



**NATIONAL
QUALITY FORUM**

Driving measurable health
improvements together

Primary Care and Chronic Illness, Spring 2021 Cycle: Public and Member Comments

*Funded by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services under contract HHSM-500-2017-00060I
Task Order 75FCMC19F0007*

<https://www.qualityforum.org>

1099 14th Street NW, Suite 500 | Washington, DC 20005 | M 202.783.1300 F 202.783.3434

Contents

Primary Care and Chronic Illness, Spring 2021 Cycle: Public and Member Comments	1
Measure-Specific Comments on Primary Care and Chronic Illness Spring 2021 Submissions	3
NQF #3617 Measuring the Value-Functions of Primary Care: Provider Level Continuity of Care Measure, Comment #7766.....	3

Measure-Specific Comments on Primary Care and Chronic Illness Spring 2021 Submissions

NQF #3617 Measuring the Value-Functions of Primary Care: Provider Level Continuity of Care Measure, Comment #7766

Standing Committee Recommendation: Measure Recommended for Endorsement

Comment ID#: 7766

Commenter: Denise Pavletic, American Board of Family Medicine

Council / Public: Quality Measurement, Research and Improvement Council

Comment Period: Post-Evaluation Public and Member Commenting

Date Comment was Submitted: 9/9/2021

Developer Response Required? Yes ☐ No ☒

Level of Support: Member does not support

Theme: Further research is needed on primary care continuity, specifically on utilization. However, the existing evidence has proven to be sufficient to indicate that future policy should promote primary care continuity and build on the efforts of prior healthcare policy.

Comment

Continuity of care is considered a crucial aspect of family medicine, which makes it an important variable to investigate in order to assess its impact. Interpersonal continuity of care can be defined as the ongoing relationship between the physician and the patient.

The American Board of Family Medicine (ABFM) identified 66 studies since 2002 that examine continuity and outcomes, analyzing either healthcare costs or some form of healthcare utilization. A wide variety of study types and sample sizes have been used to measure this concept. Continuity itself is measured using both pre-existing developed measures, such as the Bice-Boxerman, Patient-sided continuity/Usual provider continuity index (UPC), Sequential Continuity (SECON) and others, as well as study specific measures using survey responses. The pre-existing scales tend to examine either the density, dispersion, or sequence of physician visits. In general, more visits to a single primary care physician will result in a higher continuity score.

Existing research on primary care continuity's impact on healthcare policy outcomes can be grouped into two categories: cost and utilization. As it pertains to cost, the vast majority of studies found that improved primary care continuity reduces a variety of healthcare costs. This includes total costs, ED costs, inpatient costs, primary care costs, and costs for specific conditions or treatments. Drug and pharmaceutical costs were the only form of costs that didn't uniformly decrease as continuity increased across each study that examined it.

Many different forms of healthcare utilization were assessed, but most commonly hospitalizations or emergency department(ED) utilization were analyzed. For hospitalizations, Ambulatory Care Sensitive Conditions(ACSC) hospitalizations, diabetes-related hospitalizations, and all-cause hospitalizations were most frequently analyzed. Most studies that measured it found that continuity decreased the likelihood or rate of hospitalizations. ED visits showed a similar pattern, with continuity reducing ED utilization. There was some variation in these studies' outcomes, with one study finding that continuity had a greater impact on urban populations, and others analyzing the likelihood of using the ED as compared to

other healthcare services, such as primary care.

