFs), and is based on different data sources for each setting (MDS 3.0 for nursing homes, IRF-PAI is the data source for IRFs, and the LTCH CARE Data Set is the data source for LTCHs). Both NQF #0680 and the current measure #0681 for long stay nursing home residents were developed together and harmonized to the NQF Voluntary Consensus Standards for Influenza Immunizations and each other as much as possible.

A possible competing measure is NQF #1659: Influenza Immunization for Hospital/Acute Care Facility AND Institute for Clinical Systems (ICS) suggest immunizations of adult patients 18 years and older, to be up to date with all immunization vaccines with follow up time periods. NQF #1659 targets a different population in a different setting and does not include those assessed but not given the vaccine. ICS is not NQF endorsed and has a different target population with a broader numerator (multiple other vaccines). NQF #0680 targets a different population in multiple settings.

Another possible competing measure is the National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA) measure titled: Flu vaccinations for adults ages 65 and older: percentage of Medicare members 65 years of age and older who received an influenza vaccination between July 1 of the measurement year and the date when Medicare CAHPS survey was completed.

This NCQA measure is based on the CAHPS Health Plan Survey and targets a different and non-institutionalized population, so NQF #0681 offers distinctive value.

Comparison of NQF 3484 and NQF 1659

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status 1659: Influenza Immunization

Steward

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

National Committee for Quality Assurance

1659: Influenza Immunization

Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services

Description

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Percentage of deliveries in the measurement period in which women received influenza and tetanus, diphtheria toxoids and acellular pertussis (Tdap) vaccinations.

1659: Influenza Immunization

Inpatients age 6 months and older discharged during October, November, December, January, February or March who are screened for influenza vaccine status and vaccinated prior to discharge if indicated.

Type

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Composite

1659: Influenza Immunization

Process

Data Source

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Claims, Electronic Health Data, Electronic Health Records, Enrollment Data, Management Data, Other, Registry Data This measure is specified for administrative claims, electronic health record, registry, health information exchange or case management data collected in the course of providing care to health plan members. NCQA collects the Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set (HEDIS) data for this measure directly from Health Management Organizations and Preferred Provider Organizations via NCQA's online data submission system.

No data collection instrument provided Attachment 3484_PRS_Value_Sets_Fall_2019-637093372926667747.xlsx

1659: Influenza Immunization

Claims, Other, Paper Medical Records An electronic data collection tool is made available from vendors or facilities can download the free CMS Abstraction & Reporting Tool (CART). Paper tools for manual abstraction, which are posted on www.QualityNet.org, are also available for the CART tool. These tools are posted on www.QualityNet.org.

Available at measure-specific web page URL identified in S.1 Attachment Appendix_A.Table_12.10_Organ_Transplant_ICD-10__ICD-9_codes.xls

Level

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Health Plan

1659: Influenza Immunization

Facility

Setting

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Outpatient Services

1659: Influenza Immunization

Inpatient/Hospital

Numerator Statement

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Deliveries in which women received influenza and tetanus, diphtheria toxoids and acellular pertussis (Tdap) vaccinations.

1659: Influenza Immunization

Inpatient discharges who were screened for influenza vaccine status and were vaccinated prior to discharge if indicated.

Numerator Details

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Deliveries during the measurement period in which women received influenza and tetanus, diphtheria toxoids and acellular pertussis (Tdap) vaccinations. Three numerators are reported:

Numerator 1: Deliveries where members received an influenza vaccine on or between July 1 of the year prior to the measurement period and the delivery date; or deliveries where members had an influenza virus vaccine adverse reaction any time during or before the Measurement Period.

Numerator 2: Deliveries where members received at least one Tdap vaccine during the pregnancy (including the delivery date); or deliveries where members had an anaphylactic reaction to Tdap or Td vaccine or its components any time during or before the Measurement Period or encephalopathy due to Td or Tdap vaccination (post-tetanus vaccination encephalitis, post-diphtheria vaccination encephalitis, post-pertussis vaccination encephalitis) any time during or before the Measurement Period.

Numerator 3: Deliveries that met criteria for both Numerator 1 and Numerator 2.

See attached code value sets.

1659: Influenza Immunization

The following are included in the numerator:

- Patients who received the influenza vaccine during this inpatient hospitalization
- Patients who received the influenza vaccine during the current year's flu season but prior to the current hospitalization
- Patients who were offered and declined the influenza vaccine
- Patients who have an allergy/sensitivity to the influenza vaccine, anaphylactic latex allergy or anaphylactic allergy to eggs, or for whom the vaccine is not likely to be effective because of bone marrow transplant within the past 6 months, or history of Guillian-Barre Syndrome within 6 weeks after a previous influenza vaccination

Data Elements required for the numerator:

- ICD-10-CM Other Diagnosis Codes
- ICD-10-PCS Other Procedure Codes
- ICD-10-CM Principal Diagnosis Code
- ICD-10-PCS Principal Procedure Code
- Influenza Vaccination Status

Denominator Statement

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Deliveries that occurred during the measurement period.

