Pulmonary and Critical Care 2015-2016

TECHNICAL REPORT

October 18, 2016

This report is funded by the Department of Health and Human Services under contract HHSM-500-2012-00009I Task Order HHSM-500-T0008.



Contents

Executive Summary	5
Introduction	7
NQF Portfolio of Performance Measures for Pulmonary and Critical Care (PCC) Conditions	7
Table 1. NQF PCC Portfolio of Measures	8
National Quality Strategy	8
Use of Measures in the Portfolio	8
Improving NQF's Pulmonary and Critical Care Portfolio	<u>c</u>
Pulmonary and Critical Care Measure Evaluation	ç
Comments Received Prior to Committee Evaluation	<u>c</u>
Refining the NQF Measure Evaluation Process	<u>c</u>
Committee Evaluation	10
Table 2. Pulmonary and Critical Care Measure Evaluation Summary	11
Overarching Issues	11
Summary of Measure Evaluation	11
References	25
Appendix A: Details of Measure Evaluation	26
Endorsed Measures	26
0047 Asthma: Pharmacologic Therapy for Persistent Asthma	26
0091 COPD: Spirometry Evaluation	29
0275 Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) or Asthma in Older Adults Admission Rate (PQI 05)	31
0283 Asthma in Younger Adults Admission Rate (PQI 15)	
0334 PICU Severity-adjusted Length of Stay	
0335 PICU Unplanned Readmission Rate	39
0468 Hospital 30-day, all-cause, risk-standardized mortality rate (RSMR) following pneumonia hospitalization	42
0513 Thorax CT—Use of Contrast Material	45
0577 Use of Spirometry Testing in the Assessment and Diagnosis of COPD	47
1800 Asthma Medication Ratio	49
1893 Hospital 30-Day, all-cause, risk-standardized mortality rate (RSMR) following chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) hospitalization	52
2856 Pharmacotherapy Management of COPD Exacerbation	54
Measures with Inactive Endorsement with Reserve Status	58
0102 COPD: inhaled bronchodilator therapy	58
Measures Not Recommended	61

0343 PICU Standardized Mortality Ratio	61
0702 Intensive Care Unit (ICU) Length-of-Stay (LOS)	63
0703 Intensive Care: In-hospital mortality rate	66
1799 Medication Management for People with Asthma	68
2794 Rate of Emergency Department Visit Use for Children Managed for Identifiable Asthma: A PQMP Measure	72
2816 Appropriateness of Emergency Department Visits for Children and Adolescents with Identifiable Asthma: A PQMP Measure	77
2852 Optimal Asthma Control	78
Measures with Endorsement Decision Deferred	83
0708 Proportion of Patients with Pneumonia that have a Potentially Avoidable Complication (during the episode time window)	
0279 Bacterial Pneumonia Admission Rate (PQI 11)	85
Measures Withdrawn from Consideration	89
Appendix B: NQF Pulmonary and Critical Care Portfolio and Related Measures	90
Appendix C: Pulmonary and Critical Care Portfolio—Use in Federal Programs	96
Appendix D: Project Standing Committee and NQF Staff	98
Appendix E: Measure Specifications	101
0047 Asthma: Pharmacologic Therapy for Persistent Asthma	101
0091 COPD: Spirometry Evaluation	105
0102 COPD: inhaled bronchodilator therapy	110
0275 Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) or Asthma in Older Adults Admission Rate (PQI 05)	117
0279 Bacterial Pneumonia Admission Rate (PQI 11)	121
0283 Asthma in Younger Adults Admission Rate (PQI 15)	125
0334 PICU Severity-adjusted Length of Stay	128
0335 PICU Unplanned Readmission Rate	131
0343 PICU Standardized Mortality Ratio	132
0468 Hospital 30-day, all-cause, risk-standardized mortality rate (RSMR) following pneumonia hospitalization	135
0513 Thorax CT—Use of Contrast Material	145
0577 Use of Spirometry Testing in the Assessment and Diagnosis of COPD	147
0702 Intensive Care Unit (ICU) Length-of-Stay (LOS)	151
0703 Intensive Care: In-hospital mortality rate	153
0708 Proportion of Patients with Pneumonia that have a Potentially Avoidable Complication (during the episode time window)	
1799 Medication Management for People with Asthma	
1800 Asthma Medication Ratio	

Appendix F2: Related and Competing Measures (narrative format)	297
obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) hospitalization	
2856 Pharmacotherapy Management of COPD Exacerbation	212
2852 Optimal Asthma Control	206
	195
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	187
obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) hospitalization	180

Pulmonary and Critical Care 2015-2016

TECHNICAL REPORT

Executive Summary

Chronic lower respiratory disease caused 138,000 deaths in 2010 and is the third leading cause of death in adults older than 18.¹ The treatment and management of respiratory disease places an enormous burden on the healthcare system, with an estimated economic cost of \$106 billion for asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), and pneumonia in 2009 (\$81 billion in direct health expenditures and \$25 billion in indirect costs of mortality).² Critical care is the specialized treatment of patients whose conditions are life-threatening and who require comprehensive care and constant monitoring, usually in intensive care units (ICUs); for critical care, there are approximately 6,000 ICUs in the United States, caring for over 55,000 critically ill patients each day.

At the outset of this project in 2015, NQF's Pulmonary and Critical Care portfolio (PCC) included 30 measures that addressed conditions, treatments, diagnostic studies, interventions, and procedures specific to pulmonary conditions and critical care. The Pulmonary and Critical Care portfolio contains 7 measures for asthma, 1 for asthma/COPD, 7 for COPD, 7 for pneumonia, 3 for imaging, and 5 for critical care. Appendix B details the full portfolio of PCC measures. Most of the measures in the PCC portfolio were reviewed for maintenance of endorsement in this project; some measures in the portfolio will be reviewed in other NQF projects (e.g., Readmissions, Patient- and Family-Centered Care).

For this project, the Committee evaluated 22 measures against NQF's standard evaluation criteria—4 new measures and 18 measures undergoing maintenance review. Thirteen measures were endorsed, and 1 measure received inactive endorsement with reserve status. The Committee did not endorse 6 measures. Two measures were deferred to other NQF committees for further evaluation.

The Standing Committee endorsed 12 measures:

- 0047 Asthma: Pharmacologic Therapy for Persistent Asthma
- 0091 COPD: Spirometry Evaluation
- 0275 Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) or Asthma in Older Adults Admission Rate (PQI 05)
- 0283 Asthma in Younger Adults Admission Rate (PQI 15)
- 0334 PICU Severity-adjusted Length of Stay
- 0335 PICU Unplanned Readmission Rate
- 0468 Hospital 30-day, all-cause, risk-standardized mortality rate (RSMR) following pneumonia hospitalization
- 0513 Thorax CT—Use of Contrast Material
- 0577 Use of Spirometry Testing in the Assessment and Diagnosis of COPD
- 1800 Asthma Medication Ratio
- 1893 Hospital 30-Day, all-cause, risk-standardized mortality rate (RSMR) following chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) hospitalization

• 2856 Pharmacotherapy Management of COPD Exacerbation

One measure received Inactive Endorsement with Reserve Status:

• 0102 COPD: inhaled bronchodilator therapy

The Committee did not endorse the following measures:

- 0343 PICU Standardized Mortality Ratio
- 0702 Intensive Care Unit (ICU) Length-of-Stay (LOS)
- 0703 Intensive Care: In-hospital mortality rate
- 1799 Medication Management for People with Asthma (NCQA)
- 2816 Appropriateness of Emergency Department Visits for Children and Adolescents with Identifiable Asthma: A PQMP Measure
- 2794 Rate of Emergency Department Visit Use for Children Managed for Identifiable Asthma: A PQMP Measure
- 2852 Optimal Asthma Control

The following measures were deferred to other NQF committees:

- 0708 Proportion of Patients with Pneumonia that have a Potentially Avoidable Complication (during the episode time window)
- 0279 Bacterial Pneumonia Admission Rate (PQI 11)

Brief summaries of the measures are included in the body of the report; detailed summaries of the Committee's discussion and ratings of the criteria for each measure are in <u>Appendix A.</u>

Introduction

Chronic lower respiratory disease caused 138,000 deaths in 2010 and is the third leading cause of death in adults older than 18.³ In 2012, the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) survey found approximately 8.9% (21.1 million) of adults residing in the United States and 9.0% of children from 36 states and Washington, DC, reported currently having asthma, and approximately 15.3 million adults (6.4%) reported having been diagnosed with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). The burden on the healthcare system to treat and manage pulmonary conditions is significant, with an estimated economic cost of \$106 billion for asthma, COPD, and pneumonia in 2009 (\$81 billion in direct health expenditures and \$25 billion in indirect costs of mortality).⁴

Critical care is the specialized care of patients whose conditions are life-threatening and who require comprehensive care and constant monitoring, usually in intensive care units (ICUs). There are approximately 6,000 ICUs in the United States, caring for 55,000 critically ill patients each day. Also evident is the dramatic rise in patients 85 years and older, from 4.1% of the population in 1991 to 6.9% in 2004.⁵

This NQF project sought to identify and endorse performance measures for accountability and quality improvement that address conditions, treatments, diagnostic studies, interventions, procedures, or outcomes specific to pulmonary conditions and critical care, including asthma management, COPD mortality, pneumonia management and mortality, and critical care mortality, and length of stay. On March 15-16, 2016, NQF convened a new multistakeholder Pulmonary and Critical Care Standing Committee (PCC) composed of 23 individuals to evaluate 18 NQF-endorsed maintenance measures and 4 new measures related to the quality of pulmonary and critical care and make recommendations for NQF endorsement.

NQF Portfolio of Performance Measures for Pulmonary and Critical Care (PCC) Conditions

The PCC Standing Committee (<u>Appendix D</u>) oversees NQF's portfolio of 30 PCC measures (<u>Appendix B</u>). While most of those measures are part of this Committee's purview, other measures related to pulmonary and critical care conditions have been designated as more appropriate for evaluation in other NQF projects, such as Person- and Family-Centered Care, Health and Well-Being, and Readmissions.

The 30 measures in this portfolio include 12 process measures, 1 efficiency measure, and 17 outcome measures (Table 1).

Table 1. NQF PCC Portfolio of Measures

	Process	Efficiency	Outcome	Composite
PCC Project	7	0	11	0
Other Projects	0	0	6	0
(Person and Family				
Centered Care,				
Health and Well-				
Being, and				
Readmissions)				
To Be Withdrawn by	5	1	0	0
the Developer				
Total	12	1	17	0

National Quality Strategy

NQF-endorsed measures for pulmonary and critical care support the <u>National Quality Strategy (NQS)</u>. The NQS serves as the overarching framework for guiding and aligning public and private efforts across all levels (local, state, and national) to improve the quality of healthcare in the United States. The NQS establishes the "triple aim" of better care, affordable care, and healthy people/communities, focusing on 6 priorities to achieve those aims: *Safety, Person and Family Centered Care, Communication and Care Coordination, Effective Prevention and Treatment of Illness, Best Practices for Healthy Living, and Affordable Care*.

Quality measures for pulmonary and critical care align with several of the NQS priorities, including:

- Effective Prevention and Treatment of Illness. Chronic lower respiratory disease is the third leading cause of death in adults older than 18. The burden to treat and manage pulmonary conditions continues to generate significant costs for the U.S. healthcare system.
- **Safety.** The PCC measure portfolio includes measures that promote patient safety, including appropriate use of medications and improving mortality rates after hospitalization.
- Best Practices for Healthy Living. Three measures in the PCC portfolio have a population health focus: NQF #0275 Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) or Asthma in Older Adults Admission Rate (PQI 05), NQF #0283 Asthma in Younger Adults Admission Rate (PQI 15), and NQF #0279 Bacterial Pneumonia Admission Rate (PQI 11).

Use of Measures in the Portfolio

Federal programs use many of the measures from NQF's PCC portfolio (<u>Appendix C</u>). Additionally, state measurement initiatives and internal quality improvement efforts also deploy NQF-endorsed pulmonary and critical care measures.

Endorsement of measures by NQF is valued because the evaluation process is both rigorous and transparent, and also because evaluations are conducted by multistakeholder committees composed of clinicians and other experts from the full range of healthcare providers, employers, health plans, public agencies, suppliers, community coalitions, and patients—many of whom use measures on a daily basis

to ensure better care. Moreover, NQF-endorsed measures undergo routine "maintenance" (i.e., re-evaluation) to ensure they are still the best-available measures and reflect current science. Importantly, federal law requires that preference be given to NQF-endorsed measures for use in federal public reporting and performance-based payment programs. NQF measures also are used by a variety of stakeholders in the private sector, including hospitals, health plans, and communities.

Improving NQF's Pulmonary and Critical Care Portfolio

Committee Input on Gaps in the Portfolio

During their discussions the Committee identified numerous areas where additional measure development is needed, including:

- Acute pulmonary embolism management and outcomes
- Cystic fibrosis management and outcomes
- For critical care patients: acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) management, mechanical ventilation management and mobility in the ICU
- Sepsis management should be part of the PCC portfolio
- Outcome measures: sepsis mortality; discharge to long-term acute care hospitals (LTACH) with mechanical ventilations; updated, more accessible ICU mortality and LOS measures appropriately adjusted for acuity

Pulmonary and Critical Care Measure Evaluation

The PCC Standing Committee evaluated 4 new measures and 18 measures undergoing maintenance review against NQF's standard evaluation criteria. To facilitate the evaluation, the Committee and candidate standards were divided into 4 workgroups for preliminary review prior to consideration by the entire Standing Committee.

Comments Received Prior to Committee Evaluation

NQF solicits comments on endorsed measures on an ongoing basis through the <u>Quality Positioning System (QPS)</u>. In addition, NQF solicits comments prior to the evaluation of the measures via an online tool located on the project webpage. For this evaluation cycle, the pre-evaluation comment period was open from February 10-24, 2016, for all measures under review. No pre-evaluation comments were received.

Refining the NQF Measure Evaluation Process

To streamline and improve the periodic evaluation of currently endorsed measures, NQF has updated the way it re-evaluates measures for maintenance of endorsement. This change took effect beginning October 1, 2015. NQF's endorsement criteria have not changed, and all measures continue to be evaluated using the same criteria. However, under the new approach, there is a shift in emphasis for evaluation of currently endorsed measures.

Evidence: If the developer attests that the evidence for a measure has not changed since its
previous endorsement evaluation, there is a decreased emphasis on evidence, meaning that the

committee may accept the prior evaluation of this criterion without further discussion or need for a vote. This applies only to measures that previously passed the evidence criterion without an exception. If a measure was granted an evidence exception, the evidence for that measure must be revisited.

• Opportunity for Improvement (Gap): For re-evaluation of endorsed measures, there is increased emphasis on current performance and opportunity for improvement. Endorsed measures that are "topped out" with little opportunity for further improvement are eligible for inactive endorsement with reserve status.

Reliability

- o Specifications: There is no change in the evaluation of the current specifications.
- Testing: If the developer has not presented additional testing information, the committee may accept the prior evaluation of the testing results without further discussion or need for a vote.
- Validity: There is less emphasis on this criterion if the developer has not presented additional
 testing information, and the committee may accept the prior evaluation of this subcriterion
 without further discussion and vote. However, the committee still considers whether the
 specifications are consistent with the evidence. Also, for outcome measures, the committee
 discusses questions required for the SDS Trial even if no change in testing is presented.
- **Feasibility:** The emphasis on this criterion is the same for both new and previously endorsed measures, as feasibility issues might have arisen for endorsed measures that have been implemented.
- Usability and Use: For re-evaluation of endorsed measures, there is increased emphasis on the
 use of the measure, especially use for accountability purposes. There also is an increased
 emphasis on improvement in results over time and on unexpected findings, both positive and
 negative.

Committee Evaluation

Of the 18 maintenance and 4 new measures reviewed by the PCC Committee at its March 15-16, 2016, meeting, 10 were recommended for endorsement, and 1 for inactive endorsement with reserve status. The Committee did not reach consensus on 8 measures and did not recommend 3 measures.

On June 13, 2016, the Committee reconvened to discuss comments and reevaluate the 8 measures for which consensus was not reached. Of these 8 measures, the Committee recommended 2 measures, did not recommend 4 measures, and did not reach consensus on 2 measures. One measure was deferred to the Patient Safety Committee during the member and public comment period.

On July 13, 2016, the CSAC reviewed the Committee's recommendations and the 2 measures on which the Committee had not reached consensus. The developer for measure #0279 submitted a reconsideration request, and that measure was not reviewed by the full CSAC during this meeting. The CSAC approved the Committee's recommendations and did not recommend the 2 measures that lacked consensus. After a subsequent review of the reconsideration request submitted for measure #0279, the CSAC co-chairs deferred the evaluation of the measure to the Health and Well-Being Committee. Table 2 summarizes the results.

Table 2. Pulmonary and Critical Care Measure Evaluation Summary

	Maintenance	New	Total
Measures under consideration	18	4	22
Measures endorsed	11	1	12
Measures with inactive endorsement with reserve	1	0	1
status			
Measures not endorsed	4	3	7
Measure recommendation deferred	2	0	2
Reasons for not endorsing	Importance – 0	Importance – 1	
	Scientific	Scientific	
	Acceptability – 0	Acceptability – 0	
	Overall – 4	Overall – 2	
	Competing	Competing	
	Measure – 0	Measure – 0	

Overarching Issues

During the Standing Committee's discussion of the measures, one overarching issue emerged that was factored into the Committee's ratings and recommendations for multiple measures and is not repeated in detail with each individual measure.

Implementation of Measures at Different Level of Analysis than Endorsed

During the discussion of several of the measures, the Committee expressed concern about the measures being used at a different level of analysis than specified by the developer in the submission. For example, during the review of the Agency for Health Care Research and Quality (AHRQ) population-level measures, Committee members noted that while the measures are specified at the population level, at least one is being used by the federal government at the practice level (specifically, as a part of the Value-Based Payment Modifier). The Committee expressed concern about recommending a measure knowing it could or would be implemented in a manner not currently specified. NQF staff acknowledged the concern, but clarified that measures should be reviewed as submitted and intended by the developer. It is not within NQF's purview to control the measure's implementation after endorsement review.

Summary of Measure Evaluation

The following brief summaries of the measure evaluations highlight the major issues that the Committee considered. Details of the Committee's discussion and ratings of the criteria for each measure are in included in Appendix A.

Endorsed

0047 Asthma: Pharmacologic Therapy for Persistent Asthma (The American Academy of Asthma Allergy and Immunology (AAAAI)): Endorsed

Description: Percentage of patients aged 5 years and older with a diagnosis of persistent asthma who were prescribed long-term control medication. Three rates are reported for this measure: 1. Patients prescribed inhaled corticosteroids (ICS) as their long-term control medication; 2. Patients prescribed other alternative long term control medications (non-ICS); 3. Total patients prescribed long-term control medication; **Measure Type**: Process; **Level of Analysis**: Clinician: Group/Practice, Clinician: Individual; **Setting of Care**: Ambulatory Care: Clinician Office/Clinic; **Data Source**: Administrative claims, Electronic Clinical Data, Paper Medical Records, Electronic Clinical Data: Registry

This clinician-level measure was last endorsed in 2012. It currently is used in the CMS Physician Quality Reporting System (PQRS) program. The evidence base for the measure derives from the clinical practice guidelines from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI) of the National Institutes of Health for the use of long-term medications for patients with persistent asthma. The Committee agreed that the underlying evidence for the measure had not changed since the last NQF endorsement review and accepted the prior evaluation. Overall, Committee members were concerned that the measure will be "topped out" in the near future, but they noted that opportunities for improvement still exist given the disparities data presented by the developer. The Committee agreed that the measure met the NQF criteria and recommended NQF #0047 for endorsement.

0091 COPD: Spirometry Evaluation (American Thoracic Society (ATS)): Endorsed

Description: Percentage of patients aged 18 years and older with a diagnosis of COPD who had spirometry results documented; **Measure Type**: Process; **Level of Analysis**: Clinician: Group/Practice, Clinician: Team; **Setting of Care**: Ambulatory Care: Clinician Office/Clinic; **Data Source**: Administrative claims, Electronic Clinical Data: Registry

This clinician-level measure was originally endorsed in 2009 and maintained endorsement in 2012. The measure has been used by the CMS Physician Quality Reporting System (PQRS) program since 2007 and is planned for integration into the CMS Physician Compare Program. The measure's evidence derives from the 2011 Clinical Practice Guideline Update from the American College of Physicians, American College of Chest Physicians, American Thoracic Society, and European Respiratory Society. The Committee agreed that the underlying evidence for the measure has not changed since the last NQF endorsement review and accepted the prior evaluation. Overall, the Committee felt that the measure did not set a high standard, but agreed that a performance gap of 45.7% indicates a need for the measure. The Committee agreed the measure met the NQF criteria and recommended NQF #0091 for endorsement.

0275 Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) or Asthma in Older Adults Admission Rate (PQI 05) (Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ)): Endorsed

Description: Admissions with a principal diagnosis of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) or asthma per 1,000 population, ages 40 years and older. Excludes obstetric admissions and transfers from

other institutions; **Measure Type**: Outcome; **Level of Analysis**: Population: County or City; **Setting of Care**: Other; **Data Source**: Administrative claims

NQF #0275 is a population quality indicator specified for county- or city-level populations. It aims to provide an assessment of population health for COPD by measuring the rate of exacerbations requiring hospitalizations, which can be improved by access to high-quality care and community resources that promote improved population health, combined with appropriate self-care for emphysema, chronic bronchitis, and asthma. The measure is not specified nor intended for use to measure the performance of any particular provider, individual clinician, or hospital; it is currently being used for public reporting, including the Medicare Shared Savings Program. The Committee generally supported the measure, but it recommended that some of the exclusionary criteria include the corollary adult diagnoses, not just the pediatric diagnoses. The Committee agreed that the measure met the NQF criteria and recommended NQF #0275 for endorsement.

0283 Asthma in Younger Adults Admission Rate (PQI 15) (AHRQ): Endorsed

Description: Admissions for a principal diagnosis of asthma per 1,000 population, ages 18 to 39 years. Excludes admissions with an indication of cystic fibrosis or anomalies of the respiratory system, obstetric admissions, and transfers from other institutions; **Measure Type**: Outcome; **Level of Analysis**: Population: County or City; **Setting of Care**: Other; **Data Source**: Administrative claims

NQF #0283 is a population quality indicator specified for county- or city-level populations. It aims to identify hospitalizations for asthma in younger adults age 18-39; appropriate pharmaceutical and other outpatient management will decrease the risk of hospitalization. The measure is not specified nor intended for use to measure the performance of any particular provider, individual clinician, or hospital; it is currently being used for public reporting, including the Medicare Shared Savings Program. Although, the developer provided some updated evidence related to aspects of hospitalization for asthma, the Committee agreed that the underlying rationale for this outcome measure has not changed since the last NQF endorsement review and accepted the prior evaluation of this criterion without further discussion. The Committee agreed that the measure met the NQF criteria and recommended NQF #0283 for endorsement.

0334 PICU Severity-adjusted Length of Stay (Virtual PICU Systems, LLC (VPS)): Endorsed

Description: The number of days between PICU admission and PICU discharge; **Measure Type**: Outcome; **Level of Analysis**: Facility; **Setting of Care**: Hospital/Acute Care Facility; **Data Source**: Administrative claims, Paper Medical Records, Electronic Clinical Data: Registry

The developer recommended, and the Committee concurred, that, during implementation, this measure should be paired with NQF #0335, PICU Unplanned Readmissions. This measure, NQF#0334, is a facility-level measure that was originally endorsed in 2008, and maintained endorsement in 2012; several private sector payer payment and quality improvement programs currently use it. The measure uses the PRISM III algorithm, which is proprietary. The Committee agreed that the underlying evidence for the measure has not changed since the last NQF endorsement review and accepted the prior evaluation. The Committee also agreed that the measure met the reliability and validity criteria. While NQF endorses measures with proprietary components, committee members expressed concern about the

proprietary nature of the measure and, hence, the feasibility and usability of the measure. Initially, the Committee did not reach consensus on the suitability for endorsement of NQF #0334.

After the comment period, the Committee reconsidered this measure. A single commenter noted that this measure is not feasible for health plans. The developer responded that the measure is designed to be reported by PICUs using clinical data which "avoids the well-published shortcomings of administrative data." The developer also noted that the measure is used by more than 100 PICUs nationally—the results could be provided to plans and insurers if requested. The Committee discussed the costs of this fee-based, registry measure. It agreed that NQF policy allows such measures and that the measure is feasible because so many PICUs already participate. On re-vote, the Committee recommended the measure for endorsement.

0335 PICU Unplanned Readmission Rate (VPS): Endorsed paired with #0334

Description: The total number of patients requiring unscheduled readmission to the ICU within 24 hours of discharge or transfer; **Measure Type**: Outcome; **Level of Analysis**: Facility; **Setting of Care**: Hospital/Acute Care Facility; **Data Source**: Electronic Clinical Data: Registry

This facility-level measure was originally endorsed in 2008, and it maintained endorsement in 2012. The developer recommended that, during implementation, this measure should be paired with NQF #0334, PICU Severity-adjusted Length of Stay. The Committee concurred. As with NQF #0334, the measure uses the PRISM III algorithm, which is proprietary. Performance on the measure is not generally publicly reported; however, some hospitals participating in the VPS system may individually publicly report their data. The Committee agreed that the underlying evidence for the measure has not changed since the last NQF endorsement review and accepted the prior evaluation. The Committee expressed doubts about the potential impact of the measure, and it did not reach consensus on whether enough of a performance gap exists to warrant a national performance measure. Initially, the Committee agreed that the measure met the reliability and validity criteria, but Committee members expressed concerns about the proprietary nature of the measure and, hence, its feasibility and usability. The Committee did not reach consensus on the suitability for endorsement of NQF #0335.

After the comment period, the Committee reconsidered this measure and agreed that it is a "balancing measure" for #0334 noting that an increase in readmissions might be an unintended consequence of reducing length of stay. The Committee recommended the measure on the condition that it is paired with measure #0334 and not used as a stand-alone measure.

0468 Hospital 30-day, all-cause, risk-standardized mortality rate (RSMR) following pneumonia hospitalization (Centers for Medicare & Medicaid (CMS) and Yale New Haven Health Services Corporation/Center for Outcomes Research and Evaluation (YNHHSC/CORE)): Endorsed

Description: The measure estimates a hospital-level 30-day risk-standardized mortality rate (RSMR). Mortality is defined as death for any cause within 30 days after the date of admission for the index admission, discharged from the hospital with a principal discharge diagnosis of pneumonia, including aspiration pneumonia or a principal discharge diagnosis of sepsis (not severe sepsis) with a secondary diagnosis of pneumonia (including aspiration pneumonia) coded as present on admission (POA). CMS annually reports the measure for patients who are 65 years or older and are either Medicare fee-for-

service (FFS) beneficiaries and hospitalized in non-federal hospitals or patients hospitalized in Veterans Health Administration (VA) facilities; **Measure Type**: Outcome; **Level of Analysis**: Facility; **Setting of Care**: Hospital/Acute Care Facility; **Data Source**: Administrative claims

NQF #0468, a facility-level measure, was originally endorsed in 2007, and maintained endorsement in 2012; the measure is currently in use nationally in the Hospital Inpatient Quality Reporting (IQR) and Hospital Value-Based Purchasing (HVBP) programs. Based on the 3 years of performance data provided by the developer, the Committee questioned whether the measure was having any impact. The developer explained that the mortality rates appeared to be increasing due to the expansion of the denominator to include patients with a principal discharge diagnosis of aspiration pneumonia and sepsis. The Committee did not reach consensus on whether a sufficient performance gap exists, but it ultimately agreed that NQF #0468 met the NQF criteria and recommended it for endorsement.

0513 Thorax CT—Use of Contrast Material (CMS and The Lewin Group): Endorsed

Description: This measure calculates the percentage of thorax computed tomography (CT) studies that are performed with and without contrast out of all thorax CT studies performed (those with contrast, those without contrast, and those with both) at each facility. The measure is calculated based on a 1-year window of Medicare claims data. The measure has been publicly reported, annually, by the measure steward, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), since 2010, as a component of its Hospital Outpatient Quality Reporting (HOQR) Program; **Measure Type**: Process; **Level of Analysis**: Facility, Population: National, Population: State; **Setting of Care**: Ambulatory Care: Clinician Office/Clinic, Hospital/Acute Care Facility, Imaging Facility; **Data Source**: Administrative claims

This facility-level measure was originally endorsed in 2008, and maintained endorsement in 2012; the measure is currently in use nationally in the Hospital Outpatient Quality Reporting program. The measure's evidence base derives from the American College of Radiology (ACR) appropriate use criteria (AUC) and 2 clinical practice guidelines from the National Collaborating Centre for Cancer (NCCC) and AIM Specialty Health. The Committee agreed that the underlying evidence for the measure has not changed since the last NQF endorsement review and accepted the prior evaluation. The Committee agreed that 2015 performance rates, which ranged from 0.0% to 46.5%, demonstrated considerable variation and an opportunity for improvement; Committee members also noted that disparities based on the size of the facility, age, gender, and race could be observed. The Committee agreed that the measure met the NQF criteria and recommended NQF #0513 for endorsement.

0577 Use of Spirometry Testing in the Assessment and Diagnosis of COPD (National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA)): Endorsed

Description: The percentage of patients 40 years of age and older with a new diagnosis of COPD or newly active COPD, who received appropriate spirometry testing to confirm the diagnosis; **Measure Type**: Process; **Level of Analysis**: Health Plan, Integrated Delivery System; **Setting of Care**: Ambulatory Care: Clinician Office/Clinic; **Data Source**: Administrative claims

This health plan measure was originally endorsed in 2009, and maintained endorsement in 2012; the measure is currently in use for National Committee for Quality Assurance's (NCQA) State of Health Care annual report, Quality Compass, and by Consumer Reports on its website. The evidence base for the

measure derives from 2015 Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) Guidelines, 2013 Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement (ICSI) Guidelines, and 2011 Clinical Practice Guideline Update from the American College of Physicians, American College of Chest Physicians, American Thoracic Society, and European Respiratory Society. The Committee agreed that the underlying evidence for the measure has not changed since the last NQF endorsement review and accepted the prior evaluation. The Committee expressed concern about the lack of performance improvement within each plan type from 2012 to 2014 (about 1%); however, it agreed that the data demonstrated variation in use of spirometry amongst the plan types (e.g., commercial vs. Medicaid). While the developer provided testing at the score level using newer data, the Committee agreed that the underlying method and results for the measure had not significantly changed since the last NQF endorsement review. The Committee expressed some concern about the measure's specified timeframe of 2 years prior to the Index Episode Start Date through 6 months after the Index Episode Start Date as not being evidence-based, but ultimately concluded the measure met the scientific acceptability criterion. The Committee agreed that the measure met the NQF criteria and recommended NQF #0517 for endorsement.

1800 Asthma Medication Ratio (NCQA): Endorsed

Description: The percentage of patients 5–64 years of age who were identified as having persistent asthma and had a ratio of controller medications to total asthma medications of 0.50 or greater during the measurement year; **Measure Type**: Process; **Level of Analysis**: Health Plan, Integrated Delivery System; **Setting of Care**: Ambulatory Care: Clinician Office/Clinic; **Data Source**: Administrative claims

NQF #1800 was originally endorsed in 2012; it is specified at a health plan, integrated delivery system level. NQF #1800 is publicly reported nationally and by geographic regions. It is also reported in Consumer Reports and on the NCQA website. The measure's evidence derives from the 2007 guidelines for the diagnosis and management of asthma from the National Heart and Lung and Blood Institutes (NHLBI) of the National Institutes of Health (NIH). The Committee agreed that the underlying evidence for the measure has not changed since the last NQF endorsement review and accepted the prior evaluation. The Committee noted the biggest threat to validity is the percentage of people excluded from the measure, particularly the older age cohort. The Committee ultimately agreed that the measure met the NQF criteria and recommended NQF #1800 for endorsement.

1893 Hospital 30-Day, all-cause, risk-standardized mortality rate (RSMR) following chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) hospitalization (CMS and YNHHSC/CORE): Endorsed

Description: The measure estimates a hospital-level 30-day risk-standardized mortality rate (RSMR), defined as death from any cause within 30 days after the index admission date, for patients discharged from the hospital with either a principal discharge diagnosis of COPD or a principal discharge diagnosis of respiratory failure with a secondary discharge diagnosis of acute exacerbation of COPD. CMS annually reports the measure for patients who are aged 65 or older, are enrolled in fee-for-service (FFS) Medicare, and hospitalized in non-federal hospitals; **Measure Type**: Outcome; **Level of Analysis**: Facility; **Setting of Care**: Hospital/Acute Care Facility; **Data Source**: Administrative claims

This facility-level measure was originally endorsed in 2013; the measure is being reported on CMS' Hospital Compare. The Committee agreed that the underlying evidence, reliability, and validity for the

measure has not changed since the last NQF endorsement review and accepted the prior evaluation of these criteria. The Committee noted there was minor improvement, but agreed there was enough of a gap in care that warranted a national performance measure. The Committee agreed that the measure met the NQF criteria and recommended NQF #1893 for endorsement.

2856 Pharmacotherapy Management of COPD Exacerbation (NCQA): Endorsed

Description: This measure assesses the percentage of COPD exacerbations for patients 40 years of age and older who had an acute inpatient discharge or ED encounter on or between January 1—November 30 of the measurement year and who were dispensed appropriate medications. Two rates are reported:

1. Dispensed a systemic corticosteroid (or there was evidence of an active prescription) within 14 days of the event; and 2. Dispensed a bronchodilator (or there was evidence of an active prescription) within 30 days of the event; **Measure Type**: Process; **Level of Analysis**: Health Plan, Integrated Delivery System; **Setting of Care**: Ambulatory Care: Clinician Office/Clinic; **Data Source**: Administrative claims

This health plan/integrated delivery system measure was previously endorsed as NQF #0549,; however, endorsement was removed during the last review in July 2012; the measure is currently in use in NCQA's State of Health Care annual report, Quality Compass, and by Consumer Reports on its website. The evidence for this measure derives from 2 clinical practice guidelines for the use of systemic corticosteroid and short acting bronchodilator medications to treat patients with COPD exacerbations from Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) and Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement (ICSI). The Committee expressed several concerns regarding the validity of the measure, in particular, concern over not capturing medications dispensed outside the patient's pharmacy benefit, as well as concern over some measure specifications and care setting exclusions. After robust discussion regarding validity; however, the Committee ultimately agreed that NQF #2856 met the NQF criteria and recommended it for endorsement.

Inactive Endorsement with Reserve Status

0102 COPD: Inhaled bronchodilator therapy (ATS): Inactive Endorsement with Reserve Status

Description: Percentage of patients aged 18 years or older, with a diagnosis of COPD (FEV1/FVC < 70%) who have an FEV1 < 60% predicted and have symptoms who were prescribed an inhaled bronchodilator; **Measure Type**: Process; **Level of Analysis**: Clinician: Group/Practice, Clinician: Team; **Setting of Care**: Ambulatory Care: Clinician Office/Clinic; **Data Source**: Administrative claims, Electronic Clinical Data: Registry

The developer originally brought forward NQF #0102 with an updated numerator, but lacked an updated gap analysis, as well as data for reliability and validity testing to support the new numerator. The Committee noted that it was not possible to evaluate the measure without the updated data and did not pass the measure on gap. Since data for the previous version were provided, however, the Committee agreed to review the previous specifications for endorsement maintenance, and to consider it for endorsement with reserve status, if the developer reverted back to the previous numerator. The developer agreed, and the specifications for the original measure are presented in this report.

The Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) 2015 guidelines and American College of Physicians (ACP), American College of Chest Physicians, American Thoracic Society, and European Respiratory Society 2011 guidelines provide the evidence base for the measure. The Committee agreed that the underlying evidence for the measure has not changed since the last NQF endorsement review and accepted the prior evaluation. As noted, given performance levels in 2012-2014 of 95.9%-98.5%, the Committee questioned whether there is opportunity for improvement and voted to consider the measure for endorsement with reserve status. This measure has been in use for the CMS PQRS program since 2007 and is planned for integration into the CMS Physician Compare Program. After agreeing that the measure was "topped out" and did not meet the gap criteria, the Committee agreed that the measure met the remaining NQF criteria and recommended NQF #0102 for inactive endorsement with reserve status.

Not Endorsed

0343 PICU Standardized Mortality Ratio (VPS): Not Endorsed

Description: The ratio of actual deaths over predicted deaths for PICU patients; **Measure Type**: Outcome; **Level of Analysis**: Facility; **Setting of Care**: Hospital/Acute Care Facility; **Data Source**: Administrative claims, Paper Medical Records, Electronic Clinical Data: Registry

This facility-level measure was originally endorsed in 2008, and it maintained endorsement in 2012. The measure uses the PRISM III algorithm, which is proprietary. Performance on the measure is not generally publicly reported; however, some hospitals participating in the VPS system may individually and publicly report their data. The Committee agreed that the underlying evidence for the measure has not changed since the last NQF endorsement review and accepted the prior evaluation. The Committee agreed that the measure met the reliability and validity criteria, but Committee members expressed concerns about the proprietary nature of the measure and hence its feasibility and usability. NQF staff clarified that measures with proprietary components are eligible for endorsement. Overall, the Committee did not reach consensus on the suitability for endorsement of NQF #0335.

After review of the single comment that noted that the measure is not feasible for health plans, the Committee reconsidered the measure. The developer responded that the measure is designed to be reported by PICUs using clinical data, which "avoids the well-published shortcomings of administrative data." The developer also noted that the measure is used by more than 100 PICUs nationally—the results could be provided to plans and insurers if requested. The Committee discussed the costs of this fee-based, registry measure. It agreed that NQF policy allows such measures and that the measure is feasible because so many PICUs already participate. Committee members noted the current low mortality and questioned whether there is opportunity for improvement. Others noted that the variability of results is significant and might be due to the heterogeneity of patients in a PICU. One Committee member noted that the rates are stable despite an increase in the severity of illness of patients in PICUs. On re-vote the Committee again did not reach consensus.

The CSAC then reviewed the measure and did not reach the 60% pass rate in order to approve this measure for endorsement, thus the measure lost its endorsement.

1799 Medication Management for People with Asthma (NCQA): Not Endorsed

Description: The percentage of patients 5-64 years of age during the measurement year who were identified as having persistent asthma and were dispensed appropriate medications that they remained on during the treatment period. Two rates are reported: 1. The percentage of patients who remained on an asthma controller medication for at least 50% of their treatment period and 2. The percentage of patients who remained on an asthma controller medication for at least 75% of their treatment period; **Measure Type**: Process; **Level of Analysis**: Health Plan, Integrated Delivery System; **Setting of Care**: Ambulatory Care: Clinician Office/Clinic; **Data Source**: Administrative claims

This health plan measure was originally endorsed in 2012, and it maintained endorsement in 2014; the measure is currently in use in NCQA's State of Health Care annual report, Quality Compass, and by Consumer Reports on its website. During the 2012 review, the Committee voiced concern over the lack of evidence related to the thresholds (50% and 75%) specified for compliance with the measure. As part of the current submission, the developer presented results from a literature search, including a study by Yoon et al. (2015), which found that patients who achieved the 50% threshold in 2012 did not have fewer hospitalizations, but did have fewer ED visits in 2013, compared to those who were 50% compliant. The Committee had a robust discussion about the 50% and 75% thresholds, overall evidence, and about this new study, in particular, and did not reach consensus on evidence. The Committee felt that the measure did meet the performance gap subcriterion, as well as the reliability, validity, feasibility criteria. The Committee raised concern about the potential for an unintended consequence of increasing costs and medication use without improving patient outcomes. Ultimately, however, the Committee passed this measure on usability and use. Initially, the Committee did not reach consensus on the suitability for endorsement of NQF #1799.

The only comment received encouraged harmonization of all asthma measures (#0047, #1800, and#1799) for age limits, data source, diagnosis definitions and risk-adjustment methods. The Committee revisited their earlier discussion on evidence, particularly the Yoon study. The developers reported that NCQA has discussed the study results with Yoon, et al., noting some inaccuracies in how the measure data were analyzed and that further analyses with new data are ongoing. The Committee also noted concerns with the long list of allowable medications and pointed out that the measure does not address whether patients are getting the correct medications for their particular type of asthma. On re-vote, the Committee again did not reach consensus.

The CSAC then reviewed the measure and did not reach the 60% pass rate in order to approve this measure for endorsement, thus the measure lost its endorsement.

0702 Intensive Care Unit (ICU) Length-of-Stay (LOS) (Philip R. Lee Institute for Health Policy Studies): Not Endorsed

Description: For all eligible patients =18 years old admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU), total duration of time spent in the ICU until time of discharge from the ICU; both observed and risk-adjusted LOS reported with the predicted LOS measured using the Intensive Care Outcomes Model - Length-of-Stay (ICOMLOS); **Measure Type**: Outcome; **Level of Analysis**: Facility; **Setting of Care**: Hospital/Acute Care Facility; **Data Source**: Paper Medical Records

NQF #0702 is a facility-level measure originally endorsed in 2011. Until 2013, the measure was used for internal quality improvement in California. The developer noted that, starting in 2013, it began developing the eMeasure version for CMS consideration. The developer recommended that this measure be paired with NQF #0703, Intensive Care: In-hospital mortality rate. The Committee discussed several concerns: a small gap in performance (overall unadjusted mean LOS was 3.4 days from 2010 and 2011); validity of the data reported by chart reviewers when determining a patient's level of care versus location of care; and time required to extract measure data. The Committee also expressed concern about the potential unintended consequences of premature discharge from ICUs and avoidance of high-risk patients. The Committee did not recommend NQF #0702 for endorsement.

0703 Intensive Care: In-hospital mortality rate (Philip R. Lee Institute for Health Policy Studies): Not Endorsed

Description: For all adult patients admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU), the percentage of patients whose hospital outcome is death; both observed and risk-adjusted mortality rates are reported with predicted rates based on the Intensive Care Outcomes Model - Mortality (ICOMmort); **Measure Type**: Outcome; **Level of Analysis**: Facility; **Setting of Care**: Hospital/Acute Care Facility; **Data Source**: Paper Medical Records

NQF #0703 is a facility-level measure that was originally endorsed in 2011. Until 2013, this measure was used and publicly reported in California, but use was discontinued in favor of converting the measure into an eMeasure for CMS consideration; the developer anticipates this will be completed in 2016. The Committee agreed that the underlying evidence for the measure has not changed since the last NQF endorsement review and accepted the prior evaluation. The Committee agreed that the measure met the reliability criterion, but it did not reach consensus on validity. Overall, the Committee's concern about validity focused on the impact of patient transfer exclusions; this issue also was raised during the 2011 NQF review. Initially, the Committee did not reach consensus on the suitability for endorsement of NQF #0703.

After the comment period, the Committee reconsidered this measure. The Committee reiterated concerns about inappropriately transfering patients to reduce the in-hospital morality rate. The Committee noted that the transition to electronic measures is still in progress. The developer responded that using the paper-based measures, hospitals in California reduced the ICU mortality from 13.5% to 11.2%. The same data showed that analysis of 30-day mortality did not change the hospital ratings, so in-hospital mortality was maintained to reduce burden of data collection. On re-vote, the Committee did not recommend the measure for endorsement.

2794 Rate of Emergency Department Visit Use for Children Managed for Identifiable Asthma: A PQMP Measure (Collaboration for Pediatric Quality Measures (CAPQuaM)): Not Endorsed

Description: This measure estimates the rate of emergency department visits for children ages 2 – 21 who are being managed for identifiable asthma. The measure is reported in visits per 100 child-years; **Measure Type**: Outcome; **Level of Analysis**: Population: Community, Population: County or City, Health Plan, Integrated Delivery System, Population: National, Population: Regional, Population: State; **Setting of Care**: Ambulatory Care: Clinician Office/Clinic, Emergency Medical Services/Ambulance,

Hospital/Acute Care Facility, Other, Pharmacy, Ambulatory Care: Urgent Care; **Data Source**: Administrative claims, Electronic Clinical Data: Electronic Health Record, Paper Medical Records

This measure was newly submitted for this project. The Committee agreed with the rationale for this outcome measure that high-quality primary care reduces the need for emergency department (ED) visits. Consensus was not reached for the validity criterion. The Committee raised concern about the lack of stratification by risk; while the developer stratified by age, the Committee expressed concern about clinical differences across the age spectra, especially in the first 6 years of life, which are not accounted for by the measure. The Committee also noted that, while the developer provided for stratification by race, it did not address demographic and environmental factors that affect race (e.g., location), which can affect patient risk and quality of care. The Committee discussed whether this lack of stratification could lead to misinterpretation of results as an unintended consequence. Initially, the Committee did not reach consensus on the overall suitability for endorsement of NQF #2794.