Many studies did not limit their utilization to hospitalizations and ED visits. Several studies analyzed the impact of continuity on the likelihood of receiving desirable utilization, such as utilization for a variety of cancer screenings, testing for other diseases, and immunizations, among others. Continuity appeared to be less related to this form of utilization, with only approximately half of the 12 studies that examined it finding that continuity increased desirable utilization. However, this could possibly be due to a lack of volume of studies analyzing it, as ED utilization and hospitalizations were examined in a significantly greater number of studies. Similarly, other forms of undesirable utilization were also analyzed by several studies. This type of utilization includes measurements of overuse of medical procedures, over-prescribing medications, and total inpatient and outpatient days, among others. These also revealed conflicting results, with studies finding that continuity reduced utilization of some forms of undesirable utilization, but had no effect on some, and even increased utilization for a few procedures. Lastly, 11 studies analyzed some form of primary care utilization. These measures included, but were not limited to, using primary care resources during scheduled or out-of-hours times, the frequency of primary care visits, and the likelihood of using primary care over other healthcare services. Overall, about half of the studies found that better continuity led to improved primary care utilization.

In summary, the majority of the evidence indicates that continuity of care will improve policy outcomes, though the association may differ for different types of outcomes. Continuity has been heavily researched throughout the past 2 decades. The findings of such studies overwhelmingly indicate that primary care continuity should be promoted. More research should continue to be conducted to improve our understanding of primary care continuity, specifically in the areas of utilization where less research has been conducted (desirable utilization and primary care utilization). However, the existing evidence has proven to be sufficient to indicate that future policy should promote primary care continuity and build on the efforts of prior healthcare policy.

The following studies demonstrate the relationship between Continuity of Care and Decreased Hospitalizations:

1. Lin W, Huang I-C, Wang S-L, Yang M-C, Yaung C-L. Continuity of diabetes care is associated with avoidable hospitalizations: evidence from Taiwan's National Health Insurance scheme. *International Journal for Quality in Health Care*. 2010;22(1):3-8. doi:10.1093/intqhc/mzp059
2. Enlow E, Passarella M, Lorch SA. Continuity of care in infancy and early childhood health outcomes. *Pediatrics*. 2017;140(1). doi:10.1542/peds.2017-0339
3. Reddy A, Wong E, Canamucio A, et al. Association between continuity and team-based care and health care utilization: an observational study of medicare-eligible veterans in VA patient aligned care team. *Health Serv Res*. 2018;53(Suppl Suppl 3):5201-5218. doi:10.1111/1475-6773.13042
4. Menec VH, Sirski M, Attawar D, Katz A. Does continuity of care with a family physician reduce hospitalizations among older adults? *Journal of Health Services Research & Policy*. 2006;11(4):196-201. doi:10.1258/135581906778476562
5. Johnston KJ, Hockenberry JM. Are two heads better than one or do too many cooks spoil the broth? The trade-off between physician division of labor and patient continuity of care for older adults with complex chronic conditions. *Health Serv Res*. 2016;51(6):2176-2205. doi:10.1111/1475-6773.12600
6. Skarshaug LJ, Kaspersen SL, Bjørngaard JH, Pape K. How does general practitioner discontinuity affect healthcare utilisation? An observational cohort study of 2.4 million Norwegians 2007-2017. *BMJ Open*.

2021;11(2):e042391. Published 2021 Feb 16. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2020-042391

7. Van Loenen T, Faber MJ, Westert GP, Van den Berg MJ. The impact of primary care organization on avoidable hospital admissions for diabetes in 23 countries. *Scand J Prim Health Care*. 2016;34(1):5-12. doi:10.3109/02813432.2015.1132883

8. Jacobs R, Aylott L, Dare C, et al. The association between primary care quality and hospital care utilisation. NIHR Journals Library; 2020. Accessed March 4, 2021. Chapter 4 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK558195/>

9. Cree M, Bell N r., Johnson D, Carriere K c. Increased continuity of care associated with decreased hospital care and emergency department visits for patients with asthma. *Disease Management*. 2006;9(1):63-71. doi:10.1089/dis.2006.9.63

10. Ride J, Kasteridis P, Gutacker N, et al. Impact of family practice continuity of care on unplanned hospital use for people with serious mental illness. *Health Serv Res*. 2019;54(6):1316-1325. doi:10.1111/1475-6773.1