1659: Influenza Immunization

Acute care hospitalized inpatients age 6 months and older discharged during the months of October, November, December, January, February or March.

Denominator Details

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Deliveries that occurred during the measurement period.

Note: women who had multiple deliveries during the measurement period count multiple times.

1659: Influenza Immunization

Data Elements required for the denominator:

- Admission Date
- Birthdate
- Discharge Date
- · Discharge Disposition
- ICD-10-PCS Other Procedure Codes
- ICD-10-PCS Principal Procedure Code

Exclusions

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Deliveries that occurred at less than 37 weeks gestation.

Deliveries in which women were in hospice during the measurement period.

1659: Influenza Immunization

The following patients are excluded from the denominator:

- · Patients less than 6 months of age
- Patients who expire prior to hospital discharge
- Patients with an organ transplant during the current hospitalization (Appendix_A.Table 12.10 Organ Transplant codes.xls)
- Patients for whom vaccination was indicated, but supply had not been received by the hospital due to problems with vaccine production or distribution
- Patients who have a Length of Stay greater than 120 days

- Patients who are transferred or discharged to another acute care hospital
- Patients who leave Against Medical Advice (AMA)

Exclusion Details

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Exclude deliveries that occurred at 37 weeks of gestation or less.

Exclude deliveries where the woman was in hospice or using hospice services during the measurement period.

See attached code value sets.

1659: Influenza Immunization

To determine the length of stay, the admission date and discharge date are entered. If the result of the calculation subtracting the admission date from the discharge date is greater than 120 days the patient is excluded from the measure.

The patient's date of birth is entered. If the calculation result of the admission date minus the birth date is less than 6 months the patient is excluded from the measure.

Patients who had an organ transplant during the current hospitalization are excluded based on having an ICD-10 PCS Principal or Other Procedure Code assigned as having occurred during the current hospitalization. If the patient has at least one code from the list on Appendix_A.Table 12.10 Organ Transplant codes.xls assigned for the current hospitalization they are excluded.

Discharge Disposition is a manually abstracted data element. If documentation in the patient's medical record is consistent with the criteria specified in the Discharge Disposition data element for discharge to an acute care facility, patient expired prior to hospital discharge, or the patient left against medical advice the patient is excluded from the measure.

The Influenza Vaccination Status is a manually abstracted data element for the measure. Allowable Value 6 may be selected if there is documentation in the medical record reflecting the hospital has ordered the influenza vaccine but has not yet received it based on problems with vaccine production or distribution. If this value is selected the measure algorithm will exclude the patient from the measure.

Risk Adjustment

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

No risk adjustment or risk stratification

1659: Influenza Immunization

No risk adjustment or risk stratification

Stratification

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Not applicable.

1659: Influenza Immunization

Measure is not stratified.

Type Score

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Rate/proportion better quality = higher score

1659: Influenza Immunization

Rate/proportion better quality = higher score

Algorithm

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

Step 1: Determine the eligible population. Identify all deliveries during the measurement period (January 1 – December 31) in which the patient was continuously enrolled from 28 days prior to delivery through the delivery date.

Step 2: Determine the denominator by excluding deliveries that occurred at less than 37 gestational weeks or where women were in hospice or using hospice services during the measurement period.

Step 3: Determine the numerators:

- -Numerator 1: deliveries where members received an influenza vaccine on or between July 1 of the year prior to the measurement period and the delivery date; or deliveries where members had an influenza virus vaccine adverse reaction any time during or before the Measurement Period.
- -Numerator 2: Deliveries where members received at least one Tdap vaccine during the pregnancy (including the delivery date); or deliveries where members had an aanaphylactic reaction to Tdap or Td vaccine or its components any time during or before the Measurement Period or encephalopathy due to Td or Tdap vaccination (post-tetanus vaccination encephalitis, post-diphtheria vaccination encephalitis, post-pertussis vaccination encephalitis) any time during or before the Measurement Period.
- -Numerator 3: Deliveries in which criteria was met for both Numerator 1 and Numerator 2.

Step 4: Calculate three measure rates:

- -Numerator 1 / Denominator
- -Numerator 2 / Denominator
- -Numerator 3 / Denominator

1659: Influenza Immunization

Numerator: Inpatient discharges who were screened for Influenza vaccine status and were vaccinated prior to discharge if indicated.

Denominator: Acute care hospitalized inpatients age 6 months and older discharged during October, November, December, January, February or March.

Variable Key: Patient Age

- 1. Start processing. Run cases that are included in the Global Initial Patient Population and pass the edits defined in the Transmission Data Processing Flow: Clinical through this measure.
- 2. Calculate Patient Age. Patient Age, in years, is equal to the Admission Date minus the Birthdate. Use the month and day portion of admission date and birthdate to yield the most accurate age. Only cases with valid Admission Date and Birthdate will pass the critical feedback messages into the measure specific algorithms.