Two commenters supported this measure, pointing out the need for harmonization of the ages for all asthma measures. Another comment questioned whether providers have control over this measure and whether it reflects quality of care, but generally supported the measure, noting that ED visits are an important outcome for patients with asthma. The commenter also noted that there is a large body of evidence that ED visits can be reduced by appropriate interventions and services. The developers provided data from New York for various age groups as well as for race and urban/rural location. The Committee again discussed whether ED use reflects quality of care noting that providers are much less able to control when a child is brought to the ED compared to the decision to admit to the hospital. Noting differences in rates, the Committee was concerned with the lack of adjustment for sociodemographic factors (SDS). The developer referenced an NIH guideline that recommends against stratifying this type of measure based on race or SDS factors. Although the developer emphasized that the measure is intended for use by communities and health systems, Committee members were concerned that measures are often used inappropriately at lower levels of analysis. On re-vote, the Committee did not recommend this measure for endorsement.

2852 Optimal Asthma Control (MN Community Measurement): Not Endorsed

Description: The percentage of pediatric (5-17 years of age) and adult (18-50 years of age) patients who had a diagnosis of asthma and whose asthma was optimally controlled during the measurement period as defined by achieving BOTH of the following: (1) Asthma well-controlled as defined by the most recent asthma control tool result available during the measurement period (2) Patient not at elevated risk of exacerbation as defined by less than two emergency department visits and/or hospitalizations due to asthma in the last 12 months; **Measure Type**: Composite; **Level of Analysis**: Clinician: Group/Practice; **Setting of Care**: Ambulatory Care: Clinician Office/Clinic; **Data Source**: Electronic Clinical Data, Electronic Clinical Data: Electronic Health Record, Paper Medical Records

A version of this measure was previously reviewed as #1876, a 3-part composite, in the 2012-2013 Pulmonary Project; it is publicly reported in Minnesota. It was not recommended, but the previous Committee encouraged the developer to continue working on it. The developer considered the feedback and submitted the measure as a 2-part composite for consideration in this project. NQF #2852 is an all-

or-none composite consisting of two outcome measures (control and risk). During its discussions, the Committee raised questions regarding the specifications of the second component of the measure, which focuses on Asthma Control Test (ACT) greater than or equal to 20. Committee members requested clarification on how the composite is calculated, particularly how the ACT would be scored if one were not available in the previous 12 months. The developer responded that the measure looks for a result from a standardized asthma control tool in the 12-month period, and the absence of a result is judged as not in control (i.e., a numerator miss). The developer further noted that established patients who have a face-to-face contact with an eligible provider and diagnosis in the denominator also must report "in control" based on the tool and report fewer than 2 emergency department (ED) visits and/or hospitalizations due to asthma in the last 12 months. The Committee expressed concern about the use of patient recall to define ED visits and/or hospitalization, and suggested that the developer change the data source to claims data or another source that does not rely on recall; the developer noted, however, that it did not have access to such a data source with the measure's current use. The Committee also expressed concern about the measure's exclusions. Based on these discussions, the Committee did not reach consensus on composite quality construct and rationale, reliability, and validity. Overall, the Committee did not reach consensus of the overall suitability for endorsement of NQF #2852.

One commenter supported endorsement because no other measures address asthma control; a rich body of evidence documents the relationship between asthma control and exacerbations; assessment of control is a key component of the NAEPP guidelines; assessment of control to guide initial and follow-up treatment of asthma decreased the mean days for symptoms from 6 to 2 per week; and evidence from surveys and studies indicate that asthma is well-controlled in only 50% of people with asthma. Another commenter suggested additional criteria are needed for practitioner review of asthma control during well visits or acute visits within the measurement year. After review of the comments, the Committee again noted concerns with patient recall as the data source for ED visits or hospitalizations and suggested that the measure components were "not robust" enough to roll up into a composite. The developer responded that both components are outcome measures and the reliability testing of the measure was adequate. On re-vote, the Committee did not recommend the measure for endorsement.

2816 Appropriateness of Emergency Department Visits for Children and Adolescents with Identifiable Asthma: A PQMP Measure (CAPQuaM): Not Endorsed

Description: This measure estimates the proportion of emergency department (ED) visits that meet criteria for the ED being the appropriate level of care, among all ED visits for identifiable asthma in children and adolescents; **Measure Type**: Process; **Level of Analysis**: Population: Community, Population: County or City, Health Plan, Integrated Delivery System, Population: National, Population: Regional, Population: State; **Setting of Care**: Ambulatory Care: Clinician Office/Clinic, Hospital/Acute Care Facility, Other; **Data Source**: Administrative claims, Electronic Clinical Data: Electronic Health Record, Paper Medical Records

NQF #2816 was newly submitted for this project. Committee members concluded that this is not a process-of-care measure and recommended that the developer consider changing it to an outcome measure that focuses on the appropriateness of emergency department visits for children and adolescents. The Committee noted that there are processes, structures, and changes in care that could

potentially impact the outcome for the measure. The Developer agreed to alter the measure to an outcome measure; however, the Committee felt that, even with that change, the measure did not meet the evidence criterion. The Committee did not recommend NQF #2816 for endorsement.

Deferred

0708 Proportion of Patients with Pneumonia that have a Potentially Avoidable Complication (during the episode time window) (Health Care Incentives Improvement Institute): Deferred

Description: Percent of adult population aged 18+ years with Community Acquired Pneumonia who are followed for one-month, and have one or more potentially avoidable complication (PAC) during the episode time window; **Measure Type**: Outcome; **Level of Analysis**: Facility, Clinician: Individual, Population: Regional; **Setting of Care**: Ambulatory Care: Clinician Office/Clinic, Hospital/Acute Care Facility, Other, Ambulatory Care: Urgent Care; **Data Source**: Administrative claims

NQF #0708 is a facility-level and clinician/group-level measure originally endorsed in 2011; the specifications were updated for this project. The Committee agreed that the underlying evidence for the measure has not changed since the last NQF endorsement review and accepted the prior evaluation. The Committee raised several concerns about the performance gap information provided by the developer—specifically whether an actual performance gap exists just because variability exists. It was noted that natural variability occurs because some patients are outpatients and some are inpatients, for example, and that this and other ascertainment biases, coupled with the broad nature and types of PACs specified and coding variations (timing and practices), means that the information provided about variation does not actually indicate whether a performance gap exists. The Committee also noted there was no analysis related to gender, socioeconomic status, race or ethnicity, or geographic differences nor any context to determine whether a gap exists or the nature of any gap—i.e., whether patients with pneumonia look different from other acutely ill patients. Similarly, in questioning what the scores actually represented and whether they provided information about a gap, Committee members also raised concerns regarding the dichotomous approach of the measure. The PACs are not weighted, and all preventable events are equally rated. Yet providers treating elder patients in the home settings may have less opportunity to prevent complications versus patients being treated in assisted living or skilled nursing facilities. Data may be skewed for the cohorts of medical practices treating patients in the home or medical facilities but, again, the measure does not account for such differences, so one cannot discern if the variability that was reported by the developer is actually a care gap. NQF #0708 ultimately failed on performance gap and, after a brief discussion, the Committee agreed that the measure did not meet the criteria for reserve status. The Committee did not recommend NQF #0708 for endorsement.

The developer also submitted 6 similar measures for review by the Cardiovascular (CV) Standing Committee, which were also not recommended for endorsement. HCl3 met with the Consensus Standards Approval Committee (CSAC) co-chairs to discuss the developer's request for reconsideration for the 6 CV measures. After speaking with the CSAC co-chairs, HCl3 agreed to change the level of analysis for measures currently specified at the clinician level to the facility level.

Additionally, NQF leadership suggested that all 6 measures considered by the CV Committee, as well as the 1 measure considered by the Pulmonary Standing Committee, be reviewed by the Patient Safety

Standing Committee in the upcoming Patient Safety project. After staff consulted with the Pulmonary Co-chairs, this measure was deferred. The Pulmonary Committee will not continue its review of the measure. The final result on this measure will be documented in the upcoming Patient Safety report.

0279 Bacterial Pneumonia Admission Rate (PQI 11) (AHRQ): Deferred

Description: Admissions with a principal diagnosis of bacterial pneumonia per 1,000 population, ages 18 years and older. Excludes sickle cell or hemoglobin-S admissions, other indications of immunocompromised state admissions, obstetric admissions, and transfers from other institutions; **Measure Type**: Outcome; **Level of Analysis**: Population: County or City; **Setting of Care**: Other; **Data Source**: Administrative claims

NQF #0279 is a population quality indicator specified for county- or city-level populations. It aims to identify hospitalizations for pneumonia, either specified as bacterial or unspecified organism. With access to high-quality care, early intervention, and appropriate pharmaceutical treatment, this condition can often be managed on an outpatient basis. The Committee agreed that the underlying evidence for the measure has not changed since the last NQF endorsement review and accepted the prior evaluation. While the Committee agreed that the data demonstrate variation in care, it did not reach consensus on whether a performance gap exists. The Committee also noted the measure specifications are more consistent with an assessment of community-acquired pneumonia instead of "Bacterial Pneumonia Admission Rate," and recommended that the developer change the name of the measure. The measure is not specified nor intended for use to measure the performance of any particular provider, individual clinician, or hospital; it is currently being used for public reporting, including the Medicare Shared Savings Program. Initially, the Committee did not reach consensus on the suitability for endorsement of NQF #0279.

During the member and public comment period, the developer agreed to change the name of the measure to "Community-Acquired Pneumonia Admission Rate." The Committee discussed the measure again after the comment period, focusing on the lack of risk-adjustment beyond age and gender or an alternative adjustment that includes poverty. Some Committee members did not believe that the adjustments adequately addressed the acute illness burden that is not uniform across geographic areas. The developer responded that the measure is not intended to address severity of illness or appropriateness of hospitalization but to assess population health. Some Committee members noted that whether intended or not, this type of measure is used to profile performance of hospitals. On revote the Committee did not recommend this measure for endorsement.

After the Committee's decision on the post-comment call, the developer submitted a reconsideration request for this measure to the Consensus Standards Approval Committee (CSAC) co-chairs stating concerns that the measure was not reviewed at the right level of analysis and that the Committee lacked the appropriate stakeholder perspectives in order to properly review the population health level measure. The CSAC co-chairs agreed that there was merit to AHRQ's request and deferred the review of measure #0279 to the Health and Well-Being Committee. The final result on this measure will be documented in the Health and Well-Being report.

References

¹ HHS. *NHLBI Fact Book, Fiscal Year 2012*. Bethesda, MD: National Institutes of Health, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI); 2013. Available at http://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/about/documents/factbook/2012/chapter4. Last accessed July 2015.

² American Lung Association. *Estimated Prevalence and Incidence of Lung Disease*. Chicago, IL: American Lung Association Epidemiology and Statistics Unit; 2014. Available at http://www.lung.org/finding-cures/our-research/trend-reports/estimated-prevalence.pdf. Last accessed October 2016.

³ HHS. *NHLBI Fact Book, Fiscal Year 2012*. Bethesda, MD: National Institutes of Health, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI); 2013. Available at http://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/about/documents/factbook/2012/chapter4. Last accessed July 2015.

⁴ American Lung Association. *Estimated Prevalence and Incidence of Lung Disease*. Chicago, IL: American Lung Association Epidemiology and Statistics Unit; 2014. Available at http://www.lung.org/finding-cures/our-research/trend-reports/estimated-prevalence.pdf. Last accessed October 2016.

⁵ Society of Critical Care Medicine. Critical care statistics website. http://www.sccm.org/Communications/Pages/CriticalCareStats.aspx. Last accessed July 2015.

⁶ Yoon A, Crawford W, Sheikh J, et al. The HEDIS medication management for people with asthma measure is not related to improved asthma outcomes. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract* 2015; 3(4):547-52.

Appendix A: Details of Measure Evaluation

Rating Scale: H=High; M=Moderate; L=Low; I=Insufficient; NA=Not Applicable; Y=Yes; N=No

Endorsed Measures

0047 Asthma: Pharmacologic Therapy for Persistent Asthma

<u>Submission</u> | <u>Specifications</u>

Description: Percentage of patients aged 5 years and older with a diagnosis of persistent asthma who were prescribed long-term control medication

Three rates are reported for this measure:

- 1. Patients prescribed inhaled corticosteroids (ICS) as their long term control medication
- 2. Patients prescribed other alternative long term control medications (non-ICS)
- 3. Total patients prescribed long-term control medication

Numerator Statement: Patients who were prescribed long-term control medication

Denominator Statement: All patients aged 5 years and older with a diagnosis of persistent asthma **Exclusions**: Denominator Exceptions:

Documentation of patient reason(s) for not prescribing inhaled corticosteroids or alternative long-term control medication (eg, patient declined, other patient reason).

The American Academy of Asthma Allergy and Immunology (AAAAI) follows PCPI exception methodology and PCPI distinguishes between measure exceptions and measure exclusions. Exclusions arise when patients who are included in the initial patient or eligible population for a measure do not meet the denominator criteria specific to the intervention required by the numerator. Exclusions are absolute and apply to all patients and therefore are not part of clinical judgment within a measure.

For this measure, exceptions may include patient reason(s) (eg, patient declined). Although this methodology does not require the external reporting of more detailed exception data, the AAAAI recommends that physicians document the specific reasons for exception in patients' medical records for purposes of optimal patient management and audit-readiness. In further accordance with PCPI exception methodology, the AAAAI advocates the systematic review and analysis of each physician's exceptions data to identify practice patterns and opportunities for quality improvement.

Adjustment/Stratification: No risk adjustment or risk stratification. **Level of Analysis:** Clinician: Group/Practice, Clinician: Individual

Setting of Care: Ambulatory Care :: Clinician Office/Clinic

Type of Measure: Process

Data Source: Administrative claims, Electronic Clinical Data, Paper Medical Records, Electronic Clinical

Data :: Registry

Measure Steward: The American Academy of Asthma Allergy and Immunology

STANDING COMMITTEE MEETING [03/15/2016]

1. Importance to Measure and Report: The measure meets the Importance criterion.

(1a. Evidence, 1b. Performance Gap)

1a. Evidence: Accepted Prior Evaluation; 1b. Performance Gap: H-4; M-16; L-0; I-0

Rationale:

- The developer provided evidence from clinical practice guidelines for the use of long-term medications for patients with persistent asthma from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI), National Asthma Education and Prevention Program (NAEPP), National Institutes of Health. The evidence was ranked Category A and includes randomized control trials (RCTs) and expert panels.
- The Committee agreed with the developer that there is no new evidence for this measure. The Committee accepted the prior evaluation of this criterion without further discussion.
 - According to the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Physician Quality Reporting Initiative/System (PQRI/S) 2008 claims data, 46.29% of patients did not meet the measure, which the developer states is evidence of a gap. Based on its updated testing (CY 2014 data) for 44 clinics, the developer states the inhaled corticoid steroid rate prescribed for long-term control was 88.24 %, and the non-inhaled corticosteroid rate long term control medication rate was 71.77%. The total percentage of patients prescribed long-term control medications for persistent asthma was 99.3%, with some overlap of patients being prescribed BOTH inhaled corticosteroids AND non-inhaled corticosteroids.
 - The developer cited several published articles and Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
 (CDC) studies stating disparities exist based on gender, race, age, ethnicity, and income level:
 African-American adult Medicaid patients with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD),
 asthma, or both have a higher mortality and morbidity than their White counterparts.
 - Some Committee members expressed concern the measure will be "topped out" in the near future if progress continues. However, they noted there are still opportunities for improvement at this time given the disparities data presented by the developer.
 - One Committee member also noted, according to PQRS, a significant portion of physicians are not performing at the highest performance rate for this measure.

2. Scientific Acceptability of Measure Properties: <u>The measure meets the Scientific Acceptability</u> criterion.

(2a. Reliability - precise specifications, testing; 2b. Validity - testing, threats to validity)

2a. Reliability: H-12; M-8; L-0; I-0; 2b. Validity: H-0; M-17; L-3; I-0

Rationale:

- The developer changed the specifications since the last NQF endorsement review. The age range limitations were removed from the denominator, and the numerator was updated to include generic drug names.
- The Committee expressed concerns about the long list of medications included in this measure.
 The Committee recommended the developer include two separate numerators, i.e., controller vs. inhaled corticosteroids (ICS).
- The Committee agreed the reliability of the measure was demonstrated, with the developer providing reliability testing at both the measure score (2016) and data element levels (2013).
- For the measure score reliability, the developer updated testing by conducting beta-binomial
 analysis at the measure-score level. The developer reports rates equal to or greater than 0.97
 for ICS long-term control, non-inhaled corticosteroid (non-ICS) long-term control, and combined

- long-term control medications. Data element-level validity testing (1 medical center, 86 patients) was conducted during the last review.
- New face validity was assessed by an expert panel of 29 members. The mean rating was 4.79 out of 5.

3. Feasibility: H-17; M-3; L-0; I-0

(3a. Clinical data generated during care delivery; 3b. Electronic sources; 3c.Susceptibility to inaccuracies/unintended consequences identified 3d. Data collection strategy can be implemented)

Rationale:

• The Committee agreed the measure is feasible, since it is specified for claims, registry, and abstraction from paper medical records or electronic health records.

4. Usability and Use: H-15; M-5; L-0; I-0

(Used and useful to the intended audiences for 4a. Accountability and Transparency; 4b. Improvement; and 4c. Benefits outweigh evidence of unintended consequences)

Rationale:

- This measure is publicly reported and used in the PQRS program, payment programs, professional certification/recognition programs, and quality improvement programs.
- According to the 2013 PQRS experience report, the average performance score was 89.4% in 2013, which was an increase from 69.1% in 2011.
- The Committee did not envision unintended consequences of continued use.

5. Related and Competing Measures

- This measure was identified as potentially related to:
 - o 1799: Medication Management for People with Asthma
 - o 1800: Asthma Medication Ratio
- The Committee encouraged developers to harmonize all of the asthma measures. Specifically, the developers should harmonize the age limit, data source, diagnoses definitions, and risk adjustment method.

Standing Committee Recommendation for Endorsement: Y-20; N-0

6. Public and Member Comment

- One commenter supported the measure but expressed two concerns:
 - That "patient refusal should not be an exclusion to the denominator" noting that patient education explaining the benefits of treatment is expected. The commenter stated that "asking the patient if he/she wants an inhaled steroid, and getting a refusal should not be terms for removing the patient from the denominator." After reviewing the comment and the developer's response, the Committee responded that it had expressed similar concerns during the in-person meeting but agreed with the developer that patient recusals are appropriate exclusions.
 - Many of the submitted Pulmonary and Critical Measures use electronic clinical data and paper medical records. A commenter expressed that it was not feasible for health plans

to implement measures. After reviewing the comment and the developer's response, the Committee responded that it had expressed similar concerns during the in-person meeting but agreed these measures are not intended for Health Plans and fulfil important gap areas and advise the developers to work towards converting these measures to more accessible data sources.

7. Consensus Standards Approval Committee (CSAC) Review (July 13-14, 2016): Y-17; N-0 Decision: Approved for continued endorsement

8. Board of Directors Executive Committee Vote: Yes (August 3, 2016)

Decision: Ratified for continued endorsement

0091 COPD: Spirometry Evaluation

<u>Submission</u> | <u>Specifications</u>

Description: Percentage of patients aged 18 years and older with a diagnosis of COPD who had spirometry results documented

Numerator Statement: Patients with documented spirometry results in the medical record (FEV1 and FEV1/FVC)

Denominator Statement: All patients aged 18 years and older with a diagnosis of COPD

Exclusions: Documentation of medical reason(s) for not documenting and reviewing spirometry results

Documentation of patient reason(s) for not documenting and reviewing spirometry results Documentation of system reason(s) for not documenting and reviewing spirometry results

Adjustment/Stratification: No risk adjustment or risk stratification.

Level of Analysis: Clinician: Group/Practice, Clinician: Team **Setting of Care:** Ambulatory Care: Clinician Office/Clinic

Type of Measure: Process

Data Source: Administrative claims, Electronic Clinical Data: Registry

Measure Steward: American Thoracic Society

STANDING COMMITTEE MEETING [03/15/2016]

1. Importance to Measure and Report: The measure meets the Importance criterion.

(1a. Evidence, 1b. Performance Gap)

1a. Evidence: **Accepted Prior Evaluation**; 1b. Performance Gap: **H-10**; **M-9**; **L-1**; **I-0**; Rationale:

 The Committee agreed with the developer the underlying evidence for the measure has not changed since the last NQF endorsement review, which included recommendations from the 2011 Clinical Practice Guideline Update from the American College of Physicians, American

- College of Chest Physicians, American Thoracic Society, and European Respiratory Society. The Committee accepted the prior evaluation of this criterion without further discussion.
- The developer reported 45.7% of patients did not meet this measure based on 2008 Physician Quality Reporting System (PQRS) data. The Committee agreed there is a large enough gap in care to warrant a national performance measure.

2. Scientific Acceptability of Measure Properties: <u>The measure meets the Scientific Acceptability</u> criterion.

(2a. Reliability - precise specifications, testing; 2b. Validity - testing, threats to validity)

2a. Reliability: H-4; M-15; L-3; I-0; 2b. Validity: H-0; M-18; L-3; I-0

Rationale:

- The Committee expressed concern that the time window indicates a 1-year measurement period, but it appears that a spirometry test at any time from age 18 and up counts in the numerator. The developer clarified the goal of the measure is to capture whether the spirometry test was conducted before treatment occurred. The physicians conducting treatment do not necessarily have to perform the test within that year, but need to verify that the test was completed and annually record the results.
- The developer stated the performance measure score-level reliability for this measure was 0.73 among groups with 25 or more eligible professionals (EPs) and 0.83 among groups with 100 or more EPs. The developer also conducted empirical testing at the data element level and face validity. The Committee agreed the measure was reliable and valid.

3. Feasibility: H-12; M-9; L-0; I-0

(3a. Clinical data generated during care delivery; 3b. Electronic sources; 3c.Susceptibility to inaccuracies/unintended consequences identified 3d. Data collection strategy can be implemented)

Rationale:

 The Committee agreed all data elements are in defined fields in electronic claims and generated or collected and used by healthcare personnel during the provision of care. No concerns regarding feasibility were noted.

4. Usability and Use: H-8; M-12; L-1; I-0

(Used and useful to the intended audiences for 4a. Accountability and Transparency; 4b. Improvement; and 4c. Benefits outweigh evidence of unintended consequences)

Rationale:

- This measure has been in use in the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Physician Quality Reporting System (PQRIS) program since 2007 and is planned for integration into the CMS Physician Compare program. Although Physician Compare has been launched, this measure has not been included as of December 2015.
- The developer acknowledged the possibility of spirometry overuse due to patients moving or switching physicians, however noted research finds underuse of spirometry is a far greater problem than overuse. The Committee agreed the benefits of the measure outweigh any potential unintended consequences.
- Overall the Committee felt the measure did not set a high standard, but it agreed a large gap in care indicates the measure is needed.

5. Related and Competing Measures

- This measure was identified as related to:
 - NQF # 0577 Use of Spirometry Testing in the Assessment and Diagnosis of COPD
- The Committee felt measure #0091 and #0577 were related and should be harmonized. Since the measures have similar goals, the developers should consider harmonizing the age limit and timeframe.
- Standing Committee Recommendation for Endorsement: Y-19; N-2

6. Public and Member Comment

- One commenter supported the measure but expressed two concerns:
 - o That "patient refusal should not be an exclusion to the denominator" noting that patient education explaining the benefits of treatment is expected. The commenter stated that "asking the patient if he/she wants an inhaled steroid, and getting a refusal should not be terms for removing the patient from the denominator." After reviewing the comment and the developer's response, the Committee responded that it had expressed similar concerns during the in-person meeting but agreed with the developer that patient recusals are appropriate exclusions.
 - Many of the submitted Pulmonary and Critical Measures use electronic clinical data and paper medical records. A commenter expressed that it was not feasible for health plans to implement measures. After reviewing the comment and the developer's response, the Committee responded that it had expressed similar concerns during the in-person meeting but agreed these measures are not intended for Health Plans and fulfil important gap areas and advise the developers to work towards converting these measures to more accessible data sources.
- 7. Consensus Standards Approval Committee (CSAC) Review (July 13-14, 2016): Y-17; N-0 Decision: Approved for continued endorsement

8. Board of Directors Executive Committee Vote: Yes (August 3, 2016)

Decision: Ratified for continued endorsement

0275 Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) or Asthma in Older Adults Admission Rate (PQI 05)

Submission | Specifications

Description: Admissions with a principal diagnosis of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) or asthma per 1,000 population, ages 40 years and older. Excludes obstetric admissions and transfers from other institutions.

[NOTE: The software provides the rate per population. However, common practice reports the measure as per 100,000 population. The user must multiply the rate obtained from the software by 100,000 to report admissions per 100,000 population.]

Numerator Statement: Discharges, for patients ages 40 years and older, with either

- a principal ICD-9-CM or ICD-10-CM/PCS diagnosis code for COPD (excluding acute bronchitis); or
- a principal ICD-9-CM or ICD-10-CM/PCS diagnosis code for asthma

[NOTE: By definition, discharges with a principal diagnosis of COPD or asthma are precluded from an assignment of MDC 14 by grouper software. Thus, obstetric discharges should not be considered in the PQI rate, though the AHRQ QI software does not explicitly exclude obstetric cases.]

Denominator Statement: Population ages 40 years and older in metropolitan area or county. Discharges in the numerator are assigned to the denominator based on the metropolitan area or county of the patient residence, not the metropolitan area or county of the hospital where the discharge occurred.

Exclusions: n/a

Adjustment/Stratification: Statistical risk model **Level of Analysis:** Population: County or City

Setting of Care: Other

Type of Measure: Outcome

Data Source: Administrative claims

Measure Steward: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality

STANDING COMMITTEE MEETING [03/16/2016]

1. Importance to Measure and Report: The measure meets the Importance criterion.

(1a. Evidence, 1b. Performance Gap)

1a. Evidence: Y-22; N-0; 1b. Performance Gap: H-6; M-16; L-0; I-0

Rationale:

- The developer reported COPD is one of the most common chronic diseases in the United States, and is currently the third leading cause of death. The developer provided updated evidence related to access to care for COPD.
- Data provided by the developer demonstrated the average performance rate decreased from 7.10 percent in 2009 to 5.12 percent in 2013.
- The Committee agreed the data demonstrated gap. However, it noted contradictory information on the rate of hospitalization based on the race of the patient.

2. Scientific Acceptability of Measure Properties: <u>The measure meets the Scientific Acceptability criterion.</u>

(2a. Reliability - precise specifications, testing; 2b. Validity - testing, threats to validity)

2a. Reliability: H-3; M-19; L-0; I-0; 2b. Validity: H-2; M-18; L-2; I-0

Rationale:

• Reliability testing at the level of the measure score was conducted using data from the Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project (HCUP) State Inpatient Databases (SID).

- The developer reported a signal-to-noise ratio of 0.97. The measure uses two risk models; when SES is added to the risk adjustment, the signal-to-noise ratio is 0.96.
- One Committee member asked about which risk adjusted model is being used, e.g., age and gender or socioeconomic status. The developer responded that entities usually use age and gender or no risk adjustment.
- Validity was assessed by systematic assessment of face validity by 4 clinical expert panels involving 73 panelists from 2008-2009.
- The developer also conducted empirical validity testing by correlating the measure score to various factors, including health behaviors, access to care, etc.
- Committee members observed that the exclusionary criteria included only pediatric diagnoses.
 They recommended the developer retool the exclusionary criteria to include adults, e.g.,
 diseases such as bronchiectasis occur across age groups.

3. Feasibility: H-14; M-8; L-0; I-0

(3a. Clinical data generated during care delivery; 3b. Electronic sources; 3c.Susceptibility to inaccuracies/unintended consequences identified 3d. Data collection strategy can be implemented)

Rationale:

- The Committee acknowledged the measure is feasible. It is based on readily available administrative billing, claims data, and U.S. Census data, and all data elements are in defined fields in electronic claims.
- The AHRQ Quality Indicators (QI) software is publicly available and users have more than 10 years of experience using it.

4. Usability and Use: H-3; M-15; L-4; I-0

(Used and useful to the intended audiences for 4a. Accountability and Transparency; 4b. Improvement; and 4c. Benefits outweigh evidence of unintended consequences)

Rationale:

- This measure is publicly reported and used in payment programs, quality improvement, regulatory, and accreditation programs.
- The developer reports the Prevention Quality Indicator (PQI) 05 hospital admissions rate decreased by 104,000 fewer hospitalizations from 2011 to 2013.
- The Committee's discussion of unintended consequences included unintended implementation.
 Specifically, one Committee member noted while the measure is specified at the population level, it is being used at the practice level as a part of the Value-Based Modifier Program. NQF does not place implementation burden on the developer.

5. Related and Competing Measures

• No related or competing measures identified.

Standing Committee Recommendation for Endorsement: Y-18; N-4

6. Public and Member Comment

 One commenter supported the measure but stated that secondary diagnoses of COPD and Asthma should be captured along with the primary diagnosis for NQF measures #0275 and #0283 since acute conditions can exacerbate COPD or asthma. The Committee stated this was a reasonable consideration but without further data to understand the effects of adding the secondary diagnosis, agreed with the developer that adding the secondary diagnosis could cause more harm than help.

7. Consensus Standards Approval Committee (CSAC) Review (July 13-14, 2016): Y-17; N-0 Decision: Approved for continued endorsement

8. Board of Directors Executive Committee Vote: Yes (August 3, 2016)

Decision: Ratified for continued endorsement

0283 Asthma in Younger Adults Admission Rate (PQI 15)

<u>Submission</u> | <u>Specifications</u>

Description: Admissions for a principal diagnosis of asthma per 1,000 population, ages 18 to 39 years. Excludes admissions with an indication of cystic fibrosis or anomalies of the respiratory system, obstetric admissions, and transfers from other institutions.

Numerator Statement: Discharges, for patients ages 18 through 39 years, with a principal ICD-9-CM or ICD-10-CM/PCS diagnosis code for asthma.

[NOTE: By definition, discharges with a principal diagnosis of asthma are precluded from an assignment of MDC 14 by grouper software. Thus, obstetric discharges should not be considered in the PQI rate, though the AHRQ QI software does not explicitly exclude obstetric cases.]

Denominator Statement: Population ages 18 through 39 years in metropolitan area or county. Discharges in the numerator are assigned to the denominator based on the metropolitan area or county of the patient residence, not the metropolitan area or county of the hospital where the discharge occurred.

Exclusions: Not applicable.

Adjustment/Stratification: Statistical risk model **Level of Analysis:** Population: County or City

Setting of Care: Other

Type of Measure: Outcome

Data Source: Administrative claims

Measure Steward: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality

STANDING COMMITTEE MEETING [03/15/2016]

1. Importance to Measure and Report: The measure meets the Importance criterion.

(1a. Evidence, 1b. Performance Gap)

1a. Evidence: **Accepted Prior Evaluation**; 1b. Performance Gap: **H-4**; **M-17**; **L-1**; **I-0** Rationale:

- The Committee agreed the developer provided sufficient evidence to support the rationale. The
 developer reviewed literature from January 2012 to October 2015 related to aspects of
 hospitalization for asthma.
- Although, the developer provided some updated evidence related to aspects of hospitalization for asthma, the Committee agreed with the developer that the underlying rationale for this outcome measure has not changed since the last NQF endorsement review. The Committee accepted the prior evaluation of this criterion without further discussion.
- Data provided by the developer found the average performance rate decreased from 0.50 percent in 2009 to 0.28 percent in 2013. The Committee agreed the data demonstrated gap, especially when considering community income level, race, and sex.

2. Scientific Acceptability of Measure Properties: <u>The measure meets the Scientific Acceptability</u> criterion.

(2a. Reliability - precise specifications, testing; 2b. Validity - testing, threats to validity)

2a. Reliability: **H-5**; **M-16**; **L-1**; **I-0**; 2b. Validity: **H-0**; **M-17**; **L-5**; **I-0**

Rationale:

- Reliability testing was conducted at the performance measure score level, using signal-to-noise analysis. The developer reported a signal-to-noise ratio of 0.75. When sociodemographic status (SDS) is added to the risk adjustment, the signal-to-noise ratio is 0.74.
- The Committee noted the reliability does not meet the threshold for counties with eligible populations <3,800 and encouraged the developer to prominently note this.
- Validity was assessed by systematic assessment of face validity by 4 clinical expert panels
 involving 73 panelists from 2008-2009. The panelist indicated the measure was useful. Specific
 actions could improve rates, such as access to medications, patient education, and reduction of
 risk factors, such as environmental exposure to pollution or allergens and smoking.
- The developer also conducted empirical testing for validity at the performance measure score level. The developer assessed the relationship of county-level hospital admission rate with county level measures of socioeconomic status (SES) and community environment, heath behaviors and individual risk factors, and access to quality care measures. The developer reported prevalence, health behaviors (HB) and SES/environment were statistically significant predictors (p<.0001). Access to care (AC) was not significant when HB and SES/E are included in the model.</p>
- The Committee noted the risk adjustment model was well-calibrated, but the c-statistic is poor, suggesting the developer should consider additional variables.

3. Feasibility: H-19; M-2; L-1; I-0

(3a. Clinical data generated during care delivery; 3b. Electronic sources; 3c.Susceptibility to inaccuracies/unintended consequences identified 3d. Data collection strategy can be implemented)

Rationale:

• The Committee agreed the measure is feasible. The measure is specified for several data sources, including administrative billing and claims. All data elements are in defined fields in

electronic claims. The AHRQ Quality Indicators (QI) software is publicly available and users have more than 10 years of experience using it.

4. Usability and Use: H-13; M-9; L-0; I-0

(Used and useful to the intended audiences for 4a. Accountability and Transparency; 4b. Improvement; and 4c. Benefits outweigh evidence of unintended consequences)

Rationale:

- This measure is currently in use in several federal and state public reporting, payment, regulatory, accreditation, and quality improvement with benchmarking programs.
- The developer provided data demonstrating improvement in rates of hospitalization between 2011 and 2013; Prevention Quality Indicator (PQI) 11 hospital admissions rate decreased by 9,000 fewer hospitalizations.

5. Related and Competing Measures

- This measure was identified as potentially related to:
 - o 0728: Asthma Admission Rate (PDI 14)
- The Committee encouraged developers to harmonize all of the asthma measures. Specifically, the developers should harmonize the age limit, data source, diagnoses definitions, and risk adjustment method.

Standing Committee Recommendation for Endorsement: Y-21; N-1

6. Public and Member Comment

- One commenter supported the measure but stated that secondary diagnoses of COPD and Asthma should be captured along with the primary diagnosis for NQF measures #0275 and #0283 since acute conditions can exacerbate COPD or asthma. The Committee stated this was a reasonable consideration but without further data to understand the effects of adding the secondary diagnosis, agreed with the developer that adding the secondary diagnosis could cause more harm than help.
- 7. Consensus Standards Approval Committee (CSAC) Review (July 13-14, 2016): Y-17; N-0 Decision: Approved for continued endorsement
- 8. Board of Directors Executive Committee Vote: Yes (August 3, 2016)

Decision: Ratified for continued endorsement

0334 PICU Severity-adjusted Length of Stay

<u>Submission</u> | <u>Specifications</u>

Description: The number of days between PICU admission and PICU discharge.

Numerator Statement: Number of PICU days, PICU days = Number of days between PICU admission and PICU discharge.(For all eligible patients admitted to the ICU, the time at discharge from ICU minus the time of ICU admission (first recorded vital sign on ICU flow sheet)

Denominator Statement: The denominator is the average (mean) predicted length of stay using the adjustment model.

Exclusions: Patients => 18 years of age

Adjustment/Stratification: Statistical risk model

Level of Analysis: Facility

Setting of Care: Hospital/Acute Care Facility

Type of Measure: Outcome

Data Source: Administrative claims, Paper Medical Records, Electronic Clinical Data: Registry

Measure Steward: Virtual PICU Systems, LLC

STANDING COMMITTEE MEETING [03/16/2016]

1. Importance to Measure and Report: The measure meets the Importance criterion.

(1a. Evidence, 1b. Performance Gap)

1a. Evidence: Accepted Prior Evaluation; 1b. Performance Gap: H-6; M-13; L-2; I-0

Rationale:

- The Committee agreed with the developer that the underlying evidence for the measure has not changed since the last NQF endorsement review. The Committee accepted the prior evaluation of this criterion.
- The developer recommended, and the Committee concurred, this measure be paired with NQF #0335 during implementation.
- While a performance gap exists, the Committee agreed with the developer's assessment of the performance from 2014, which showed no increasing or decreasing trend.

2. Scientific Acceptability of Measure Properties: <u>The measure meets the Scientific Acceptability</u> criterion.

(2a. Reliability - precise specifications, testing; 2b. Validity - testing, threats to validity)

2a. Reliability: H-9; M-12; L-0; I-0 2b. Validity: H-6; M-13; L-1; I-1

Rationale:

- The developer conducted new validity testing at the data element level. Per NQF guidance, separate reliability testing is not required when validity testing at the data element level is performed for all critical data elements.
- The measure used the PRISM III algorithm, a proprietary risk adjustment scheme. The
 developer requires initial and quarterly inter-rater reliability from all clinical data collectors for
 each unit participating in VPS. The developer does not explicitly indicate all critical data
 elements are assessed during this process. The developer reported an aggregate IRR
 concordance rate of 96.81% using 2014 data

3. Feasibility: H-3; M-13; L-5; I-0

(3a. Clinical data generated during care delivery; 3b. Electronic sources; 3c. Susceptibility to inaccuracies/unintended consequences identified 3d. Data collection strategy can be implemented)

Rationale:

- Some data elements are in defined fields in electronic form and generated or collected by and used by healthcare personnel during the provision of care.
- Committee members expressed concern about the measure being proprietary. Unlike, measure #0335, pulling data for this measure would be much harder without the software.

4. Usability and Use: H-0; M-14; L-6; I-1

(Used and useful to the intended audiences for 4a. Accountability and Transparency; 4b. Improvement; and 4c. Benefits outweigh evidence of unintended consequences)

Rationale:

- The measure is currently in use in several private sector payer payment and quality improvement programs.
- The Committee expressed concern regarding consistency in implementation. The developer acknowledged the potential for under-coding complications, noting it was reasonable to think this could occur.

5. Related and Competing Measures

- This measure was identified as related by staff to:
 - NQF #0702 Intensive Care Unit (ICU) Length-of-Stay (LOS)
- The Committee was unable to discuss related and competing measures during the in-person meeting and will have the opportunity to do so during the post-comment call. Measure #0702 was not recommended during the post-comment call so the Committee did not need to review the measures for harmonization purposes.

Initial Standing Committee Recommendation for Endorsement: Y-11; N-10 Re-vote on Standing Committee Recommendation for Endorsement: Y-11; N-4

6. Public and Member Comment

On comment was submitted:

Highmark does not recommend this measure. Using electronic clinical data and paper medical
records makes this measure not feasible for health plans. The value of this measure is questionable
without categorizing the data in some way using DRGs or some other categories for types and
diagnoses of patients.

Developer response:

The measure was not designed for use by health plans and the measure's validity and reliability stem from the use of clinical data (paper and/ or electronic). These measures are to be collected and reported at the PICU level specific to patients using patient level data. They are currently used by over 100 PICUs nationally and could readily be provided by health care organizations to insurers. In regards to the data categorization comment, there is nothing that precludes such categorization; analysis by patient category can be readily performed at the PICU or aggregate level. Moreover, unlike adult care where

there are entire ICUs dedicated to relatively homogenous disease states, pediatrics deals with far smaller volumes of any patient type. PICUs have extremely heterogeneous populations. Due to the complexity of pediatric care, diagnosis level categorization should not be a necessity because although it can be performed as a secondary analysis, it would reflect such small numbers of patients that the findings would be challenging to interpret. Lastly, DRGs have been shown to be poor at best for use in pediatric care (Muldoon Pediatrics. 1999, 103; Munoz J Peds 1989, 115; Munoz AJDC 1989, 143(5)).

During the post-comment call, the Committee discussed the costs of this fee-based registry measure but agreed that such measures are allowable under NQF policy and, because so many PICUs already participate, the measure is feasible. On revote, the Committee recommended the measure for endorsement.

7. Consensus Standards Approval Committee (CSAC) Review (July 13-14, 2016): Y-17; N-0 Decision: Approved for continued endorsement

8. Board of Directors Executive Committee Vote: Yes (August 3, 2016)

Decision: Ratified for continued endorsement

0335 PICU Unplanned Readmission Rate

Submission | Specifications

Description: The total number of patients requiring unscheduled readmission to the ICU within 24 hours of discharge or transfer.

Numerator Statement: Total number of unplanned readmissions within 24 hours after

discharge/transfer from the PICU.

Denominator Statement: 100 PICU Discharges, <18 yrs of age

Exclusions: Patients =>18 years of age,

Adjustment/Stratification: No risk adjustment or risk stratification

Level of Analysis: Facility

Setting of Care: Hospital/Acute Care Facility

Type of Measure: Outcome

Data Source: Electronic Clinical Data: Registry **Measure Steward**: Virtual PICU Systems, LLC

STANDING COMMITTEE MEETING [03/16/2016]

1. Importance to Measure and Report: The measure meets the Importance criterion.

(1a. Evidence, 1b. Performance Gap)

1a. Evidence: Accepted Prior Evaluation; 1b. Performance Gap: H-0; M-13; L-8; I-1

- The Committee agreed with the developer that the underlying evidence for the measure has not changed since the last NQF endorsement review. The Committee accepted the prior evaluation of this criterion. The Committee accepted the prior evaluation of this criterion without further discussion.
- The Committee expressed concern about the potential impact of the measure. The developer stated this measure should be paired with NQF #0334 during implementation, thus making it more impactful. The Committee concurred this measure was more helpful when used as a balancing measure to #0334 because it provided information on whether patients were being unjustifiably discharged from the PICU; however, each paired measure must be reviewed separately on its own merits.
- The unit-level unscheduled readmission rate ranges between 0% and 1.67%, and data provided by the developer for 2012-2014 showed no increasing or decreasing trend.

2. Scientific Acceptability of Measure Properties: <u>The measure meets the Scientific Acceptability criterion.</u>

(2a. Reliability - precise specifications, testing; 2b. Validity - testing, threats to validity)

2a. Reliability: Accepted Prior Evaluation; 2b. Validity: H-3; M-13; L-5; I-0

Rationale:

- The developer noted "numerators, denominators and all definitions are standardized with an interrater reliability (IRR) >96%." From this it was inferred that validity testing at the data element level was assessed. Per NQF guidance, separate reliability testing is not required when validity testing at the data element level is performed for all critical data elements. The Committee agreed the underlying reliability for the measure has not changed since the last NQF endorsement review. The Committee accepted the prior evaluation of this criterion without further discussion.
 - While the Committee ultimately concluded the measure was valid, it expressed the following concerns:
 - Specific decisionmaking elements (leading to successful and unsuccessful PICU discharges) were not teased out. The assumption is that mistakes made regarding deciding who may and may not be successfully discharged from the PICU directly relate to quality of care. While intuitively valid, there are no empirical results to demonstrate this.
 - o Intuitively, the score from this measure as specified is an indicator of quality, but there also are variables (e.g., quality of post-PICU care) that directly affect the numerator and that might not reflect the quality in the PICU or the original discharge decision.
 - Overall readmission rate is so low that even a low IRR "unreliability rate" could have a statistical impact.
 - A lack of risk adjustment assumes PICUs inherently have the same population and patient characteristics.

3. Feasibility: H-3; M-13; L-5; I-0

(3a. Clinical data generated during care delivery; 3b. Electronic sources; 3c. Susceptibility to inaccuracies/unintended consequences identified 3d. Data collection strategy can be implemented)

Rationale:

 Some data elements are in defined fields in electronic form and generated or collected by and used by healthcare personnel during the provision of care. Committee members expressed concern about the measure being proprietary. Committee
members with PICU expertise stated the software is widely used in PICUs, and the developer
reassured the Committee that, while much harder to collect and expect the same level of
reliability and validity, the underlying formula for pulling the data is available for use without the
software.

4. Usability and Use: H-0; M-14; L-7; I-0

(Used and useful to the intended audiences for 4a. Accountability and Transparency; 4b. Improvement; and 4c. Benefits outweigh evidence of unintended consequences)

Rationale:

- The measure data are not aggregated and publicly reported; however, some hospitals participating in the VPS system may individually publicly report their data.
- The measure is part of programs at the Texas Children and the Hospital California Children Health Services.

5. Related and Competing Measures

No related or competing measures noted.

Initial Standing Committee Recommendation for Endorsement: Y-12; N-9
Re-vote on Standing Committee Recommendation for Endorsement if paired with #0334 : Y-13; N-2

6. Public and Member Comment

One comment was received:

- The use of electronic clinical data is not feasible for use by health plans. There are many factors that influence a readmission to the PICU. Pairing this measure with #334 does not seem of any value with no categorizing of data. If this measure is to be paired maybe it should really be combined with some type of diagnostic categories to define the type of patients.
- Developer response: The developer notes that the use of 0335 as a balancing measure to 0334
 to prevent 'gaming' of the measures. Additionally, the developer states that based on the cited
 literature and the fact that the measures were explicitly designed to use clinical data to avoid
 the well-published shortcomings of administrative data, that they feel the feasibility concerns
 over use by health plans is largely not applicable or valid.