11. Bentler SE, Morgan RO, Virnig BA, Wolinsky FD. The association of longitudinal and interpersonal continuity of care with emergency department use, hospitalization, and mortality among medicare beneficiaries. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(12). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0115088

12. Cheng SH, Chen CC, Hou YF. A longitudinal examination of continuity of care and avoidable hospitalization: evidence from a universal coverage health care system. *Arch Intern Med*. 2010;170(18):1671-1677. doi:10.1001/archinternmed.2010.340

13. Knight JC, Dowden JJ, Worrall GJ, Gadag VG, Murphy MM. Does higher continuity of family physician care reduce hospitalizations in elderly people with diabetes? *Population Health Management*. 2009;12(2):81-86. doi:10.1089/pop.2008.0020

14. Kuo H-C, Cheng S-F, Hung J-L, Xiong J-H, Tang P-L. Continuity of care and multiple chronic conditions impact frequent use of outpatient services. *Health Informatics J*. 2020;26(1):318-327. doi:10.1177/1460458218824720

15. Gudzone KA, Bleich SN, Richards TM, Weiner JP, Hodges K, Clark JM. Doctor shopping by overweight and obese patients is associated with increased healthcare utilization. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2013;21(7):1328- 1334. doi:10.1002/oby.20189

16. Katz DA, McCoy KD, Vaughan-Sarrazin MS. Does greater continuity of Veterans Administration primary care reduce emergency department visits and hospitalization in older veterans? *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2015;63(12):2510-2518. doi:10.1111/jgs.13841

17. Bayliss EA, Ellis JL, Shoup JA, Zeng C, McQuillan DB, Steiner JF. Effect of continuity of care on hospital utilization for seniors with multiple medical conditions in an integrated health care system. *Ann Fam Med*. 2015;13(2):123-129. doi:10.1370/afm.1739

18. Chen Y-Y, Hsieh C-I, Chung K-P. Continuity of care, follow-up care, and outcomes among breast cancer survivors. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2019;16(17):3050. doi:10.3390/ijerph16173050

19. Barker I, Steventon A, Deeny SR. Association between continuity of care in general practice and hospital admissions for ambulatory care sensitive conditions: cross sectional study of routinely collected, person level data. *BMJ*. 2017;356:j84. doi:10.1136/bmj.j84

20. Nyweide DJ, Anthony DL, Bynum JP, et al. Continuity of care and the risk of preventable hospitalization in older adults. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2013;173(20):1879-1885. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2013.10059

21. Solomon SR, Gooding HC, Reyes Nieva H, Linder JA. Acute care utilization by patients after graduation of their resident primary care physicians. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2015;30(11):1611-1617. doi:10.1007/s11606-015-3305-7

The following studies demonstrate Continuity of Care and Decreased ED Utilization

1. Enlow E, Passarella M, Lorch SA. Continuity of care in infancy and early childhood health outcomes. *Pediatrics.* 2017;140(1). doi:10.1542/peds.2017-0339

2. Reddy A, Wong E, Canamucio A, et al. Association between continuity and team-based care and health care utilization: an observational study of medicare-eligible veterans in VA patient aligned care team. *Health Serv Res.* 2018;53(Suppl Suppl 3):5201-5218. doi:10.1111/1475-6773.13042

3. Huang ST, Wu SC, Hung YN, Lin IP. Effects of continuity of care on emergency department utilization in children with asthma. *Am J Manag Care.* 2016;22(1):e31-e37. Published 2016 Jan 1.