- 3. Check Patient Age
- a. If the Patient Age is less than 6 months old, the case will proceed to a Measure Category Assignment of B and will not be in the Measure Population. Stop processing.
- b. If the Patient Age is greater than or equal to 6 months, continue processing and proceed to ICD-10-PCS Principal or Other Procedure Codes.
- 4. Check ICD-10-PCS Principal or Other Procedure Codes
- a. If at least one of ICD-10-PCS Principal or Other Procedure Codes is on Appendix_A.Table 12.10 Organ Transplant codes.xls the case will proceed to a Measure Category Assignment of B and will not be in the Measure Population. Stop processing.
- b. If all of ICD-10-PCS Principal or Other Procedure Codes are missing or none of ICD-10-PCS Principal or Other Procedure Codes is on Appendix_A.Table 12.10 Organ Transplant codes.xls, continue processing and check Discharge Disposition.
- 5. Check Discharge Disposition
- a. If Discharge Disposition equals 4, 6, or 7 the case will proceed to a Measure Category Assignment of B and will not be in the Measure Population. Stop processing.
- b. If Discharge Disposition equals 1, 2, 3, 5, or 8 continue processing and proceed to Discharge Date.
- c. If Discharge Disposition is missing, the case will proceed to a Measure Category Assignment of X and will be rejected. Stop processing.
- 6. Check Discharge Date. Note: 'yyyy' refers to the specific year of discharge.
- a. If the Discharge Date is 04-01-yyyy through 09-30-yyyy, the case will proceed to a Measure Category Assignment of B and will not be in the Measure Population. Stop processing.
- b. If the Discharge Date is 10-01-yyyy through 03-31-yyyy, continue processing and proceed to Influenza Vaccination Status.
- 7. Check Influenza Vaccination Status
- a. If Influenza Vaccination Status is missing, the case will proceed to a Measure Category Assignment of X and will be rejected. Stop processing.
- b. If Influenza Vaccination Status equals 6, the case will proceed to a Measure Category Assignment of B and will not be in the Measure Population. Stop processing.
- c. If Influenza Vaccination Status equals 1, 2, 3, 4, or 5, continue processing and recheck Influenza Vaccination Status.
- 8. Recheck Influenza Vaccination Status
- a. If Influenza Vaccination Status equals 5, the case will proceed to a Measure Category Assignment of D and will be in the Measure Population. Stop processing.
- b. If Influenza Vaccination Status equals 1, 2, 3, or 4 the case will proceed to a Measure Category Assignment of E and will be in the Numerator Population. Stop processing.

Submission items

3484: Prenatal Immunization Status

5.1 Identified measures: 0680 : Percent of Residents Who Were Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Short Stay)

0681: Percent of Residents Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Long Stay)

0039: Flu Vaccinations for Adults Ages 18 and Older

0041: Preventive Care and Screening: Influenza Immunization

0431: INFLUENZA VACCINATION COVERAGE AMONG HEALTHCARE PERSONNEL

1659: Influenza Immunization

5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized? No

5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact: This measure specifically assesses immunizations administered during prenatal care. Other related measures assess broader populations and older adults, and do not provide information about the quality of care provided to pregnant women.

5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value: Not applicable.

1659: Influenza Immunization

5.1 Identified measures: 0680 : Percent of Residents Who Were Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Short Stay)

0681: Percent of Residents Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Long Stay)

0226: Influenza Immunization in the ESRD Population (Facility Level)

0038: Childhood Immunization Status (CIS)

0039: Flu Vaccinations for Adults Ages 18 and Older

0041: Preventive Care and Screening: Influenza Immunization

0431: INFLUENZA VACCINATION COVERAGE AMONG HEALTHCARE PERSONNEL

0522: Influenza Immunization Received for Current Flu Season (Home Health)

5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized? No

5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact: Measures focus on different patient populations based on age, health conditions or location (e.g., home health, physician office, short term skilled, long term stay, acute care hospital, etc.). There are some differences in Exclusions and Inclusions specific to the population. These differences are in part based upon procedures that may be performed in an acute care hospital that would not be performed in a skilled setting or physician office setting. Additionally IMM-2 excludes cases in which the vaccine has been ordered but it has not yet been received. We've found in the past that there have been some seasons in which the vaccine became available much later than expected and seasons in which there were shortages. We prefer to exclude these cases if there is documentation in the chart to support either of these scenarios

5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value: Multiple measures are justified because they each focus on a different patient population. A single measure could not capture the variability inherent in these different populations.

IMM-2 is the only measure that focuses on patients in the acute care hospital setting.

Appendix F: Pre-Evaluation Comments

No NQF member comments were received during the pre-commenting period.

National Quality Forum 1099 14th Street NW, Suite 500 Washington, DC 20005 http://www.qualityforum.org

ISBN [Assigned by Communications] ©2020 National Quality Forum