After the comment period, the Committee reconsidered this measure and agreed that it is a "balancing measure" for #0334 noting that an increase in readmissions might be an unintended consequence of reducing length of stay. The Committee recommended the measure on the condition that it is paired with measure #0334 and not used as a stand-alone measure.

7. Consensus Standards Approval Committee (CSAC) Review (July 13-14, 2016): Y-17; N-0 Decision: Approved for continued endorsement

8. Board of Directors Executive Committee Vote: Yes (August 3, 2016)

Decision: Ratified for continued endorsement

0468 Hospital 30-day, all-cause, risk-standardized mortality rate (RSMR) following pneumonia hospitalization

Submission | Specifications

Description: The measure estimates a hospital-level 30-day risk-standardized mortality rate (RSMR). Mortality is defined as death for any cause within 30 days after the date of admission for the index admission, discharged from the hospital with a principal discharge diagnosis of pneumonia, including aspiration pneumonia or a principal discharge diagnosis of sepsis (not severe sepsis) with a secondary diagnosis of pneumonia (including aspiration pneumonia) coded as present on admission (POA). CMS annually reports the measure for patients who are 65 years or older and are either Medicare fee-forservice (FFS) beneficiaries and hospitalized in non-federal hospitals or patients hospitalized in Veterans Health Administration (VA) facilities.

Please note this measure has been substantially updated since the last submission; as described in S.3., the cohort has been expanded. Throughout this application we refer to this measure as version 9.2.

Numerator Statement: The outcome for this measure is 30-day all-cause mortality. We define mortality as death from any cause within 30 days of the index admission date for patients 18 and older discharged from the hospital with a principal discharge diagnosis of pneumonia, including aspiration pneumonia or a principal discharge diagnosis of sepsis (not severe sepsis) with a secondary discharge diagnosis of pneumonia (including aspiration pneumonia) coded as POA and no secondary discharge diagnosis of severe sepsis.

Denominator Statement: This claims-based measure can be used in either of two patient cohorts: (1) patients aged 65 years or over or (2) patients aged 18 years or older. We have specifically tested the measure in both age groups.

The cohort includes admissions for patients aged 18 years and older discharged from the hospital with principal discharge diagnosis of pneumonia, including aspiration pneumonia or a principal discharge diagnosis of sepsis (not severe sepsis) with a secondary discharge diagnosis of pneumonia (including aspiration pneumonia) coded as POA but no secondary discharge diagnosis of severe sepsis; and with a complete claims history for the 12 months prior to admission. The measure will be publicly reported by CMS for those patients 65 years or older who are Medicare FFS beneficiaries admitted to non-federal hospitals or patients admitted to VA hospitals.

Additional details are provided in S.9 Denominator Details.

Exclusions: The mortality measures exclude index admissions for patients:

- 1. Discharged alive on the day of admission or the following day who were not transferred to another acute care facility;
- 2. With inconsistent or unknown vital status or other unreliable demographic (age and gender) data;
- 3. Enrolled in the Medicare hospice program or used VA hospice services any time in the 12 months prior to the index admission, including the first day of the index admission; or
- 4. Discharged against medical advice (AMA).

For patients with more than one admission for a given condition in a given year, only one index admission for that condition is randomly selected for inclusion in the cohort.

Adjustment/Stratification: Statistical risk model

Level of Analysis: Facility

Setting of Care: Hospital/Acute Care Facility

Type of Measure: Outcome

Data Source: Administrative claims

Measure Steward: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)

STANDING COMMITTEE MEETING [03/16/2016]

1. Importance to Measure and Report

(1a. Evidence, 1b. Performance Gap)

1a. Evidence: Accepted Prior Evaluation; 1b. Performance Gap: H-1; M-11; L-8; I-1

Rationale:

- The Committee agreed with the developer that the underlying evidence for the measure has not changed since the last NQF endorsement review. The Committee accepted the prior evaluation of this criterion without further discussion.
- The Committee noted that mortality rates appeared to be increasing based on the 3 years of data provided and questioned whether the measure was actually having an impact. The developer explained the mortality rates appeared to be increasing due to the expansion of the cohort to include: patients with a principal discharge diagnosis of aspiration pneumonia; principal discharge diagnosis of sepsis (not including severe sepsis); secondary discharge diagnosis of pneumonia (including aspiration pneumonia) coded as present on arrival; and no secondary discharge diagnosis of severe sepsis. The developer stated these patients have a higher mortality risk.

2. Scientific Acceptability of Measure Properties: <u>The measure meets the Scientific Acceptability</u> criterion.

(2a. Reliability - precise specifications, testing; 2b. Validity - testing, threats to validity)

2a. Reliability: H-5; M-13; L-3; I-0 2b. Validity: H-2; M-14; L-4; I-1

Rationale:

- The developer used a split-sample (or "test-retest") methodology to test score-level reliability. For this analysis, the developer randomly assigned half of the patients in each hospital to two separate groups, calculated the performance measure score for each hospital in each of the two groups, and compared the agreement between each hospital's paired scores using the intraclass-correlation coefficient (ICC) and applying a correction factor to account for the overall sample size. The Committee agreed the ICC value from the split-sample analysis of 0.79, indicating that 79% of the variance in scores is due to differences between hospitals, indicated sufficient reliability.
- The Committee expressed concern that only additional testing of the risk-adjustment model using an updated dataset was conducted, and not updated testing of the re-specified measure itself. The developer noted the measure originally was validated by correlating the claims-based performance score results to results from a similar mortality measure that used clinical data obtained via manual chart audit of medical records for the same patient population. The developer further stated it expected the updated measure to have greater validity due to mitigated biases introduced by hospital coding patterns, so felt confirming the effectiveness of the approach to risk adjustment was more relevant. Overall, the Committee agreed with the developer's response.

3. Feasibility: H-8; M-13; L-0; I-0

(3a. Clinical data generated during care delivery; 3b. Electronic sources; 3c. Susceptibility to inaccuracies/unintended consequences identified 3d. Data collection strategy can be implemented)

Rationale:

- The Committee agreed the measure is feasible. All data elements are in defined fields in
 electronic claims and generated or collected by and used by healthcare personnel during the
 provision of care. The data are coded by someone other than person obtaining original
 information.
- The Committee expressed concern about the measure's ability to assess mortality in patients under 65 years old. The developer agreed there were implementation concerns for individuals under 65, and for that reason, the measure is specified for reporting only for >65 years by Medicare fee-for-service programs.

4. Usability and Use: H-9; M-9; L-3; I-0

(Used and useful to the intended audiences for 4a. Accountability and Transparency; 4b. Improvement; and 4c. Benefits outweigh evidence of unintended consequences)

Rationale:

- This measure is publicly reported nationally in the Hospital Inpatient Quality Reporting (IQR) Program and used in the Hospital Value-Based Purchasing (HVBP) Program.
- While there were concerns about more widespread use, the Committee agreed the benefits of the measure outweigh any potential unintended consequences.

5. Related and Competing Measures

- This measure was identified as potentially related to:
 - o #0231: Pneumonia Mortality Rate (IQI #20)
- Committee members noted that two measures of the same thing are confusing to audiences particularly if the results put the hospital at different rankings. A single measure of pneumonia mortality would provide an unambiguous evaluation of performance.

Standing Committee Recommendation for Endorsement: Y-17; N-4

6. Public and Member Comment

One commenter supported the measure.

7. Consensus Standards Approval Committee (CSAC) Review (July 13-14, 2016): Y-17; N-0 Decision: Approved for continued endorsement

8. Board of Directors Executive Committee Vote: Yes (August 3, 2016)

Decision: Ratified for continued endorsement

0513 Thorax CT—Use of Contrast Material

Submission | Specifications

Description: This measure calculates the percentage of thorax computed tomography (CT) studies that are performed with and without contrast out of all thorax CT studies performed (those with contrast, those without contrast and those with both) at each facility. The measure is calculated based on a one-year window of Medicare claims data. The measure has been publicly reported, annually, by the measure steward, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), since 2010, as a component of its Hospital Outpatient Quality Reporting (HOQR) Program.

Numerator Statement: The number of thorax CT studies with and without contrast ("combined studies").

Denominator Statement: The number of thorax CT studies performed (with contrast, without contrast, or both with and without contrast) on Medicare beneficiaries within a 12-month time window.

Exclusions: Indications for measure exclusion include any patients with diagnosis codes associated with: internal injury of chest, abdomen, and pelvis; injury to blood vessels; or crushing injury.

Adjustment/Stratification: No risk adjustment or risk stratification **Level of Analysis:** Facility, Population: National, Population: State

Setting of Care: Ambulatory Care: Clinician Office/Clinic, Hospital/Acute Care Facility, Imaging Facility

Type of Measure: Process

Data Source: Administrative claims

Measure Steward: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

STANDING COMMITTEE MEETING [03/15/2016]

1. Importance to Measure and Report: The measure meets the Importance criterion.

(1a. Evidence, 1b. Performance Gap)

1a. Evidence: H-18; M-3; L-0; I-0; 1b. Performance Gap: H-13; M-7; L-0; I-0

Rationale:

- The Committee agreed the updated evidence based on 36 American College of Radiology (ACR) appropriate use criteria (AUC) and two clinical practice guidelines from National Collaborating Centre for Cancer (NCCC), a center of the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), and AIM Specialty Health (a radiology benefit management company) was strong.
- Based on data from 2,413 facilities in 2015, the Committee agreed the performance rates
 ranging from 0.0% to 46.5%, with a mean of 3.3%, demonstrated an improvement in
 performance, but also considerable variation. The Committee also noted the developer provided
 disparities data on the size of the facility, age, gender, and race.

2. Scientific Acceptability of Measure Properties: <u>The measure meets the Scientific Acceptability</u> criterion.

(2a. Reliability - precise specifications, testing; 2b. Validity - testing, threats to validity)

2a. Reliability: H-14; M-7; L-0; I-0; 2b. Validity: H-1; M-20; L-0; I-0

- The developer used the beta-binomial approach on an updated sample (2013) of 3,666 facilities. The Committee agreed results of a 30.3% to 100.0% signal-to-noise ratio range indicated the measure is reliable.
- The Committee concluded sufficient validity was demonstrated based on the face validity testing performed by the developer through survey of a 10-member Technical Expert Panel (TEP).

3. Feasibility: H-20; M-1; L-0; I-0

(3a. Clinical data generated during care delivery; 3b. Electronic sources; 3c.Susceptibility to inaccuracies/unintended consequences identified 3d. Data collection strategy can be implemented)

Rationale:

 The Committee agreed the measure is feasible. All data elements are in defined fields in electronic claims and generated or collected by and used by healthcare personnel during the provision of care.

4. Usability and Use: H-16; M-5; L-0; I-0

(Used and useful to the intended audiences for 4a. Accountability and Transparency; 4b. Improvement; and 4c. Benefits outweigh evidence of unintended consequences)

Rationale:

- This measure is used in the Hospital Outpatient Quality Reporting Program.
- The Committee noted the median rate of overuse decreased significantly from 2010 to 2015 and more widespread use of the measure would be beneficial to the community.

5. Related and Competing Measures

No related or competing measures noted.

Standing Committee Recommendation for Endorsement: Y-21; N-0

6. Public and Member Comment

- One commenter did not support this measure.
- 7. Consensus Standards Approval Committee (CSAC) Review (July 13-14, 2016): Y-17; N-0 Decision: Approved for continued endorsement

8. Board of Directors Executive Committee Vote: Yes (August 3, 2016)

Decision: Ratified for continued endorsement

0577 Use of Spirometry Testing in the Assessment and Diagnosis of COPD

<u>Submission</u> | <u>Specifications</u>

Description: The percentage of patients 40 years of age and older with a new diagnosis of COPD or newly active COPD, who received appropriate spirometry testing to confirm the diagnosis.

Numerator Statement: At least one claim/encounter for spirometry during the 730 days (2 years) prior to the Index Episode Start Date through 180 days (6 months) after the Index Episode Start Date. The Index Episode Start Date is the earliest date of service for an eligible visit (outpatient, ED or acute inpatient) during the 6 months prior to the beginning of the measurement year through 6 months after the beginning of the measurement year with any diagnosis of COPD.

Denominator Statement: All patients age 42 years or older as of December 31 of the measurement year, who had a new diagnosis of COPD or newly active COPD during the 6 months prior to the beginning of the measurement year through the 6 months before the end of the measurement year.

Exclusions: N/A

Adjustment/Stratification: No risk adjustment or risk stratification

Level of Analysis: Health Plan, Integrated Delivery System **Setting of Care:** Ambulatory Care: Clinician Office/Clinic

Type of Measure: Process

Data Source: Administrative claims

Measure Steward: National Committee for Quality Assurance

STANDING COMMITTEE MEETING [03/15/2016]

1. Importance to Measure and Report: The measure meets the Importance criterion.

(1a. Evidence, 1b. Performance Gap)

1a. Evidence: Accepted Prior Evaluation; 1b. Performance Gap: H-9; M-12; L-0; I-0

- The Committee agreed with the developer that the underlying evidence for the measure has not changed since the last NQF endorsement review, which included recommendations from 2015 Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) Guidelines, 2013 Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement (ICSI) Guidelines, and 2011 Clinical Practice Guideline Update from the American College of Physicians, American College of Chest Physicians, American Thoracic Society, and European Respiratory Society. The Committee accepted the prior evaluation of this criterion without further discussion.
- The developer provided data collected from the National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA) Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set (HEDIS) for Commercial Health Maintenance Organizations (HMOs) and Preferred Provider Organizations (PPOs), Medicare HMOs and PPOs, and Medicaid HMO. The mean results ranged from 31% to 44% among the various types of plans, although there was little improvement from 2012 to 2014 (~1%) within each plan type.

• The Committee agreed the data demonstrated variation in utilization of spirometry among the plans.

2. Scientific Acceptability of Measure Properties: <u>The measure meets the Scientific Acceptability</u> criterion.

(2a. Reliability - precise specifications, testing; 2b. Validity - testing, threats to validity)

2a. Reliability: Accepted Prior Evaluation; 2b. Validity: H-8; M-13; L-0; I-0

Rationale:

- While the developer provided testing at the score level using newer data, the Committee agreed the underlying method and results for the measure had not significantly changed since the last NQF endorsement review. The beta-binomial method was used to determine the ratio of signal to noise using health plan data from July 1, 2011 through December 31, 2014 with a median score of 0.88 for Commercial, 0.88 for Medicaid, and 0.95 for Medicare. The Committee accepted the prior evaluation of the reliability criterion without further discussion.
- The Committee expressed concern about the timeframe of 2 years prior to the Index Episode Start Date through 6 months after the Index Episode Start Date as not being evidence-based. However, it concluded it was a reasonable timeframe based on face validity.
- The Committee agreed the additional validity testing conducted at the measure score level since the last NQF endorsement review further strengthened the measure.

3. Feasibility: H-16; M-5; L-0; I-0

(3a. Clinical data generated during care delivery; 3b. Electronic sources; 3c.Susceptibility to inaccuracies/unintended consequences identified 3d. Data collection strategy can be implemented)

Rationale:

 The Committee agreed the measure is feasible. All data elements are in defined fields in electronic claims and generated or collected by and used by healthcare personnel during the provision of care.

4. Usability and Use: H-7; M-13; L-1; I-0

(Used and useful to the intended audiences for 4a. Accountability and Transparency; 4b. Improvement; and 4c. Benefits outweigh evidence of unintended consequences)

Rationale:

- This measure is in use in NCQA's State of Health Care annual report and Quality Compass, as well as *Consumer Reports'* website.
- Some Committee members expressed concern about the slow increase in improvement by plans, but agreed some improvement can be seen.

5. Related and Competing Measures

- This measure was identified as related to:
 - o NQF # 0091 COPD: spirometry evaluation

The Committee felt measure #0091 and #0577 were related and should be harmonized.
 Specifically, since the measures have similar goals, the developers should harmonize the age limit and timeframe or justify why the differences exist.

Standing Committee Recommendation for Endorsement: Y-21; N-0

6. Public and Member Comment

No comments were received

7. Consensus Standards Approval Committee (CSAC) Review (July 13-14, 2016): Y-17; N-0 Decision: Approved for continued endorsement

8. Board of Directors Executive Committee Vote: Yes (August 3, 2016)

Decision: Ratified for continued endorsement

1800 Asthma Medication Ratio

<u>Submission</u> | <u>Specifications</u>

Description: The percentage of patients 5–64 years of age who were identified as having persistent asthma and had a ratio of controller medications to total asthma medications of 0.50 or greater during the measurement year.

Numerator Statement: The number of patients who had a ratio of controller medications to total asthma medications of 0.50 or greater during the measurement year.

Denominator Statement: All patients 5–64 years of age as of December 31 of the measurement year who have persistent asthma by meeting at least one of the following criteria during both the measurement year and the year prior to the measurement year:

- At least one emergency department visit with asthma as the principal diagnosis
- At least one acute inpatient claim/encounter with asthma as the principal diagnosis
- At least four outpatient visits or observation visits on different dates of service, with any diagnosis of asthma AND at least two asthma medication dispensing events. Visit type need not be the same for the four visits.
- At least four asthma medication dispensing events

Exclusions: Exclude patients who had any of the following diagnoses any time during the patient's history through the end of the measurement year (e.g., December 31):

- -COPD
- -Emphysema
- -Obstructive Chronic Bronchitis
- -Chronic Respiratory Conditions Due To Fumes/Vapors
- -Cystic Fibrosis

-Acute Respiratory Failure

Exclude any patients who had no asthma medications (controller or reliever) dispensed during the measurement year.

Adjustment/Stratification: No risk adjustment or risk stratification

Level of Analysis: Health Plan, Integrated Delivery System **Setting of Care:** Ambulatory Care: Clinician Office/Clinic

Type of Measure: Process

Data Source: Administrative claims

Measure Steward: National Committee for Quality Assurance

STANDING COMMITTEE MEETING [03/15/2016]

1. Importance to Measure and Report: The measure meets the Importance criterion.

(1a. Evidence, 1b. Performance Gap)

1a. Evidence: Accepted Prior Evaluation; 1b. Performance Gap: H-6; M-14; L-0; I-0

Rationale:

- Evidence provided by the developer included the 2007 guidelines for the diagnosis and
 management of asthma from the National Heart and Lung and Blood Institutes (NHLBI). The
 evidence included a systematic review, graded Category A. The Committee agreed with the
 developer that although the guidelines were updated, the underlying evidence of the measures
 had not changed. The Committee accepted the prior evaluation of this criterion without further
 discussion.
- The developer summarized the performance data at a health plan level and stratified by year and product line (Medicaid, Health Maintenance Organization (HMO), and Preferred Provider Organization (PPO)).
- Committee members commented the only gap identified occurs among the different types of products, e.g., commercial product versus Medicaid and Medicare. They noted gaps have been consistent throughout 2012, 2013, and 2014. The measure showed slight improvement (approximately 2 percentage points) across Medicaid health plans.
- The developer does not currently collect performance data stratified by race, ethnicity, or language. However, the Committee noted it would be helpful to see data stratified by race, ethnicity, urban versus rural, and age.

2. Scientific Acceptability of Measure Properties: <u>The measure meets the Scientific Acceptability</u> criterion.

(2a. Reliability - precise specifications, testing; 2b. Validity - testing, threats to validity)

2a. Reliability: H-15; M-5; L-0; I-0; 2b. Validity: H-8; M-12; L-0; I-0

- The specifications had not changed since the last NQF evaluation, and the Committee had no additional comments.
- The developer conducted reliability testing at the performance measure score level, using signal
 to noise analysis. The developer provides the 2015 measure score reliability results, which used

- data from the 2014 measurement year (386 commercial health plans and 164 Medicaid health plans). The reliability statistics ranged from 0.93-0.97.
- The developer used face validity with input from 3 expert panels (2015); the panels concluded that the measure accurately differentiates quality across providers. The developer also conducted construct validity testing (2015) by examining whether the score for this measure was correlated with similar measures of respiratory care. Construct validity testing indicated the asthma measures were significantly (p<.05) correlated with each other.
- The Committee noted the biggest threat to validity is the percentage of people excluded from the measure, particularly the older age cohort. This also was noted as a concern during the Committee evaluation in 2012.

3. Feasibility: H-15; M-5; L-0; I-0

(3a. Clinical data generated during care delivery; 3b. Electronic sources; 3c.Susceptibility to inaccuracies/unintended consequences identified 3d. Data collection strategy can be implemented)

Rationale:

• The Committee agreed the measure is feasible. All data are generated during care processes and are currently included in defined fields in electronic claims.

4. Usability and Use: H-13; M-6; L-1; I-0

(Used and useful to the intended audiences for 4a. Accountability and Transparency; 4b. Improvement; and 4c. Benefits outweigh evidence of unintended consequences)

Rationale:

- This measure is widely used and publicly reported.
- The developer noted a slight improvement in the Medicaid health plans and no improvement in the commercial plans. A wide gap between commercial product and Medicaid/ Medicare products was noted.
- One Committee member commented, "There's a push in the Medicaid managed care programs to use this measure. As the measure gains traction, I think we'll see better improvement. "

5. Related and Competing Measures

- This measure was identified as potentially related to:
 - o 0047: Asthma: Pharmacologic Therapy for Persistent Asthma
 - o 1799: Medication Management for People with Asthma
- The Committee encouraged developers to harmonize all of the asthma measures. Specifically, the developers should harmonize the age limit, data source, diagnoses definitions, and risk adjustment method.

Standing Committee Recommendation for Endorsement: Y-20; N-0

6. Public and Member Comment

No comments were received.

7. Consensus Standards Approval Committee (CSAC) Review (July 13-14, 2016): Y-17; N-0 Decision: Approved for continued endorsement

8. Board of Directors Executive Committee Vote: Yes (August 3, 2016)

Decision: Ratified for continued endorsement

1893 Hospital 30-Day, all-cause, risk-standardized mortality rate (RSMR) following chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) hospitalization

<u>Submission</u> | <u>Specifications</u>

Description: The measure estimates a hospital-level 30-day risk-standardized mortality rate (RSMR), defined as death from any cause within 30 days after the index admission date, for patients discharged from the hospital with either a principal discharge diagnosis of COPD or a principal discharge diagnosis of respiratory failure with a secondary discharge diagnosis of acute exacerbation of COPD. CMS annually reports the measure for patients who are aged 65 or older, are enrolled in fee-for-service (FFS) Medicare, and hospitalized in non-federal hospitals.

Numerator Statement: The outcome for this measure is 30-day all-cause mortality. We define mortality as death from any cause within 30 days from the date of admission for patients discharged from the hospital with either a principal discharge diagnosis of COPD or a principal discharge diagnosis of respiratory failure with a secondary discharge diagnosis of acute exacerbation of COPD.

Denominator Statement: This claims-based measure can be used in either of two patient cohorts: (1) patients aged 65 or older or (2) patients aged 40 years or older.

The cohort includes admissions for patients discharged from the hospital with either a principal discharge diagnosis of COPD (see codes below) OR a principal discharge diagnosis of respiratory failure (see codes below) with a secondary discharge diagnosis of acute exacerbation of COPD (see codes below); and with a complete claims history for the 12 months prior to admission. The measure is currently publicly reported by CMS for those patients aged 65 or older who are Medicare FFS beneficiaries admitted to non-federal hospitals.

Additional details are provided in S.9 Denominator Details.

Exclusions: The mortality measures exclude index admissions for patients:

- 1. With inconsistent or unknown vital status or other unreliable demographic (age and gender) data;
- 2. Enrolled in the Medicare hospice program any time in the 12 months prior to the index admission, including the first day of the index admission; or
- 3. Discharged against medical advice (AMA).

For patients with more than one admission for a given condition in a given year, only one index admission for that condition is randomly selected for inclusion in the cohort.

Adjustment/Stratification: Statistical risk model

Level of Analysis: Facility

Setting of Care: Hospital/Acute Care Facility

Type of Measure: Outcome

Data Source: Administrative claims

Measure Steward: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

STANDING COMMITTEE MEETING [03/16/2016]

1. Importance to Measure and Report: The measure meets the Importance criterion.

(1a. Evidence, 1b. Performance Gap)

1a. Evidence: Accepted Prior Evaluation; 1b. Performance Gap: H-2; M-14; L-4; I-0

Rationale:

- The Committee agreed with the developer that the underlying evidence for the measure has not changed since the last NQF endorsement review. The Committee accepted the prior evaluation of this criterion without further discussion.
- The Committee noted there was minor improvement, but agreed there was enough of a gap in care that warranted a national performance measure.

2. Scientific Acceptability of Measure Properties: <u>The measure meets the Scientific Acceptability criterion.</u>

(2a. Reliability - precise specifications, testing; 2b. Validity - testing, threats to validity)

2a. Reliability: Accepted Prior Evaluation; 2b. Validity: Accepted Prior Evaluation

Rationale:

 The Committee agreed the underlying reliability and validity testing provided by the developer had not changed since the last NQF endorsement review. The Committee accepted the prior evaluation of this criterion.

3. Feasibility: H-10; M-9; L-0; I-0

(3a. Clinical data generated during care delivery; 3b. Electronic sources; 3c.Susceptibility to inaccuracies/unintended consequences identified 3d. Data collection strategy can be implemented)

Rationale:

 The Committee agreed the measure is feasible. All data elements are in defined fields in electronic claims and generated or collected by and used by healthcare personnel during the provision of care.

4. Usability and Use: H-5; M-12; L-2; I-0

(Used and useful to the intended audiences for 4a. Accountability and Transparency; 4b. Improvement; and 4c. Benefits outweigh evidence of unintended consequences)

Rationale:

- This measure is publicly reported nationally on Hospital Compare.
- While there was concern about the small degree of improvement, the Committee agreed the benefits of the measure outweigh any potential unintended consequences.

5. Related and Competing Measures

No related or competing measures noted.

Standing Committee Recommendation for Endorsement: Y-18; N-1

6. Public and Member Comment

- One commenter supported the measure.
- 7. Consensus Standards Approval Committee (CSAC) Review (July 13-14, 2016): Y-17; N-0 Decision: Approved for continued endorsement
- 8. Board of Directors Executive Committee Vote: Yes (August 3, 2016)

Decision: Ratified for continued endorsement

2856 Pharmacotherapy Management of COPD Exacerbation

<u>Submission</u> | <u>Specifications</u>

Description: This measure assesses the percentage of COPD exacerbations for patients 40 years of age and older who had an acute inpatient discharge or ED encounter on or between January 1–November 30 of the measurement year and who were dispensed appropriate medications.

Two rates are reported.

- 1. Dispensed a systemic corticosteroid (or there was evidence of an active prescription) within 14 days of the event
- 2. Dispensed a bronchodilator (or there was evidence of an active prescription) within 30 days of the event

Note: The eligible population for this measure is based on acute inpatient discharges and ED visits, not on patients. It is possible for the denominator to include multiple events for the same individual.

Numerator Statement: Numerator 1 (Systemic Corticosteroids): The number of patients dispensed a prescription for systemic corticosteroid on or 14 days after the Episode Date*. Count systemic corticosteroids that are active on the relevant date.

Numerator 2 (Bronchodilator): The number of patients dispensed a prescription for a bronchodilator on or 30 days after the Episode Date*. Count bronchodilators that are active on the relevant date.

*The Episode Date is the date of service for any acute inpatient discharge or ED claim/encounter during the 11-month intake period with a principal diagnosis of COPD.

Denominator Statement: All patients age 40 years or older as of January 1 of the measurement year with a COPD exacerbation as indicated by an acute inpatient discharge or ED encounter with a principal diagnosis of COPD.

Exclusions: 1) Exclude episode dates when the patient was transferred directly to an acute or nonacute inpatient care setting for any diagnosis.

2) Exclude episode dates when the patient was readmitted to an acute or nonacute inpatient care setting for any diagnosis within 14 days after the episode date.

3) Exclude episode dates when the patient had an ED visit for any diagnosis within 14 days after the Episode date.

Adjustment/Stratification: Statistical risk model

Level of Analysis: Health Plan, Integrated Delivery System **Setting of Care:** Ambulatory Care: Clinician Office/Clinic

Type of Measure: Process

Data Source: Administrative claims

Measure Steward: National Committee for Quality Assurance

STANDING COMMITTEE MEETING [03/15/2016]

1. Importance to Measure and Report: The measure meets the Importance criterion.

(1a. Evidence, 1b. Performance Gap)

1a. Evidence: H-9; M-12; L-1; I-0; 1b. Performance Gap: H-13; M-7; L-2; I-0

Rationale:

- This measure was previously endorsed as NQF #0549, however, the endorsement was removed during the last review in July 2012, and the developer has resubmitted the measure for consideration.
- The developer provided evidence for this measure based on two clinical practice guidelines for the use of systemic corticosteroid and short acting bronchodilator medications to treat patients with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) exacerbations from Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) and Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement (ICSI). The Committee agreed that the evidence provided by the developer generally supported the measure.
- The developer provided Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set (HEDIS) data based that identified a statistically significant 7 to 16% gap in performance between the 25th and 75th percentile performing plans across the different product lines and indicators.
- The developer does not collect disparities data, but cited published articles and Healthy People 2020 data stating that disparities exist for COPD, generally, race, age, gender, existing comorbidities, and income level.
- The Committee agreed the data indicate an opportunity for improvement.

2. Scientific Acceptability of Measure Properties: <u>The measure meets the Scientific Acceptability criterion.</u>

(2a. Reliability - precise specifications, testing; 2b. Validity - testing, threats to validity)

2a. Reliability: H-5; M-16; L-1; I-0 2b. Validity: H-1; M-13; L-8; I-0

- The developer conducted beta-binomial at the measure score level utilizing data from health plans (241 commercial, 157 Medicaid) that submitted HEDIS data for 2012 and 2015.
- Per the developer, the 10-90th percentile distribution of health plan level-reliability on the rates in this measure show the vast majority of health plans exceeded 0.7, and the majority of plans exceeded 0.8.

- The beta-binomial method also was used for #0549. Reliability statistics for #2856 vs. #0549 were similar for Medicaid plans. For commercial plans, reliability statistics were poorer for #2856 (current submission) as compared that for #0549.
- The Committee agreed that the data provided by the developer supported the reliability of the measure.
- Face validity was assessed by 3 clinical expert panels for a total of 73 panelists. The developer also conducted data element-level validity testing since the prior submission of #0549.
- The Committee had a robust discussion regarding validity:
- Pearson Correlation Coefficients (PCC) were calculated for 2015 HEDIS data from 241 commercial health plans, 357 Medicare health plans, and 157 Medicaid health plans. The developer reported that the results indicated that the COPD measures were significantly (p<.05) correlated with each other in the hypothesized direction.
- The developer noted endorsement was removed during the last review because it did not pass on validity due to the Committee's concerns about capturing medication samples dispensed in the ED and the developer's definition of active medications. The current Committee expressed concern over the effect of not capturing medications dispensed outside of patients' pharmacy benefit. The developer discussed how health plans are working to get this data from pharmacies via a data exchange. The Committee also voiced concern over the burden involved in such data collection for plans, and the developer explained that there were initiatives underway to close this data gap with health plans.
- The Committee also raised concerns about the measure specifications, especially the timeframe specified for the dispensing and administration of medication. The Committee also questioned the exclusion of urgent care facilities from the care settings for this measure.
 - The Committee expressed concerns regarding the sensitivity and specificity of the data, i.e., whether patients who are labeled as not receiving corticosteroids or bronchodilators actually were prescribed these medications according to their medical record.

3. Feasibility: H-2; M-17; L-3; I-0

(3a. Clinical data generated during care delivery; 3b. Electronic sources; 3c.Susceptibility to inaccuracies/unintended consequences identified 3d. Data collection strategy can be implemented)

Rationale:

 The Committee agreed the measure is generally feasible. However, one Committee member expressed concerns regarding potential threats to feasibility, including inability of the ED to access medical records and patients filling patients in various locations not captured by this measure.

4. Usability and Use: H-16; M-6; L-0; I-0

(Used and useful to the intended audiences for 4a. Accountability and Transparency; 4b. Improvement; and 4c. Benefits outweigh evidence of unintended consequences)

- This measure is a health plan accountability measure that is widely used in national public reporting programs.
- The Committee did not identify any issues with the usability and use of the measure.

5. Related and Competing Measures

- This measure was identified as potentially related to:
 - o 0102: COPD: inhaled bronchodilator therapy
- The Committee felt measure #0102 and #2856 are not related and no further harmonization was needed.

Standing Committee Recommendation for Endorsement: Y-17; N-5

6. Public and Member Comment

• Two commenters expressed general support for the measure.

7. Consensus Standards Approval Committee (CSAC) Review (July 13-14, 2016): Y-17; N-0 Decision: Approved for endorsement

8. Board of Directors Executive Committee Vote: Yes (August 3, 2016)

Decision: Ratified for endorsement

0102 COPD: inhaled bronchodilator therapy

Submission | Specifications

Description: Percentage of patients aged 18 years or older, with a diagnosis of COPD (FEV1/FVC < 70%) who have an FEV1 < 60% predicted and have symptoms who were prescribed an inhaled bronchodilator

Numerator Statement: Patients who were prescribed an inhaled bronchodilator

Denominator Statement: All patients aged 18 years and older with a diagnosis of COPD, who have FEV1/FVC < 70%, FEV1 <60% predicted and have symptoms (eg, dyspnea, cough/sputum, wheezing)

Exclusions: ATS continues to use the PCPI exception methodology that uses three categories of exception reasons for which a patient may be removed from the denominator of an individual measure: medical, patient and system reasons.

Exceptions are used to remove patients from the denominator of a performance measure when a patient does not receive a therapy or service AND that therapy or service would not be appropriate due to specific reasons; otherwise, the patient would meet the denominator criteria. Exceptions are not absolute, and the application of exceptions is based on clinical judgment, individual patient characteristics, or patient preferences. These measure exception categories are not uniformly relevant across all measures; for each measure, there must be a clear rationale to permit an exception for a medical, patient, or system reason. Examples are provided in the measure exception language of instances that may constitute an exception and are intended to serve as a guide to clinicians. For this measure, exceptions include medical reason(s), patient reason(s) or system reason(s) for not prescribing inhaled bronchodilators. Although this methodology does not require the external reporting of more detailed exception data, the ATS recommends that physicians document the specific reasons for exception in patients' medical records for purposes of optimal patient management and audit-readiness.

Adjustment/Stratification: No risk adjustment or risk stratification.

Level of Analysis: Clinician : Group/Practice, Clinician : Team **Setting of Care:** Ambulatory Care : Clinician Office/Clinic

Type of Measure: Process

Data Source: Administrative claims, Electronic Clinical Data: Registry

Measure Steward: American Thoracic Society

STANDING COMMITTEE MEETING [03/15/2016]

1. Importance to Measure and Report: The measure meets the Importance criterion.

(1a. Evidence, 1b. Performance Gap)

1a. Evidence: H-3; M-11; L-6; I-2; 1b. Performance Gap: H-1; M-0; L-20; I-1

Rationale:

• The developer originally brought forward the measure with an updated numerator statement, edited to more closely align to the most recent evidence-based guidelines. The prior numerator

- was: "Patients who were prescribed an inhaled bronchodilator." It had been updated to: "Patients who were prescribed a long-acting inhaled bronchodilator."
- While the numerator statement had been updated, updated gap analysis, and reliability and validity testing to support the new numerator was not provided by the developer. The Committee noted it was not possible to evaluate the measure without the updated data and voted the measure down on gap. Since gap and testing data for the old measure were provided, the Committee agreed to review the original specifications for endorsement maintenance, if the developer reverted back to the old numerator. The developer agreed and the specifications for the original measure are presented in this report.
- Updated evidence for this process measure is based on clinical practice guidelines for the
 diagnosis and management of Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease from Global Initiative for
 Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) 2015 guidelines and American College of Physicians
 (ACP), American College of Chest Physicians, American Thoracic Society, and European
 Respiratory Society 2011 guidelines.
- The developer reported this measure was used in the CMS Physician Quality Reporting Initiative/System (PQRS): 2007 through 2013 claims option; 2009 through 2013 registry option; 2011 through 2012 group practice reporting II option; and the 2012 ACO option. In the 2008 data, 53.61% of patients reported on did not meet the measure. The Committee questioned whether there is opportunity for improvement (From 2010-2014, the gap ranged from 73.4% TO 98.5%, and voted to consider the measure for endorsement with reserve status.

2. Scientific Acceptability of Measure Properties: <u>The measure meets the Scientific Acceptability</u> criterion.

(2a. Reliability - precise specifications, testing; 2b. Validity - testing, threats to validity)

2a. Reliability: H-11; M-9; L-1; I-0; 2b. Validity: H-4; M-16; L-2; I-0

Rationale:

- The developer presented 2012 performance measure score-level reliability testing with a
 reliability score of 0.85 among groups with 25 or more EPs participating in the PQRS GPRO
 program. The Committee agreed the measure demonstrated sufficient reliability so that
 differences in performance can be identified.
- The developer presented 2015 face validity testing, with 88.9% of panelists agreeing or strongly
 agreeing this measure can accurately distinguish good and poor quality. The Committee agreed
 sufficient validity was demonstrated.

3. Feasibility: H-18; M-4; L-0; I-0

(3a. Clinical data generated during care delivery; 3b. Electronic sources; 3c.Susceptibility to inaccuracies/unintended consequences identified 3d. Data collection strategy can be implemented)

Rationale:

 The Committee agreed the measure is feasible. All data elements are in defined fields in electronic claims and generated or collected by and used by healthcare personnel during the provision of care.

4. Usability and Use: H-10; M-11; L-1; I-0

(Used and useful to the intended audiences for 4a. Accountability and Transparency; 4b. Improvement; and 4c. Benefits outweigh evidence of unintended consequences)

Rationale:

- This measure has been in use for the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Physician Quality Reporting System (PQRS) program since 2007 and is planned for integration into the CMS Physician Compare Program.
- The Committee did not identify any issues with usability and use.

5. Related and Competing Measures

- This measure was identified as related by staff to:
 - NQF #2856: Pharmacotherapy Management of COPD Exacerbation
- The Committee felt measure #0102 and #2856 are not related and no further harmonization was needed.

Standing Committee Recommendation for Potential for Reserve Status: Y-16; N-6

6. Public and Member Comment

- One commenter did not support the measure.
- 7. Consensus Standards Approval Committee (CSAC) Review (July 13-14, 2016): Y-17; N-0 Decision: Approved for endorsement with reserve status
- 8. Board of Directors Executive Committee Vote: Yes (August 3, 2016)

Decision: Ratified for endorsement with reserve status

0343 PICU Standardized Mortality Ratio

Submission | Specifications

Description: The ratio of actual deaths over predicted deaths for PICU patients.

Numerator Statement: Actual number of deaths occurring in PICU.

Denominator Statement: The sum of of predicted PRISM 3 mortality. "Predicted mortality" = Number of deaths expected based on assessed physiologic risk of mortality.

Include all PICU patients < 18 year of age admitted to the PICU for greater than 2 hours or with at least two consecutive sets of vital signs consistent with life with risk of mortality assessment or boarder/IMCU status.

Exclusions: Include all PICU patients < 18 year of age admitted to the PICU for greater than 2 hours or with at least two consecutive sets of vital signs consistent with life with risk of mortality assessment or boarder/IMCU status.

Adjustment/Stratification: Statistical risk model

Level of Analysis: Facility

Setting of Care: Hospital/Acute Care Facility

Type of Measure: Outcome

Data Source: Administrative claims, Paper Medical Records, Electronic Clinical Data: Registry

Measure Steward: Virtual PICU Systems, LLC

STANDING COMMITTEE MEETING [03/16/2016]

1. Importance to Measure and Report: The measure meets the Importance criterion.

(1a. Evidence, 1b. Performance Gap)

1a. Evidence: Accepted Prior Evaluation; 1b. Performance Gap: H-4; M-11; L-5; I-0

Rationale:

- The Committee agreed with the developer that the underlying evidence for the measure has not changed since the last NQF endorsement review. The Committee accepted the prior evaluation of this criterion without further discussion.
- The Committee agreed with the developer's assessment of the performance over time, which showed no monotonic trend (i.e., no increasing or decreasing trend). The Committee found, however, the unit-level standardized mortality ratio (SMR) range of 0.16 to 2.02 demonstrated a gap.

2. Scientific Acceptability of Measure Properties: <u>The measure meets the Scientific Acceptability criterion.</u>

(2a. Reliability - precise specifications, testing; 2b. Validity - testing, threats to validity)

2a. Reliability: Accepted Prior Evaluation; 2b. Validity: H-2; M-15; L-3; I-0

Rationale:

- The measure used the PRISM III algorithm, a proprietary risk adjustment scheme. The
 developer states elsewhere (measures #0334, #0335) that for the VPS system, "numerators,
 denominators and all definitions are standardized with an inter-rater reliability (IRR) >96%."
 From this it was inferred that validity testing at the data element level was conducted. Per NQF
 guidance, separate reliability testing is not required when validity testing at the data element
 level is performed for all critical data elements.
- Some Committee members expressed concern that the severity of patient mix may not be
 adequately accounted for in the methodology, leading to potential inaccurate results when
 reporting outcomes. Others questioned whether higher SMR than predicted ("which is
 calculated using proprietary software and black-box scoring") does not identify the correct
 deficit. Overall, the Committee agreed the measure was valid.

3. Feasibility: H-3; M-14; L-3; I-0

(3a. Clinical data generated during care delivery; 3b. Electronic sources; 3c.Susceptibility to inaccuracies/unintended consequences identified 3d. Data collection strategy can be implemented)

Rationale:

- Some data elements are in defined fields in electronic form and generated or collected by and used by healthcare personnel during the provision of care.
- Committee members expressed concern about the measure being proprietary. Unlike, NQF #0335, pulling data for this measure would be much harder without the software.

4. Usability and Use: H-0; M-8; L-12; I-0

(Used and useful to the intended audiences for 4a. Accountability and Transparency; 4b. Improvement; and 4c. Benefits outweigh evidence of unintended consequences)

Rationale:

- The measure data are not aggregated and publicly reported; however, some hospitals participating in the VPS system may individually publicly report their data.
- The measure is part of programs at the Texas Children and the Hospital California Children Health Services.
- The Committee expressed several concerns during its discussion and was not able to come to consensus on usability and use:
 - Use of the measure is not mandatory and there was a lack of interest by providers to monitor this performance in order to improve the quality of care.
 - o Little to no improvement has been made since previous endorsement.
 - The lack of public reporting means stakeholders cannot compare performance across different users, facilities, or populations.

5. Related and Competing Measures

No related or competing measures noted.

Initial Standing Committee Recommendation for Endorsement: Y-9; N-11

Re-vote on Standing Committee Recommendation for Endorsement: Y-9 (60%); N-6 (40%) Consensus not Reached

6. Public and Member Comment

One comment was received:

- Using electronic clinical data and paper medical records makes this measure not feasible for health plans. The value of this measure is questionable without categorizing the data in some way using DRGs or some other categories for types and diagnoses of patients.
- Developer response: The measure was not designed for use by health plans and the measure's validity and reliability stem from the use of clinical data (paper and/ or electronic). These measures are to be collected and reported at the PICU level specific to patients using patient level data. They are currently used by over 100 PICUs nationally and could readily be provided by health care organizations to insurers. In regards to the data categorization comment, there is nothing that precludes such categorization, analysis by patient category can be readily performed at the PICU or aggregate level. Moreover, unlike adult care where there are entire ICUs dedicated to relatively homogenous disease states, pediatrics deals with far smaller volumes of any patient type. PICUs have extremely heterogeneous populations. Due to the complexity of pediatric care, diagnosis level categorization should not be a necessity because although it can be performed as a secondary analysis, it would reflect such small numbers of patients that the findings would be challenging to interpret. Lastly, DRGs have been shown to be poor at best for use in pediatric care (Muldoon Pediatrics. 1999, 103; Munoz J Peds 1989, 115; Munoz AJDC 1989, 143(5)).

After review of the comment, the Committee reconsidered the measure. Committee members noted the current low mortality and questioned whether there is opportunity for improvement. Others noted that the variability of results is significant and might be due to the heterogeneity of patients in a PICU. One Committee member noted that the rates are stable despite an increase in the severity of illness of patients in PICUs. On re-vote, the Committee again did not reach consensus.

7. Consensus Standards Approval Committee (CSAC) Review (July 13-14, 2016): Y-8; N-8 Since the measure did not reach the 60% pass rate at the CSAC level for consensus not reached measures, it is not recommended for endorsement.