4. Johnston KJ, Hockenberry JM. Are two heads better than one or do too many cooks spoil the broth? The trade-off between physician division of labor and patient continuity of care for older adults with complex chronic conditions. *Health Serv Res.* 2016;51(6):2176-2205. doi:10.1111/1475-6773.12600

5. Brousseau DC, Meurer JR, Isenberg ML, Kuhn EM, Gorelick MH. Association between infant continuity of care and pediatric emergency department utilization. *Pediatrics.* 2004;113(4):738-741. doi:10.1542/peds.113.4.738

6. Ionescu-Ittu R, McCusker J, Ciampi A, et al. Continuity of primary care and emergency department utilization among elderly people. *CMAJ.* 2007;177(11):1362-1368. doi:10.1503/cmaj.061615

7. Burge F, Lawson B, Johnston G. Family physician continuity of care and emergency department use in end-of-life cancer care. *Med Care.* 2003;41(8):992-1001. doi:10.1097/00005650-200308000-00012

8. Arthur KC, Mangione-Smith R, Burkhart Q, et al. Quality of care for children with medical complexity: An analysis of continuity of care as a potential quality indicator. *Academic Pediatrics.* 2018;18(6):669-676. doi:10.1016/j.acap.2018.04.009

9. Holderness H, Angier H, Huguet N, et al. Where do Oregon Medicaid enrollees seek outpatient care post-Affordable Care Act Medicaid expansion? *Med Care.* 2019;57(10):788-794. doi:10.1097/MLR.0000000000001189

10. Chaiyachati KH, Gordon K, Long T, et al. Continuity in a VA patient-centered medical home reduces emergency department visits. *PLoS One.* 2014;9(5). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0096356

11. Nyweide DJ, Anthony DL, Bynum JP, et al. Continuity of care and the risk of preventable hospitalization in older adults. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2013;173(20):1879-1885. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2013.10059

12. Jacobs R, Aylott L, Dare C, et al. The association between primary care quality and hospital care utilisation. *NIHR Journals Library*; 2020. Accessed March 4, 2021. Chapter 4

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK558195/>

13. Cree M, Bell N r., Johnson D, Carriere K c. Increased continuity of care associated with decreased hospital care and emergency department visits for patients with asthma. *Disease Management*. 2006;9(1):63-71. doi:10.1089/dis.2006.9.63
14. Ride J, Kasteridis P, Gutacker N, et al. Impact of family practice continuity of care on unplanned hospital use for people with serious mental illness. *Health Serv Res*. 2019;54(6):1316-1325. doi:10.1111/1475-6773.1
15. Bentler SE, Morgan RO, Virnig BA, Wolinsky FD. The association of longitudinal and interpersonal continuity of care with emergency department use, hospitalization, and mortality among medicare beneficiaries. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(12). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0115088
16. Kuo H-C, Cheng S-F, Hung J-L, Xiong J-H, Tang P-L. Continuity of care and multiple chronic conditions impact frequent use of outpatient services. *Health Informatics J*. 2020;26(1):318-327. doi:10.1177/1460458218824720
17. Gudzone KA, Bleich SN, Richards TM, Weiner JP, Hodges K, Clark JM. Doctor shopping by overweight and obese patients is associated with increased healthcare utilization. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2013;21(7):1328- 1334. doi:10.1002/oby.20189
18. Katz DA, McCoy KD, Vaughan-Sarrazin MS. Does greater continuity of Veterans Administration primary care reduce emergency department visits and hospitalization in older veterans? *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2015;63(12):2510-2518. doi:10.1111/jgs.13841
19. Bayliss EA, Ellis JL, Shoup JA, Zeng C, McQuillan DB, Steiner JF. Effect of continuity of care on hospital utilization for seniors with multiple medical conditions in an integrated health care system. *Ann Fam Med*. 2015;13(2):123-129. doi:10.1370/afm.1739
20. Chen Y-Y, Hsieh C-I, Chung K-P. Continuity of care, follow-up care, and outcomes among breast cancer survivors. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2019;16(17):3050. doi:10.3390/ijerph16173050
21. Solomon SR, Gooding HC, Reyes Nieva H, Linder JA. Acute care utilization by patients after graduation of their resident primary care physicians. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2015;30(11):1611-1617. doi:10.1007/s11606-015- 3305-7
22. Coleman K, Reid RJ, Johnson E, et al. Implications of reassigning patients for the medical home: a case study. *Ann Fam Med*. 2010;8(6):493-498. doi:10.1370/afm.1190
23. Stein A, Harzheim E, Costa M, Busnello E, Rodrigues L. The relevance of continuity of care: a solution for the chaos in the emergency services. *Family Practice*. 2002;19(2):207-210. doi:10.1093/fampra/19.2.207
24. Pourat N, Davis AC, Chen X, Vrungos S, Kominski GF. In California, primary care continuity was associated with reduced emergency department use and fewer hospitalizations. *Health Aff (Millwood)*. 2015;34(7):1113- 1120. doi:10.1377/hlthaff.2014.1165
25. Romaine MA, Haber SG, Wensky SG, McCall N. Primary care and specialty providers: an assessment of continuity of care, utilization, and expenditures. *Medical Care*. 2014;52(12):1042-1049. doi:10.1097/MLR.0000000000000246
26. Amjad H, Carmichael D, Austin AM, Chang C-H, Bynum JP. Continuity of care and healthcare

utilization in older adults with dementia in fee-for-service Medicare. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2016;176(9):1371-1378. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2016.3553

27. Hussey PS, Schneider EC, Rudin RS, Fox DS, Lai J, Pollack CE. Continuity and the costs of care for chronic disease. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2014;174(5):742-748. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2014.245

28. Dreiherr J, Comaneshter DS, Rosenbluth Y, Battat E, Bitterman H, Cohen AD. The association between continuity of care in the community and health outcomes: a population-based study. *Isr J Health Policy Res.* 2012;1:21. doi:10.1186/2045-4015-1-21

29. McBurney PG, Simpson KN, Darden PM. Potential cost savings of decreased emergency department visits through increased continuity in a pediatric medical home. *Ambulatory Pediatrics.* 2004;4(3):204-208. doi:10.1367/A03-069R.1

30. Anderson LH, Flottemesch TJ, Fontaine P, Solberg LI, Asche SE. Patient medical group continuity and healthcare utilization. *Am J Manag Care.* 2012;18(8):450-457

31. Cheng SH, Chen CC, Hou YF. A longitudinal examination of continuity of care and avoidable hospitalization: evidence from a universal coverage health care system. *Arch Intern Med.* 2010;170(18):1671-1677. doi:10.1001/archinternmed.2010.340

32. Chen AY, Chen B, Kuo CC. Better continuity of care improves the quality of end-of-life care among elderly patients with end-stage renal disease. *Sci Rep.* 2020;10(1):19716. Published 2020 Nov 12. doi:10.1038/s41598-020-76707-w

The following studies demonstrate Continuity of Care and Increased Desirable Utilization

1. Enlow E, Passarella M, Lorch SA. Continuity of care in infancy and early childhood health outcomes. *Pediatrics.* 2017;140(1). doi:10.1542/peds.2017-0339

2. Johnston KJ, Hockenberry JM. Are two heads better than one or do too many cooks spoil the broth? The trade-off between physician division of labor and patient continuity of care for older adults with complex chronic conditions. *Health Serv Res.* 2016;51(6):2176-2205. doi:10.1111/1475-6773.12600

3. Fenton JJ, Franks P, Reid RJ, Elmore JG, Baldwin L-M. Continuity of care and cancer screening among health plan enrollees. *Medical Care.* 2008;46(1):58-62. doi:10.1097/MLR.0b013e318148493a

4. Reid RJ, Scholes D, Grothaus L, et al. Is provider continuity associated with chlamydia screening for adolescent and young adult women? *Preventive Medicine.* 2005;41(5):865-872. doi:10.1016/j.ypmed.2005.08.005

5. Meyers DJ, Cole MB, Rahman M, et al. The association of provider and practice factors with HIV ART adherence. *AIDS.* 2019;33(13):2081-2089. doi:10.1097/QAD.0000000000002316