0702 Intensive Care Unit (ICU) Length-of-Stay (LOS)

<u>Submission</u> | <u>Specifications</u>

Description: For all eligible patients =18 years old admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU), total duration of time spent in the ICU until time of discharge from the ICU; both observed and risk-adjusted LOS reported with the predicted LOS measured using the Intensive Care Outcomes Model - Length-of-Stay (ICOMLOS).

Numerator Statement: For all eligible patients admitted to the ICU, the time at discharge from ICU (either death or physical departure from the unit) minus the time of admission (first recorded vital sign on ICU flow sheet). The measure is risk-adjusted, please see S.18.

Denominator Statement: Total number of eligible patients who are discharged (including deaths and transfers)

Exclusions: <18 years of age at time of ICU admission, ICU readmission, <4 hours in ICU, primary admission due to trauma, burns, or immediately post-CABG, admitted to exclude myocardial infarction (MI) and subsequently found without MI or any other acute process requiring ICU care, transfers from another acute care hospital.

Adjustment/Stratification: Statistical risk model

Level of Analysis: Facility

Setting of Care: Hospital/Acute Care Facility

Type of Measure: Outcome

Data Source: Paper Medical Records

Measure Steward: Philip R. Lee Institute for Health Policy Studies

STANDING COMMITTEE MEETING [03/16/2016]

1. Importance to Measure and Report: Consensus Not Reached on the Importance criterion.

(1a. Evidence, 1b. Performance Gap)

1a. Evidence: Accepted Prior Evaluation; 1b. Performance Gap: H-2; M-10; L-10; I-0

Rationale:

- The Committee agreed with the developer that there is no new evidence for this measure. The Committee accepted the prior evaluation of this criterion without further discussion.
- The developer provided performance scores based on data from 2010 and 2011. The overall unadjusted mean LOS was 3.4 days; the standard deviation in LOS across hospitals was 0.8 days, with an interquartile range of 2.8 to 3.9 days.
- In response to Committee concerns regarding a small gap, the developer commented that the LOS gap generally decreased when data for 6 years is analyzed. The developer noted that, from a payer perspective, even a small gap is important.

2. Scientific Acceptability of Measure Properties: <u>Consensus Not Reached on the Scientific</u> Acceptability criterion.

(2a. Reliability - precise specifications, testing; 2b. Validity - testing, threats to validity)

2a. Reliability: H-1; M-14; L-7; I-0; 2b. Validity: H-0; M-12; L-10; I-0

- The developer conducted validity testing at the data element level. Per NQF guidance, separate
 reliability testing is not required when validity testing at the data element level is performed for
 all critical data elements.
- The developer stated it performed empirical testing for reliability at the measure score level, but reported results for mortality, not LOS; the developer posited that over-reporting of risk factors for mortality (if present) should reflect over-reporting for LOS. The correlation coefficient between the hospital's predicted probabilities of death and the auditor's predicted probabilities was 0.792.
- The developer performed empirical testing for validity at performance measure score level by comparing hospital abstraction results to an auditor's. The percent agreement between auditors

- and hospital data collectors across all individual risk model elements was 94%, with a range for specific risk variables from 85-97%.
- The Committee raised concerns regarding the validity of the data reported by chart reviewers
 when determining a patient's level of care versus location of care, i.e., whether a patient in the
 ICU is actually an ICU patient and not a step-down, telemetry, or floor patient.
- Another Committee member suggested pairing an ICU readmission measure when measuring LOS.

3. Feasibility: H-0; M-11; L-11; I-0

(3a. Clinical data generated during care delivery; 3b. Electronic sources; 3c.Susceptibility to inaccuracies/unintended consequences identified 3d. Data collection strategy can be implemented)

Rationale:

- The measure requires chart abstraction. All data elements are not in defined fields in electronic sources.
- One Committee member familiar with the measure noted that its use with an electronic medical record made it easier to navigate than as a paper-based measure, but even in this form took a significant amount of time to extract.

4. Usability and Use: H-0; M-10; L-12; I-0

(Used and useful to the intended audiences for 4a. Accountability and Transparency; 4b. Improvement; and 4c. Benefits outweigh evidence of unintended consequences)

Rationale:

- This measure is not currently in use. Beginning 2013, the developer began changing the measure to an eMeasure.
- The Committee discussed potential unintended consequences of the measure, in particular the
 potential for premature discharge from ICUs (and hence the recommendation to consider a
 paired ICU readmissions measure). It also noted the potential unintended consequence would
 be that hospitals may seek to avoid high-risk patients who, due to the severity of illness, may
 require longer ICU stays.

5. Related and Competing Measures

- This measure was identified as potentially related to:
 - o 0703: Intensive Care: In-hospital mortality rate
 - o 0334: PICU Severity-adjusted Length of Stay
- The Committee was unable to discuss related and competing measures during the in-person meeting and will have the opportunity to do so during the post-comment call. Measure #0702 and #0703 were not recommended during the post-comment call so the Committee did not need to review the measures for harmonization purposes.

Standing Committee Recommendation for Endorsement: Y-6; N-16

0703 Intensive Care: In-hospital mortality rate

Submission | Specifications

Description: For all adult patients admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU), the percentage of patients whose hospital outcome is death; both observed and risk-adjusted mortality rates are reported with predicted rates based on the Intensive Care Outcomes Model - Mortality (ICOMmort).

Numerator Statement: Total number of eligible patients whose hospital outcome is death. The measure is risk-adjusted, please see S.18.

Denominator Statement: Total number of eligible patients who are discharged (including deaths and transfers out to other hospitals).

Exclusions: <18 years of age at time of ICU admission, ICU readmission, <4 hours in ICU, primary admission due to trauma, burns, or immediately post-CABG, admitted to exclude myocardial infarction (MI) and subsequently found without MI or any other acute process requiring ICU care, transfers from another acute care hospital.

Adjustment/Stratification: Statistical risk model

Level of Analysis: Facility

Setting of Care: Hospital/Acute Care Facility

Type of Measure: Outcome

Data Source: Paper Medical Records

Measure Steward: Philip R. Lee Institute for Health Policy Studies

STANDING COMMITTEE MEETING [03/16/2016]

1. Importance to Measure and Report: The measure meets the Importance criterion.

(1a. Evidence, 1b. Performance Gap)

1a. Evidence: Accepted Prior Evaluation; 1b. Performance Gap: H-5; M-13; L-2; I-2

Rationale:

- The Committee agreed with the developer that the underlying rationale appears to be the same since the last NQF endorsement review. The Committee accepted the prior evaluation of the Evidence criterion without further discussion.
- The developer provided performance scores based on 2010 and 2011 data. Using 2007 as the baseline (mortality rate = 13.85%) mortality declined 2.18% in 2011 (mortality rate =11.67%).
- Disparities were not included in the measurement data provided by the developer. However, the developer provided literature that documents disparities. The Committee noted diseasespecific racial variation, disparities for the elderly, insurance status, and disparities based on gender.

2. Scientific Acceptability of Measure Properties: <u>Consensus Not Reached on the Scientific</u> Acceptability criterion.

(2a. Reliability - precise specifications, testing; 2b. Validity - testing, threats to validity)

2a. Reliability: H-4; M-15; L-3; I-0; 2b. Validity: H-0; M-13; L-9; I-0

- The developer provided updated validity testing at the data element level by comparing hospital abstraction results to an auditor's. Per NQF guidance, separate reliability testing is not required when validity testing at the data element level is performed for all critical data elements. Nevertheless, the developer also conducted empirical testing for reliability at the measure score level. The developer reported a correlation coefficient of 0.792 between the hospital's predicted probabilities of death and the auditor's predicted probabilities. The developer stated there was no clear pattern suggesting hospitals over-reported risk factors—i.e., in some cases, hospitals were over-reporting, in others, they were under-reporting).
- For the validity testing at the data element level, the developer assessed agreement between
 trained auditors (the authoritative source) and hospital data collectors for all individual risk model
 elements. Percent agreement between auditors and hospital data collectors across all individual risk
 model elements was 94%, with a range for specific risk variables from 85-97%.
 - The Committee asked the developer to clarify if ongoing quality checks on hospital abstracters
 or data collectors exist, since the measure's reliability hinges on the developer calculating the
 correlation of data collected by hospital's data collector and trained auditors. The developer
 responded that training is strongly recommended for new and existing individuals collecting
 data.
 - The Committee requested clarification on transfers; this issue was also discussed during the previous NQF review. A Committee member asked the developer to clarify "why transfers into a hospital are excluded from the denominator, while transfers out of the hospital remain and are considered a patient who survived the hospitalization." Another Committee member requested "the numbers of patients who are excluded due to transfers or the number of patients who are transferred out and considered alive that are included in the dataset."
 - The developer responded that "the number was quite small." The developer analyzed the data during the previous review and found the impact of excluding all transfers in each direction and the performance score correlations was approximately 0.95. The decision was made to exclude transfers into centers that were accepting high-risk patients.

3. Feasibility: H-2; M-14; L-6; I-0

(3a. Clinical data generated during care delivery; 3b. Electronic sources; 3c.Susceptibility to inaccuracies/unintended consequences identified 3d. Data collection strategy can be implemented)

Rationale:

• The measure requires manual chart abstraction because not all of the data elements are in defined fields in electronic sources. Despite the collection burden, the Committee agreed the usefulness of the measure outweighs the burden of manual chart abstraction.

4. Usability and Use: H-1; M-11; L-10; I-0

(Used and useful to the intended audiences for 4a. Accountability and Transparency; 4b. Improvement; and 4c. Benefits outweigh evidence of unintended consequences)

Rationale:

 This measure is not currently in use. Beginning in 2013, the developer began changing the measure to an eMeasure.

5. Related and Competing Measures

- This measure was identified as potentially related to:
 - o 0702: Intensive Care Unit (ICU) Length-of-Stay (LOS)
- The Committee was unable to discuss related and competing measures during the in-person meeting and will have the opportunity to do so during the post-comment call. Measure #0703 was not recommended during the post-comment call so the Committee did not need to review the measures for harmonization purposes.

Initial Standing Committee Recommendation for Endorsement: Y-13; N-9 Re-vote on Standing Committee Recommendation for Endorsement: Y-4; N-12 Rationale

- Some Committee members raised concerns regarding hospital mortality rates misinforming the public about hospital quality, questioning their accuracy.
- The developer responded that "it reduces the risk of misclassification both by having carefully tested various risk adjustments and having a large enough sample size that the probability of risk adjustment is low."

6. Public and Member Comment

One comment was received:

This measure uses paper medical records which are not feasible for health plans.

After the comment period, the Committee reconsidered this measure. The Committee reiterated concerns about inappropriately transferring patients to reduce the in-hospital morality rate. The Committee noted that the transition to an electronic measure is still in progress. The developer responded that using the paper-based measures, hospitals in California reduced the ICU mortality from 13.5% to 11.2%. The same data found that analysis of 30-day mortality did not change the hospital ratings so in-hospital mortality was maintained to reduce burden of data collection. On re-vote, the Committee did not recommend the measure for endorsement.

1799 Medication Management for People with Asthma

Submission | Specifications

Description: The percentage of patients 5-64 years of age during the measurement year who were identified as having persistent asthma and were dispensed appropriate medications that they remained on during the treatment period. Two rates are reported.

- 1. The percentage of patients who remained on an asthma controller medication for at least 50% of their treatment period.
- 2. The percentage of patients who remained on an asthma controller medication for at least 75% of their treatment period.

Numerator Statement: Numerator 1 (Medication Adherence 50%): The number of patients who achieved a PDC* of at least 50% for their asthma controller medications during the measurement year. A higher rate is better.

Numerator 2 (Medication Adherence 75%): The number of patients who achieved a PDC* of at least 75% for their asthma controller medications during the measurement year. A higher rate is better.

*PDC is the proportion of days covered by at least one asthma controller medication prescription, divided by the number of days in the treatment period. The treatment period is the period of time beginning on the earliest prescription dispensing date for any asthma controller medication during the measurement year through the last day of the measurement year.

Denominator Statement: All patients 5–64 years of age as of December 31 of the measurement year who have persistent asthma by meeting at least one of the following criteria during both the measurement year and the year prior to the measurement year:

- At least one emergency department visit with asthma as the principal diagnosis
- At least one acute inpatient claim/encounter with asthma as the principal diagnosis
- At least four outpatient visits or observation visits on different dates of service, with any diagnosis of asthma AND at least two asthma medication dispensing events. Visit type need not be the same for the four visits.
- At least four asthma medication dispensing events

Exclusions: 1) Exclude patients who had any of the following diagnoses any time during the patient's history through the end of the measurement year (e.g., December 31):

- -COPD
- -Emphysema
- -Obstructive Chronic Bronchitis
- -Chronic Respiratory Conditions Due To Fumes/Vapors
- -Cystic Fibrosis
- -Acute Respiratory Failure
- 2) Exclude any patients who had no asthma controller medications dispensed during the measurement year.

Adjustment/Stratification: No risk adjustment or risk stratification

Level of Analysis: Health Plan, Integrated Delivery System **Setting of Care:** Ambulatory Care: Clinician Office/Clinic

Type of Measure: Process

Data Source: Administrative claims

Measure Steward: National Committee for Quality Assurance

STANDING COMMITTEE MEETING [03/15/2016]

1. Importance to Measure and Report: Consensus was not reached on the Importance criterion.

(1a. Evidence, 1b. Performance Gap)

1a. Evidence: H-1; M-11; L-5; I-3; 1b. Performance Gap: H-5; M-12; L-3; I-0

- Evidence for this process measure is based on Clinical Practice Guideline recommendations (National Heart Lung and Blood Institute/National Asthma Education and Prevention Program 2007 Expert Panel Report 3: Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Management of Asthma).
- During the last review, the Committee noted concern over the lack of evidence related to 50% and 75% compliance markers.
- Since the last review, the developer conducted a literature search for published peer-reviewed journals related to the correlation between asthma controller medication adherence rates and improved outcomes. A study by Yoon et al showed that, using HEDIS measures, patients who achieved 75% compliance in 2012 did not have fewer hospitalizations or ED visits in 2013 compared to those who were not 75% compliant. Patients who achieved 50% threshold in 2012 did not have fewer hospitalizations, but did have fewer ED visits in 2013, compared to those who were not 50% compliant.
- The Committee had much discussion on the Yoon study and about 50% and 75% threshold rates. It ultimately did not come to consensus on evidence for this measure.
- The developer provided data that showed a 16% performance difference in 2014 for the Medication Adherence 50% indicator between commercial plans in the 10th percentile and commercial plans in the 90th percentile; data also demonstrated a26% difference for Medicaid plans. Similarly for the Medication Adherence 75% indicator, there was a 20% performance difference between commercial plans in the 10th percentile and plans in the 90th percentile for 2014, and a 26% difference for Medicaid plans.
- The Committee agreed that the data demonstrated a gap in performance between commercial plans and Medicaid at both the 50% and 75% rates and recognized opportunity for improvement.

2. Scientific Acceptability of Measure Properties: <u>The measure meets the Scientific Acceptability</u> criterion.

(2a. Reliability - precise specifications, testing; 2b. Validity - testing, threats to validity)

2a. Reliability: H-5; M-14; L-1; I-0; 2b. Validity: H-0; M-15; L-5; I-0

- In the prior NQF review (2012), the developer conducted field testing in 9 health plans, including both commercial and Medicaid plans, with membership ranging from 2,000 to 700,288.
- For this submission, additional empirical validity testing of the measure score was conducted with HEDIS data for 2012 and 2015. The overall beta-binomial statistics for each indicator for commercial and Medicaid plans follow:
- Medication Adherence 50%: Commercial = 0.84; Medicaid = 0.93
- Medication Adherence 75%: Commercial = 0.87; Medicaid = 0.97
- The Committee agreed that despite updated testing, there were no major changes in reliability issues since the last submission and that the new testing data continued to support reliability of the measure.
- NCQA previously tested the measure results for face validity in 2007 using 3 expert panels with a total of 36 experts. Since the last NQF review, the developer conducted empirical validity testing at the level of the performance score. The developer examined Pearson Correlation Coefficients for Medication Adherence 50% and Medication Adherence 75% (PCC=0.9), Medication Adherence 50% and Asthma Medication Ratio (PCC=0.3) and Medication Adherence 75% and Asthma Medication Ratio (PCC=0.2).

- One Committee member questioned the use of proportion of days covered to indicate compliance and suggested that asthma medication ratio may be more reflective of actual compliance.
- Some Committee members expressed concern regarding the lack of difference between adherent and non-adherent groups in the outcome data and questioned whether the data really indicated something confounding the population that the developer did not consider.
- The Committee ultimately agreed the data supported the validity of the measure.

3. Feasibility: H-19; M-1; L-0; I-0

(3a. Clinical data generated during care delivery; 3b. Electronic sources; 3c. Susceptibility to inaccuracies/unintended consequences identified 3d. Data collection strategy can be implemented)

Rationale:

• The Committee agreed the measure is feasible. All data elements are in defined fields in electronic claims and are generated by or collected by healthcare personnel during the provision of care.

4. Usability and Use: H-2; M-11; L-6; I-1

(Used and useful to the intended audiences for 4a. Accountability and Transparency; 4b. Improvement; and 4c. Benefits outweigh evidence of unintended consequences)

Rationale:

- This measure is publicly reported and included in consumer reports.
- Some Committee members noted the potential for unintended consequences, including increased costs and medication use without improving patient outcomes.

5. Related and Competing Measures

- This measure was identified as potentially related to:
 - o 0047: Asthma: Pharmacologic Therapy for Persistent Asthma
 - 1800: Asthma Medication Ratio
- The Committee encouraged developers to harmonize all of the asthma measures. Specifically, the
 developers should harmonize the age limit, data source, diagnoses definitions, and risk adjustment
 method.

Initial Standing Committee Recommendation for Endorsement: Y-12; N-8
Re-vote on Standing Committee Recommendation for Endorsement: Y-8; N-9 Consensus not Reached

6. Public and Member Comment

One comment was received:

- The age 5+ in this measure is better for pediatric populations than 1800. We agree that harmonizing all the asthma measures specifically 0047, 1800 and 1799 for age limits, data source, diagnoses definitions and risk adjustment methods would make sense.
- Developer response: We agree that the age range should be harmonized for all of the asthma based measures. NQF 0047 is not an NCQA measure and will need to be addressed by the

measure steward. There is no impact on interpretability of publicly-reported rates or added burden of data collection because the focus of each measure is different and the data for each measure is collected from different data sources by different entities. Additionally, both measures use value sets of codes to identify long-term asthma controller medications appropriate for use by patients with persistent asthma that do not conflict.

After reviewing the comments, the Committee revisited their earlier discussion on evidence, particularly the Yoon study. The developers reported that NCQA has discussed the study results with Yoon, et al., noting some inaccuracies in how the measure data was analyzed and that further analyses with new data are on-going. The Committee also noted concerns with the long list of allowable medications and pointed out that the measure does not address whether patients are getting the correct medications for their particular type of asthma. On re-vote, the Committee again did not reach consensus.

7. Consensus Standards Approval Committee (CSAC) Review (July 13-14, 2016): Y-8; N-8 Since the measure did not reach the 60% pass rate at the CSAC level for consensus not reached measures, it is not recommended for endorsement.

2794 Rate of Emergency Department Visit Use for Children Managed for Identifiable Asthma: A PQMP Measure

<u>Submission</u> | <u>Specifications</u>

Description: This measure estimates the rate of emergency department visits for children ages 2-21 who are being managed for identifiable asthma. The measure is reported in visits per 100 child-years.

Numerator Statement: The numerator uses the number of undesirable utilization outcomes (i.e., claims for ED visits or hospitalizations for asthma) experienced by children who are managed for identifiable asthma to estimate the number of emergency room visits

Denominator Statement: The denominator represents the person time experience among eligible children with identifiable asthma. Assessment of eligibility is determined for each child monthly. The total number of child months experienced is summed and divided by 1200 to achieve the units of 100 child years.

Exclusions: Children with concurrent or pre-existing: Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) diagnosis (ICD-9 Code: 496), Cystic Fibrosis diagnosis (ICD-9 code 277.0, 277.01. 277.02, 277.03, 277.09), or Emphysema diagnosis (ICD-9 code 492xx).

These exclusion incorporate ICD-9 codes only. For the specified ICD-10 codes and a detailed listing of ICD 9 codes see attached spreadsheet in S2.b.

Children who have not been consecutively enrolled in the reporting plan for at least two months prior to the index reporting month and for the reporting month (a total of three consecutive months ending in the reporting month).

Adjustment/Stratification: Other

Level of Analysis: Population: Community, Population: County or City, Health Plan, Integrated Delivery System, Population: National, Population: Regional, Population: State

Setting of Care: Ambulatory Care: Clinician Office/Clinic, Emergency Medical Services/Ambulance, Hospital/Acute Care Facility, Other, Pharmacy, Ambulatory Care: Urgent Care

Type of Measure: Outcome

Data Source: Administrative claims, Electronic Clinical Data: Electronic Health Record, Paper Medical

Records

Measure Steward: University Hospitals Cleveland Medical Center

STANDING COMMITTEE MEETING [03/15/2016]

1. Importance to Measure and Report: The measure meets the Importance criterion.

(1a. Evidence, 1b. Performance Gap)

1a. Evidence: Y-21; N-0; 1b. Performance Gap: H-6; M-14; L-1; I-0

Rationale:

- The Committee agreed the evidence presented by the developer supports the rationale that high-quality primary care reduces the need for emergency department (ED) visits.
- Data provided by the developer show a mean performance score of 88.4%, with a range of 76.6 to 95.1%. The developer reported disparities by age, urbanicity, race/ethnicity, and level of poverty.

2. Scientific Acceptability of Measure Properties: <u>Consensus Not Reached for Scientific Acceptability</u> criterion

(2a. Reliability - precise specifications, testing; 2b. Validity - testing, threats to validity)

2a. Reliability: H-2; M-17; L-2; I-0; 2b. Validity: H-0; M-10; L-11; I-0

Rationale:

- The developer relied on pre-existing data element-level validity testing to identify children who are being managed for identifiable asthma. Per NQF guidance, separate reliability testing is not required if data element-level validity testing is performed. Specifically, the developer relied on literature to support its conclusion of the validity of administrative data elements for this measure to identify children who are being managed with identifiable asthma. The developer also cited face validity, but did not specifically assess face validity of at the computed measure score level, as required by NQF for face validity testing.
 - The Committee raised concern about the possibility of pharmacy data not being available to determine outcomes. One Committee member commented "asthma is clearly a pharmacy driven measure."
 - The developer responded that pharmacy data is not fundamentally critical because the use of the data qualified a few more children, but not a large enough percentage to impact the rate.
 - The Committee raised concern about the lack of stratification by risk. While the developer stratified by age, the Committee expressed concern about clinical differences across the age spectra, especially in the first six years of life, which are not accounted for by the measure. The Committee also noted that while the developer provided for stratification by race, it did not address demographic and environmental factors that impact race (e.g., location), which can affect patient risk and quality of care.

3. Feasibility: H-15; M-6; L-0; I-0

(3a. Clinical data generated during care delivery; 3b. Electronic sources; 3c.Susceptibility to inaccuracies/unintended consequences identified 3d. Data collection strategy can be implemented)

Rationale:

 The Committee agreed the measure is feasible. The measure is specified for several data sources, including claims, electronic health record, paper records and electronic clinical data. All data elements are in defined fields in electronic claims.

4. Usability and Use: H-4; M-11; L-5; I-1

(Used and useful to the intended audiences for 4a. Accountability and Transparency; 4b. Improvement; and 4c. Benefits outweigh evidence of unintended consequences)

Rationale:

- This measure is not being publicly reported and is not currently in use.
- The Committee discussed the lack of stratification by risk leading to misinterpretation of results as a potential unintended consequence if the measure is implemented.

5. Related and Competing Measures

- This measure was identified as potentially related to:
 - o 2852: Optimal Asthma Control
 - o 2816: Appropriateness of Emergency Department Visits for Children and Adolescents with Identifiable Asthma
- The Committee agreed measures #2794 and #2852 would be difficult to harmonize, noting the data sources and foci are different.
- The Committee encouraged developers to harmonize all of the asthma measures. Specifically, the
 developers should harmonize the age limit, data source, diagnoses definitions, and risk adjustment
 method.

Initial Standing Committee Recommendation for Endorsement: Y-11; N-10 Re-vote on Standing Committee Recommendation for Endorsement: Y-3; N-15

6. Public and Member Comment

Two comments were received:

- The Quality Measures WG of CDC's National Asthma Control program offers the following information related to Measure 2794 and to issues raised during the Pulmonary and Critical Care workgroup call and Standing Committee Meeting in Mar 2016. They do not necessarily reflect official CDC policy.
 - ED visits for asthma are an important outcome for asthma intrinsically; they also represent a marker of risk for future asthma exacerbations (NHLBI 2007). The PCCWG questioned whether healthcare providers have control over this measure and whether it reflects quality of care. The following information relates to this concern.
 - There is a large body of evidence that ED visits can be reduced through a tiered approach to services: medical management based on the NAEPP Guidelines (Adams 2001 and Cloutier 2008); education in asthma self-management (Labre 2012, Rastoqi 2013); and interventions to reduce asthma triggers in the home (Campbell 2015). These are most effective when services that are cost and labor intensive are provided sequentially and based on asthma control and healthcare utilization history (Hamburger 2015, Woods 2012). We have assembled and submitted for

publication a large amount of information about the return on investment achieved with these interventions, including those implemented by health plans that are available upon request. Providers and plans are more likely to influence the rate of ED visits than other outcome measures, e.g. hospitalizations. In 2009 there were 8.4 ED visits per 100 persons with asthma, but only 2 hospitalizations per 100 persons with asthma (Moorman 2012). Racial and ethnic minorities and people experiencing poverty have increased rates of ED visits due to asthma (NHLBI, 2007, Oraka 2013). The studies confirming the effectiveness of three interventions listed above were almost all conducted in these high risk populations. Further, the developer describes options for stratification by rurality/urbanicity and county level of poverty in addition to age group and race/ethnicity.

• The age range of 2 -21 years should be consistent with other pediatric measures in this group of 5-18 example 0047, 1800 and 1799. And also some could be harmonized together. This measure uses electronic clinical data and paper medical records which are not feasible for health plans.

The developer responded: We respectfully urge adoption of this measure across the entire age range. The inclusion criteria resulted from a formal process and the age ranges were specified by a national, multidisciplinary expert panel that used a RAND-style modified Delphi process. The expert panel urged inclusion of younger children; the definition of identifiable asthma specifically incorporates age-sensitive criteria. The older (18-21 age group) is an important group of adolescents/young adults for whom inclusion with the pediatric population is more developmentally and medically valid, than inclusion as a small components of the adult population, from which they are not typically stratified. I note that our expert panel felt the measure was valid with both an upper age limit of 18 and of 21. The lower age limit of 2 years was specific and resulted from in depth conversation by the panelists.

We further note that we recommend age-group stratification of the reporting of the measure, allowing plans to compare harmoniously with (e.g. 0047, 1800, 1799) or groups as appropriate to the reporting or accountability entity. We invite consideration of whether there would be value for NCQA or other developers to lower the age range for existing measures. We make this observation given the following data form NYS Medicaid:

- 29.1% of children with ED visits for asthma in children with identifiable asthma age 2-21 are age 2-4 years (31.0% of children age 2-18)
- 30.2% of ED visits for children with identifiable asthma are in children age 2-4 years (32.1% of children age 2-18).

In NY state Medicaid ED utilization varies by age stratum:

- o 47.4 visits per 100 child-years for children 2-4,
- o 26.0 visits per 100 child-years for children 5-11;
- o 22.7 visits per 100 child-years for adolescents 12-18, and
- o 34.1 visits per 100 child-years for adolescents 19-21.

Thus ED utilization in younger children is important and meaningful. Our modeling comparing 17 NYS Medicaid health plans against a randomly chosen plan found that in this younger age group 15 of 17 plans had performance significantly different from the index plan (p<0.05). The other two plans had p-values of 0.06 and 0.21.

Children age 2-4 are significant contributors to ED utilization for asthma. Measurement in this age group captures differences among plans. Understanding asthma performance across a child's lifespan is important and we show it is feasible, reliable, and valid. Establishment of asthma control should occur from an early age. Designing in the inability to capture differences in the care of younger children would make us blind to clinical failures and in itself would represent a failure of measurement.

With NY State Medicaid we conducted analyses that demonstrate the measure's capacity to distinguish among health plans. The standard approach to measuring reliability is inappropriate as the measure is a rate and not a binomial. The appropriate model is either a hurdle model or a Zero inflated Poisson (ZIP). Hurdle requires additional assumptions that model two processes, and is more sensitive. ZIP misses out on capturing some of the plans' impact on whether a child makes it to the ER, but models the rate very well. We performed both with similar results and report on the ZIP as the more conservative approach (it under attributes the impact of the plan). Using Proc HPFMM with a log link, a Poisson distribution and an offset equal to the log of the number of months the child had asthma in the plan, the model was highly significant (p<.0001) incorporating specified age groups and plans as categorical variables. Comparing to a randomly selected index plan, 14 of 17 plans had statistically significant differences in performance with the median and modal p-value being <0.001. Non-significant plans' p-values=0.08, 0.16 and 0.88. The model is able to differentiate distinct performance levels. Results were similar when we performed the models considering only plans, after stratifying for age group. Because of low numbers in the 18-21 year old group across plans, fewer were significant, but findings suggest that the measure is sensitive to real differences given adequate sample sizes.

Ages 2-4: 15 plans of 17 are significant (p<0.05). Additional are 0.06 and 0.21.

Ages 5-11: 14 plans of 17 are significant (p<0.05). Additional are 0.37, 0.21, and 0.70.

Ages 12-18: 13 plans of 17 are significant (p<0.05). Additional are 0.11, 0.06, 0.26, and 0.43.

Ages 19-21: 7 that were significant (p<0.01). In general the sample size was sufficient to assess some plan's performance for this group.

ZIP models also showed that even after controlling for age groups: Urban counties have different performance than rural counties; Large urban counties are distinct in performance from all others; Small urban counties are different from suburban counties and rural counties, although the smaller numbers in rural counties contributes to a P-value of 0.07; Performance in suburban and rural counties are generally similar. New York State does not have extremely rural counties; ED utilization of Blacks is significantly different from Whites (p<0.01); ED utilization of Blacks and Hispanics are significantly different from one another (p<0.01).

These data contribute evidence to support use of the measure, adding both to the data on reliability (as plan to plan differences were meaningful) and validity (in that the models performed as predicted and consistent with current knowledge regarding variations associated with race, ethnicity, and urbanicity).

After review of the comments, the Committee again discussed whether ED use reflects quality of care noting that providers are much less able to control when a child is brought to the ED compared to the decision to admit to the hospital. Noting differences in rates, the Committee was concerned with the lack of adjustment for sociodemographic factors (SDS). The developer referenced an NIH guideline that recommends against stratifying this type of measure based on race or SDS factors. Although the developer emphasized that the measure is intended for use by communities and health systems, Committee members were concerned that measures are often used inappropriately at lower levels of analysis. On re-vote, the Committee did not recommend this measure for endorsement.

2816 Appropriateness of Emergency Department Visits for Children and Adolescents with Identifiable Asthma: A PQMP Measure

Submission | Specifications

Description: This measure estimates the proportion of emergency department (ED) visits that meet criteria for the ED being the appropriate level of care, among all ED visits for identifiable asthma in children and adolescents.

Numerator Statement: The numerator is the number of eligible asthma ED visits in the random sample that also satisfy at least one of the explicit criteria to indicate that the ED is an appropriate level of care. Distinct numerators are reported for children ages 2-5, 6-11, 12-18, and optionally, 19 - 21.

Denominator Statement: The denominator represents a random sample of the patients in each age stratum who have visited the emergency department for asthma (as a first or second diagnosis) and meet the specified criteria for having identifiable asthma (Appendix Table 1).

Separate numerators and denominators are reported for children age 2-5, 6-11, 12-18, and, optionally, 19-21 years. An overall rate across strata is not reported.

Exclusions: ED visits that are already in the sample OR Children that fall outside of specified age range of 2-21 OR do not meet time enrollment criteria OR do not meet identifiable asthma prior to the ED visit, OR children with concurrent or pre-existing COPD, Cystic Fibrosis or Emphysema. Identifiable asthma is defined is section S.9.

At the discretion of the accountability entity, the denominator may be restricted to children 2-18.

These details incorporate ICD-9 codes only. For the specified ICD-10 codes and a detailed listing of ICD 9 codes see attached spreadsheet in S2.b.

Adjustment/Stratification: Stratification by risk category/subgroup

Level of Analysis: Population: Community, Population: County or City, Health Plan, Integrated Delivery System, Population: National, Population: Regional, Population: State

Setting of Care: Ambulatory Care: Clinician Office/Clinic, Hospital/Acute Care Facility, Other

Type of Measure: Process

Data Source: Administrative claims, Electronic Clinical Data: Electronic Health Record, Paper Medical

Records

Measure Steward: University Hospitals Cleveland Medical Center

STANDING COMMITTEE MEETING [03/15/2016]

1. Importance to Measure and Report: The measure did not meet the Importance criterion.

(1a. Evidence, 1b. Performance Gap)

1a. Evidence: H-0; M-2; L-9; I-9

Rationale:

• The developer stated the measure is "supported, but not defined by," a guideline from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI) clinical practice guidelines. No systematic review, quality, quantity, and consistency or grading was provided by the developer.

Based on the developer's characterization of the measure as a process measure and the
evidence provided, the measure failed on Evidence. The Committee generally agreed, however,
this is not a process measure and recommended the developer consider it an outcome measure
that focuses on the appropriateness of ED visits for children and adolescents. The Committee
noted there are processes, structures, and changes in care that could potentially impact the
outcome for such a measure and these could be described as the rationale in a revised
submission.

2852 Optimal Asthma Control

Submission | Specifications

Description: The percentage of pediatric (5-17 years of age) and adult (18-50 years of age) patients who had a diagnosis of asthma and whose asthma was optimally controlled during the measurement period as defined by achieving BOTH of the following:

- •Asthma well-controlled as defined by the most recent asthma control tool result available during the measurement period
- Patient not at elevated risk of exacerbation as defined by less than two emergency department visits and/or hospitalizations due to asthma in the last 12 months

Numerator Statement: The number of patients in the denominator whose asthma was optimally controlled during the measurement period as defined by achieving BOTH of the following:

- Asthma well-controlled as defined by the most recent asthma control tool result during the measurement period:
- -Asthma Control Test (ACT) greater than or equal to 20 (patients 12 years of age and older)
- -Childhood Asthma Control Test (C-ACT) greater than or equal to 20 (patients 11 years of age and younger)
- -Asthma Control Questionnaire (ACQ) less than or equal to 0.75 (patients 17 years of age and older)
- -Asthma Therapy Assessment Questionnaire (ATAQ) equal to 0 Pediatric (5 to 17 years of age) or Adult (18 years of age and older).

AND

• Patient not at elevated risk of exacerbation as defined by less than two patient reported emergency department visits and/or hospitalizations due to asthma in the last 12 months

Denominator Statement: Patients aged 5 - 50 years at the start of the measurement period who were seen for asthma by an eligible provider in an eligible specialty face-to-face visit at least 2 times during the current or prior year measurement periods AND who were seen for any reason at least once during the measurement period.

Exclusions: Valid exclusions include patients who are nursing home residents, in hospice or palliative care, have died or who have COPD, emphysema, cystic fibrosis or acute respiratory failure.

Adjustment/Stratification: Statistical Risk Model

Level of Analysis: Clinician: Group/Practice

Setting of Care: Ambulatory Care: Clinician Office/Clinic

Type of Measure: Composite

Data Source: Electronic Clinical Data, Electronic Clinical Data: Electronic Health Record, Paper Medical

Records

Measure Steward: MN Community Measurement

STANDING COMMITTEE MEETING [03/15/2016]

1. Importance to Measure and Report: Consensus Not Reached on the Importance criterion.

(1a. Evidence, 1b. Performance Gap)

1a. Evidence: Y-22; N-0; 1b. Performance Gap: H-16; M-6; L-0; 1c. Composite — Quality Construct and

Rationale H-3; M-10; L-8; I-1

Rationale:

- A version of this measure was previously reviewed as NQF #1876, a 3-part composite, in the 2012-2013 Pulmonary Project. It was not recommended, but the previous Committee encouraged the developer to continue working on it. The measure developer considered the Committee's feedback and submitted the measure as a 2-part composite for consideration.
- The developer cited evidence for this all-or-none composite as consisting of two outcome measures (control and risk) based on three sets of clinical guidelines: the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute EPR-3 2007 (NHLBI), the Global Initiative for Asthma (GINA) updated in 2014, and again in April 2015, and the Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement (ICSI) Asthma Guideline updated in 2012.
- A few Committee members requested the developer clarify how the composite is calculated, particularly how the Asthma Control test would be scored if one were not available in the last 12 months. The developer responded it is looking for a result from a standardized Asthma Control Tool in the 12-month period. The absence of a result is judged as not in control, i.e., a numerator miss. Established patients who have a face-to-face contact with an eligible provider and diagnosis in the denominator also must report "in control" based on the tool and report fewer than 2 emergency department (ED) visits and/or hospitalizations due to asthma in the last 12 months.
- The developer presented the following performance gap data: Adults: Number of clinics reportable (≥ 30 patients): 436; Number of patients: 63,429; Mean = 49.4%. Children: Number of clinics reportable (≥ 30 patients): 295; Number of patients: 39,408; Mean = 55.8%.
- The Committee agreed the developer submitted sufficient gap information, identifying racial, language, and ethnicity gaps.

2. Scientific Acceptability of Measure Properties: <u>Consensus Not Reached on the Scientific Acceptability criterion.</u>

(2a. Reliability - precise specifications, testing; 2b. Validity - testing, threats to validity)

2a. Reliability: H-0; M-11; L-9; I-2; 2b. Validity: H-0; M-14; L-8; I-0

Rationale:

 The Committee raised questions regarding the validity of the specifications for the second component of the measure, i.e., patient recall of one or more emergency department (ED) or hospitalization in the course of a 12-month period. The Committee discussed whether patient recall of ED or inpatient admission actually reflected accurate ED and inpatient admissions. One Committee member commented "without some type of verification, i.e., claims-based database

- for the emergency room visit, this measure is subject to vagary." The Committee suggested the developer change the data source from provider record to claims data or other source.
- The developer responded "there is strong evidence to support that patient recall is accurate in the last 12 months regarding emergency room and inpatient hospitalizations." Also, the developer responded that Minnesota does not have a data source to provide complete claims history. Patient-to-patient level matching of self-reported to claims data, already difficult, would be further problematic by the lack of available, complete data.
- One Committee member raised a concern regarding the hospitalization and ED visits not being
 equivalent for purposes of patient risk and characterization as lack of control. The Committee
 member commented "there is a subjective component to whether one goes to the ED, but
 objective criteria to whether someone gets hospitalized." This concern was also discussed
 during the initial review of this measure.
- The developer responded that the measure development workgroup relied on the NHLBI Guidelines. The guidelines determined ED or hospitalizations were defined as well controlled in the last 12 months.
- The developer reported less than 1% of the total population met the exclusion criterion. The Committee requested the developer clarify this data because there is high incidence of the diseases excluded.
- The developer responded that the providers share an exclusion file on allowable exclusions, including other respiratory conditions not included in the 1%. However, the percentage of patients excluded due to the respiratory conditions is not available. The developer also commented "the measure development work group felt strongly about excluding these conditions. Given that the control tools were not validated on patients with those comorbid conditions and control of asthma symptoms, they felt it was difficult to assess the symptom burden and isolate asthma from these other respiratory conditions."
- The developer conducted reliability testing at the measure score level using the beta-binomial approach (BETABIN/ SAS). The testing results were adults 0.972 and children 0.951.
- Empirical validity testing was conducted at the performance measure score level. The developer tested the correlation of medical groups' performance with its performance on the Optimal Diabetes Care measure (NQF #0729); correlation coefficient was 0.63 for the adult measure and 0.66 for the children measure.

3. Feasibility: H-7; M-12; L-3; I-0

(3a. Clinical data generated during care delivery; 3b. Electronic sources; 3c.Susceptibility to inaccuracies/unintended consequences identified 3d. Data collection strategy can be implemented)

Rationale:

The Committee agreed the measure is feasible. All data elements are clearly defined.

4. Usability and Use: H-9; M-12; L-1; I-0

(Used and useful to the intended audiences for 4a. Accountability and Transparency; 4b. Improvement; and 4c. Benefits outweigh evidence of unintended consequences)

Rationale:

• This measure is publicly reported and currently in use in several accountability programs. This measure is being used in the Physician Quality Reporting System (PQRS).

5. Related and Competing Measures

- This measure was identified as potentially related to measures:
 - 2794: Rate of Emergency Department Visit Use for Children Managed for Identifiable Asthma (University Hospitals Cleveland Medical Center)
 - o 2816: Appropriateness of Emergency Department Visits for Children and Adolescents with Identifiable Asthma
- While the Committee was unable to have a full discussion on related and competing measures
 during the in-person meeting, one Committee member noted measures 0283, 2794 and 0728 may
 potentially be related. The Committee will have the opportunity to discuss during the post comment
 call.

Initial Standing Committee Recommendation for Endorsement Y-10; N-12 Re-vote on Standing Committee Recommendation for Endorsement Y-3; N-15

6. Public and Member Comment

Two comments were received:

- This measure uses electronic clinical data and paper medical records which are not feasible for health plans. Although the idea of measuring ED visits is favorable but this measure needs additional criteria to include practitioner review of asthma control during well visits or acute visits within the measurement year.
- The Quality Measures WG of CDC's National Asthma Control program offers the following information related to Measure 2852 and issues raised during the PCC workgroup call and Standing Committee meeting in Mar 2016. They do not necessarily reflect official CDC policy. Measure 2852 is a composite measure that assesses both short-term (achievement of well-controlled asthma on an asthma control test) and long-term (self-report of fewer than two emergency department visits and/or hospitalizations in 12 months) control. No other NQF measures directly pertain to asthma control; thus the first component of the measure 2852 addresses a gap in the measurement set. There is a rich body of evidence documenting the relationship between asthma control and exacerbations. The NAEPP Guidelines provide evidence that achievement of good asthma control reduces the risk of future asthma exacerbations; assessment of control to guide therapy is a key component of those guidelines. The ACE (Asthma Control Evaluation) study showed that using assessments of control to guide initial and follow-up treatment of asthma decreased the mean days for symptoms from approximately 6 to 2 per two-week recall period. Evidence from both surveys and studies indicate that asthma is well-controlled in only 50% of people with the condition. Patients with asthma and their caregivers tend to overestimate their level of control unless assessed with a standardized test. Health care providers are thus unlikely to identify an insufficient regimen unless they conduct a standardized assessment of control. Standardized assessment of control is not yet routine in clinical practice but can be encouraged by incorporating a test of control into the EHR. Minorities experience a disproportionate burden of asthma, including worse asthma control and increased need for emergency department visits and hospitalizations. There is also evidence that racial and ethnic minorities are less likely to report a preventive medication action at the time of an office visit, despite poor asthma control. A measure that formally assesses short- and long-term control may lead to improved assessment and medication management for these high-risk patients.

After review of the comments, the Committee again noted concerns with patient recall as the data source for ED visits or hospitalizations and suggest the measure components were "not robust" enough to roll up into a composite. The developer responded that both components are outcome measures and the reliability testing of the measure was adequate. On re-vote, the Committee did not recommend the measure for endorsement.

Measures with Endorsement Decision Deferred

The following measures submitted for the Standing Committee's review during the project have been deferred for future consideration:

0708 Proportion of Patients with Pneumonia that have a Potentially Avoidable Complication (during the episode time window)

<u>Submission</u> | <u>Specifications</u>

Description: Brief Description of Measure: Percent of adult population aged 18+ years with Community Acquired Pneumonia who are followed for one-month, and have one or more potentially avoidable complication (PAC) during the episode time window. Please reference the attached document labeled NQF_PNE_all_codes_risk_adjustment_12_14_15.xls, in the tab labeled PACS I-9 & I-10 for a list of code definitions of PACs relevant to pneumonia.

Community Acquired Pneumonia may be managed in an inpatient setting, where the patient is admitted to a hospital within 1-3 days of onset of symptoms, or in milder cases, patients may be hospitalized a little later in the course of illness, or never at all where management could be solely in an outpatient setting. In any of these circumstances, potentially avoidable complications (PACs) may occur during the index stay, in the post-discharge period; or in patients who were never hospitalized, PACs may occur any time during the episode time window. Readmissions due to pneumonia or due to any related diagnosis are also considered as PACs.

We define PACs as one of two types:

- (1) Type 1 PACs PACs directly related to the index condition: Patients are considered to have a type 1 PAC if they develop one or more complication directly related to pneumonia or its management. Examples of these PACs are respiratory insufficiency, other lung complications, fluid electrolyte acid base problems, sepsis, respiratory failure etc.
- (2) Type 2 PACs PACs suggesting Patient Safety Failures: Patients are considered to have a type 2 PAC, if they develop any of the complications related to patient safety failures such as phlebitis, deep vein thrombosis, pressure sores or for any of the CMS-defined hospital acquired conditions (HACs).