6. Irigoyen M, Findley SE, Chen S, et al. Early continuity of care and immunization coverage. *Ambulatory Pediatrics.* 2004;4(3):199-203. doi:10.1367/A03-138R1.1

7. Warren JR, Falster MO, Tran B, Jorm L. Association of continuity of primary care and statin adherence. *PLoS One.* 2015;10(10). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0140008

8. Bradford WD, Kaste LM, Nietert PJ. Continuity of medical care, health insurance, and nonmedical advice in the first 3 years of life. *Medical Care.* 2004;42(1):91-98. doi:10.1097/01.mlr.0000102368.39193.5a

9. Flores AI, Bilker WB, Alessandrini EA. Effects of continuity of care in infancy on receipt of lead, anemia, and tuberculosis Screening. *Pediatrics*. 2008;121(3):e399-e406. doi:10.1542/peds.2007-1497
10. Mendoza-Sassi R, Béria JU. Prevalence of having a regular doctor, associated factors, and the effect on health services utilization: a population-based study in Southern Brazil. *Cadernos de Saúde Pública*. 2003;19(5):1257-1266. doi:10.1590/S0102-311X2003000500004
11. Koopman RJ, Mainous III AG, Baker R, Gill JM, Gilbert GE. Continuity of care and recognition of diabetes, hypertension, and hypercholesterolemia. *Arch Intern Med*. 2003;163(11):1357. doi:10.1001/archinte.163.11.1357
12. Robles S, Anderson GF. Continuity of care and its effect on prescription drug use among Medicare beneficiaries with hypertension. *Medical Care*. 2011;49(5):516-521. doi:10.1097/MLR.0b013e31820fb10c

The following studies demonstrate Continuity of Care and Improved Primary Care Utilization

1. Enlow E, Passarella M, Lorch SA. Continuity of care in infancy and early childhood health outcomes. *Pediatrics*. 2017;140(1). doi:10.1542/peds.2017-0339
2. Menec VH, Sirski M, Attawar D, Katz A. Does continuity of care with a family physician reduce hospitalizations among older adults? *Journal of Health Services Research & Policy*. 2006;11(4):196-201. doi:10.1258/135581906778476562
3. McDermott A, Sanderson E, Metcalfe C, et al. Continuity of care as a predictor of ongoing frequent attendance in primary care: a retrospective cohort study. *BJGP Open*. 2020;4(5). doi:10.3399/bjgpopen20X101083
4. Skarshaug LJ, Kaspersen SL, Bjørngaard JH, Pape K. How does general practitioner discontinuity affect healthcare utilisation? An observational cohort study of 2.4 million Norwegians 2007-2017. *BMJ Open*. 2021;11(2):e042391. Published 2021 Feb 16. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2020-042391
5. Kuo H-C, Cheng S-F, Hung J-L, Xiong J-H, Tang P-L. Continuity of care and multiple chronic conditions impact frequent use of outpatient services. *Health Informatics J*. 2020;26(1):318-327. doi:10.1177/1460458218824720
6. Solomon SR, Gooding HC, Reyes Nieva H, Linder JA. Acute care utilization by patients after graduation of their resident primary care physicians. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2015;30(11):1611-1617. doi:10.1007/s11606-015-3305-7
7. Coleman K, Reid RJ, Johnson E, et al. Implications of reassigning patients for the medical home: a case study. *Ann Fam Med*. 2010;8(6):493-498. doi:10.1370/afm.1190
8. Thanh NX, Rapoport J. Health services utilization of people having and not having a regular doctor in Canada. *The International Journal of Health Planning and Management*. 2017;32(2):180-188. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/hpm.2338
9. Tsai J, Shi L, Yu W-L, Lebrun LA. Usual source of care and the quality of medical care experiences: a cross-sectional survey of patients from a Taiwanese community. *Medical Care*. 2010;48(7):628-634. doi:10.1097/MLR.0b013e3181dbdf76