PACs are counted as a dichotomous (yes/no) outcome. If a patient had one or more PAC in any of the above settings, they get counted as a "yes" or a 1. The enclosed workbook labeled NQF_PNE_all_codes_risk_adjustment_12_14_15.xls serves as an example. The tab labeled PAC overview gives the percent of pneumonia episodes that have a PAC and the tab labeled "PAC drill down" gives the types of PACs and their frequencies in pneumonia episodes within this dataset.

The information is based on a two-year claims database from a large regional commercial insurer. The database had 3,258,706 covered lives and \$25.9 billion in "allowed amounts" for claims costs. The database is an administrative claims database with medical as well as pharmacy claims.

Numerator Statement: Outcome: Number of patients with pneumonia who had one or more potentially avoidable complications (PACs) during the episode time window.

Denominator Statement: Adult patients aged 18 years and above who have a pneumonia episode and are followed for at least one-month.

Exclusions: The target population captures adult patients (18+) in the dataset, who have a complete episode of community-acquired pneumonia, with no enrollment gaps, and no outlier costs. Patients who do not meet these criteria are excluded from the target population.

Adjustment/Stratification: Statistical risk model

Level of Analysis: Facility, Clinician: Individual, Population: Regional

Setting of Care: Ambulatory Care: Clinician Office/Clinic, Hospital/Acute Care Facility, Other, Ambulatory

Care: Urgent Care

Type of Measure: Outcome

Data Source: Administrative claims

Measure Steward: Health Care Incentives Improvement Institute

STANDING COMMITTEE MEETING [03/16/2016]

1. Importance to Measure and Report: The measure did not meet the Importance criterion.

(1a. Evidence, 1b. Performance Gap)

1a. Evidence: Accepted Prior Evaluation; 1b. Performance Gap: H-2; M-2; L-13; I-6

Rationale:

- The Committee agreed with the developer there is no new evidence for this measure. The Committee accepted the prior evaluation of this criterion without further discussion.
- For the discussion on gap, the developer reported performance scores, preventable avoidable complication rates (PAC rates), for the 82 facilities and 170 providers that had at least 10 patients. The unadjusted facility range was 27-100%; the adjusted facility range was 30-100%; and the median for both was 63%. For providers, unadjusted and adjusted ranges were 0-100%, and the median 58% and 60%, respectively.
- Several committee members raised concerns regarding their view there was a lack of actual gap
 and disparities data, noting there was no analysis related to gender, socioeconomic status, race
 or ethnicity, or geographic differences. Another noted there was no context to determine
 whether a gap exists or the nature of any gap—i.e., do patients with pneumonia look different
 from other acutely ill patients?
- Concern was expressed about the premise that because there is variability, there is a gap. It was
 noted, however, that natural variability will exist because some patients are outpatients, some
 are inpatients and that this and other ascertainment biases, coupled with the broad nature and
 types of PACs specified and coding variations (timing and practices) means the information
 provided about variation does not actually address the issue of whether a performance gap
 exists. Overall, the Committee agreed that variability did not represent a true gap.
- Similarly, in questioning what the scores actually represented and whether they provided information about a gap, Committee members also raised concerns regarding the dichotomous approach of the measure. The PACs are not weighted and all preventable events are equally rated. Yet providers treating elder patients in the home settings may have less opportunity to prevent complications versus patients being treated in assisted living or skilled nursing facilities. Data may be skewed for the cohorts of medical practices treating patients in the home or medical facilities but, again, the measure does not account for such differences so one cannot discern if the variability that was reported by the developer is actually a care gap.
- Because the measure failed on gap, the measure was eligible for consideration of Reserve Status. The Committee voted against further consideration of the remaining criteria.

The developer also submitted six similar measures for review by the Cardiovascular (CV) Standing Committee, which were also not recommended for endorsement. HCl3 met with the Consensus Standards Approval Committee (CSAC) co-chairs to discuss the developer's request for reconsideration for the six CV measures. After speaking with the CSAC co-chairs, HCl3 agreed to change the level of analysis for measures currently specified at the clinician level to the facility level.

Additionally, NQF leadership suggested that all six measures considered by the CV Committee, as well as the one measure considered by the Pulmonary Standing Committee, be reviewed by the Patient Safety Standing Committee in the upcoming Patient Safety project. After consulting with the Pulmonary Cochairs, this measure has been deferred and the Pulmonary Committee will not continue their review of the measure.

0279 Bacterial Pneumonia Admission Rate (PQI 11)

Submission | Specifications

Description: Admissions with a principal diagnosis of bacterial pneumonia per 1,000 population, ages 18 years and older. Excludes sickle cell or hemoglobin-S admissions, other indications of immunocompromised state admissions, obstetric admissions, and transfers from other institutions.

Numerator Statement: Discharges, for patients ages 18 years and older, with a principal ICD-9-CM or ICD-10-CM-PCS diagnosis code for bacterial pneumonia.

[NOTE: By definition, discharges with a principal diagnosis of bacterial pneumonia are precluded from an assignment of MDC 14 by grouper software. Thus, obstetric discharges should not be considered in the PQI rate, though the AHRQ QI software does not explicitly exclude obstetric cases.]

Denominator Statement: Population ages 18 years and older in metropolitan area or county. Discharges in the numerator are assigned to the denominator based on the metropolitan area or county of the patient residence, not the metropolitan area or county of the hospital where the discharge occurred.

Exclusions: Not applicable.

Adjustment/Stratification: Statistical risk model **Level of Analysis:** Population: County or City

Setting of Care: Other

Type of Measure: Outcome

Data Source: Administrative claims

Measure Steward: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality

STANDING COMMITTEE MEETING [03/15/2016]

1. Importance to Measure and Report: Consensus Not Reached on the Importance criterion.

(1a. Evidence, 1b. Performance Gap)

1a. Evidence: Accepted Prior Evaluation; 1b. Performance Gap: H-1; M-11; L-10; I-0

Rationale:

 Although the developer provided some updated evidence related to aspects of hospitalization for pneumonia, the Committee agreed with the developer that the underlying rationale for this

- outcome measure has not changed since the last NQF endorsement review. The Committee accepted the prior evaluation of this criterion without further discussion.
- Data provided by the developer shows the average performance rate decreased from 5.20 percent in 2009 to 3.28 percent in 2013.
- The developer provided gap data that demonstrated an improvement from 2009 to 2013 (3.02 per 1,000 population to 2.23 per 1,000 population). The developer did not provide disparities data related to race, but noted males, patients over 65 years, patients with the lowest income, and patients living in rural areas have the highest rate.
- Overall, the Committee generally agreed the data demonstrate variations in care, but one
 member noted that male gender and age >65 years are significant predictors of pneumonia
 mortality, and by inference hospitalizations. Given this, the Committee questioned whether a
 gap and opportunity for improvement exist—i.e., how much more can healthcare interventions
 drive improvement on the measure.
- The developer responded, "Early outpatient detection should still influence the population level [hospitalization] rates regardless of whether an elder male or elder pneumonia patient presents, they should be admitted."

2. Scientific Acceptability of Measure Properties: <u>Consensus Not Reached on the Scientific Acceptability criterion.</u>

(2a. Reliability - precise specifications, testing; 2b. Validity - testing, threats to validity)

2a. Reliability: H-6; M-13; L-3; I-0; 2b. Validity: H-1; M-9; L-12; I-0

Rationale:

- The developer updated the measure specifications measure by: adding diagnosis codes; removing numerator exclusions (MDC14 and MDC15); and added exclusion of patients with any diagnosis code or procedure code for Immunocompromised state.
- Signal-to-noise reliability testing at the level of the measure score was conducted using data from the Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project (HCUP) State Inpatient Databases (SID). The developer reported a signal-to-noise ratio of 0.97.
- A Committee member questioned the measure title, "Bacterial Pneumonia Admission Rate," since the developer seems to be tracking discharges. The member questioned whether the developer could reconcile using discharge diagnosis as a proxy for admission. The developer responded that by using hospital billing data, records are created at the time of discharge and the principle diagnosis has been adjusted, as necessary, to be the major cause of admission—hence it is a more accurate reflection of admissions.
- Validity testing was conducted with a systematic assessment of face validity by 4 clinical expert panels involving 73 panelists from 2008-2009. The developer reports the panels indicated the measure was useful. The developer and panels acknowledged complex factors influence the measure.
 - The Committee questioned whether recommendations from an expert panel convened in 2008 and 2009 were still applicable.
 - The Committee expressed concern regarding the large number of discharges excluded due to a
 diagnosis of an immunocompromised state. One Committee member noted, "If you replace
 those discharged patients, one would increase the numerator by over 10%." Another member
 commented, "The ability to be confident about the presence or absence of
 immunocompromised state would probably increase the uncertainty of the measure."

Although the measure focused on bacterial pneumonia, the Committee discussed whether the
measure really assessed bacterial pneumonia or community-acquired pneumonia. The
developer agreed the measure mostly reflected community-acquired pneumonia and stated it
would be willing to consider changing the measure title and description.

3. Feasibility: H-17; M-3; L-2; I-0

(3a. Clinical data generated during care delivery; 3b. Electronic sources; 3c.Susceptibility to inaccuracies/unintended consequences identified 3d. Data collection strategy can be implemented)

Rationale:

 The Committee agreed the measure is feasible. All data elements are in defined fields in electronic claims. The measure is based on readily available administrative billing and claims data. The AHRQ QI software is publicly available and users have more than 10 years of experience using it.

4. Usability and Use: H-5; M-11; L-6; I-0

(Used and useful to the intended audiences for 4a. Accountability and Transparency; 4b. Improvement; and 4c. Benefits outweigh evidence of unintended consequences)

Rationale:

- This measure is currently publicly reported and used in accountability programs.
- The developer reports bacterial pneumonia/community-acquired pneumonia hospital admissions have decreased by 87,000 fewer hospitalizations from 2011-2013. The Committee noted the performance results can be used to further quality improvement in healthcare.
- A Committee member expressed concern about two potential unintended consequences: 1) the
 measure being used at a practice level despite being designated for population-level evaluation;
 and 2) the diagnostic ability for viral pneumonia has improved, which may explain the decrease
 in the admission rate.

5. Related and Competing Measures

No related or competing measures were identified.

Initial Standing Committee Recommendation for Endorsement: Y-13; N-9
Re-vote on Standing Committee Recommendation for Endorsement: Y-3; N-12

6. Public and Member Comment

One comment was received:

- This measure seems to address community acquired pneumonia rather than bacterial Pneumonia and agree that the measure name should probably be changed.
- Developer response: AHRQ agrees with Committee members that the current title of PQI 11
 does not encompass the entirety of the specification. We propose a title change to "CommunityAcquired Pneumonia Admission Rate". We further propose clarifying the scope of the measure
 in the rationale as follows:

This indicator is intended to identify hospitalizations for community-acquired pneumonia, specifically bacterial pneumonia from organisms that are typically community-acquired and

pneumonia without a specified organism. Like all PQI, the measure is intended to reflect access to community-based health care and community resources that promote health. With access to high quality care, prevention through effective efforts to ensure recommended pneumococcal immunization (especially of high risk populations), early identification of low-risk pneumonia and appropriate pharmaceutical treatment, community-acquired pneumonia can often be managed on an outpatient basis.

The Committee discussed whether, given the declining admission rate, there remains an opportunity to improve pneumonia admission rates. PQI 11 is defined as a population health measure, meaning that these measures reflect various aspects of community based care, access to care and community resources that promote health. Disparities in admission rates demonstrate the opportunity and need for further improvement. Analysis of the 2013 HCUP State Inpatient Databases showed that age-sex adjusted rates among patients residing zip codes in the lowest income quartile are ~74 percent greater than among patients residing in the highest income zip codes (329.7 vs. 189.7 per 100,000). Rates in the Midwest and South regions are higher than the Northeast and West (285.3, 242.8, 182.8, 187.3 respectively).1

The potential to impact PQI 11 rates must be judged at the population health level as mechanisms to prevent pneumonia infections, decrease the severity of illness or promptly treat pneumonia before it can progress. Beyond improvements in the identification and treatment of community-acquired pneumonia to prevent hospitalization, other community-based factors provide opportunities to improve hospitalization rates, such as the effective prevention and treatment of chronic disease and immunization of high risk patients.

Although some patients will usually require hospitalization, such as the elderly or those with high chronic disease burden, prevention via pneumonia vaccination is particularly important in these populations. The CDC has reported persistent low rates of pneumococcal vaccination (21.2% of high risk adults age 19-64 and 59.7 of adults ≥65 years in 2013) and disparities in vaccination rates persist among Hispanics and Asians. (http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm6404a6.htm)

The Committee discussed the measure again after the comment period focusing on lack of risk-adjustment beyond age and gender or an alternative adjustment that includes poverty. Some Committee members did not believe the adjustments adequately addressed the acute illness burden that is not uniform across geographic areas. The developer responded that the measure is not intended to address severity of illness or appropriateness of hospitalization but to assess population health. Some Committee members noted that whether intended or not, this type measure is used to profile performance of hospitals. On re-vote the Committee did not recommend this measure for endorsement.

7. Consensus Standards Approval Committee (CSAC) Vote:

After the Committee's decision on the post-comment call, the developer submitted a reconsideration for this measure to the Consensus Standards Approval Committee (CSAC) co-chairs stating concerns that the measure was not reviewed at the right level of analysis and that the Committee lacked the appropriate stakeholder perspectives in order to properly review the population health level measure. The CSAC co-chairs agreed there was merit to AHRQ's request and deferred the review of measure #0279 to the Health and Well-Being Committee. The final result on this measure will be documented in the Health and Well-Being report.

Measures Withdrawn from Consideration

Six measures previously endorsed by NQF were not resubmitted for maintenance of endorsement or were withdrawn during the endorsement evaluation process. Endorsement for these measures will be removed.

Measure	Reason for Withdrawal
0036 Use of Appropriate Medications for People With Asthma (ASM) (National Committee for Quality Assurance)	Following a re-evaluation of this measure and recommendation by our Respiratory Measurement Advisory Panel, and review by our Committee on Performance Measurement, Use of Appropriate Medications for People with Asthma has been retired from HEDIS® and therefore is being removed from NQF maintenance endorsement.
0096 Community-Acquired Bacterial Pneumonia (CAP): Empiric Antibiotic (American College of Emergency Physicians)	Measure not submitted by developer. Reason not provided.
0147 Initial antibiotic selection for community- acquired pneumonia (CAP) in immunocompetent patients (Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services)	Measure not submitted by developer. Reason not provided.
0548 Suboptimal Asthma Control (SAC) and Absence of Controller Therapy (ACT) (Pharmacy Quality Alliance; PQA)	PQA is testing new criteria for this measure, including how the denominator is defined, and revising specific medication lists based on clinical evidence. "Once we determine how these changes influence the reliability of the measure, we will consider submitting the new measure for NQF endorsement."
0666 Ultrasound guidance for Internal Jugular central venous catheter placement (American College of Emergency Physicians)	Measure not submitted by developer. Reason not provided.
0667 Inappropriate Pulmonary CT Imaging for Patients at Low Risk for Pulmonary Embolism (American College of Emergency Physicians)	Measure not submitted by developer. Reason not provided.

Appendix B: NQF Pulmonary and Critical Care Portfolio and Related Measures

^{*}Measures reviewed in this Endorsement Maintenance project.

Measure Number	Title	Description	Steward	Related/ Competing
		ASTHMA	ı	
0283*	Asthma in Younger Adults Admission Rate (PQI 15)	Admissions for a principal diagnosis of asthma per 100,000 population, ages 18 to 39 years. Excludes admissions with an indication of cystic fibrosis or anomalies of the respiratory system, obstetric admissions, and transfers from other institutions. [NOTE: The software provides the rate per population. However, common practice reports the measure as per 100,000 population. The user must multiply the rate obtained from the software by 100,000 to report admissions per 100,000 population.]	Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality	Competing: 0275 &0728
0728	Asthma Admission Rate (pediatric)	Admission rate for asthma in children ages 2-17, per 100,000 population (area level rate)	AHRQ	Competing: 0275 & 0283
0036*	Use of appropriate medications for people with asthma	The percentage of members 5-64 years of age during the measurement who were identified as having persistent asthma and who were appropriately prescribed medication during the measurement year.	NCQA	Competing with 0047- refer to PCC 2013 report for content
0047*	Asthma: Pharmacologic Therapy for Persistent Asthma	Percentage of patients aged 5 through 50 years with a diagnosis of persistent asthma who were prescribed long-term control medication. Three rates are reported for this measure: 1. Patients prescribed inhaled corticosteroids (ICS) as their long term control medication 2. Patients prescribed other alternative long term control medications (non-ICS) 3. Total patients prescribed long-term control medication	AMA-PCPI	Competing with 0036- refer to PCC 2013 report for content
0548*	Suboptimal Asthma Control (SAC) and Absence of Controller Therapy (ACT)	Rate 1: The percentage of patients with persistent asthma who were dispensed more than 3 canisters of a short-acting beta2 agonist inhaler during the same 90-day period. Rate 2: The percentage of patients with persistent asthma during the measurement year who were dispensed more than three canisters of short acting beta2 agonist inhalers over a 90-day period and who did not receive controller therapy during the same 90-day period.	PQA	N/A

Measure Number	Title	Description	Steward	Related/ Competing
1799*	Medication Management for People with Asthma (MMA)	The percentage of members 5-64 years of age during the measurement year who were identified as having persistent asthma and were dispensed appropriate medications that they remained on during the treatment period. Two rates are reported. 1. The percentage of members who remained on an asthma controller medication for at least 50% of their treatment period. 2. The percentage of members who remained on an asthma controller medication for at least 75% of their treatment period.	NCQA	N/A
1800*	Asthma Medication Ratio (AMR)	The percentage of members 5–64 years of age who were identified as having persistent asthma and had a ratio of controller medications to total asthma medications of 0.50 or greater during the measurement year.	NCQA	N/A
		A/CHRONIC OBSTRUCTIVE PULMONARY DISEASE (CO	-	
0275*	Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) or Asthma in Older Adults Admission Rate (PQI 5)	Admissions with a principal diagnosis of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) or asthma per 100,000 population, ages 40 years and older. Excludes obstetric admissions and transfers from other institutions. [NOTE: The software provides the rate per population. However, common practice reports the measure as per 100,000 population. The user must multiply the rate obtained from the software by 100,000 to report admissions per 100,000 population.]	Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality	Competing: 0283 & 0728
	СН	RONIC OBSTRUCTIVE PULMONARY DISEASE (COPD)		
0091*	COPD: spirometry evaluation	Percentage of patients aged 18 years and older with a diagnosis of COPD who had spirometry results documented	AMA-PCPI	Competing with 0577-refer to PCC 2013 report for content
0102*	COPD: inhaled bronchodilator therapy	Percentage of patients aged 18 years and older with a diagnosis of COPD and who have an FEV1/FVC < 70% and have symptoms who were prescribed an inhaled bronchodilator	AMA-PCPI	N/A
0577*	Use of Spirometry Testing in the Assessment and Diagnosis of COPD	The percentage of members 40 years of age and older with a new diagnosis of COPD or newly active COPD, who received appropriate spirometry testing to confirm the diagnosis.	NCQA	Competing with 0091- refer to PCC 2013 report for content

Measure Number	Title	Description	Steward	Related/ Competing
0700	Health-related Quality of Life in COPD patients before and after Pulmonary Rehabilitation	The percentage of patients with COPD enrolled in pulmonary rehabilitation (PR) who are found to increase their health-related quality of life score (HRQOL).	AACVPR	
0701	Functional Capacity in COPD patients before and after Pulmonary Rehabilitation	The percentage of patients with COPD who are enrolled in pulmonary rehabilitation (PR) who are found to increase their functional capacity by at least 25 meters (82 feet), as measured by a standardized 6 minute walk test (6MWT).	AACVPR	
1891*	Hospital 30-Day, All-Cause, Risk-Standardized Readmission Rate (RSRR) following Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) Hospitalization	The measure estimates a hospital-level risk-standardized readmission rate (RSRR), defined as readmission for any cause within 30 days after the date of discharge of the index admission, for patients 18 and older discharged from the hospital with either a principal diagnosis of COPD or a principal diagnosis of respiratory failure with a secondary diagnosis of acute exacerbation of COPD.	CMS/Yale	N/A
1893*	Hospital 30-Day, All-Cause, Risk-Standardized Mortality Rate (RSMR) following Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) Hospitalization	The measure estimates a hospital-level risk-standardized mortality rate (RSMR), defined as death from any cause within 30 days after the index admission date, for patients 18 and older discharged from the hospital with either a principal diagnosis of COPD or a principal diagnosis of respiratory failure with a secondary diagnosis of acute exacerbation of COPD.	CMS/Yale	NA
		CRITICAL CARE		
0334*	PICU Severity- adjusted Length of Stay	The number of days between PICU admission and PICU discharge for PICU patients.	NACHRI	N/A
0335*	PICU Unplanned Readmission Rate	The total number of patients requiring unscheduled readmission to the ICU within 24 hours of discharge or transfer.	NACHRI	N/A
0343*	PICU Standardized Mortality Ratio	The ratio of actual deaths over predicted deaths for PICU patients.	NACHRI	N/A
0666*	Ultrasound guidance for Internal Jugular central venous catheter placement	Percent of adult patients aged 18 years and older with an Internal Jugular central venous catheter placed in the emergency department (ED) under ultrasound guidance.	ACEP	N/A

Measure Number	Title	Description	Steward	Related/ Competing
0702*	Intensive Care Unit (ICU) Length-of- Stay (LOS)	For all patients admitted to the ICU, total duration of time spent in the ICU until time of discharge; both observed and risk-adjusted LOS reported with the predicted LOS measured using the Intensive Care Outcomes Model - Length-of-Stay (ICOMLOS).	PRL Institute for Health Policy Studies	NA
0703*	Intensive Care: In- hospital mortality rate	For all adult patients admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU), the percentage of patients whose hospital outcome is death; both observed and risk-adjusted mortality rates are reported with predicted rates based on the Intensive Care Outcomes Model - Mortality (ICOMmort).	PRL Institute for Health Policy Studies	NA
		PNEUMONIA		
0096*	Empiric Antibiotic for Community- Acquired Bacterial Pneumonia	Percentage of patients aged 18 years and older with the diagnosis of community-acquired bacterial pneumonia with an appropriate empiric antibiotic prescribed.	AMA-PCPI	Related to 0147- refer to PCC 2013 report for content
0147*	Initial antibiotic selection for community-acquired pneumonia (CAP) in immunocompetent patients	Percentage of pneumonia patients 18 years of age or older selected for initial receipts of antibiotics for community-acquired pneumonia (CAP)	CMS	Related to 0096- refer to PCC 2013 report for content
0231*	Pneumonia Mortality Rate (IQI #20)	Percentage of patients, age 18 years and older, with an in-hospital death among discharges with an ICD- 9-CM principal diagnosis code of pneumonia	AHRQ	Related to 0468- refer to PCC 2013 report for content
0279*	Bacterial Pneumonia Admission Rate (PQI 11)	Admissions with a principal diagnosis of bacterial pneumonia per 100,000 population, ages 18 years and older. Excludes sickle cell or hemoglobin-S admissions, other indications of immunocompromised state admissions, obstetric admissions, and transfers from other institutions. [NOTE: The software provides the rate per population. However, common practice reports the measure as per 100,000 population. The user must multiply the rate obtained from the software by 100,000 to report admissions per 100,000 population.]	Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality	N/A

Measure Number	Title	Description	Steward	Related/ Competing
0468*	Hospital 30-day, all-cause, risk-standardized mortality rate (RSMR) following pneumonia hospitalization	The measure estimates a hospital-level risk-standardized mortality rate (RSMR) defined as death for any cause within 30 days of the admission date for the index hospitalization for patients discharged from the hospital with a principal diagnosis of pneumonia. The target population is patients 18 and over. CMS annually reports the measure for patients who are 65 years or older and are either enrolled in fee-forservice (FFS) Medicare and hospitalized in nonfederal hospitals or are hospitalized in Veterans Health Administration (VA) facilities. Since NQF-endorsement, the measure has been tested and shown to perform well in an all-payer population aged 18 and older and has been re-specified for this broader age group. The full details of the all-payer analysis and testing are attached.	CMS/Yale	Related to 0231- refer to PCC 2013 report for content
0506*	Hospital 30-day, all-cause, risk-standardized readmission rate (RSRR) following pneumonia hospitalization	The measure estimates a hospital-level risk-standardized readmission rate (RSRR) defined as readmission for any cause within 30 days of the discharge date for the index hospitalization for patients discharged from the hospital with a principal diagnosis of pneumonia. The target population is patients 18 and over. CMS annually reports the measure for patients who are 65 years or older and are either enrolled in fee-for-service (FFS) Medicare and hospitalized in Nonfederal hospitals or are hospitalized in Veterans Health Administration (VA) facilities. Since NQF-endorsement, the measure has been tested and shown to perform well in an all-payer population aged 18 and older and has been re-specified for this broader age group. The full details of the all-payer analysis and testing are attached.	CMS/Yale	N/A
0708*	Proportion of Patients Hospitalized with Pneumonia that have a Potentially Avoidable Complication (during the Index Stay or in the 30-day Post- Discharge Period)	Percent of adult population aged 18 – 65 years who were admitted to a hospital with Pneumonia, were followed for one-month after discharge, and had one or more potentially avoidable complications (PACs). PACs may occur during the index stay or during the 30-day post discharge period	Bridges to Excellence	N/A

Measure Number	Title	Description	Steward	Related/ Competing
		IMAGING		
0513*	Thorax CT: Use of Contrast Material	This measure calculates the percentage of thorax studies that are performed with and without contrast out of all thorax studies performed (those with contrast, those without contrast, and those with both). The measure is calculated based on a one year window of Medicare claims data. The measure has been publicly reported annually by the measure steward, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services since summer 2010 as a component of its Hospital Outpatient Quality Reporting (OQR) Program.	CMS	N/A
0667*	Inappropriate Pulmonary CT Imaging for Patients at Low Risk for Pulmonary Embolism	Percent of patients undergoing CT pulmonary angiogram for the evaluation of possible PE who are at low-risk for PE consistent with guidelines prior to CT imaging.	Partners	N/A

Appendix C: Pulmonary and Critical Care Portfolio—Use in Federal Programs

NQF#	Title	Federal Programs: Finalized as of April 20, 2016
0283	Asthma in Younger Adults Admission Rate (PQI 15)	Initial Core Set of Health Care Quality Measures for Medicaid-Eligible Adults
0036	Use of appropriate medications for people with asthma	Meaningful Use (EHR Incentive Program) - Eligible Professionals; Physician Feedback; Physician Quality Reporting System (PQRS); Value-Based Payment Modifier Program;
0047	Asthma: Pharmacologic Therapy for Persistent Asthma	Physician Feedback; Physician Quality Reporting System (PQRS); Value-Based Payment Modifier Program;
1799	Medication Management for People with Asthma (MMA)	Children's Health Insurance Program Reauthorization Act Quality Reporting
0275	Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) or Asthma in Older Adults Admission Rate (PQI 5)	Initial Core Set of Health Care Quality Measures for Medicaid-Eligible Adults; Medicare Shared Savings Program; Physician Feedback;
0091	COPD: spirometry evaluation	Physician Feedback; Physician Quality Reporting System (PQRS); Value-Based Payment Modifier Program;
0102	COPD: inhaled bronchodilator therapy	Physician Feedback; Physician Quality Reporting System (PQRS); Value-Based Payment Modifier Program;
0577	Use of Spirometry Testing in the Assessment and Diagnosis of COPD	Medicare Part C Plan Rating; Physician Feedback; Physician Quality Reporting System (PQRS); Value-Based Payment Modifier Program;
1891	Hospital 30-Day, All- Cause, Risk-Standardized Readmission Rate (RSRR) following Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) Hospitalization	Hospital Inpatient Quality Reporting; Hospital Readmission Reduction Program;
1893	Hospital 30-Day, All- Cause, Risk-Standardized Mortality Rate (RSMR) following Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) Hospitalization	Hospital Inpatient Quality Reporting
0096	Empiric Antibiotic for Community-Acquired Bacterial Pneumonia	Physician Feedback; Value-Based Payment Modifier Program;
0147	Initial antibiotic selection for community-acquired pneumonia (CAP) in immunocompetent	Hospital Compare; Hospital Inpatient Quality Reporting; Hospital Value-Based Purchasing; Meaningful Use (EHR Incentive Program) - Hospitals, CAHs;

NQF#	Title	Federal Programs: Finalized as of April 20, 2016
	patients	
0279	Bacterial Pneumonia Admission Rate (PQI 11)	Physician Feedback
0468	Hospital 30-day, all- cause, risk-standardized mortality rate (RSMR) following pneumonia hospitalization	Hospital Compare; Hospital Inpatient Quality Reporting; Hospital Value-Based Purchasing;
0506	Hospital 30-day, all- cause, risk-standardized readmission rate (RSRR) following pneumonia hospitalization	Hospital Compare; Hospital Inpatient Quality Reporting; Hospital Readmission Reduction Program;
0513	Thorax CT: Use of Contrast Material	Hospital Compare; Hospital Outpatient Quality Reporting;

Appendix D: Project Standing Committee and NQF Staff

STANDING COMMITTEE

Dale Bratzler, DO, MPH (Co-Chair)

OU Physicians-Oklahoma University Health Sciences Center Oklahoma City, Oklahoma

David Lang, MD (Co-Chair)

Cleveland Clinic Cleveland, Ohio

Gerene Bauldoff, PhD, RN, FAAN

The Ohio State University College of Nursing Columbus, Ohio

Kenneth Benson

U.S. COPD Coalition West Hills, California

Curtis Collins, PharmD, MS

St. Joseph Mercy Health System Ann Arbor, Michigan

Bruno DiGiovine, MD

Henry Ford Health System Detroit, Michigan

Todd Dorman, MD, FCCM

The Johns Hopkins Hospital Baltimore, Maryland

Kim Elliott, Q., PhD, CPH

AHCCCS

Phoenix, Arizona

William Brendle Glomb, MD, FCCP, FAAP

Superior HealthPlan Austin, Texas

Stephen Grossbart, PhD

Mercy Health Cincinnati, Ohio

James Harris, PhD

Children's Hospital Association Washington, DC

Edgar Jimenez, MD, FCCM

Baylor Scott & White Health Temple, Texas

Ella Kazerooni, MD, MS

University of Michigan Health System Ann Arbor, Michigan

Thomas Lampone, MD

Florida Blue Pensacola, Florida

Richard Murray, MD

Merck and Co., Inc. North Wales, Pennsylvania

James O'Brien, MD, MS

OhioHealth Riverside Methodist Hospital Columbus, Ohio

Patricia J. Ohtake, PT, PhD

University of Buffalo Buffalo, New York

Susan Pollart, MD

University of Virginia Charlottesville, Virginia

Crystal Riley, PharmD, MHA, MBA, CPHQ, CHPIT

Baxter Healthcare Washington, DC

Christine Schindler, PhD, RN, CPNP-AC/PC, WCC

Marquette University College of Nursing Milwaukee, Wisconsin

David Stockwell, MD, MBA

Children's National Medical Center Washington, DC

Chana West, RN, MSN

Booz Allen Hamilton Phoenix, Arizona

Donald Yealy, MD, FACEP

University of Pittsburgh Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

NQF STAFF

Helen Burstin, MD, MPH

Chief Scientific Officer

Marcia Wilson, PhD, MBA

Senior Vice President

Reva Winkler

Senior Director

Robyn Y. Nishimi, PhD

Consultant

Shaconna Gorham, MS, PMP

Senior Project Manager

Poonam Bal, MHSA

Senior Project Manager

Janine Amirault

Project Analyst

Appendix E: Measure Specifications

0047 Asthma: Pharmacologic Therapy for Persistent Asthma

STEWARD

The American Academy of Asthma Allergy and Immunology

DESCRIPTION

Percentage of patients aged 5 years and older with a diagnosis of persistent asthma who were prescribed long-term control medication

Three rates are reported for this measure:

- 1. Patients prescribed inhaled corticosteroids (ICS) as their long term control medication
- 2. Patients prescribed other alternative long term control medications (non-ICS)
- 3. Total patients prescribed long-term control medication

TYPE

Process

DATA SOURCE

Administrative claims, Electronic Clinical Data, Paper Medical Records, Electronic Clinical Data: Registry Not Applicable

Attachment Asthma_Pharma_NQF_0047_ICD-10_code_definitions.xlsx

LEVEL

Clinician: Group/Practice, Clinician: Individual

SETTING

Ambulatory Care: Clinician Office/Clinic

NUMERATOR STATEMENT

Patients who were prescribed long-term control medication

NUMERATOR DETAILS

Patients who were prescribed long-term control medication

Definition:

Long-Term Control Medication Includes: Patients prescribed inhaled corticosteroids (the preferred long-term control medication at any step of asthma pharmacological therapy)

OF

Patients prescribed alternative long-term control medications (inhaled steroid combinations, asthma biologic agents, leukotriene modifiers)

Prescribed: May include prescription given to the patient for inhaled corticosteroid OR an acceptable alternative long-term control medication at one or more visits in the 12-month

period OR patient already taking inhaled corticosteroid OR an acceptable alternative long-term control medication as documented in current medication list.

Table 1: Preferred Asthma Control Medication - Inhaled Corticosteroids

beclomethasone

budesonide

ciclesonide

flunisolide

fluticasone

mometasone

Table 2: Alternative Long-term Control Medications

Inhaled steroid combinations: budesonide-formoterol; fluticasone-salmeterol; fluticasone-

vilanterol; mometasone-formoterol

Asthma biologic agents: mepolizumab; omalizumab

Leukotriene modifiers: montelukast; zafirlukast; zileuton

For Claims:

Report CPT Category II code:

Performance Met: Inhaled corticosteroids prescribed (4140F)

OR

Performance Met: Alternative long-term control medication prescribed (4144F)

OR

Patient Performance Exclusion: Documentation of patient reason(s) for not prescribing inhaled corticosteroids or alternative long-term control medication (eg, patient declined, other patient reason) (4140F with 2P)

OR

Performance Not Met: Inhaled corticosteroids or alternative long-term control medication not prescribed, reason not otherwise specified (4140F with 8P)

DENOMINATOR STATEMENT

All patients aged 5 years and older with a diagnosis of persistent asthma

DENOMINATOR DETAILS

All patients aged 5 years and older with a diagnosis of persistent asthma

Denominator Instructions: Documentation of persistent asthma must be present. One method of identifying persistent asthma is, at a minimum, more than twice a week but not daily use of short-acting bronchodilators for mild-persistent asthma, daily use for moderate persistent asthma; and several times a day for severe persistent asthma.

Denominator Criteria (Eligible Cases):

Patients aged = 5 years on date of encounter

AND

Diagnosis for asthma (ICD-10-CM): J45.30, J45.31, J45.32, J45.40, J45.41, J45.42, J45.50, J45.51, J45.52, J45.901, J45.902, J45.909, J45.990, J45.991, J45.998

AND

Patient encounter during the reporting period (CPT): 99201, 99202, 99203, 99204, 99205, 99212, 99213, 99214, 99215, 99341, 99342, 99343, 99344, 99345, 99347, 99348, 99349, 99350 AND

Persistent Asthma (mild, moderate or severe): 1038F

**Note: If ICD-10 CM codes J45.30-J45.52 are used to identify the denominator, CPT II code for 1038F is not required; these ICD-10 CM codes capture "persistent asthma".

EXCLUSIONS

Denominator Exceptions:

Documentation of patient reason(s) for not prescribing inhaled corticosteroids or alternative long-term control medication (eg, patient declined, other patient reason)

The AAAAI follows PCPI exception methodology and PCPI distinguishes between measure exceptions and measure exclusions. Exclusions arise when patients who are included in the initial patient or eligible population for a measure do not meet the denominator criteria specific to the intervention required by the numerator. Exclusions are absolute and apply to all patients and therefore are not part of clinical judgment within a measure.

For this measure, exceptions may include patient reason(s) (eg, patient declined). Although this methodology does not require the external reporting of more detailed exception data, the AAAAI recommends that physicians document the specific reasons for exception in patients' medical records for purposes of optimal patient management and audit-readiness. In further accordance with PCPI exception methodology, the AAAAI advocates the systematic review and analysis of each physician's exceptions data to identify practice patterns and opportunities for quality improvement.

EXCLUSION DETAILS

For Claims:

Report CPT Category II code with modifier:

4140F-2P: Documentation of patient reason(s) for not prescribing inhaled corticosteroids or alternative long-term control medication (eg, patient declined, other patient reason)

RISK ADJUSTMENT

No risk adjustment or risk stratification

STRATIFICATION

No risk adjustment or risk stratification.

TYPE SCORE

Rate/proportion better quality = higher score

ALGORITHM

To calculate performance rates:

- 1) Find the patients who meet the initial patient population (ie, the general group of patients that the performance measure is designed to address).
- 2) From the patients within the initial patient population criteria, find the patients who qualify for the denominator (ie, the specific group of patients for inclusion in a specific performance

measure based on defined criteria). Note: in some cases the initial patient population and denominator are identical.

- 3) From the patients within the denominator, find the patients who qualify for the numerator (ie, the group of patients in the denominator for whom a process or outcome of care occurs). Validate that the number of patients in the numerator is less than or equal to the number of patients in the denominator.
- 4) From the patients who did not meet the numerator criteria, determine if the physician has documented that the patient meets any criteria for denominator exception when exceptions have been specified. If the patient meets any exception criteria, they should be removed from the denominator for performance calculation. —Although exception cases are removed from the denominator population for the performance calculation, the number of patients with valid exceptions should be calculated and reported along with performance rates to track variations in care and highlight possible areas of focus for QI.

If the patient does not meet the numerator and a valid exception is not present, this case represents a quality failure. No diagram provided

COPYRIGHT / DISCLAIMER

5.1 Identified measures: 1799: Medication Management for People with Asthma

1800: Asthma Medication Ratio

5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized? No

5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact: Measures 0047 is similar to NQF measure 1800 (Asthma Medication Ratio) and measure 1799 (Medication Management for People with Asthma) in regards to the denominator population of patients with persistent asthma. However, the denominators differ with respect to the method by which patients with persistent asthma are identified. For measures 1800 and 1799, persistent asthma is defined from administrative data, while for measure 0047, persistent asthma is defined based on clinical information. Additionally, the denominator for measure 0047 been updated to include asthma patients aged 65 and older, an important population that is not reached by measures 1800 and 1799. The numerator for measure 0047 is similar to the numerator in measure 1799, except that inhaled corticosteroids and alternative controllers are reported separately as well as together. The separate reporting rates required by measure 0047 for inhaled corticosteroids and for alternative long-term control medications will be useful for clinicians to assess and manage the use of the preferred vs. alternative long-term control medications for their patients. The numerator of measure 0047 has also been updated to include current and appropriate alternative long-term control medications. While the inhaled corticosteroids in measure 0047 and 1799 are well harmonized, the alternative long-term controllers differ. Measure 1799 includes nedocromil, methylxanthines and cromolyn, all medications that were reviewed by the AAAAI's measure stewardship committee and removed.

5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value:

0091 COPD: Spirometry Evaluation

STEWARD

American Thoracic Society

DESCRIPTION

Percentage of patients aged 18 years and older with a diagnosis of COPD who had spirometry results documented

TYPE

Process

DATA SOURCE

Administrative claims, Electronic Clinical Data: Registry Not Applicable No data dictionary

LEVEL

Clinician: Group/Practice, Clinician: Team

SETTING

Ambulatory Care: Clinician Office/Clinic

NUMERATOR STATEMENT

Patients with documented spirometry results in the medical record (FEV1 and FEV1/FVC)

NUMERATOR DETAILS

Numerator Quality-Data Coding Options for Reporting Satisfactorily

Numerator Instructions: Look for most recent documentation of spirometry evaluation results in the medical record; do not limit the search to the reporting period.

To submit the numerator option for spirometry results documented and reviewed, report the following:

Performance Met: CPT II 3023F: Spirometry results documented and reviewed

OR

Spirometry Results not Documented for Medical, Patient, or System Reasons

Append a modifier (1P, 2P or 3P) to CPT Category II code 3023F to report documented circumstances that appropriately exclude patients from the denominator.

Medical Performance Exclusion: 3023F with 1P: Documentation of medical reason(s) for not documenting and reviewing spirometry results

OR

Patient Performance Exclusion: 3023F with 2P: Documentation of patient reason(s) for not documenting and reviewing spirometry results

OR

System Performance Exclusion: 3023F with 3P: Documentation of system reason(s) for not documenting and reviewing spirometry results

OR

Spirometry Results not Documented, Reason not Otherwise Specified

Append a reporting modifier (8P) to CPT Category II code 3023F to report circumstances when the action described in the numerator is not performed and the reason is not otherwise specified.

Performance Not Met: 3023F with 8P: Spirometry results not documented and reviewed, reason not otherwise specified

DENOMINATOR STATEMENT

All patients aged 18 years and older with a diagnosis of COPD

DENOMINATOR DETAILS

All Patients aged >= 18 years on date of encounter

AND

Diagnosis for COPD

ICD-9-CM [for use before 9/30/2014]:

491.0, 491.1, 491.20, 491.21, 491.22, 491.8, 491.9, 492.0, 492.8, 493.20, 493.21, 493.22, 496 ICD-10-CM [for use after 10/1/2014]:

J41.0, J41.1, J41.8, J42, J43.0, J43.1, J43.2, J43.8, J43.9, J44.0, J44.1, J44.9

(Please see listing below for ICD-9/ICD-10 code definitions)

AND

Patient encounter during the reporting period (CPT): 99201, 99202, 99203, 99204, 99205, 99212, 99213, 99214, 99215

ICD-9/ICD-10 code definitions

ICD-9-CM [for use before 9/30/2014]:

491.0 - Simple chronic bronchitis

491.1 – Mucopurulent chronic bronchitis

491.20 – Obstructive chronic bronchitis without exacerbation

491.21 – Obstructive chronic bronchitis with (acute) exacerbation

491.22 - Obstructive chronic bronchitis with acute bronchitis

491.8 - Other chronic bronchitis

491.9 - Unspecified chronic bronchitis

492.0 - Emphysematous bleb

492.8 - Other emphysema

493.20 - Chronic obstructive asthma, unspecified

493.21 - Chronic obstructive asthma with status asthmaticus

493.22 - Chronic obstructive asthma with (acute) exacerbation

496 - Chronic airway obstruction, not elsewhere classified

ICD-10-CM [for use after 10/1/2014]:

J41.0 - Simple chronic bronchitis

J41.1 – Mucopurulent chronic bronchitis

J41.8 – Mixed simple and mucopurulent chronic bronchitis

J42 – Unspecified chronic bronchitis

J43.0 – Unilateral pulmonary emphysema [MacLeod's syndrome]

J43.1 - Panlobular emphysema

J43.2 - Centrilobular emphysema

J43.8 - Other emphysema

J43.9 - Emphysema, unspecified

J44.0 – Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease with acute lower respiratory infection

J44.1 – Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease with (acute) exacerbation

J44.9 – Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, unspecified

EXCLUSIONS

Documentation of medical reason(s) for not documenting and reviewing spirometry results Documentation of patient reason(s) for not documenting and reviewing spirometry results Documentation of system reason(s) for not documenting and reviewing spirometry results

EXCLUSION DETAILS

ATS continues to use the PCPI exception methodology that uses three categories of exception reasons for which a patient may be removed from the denominator of an individual measure: medical, patient and system reasons.

Exceptions are used to remove patients from the denominator of a performance measure when a patient does not receive a therapy or service AND that therapy or service would not be appropriate due to specific reasons; otherwise, the patient would meet the denominator criteria. Exceptions are not absolute, and the application of exceptions is based on clinical judgment, individual patient characteristics, or patient preferences. These measure exception categories are not uniformly relevant across all measures; for each measure, there must be a clear rationale to permit an exception for a medical, patient, or system reason. Examples are provided in the measure exception language of instances that may constitute an exception and are intended to serve as a guide to clinicians. For this measure, exceptions include medical reason(s), patient reason(s) or system reason(s) for not documenting spirometry results. Although this methodology does not require the external reporting of more detailed exception data, the ATS recommends that physicians document the specific reasons for exception in patients' medical records for purposes of optimal patient management and audit-readiness. The ATS also conducts systematic review and analysis of exceptions data to identify practice patterns and opportunities for quality improvement.

For Claims:

Documentation of medical, patient, or system reason(s) for not documenting and reviewing spirometry results.

Append a modifier (1P, 2P or 3P) to CPT Category II code 3023F to report documented circumstances that appropriately exclude patients from the denominator.