10. Langton JM, Wong ST, Burge F, et al. Population segments as a tool for health care performance reporting: an exploratory study in the Canadian province of British Columbia. *BMC Fam Pract.* 2020;21:98. doi:10.1186/ s12875-020-01141-w

11. Dreier J, Comaneshter DS, Rosenbluth Y, Battat E, Bitterman H, Cohen AD. The association between continuity of care in the community and health outcomes: a population-based study. *Isr J Health Policy Res.* 2012;1:21. doi:10.1186/2045-4015-1-21

The following studies demonstrate Continuity of Care and Decreased Cost

1. Lei L, Intrator O, Conwell Y, Fortinsky RH, Cai S. Continuity of care and health care cost among community-dwelling older adult veterans living with dementia. *Health Serv Res.* 2021;56(3):378-388. doi:10.1111/1475- 6773.13541

2. Hollander MJ, Kadlec H. Financial implications of the continuity of primary care. *Perm J.* 2015;19(1):4-10. doi:10.7812/TPP/14-107

3. De Maeseneer JM, De Prins L, Gosset C, Heyerick J. Provider continuity in family medicine: does it make a difference for total health care costs? *Ann Fam Med.* 2003;1(3):144-148. doi:10.1370/afm.75

4. Jacobs R, Aylott L, Dare C, et al. The association between primary care quality and multisector costs. *NIHR Journals Library*; 2020. Accessed March 15, 2021. Chapter 7 [1]<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK55818>

5. Langton JM, Wong ST, Burge F, et al. Population segments as a tool for health care performance reporting: an exploratory study in the Canadian province of British Columbia. *BMC Fam Pract.* 2020;21:98. doi:10.1186/ s12875-020-01141-w

6. Romaine MA, Haber SG, Wensky SG, McCall N. Primary care and specialty providers: an assessment of continuity of care, utilization, and expenditures. *Medical Care.* 2014;52(12):1042-1049. doi:10.1097/ MLR.0000000000000246

7. Robles S, Anderson GF. Continuity of care and its effect on prescription drug use among Medicare beneficiaries with hypertension. *Medical Care.* 2011;49(5):516-521. doi:10.1097/MLR.0b013e31820fb10c

8. Jung B, Cho KH, Lee DH, Kim S. The effects of continuity of care on hospital utilization in patients with knee osteoarthritis: analysis of Nationwide insurance data. *BMC Health Serv Res.* 2018;18:152. doi:10.1186/ s12913-018-2951-y

9. Hong J-S, Kang H-C. Continuity of ambulatory care and health outcomes in adult patients with type 2 diabetes in Korea. *Health Policy.* 2013;109(2):158-165. doi:10.1016/j.healthpol.2012.09.009

10. Amjad H, Carmichael D, Austin AM, Chang C-H, Bynum JP. Continuity of care and healthcare utilization in older adults with dementia in fee-for-service Medicare. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2016;176(9):1371-1378. doi:10.1001/ jamainternmed.2016.3553

11. Hussey PS, Schneider EC, Rudin RS, Fox DS, Lai J, Pollack CE. Continuity and the costs of care for chronic disease. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2014;174(5):742-748. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2014.245

12. Shin DW, Cho J, Yang HK, et al. Impact of continuity of care on mortality and health care costs: a nationwide cohort study in Korea. *Ann Fam Med.* 2014;12(6):534-541. doi:10.1370/afm.1685