3023F with 1P: Documentation of medical reason(s) for not documenting and reviewing spirometry results

3023F with 2P: Documentation of patient reason(s) for not documenting and reviewing spirometry results

3023F with 3P: Documentation of system reason(s) for not documenting and reviewing spirometry results

RISK ADJUSTMENT

No risk adjustment or risk stratification.

STRATIFICATION

We encourage the results of this measure to be stratified by race, ethnicity, primary language, and administrative sex.

TYPE SCORE

Rate/proportion better quality = higher score

ALGORITHM

- Start with Denominator
- 2. Check Patient Age:
- a. If the Age is greater than or equal to 18 years of age on Date of Service and equals No during the measurement period, do not include in Eligible Patient Population. Stop Processing.
- b. If the Age is greater than or equal to 18 years of age on Date of Service and equals Yes during the measurement period, proceed to check Patient Diagnosis.
- 3. Check Patient Diagnosis:
- a. If Diagnosis of COPD as Listed in the Denominator equals No, do not include in Eligible Patient Population. Stop Processing.
- b. If Diagnosis of COPD as Listed in the Denominator equals Yes, proceed to check Encounter Performed.
- 4. Check Encounter Performed:
- a. If Encounter as Listed in the Denominator equals No, do not include in Eligible Patient Population. Stop Processing.
- b. If Encounter as Listed in the Denominator equals Yes, include in the Eligible population.
- 5. Denominator Population:
- a. Denominator population is all Eligible Patients in the denominator. Denominator is represented as Denominator in the Sample Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter d equals 8 patients in the sample calculation.
- 6. Start Numerator
- 7. Check Spirometry Results Documented and Reviewed:
- a. If Spirometry Results Documented and Reviewed equals Yes, include in Reporting Met and Performance Met.
- b. Reporting Met and Performance Met letter is represented in the Reporting Rate and Performance Rate in the Sample Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter a equals 4 patients in Sample Calculation.

- c. If Spirometry Results Documented and Reviewed equals No, proceed to Documentation of Medical Reason(s) for Not Documenting and Reviewing Spirometry Results.
- 8. Check Documentation of Medical Reason(s) for Not Documenting and Reviewing Spirometry Results:
- a. If Documentation of Medical Reason(s) for Not Documenting and Reviewing Spirometry Results equals Yes, include in Reporting Met and Performance Exclusion.
- b. Reporting Met and Performance Exclusion letter is represented in the Reporting Rate and Performance Rate in the Sample Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter b1 equals 1 patient in the Sample Calculation.
- c. If Documentation of Medical Reason(s) for Not Documenting and Reviewing Spirometry Results equals No, proceed to Documentation of Patient Reason(s) for Not Documenting and Reviewing Spirometry Results.
- 9. Check Documentation of Patient Reason(s) for Not Documenting and Reviewing Spirometry Results:
- a. If Documentation of Patient Reason(s) for Not Documenting and Reviewing Spirometry Results equals Yes, include in Reporting Met and Performance Exclusion.
- b. Reporting Met and Performance Exclusion letter is represented in the Reporting Rate and Performance Rate in the Sample Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter b2 equals 0 patients in the Sample Calculation.
- c. If Documentation of Patient Reason(s) for Not Documenting and Reviewing Spirometry Results equals No, proceed to Documentation of System Reason(s) for Not Documenting and Reviewing Spirometry Results.
- 10. Check Documentation of System Reason(s) for Not Documenting and Reviewing Spirometry

Results:

- a. If Documentation of System Reason(s) for Not Documenting and Reviewing Spirometry Results equals Yes, include in Reporting Met and Performance Exclusion.
- b. Reporting Met and Performance Exclusion letter is represented in the Reporting Rate and Performance Rate in the Sample Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter b3 equals 0 patients in the Sample Calculation.
- c. If Documentation of System Reason(s) for Not Documenting and Reviewing Spirometry Results equals No, proceed to Spirometry Results Not Documented and Reviewed, Reason Not Specified.
- 11. Check Spirometry Results Not Documented and Reviewed, Reason Not Specified:
- a. If Spirometry Results Not Documented and Reviewed, Reason Not Specified equals Yes, include in Reporting Met and Performance Not Met.
- b. Reporting Met and Performance Not Met letter is represented in the Reporting Met in the Sample Calculation listed at the end of document. Letter c equals 2 patients in the Sample Calculation.
- c. If Spirometry Results Not Documented and Reviewed, Reason Not Specified equals No, include in Reporting Not Met.
- 12. Check Reporting Not Met
- a. If Reporting Not Met equals No, Quality Data Code or equivalent not reported. 1 patient has been subtracted from the reporting numerator in sample calculation.

Please see Measure Flow in Appendix A.1 for 'Sample Calculation' referenced above. Available in attached appendix at A.1

COPYRIGHT / DISCLAIMER

5.1 Identified measures: 0577: Use of Spirometry Testing in the Assessment and Diagnosis of COPD

5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized? No

5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact: These measures have distinct differences in their denominators and numerators. First, our measure is broader in denominator population, being for all patients age 18 years and older with a diagnosis of COPD, while 0577 is for patients age 40 years and older with a new diagnosis of COPD. Our measure is more consistent with COPD guidelines, which do not state an age to start using a spirometry evaluation; rather, spirometry should be used to assess all adults with COPD, not just adults with a new diagnosis of COPD. Second, our measure's numerator is more flexible than 0577, allowing a spirometry evaluation anytime during the measurement period, rather than 0577's requirement that spirometry be performed within 6 months of a new diagnosis of COPD. Our measure numerator is also specific to spirometry results, requiring both the FEV1/FVC values.

5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value: N/A

0102 COPD: inhaled bronchodilator therapy

STEWARD

American Thoracic Society

DESCRIPTION

Percentage of patients aged 18 years or older, with a diagnosis of COPD (FEV1/FVC < 70%) who have an FEV1 < 60% predicted and have symptoms who were prescribed an inhaled bronchodilator

TYPE

Process

DATA SOURCE

Administrative claims, Electronic Clinical Data: Registry Not Applicable No data dictionary

LEVEL

Clinician: Group/Practice, Clinician: Team

SETTING

Ambulatory Care: Clinician Office/Clinic

NUMERATOR STATEMENT

Patients who were prescribed an inhaled bronchodilator

NUMERATOR DETAILS

Definition:

Prescribed – Includes patients who are currently receiving medication(s) that follow the treatment plan recommended at an encounter during the reporting period, even if the prescription for that medication was ordered prior to the encounter.

NUMERATOR NOTE: The correct combination of numerator code(s) must be reported on the claim form in order to properly report this measure. The "correct combination" of codes may require the submission of multiple numerator codes.

Numerator Quality-Data Coding Options for Reporting Satisfactorily:

Patient Prescribed Inhaled Bronchodilator Therapy

(One CPT II code & one quality-data code [4025F & G8924] are required on the claim form to submit this numerator option)

Performance Met:

CPT II 4025F: Inhaled bronchodilator prescribed (NOTE: pending edited CPT II code)

AND

G8924: Spirometry test results demonstrate FEV1/FVC < 70%, FEV1 < 60% predicted and patient has COPD symptoms (eg, dyspnea, cough/sputum, wheezing) (NOTE: CMS approved edited G-code for 2017 PQRS year)

OR

Patient not Documented to have Inhaled Bronchodilator Prescribed for Medical, Patient, or System Reasons

(One CPT II code & one quality-data code [4025F-xP & G8924] are required on the claim form to submit this numerator option)

Append a modifier (1P, 2P or 3P) to CPT Category II code 4025F to report documented circumstances that appropriately exclude patients from the denominator.

Medical Performance Exclusion, Patient Performance Exclusion, or System Performance Exclusion:

4025F with 1P: Documentation of medical reason(s) for not prescribing an inhaled bronchodilator (e.g., contraindication due to comorbidities)

4025F with 2P: Documentation of patient reason(s) for not prescribing an inhaled bronchodilator

4025F with 3P: Documentation of system reason(s) for not prescribing an inhaled bronchodilator (e.g., not covered by insurance)

AND

G8924: Spirometry test results demonstrate FEV1/FVC < 70%, FEV1 < 60% predicted and patient has COPD symptoms (eg, dyspnea, cough/sputum, wheezing)

OR

If patient is not eligible for this measure because spirometry results demonstrate FEV1/FVC >= 70% or FEV1 >= 60% predicted or patient does not have COPD symptoms, report:

Spirometry Results Demonstrate FEV1/FVC >= 70% or FEV1 >= 60% or Patient does not have COPD symptoms

(One quality-data code [G8925 or G8926] is required on the claim form to submit this numerator option)

Other Performance Exclusion: G8925: Spirometry test results demonstrate FEV1/FVC \geq 70% or FEV1 \geq 60% predicted or patient does not have COPD symptoms

OR

Spirometry Test not Performed or Documented

Other Performance Exclusion: G8926: Spirometry test not performed or documented, reason not given

OR

Patient not Documented to have Long-acting Inhaled Bronchodilator Prescribed, Reason not Otherwise Specified

(One CPT II code & one quality-data code [4025F-8P & G8924] are required on the claim form to submit this numerator option)

Append a reporting modifier (8P) to CPT Category II code 4025F to report circumstances when the action described in the numerator is not performed and the reason is not otherwise specified.

Performance Not Met:

4025F with 8P: Long-acting inhaled bronchodilator not prescribed, reason not otherwise specified

AND

G8924: Spirometry test results demonstrate FEV1/FVC < 70%, FEV1 < 60% predicted and patient has COPD symptoms (eg, dyspnea, cough/sputum, wheezing)

DENOMINATOR STATEMENT

All patients aged 18 years and older with a diagnosis of COPD, who have FEV1/FVC < 70%, FEV1 <60% predicted and have symptoms (eg, dyspnea, cough/sputum, wheezing)

DENOMINATOR DETAILS

All Patients aged >= 18 years on date of encounter

AND

Diagnosis for COPD

ICD-9-CM [for use before 9/30/2014]:

491.0, 491.1, 491.20, 491.21, 491.22, 491.8, 491.9, 492.0, 492.8, 493.20, 493.21, 493.22, 496 ICD-10-CM [for use after 10/1/2014]:

J41.0, J41.1, J41.8, J42, J43.0, J43.1, J43.2, J43.8, J43.9, J44.0, J44.1, J44.9

(Please see listing below for ICD-9/ICD-10 code definitions)

AND

Patient encounter during the reporting period (CPT): 99201, 99202, 99203, 99204, 99205, 99212, 99213, 99214, 99215

ICD-9/ICD-10 code definitions

ICD-9-CM [for use before 9/30/2014]:

- 491.0 Simple chronic bronchitis
- 491.1 Mucopurulent chronic bronchitis
- 491.20 Obstructive chronic bronchitis without exacerbation
- 491.21 Obstructive chronic bronchitis with (acute) exacerbation
- 491.22 Obstructive chronic bronchitis with acute bronchitis
- 491.8 Other chronic bronchitis
- 491.9 Unspecified chronic bronchitis
- 492.0 Emphysematous bleb
- 492.8 Other emphysema
- 493.20 Chronic obstructive asthma, unspecified
- 493.21 Chronic obstructive asthma with status asthmaticus
- 493.22 Chronic obstructive asthma with (acute) exacerbation
- 496 Chronic airway obstruction, not elsewhere classified
- ICD-10-CM [for use after 10/1/2014]:
- J41.0 Simple chronic bronchitis
- J41.1 Mucopurulent chronic bronchitis
- J41.8 Mixed simple and mucopurulent chronic bronchitis
- J42 Unspecified chronic bronchitis
- J43.0 Unilateral pulmonary emphysema [MacLeod's syndrome]
- J43.1 Panlobular emphysema
- J43.2 Centrilobular emphysema
- J43.8 Other emphysema
- J43.9 Emphysema, unspecified
- J44.0 Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease with acute lower respiratory infection
- J44.1 Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease with (acute) exacerbation
- J44.9 Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, unspecified

EXCLUSIONS

ATS continues to use the PCPI exception methodology that uses three categories of exception reasons for which a patient may be removed from the denominator of an individual measure: medical, patient and system reasons.

Exceptions are used to remove patients from the denominator of a performance measure when a patient does not receive a therapy or service AND that therapy or service would not be appropriate due to specific reasons; otherwise, the patient would meet the denominator criteria. Exceptions are not absolute, and the application of exceptions is based on clinical judgment, individual patient characteristics, or patient preferences. These measure exception categories are not uniformly relevant across all measures; for each measure, there must be a clear rationale to permit an exception for a medical, patient, or system reason. Examples are provided in the measure exception language of instances that may constitute an exception and are intended to serve as a guide to clinicians. For this measure, exceptions include medical reason(s), patient reason(s) or system reason(s) for not prescribing inhaled bronchodilators. Although this methodology does not require the external reporting of more detailed exception

data, the ATS recommends that physicians document the specific reasons for exception in patients' medical records for purposes of optimal patient management and audit-readiness.

EXCLUSION DETAILS

For Claims:

Patient not Documented to have Inhaled Bronchodilator Prescribed for Medical, Patient, or System Reasons

(One CPT II code & one quality-data code [4025F-xP & G8924] are required on the claim form to submit this numerator option)

Append a modifier (1P, 2P or 3P) to CPT Category II code 4025F to report documented circumstances that appropriately exclude patients from the denominator.

Medical Performance Exclusion, Patient Performance Exclusion, or System Performance Exclusion:

4025F with 1P: Documentation of medical reason(s) for not prescribing a long-acting inhaled bronchodilator, e.g., contraindicated due to comorbidities

OR

4025F with 2P: Documentation of patient reason(s) for not prescribing inhaled bronchodilator OR

4025F with 3P: Documentation of system reason(s) for not prescribing inhaled bronchodilator, e.g., not covered by insurance

AND

G8924: Spirometry test results demonstrate FEV1/FVC < 70%, FEV1 < 60% predicted and patient has COPD symptoms (e.g., dyspnea, cough/sputum, wheezing)

NOTE: CMS approved edited G-code (correcting transcriptio error) for 2017 PQRS year and edited CPT II code is pending

RISK ADJUSTMENT

No risk adjustment or risk stratification.

STRATIFICATION

We encourage the results of this measure to be stratified by race, ethnicity, primary language, and administrative sex.

TYPE SCORE

Rate/proportion better quality = higher score

ALGORITHM

NOTE: This sequence of steps has not been edited to reflect updated CPT II or G-codes. It will be edited once all updated CPT II or G-codes are finalized.

- 1. Start with Denominator
- 2. Check Patient Age:
- a. If the Age is greater than or equal to 18 years of age on Date of Service and equals No during the measurement period, do not include in Eligible Patient Population. Stop Processing.

- b. If the Age is greater than or equal to 18 years of age on Date of Service and equals Yes during the measurement period, proceed to check Patient Diagnosis.
- Check Patient Diagnosis:
- a. If Diagnosis of COPD as Listed in the Denominator equals No, do not include in Eligible Patient Population. Stop Processing.
- b. If Diagnosis of COPD as Listed in the Denominator equals Yes, proceed to check Encounter Performed.
- Check Encounter Performed:
- a. If Encounter as Listed in the Denominator equals No, do not include in Eligible Patient Population. Stop Processing.
- b. If Encounter as Listed in the Denominator equals Yes, include in the Eligible population.
- 5. Denominator Population:
- a. Denominator population is all Eligible Patients in the denominator. Denominator is represented as Denominator in the Sample Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter d equals 8 patients in the sample calculation.
- 6. Start Numerator
- 7. Check Patient Prescribed Inhaled Bronchodilator Therapy AND Results of FEV1<60% Predicted and Patient has COPD Symptoms:
- a. If Patient Prescribed Inhaled Bronchodilator Therapy AND Results of FEV1 <60% Predicted and Patient has COPD Symptoms equals Yes, include in Reporting Met and Performance Met.
- b. Reporting Met and Performance Met letter is represented in the Reporting Rate and Performance Rate in the Sample Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter a equals 4 patients in Sample Calculation.
- c. If Patient Prescribed Inhaled Bronchodilator Therapy AND Results of FEV1 <60% Predicted and Patient has COPD symptoms equals No, proceed to check Documentation of Medical Reason(s) for Not Prescribing Inhaled Bronchodilator Therapy AND Spirometry Results of FEV1 <60% Predicted and Patient has COPD Symptoms.
- 8. Check Documentation of Medical Reason(s) for Not Prescribing Inhaled Bronchodilator AND Spirometry Results of FEV1 <60% Predicted and Patient has COPD Symptoms:
- a. If Documentation of Medical Reason(s) for Not Prescribing Inhaled Bronchodilator AND Spirometry Results of FEV1 <60% Predicted and Patient has COPD Symptoms equals Yes, include in Reporting Met and Performance Exclusion.
- b. Reporting Met and Performance Exclusion letter is represented in the Reporting Rate and Performance Rate in the Sample Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter b1 equals 1 patient in the Sample Calculation.
- c. If Documentation of Medical Reason(s) for Not Prescribing Inhaled Bronchodilator AND Spirometry Results of FEV1 <60% Predicted and Patient has COPD Symptoms equals No, proceed to check Documentation of Patient Reason(s) for Not Prescribing Inhaled Bronchodilator AND Spirometry Results of FEV1 <60% Predicted and Patient has COPD Symptoms.
- 9. Check Documentation of Patient Reason(s) for Not Prescribing Inhaled Bronchodilator AND Spirometry Results of FEV1 <60% Predicted and Patient has COPD Symptoms:

- a. If Documentation of Patient Reason(s) for Not Prescribing Inhaled Bronchodilator AND Spirometry Results of FEV1 <60% Predicted and Patient has COPD Symptoms equals Yes, include in Reporting Met and Performance Exclusion.
- b. Reporting Met and Performance Exclusion letter is represented in the Reporting Rate and Performance Rate in the Sample Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter b2 equals 0 patients in the Sample Calculation.
- c. If Documentation of Patient Reason(s) for Not Prescribing Inhaled Bronchodilator AND Spirometry Results of FEV1 <60% Predicted and Patient has COPD Symptoms equals No, proceed to check Documentation of System Reason(s) for Not Prescribing Inhaled Bronchodilator AND Spirometry Results of FEV1 <60% Predicted and Patient has COPD Symptoms.
- 10. Check Documentation of System Reason(s) for Not Prescribing Inhaled Bronchodilator AND Spirometry Results of FEV1 <60% Predicted and Patient has COPD Symptoms:
- a. If Documentation of System Reason(s) for Not Prescribing Inhaled Bronchodilator AND Spirometry Results of FEV1 <60% Predicted and Patient has COPD Symptoms equals Yes, include in Reporting Met and Performance Exclusion.
- b. Reporting Met and Performance Exclusion letter is represented in the Reporting Rate and Performance Rate in the Sample Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter b3 equals 0 patients in the Sample Calculation.
- c. If Documentation of System Reason(s) for Not Prescribing Inhaled Bronchodilator AND Spirometry Results of FEV1 <60% Predicted and Patient has COPD Symptoms equals No, proceed to check Spirometry Results FEV1 = 60% Predicted OR Does not have COPD Symptoms.
- 11. Check Spirometry Results FEV1 = 60% Predicted OR does not have COPD Symptoms:
- a. If Spirometry Results FEV1 = 60% Predicted OR Does not have COPD Symptoms equals Yes, include in Reporting Met and Performance Exclusion.
- b. Reporting Met and Performance Exclusion letter is represented in the Reporting Rate and Performance Rate in the Sample Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter b4 equals 0 patients in the Sample Calculation.
- c. If Spirometry Results FEV1 = 60% Predicted OR Does not have COPD symptoms equals NO, proceed to check Spirometry Test Not Performed to Documented, Reason not Given.
- 12. Check Spirometry Test Not Performed to Documented, Reason Not Given:
- a. If Spirometry Test Not Performed to Documented, Reason Not Given equals Yes, include in reporting met and performance exclusion.
- b. Reporting Met and Performance Exclusion letter is represented in the Reporting Rate and Performance Rate in the Sample Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter b5 equals 0 patients in the Sample Calculation.
- c. If Spirometry Test Not Performed to Documented, Reason Not Given equals No, proceed to check Inhaled Bronchodilator not Prescribed, Reason Not Specified AND results of FEV1 = 60% Predicted and Patient has COPD Symptoms.
- 13. Check Inhaled Bronchodilator not Prescribed, Reason Not Specified AND Results of FEV1 = 60% Predicted and Patient has COPD Symptoms:
- a. If Inhaled Bronchodilator not Prescribed, Reason not Otherwise Specified AND results of FEV1 = 60% Predicted and Patient has COPD Symptoms equals Yes, include in Reporting Met and Performance Not Met.

- b. Reporting Met and Performance Not Met letter is represented in the Reporting Rate in the Sample Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter c equals 2 patients in the Sample Calculation.
- c. If Inhaled Bronchodilator not Prescribed, Reason not Otherwise Specified AND results of FEV1 = 60% Predicted and Patient has COPD Symptoms equals No, proceed to check Reporting Not Met.
- 14. Check Reporting Not Met
- a. If Reporting Not Met equals No, Quality Data Code or equivalent not reported. 1 patient has been subtracted from reporting numerator in the sample calculation.

Please see Measure Flow in Appendix A.1 for 'Sample Calculation' referenced above. Available in attached appendix at A.1

COPYRIGHT / DISCLAIMER

- 5.1 Identified measures:
- 5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized? Yes
- 5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact:
- 5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value: N/A

COMMENT ON 5a.1 - N/A is not a selection. For this reason, we select yes. There are no competing measures to harmonize.

0275 Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) or Asthma in Older Adults Admission Rate (PQI 05)

STEWARD

Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality

DESCRIPTION

Admissions with a principal diagnosis of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) or asthma per 1,000 population, ages 40 years and older. Excludes obstetric admissions and transfers from other institutions.

[NOTE: The software provides the rate per population. However, common practice reports the measure as per 100,000 population. The user must multiply the rate obtained from the software by 100,000 to report admissions per 100,000 population.]

TYPE

Outcome

DATA SOURCE

Administrative claims While the measure is tested and specified using data from the Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project (HCUP) (see section 1.1 and 1.2 of the measure testing form), the measure specifications and software are specified to be used with any ICD-9-CM- or ICD-10-CM/PCS coded administrative billing/claims/discharge dataset.

Available at measure-specific web page URL identified in S.1 Attachment PQI05_Technical_Specifications_v6.0_151214v02.xlsx

LEVEL

Population: County or City

SETTING

Other all community based care

NUMERATOR STATEMENT

Discharges, for patients ages 40 years and older, with either

- a principal ICD-9-CM or ICD-10-CM/PCS diagnosis code for COPD (excluding acute bronchitis); or
- a principal ICD-9-CM or ICD-10-CM/PCS diagnosis code for asthma

[NOTE: By definition, discharges with a principal diagnosis of COPD or asthma are precluded from an assignment of MDC 14 by grouper software. Thus, obstetric discharges should not be considered in the PQI rate, though the AHRQ QI software does not explicitly exclude obstetric cases.]

NUMERATOR DETAILS

Please see attached excel file in S.2b. for Version 6.0 specifications.

Prevention Quality Indicators technical specifications and appendices also available online at http://www.qualityindicators.ahrq.gov/Modules/PQI_TechSpec.aspx). Note: The URL link currently provides Version 5.0 specifications. Version 6.0 specifications will be released publicly March 2016.

DENOMINATOR STATEMENT

Population ages 40 years and older in metropolitan area or county. Discharges in the numerator are assigned to the denominator based on the metropolitan area or county of the patient residence, not the metropolitan area or county of the hospital where the discharge occurred.

DENOMINATOR DETAILS

The term "metropolitan area" (MA) was adopted by the U.S. Census in 1990 and referred collectively to metropolitan statistical areas (MSAs), consolidated metropolitan statistical areas (CMSAs), and primary metropolitan statistical areas (PMSAs). In addition, "area" could refer to either 1) FIPS county, 2) modified FIPS county, 3) 1999 OMB Metropolitan Statistical Area, or 4) 2003 OMB Metropolitan Statistical Area. Micropolitan Statistical Areas are not used in the QI software.

See AHRQ QI website for 2014 Population File Denominator report for calculation of population estimates embedded within AHRQ QI software programs.

 $http://www.qualityindicators.ahrq.gov/Downloads/Software/SAS/V50/AHRQ_QI_Population_File_V50.pdf$

EXCLUSIONS

n/a

EXCLUSION DETAILS

n/a

RISK ADJUSTMENT

Statistical risk model

The predicted value for each case is computed using a logistic regression with covariates for gender and age (in 5-year age groups). An option model is available that includes percent of households under the federal poverty level as well. Because we cannot individually observe the age and gender of each person in a counties population, we use the age and gender distribution of the county to estimate the number of "cases" in each age*gender group. The reference population used in the regression is the universe of discharges for states that participate in the HCUP State Inpatient Data (SID) for the year 2013 (combined), a database consisting of 40 states and the U.S. Census data by county. The expected rate is computed as the sum of the predicted value for each case divided by the number of cases for the unit of analysis of interest (i.e., area). The risk adjusted rate is computed using indirect standardization as the observed rate divided by the expected rate, multiplied by the reference population rate.

Additional information on methodology can be found in the Empirical Methods document on the AHRQ Quality Indicator website (www.qualityindicators.ahrq.gov) and attached in the supplemental information.

The specific covariates for this measure are as follows:

PARAMETER LABEL

SEX Female

AGE Male, Age 40-44

AGE Male, Age 45-49

AGE Male, Age 50-54

AGE Male, Age 55-59

AGE Male, Age 60-64

AGE Male, Age 65-69

AGE Male, Age 70-74

AGE Male, Age 75-79

AGE Male, Age 80-84

AGE Male, Age 85+

AGE Female, Age 40-44

AGE Female, Age 45-49

AGE Female, Age 50-54

AGE Female, Age 55-59

AGE Female, Age 60-64

AGE Female, Age 65-69

AGE Female, Age 70-74

AGE Female, Age 75-79

AGE Female, Age 80-84

AGE Female, Age 85+

POVCAT Poverty Decile 2 **POVCAT** Poverty Decile 3 **POVCAT** Poverty Decile 4 **POVCAT** Poverty Decile 5 **POVCAT** Poverty Decile 6 **POVCAT** Poverty Decile 7 **POVCAT** Poverty Decile 8 **POVCAT** Poverty Decile 9

POVCAT Poverty Decile 10 (Highest percent poverty)*

Source: http://qualityindicators.ahrq.gov/Modules/pqi_resources.aspx

Parameter estimates with and without SES covariates (POVCAT) are included with the Technical Specifications.

Please note Version 6.0 will be released publicly in March 2016.

Available in attached Excel or csv file at S.2b

STRATIFICATION

n/a

TYPE SCORE

Rate/proportion better quality = lower score

ALGORITHM

The observed rate of each PQI is simply the number of individuals living in a county admitted to the hospital for the condition of interest divided by the census population estimate for the area (for PQI 05 ages 40 and above). The expected rate is a comparative rate that incorporates information about a reference population that is not part of the user's input dataset – what rate would be observed if the expected performance observed in the reference population and estimated with risk adjustment regression models, were applied to the mix of patients with demographic distributions observed in the user's dataset? The expected rate is calculated only for risk-adjusted indicators.

The expected rate is estimated for each county using logistic regression.

The risk-adjusted rate is a comparative rate that also incorporates information about a reference population that is not part of the input dataset — what rate would be observed if the performance observed in the user's dataset were applied to a mix of patients with demographics distributed like the reference population. The risk adjusted rate is calculated using the indirect method as observed rate divided by expected rate multiplied by the reference population rate. The smoothed rate is the weighted average of the risk-adjusted rate from the user's input dataset and the rate observed in the reference population; the smoothed rate is calculated with a shrinkage estimator to result in a rate near that from the user's dataset if the provider's rate is estimated in a stable fashion with minimal noise, or to result in a rate near that of the reference population if the variance of the estimated rate from the input dataset is large compared with the hospital-to-hospital variance estimated from the reference population. Thus, the smoothed rate is a weighted average of the risk-adjusted rate and the reference population

^{*}Deciles are based on the percentage of households under the federal poverty level (FPL).

rate, where the weight is the signal-to-noise ratio. In practice, the smoothed rate brings rates toward the mean, and tends to do this more so for outliers (such as rural counties).

For additional information, please see supporting information in the Quality Indicator Empirical Methods attached in the supplemental files. No diagram provided

COPYRIGHT / DISCLAIMER

- 5.1 Identified measures:
- 5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized?
- 5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact:
- 5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value:

0279 Bacterial Pneumonia Admission Rate (PQI 11)

STEWARD

Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality

DESCRIPTION

Admissions with a principal diagnosis of bacterial pneumonia per 1,000 population, ages 18 years and older. Excludes sickle cell or hemoglobin-S admissions, other indications of immunocompromised state admissions, obstetric admissions, and transfers from other institutions.

TYPE

Outcome

DATA SOURCE

Administrative claims While the measure is tested and specified using data from the Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project (HCUP) (see section 1.1 and 1.2 of the measure testing form), the measure specifications and software are specified to be used with any ICD-9-CM- or ICD-10-CM/PCS coded administrative billing/claims/discharge dataset.

Available at measure-specific web page URL identified in S.1 Attachment PQI11_Technical_Specifications_v6.1alpha_151214_v02.xlsx

LEVEL

Population: County or City

SETTING

Other All community based care

NUMERATOR STATEMENT

Discharges, for patients ages 18 years and older, with a principal ICD-9-CM or ICD-10-CM-PCS diagnosis code for bacterial pneumonia.

[NOTE: By definition, discharges with a principal diagnosis of bacterial pneumonia are precluded from an assignment of MDC 14 by grouper software. Thus, obstetric discharges should not be

considered in the PQI rate, though the AHRQ QI software does not explicitly exclude obstetric cases.]

NUMERATOR DETAILS

Please see attached excel file in S.2b. for Version 6.0 specifications.

Prevention Quality Indicators technical specifications and appendices also available online at http://www.qualityindicators.ahrq.gov/Modules/PQI_TechSpec.aspx). Note: The URL link currently provides Version 5.0 specifications. Version 6.0 specifications will be released publicly March 2016.

DENOMINATOR STATEMENT

Population ages 18 years and older in metropolitan area or county. Discharges in the numerator are assigned to the denominator based on the metropolitan area or county of the patient residence, not the metropolitan area or county of the hospital where the discharge occurred.

DENOMINATOR DETAILS

The term "metropolitan area" (MA) was adopted by the U.S. Census in 1990 and referred collectively to metropolitan statistical areas (MSAs), consolidated metropolitan statistical areas (CMSAs), and primary metropolitan statistical areas (PMSAs). In addition, "area" could refer to either 1) FIPS county, 2) modified FIPS county, 3) 1999 OMB Metropolitan Statistical Area, or 4) 2003 OMB Metropolitan Statistical Area. Micropolitan Statistical Areas are not used in the QI software.

See AHRQ QI website for 2014 Population File Denominator report for calculation of population estimates embedded within AHRQ QI software programs.

 $http://www.qualityindicators.ahrq.gov/Downloads/Software/SAS/V50/AHRQ_QI_Population_File_V50.pdf$

EXCLUSIONS

Not applicable.

EXCLUSION DETAILS

Not applicable.

RISK ADJUSTMENT

Statistical risk model

The predicted value for each case is computed using a hierarchical model (logistic regression with hospital random effect) and covariates for gender, age (in 5-year age groups). An option model is available that includes percent of households under the federal poverty level as well. Because we cannot individually observe the age and gender of each person in a counties population, we use the age and gender distribution of the county to estimate the number of "cases" in each age*gender group. The reference population used in the regression is the universe of discharges for states that participate in the HCUP State Inpatient Data (SID) for the year 2013 (combined), a database consisting of 40 states, and the U.S. Census data by county. The expected rate is computed as the sum of the predicted value for each case divided by the number of cases for the unit of analysis of interest (i.e., area). The risk adjusted rate is computed using indirect standardization as the observed rate divided by the expected rate, multiplied by the reference population rate.

Additional information on methodology can be found in the Empirical Methods document on the AHRQ Quality Indicator website (www.qualityindicators.ahrq.gov) and in the supplemental information attached.

The specific covariates for this measure are as follows:

PARAMETER **LABEL**

- SEX Female
- AGE Male, Age 18-24
- AGE Male, Age 25-29
- AGE Male, Age 30-34
- AGE Male, Age 35-39
- AGE Male, Age 40-44
- AGE Male, Age 45-49
- AGE

Male, Age 50-54

- AGE Male, Age 55-59
- AGE
- Male, Age 60-64 AGE
- Male, Age 65-69
- AGE Male, Age 70-74
- AGE Male, Age 75-79
- AGE Male, Age 80-84
- AGE Male, Age 85+
- AGE Female, Age 18-24
- AGE Female, Age 25-29
- AGE Female, Age 30-34
- AGE Female, Age 35-39
- AGE Female, Age 40-44
- AGE Female, Age 45-49
- AGE Female, Age 50-54
- AGE Female, Age 55-59
- AGE Female, Age 60-64
- AGE Female, Age 65-69
- AGE Female, Age 70-74
- AGE Female, Age 75-79
- AGE Female, Age 80-84
- AGE Female, Age 85+
- **POVCAT** Poverty Decile 2
- **POVCAT** Poverty Decile 3
- **POVCAT** Poverty Decile 4
- **POVCAT** Poverty Decile 5
- POVCAT Poverty Decile 6

POVCAT Poverty Decile 7
POVCAT Poverty Decile 8
POVCAT Poverty Decile 9

POVCAT Poverty Decile 10 (Highest percent poverty)1

1Deciles are based on the percentage of households under the federal poverty level (FPL).

Source: http://qualityindicators.ahrq.gov/Modules/pqi_resources.aspx

Parameter estimates with and without SES covariates (POVCAT) are included with the Technical Specifications.

Please note Version 6.0 will be released publicly in March 2016.

Available in attached Excel or csv file at S.2b

STRATIFICATION

Not applicable.

TYPE SCORE

Rate/proportion better quality = lower score

ALGORITHM

The observed rate of each PQI is simply the number of individuals living in a county admitted to the hospital for the condition of interest divided by the census population estimate for the area (adult population for adult measures and child population for pediatric measures). The expected rate is a comparative rate that incorporates information about a reference population that is not part of the user's input dataset – what rate would be observed if the expected performance observed in the reference population and estimated with risk adjustment regression models, were applied to the mix of patients with demographic distributions observed in the user's dataset? The expected rate is calculated only for risk-adjusted indicators.

The expected rate is estimated for each county using logistic regression.

The risk-adjusted rate is a comparative rate that also incorporates information about a reference population that is not part of the input dataset – what rate would be observed if the performance observed in the user's dataset were applied to a mix of patients with demographics distributed like the reference population? The risk adjusted rate is calculated using the indirect method as observed rate divided by expected rate multiplied by the reference population rate. The smoothed rate is the weighted average of the risk-adjusted rate from the user's input dataset and the rate observed in the reference population; the smoothed rate is calculated with a shrinkage estimator to result in a rate near that from the user's dataset if the provider's rate is estimated in a stable fashion with minimal noise, or to result in a rate near that of the reference population if the variance of the estimated rate from the input dataset is large compared with the hospital-to-hospital variance estimated from the reference population. Thus, the smoothed rate is a weighted average of the risk-adjusted rate and the reference population rate, where the weight is the signal-to-noise ratio. In practice, the smoothed rate brings rates toward the mean, and tends to do this more so for outliers (such as rural counties).

For additional information, please see supporting information in the Quality Indicator Empirical Methods attached in the supplemental files. No diagram provided

COPYRIGHT / DISCLAIMER

- 5.1 Identified measures:
- 5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized?
- 5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact:
- 5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value:

0283 Asthma in Younger Adults Admission Rate (PQI 15)

STEWARD

Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality

DESCRIPTION

Admissions for a principal diagnosis of asthma per 1,000 population, ages 18 to 39 years. Excludes admissions with an indication of cystic fibrosis or anomalies of the respiratory system, obstetric admissions, and transfers from other institutions.

TYPE

Outcome

DATA SOURCE

Administrative claims While the measure is tested and specified using data from the Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project (HCUP) (see section 1.1 and 1.2 of the measure testing form), the measure specifications and software are specified to be used with any ICD-9-CM or ICD-10-CM/PCS coded administrative billing/claims/discharge dataset.

Available at measure-specific web page URL identified in S.1 Attachment PQI15_Technical_Specifications_v6.0_151214_v02.xlsx

LEVEL

Population: County or City

SETTING

Other All community based care

NUMERATOR STATEMENT

Discharges, for patients ages 18 through 39 years, with a principal ICD-9-CM or ICD-10-CM/PCS diagnosis code for asthma.

[NOTE: By definition, discharges with a principal diagnosis of asthma are precluded from an assignment of MDC 14 by grouper software. Thus, obstetric discharges should not be considered in the PQI rate, though the AHRQ QI software does not explicitly exclude obstetric cases.]

NUMERATOR DETAILS

Please see attached excel file in S.2b. for Version 6.0 specifications.

Prevention Quality Indicators technical specifications and appendices also available online at http://www.qualityindicators.ahrq.gov/Modules/PQI_TechSpec.aspx). Note: The URL link

currently provides Version 5.0 specifications. Version 6.0 specifications will be released publicly March 2016.

DENOMINATOR STATEMENT

Population ages 18 through 39 years in metropolitan area or county. Discharges in the numerator are assigned to the denominator based on the metropolitan area or county of the patient residence, not the metropolitan area or county of the hospital where the discharge occurred.

DENOMINATOR DETAILS

† The term "metropolitan area" (MA) was adopted by the U.S. Census in 1990 and referred collectively to metropolitan statistical areas (MSAs), consolidated metropolitan statistical areas (CMSAs) and primary metropolitan statistical areas (PMSAs). In addition, "area" could refer to either 1) FIPS county, 2) modified FIPS county, 3) 1999 OMB Metropolitan Statistical Area or 4) 2003 OMB Metropolitan Statistical Area. Micropolitan Statistical Areas are not used in the QI software.

See AHRQ QI website for 2014 Population File Denominator report for calculation of population estimates embedded within AHRQ QI software programs.

 $http://www.qualityindicators.ahrq.gov/Downloads/Software/SAS/V50/AHRQ_QI_Population_File_V50.pdf$

EXCLUSIONS

Not applicable.

EXCLUSION DETAILS

Not applicable.

RISK ADJUSTMENT

Statistical risk model

The predicted value for each case is computed using a hierarchical model (logistic regression with hospital random effect) and covariates for gender, age (in 5-year age groups). An option model is available that includes percent of households under the federal poverty level as well. Because we cannot individually observe the age and gender of each person in a counties population, we use the age and gender distribution of the county to estimate the number of "cases" in each age*gender group. The reference population used in the regression is the universe of discharges for states that participate in the HCUP State Inpatient Data (SID) for the year 2013 (combined), a database consisting of 40 states and the U.S. Census data by county. The expected rate is computed as the sum of the predicted value for each case divided by the number of cases for the unit of analysis of interest (i.e., area). The risk adjusted rate is computed using indirect standardization as the observed rate divided by the expected rate, multiplied by the reference population rate.

Additional information on methodology can be found in the Empirical Methods document on the AHRQ Quality Indicator website (www.qualityindicators.ahrq.gov) and in the attached supplemental information.

The specific covariates for this measure are as follows:

PARAMETER LABEL

SEX Female

AGE Male, Age 18-24

AGE Male, Age 25-29

AGE Male, Age 30-34

AGE Male, Age 35-39

AGE Female, Age 18-24

AGE Female, Age 25-29

AGE Female, Age 30-34

AGE Female, Age 35-39

POVCAT Poverty Decile 2

POVCAT Poverty Decile 3

POVCAT Poverty Decile 4

POVCAT Poverty Decile 5

POVCAT Poverty Decile 6

POVCAT Poverty Decile 7

POVCAT Poverty Decile 8

POVCAT Poverty Decile 9

POVCAT Poverty Decile 10 (Highest percent poverty)1

1Deciles are based on the percentage of households under the federal poverty level (FPL).

Source: http://qualityindicators.ahrq.gov/Modules/pqi_resources.aspx

Parameter estimates with and without SES covariates (POVCAT) are included with the Technical Specifications.

Please note Version 6.0 will be released publicly March 2016.

Available in attached Excel or csv file at S.2b

STRATIFICATION

Not applicable.

TYPE SCORE

Rate/proportion better quality = lower score

ALGORITHM

The observed rate of each PQI is simply the number of individuals living in a county admitted to the hospital for the condition of interest divided by the census population estimate for the area (for PQI 15 ages 18-39). The expected rate is a comparative rate that incorporates information about a reference population that is not part of the user's input dataset – what rate would be observed if the expected performance observed in the reference population and estimated with risk adjustment regression models, were applied to the mix of patients with demographic distributions observed in the user's dataset? The expected rate is calculated only for risk-adjusted indicators.

The expected rate is estimated for each county using logistic regression.

The risk-adjusted rate is a comparative rate that also incorporates information about a reference population that is not part of the input dataset – what rate would be observed if the performance observed in the user's dataset were applied to a mix of patients with demographics distributed like the reference population. The risk adjusted rate is calculated using the indirect method as observed rate divided by expected rate multiplied by the reference population rate. The smoothed rate is the weighted average of the risk-adjusted rate from the user's input dataset and the rate observed in the reference population; the smoothed rate is calculated with a shrinkage estimator to result in a rate near that from the user's dataset if the provider's rate is estimated in a stable fashion with minimal noise, or to result in a rate near that of the reference population if the variance of the estimated rate from the input dataset is large compared with the hospital-to-hospital variance estimated from the reference population. Thus, the smoothed rate is a weighted average of the risk-adjusted rate and the reference population rate, where the weight is the signal-to-noise ratio. In practice, the smoothed rate brings rates toward the mean, and tends to do this more so for outliers (such as rural counties).

For additional information, please see supporting information in the Quality Indicator Empirical Methods attached in the supplemental files. No diagram provided

COPYRIGHT / DISCLAIMER

- 5.1 Identified measures:
- 5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized?
- 5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact:
- 5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value:

0334 PICU Severity-adjusted Length of Stay

STEWARD

Virtual PICU Systems, LLC

DESCRIPTION

The number of days between PICU admission and PICU discharge.

TYPE

Outcome

DATA SOURCE

Administrative claims, Paper Medical Records, Electronic Clinical Data: Registry No mandatory data source or collection instrument for PICU community. Potential resources include PICU-specific databases or the VPS database (myvps.org).

Available at measure-specific web page URL identified in S.1 No data dictionary

LEVEL

Facility

SETTING

Hospital/Acute Care Facility

NUMERATOR STATEMENT

Number of PICU days, PICU days = Number of days between PICU admission and PICU discharge.(For all eligible patients admitted to the ICU, the time at discharge from ICU minus the time of ICU admission (first recorded vital sign on ICU flow sheet)

NUMERATOR DETAILS

All patients < 18 years of age

Numerator is the average (mean) observed LOS with the observed LOS (if the observed LOS exceeded 30 days, then the LOS was reduced to 30 days).

DENOMINATOR STATEMENT

The denominator is the average (mean) predicted length of stay using the adjustment model.

DENOMINATOR DETAILS

The denominator is the average (mean) predicted length of stay using the adjustment model.

EXCLUSIONS

Patients => 18 years of age

EXCLUSION DETAILS

Patient age > 18 years and patients not eligible for PRISM measurement

RISK ADJUSTMENT

Statistical risk model

Selection criteria for risk adjustment tool for pediatric ICU's:

- Tool must allow quality assessment and comparison between intensive care units, and must be widely used
- Tool must be valid and reliable for severity adjustment and measurement of quality of care provided
- Computation of mortality risk must be in the public domain (i.e. free of charge)
- Algorithms must receive ongoing validation and recalibration

The PRISM 3 model meets these criteria.

VPS has updated the original PRISM LOS model by adding more predictors and re-estimating the coefficients. We developed the linear regression model for LOS on the training dataset (based on admissions between Q2 2009 and Q1 2013, n=275,013), and independently confirmed the performance of the resulting model on the validation dataset (based on admissions between Q2 2013 and Q1 2014, n=73,705).

A few patients having long ICU stays can disproportionately influence LOS models. We used a 30-day truncation: if any patient had an observed LOS exceeding 30 days, the LOS was reduced to 30 days. Among 348,718 PICU admissions, less than 2% of PICU stays were longer than 30 days.

Since the latest model release is intended to be a refresh of the PRISM III LOS model, we used predictors that are included in PRISM III Risk of Mortality (ROM) and did not include interaction terms or site level predictors. The LOS (in days) is predicted from the following terms at the patient-level:

- (1) PRISM3 Score
- (2) Neonatal (less than 1 month) patient,
- (3) Infant (1 month to 1 year) patient,
- (4) Post-operative patient,
- (5) Admission of patient from Inpatient Unit,
- (6) Previous ICU admission,
- (7) Patient with an oncology diagnosis,
- (8) Patient with an acute overdose,
- (9) Patient with acute diabetes,
- (10) Patient with an operative cardiac disease,
- (11) Patient with pneumonia,
- (12) Patient with non-head trauma,
- (13) Patient associated with an acute problem, and
- (14) Patient on mechanical ventilation.

References

- [1]. Pollack MM. Recalibration of the Length of Stay (LOS) Algorithm: 2006. Personal Communication. 2006.
- [2] VPS Webpage. VPS New PRISM 3 LOS Model. 2015.

https://s3.amazonaws.com/vpspublic/PRISM+LOS+brochure.pdf

STRATIFICATION

Risk-adjustment measure, not stratification.

TYPE SCORE

Ratio better quality = lower score

ALGORITHM

The standardized length of stay ratio (SLOSR) is created by dividing the average (mean) observed physical length of stay (truncated at 30 days) by the average (mean) predicted length of stay. Cases must meet PRISM 3 inclusion criteria to receive a PRISM 3 length of stay prediction.

Numerator is the average (mean) observed LOS with the observed LOS = observed LOS exceeding 30 days, the LOS was reduced to 30 days.

The denominator is the average (mean) predicted length of stay using the adjustment model.

Risk adjustment/severity of illness addressed using PRISM 3 methodology.

https://s3.amazonaws.com/vpspublic/PRISM+LOS+brochure.pdf. Available at measure-specific web page URL identified in S.1

COPYRIGHT / DISCLAIMER

5.1 Identified measures:

5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized?

5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact:

5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value: N/A

0335 PICU Unplanned Readmission Rate

STEWARD

Virtual PICU Systems, LLC

DESCRIPTION

The total number of patients requiring unscheduled readmission to the ICU within 24 hours of discharge or transfer.

TYPE

Outcome

DATA SOURCE

Electronic Clinical Data: Registry No mandatory data source or collection instrument for PICU community. Potential resources include PICU-specific databases or the VPS database (myvps.org).

Available at measure-specific web page URL identified in S.1 No data dictionary

LEVEL

Facility

SETTING

Hospital/Acute Care Facility

NUMERATOR STATEMENT

Total number of unplanned readmissions within 24 hours after discharge/transfer from the PICU.

NUMERATOR DETAILS

Inclusion: All PICU patients < 18 years of age

Exclusions:

- Patients = 18 years of age
- Readmissions > 24 hours following discharge/transfer from PICU
- All planned readmissions

DENOMINATOR STATEMENT

100 PICU Discharges, <18 yrs of age

DENOMINATOR DETAILS

All PICU patients <18 years of age

EXCLUSIONS

Patients =>18 years of age,

EXCLUSION DETAILS

Patients not yet discharged from PICU

RISK ADJUSTMENT

No risk adjustment or risk stratification

N/A

STRATIFICATION

N/A

TYPE SCORE

Rate/proportion better quality = lower score

ALGORITHM

First, identify all discharges/transfers from PICU who are readmitted, limited to children <18 years of age.

Second, exclude all planned readmissions.

Third, use above number as numerator over denominator of PICU dischages/transfers.

Report per 100 PICU discharges Available at measure-specific web page URL identified in S.1

COPYRIGHT / DISCLAIMER

5.1 Identified measures:

5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized?

5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact:

5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value: All existing and potentially competing measures endorsed by NQF are 1) focused on adults and 2) focused on hospital populations with an emphasis on readmission to the hospital, not the ICU. They are fundamentally different in their intent.

0343 PICU Standardized Mortality Ratio

STEWARD

Virtual PICU Systems, LLC

DESCRIPTION

The ratio of actual deaths over predicted deaths for PICU patients.

TYPE

Outcome

DATA SOURCE

Administrative claims, Paper Medical Records, Electronic Clinical Data: Registry No mandatory data source or collection instrument for PICU community. Potential resources include PICU-specific databases or the VPS database (myvps.org).

Available at measure-specific web page URL identified in S.1 No data dictionary

LEVEL

Facility

SETTING

Hospital/Acute Care Facility

NUMERATOR STATEMENT

Actual number of deaths occurring in PICU.

NUMERATOR DETAILS

Exclusions:

- PICU patients >=18 years of age
- PICU patients under the age of 18 years with a stay < 2 hours in the PICU
- < 2 consecutive sets of vital signs consistent with life
- Patients housed in the ICU on boarder status or Intermediate care status

DENOMINATOR STATEMENT

The sum of of predicted PRISM 3 mortality. "Predicted mortality" = Number of deaths expected based on assessed physiologic risk of mortality.

Include all PICU patients < 18 year of age admitted to the PICU for greater than 2 hours or with at least two consecutive sets of vital signs consistent with life with risk of mortality assessment or boarder/IMCU status.

DENOMINATOR DETAILS

Inclusions:

• All PICU patients < 18 year of age admitted to the PICU for greater than 2 hours or with at least two consecutive sets of vital signs consistent with life with risk of mortality assessment

EXCLUSIONS

Include all PICU patients < 18 year of age admitted to the PICU for greater than 2 hours or with at least two consecutive sets of vital signs consistent with life with risk of mortality assessment or boarder/IMCU status.

EXCLUSION DETAILS

All PICU patients >= 18 years of age, PICU patients with a stay < 2 hours or < 2 consecutive sets of vital signs consistent with life, deaths occurring outside the PICU, patients admitted to PICU for palliative care: AAP Committee on Bioethics

RISK ADJUSTMENT

Statistical risk model

Selection criteria for risk adjustment tool for pediatric ICU's:

- Tool must allow quality assessment and comparison between intensive care units, and must be widely used
- Tool must be valid and reliable for severity adjustment and measurement of quality of care provided
- Computation of mortality risk must be in the public domain (i.e. free of charge)
- Algorithms must receive ongoing validation and recalibration

The PRISM 3 model meets these criteria.

The risk model was developed using forward stepping logistic regression. Final variables were selected using a significance level p<0.05.

The risk factor variables used in the version of PRISM 3 currently in use in the VPS dataset include:

- PRISM 3 12-hour score
- PRISM 3 12-hour score squared
- Pre-ICU care area
- Operative status
- Acute diagnosis of diabetes
- Pre-ICU cardiac massage
- Age
- 1. Pollack MM, Patel KM, Ruttimann UE. PRISM III: an updated pediatric risk of mortality score. Crit Care Med 1996;24:743-52.

STRATIFICATION

No additional stratification occurs beyond the risk adjustment inherent to this measure. That is, the expected mortality that serves as the denominator in this measure specifically accounts for the severity of illness of patients included in the measure.

TYPE SCORE

Ratio better quality = lower score

ALGORITHM

PRISM 3 is a valid, realiable and internationally accepted risk measurement tool. The methodology and measure specifications have been published(1) and are available at https://s3.amazonaws.com/vpspublic/NQFMeasures.pdf

1. Pollack MM, Patel KM, Ruttimann UE. PRISM III: an updated pediatric risk of mortality score. Crit Care Med 1996;24:743-52.

COPYRIGHT / DISCLAIMER

- 5.1 Identified measures:
- 5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized?
- 5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact:
- 5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value: N/A

0468 Hospital 30-day, all-cause, risk-standardized mortality rate (RSMR) following pneumonia hospitalization

STEWARD

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)

DESCRIPTION

The measure estimates a hospital-level 30-day risk-standardized mortality rate (RSMR). Mortality is defined as death for any cause within 30 days after the date of admission for the index admission, discharged from the hospital with a principal discharge diagnosis of pneumonia, including aspiration pneumonia or a principal discharge diagnosis of sepsis (not severe sepsis) with a secondary diagnosis of pneumonia (including aspiration pneumonia) coded as present on admission (POA). CMS annually reports the measure for patients who are 65 years or older and are either Medicare fee-for-service (FFS) beneficiaries and hospitalized in non-federal hospitals or patients hospitalized in Veterans Health Administration (VA) facilities.

Please note this measure has been substantially updated since the last submission; as described in S.3., the cohort has been expanded. Throughout this application we refer to this measure as version 9.2.

TYPE

Outcome

DATA SOURCE

Administrative claims Data sources for the Medicare FFS measure:

- 1. Medicare Part A inpatient and Part B outpatient claims: This data source contains claims data for FFS inpatient and outpatient services including: Medicare inpatient hospital care, outpatient hospital services, as well as inpatient and outpatient physician claims for the 12 months prior to an index admission.
- 2. Medicare Enrollment Database (EDB): This database contains Medicare beneficiary demographic, benefit/coverage, and vital status information. This data source was used to obtain information on several inclusion/exclusion indicators such as Medicare status on admission as well as vital status. These data have previously been shown to accurately reflect patient vital status (Fleming et al., 1992).
- 3. The American Community Survey (2008-2012): The American Community Survey data is collected annually and an aggregated 5-years data was used to calculate the AHRQ SES composite index score.
- 4. Data sources for the all-payer update:

For our analyses to examine use in all-payer data, we used all-payer data from California in addition to CMS data for Medicare FFS patients aged 65 years or over (65+) in California hospitals. California is a diverse state, and, with more than 37 million residents, California represents 12% of the US population. We used the California Patient Discharge Data, a large, linked database of patient hospital admissions. In 2009, there were 3,193,904 adult discharges from 446 non-Federal acute care hospitals. Records are linked by a unique patient identification number, allowing us to determine patient history from previous hospitalizations and to evaluate rates of both readmission and mortality (via linking with California vital statistics records).

Using all-payer data from California as well as CMS Medicare FFS data for California hospitals, we performed analyses to determine whether the pneumonia mortality measure can be applied to all adult patients, including not only FFS Medicare patients aged 65 or over, but also non-FFS Medicare patients aged 18-64 years at the time of admission.

Reference:

Fleming C., Fisher ES, Chang CH, Bubolz D, Malenda J. Studying outcomes and hospital utilization in the elderly: The advantages of a merged data base for Medicare and Veterans Affairs Hospitals. Medical Care. 1992; 30(5): 377-91.

No data collection instrument provided Attachment NQF_0468_S2b_Mortality_Data_Dictionary_v0.5_forCMS-635856833973209589.xls

LEVEL

Facility

SETTING

Hospital/Acute Care Facility

NUMERATOR STATEMENT

The outcome for this measure is 30-day all-cause mortality. We define mortality as death from any cause within 30 days of the index admission date for patients 18 and older discharged from the hospital with a principal discharge diagnosis of pneumonia, including aspiration pneumonia or a principal discharge diagnosis of sepsis (not severe sepsis) with a secondary discharge diagnosis of pneumonia (including aspiration pneumonia) coded as POA and no secondary discharge diagnosis of severe sepsis.

NUMERATOR DETAILS

The measure counts deaths for any cause within 30 days of the date of admission of the index pneumonia hospitalization.

Identifying deaths in the FFS measure

As currently reported, we identify deaths for FFS Medicare patients 65 years or over in the Medicare Enrollment Database (EDB).

Identifying deaths in the all-payer measure

For the purposes of development of an all-payer measure, deaths were identified using the California vital statistics data file. Nationally, post-discharge deaths can be identified using an external source of vital status, such as the Social Security Administration's Death Master File (DMF) or the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's National Death Index (NDI).

DENOMINATOR STATEMENT

This claims-based measure can be used in either of two patient cohorts: (1) patients aged 65 years or over or (2) patients aged 18 years or older. We have specifically tested the measure in both age groups.

The cohort includes admissions for patients aged 18 years and older discharged from the hospital with principal discharge diagnosis of pneumonia, including aspiration pneumonia or a principal discharge diagnosis of sepsis (not severe sepsis) with a secondary discharge diagnosis of pneumonia (including aspiration pneumonia) coded as POA but no secondary discharge diagnosis of severe sepsis; and with a complete claims history for the 12 months prior to

admission. The measure will be publicly reported by CMS for those patients 65 years or older who are Medicare FFS beneficiaries admitted to non-federal hospitals or patients admitted to VA hospitals.

Additional details are provided in S.9 Denominator Details.

DENOMINATOR DETAILS

To be included in the measure cohort used in public reporting, patients must meet the following inclusion criteria:

- 1. Principal discharge diagnosis of pneumonia, including aspiration pneumonia; or
- Principal discharge diagnosis of sepsis (not including severe sepsis), with a secondary discharge diagnosis of pneumonia (including aspiration pneumonia) coded as POA but no secondary discharge diagnosis of severe sepsis.
- 2. Enrolled in Medicare fee-for-service (FFS)
- 3. Aged 65 or over
- 4. Not transferred from another acute care facility
- 5. Enrolled in Part A and Part B Medicare for the 12 months prior to the date of admission, and enrolled in Part A during the index admission.

This measure can also be used for an all-payer population aged 18 years and older. We have explicitly tested the measure in both patients aged 18 years and older, and those aged 65 years or over (see Testing Attachment for details).

International Classification of Diseases, 9th Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM) codes used to define the cohort for each measure are:

ICD-9 codes that define patients with pneumonia:

- 480.0 Pneumonia due to adenovirus
- 480.1 Pneumonia due to respiratory syncytial virus
- 480.2 Pneumonia due to parainfluenza virus
- 480.3 Pneumonia due to SARS-associated coronavirus
- 480.8 Pneumonia due to other virus not elsewhere classified
- 480.9 Viral pneumonia, unspecified
- 481 Pneumococcal pneumonia
- 482.0 Pneumonia due to Klebsiella pneumoniae
- 482.1 Pneumonia due to Pseudomonas
- 482.2 Pneumonia due to Hemophilus influenzae
- 482.30 Pneumonia due to Streptococcus, unspecified
- 482.31 Pneumonia due to Streptococcus, group A
- 482.32 Pneumonia due to Streptococcus, group B
- 482.39 Pneumonia due to other Streptococcus
- 482.40 Pneumonia due to Staphylococcus, unspecified
- 482.41 Methicillin susceptible pneumonia due to Staphylococcus aureus
- 482.42 Methicillin resistant pneumonia due to Staphylococcus aureus
- 482.49 Other Staphylococcus pneumonia

- 482.81 Pneumonia due to anaerobes
- 482.82 Pneumonia due to escherichia coli
- 482.83 Pneumonia due to other gram-negative bacteria
- 482.84 Pneumonia due to Legionnaires' disease
- 482.89 Pneumonia due to other specified bacteria
- 482.9 Bacterial pneumonia, unspecified
- 483.0 Pneumonia due to mycoplasma pneumoniae
- 483.1 Pneumonia due to chlamydia
- 483.8 Pneumonia due to other specified organism
- 485 Bronchopneumonia, organism unspecified
- 486 Pneumonia, organism unspecified
- 487.0 Influenza with pneumonia
- 488.11 Influenza due to identified 2009 H1N1 influenza virus with pneumonia
- ICD-9 codes that define patients with aspiration pneumonia:
- 507.0 Pneumonitis due to inhalation of food or vomitus
- ICD-9 codes that define patients with sepsis (not including severe sepsis [995.92 or 785.52]) (Cohort requires principal discharge diagnosis of sepsis combined with a secondary discharge diagnosis of pneumonia or aspiration pneumonia coded as POA but no secondary discharge diagnosis of severe sepsis):
- 038.0 Streptococcal septicemia
- 038.10 Staphylococcal septicemia, unspecified
- 038.11 Methicillin susceptible Staphylococcus aureus septicemia
- 038.12 Methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus septicemia
- 038.19 Other staphylococcal septicemia
- 038.2 Pneumococcal septicemia [Streptococcus pneumoniae septicemia]
- 038.3 Septicemia due to anaerobes
- 038.40 Septicemia due to gram-negative organism, unspecified
- 038.41 Septicemia due to hemophilus influenzae [H. influenzae]
- 038.42 Septicemia due to escherichia coli [E. coli]
- 038.43 Septicemia due to pseudomonas
- 038.44 Septicemia due to serratia
- 038.49 Other septicemia due to gram-negative organisms
- 038.8 Other specified septicemias
- 038.9 Unspecified septicemia
- 995.91 Sepsis

- ICD-10 codes that define patients with pneumonia:
- J12.0 Adenoviral pneumonia
- J12.1 Respiratory syncytial virus pneumonia
- J12.2 Parainfluenza virus pneumonia

- J12.81 Pneumonia due to SARS-associated coronavirus
- J12.89 Other viral pneumonia
- J12.9 Viral pneumonia, unspecified
- J13 Pneumonia due to Streptococcus pneumoniae
- J18.1 Lobar pneumonia, unspecified organism
- J15.0 Pneumonia due to Klebsiella pneumoniae
- J15.1 Pneumonia due to Pseudomonas
- J14 Pneumonia due to Hemophilus influenzae
- J15.4 Pneumonia due to other streptococci
- J15.3 Pneumonia due to streptococcus, group B
- J15.20 Pneumonia due to staphylococcus, unspecified
- J15.211 Pneumonia due to Methicillin susceptible staphylococcus
- J15.212 Pneumonia due to Methicillin resistant staphylococcus
- J15.29 Pneumonia due to other staphylococcus
- J15.8 Pneumonia due to other specified bacteria
- J15.5 Pneumonia due to Escherichia coli
- J15.6 Pneumonia due to other aerobic Gram-negative bacteria
- A48.1 Legionnaires' disease
- J15.8 Pneumonia due to other specified bacteria
- J15.9 Unspecified bacterial pneumonia
- J15.7 Pneumonia due to Mycoplasma pneumoniae
- J16.0 Chlamydial pneumonia
- J16.8 Pneumonia due to other specified infectious organisms
- J18.0 Bronchopneumonia, unspecified organism
- J18.9 Pneumonia, unspecified organism
- J11.00 Influenza due to unidentified influenza virus with unspecified type of pneumonia
- J12.9 Viral pneumonia, unspecified
- J10.08 Influenza due to other identified influenza virus
- ICD-10 codes that define patients with aspiration pneumonia:
- J69.0 Pneumonitis due to inhalation of food and vomit
- ICD-10 codes that define patients with sepsis (not including severe sepsis [ICD-9 995.92 or 785.52]) (Cohort requires principal discharge diagnosis of sepsis combined with a secondary discharge diagnosis of pneumonia or aspiration pneumonia coded as POA but no secondary discharge diagnosis of severe sepsis):
- A40.9 Streptococcal sepsis, unspecified
- A41.2 Sepsis due to unspecified staphylococcus
- A41.01 Sepsis due to Methicillin susceptible Staphylococcus
- A41.02 Sepsis due to Methicillin resistant Staphylococcus
- A41.1 Sepsis due to other specified staphylococcus
- A40.3 Sepsis due to Streptococcus pneumoniae

- A41.4 Sepsis due to anaerobes
- A41.50 Gram-negative sepsis, unspecified
- A41.3 Sepsis due to Hemophilus influenzae
- A41.51 Sepsis due to Escherichia coli [E. coli]
- A41.52 Sepsis due to Pseudomonas
- A41.53 Sepsis due to Serratia
- A41.59 Other Gram-negative sepsis
- A41.89 Other specified sepsis
- A41.9 Sepsis, unspecified organism
- An ICD-9 to ICD-10 crosswalk is attached in field S.2b. (Data Dictionary or Code Table).

EXCLUSIONS

The mortality measures exclude index admissions for patients:

- 1. Discharged alive on the day of admission or the following day who were not transferred to another acute care facility;
- 2. With inconsistent or unknown vital status or other unreliable demographic (age and gender) data;
- 3. Enrolled in the Medicare hospice program or used VA hospice services any time in the 12 months prior to the index admission, including the first day of the index admission; or
- 4. Discharged against medical advice (AMA).

For patients with more than one admission for a given condition in a given year, only one index admission for that condition is randomly selected for inclusion in the cohort.

EXCLUSION DETAILS

- 1. The discharge disposition indicator is used to identify patients alive at discharge. Transfers are identified in the claims when a patient with a qualifying admission is discharged from an acute care hospital and admitted to another acute care hospital on the same day or next day. Patient length of stay and condition is identified from the admission claim.
- 2. Inconsistent vital status or unreliable data are identified if any of the following conditions are met 1) the patient's age is greater than 115 years; 2) if the discharge date for a hospitalization is before the admission date; 3) if the patient has a sex other than 'male' or 'female'.
- 3. Hospice enrollment in the 12 months prior to or on the index admission is identified using hospice enrollment data.
- 4. Discharges against medical advice (AMA) are identified using the discharge disposition indicator.

After all exclusions are applied, the measure randomly selects one index admission per patient per year for inclusion in the cohort so that each episode of care is mutually independent with the same probability of the outcome. For each patient, the probability of death increases with each subsequent admission, and therefore, the episodes of care are not mutually independent. Also, for the three year combined data, when index admissions occur during the transition between measure reporting periods (June and July of each year) and both are randomly selected for inclusion in the measure, the measure includes only the June admission. The July admissions are excluded to avoid assigning a single death to two admissions.

RISK ADJUSTMENT

Statistical risk model

Our approach to risk adjustment is tailored to and appropriate for a publicly reported outcome measure, as articulated in the American Heart Association (AHA) Scientific Statement, "Standards for Statistical Models Used for Public Reporting of Health Outcomes" (Krumholz et al., 2006).

The measure employs a hierarchical logistic regression model to create a hospital-level 30-day RSMR. In brief, the approach simultaneously models data at the patient and hospital levels to account for the variance in patient outcomes within and between hospitals (Normand & Shahian, 2007). At the patient level, the model adjusts the log-odds of mortality within 30 days of admission for age, sex, and selected clinical covariates. At the hospital level, the approach models the hospital-specific intercepts as arising from a normal distribution. The hospital intercept represents the underlying risk of death at the hospital, after accounting for patient risk. If there were no differences among hospitals, then after adjusting for patient risk, the hospital intercepts should be identical across all hospitals.

Candidate and Final Risk-adjustment Variables:

Candidate variables were patient-level risk-adjustors that were expected to be predictive of mortality, based on empirical analysis, prior literature, and clinical judgment, including age, sex, and indicators of comorbidity and disease severity. For each patient, covariates are obtained from claims records extending 12 months prior to and including the index admission. For the measure currently implemented by CMS, these risk-adjusters are identified using both inpatient and outpatient Medicare FFS claims data. However, in the all-payer hospital discharge database measure, the risk-adjustment variables can be obtained only from inpatient claims in the prior 12 months and the index admission.

The model adjusts for case-mix differences based on the clinical status of patients at the time of admission. We use condition categories (CCs), which are clinically meaningful groupings of more than 15,000 ICD-9-CM diagnosis codes (Pope et al., 2000). A file that contains a list of the ICD-9-CM codes and their groupings into CCs is attached in data field S.2b (Data Dictionary or Code Table). In addition, only comorbidities that convey information about the patient at admission or in the 12 months prior, and not complications that arise during the course of the index hospitalization, are included in the risk adjustment. Hence, we do not risk adjust for CCs that may represent adverse events of care when they are only recorded in the index admission.

The final set of risk adjustment variables is:

Demographics

Male

Age-65 (years, continuous) for patients aged 65 or over cohorts; or Age (years, continuous) for patients aged 18 and over cohorts.

Comorbidities

History of Percutaneous Transluminal Coronary Angioplasty (PTCA) (ICD-9 codes V45.82, 00.66, 36.06, 36.07)

History of Coronary Artery Bypass Graft (CABG) (ICD-9 codes V45.81, 36.10–36.16)

Congestive heart failure (CC 80)

Acute myocardial infarction (CC 81)

Other acute/subacute forms of ischemic heart disease (CC 82)

Coronary atherosclerosis or angina (CC 83-84)

Cardio-respiratory failure or shock (CC 78-79)

Hypertension (CC 89, 91)

Stroke (CC 95-96)

Cerebrovascular disease (CC 97-99, 103)

Renal failure (CC 131)

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) (CC 108)

Pneumonia (CC 111-114)

Protein-calorie malnutrition (CC 21)

Dementia or other specified brain disorders (CC 49-50)

Hemiplegia, paraplegia, paralysis, functional disability (CC 67-69, 100-102, 177-178)

Vascular disease and complications (CC 104-105)

Metastatic cancer, acute leukemia and other severe cancers (CC 7-8)

Trauma in last year (CC 154-156, 158-162)

Major psychiatric disorders (CC 54-56)

Chronic liver disease (CC 25-27)

Severe hematological disorders (CC 44)

Iron deficiency or other unspecified anemias and blood disease (CC 47)

Depression (CC 58)

Parkinson's or Huntington's diseases (CC 73)

Seizure disorders and convulsions (CC 74)

Fibrosis of lung or other chronic lung disorders (CC 109)

Asthma (CC 110)

Vertebral fractures (CC 157)

Septicemia/sepsis (CC 2)

Respirator dependence/tracheostomy (CC 77)

Disorders of fluid/electrolyte/acid-base (CC 23)

Delirium and encephalopathy (CC 48)

Decubitus ulcer of skin (CC 148)

References:

Krumholz HM, Brindis RG, Brush JE, et al. 2006. Standards for Statistical Models Used for Public Reporting of Health Outcomes: An American Heart Association Scientific Statement From the Quality of Care and Outcomes Research Interdisciplinary Writing Group: Cosponsored by the Council on Epidemiology and Prevention and the Stroke Council Endorsed by the American College of Cardiology Foundation. Circulation 113: 456-462.

Normand S-LT, Shahian DM. 2007. Statistical and Clinical Aspects of Hospital Outcomes Profiling. Stat Sci 22 (2): 206-226.

Pope GC, et al. 2000. Principal Inpatient Diagnostic Cost Group Models for Medicare Risk Adjustment. Health Care Financing Review 21(3): 93-118.

Available in attached Excel or csv file at S.2b

STRATIFICATION

N/A

TYPE SCORE

Rate/proportion better quality = lower score

ALGORITHM

The measure estimates hospital-level 30-day all-cause RSMRs following hospitalization for pneumonia using hierarchical logistic regression models. In brief, the approach simultaneously models data at the patient and hospital levels to account for variance in patient outcomes within and between hospitals (Normand and Shahian, 2007). At the patient level, it models the log-odds of mortality within 30 days of index admission using age, sex, selected clinical covariates, and a hospital-specific intercept. At the hospital level, it models the hospital-specific intercepts as arising from a normal distribution. The hospital intercept represents the underlying risk of a mortality at the hospital, after accounting for patient risk. The hospital-specific intercepts are given a distribution to account for the clustering (non-independence) of patients within the same hospital. If there were no differences among hospitals, then after adjusting for patient risk, the hospital intercepts should be identical across all hospitals.

The RSMR is calculated as the ratio of the number of "predicted" to the number of "expected" deaths at a given hospital, multiplied by the national observed mortality rate. For each hospital, the numerator of the ratio is the number of deaths within 30 days predicted on the basis of the hospital's performance with its observed case mix, and the denominator is the number of deaths expected based on the nation's performance with that hospital's case mix. This approach is analogous to a ratio of "observed" to "expected" used in other types of statistical analyses. It conceptually allows for a comparison of a particular hospital's performance given its case mix to an average hospital's performance with the same case mix. Thus, a lower ratio indicates lower-than-expected mortality rates or better quality, and a higher ratio indicates higher-than-expected mortality rates or worse quality.

The "predicted" number of deaths (the numerator) is calculated by using the coefficients estimated by regressing the risk factors and the hospital-specific intercept on the risk of mortality. The estimated hospital-specific intercept is added to the sum of the estimated regression coefficients multiplied by the patient characteristics. The results are transformed and summed over all patients attributed to a hospital to get a predicted value. The "expected" number of deaths (the denominator) is obtained in the same manner, but a common intercept using all hospitals in our sample is added in place of the hospital-specific intercept. The results are transformed and summed over all patients in the hospital to get an expected value. To assess hospital performance for each reporting period, we re-estimate the model coefficients using the years of data in that period.

This calculation transforms the ratio of predicted over expected into a rate that is compared to the national observed readmission rate. The hierarchical logistic regression models are described fully in the original methodology report (Krumholz et al., 2005).

References:

Krumholz H, Normand S, Galusha D, et al. Risk-Adjustment Models for AMI and HF 30-Day Mortality Methodology. 2005.

Normand S-LT, Shahian DM. 2007. Statistical and Clinical Aspects of Hospital Outcomes Profiling. Stat Sci 22(2): 206-226. No diagram provided

COPYRIGHT / DISCLAIMER

5.1 Identified measures: 0708: Proportion of Patients with Pneumonia that have a Potentially Avoidable Complication (during the episode time window)

0231: Pneumonia Mortality Rate (IQI #20)

0506: Hospital 30-day, all-cause, risk-standardized readmission rate (RSRR) following p

5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized? No

5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact: The pneumonia mortality measure cohort, version 9.0, is harmonized with the hospital-level, risk-standardized payment associated with a 30-day episode of care for pneumonia cohort. Version 9.2 of the pneumonia mortality measure cohort is, however, not harmonized with the pneumonia payment measure cohort. There is intention to harmonize the pneumonia mortality and payment measure cohorts in the future. We did not include in our list of related measures any non-outcome (for example, process) measures with the same target population as our measure. Because this is an outcome measure, clinical coherence of the cohort takes precedence over alignment with related non-outcome measures. Furthermore, non-outcome measures are limited due to broader patient exclusions. This is because they typically only include a specific subset of patients who are eligible for that measure (for example, patients who receive a specific medication or undergo a specific procedure). Lastly, this measure and the NQF Inpatient Pneumonia Mortality (AHRQ) Measure #0231 are complementary rather than competing measures. Although they both assess mortality for patients admitted to acute care hospitals with a principal discharge diagnosis of pneumonia, the specified outcomes are different. This measure assesses 30-day mortality while #0231 assesses inpatient mortality. Assessment of 30day and inpatient mortality outcomes have distinct advantages and uses which make them complementary as opposed to competing. For example the 30-day period provides a broader perspective on hospital care and utilizes standard time period to examine hospital performance to avoid bias by differences in length of stay among hospitals. However, in some settings it may not be feasible to capture post-discharge mortality making the inpatient measure more useable. We have previously consulted with AHRQ to examine harmonization of complementary measures of mortality for patients with AMI and stroke. We have found that the measures are harmonized to the extent possible given that small differences in cohort inclusion and exclusion criteria are warranted on the basis of the use of different outcomes. However, this current measure has been modified from the last endorsed version to include patients with a principal discharge diagnosis of sepsis and a secondary discharge diagnosis of pneumonia that is present on admission. The cohort was also expanded to include patients with a principal discharge diagnosis of aspiration pneumonia. Thus the current measure cohort is no longer harmonized with measure #0231.

5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value: N/A

0513 Thorax CT—Use of Contrast Material

STEWARD

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

DESCRIPTION

This measure calculates the percentage of thorax computed tomography (CT) studies that are performed with and without contrast out of all thorax CT studies performed (those with contrast, those without contrast and those with both) at each facility. The measure is calculated based on a one-year window of Medicare claims data. The measure has been publicly reported, annually, by the measure steward, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), since 2010, as a component of its Hospital Outpatient Quality Reporting (HOQR) Program.

TYPF

Process

DATA SOURCE

Administrative claims This measure was initially constructed using the 100 percent Medicare FFS outpatient SAFs from 2007. These outpatient SAFs contain the claims data on imaging utilization and performed in hospital outpatient departments (including emergency department services), which are necessary to attribute the measure to specific facilities. Public reporting of the measure currently uses the 100 percent Medicare FFS outpatients SAFs from 2013 and 2014.

No data collection instrument provided Attachment NQF_0513_Measure_Value_Sets_2015-12-10.xlsx

LEVEL

Facility, Population: National, Population: State

SETTING

Ambulatory Care: Clinician Office/Clinic, Hospital/Acute Care Facility, Imaging Facility

NUMERATOR STATEMENT

The number of thorax CT studies with and without contrast ("combined studies").

NUMERATOR DETAILS

The numerator is defined by the following CPT Code:

71270- Thorax CT with and without contrast.

DENOMINATOR STATEMENT

The number of thorax CT studies performed (with contrast, without contrast, or both with and without contrast) on Medicare beneficiaries within a 12-month time window.

DENOMINATOR DETAILS

The denominator is defined by the following CPT codes:

71250- Thorax CT without contrast.

71260- Thorax CT with contrast.

71270- Thorax CT with and without contrast.

Global and TC claims should be considered in order to capture all outpatient volume facility claims, typically paid under the Outpatient Prospective Payment System (OPPS)/Ambulatory Payment Classifications (APC) methodology, and to avoid double counting of professional component claims (i.e., 26 modifier).

A technical unit can be identified by a modifier code of TC. A global unit can be identified by the absence of a TC or 26 modifier code.

Thorax CT studies can be billed separately for the technical and professional components, or billed globally, which includes both the professional and TCs.

Professional component claims will outnumber TC claims due to over-reads.

EXCLUSIONS

Indications for measure exclusion include any patients with diagnosis codes associated with: internal injury of chest, abdomen, and pelvis; injury to blood vessels; or crushing injury.

EXCLUSION DETAILS

Indications for measure exclusion include any patients with the following diagnosis codes:

Internal Injury of Chest, Abdomen, and Pelvis

ICD-9 Codes: 860-869 Injury to Blood Vessels ICD-9 Codes: 901-902

Crushing Injury

ICD-9 Codes: 926, 929

Crushing Injury of unspecified hip with thigh

ICD-10 Codes: S77.20*
Injuries to the thorax

ICD-10 codes: S21.301*-S21.459*, S25.00X*-S27.9XX*

Injuries to the abdomen, lower back, lumbar spine, pelvis, and external genitals

ICD-10 codes: S31.001*, S31.021*, S31.031*, S31.041*, S31.051*, S31.600*-S31.659*, S35.00X*-

S38.1XX*

For ICD-10 exclusion codes, an appending asterisk (*) represents a wildcard for that digit.

RISK ADJUSTMENT

No risk adjustment or risk stratification

Not applicable; this measure does not risk adjust.

Provided in response box S.15a

STRATIFICATION

Not applicable; this measure does not stratify its results.

TYPE SCORE

Other (specify): Percentage better quality = lower score

ALGORITHM

This measure calculates the percentage of thorax studies that are performed with and without contrast, out of all thorax studies performed (those with contrast, those without contrast, and those with both). The measure is calculated based on a one-year window of hospital outpatient claims data, as follows:

- 1. Select hospital outpatient claims with a CPT code for any thorax CT study (i.e., 71250- Thorax CT without Contrast, 71260- Thorax CT with Contrast, or 71270- Thorax CT with and without Contrast) on a revenue line item
- 2. Exclude professional component only claims with modifier = '26'
- 3. Exclude cases with one or more exclusion diagnoses included on claim
- 4. Set denominator counter = 1
- 5. Set numerator counter = 1 if CPT code = 71270 thorax CT studies with and without contrast (combined studies)
- 6. Aggregate denominator and numerator counts by Medicare provider number
- 7. Measure = numerator counts/denominator counts [The value should be recorded as a percentage] No diagram provided

COPYRIGHT / DISCLAIMER

- 5.1 Identified measures:
- 5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized?
- 5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact:
- 5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value: Not applicable

0577 Use of Spirometry Testing in the Assessment and Diagnosis of COPD

STATUS

Submitted

STEWARD

National Committee for Quality Assurance

DESCRIPTION

The percentage of patients 40 years of age and older with a new diagnosis of COPD or newly active COPD, who received appropriate spirometry testing to confirm the diagnosis.

TYPF

Process

DATA SOURCE

Administrative claims This measure is based on administrative claims collected in the course of providing care to health plan members. NCQA collects the Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set (HEDIS) data for this measure directly from Health Management Organizations and Preferred Provider Organizations via NCQA's online data submission system.

No data collection instrument provided Attachment 0577 SPR Value Sets.xlsx

LEVEL

Health Plan, Integrated Delivery System

SETTING

Ambulatory Care: Clinician Office/Clinic

NUMERATOR STATEMENT

At least one claim/encounter for spirometry during the 730 days (2 years) prior to the Index Episode Start Date through 180 days (6 months) after the Index Episode Start Date. The Index Episode Start Date is the earliest date of service for an eligible visit (outpatient, ED or acute inpatient) during the 6 months prior to the beginning of the measurement year through 6 months after the beginning of the measurement year with any diagnosis of COPD.

NUMERATOR DETAILS

Follow the steps below to identify numerator compliance.

Identify the number of patients who had at least one claim/encounter for spirometry (Spirometry Value Set) during the 730 days (2 years) prior to the Index Episode Start Date through 180 days (6 months) after the Index Episode Start Date. The Index Episode Start Date is the earliest date of service for an eligible visit (outpatient, ED or acute inpatient) during the 6 months prior to the beginning of the measurement year through 6 months after the beginning of the measurement year with any diagnosis of COPD.

- For an outpatient claim/encounter, the Index Episode Start Date is the date of service.
- For an acute inpatient claim/encounter, the Index Episode Start Date is the date of discharge.
- For a transfer or readmission, the Index Episode Start Date is the discharge date of the original admission.

See corresponding Excel file for value sets referenced above.

DENOMINATOR STATEMENT

All patients age 42 years or older as of December 31 of the measurement year, who had a new diagnosis of COPD or newly active COPD during the 6 months prior to the beginning of the measurement year through the 6 months before the end of the measurement year.

DENOMINATOR DETAILS

The eligible population for the denominator is defined by following the series of steps below:

Step 1: Determine the Index Episode Start Date. Identify all patients who had any of the following during the intake period (the 6 months prior to the beginning of the measurement year through the 6 months before the end of the measurement year):

- 1) An outpatient visit (Outpatient Value Set), an observation visit (Observation Value Set), or an ED visit (ED Value Set) with any diagnosis of COPD (COPD Value Set), emphysema (Emphysema Value Set) or chronic bronchitis (Chronic Bronchitis Value Set). Do not include ED visits that result in an inpatient admission.
- 2) An acute inpatient discharge with any diagnosis of COPD (COPD Value Set), emphysema (Emphysema Value Set) or chronic bronchitis (Chronic Bronchitis Value Set). To identify acute inpatient discharges:

- a. Identify all acute and nonacute inpatient stays (Inpatient Stay Value Set)
- b. Exclude nonacute inpatient stays (Nonacute Inpatient Stay Value Set)
- c. Identify the discharge date for the stay.

If the patient had more than one eligible visit, include only the first visit.

Step 2: Test for negative diagnosis history. Exclude patients who had any of the following during the 731-day period prior to the Index Episode Start Date.

- 1) An outpatient visit (Outpatient Value Set), an observation visit (Observation Value Set), or an ED visit (ED Value Set) with any diagnosis of COPD (COPD Value Set), emphysema (Emphysema Value Set) or chronic bronchitis (Chronic Bronchitis Value Set). Do not include ED visits that result in an inpatient admission.
- 2) An acute inpatient discharge with any diagnosis of COPD (COPD Value Set), emphysema (Emphysema Value Set) or chronic bronchitis (Chronic Bronchitis Value Set). To identify acute inpatient discharges:
- a. Identify all acute and nonacute inpatient stays (Inpatient Stay Value Set)
- b. Exclude nonacute inpatient stays (Nonacute Inpatient Stay Value Set)
- c. Identify the discharge date for the stay.

For an acute inpatient Index Episode Start Date, use the Index Episode Start Date of admission to determine the 731-day period.

See corresponding Excel file for value sets referenced above.

EXCLUSIONS

N/A

EXCLUSION DETAILS

N/A

RISK ADJUSTMENT

No risk adjustment or risk stratification

N/A

STRATIFICATION

N/A

TYPE SCORE

Rate/proportion better quality = higher score

ALGORITHM

The measure calculation is detailed in the steps listed below:

Step 1: Determine the eligible population.

A. Determine the Index Episode Start Date. Identify all patients who had any of the following during the intake period (the 6 months prior to the beginning of the measurement year through the 6 months before the end of the measurement year):

1) An outpatient visit (Outpatient Value Set), an observation visit (Observation Value Set), or an ED visit (ED Value Set) with any diagnosis of COPD (COPD Value Set), emphysema (Emphysema

Value Set) or chronic bronchitis (Chronic Bronchitis Value Set). Do not include ED visits that result in an inpatient admission.

- 2) An acute inpatient discharge with any diagnosis of COPD (COPD Value Set), emphysema (Emphysema Value Set) or chronic bronchitis (Chronic Bronchitis Value Set). To identify acute inpatient discharges:
- a. Identify all acute and nonacute inpatient stays (Inpatient Stay Value Set)
- b. Exclude nonacute inpatient stays (Nonacute Inpatient Stay Value Set)
- c. Identify the discharge date for the stay.

If the patient had more than one eligible visit, include only the first visit.

- B. Test for negative diagnosis history. Exclude patients who had any of the following during the 731-day period prior to the Index Episode Start Date.
- 1) An outpatient visit (Outpatient Value Set), an observation visit (Observation Value Set), or an ED visit (ED Value Set) with any diagnosis of COPD (COPD Value Set), emphysema (Emphysema Value Set) or chronic bronchitis (Chronic Bronchitis Value Set). Do not include ED visits that result in an inpatient admission.
- 2) An acute inpatient discharge with any diagnosis of COPD (COPD Value Set), emphysema (Emphysema Value Set) or chronic bronchitis (Chronic Bronchitis Value Set). To identify acute inpatient discharges:
- a. Identify all acute and nonacute inpatient stays (Inpatient Stay Value Set)
- b. Exclude nonacute inpatient stays (Nonacute Inpatient Stay Value Set)
- c. Identify the discharge date for the stay.

For an acute inpatient Index Episode Start Date, use the Index Episode Start Date of admission to determine the 731-day period.

Step 2: determine the numerator. Identify the number of patients who had at least one claim/encounter for spirometry (Spirometry Value Set) during the 730 days (2 years) prior to the Index Episode Start Date through 180 days (6 months) after the Index Episode Start Date. The Index Episode Start Date is the earliest date of service for an eligible visit (outpatient, ED or acute inpatient) during the 6 months prior to the beginning of the measurement year through 6 months after the beginning of the measurement year with any diagnosis of COPD.

- For an outpatient claim/encounter, the Index Episode Start Date is the date of service.
- For an acute inpatient claim/encounter, the Index Episode Start Date is the date of discharge.
- For a transfer or readmission, the Index Episode Start Date is the discharge date of the original admission.

Step 3: calculate the rate: Numerator/Denominator. No diagram provided

COPYRIGHT / DISCLAIMER

5.1 Identified measures: 0091: COPD: Spirometry Evaluation

0102: COPD: inhaled bronchodilator therapy

5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized? No

5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact: NQF 0102 focuses on medication management for stable COPD or following an exacerbation, while our measure focuses on appropriate spirometry testing to confirm a new COPD diagnosis. There is no impact on interpretability or added burden of data collection because the focus of our measure is

different. NQF 0091 is a physician-level measure that uses administrative claims or medical record data. There is no impact on interpretability or added burden of data collection because the data for our measure is collected from different data sources by different entities and the focus of our measure is different (0091 focuses on whether patients with a COPD diagnosis, not specifically a new diagnosis, had spirometry testing performed at least once during the measurement year, while 0577 specifies that patients with a new COPD diagnosis receive spirometry testing within 6 months following diagnosis).

5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value: N/A

0702 Intensive Care Unit (ICU) Length-of-Stay (LOS)

STEWARD

Philip R. Lee Institute for Health Policy Studies

DESCRIPTION

For all eligible patients =18 years old admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU), total duration of time spent in the ICU until time of discharge from the ICU; both observed and risk-adjusted LOS reported with the predicted LOS measured using the Intensive Care Outcomes Model - Length-of-Stay (ICOMLOS).

TYPE

Outcome

DATA SOURCE

Paper Medical Records ICU Outcomes Data Collection Instrument Available in attached appendix at A.1 Attachment ICU Outcomes Data Dictionary.pdf

LEVEL

Facility

SETTING

Hospital/Acute Care Facility

NUMERATOR STATEMENT

For all eligible patients admitted to the ICU, the time at discharge from ICU (either death or physical departure from the unit) minus the time of admission (first recorded vital sign on ICU flow sheet). The measure is risk-adjusted, please see S.18.

NUMERATOR DETAILS

Eligible patients include those with an ICU stay of at least 4 hours and =18 years of age whose primary reason for admission does not include trauma, burns, or immediately post-coronary artery bypass graft surgery (CABG), as these patient groups are known to require unique risk-adjustment. Only index (initial) ICU admissions are recorded given that patient characteristics of readmissions are known to differ.

DENOMINATOR STATEMENT

Total number of eligible patients who are discharged (including deaths and transfers)

DENOMINATOR DETAILS

Eligible patients include those with an ICU stay of at least 4 hours and =18 years of age whose primary reason for admission does not include trauma, burns, or immediately post-coronary artery bypass graft surgery (CABG), as these patient groups are known to require unique risk-adjustment. Only index (initial) ICU admissions are recorded given that patient characteristics of readmissions are known to differ.

EXCLUSIONS

<18 years of age at time of ICU admission, ICU readmission, <4 hours in ICU, primary admission due to trauma, burns, or immediately post-CABG, admitted to exclude myocardial infarction (MI) and subsequently found without MI or any other acute process requiring ICU care, transfers from another acute care hospital.