13. Bazemore A, Petterson S, Peterson LE, Bruno R, Chung Y, Phillips RL. Higher primary care physician continuity is associated with lower costs and hospitalizations. *Ann Fam Med*. 2018;16(6):492-497. doi:10.1370/afm.2308
14. Dreier J, Comaneshter DS, Rosenbluth Y, Battat E, Bitterman H, Cohen AD. The association between continuity of care in the community and health outcomes: a population-based study. *Isr J Health Policy Res*. 2012;1:21. doi:10.1186/2045-4015-1-21
15. McBurney PG, Simpson KN, Darden PM. Potential cost savings of decreased emergency department visits through increased continuity in a pediatric medical home. *Ambulatory Pediatrics*. 2004;4(3):204-208. doi:10.1367/A03-069R.1
16. Anderson LH, Flottemesch TJ, Fontaine P, Solberg LI, Asche SE. Patient medical group continuity and healthcare utilization. *Am J Manag Care*. 2012;18(8):450-457
17. Cheng SH, Chen CC, Hou YF. A longitudinal examination of continuity of care and avoidable hospitalization: evidence from a universal coverage health care system. *Arch Intern Med*. 2010;170(18):1671-1677. doi:10.1001/archinternmed.2010.340
18. Chen AY, Chen B, Kuo CC. Better continuity of care improves the quality of end-of-life care among elderly patients with end-stage renal disease. *Sci Rep*. 2020;10(1):19716. Published 2020 Nov 12. doi:10.1038/s41598-020-76707-w

References

1. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK55818>

Evidence that Continuity of Care is Valued by Patients:

The Continuity of Care quality measure was developed with extensive input from patients and physicians during measure development, implementation, and testing. Crowd-sourced samples of 412 patients, 525 primary care physicians, and 85 health care payers were asked to describe what value in primary care means to them and the same question was asked in a 2 1/2-day international conference consisting of 70 primary care and health services experts (with funding by AHRQ) - Continuity of Care was clearly identified as a primary care function of critical importance to both patients and physicians. There is more evidence over three decades to support Continuity of Care's value to clinicians, patients and our health care system than for most other current measures in CMS' portfolio.

The following journal articles demonstrate that patients value this measure:

1. A UK study found that seeing a known and trusted doctor was especially important to patients with chronic, complex, and emotional problems. doi:10.1186/1471-2296-7-11
2. Other recent research in primary care in Europe has found that patients seek interpersonal continuity of care with a GP in order to have sense of security based on four core foundations – (1) coherence (2) confidence in care (3) trusting relationship and (4) access. 10.1093/fampra/ami103.
3. Earlier studies confirm the importance of empathy, relationship, and a sense of partnership. PMID: 8517195
4. Consulting the regular doctor, trust and satisfaction with consultations are associated, and patients

who consult a doctor they trust report the highest levels of satisfaction with consultations.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/0283430310000528>

5. A group studied priorities for care among 225 patients attending the medical clinics of a university teaching hospital. Eight attributes of medical care were considered: continuity, coordination, comprehensiveness, availability, convenience, cost, expertise, and compassion. Continuity of care was the highest priority for these patients, while cost and convenience were lowest.

<https://doi.org/10.1097/00005650-198302000-00010>

6. A study demonstrated consistent and significant positive relationship exists between interpersonal continuity of care and patient satisfaction. 10.1370/afm.91

7. Self-reported continuity of care is strongly associated with higher patient satisfaction. This suggests that improving continuity of care may improve patient satisfaction with physicians as well as with their health care organization. 10.1111/j.1525-1497.2005.40135.x

8. Available literature reflects it is likely a significant association exists between interpersonal continuity and improved preventive care and reduced hospitalizations. 10.1370/afm.285

9. A study demonstrated evidence of relationships between the attributes of FM and the service outcomes measured by indicators of satisfaction, health, and cost. User satisfaction was associated with accessibility, continuity of care, consultation time and the doctor–patient relationship. Improvement in patient’s health was related to continuity, consultation time, doctor–patient relationship and the implementation of preventive activities. Coordination of care showed mixed results with health outcomes. Continuity, consultation time, doctor–patient communication and prevention were cost-effective in the primary care setting. doi:10.1093/fampra/cmi112

Developer Response

N/A

NQF Response

Thank you for your comment. Since the measure was recommended by the Standing Committee and the comments do not require deliberation by the Standing Committee, the Spring 2021 post comment meeting will not be convened. Please reach out with any questions.

NQF Committee Response

N/A