EXCLUSION DETAILS

<18 years of age at time of ICU admission (with time of ICU admission abstracted preferably from ICU vital signs flowsheet), ICU readmission (i.e. not the patient's first ICU admission during the current hospitalization), <4 hours in ICU, primary admission due to trauma, burns, or immediately post-CABG, admitted to exclude myocardial infarction (MI) and subsequently found without MI or any other acute process requiring ICU care, patient transfers from another acute care hospital (i.e. patients whose physical site immediately prior to the index ICU admission was an acute care unit at an outside hospital).

RISK ADJUSTMENT

Statistical risk model

Risk-adjustment variables include: age, heart rate >=150, SBP <=90, chronic renal, acute renal, GIB, cardiac arrhythmia, intracranial mass effect, mechanical ventilation, received CPR, cancer, cerebrovascular incident, cirrhosis, coma, medical admission or status post nonelective surgery, zero factor status (no risk factors other than age), and full code status (no restrictions on therapies or interventions at the time of ICU admission). The LOS risk-adjustment model is based on the Intensive Care Outcomes Model - Length-of-Stay (ICOMLOS) with candidate interactions among variables and variable coefficients customized for the population of interest.

Provided in response box S.15a

STRATIFICATION

Not-applicable

TYPE SCORE

Rate/proportion better quality = lower score

ALGORITHM

The hospital's mean observed ICU LOS and and mean risk-adjusted LOS are calculated using the abstracted data. For each hospital, the model produces a median and 95% confidence interval for the standardized LOS ratio (SLOSR), which is the mean observed LOS divided by the mean predicted LOS. No diagram provided

COPYRIGHT / DISCLAIMER

5.1 Identified measures: 0703: Intensive Care: In-hospital mortality rate

5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized? Yes

5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact: This measure is completely harmonized with measure 0703 Intensive Care: In-hospital mortality rate.

5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value:

0703 Intensive Care: In-hospital mortality rate

STEWARD

Philip R. Lee Institute for Health Policy Studies

DESCRIPTION

For all adult patients admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU), the percentage of patients whose hospital outcome is death; both observed and risk-adjusted mortality rates are reported with predicted rates based on the Intensive Care Outcomes Model - Mortality (ICOMmort).

TYPE

Outcome

DATA SOURCE

Paper Medical Records ICU Outcomes Data Collection Instrument Available in attached appendix at A.1 Attachment ICU Outcomes Data Dictionary-633924321323431795.pdf

LEVEL

Facility

SETTING

Hospital/Acute Care Facility

NUMERATOR STATEMENT

Total number of eligible patients whose hospital outcome is death. The measure is risk-adjusted, please see S.18.

NUMERATOR DETAILS

Eligible patients include those with an ICU stay of at least 4 hours and >18 years of age whose primary reason for admission does not include trauma, burns, or immediately post-coronary artery bypass graft surgery (CABG), as these patient groups are known to require unique risk-adjustment. Only index (initial) ICU admissions are recorded given that patient characteristics of readmissions are known to differ.

DENOMINATOR STATEMENT

Total number of eligible patients who are discharged (including deaths and transfers out to other hospitals).

DENOMINATOR DETAILS

Eligible patients include those with an ICU stay of at least 4 hours and =18 years of age whose primary reason for admission does not include trauma, burns, or immediately post-coronary artery bypass graft surgery (CABG), as these patient groups are known to require unique risk-adjustment. Only index (initial) ICU admissions are recorded given that patient characteristics of readmissions are known to differ.

EXCLUSIONS

<18 years of age at time of ICU admission, ICU readmission, <4 hours in ICU, primary admission due to trauma, burns, or immediately post-CABG, admitted to exclude myocardial infarction (MI) and subsequently found without MI or any other acute process requiring ICU care, transfers from another acute care hospital.

EXCLUSION DETAILS

<18 years of age at time of ICU admission (with time of ICU admission abstracted preferably from ICU vital signs flowsheet), ICU readmission (i.e. not the patient's first ICU admission during the current hospitalization), <4 hours in ICU, primary admission due to trauma, burns, or immediately post-CABG, admitted to exclude myocardial infarction (MI) and subsequently found without MI or any other acute process requiring ICU care, patient transfers from another acute care hospital (i.e. patients whose physical site immediately prior to the index ICU admission was an acute care unit at an outside hospital)

RISK ADJUSTMENT

Statistical risk model

Risk-adjustment variables include: age, heart rate >=150, SBP <=90, chronic renal, acute renal, GIB, cardiac arrhythmia, intracranial mass effect, mechanical ventilation, received CPR, cancer, cerebrovascular incident, cirrhosis, coma, medical admission or status post nonelective surgery, zero factor status (no risk factors other than age), and full code status (no restrictions on therapies or interventions at the time of ICU admission). The risk-adjustment model is based on the Intensive Care Outcomes Model - Mortality (ICOMmort) with candidate interactions among variables and variable coefficients customized for the population of interest.

STRATIFICATION

Not-applicable

Provided in response box S.15a

TYPE SCORE

Rate/proportion better quality = lower score

ALGORITHM

The hospital's observed mortality rate and risk-adjusted mortality rate are both calculated using the abstracted data. For each hospital, the model produces a median and 95% confidence

interval for the Standardized Mortality Ratio (SMR), which is the death rate for the hospital adjusted to the average case mix. No diagram provided

COPYRIGHT / DISCLAIMER

- 5.1 Identified measures: 0702: Intensive Care Unit (ICU) Length-of-Stay (LOS)
- 5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized? Yes
- 5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact: This measure is completely harmonized with measure 0702: Intensive Care Unit (ICU) Length-of-Stay (LOS)
- 5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value:

0708 Proportion of Patients with Pneumonia that have a Potentially Avoidable Complication (during the episode time window)

STEWARD

Health Care Incentives Improvement Institute

DESCRIPTION

Brief Description of Measure: Percent of adult population aged 18+ years with Community Acquired Pneumonia who are followed for one-month, and have one or more potentially avoidable complication (PAC) during the episode time window. Please reference the attached document labeled NQF_PNE_all_codes_risk_adjustment_12_14_15.xls, in the tab labeled PACS I-9 & I-10 for a list of code definitions of PACs relevant to pneumonia.

Community Acquired Pneumonia may be managed in an inpatient setting, where the patient is admitted to a hospital within 1-3 days of onset of symptoms, or in milder cases, patients may be hospitalized a little later in the course of illness, or never at all where management could be solely in an outpatient setting. In any of these circumstances, potentially avoidable complications (PACs) may occur during the index stay, in the post-discharge period; or in patients who were never hospitalized, PACs may occur any time during the episode time window. Readmissions due to pneumonia or due to any related diagnosis are also considered as PACs.

We define PACs as one of two types:

- (1) Type 1 PACs PACs directly related to the index condition: Patients are considered to have a type 1 PAC if they develop one or more complication directly related to pneumonia or its management. Examples of these PACs are respiratory insufficiency, other lung complications, fluid electrolyte acid base problems, sepsis, respiratory failure etc.
- (2) Type 2 PACs PACs suggesting Patient Safety Failures: Patients are considered to have a type 2 PAC, if they develop any of the complications related to patient safety failures such as phlebitis, deep vein thrombosis, pressure sores or for any of the CMS-defined hospital acquired conditions (HACs).

PACs are counted as a dichotomous (yes/no) outcome. If a patient had one or more PAC in any of the above settings, they get counted as a "yes" or a 1. The enclosed workbook labeled NQF_PNE_all_codes_risk_adjustment_12_14_15.xls serves as an example. The tab labeled PAC overview gives the percent of pneumonia episodes that have a PAC and the tab labeled "PAC"

drill down" gives the types of PACs and their frequencies in pneumonia episodes within this dataset.

The information is based on a two-year claims database from a large regional commercial insurer. The database had 3,258,706 covered lives and \$25.9 billion in "allowed amounts" for claims costs. The database is an administrative claims database with medical as well as pharmacy claims.

TYPE

Outcome

DATA SOURCE

Administrative claims The information is based on a two-year claims database from a large regional commercial insurer. The database has 3,258,706 covered lives and \$25.9 billion in "allowed amounts" for claims costs. The database is an administrative claims database with medical as well as pharmacy claims.

The methodology can be used on any claims database with at least two years of data and a minimum of 150 patients with the index condition or hospitalization.

The calculations of rates of potentially avoidable complications can be replicated by anyone that uses the measure specifications along with the metadata file that is available for free on our web site at http://www.hci3.org/ecre/xml-agreement.html.

We also plan on providing a limited automated analysis, at no cost, on our website.

The methodology has been tested on databases of several health plans as well as on a few employer databases.

No data collection instrument was used.

No data collection instrument provided Attachment nqf_pne_all_codes_risk_adjustment_12_14_15.xls

LEVEL

Facility, Clinician: Individual, Population: Regional

SETTING

Ambulatory Care: Clinician Office/Clinic, Hospital/Acute Care Facility, Other, Ambulatory Care: Urgent Care Across the care continuum

NUMERATOR STATEMENT

Outcome: Number of patients with pneumonia who had one or more potentially avoidable complications (PACs) during the episode time window.

NUMERATOR DETAILS

Patients with a pneumonia episode that have a potentially avoidable complication (PACs), during the episode time window. The enclosed excel workbook entitled NQF_PNE_all_codes_risk_adjustment_12_14_15 .xls gives the detailed codes for PACs in the tab entitled PACS I-9 & I-10.

Patients are identified as having a PACs if:

a. The index stay for pneumonia has a PAC diagnosis code in any position except in the PRIMARY (principal) position

- b. They have a PAC diagnosis code in any position on any relevant claim (outpatient facility, professional, ancillary etc.) during the pneumonia episode time window
- c. Any readmission to an acute care facility that is relevant to pneumonia, within the 30-day time window
- d. Any admission to a post-acute care facility that is relevant to pneumonia and has a PAC code in any position on the claim

We define PACs as one of two types:

- (1) Type 1 PACs PACs directly related to the index condition: Patients are considered to have a type 1 PAC if they develop one or more complication directly related to pneumonia or its management. Examples of these PACs are respiratory insufficiency, other lung complications, fluid electrolyte acid base problems, sepsis, respiratory failure etc.
- (2) Type 2 PACs PACs suggesting Patient Safety Failures: Patients are considered to have a type 2 PAC, if they develop any of the complications related to patient safety failures such as for phlebitis, deep vein thrombosis, pressure sores or for any of the CMS-defined hospital acquired conditions (HACs).

PACs are counted as a dichotomous (yes/no) outcome. If a patient had one or more PAC in any of the above settings, they get counted as a "yes" or a 1.

DENOMINATOR STATEMENT

Adult patients aged 18 years and above who have a pneumonia episode and are followed for at least one-month.

DENOMINATOR DETAILS

Please refer to the enclosed excel workbook entitled

NQF_PNE_all_codes_risk_adjustment_12_14_15.xls

The target population is identified based on patients with claims that have a Pneumonia diagnosis codes as defined in the TRIGGERS tab (Triggers I-9 or Triggers I-10) of the enclosed workbook. In addition, they have to meet one of the following trigger criteria:

- 1. Have a hospitalization with a trigger code in the principal position of an inpatient stay claim
- 2. Have an outpatient facility visit such as an emergency department visit with one of the trigger codes in any position
- 3. Have a physician visit with a pneumonia code in any position AND a confirming claim between 7 days and 30 days of the first visit that could be any of the three above (an IP stay claim with a pneumonia code in the principal position, an outpatient facility visit claim or another professional visit claim with the pneumonia diagnosis in any position)

Inclusion criteria: Patients identified to have Pneumonia based on the trigger criteria above are retained in the measure if they meet the following inclusion criteria:

- 1. The patient has continuous enrollment for the entire time window with no enrollment gaps with the entity providing the data (so we can ensure that the database has captured all the claims for the patient in the time window).
- 2. The patient has a complete episode time window in the claims data so the end date of the episode should not be past the database claims end date.
- 3. Patient is at least 18 years of age

Once the episode is triggered all relevant claims within the episode time window are assigned to the episode. Relevant claims could be inpatient facility claims, outpatient facility claims, professional services, laboratory services, imaging services, ancillary claims, home health, durable medical equipment as well as pharmacy claims across the entire continuum of care centered around the patient's episode of care. Any of these relevant claims serve to identify the presence of a PAC.

EXCLUSIONS

The target population captures adult patients (18+) in the dataset, who have a complete episode of community-acquired pneumonia, with no enrollment gaps, and no outlier costs. Patients who do not meet these criteria are excluded from the target population.

EXCLUSION DETAILS

Please refer to the tab called "Decision Tree" in the enclosed excel workbook NQF_PNE_all_codes_risk_adjustment_12_14_15 .xls

Denominator exclusions include exclusions of "patients" as well as "claims" not relevant to pneumonia care.

- 1. "Patients" are excluded from the measure if they meet one of the following criteria:
- a. If age is < 18 years
- b. If gender is missing
- c. If they do not have continuous enrollment for the entire time window with the entity providing the data (this helps determine if the database has captured all the claims for the patient in the time window). If a patient has an enrollment gap for any time period during the episode time window, it is considered as an enrollment gap, and they are excluded from the measure.
- d. If the pneumonia episode time window extends outside the dataset time period (this helps eliminate incomplete episodes).
- e. The episode cost is an outlier (less than 1st percentile or greater than 99th percentile value for all episodes of the same type). This eliminates extreme variation that may result from random outlier events and eliminates random noise into the analysis from inappropriate codes or services. It is also another way to ensure that episodes included in the measure are complete and

representative of the measure.

2. "Claims" are excluded from the pneumonia measure if they are considered not relevant to pneumonia care.

RISK ADJUSTMENT

Statistical risk model

Conceptual Model:

Variations in outcomes across populations may be due to patient-related factors or due to provider-controlled factors. When we adjust for patient-related factors, the remaining variance in PACs may be due to factors that could be controlled by all providers that are managing or comanaging the patient.

Statistical Method:

We use logistic regression to model the probability of at least one PAC occurring during the episode. For each patient the "predicted" coefficients from the risk adjustment model are summed to give the predicted probabilities of the occurrence of a PAC.

A number of patient-related "risk factors" or covariates are included in the model: This list was selected based on input from various clinical experts in clinical working groups. Risk Factors used in the models were:

Patient demographics: age, gender, and an indicator of whether a member has enrolled within the previous 6 months. This latter risk factor is intended to account for the patient's lack of claims history, which limits the number of potential comorbidities that can be identified.

Comorbidities: These are conditions or events that occurred prior to the start of the episode that can have a potential impact on the patient's risk of having a potentially avoidable complication (PAC). The risk factors are 170 disease indicators (0/1) identified through the presence of ICD diagnosis codes on individual medical claims and collected from the historical claims data before the start of an episode. These are universally applied across all episodes. Please see the tab labeled "All Risk Factors I-9" and "All Risk Factors I-10" for a list of risk factors and their corresponding codes in the enclosed workbook called

NQF_PNE_all_codes_risk_adjustment_12_14_15.xls.

Episode Subtypes or Severity Markers: These are markers that distinguish an episode as being more severe than another. They indicate either specific patient comorbidities that are known to make the procedure or condition more difficult to manage (e.g., morbid obesity) or severity of the illness itself (e.g., viral, gram negative, or MRSA pneumonia). Subtypes are specific to each unique episode and are included in the models only if they are present at the start of the episode. Please see the tab labeled "Subtypes I-9" and "Subtypes I-10" for a list of subtypes and their corresponding codes in the enclosed workbook called

NQF_PNE_all_codes_risk_adjustment_12_14_15.xls.

Risk Factors: (Please refer to the enclosed excel workbook entitled

(NQF_PNE_all_codes_risk_adjustment_12_14_15 .xls). The risk factors along with their codes are listed in the tabs called "All Risk Factors I-9" and "All Risk Factors I-10" and also listed below:

AGE CONTINUOUS VARIABLE

GENDER FEMALE = 1 (MALE IS REFERENCE = 0)

Risk Factor # Risk Factor Name

RF0101 Anoxic Brain Damage, persistent vegetative state

RF0102 Delirium, Meningitis, Encephalitis

RF0103 Previous Stroke, Paralysis

RF0104 Cerebral Palsy and Other Paralytic Syndromes

RF0105 Spinal Cord Disorders/Injuries

RF0106 Polyneuropathy

RF0107 Multiple Sclerosis

RF0108 Convulsions, Epilepsy

RF0109 Dementia

RF0110 Parkinson's and Huntington's Diseases

RF0111 Cerebrovascular Disease

RF0115 after care, rehabilitation

RF0201 visual loss, blindness, retinal tear, detachment

RF0301 ENT, Upper Respiratory Problems

RF0401 Respiratory Failure, O2, ventilator dependence

RF0402 Advanced COPD, Asthma

RF0403 Empyema, bronchiectasis, Pneumonias

RF0404 Aspiration Pneumonia, Laryngeal Problems

RF0406 TB, Pneumoconiosis, Aspergillosis

RF0407 Tobacco use, Lung disease due to External Fumes

RF0408 Other Lung Disease

RF0501 Previous Shock, Syncope, Vent Fibrillation

RF0503 Advanced CHF

RF0504 Cardiomyopathy, valve disorders

RF0505 Cardiac Arrhythmias, Heart Block

RF0506 Pacemaker, AICD

RF0507 Endocarditis, Other post surgical cardiac problems

RF0508 Other Cardiovascular Disease

RF0511 DVT, Pulm Embolism, Pulm Heart Disease

RF0512 Unstable Angina

RF0513 Hypotension, chronic, orthostatic

RF0514 Hyperlipidemia

RF0515 Intraaortic Balloon Pump

RF0516 ventricular assist device, ecmo, prolonged bypass

RF0517 Previous electrophysiology studies, cryoablation

RF0518 Recent AMI

RF0519 Previous PCI

RF0520 Previous CABG

RF0521 Previous Heart & Valve Surgery

RF0522 Previous aortic reconstruction

RF0523 Previos carotid endarterectomy

RF0524 Aortic and peripheral vascular disease

RF0525 Advanced Aortic and Vascular Disease

RF0601 GI Bleed

RF0602 Intestinal Obstruction/Perforation

RF0603 Acute Gastritis, Duodenitis

RF0604 Gastroduodenal Ulcer

RF0606 Intestinal Uro-genital Fistula

RF0607 Abdominal hernia w complications

RF0608 Vascular insufficiency of intestine

RF0609 Inflammatory Bowel Disease

RF0610 Irritable Bowel

RF0611 Diverticulitis, Meckel's

RF0612 Digestive congenital anomalies

RF0613 Intestinal infection

RF0614 Esophageal Perforation, Hmg, Barretts, Compl Hiatal Hernia

RF0615 Abnormal weight loss

RF0616 Achalasia, Esophageal spasm, Stricture, Dysphagia

RF0617 GERD, Hiatal Hernia, Other Upper GI Disorders

RF0618 Previous Bariatric Surgery

RF0619 Hx of colon polyps, family Hx of colon cancer

RF0620 Enterostomy, GI devices, lap band

RF0701 Pancreatic Disease

RF0702 Perforation, fistula GB, bile duct, pancreas

RF0703 Gall stones, cholecystitis

RF0704 End-Stage Liver Disease

RF0705 Hepatitis, Cirrhosis, Other Hepatbiliary Disorders

RF0706 Recent Gall Bladder, Hepatobilary Surgery

RF0707 Acute Pancreatitis, pseudo cyst

RF0801 Bone/Joint/Muscle Infections/Necrosis

RF0802 Muscular Dystrophy

RF0803 Osteoporosis, ostetits deformans, pathological fracture

RF0804 Rheumatoid Arthritis and Inflammatory Connective Tissue Disease

RF0805 Gout and other crystal arthropathies

RF0806 Other arthropathies

RF0807 Osteoarthritis

RF0808 Joint Deformities

RF0809 Knee derangements

RF0810 Traumatic Dislocation Knee

RF0811 Dislocation Hip

RF0812 Synovitis, Ruture Tendon

RF0813 Status Knee Replacement

RF0814 Status Total Hip Replacement

RF0901 Decubitus Ulcer

RF0902 Skin and wound problems

RF1001 Diabetes, poor control

RF1002 Advanced diabetes

RF1003 diabetes

RF1101 Acute renal failure

RF1102 Dialysis Dependent

RF1103 Nephritis

RF1104 Chronic renal failure

RF1105 Urinary Tract Infections

RF1301 Endometriosis

RF1302 Fibroid uterus, benign tumors of female organs

RF1303 Pelvic Inflammatory disease

RF1304 Uterine prolapse, cystocele, vaginocele

RF1305 Female Harmonal Disorders

RF1306 Ovarian, Broad Ligament Disorders

RF1308 Other disorders of uterus, cervix

RF1309 Menopausal Disorders

RF1310 Menstrual Disorders

RF1401 Multiparity, multigravida

RF1402 Elderly Primi, other

RF1403 Poor obstetric history

RF1406 Cervical incompetence

RF1407 Abnormalities of uterus, female genital tract

RF1410 Maternal, gestational diabetes, large for date

RF1411 Genital Herpes

RF1467 Tobacco Use in Mother

RF1601 Bleeding Disorders

RF1602 Severe Hematological Disorders

RF1603 Disorders of Immunity

RF1604 Nutritional and other Anemias

RF1605 Long-term use of anticoag, Aspirin

RF1701 Head and Neck Cancers

RF1702 Lung and Intrathoracic Cancers

RF1703 Neuroendocrine, Myeloproliferative Cancers

RF1704 Poorly differentiated, Secondary, Metastatic Cancers

RF1705 Other Tumors

RF1706 Acute Leukemia

RF1707 Cancer uterus, localized female organs

RF1708 Colorectal, Hepatobiliary and other GI cancers

RF1709 Breast, Prostate, Thyroid cancers

RF1710 Testicular Cancer and localized of male organs

RF1711 Cancer of Bladder and Urinary Tract

RF1712 Musculoskeletal Cancers

RF1801 Sepsis, MRSA, Opportunitistic infections

RF1901 Schizophrenia

RF1902 Major Depressive, Bipolar, and Paranoid Disorders

RF2001 Drug/Alcohol Psychosis

RF2002 Drug/Alcohol Dependence

RF2101 Drug Reactions, long term use of drugs

RF2102 Intra-abdominal injury

RF2201 Extensive Third-Degree Burns

RF2301 Major Organ Transplant Status

RF2302 Artificial Openings for Feeding or Elimination

RF2303 Complications of Medical & Surgical Care and Trauma

RF2304 severe morbid obesity

RF2305 morbid obesity

RF2306 obesity

RF2307 mild sleep apnea, hypoventilation

RF2308 moderate sleep apnea, hypoventilation

RF2309 obstructive sleep apnea

RF2310 Severe Protein-Calorie Malnutrition

RF2311 Mild-mod malnutrition

RF2401 Severe Head Injury

RF2402 Major Head Injury

RF2403 Vertebral Fractures without Spinal Cord Injury

RF2404 Falls, Fractures

RF2405 Amputation

RF2501 HIV/AIDS

Subtypes for pneumonia

STDX04138 Viral Pneumonia

STDX04171 Influenza w pneumonia STDX04172 Gram Negative Pneumonia

STDX04173 MRSA Pneumonia

STDX04174 Other Staph Pneumonia

STDX1019 Morbid Obesity (concurrent)

STDX10107 Obesity (concurrent)
STDX1007 Overweight (concurrent)
STDX10108 Sleep Apnea (concurrent)

As you may notice some of the covariates (risk factors) such as obesity are collected from both historical claims as well as from the index stay and look-back period of the episode.

The prevalence of the risk factors in our analysis dataset are listed in the enclosed workbook entitled NQF_PNE_all_codes_risk_adjustment_12_14_15 .xls – see tab "Risk Factor Prevalence".

The regression model with its coefficients are given in the same workbook in the tab "Risk Model".

Available in attached Excel or csv file at S.2b

STRATIFICATION

None

TYPE SCORE

Rate/proportion better quality = lower score

ALGORITHM

Please refer to the enclosed excel workbook entitled (NQF_PNE_all_codes_risk_adjustment_12_14_15 .xls).

Assembling the Denominator:

Using administrative claims database, patients with pneumonia are identified as those who fulfilled the trigger criteria for pneumonia. Pneumonia patients should have claims that have a Pneumonia diagnosis codes as defined in the TRIGGERS tab (Triggers I-9 or Triggers I-10) of the enclosed workbook. In addition, they have to meet one of the following trigger criteria:

- 1. Have a hospitalization with a trigger code in the principal position of an inpatient stay claim
- 2. Have an outpatient facility visit such as an emergency department visit with one of the trigger codes in any position
- 3. Have a physician visit with a pneumonia code in any position AND a confirming claim between 7 days and 30 days of the first visit that could be any of the three above (an IP stay claim with a pneumonia code in the principal position, an outpatient facility visit claim or another professional visit claim with the pneumonia diagnosis in any position)

Patients are retained if they are 18 years of age or more, do not have a missing gender, have continuous enrollment for the entire episode time window, and their entire time window is covered in the claims dataset.

Once the episode is triggered all relevant claims within the episode time window are assigned to the episode. Relevant claims could be inpatient facility claims, outpatient facility claims, professional services, laboratory services, imaging services, ancillary claims, home health, durable medical equipment as well as pharmacy claims across the entire continuum of care centered around the patient's episode of care. Any of these relevant claims serve to identify the presence of a PAC.

Readmissions carrying diagnosis codes relevant to pneumonia, and relevant admissions to post-acute care facilities are also included in the episode. If a patient has more than one concurrent episode open, and the claim is relevant to both episodes, the claim gets multi-assigned to all relevant open episodes preventing undercounting of PACs.

Once all the episodes are assembled, episodes that have outlier costs, are flagged (those with total episode costs less than 1st percentile or greater than 99th percentile), and excluded from the final analysis. This retains episodes that are more representative of care around pneumonia and excludes episodes that may be incomplete (low outlier costs), or have inappropriate codes or services leading to high outlier costs.

Assembling the Numerator:

For every episode included in the denominator, episodes are flagged as having a PAC (potentially avoidable complication) based on the criteria listed below:

Any Index stay that has a PAC diagnosis code in any position except in the PRIMARY (principal) position

Any readmission to an acute care facility 2 days or later after discharge but within 30-days post-discharge

Any admission to a post-acute care facility with a PAC code in any position on the claim

Any other service (professional, outpatient facility, ancillary) with a PAC code in any position on the claim

Relevant claims that do not qualify as a PAC based on the criteria outlined above, are listed as typical claims. All included relevant pharmacy services are flagged as typical. Patients that have even a single PAC claim are counted as part of the numerator.

Calculating the measure:

Proportion of pneumonia patients that have a PAC is simply the ratio of patients with PACs within the pneumonia population, and is called the PAC rate as shown in the equation below:

PAC rate = Patients with pneumonia that have at least one PAC / Total number of pneumonia patients

A flow chart demonstrating the series of steps and the counts of patients at each step is shown in tab entitled "Decision Tree" of the enclosed workbook called

NQF_PNE_all_codes_risk_adjustment_12_14_15.xls

Drill Down Calculations:

Further analysis from this construct helps create actionable reports.

For example as shown in the tab labeled "PAC overview", not only do we have the PAC rate for the entire pneumonia population analyzed (54.7%), we can calculate the frequency of PACs occurring in the hospital setting, in the outpatient facility, or in professional claims. These could be further broken down by the PAC type – type 1 being directly related to pneumonia and so actionable by the servicing physician, while type 2 PACs are related to patient safety failures and can be improved by process improvement by hospitals and nursing facilities (see tab labeled as "PAC Drill down Graph"). Additionally, readmissions could be analyzed separately. This helps focus strategies in reducing PACs and makes the data immensely actionable.

Risk Adjustment:

Once we have the observed PAC rates, we risk-adjust them for patient factors such as patient demographics, comorbidities collected historically, and for severity of illness using subtypes collected from the trigger claim and / or look-back period. This helps adjust for factors outside the providers control and levels the playing field for provider performance comparisons.

Unit of Analysis:

The unit of analysis is the individual episode.

Dependent Variable:

The dependent variable is a dichotomous variable indicating whether an episode had one or more PACs (=1) or not (=0).

Independent Variables:

A number of patient-related "risk factors" or covariates are included in the models:

Patient demographics: age, gender, and an indicator of whether a member has enrolled within the previous 6 months. This latter risk factor is intended to account for the patient's lack of claims history, which limits the number of potential comorbidities that can be identified.

Comorbidities: These are conditions or events that occurred prior to the start of the episode that can have a potential impact on the patient's risk of having a PAC. The risk factors are 170

disease indicators (0/1) identified through the presence of ICD diagnosis codes on individual medical claims and collected from the historical claims data before the start of an episode. These are universally applied across all episodes. Please see the tab labeled "All Risk Factors I-9" and "All Risk Factors I-10" for a list of risk factors and their corresponding codes in the enclosed workbook called NQF PNE all codes risk adjustment 12 14 15 .xls

Episode Subtypes or Severity Markers: These are markers that distinguish an episode as being more severe than another. They indicate either specific patient comorbidities that are known to make the procedure or condition more difficult to treat (e.g., obesity) or severity of the illness itself (e.g., viral, gram negative, or MRSA pneumonia). Please see the tab labeled "Subtypes I-9" and "Subtypes I-10" for a list of subtypes and their corresponding codes in the enclosed workbook called NQF_PNE_all_codes_risk_adjustment_12_14_15_xls

As mentioned previously, to avoid creating perverse incentives all comorbidities and subtypes are identified prior to or at the very start of the episode. None are identified during the episode period.

Statistical Methods:

We use logistic regression to model the probability of at least one PAC occurring during the episode. For each patient the "predicted" coefficients from the risk adjustment model are summed to give the "patient-level" predicted probabilities of the occurrence of a PAC. Episodes with predicted probabilities <50% were classified as having a predicted 0 (not having a PAC). Episodes with predicted probabilities >50% were classified as having a predicted 1 (having a PAC).

To prevent unstable coefficients, comorbidities and subtypes are included in the models as covariates only if they are present in at least 10 episodes. No further model building is conducted after the initial models are built. This reflects a desire to explain as much variation in the probability of having a PAC as possible, but it does not make it a priority that all covariates in the model be individually significant or even uncorrelated with each other. Accordingly, the model uses a very large group of covariates. This modeling approach allows for fewer potentially artificial constraints around the definitions of what constitutes severity of a episode condition, and lets each regression model determine for itself which of the factors are more significant for a specific episode. Non-significant covariates in episode models can not overly influence predicted outcomes, nor is much harm realized, if a group of correlated covariates work together to explain variation rather than having the variation explained by a single best factor.

When more than one line of business is included in the data, separate models are calculated for each sample (i.e., commercial, Medicaid etc.).

Provider Attribution and calculating PAC rates by provider:

Once episodes are constructed they are attributed to providers based on one of the attribution rules. For community acquired pneumonia episodes, where the index claim is in the hospital setting, the episode is attributed to the facility where the index hospitalization occurred. In a second attribution exercise, all community acquired pneumonia episodes are attributed to the physician who has the maximum number of E&M claims during the episode time window.

To directly compare PAC rates across facilities or physicians while also appropriately accounting for differences in patient severity, we calculate a risk-standardized PAC rate (RSPR) for each provider. This method is similar to the methods employed by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) and endorsed by the National Quality Forum (NQF) to construct similar facility- and practice-level measures (i.e., mortality, readmissions, etc.).

- 1. For each provider, the actual number of PAC occurrence is summed across all attributed pneumonia patients, to give the observed PAC rates for the provider.
- 2. Similarly, patient-level probability estimates are summed across all attributed patients to give expected PAC rates for the provider.
- 3. The observed sum is then divided by the summed probabilities (O/E). This number yields whether the provider or facility had more PACs than expected (ratio>1), as expected (ratio=1), or less than expected (ratio<1). This calculation yields a practice-level unstandardized performance ratio.
- 4. To facilitate accurate comparisons of rates across providers, the O/E ratio is multiplied by the overall expected PAC rate across all facilities or physicians, to obtain the risk-standardized PAC rate (RSPR) for the facility or physician.

The formula for this calculation is as follows:

RSPR_j={(SUM Observed_ij)/(SUM Prob_ij)} × {(SUM Prob_i) / (# of episodes)}

Where an individual i is attributed to the unit of attribution j (e.g., facility, physician, etc.)

The risk-standardized PAC rate (RSPR) therefore adjusts the provider's observed PAC rate, by the severity of the panel of their patients. It represents what a provider's PAC rate would be if their patient population was reflective of the overall population, leveling the playing field, and allowing for meaningful comparisons across all providers adjusted similarly. Available in attached appendix at A.1

COPYRIGHT / DISCLAIMER

5.1 Identified measures: 0094: Assessment of Oxygen Saturation for Community-Acquired Bacterial Pneumonia

0095: Assessment Mental Status for Community-Acquired Bacterial Pneumonia

0096: Community-Acquired Bacterial Pneumonia (CAP): Empiric Antibiotic

0141: Patient Fall Rate

0

5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized? NoNo

5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact: Denominator Harmonization: Several of the measures listed in the prior section are harmonized to the extent possible for denominator definitions with the submitted measure. In particular process measures related to community-acquired pneumonia (CAP) 0096, 0151, 0147, 0148 have defined CAP target population that matches closely to our submitted measure. Numerator Harmonization: Regarding numerator harmonization, several of the measures are subsets of our measure. In particular 0450, 0337, 0141, and 0202 list adverse events that have been synchronized with those definitions within the PAC measure. In addition, 0705, 0709 have numerator definitions harmonized completely for the definitions of PACs. However, there are some measures that are not harmonized, in particular the 30-day all-cause readmission measures. While the submitted PAC measures include readmissions that occur within 30 days of discharge, the readmissions, by definition, are related to pneumonia and not due to any cause. While 30-day all-cause readmissions might make sense in a Medicare population, it is not selfevident that they do for commercial or Medicaid populations. However, that said, our data suggest that there are, in fact, very few readmissions within 30 days post discharge that aren't relevant to the index hospitalization. It is worth noting that there is some mounting controversy about the 30 day all cause readmission measures and some data suggest that these measures

might have simply pushed out certain readmissions to 31 or more days post discharge. Irrespective of these points, PACs include readmissions and are designed to enable accountability at the locus of provider control as well as some shared accountability between settings, centered around a patient, and for a specific medical episode of care. In that sense, they are consistent with the all-cause 30-day readmission rates, but represent a subset of those admissions. As such, the PAC measures, as submitted, don't create added burden of reporting because the readmissions reported are simply a part of the broader 30-day all-cause readmission measures already endorsed by NQF. Because PAC measures are comprehensive, they include patient safety events that can occur during the stay, as well as adverse events, including readmissions, that can occur post-discharge. As a result, they provide facilities and physicians with an overall measure of avoidable complications for a specific medical episode. The data collection for all of the HCI3 measures is automated by a software package and is fully harmonized with all other PAC measures. A single download automates creation of all reports related to each of the PAC measures. Denominator Harmonization: Several of the measures listed in the prior section are harmonized to the extent possible for denominator definitions with the submitted measure. In particular process measures related to community-acquired pneumonia (CAP) 0096, 0151, 0147, 0148 have defined CAP target population that matches closely to our submitted measure. Numerator Harmonization: Regarding numerator harmonization, several of the measures are subsets of our measure. In particular 0450, 0337, 0141, and 0202 list adverse events that have been synchronized with those definitions within the PAC measure. In addition, 0705, 0709 have numerator definitions harmonized completely for the definitions of PACs. However, there are some measures that are not harmonized, in particular the 30-day all-cause readmission measures. While the submitted PAC measures include readmissions that occur within 30 days of discharge, the readmissions, by definition, are related to pneumonia and not due to any cause. While 30-day all-cause readmissions might make sense in a Medicare population, it is not self-evident that they do for commercial or Medicaid populations. However, that said, our data suggest that there are, in fact, very few readmissions within 30 days post discharge that aren't relevant to the index hospitalization. It is worth noting that there is some mounting controversy about the 30 day all cause readmission measures and some data suggest that these measures might have simply pushed out certain readmissions to 31 or more days post discharge. Irrespective of these points, PACs include readmissions and are designed to enable accountability at the locus of provider control as well as some shared accountability between settings, centered around a patient, and for a specific medical episode of care. In that sense, they are consistent with the all-cause 30-day readmission rates, but represent a subset of those admissions. As such, the PAC measures, as submitted, don't create added burden of reporting because the readmissions reported are simply a part of the broader 30-day all-cause readmission measures already endorsed by NQF. Because PAC measures are comprehensive, they include patient safety events that can occur during the stay, as well as adverse events, including readmissions, that can occur post-discharge. As a result, they provide facilities and physicians with an overall measure of avoidable complications for a specific medical episode. The data collection for all of the HCI3 measures is automated by a software package and is fully harmonized with all other PAC measures. A single download automates creation of all reports related to each of the PAC measures.

5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value: Not Applicable Related Measures: AHRQ-PQIs (PQI 11) Bacterial Pneumonia Admission Rate; CMS-HACs (Hospital Acquired Conditions)Not Applicable

Related Measures: AHRQ-PQIs (PQI 11) Bacterial Pneumonia Admission Rate; CMS-HACs (Hospital Acquired Conditions

1799 Medication Management for People with Asthma

STEWARD

National Committee for Quality Assurance

DESCRIPTION

The percentage of patients 5-64 years of age during the measurement year who were identified as having persistent asthma and were dispensed appropriate medications that they remained on during the treatment period. Two rates are reported.

- 1. The percentage of patients who remained on an asthma controller medication for at least 50% of their treatment period.
- 2. The percentage of patients who remained on an asthma controller medication for at least 75% of their treatment period.

TYPE

Process

DATA SOURCE

Administrative claims This measure is based on administrative claims collected in the course of providing care to health plan members. NCQA collects the Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set (HEDIS) data for this measure directly from Health Management Organizations and Preferred Provider Organizations via NCQA's online data submission system.

No data collection instrument provided Attachment 1799_MMA_Value_Sets.xlsx

LFVFL

Health Plan, Integrated Delivery System

SETTING

Ambulatory Care: Clinician Office/Clinic

NUMERATOR STATEMENT

Numerator 1 (Medication Adherence 50%): The number of patients who achieved a PDC* of at least 50% for their asthma controller medications during the measurement year. A higher rate is better.

Numerator 2 (Medication Adherence 75%): The number of patients who achieved a PDC* of at least 75% for their asthma controller medications during the measurement year. A higher rate is better.

*PDC is the proportion of days covered by at least one asthma controller medication prescription, divided by the number of days in the treatment period. The treatment period is the period of time beginning on the earliest prescription dispensing date for any asthma controller medication during the measurement year through the last day of the measurement year.

NUMERATOR DETAILS

Follow the steps below to identify numerator compliance.

Step 1: Identify the Index Prescription Start Date*. The Index Prescription Start Date is the earliest dispensing event for any asthma controller medication (refer to MMA-B Asthma Controller Medications) during the measurement year.

Step 2: To determine the treatment period, calculate the number of days beginning on the Index Prescription Start Date through the end of the measurement year.

Step 3: Count the days covered by at least one prescription for an asthma controller medication (refer to MMA-B Asthma Controller Medications) during the treatment period. To ensure that days supply that extends beyond the measurement year is not counted, subtract any days supply that extends beyond the end of the of the measurement year (e.g., December 31).

Step 4: Calculate the patient's Proportion of Days Covered using the following equation. Round (using the .5 rule) to two decimal places.

(Total Days Covered by a Controller Medication in the Treatment Period (Step 3)

/Total Days in Treatment Period (Step 2))

Numerator 1 (Medication Adherence 50%): Sum the number of patients whose Proportion of Days Covered is > or =50% for their treatment period.

Numerator 2 (Medication Adherence 75%): Sum the number of patients whose Proportion of Days Covered is > or =75% for their treatment period

MMA-B: Asthma Controller Medications:

Antiasthmatic combinations: dyphylline-guaifenesin, guaifenesin-theophylline

Antibody inhibitor: omalizumab

Inhaled steroid combinations: budesonide-formoterol, fluticasone-salmeterol, mometasone-formoterol

Inhaled corticosteroids: beclomethasone, budesonide, ciclesonide, flunisolide, fluticasone CFC free, mometasone,

Leukotriene modifiers: montelukast, zafirlukast, zileuton

Mast cell stabilizers: cromolyn

Methylxanthines: aminophylline, dyphylline, theophylline

DENOMINATOR STATEMENT

All patients 5–64 years of age as of December 31 of the measurement year who have persistent asthma by meeting at least one of the following criteria during both the measurement year and the year prior to the measurement year:

- At least one emergency department visit with asthma as the principal diagnosis
- At least one acute inpatient claim/encounter with asthma as the principal diagnosis
- At least four outpatient visits or observation visits on different dates of service, with any diagnosis of asthma AND at least two asthma medication dispensing events. Visit type need not be the same for the four visits.
- At least four asthma medication dispensing events

DENOMINATOR DETAILS

The eligible population for the denominator is defined by following the series of steps below:

Step 1: Identify patients as having persistent asthma who met at least one of the following criteria during both the measurement year and the year prior to the measurement year. Criteria need not be the same across both years.

- At least one ED visit (refer to codes in ED Value Set) with asthma as the principal diagnosis (refer to codes in Asthma Value Set).
- At least one acute inpatient claim/encounter (refer to codes in Acute Inpatient Value Set) with asthma as the principal diagnosis (refer to codes in Asthma Value Set).
- At least four outpatient visits (refer to codes in Outpatient Value Set) or observation visits (refer to codes in Observation Value Set) on different dates of service, with any diagnosis of asthma (refer to codes in Asthma Value Set) AND at least two asthma medication dispensing events (see MMA-A). Visit type need not be the same for the four visits.
- At least four asthma medication dispensing events (see MMA-A)

Step 2: A patient identified as having persistent asthma because of at least four asthma medication dispensing events, where leukotriene modifiers or antibody inhibitors were the sole asthma medication dispensed in that year, must also have at least one diagnosis of asthma (refer to codes in Asthma Value Set), in any setting, in the same year as the leukotriene modifier or antibody inhibitor (i.e., measurement year or year prior to the measurement year).

See attached value set Excel document for the following value sets:

- ED Value Set
- Asthma Value Set
- Acute Inpatient Value Set
- Outpatient Value Set
- Observation Value Set

MMA-A: Asthma Medications

Antiasthmatic combinations: dyphylline-guaifenesin; guaifenesin-theophylline

Antibody inhibitor: omalizumab

Inhaled steroid combinations: budesonide-formoterol; fluticasone-salmeterol; Mometasone-formoterol

Inhaled corticosteroids: beclomethasone; budesonide; ciclesonide; flunisolide; fluticasone CFC

free; mometasone

Leukotriene modifiers: montelukast; zafirlukast; zileuton

Mast cell stabilizers: cromolyn

Methylxanthines: aminophylline; dyphylline; theophylline

Short-acting, inhaled beta-2 Agonists: albuterol; levalbuterol; metaproterenol; pirbuterol

EXCLUSIONS

- 1) Exclude patients who had any of the following diagnoses any time during the patient's history through the end of the measurement year (e.g., December 31):
- -COPD
- -Emphysema
- -Obstructive Chronic Bronchitis
- -Chronic Respiratory Conditions Due To Fumes/Vapors

- -Cystic Fibrosis
- -Acute Respiratory Failure
- 2) Exclude any patients who had no asthma controller medications dispensed during the measurement year.

EXCLUSION DETAILS

- 1) Exclude patients who had any diagnosis of Emphysema (refer to codes in Emphysema Value Set or Other Emphysema Value Set), COPD (refer to codes in COPD Value Set), Chronic Bronchitis (refer to codes in Obstructive Chronic Bronchitis Value Set), Chronic Respiratory Conditions Due To Fumes/Vapors (refer to codes in Chronic Respiratory Conditions Due to Fumes/Vapors Value Set), Cystic Fibrosis (refer to codes in Cystic Fibrosis Value Set) or Acute Respiratory Failure (refer to codes in Acute Respiratory Failure Value Set) any time during the patient's history through the end of the measurement year (e.g., December 31).
- 2) Exclude any patients who had no asthma controller medications (see MMA-B) dispensed during the measurement year.

See attached value set Excel document for the following value sets:

- Emphysema Value Set
- Other Emphysema Value Set
- COPD Value Set
- Obstructive Chronic Bronchitis Value Set
- Chronic Respiratory Conditions Due to Fumes/Vapors Value Set
- Cystic Fibrosis Value Set
- Acute Respiratory Failure Value Set

MMA-B: Asthma Controller Medications:

Antiasthmatic combinations: dyphylline-guaifenesin, guaifenesin-theophylline

Antibody inhibitor: omalizumab

Inhaled steroid combinations: budesonide-formoterol, fluticasone-salmeterol, mometasone-formoterol

Inhaled corticosteroids: beclomethasone, budesonide, ciclesonide, flunisolide, fluticasone CFC

free, mometasone

Leukotriene modifiers: montelukast, zafirlukast, zileuton

Mast cell stabilizers: cromolyn

Methylxanthines: aminophylline, dyphylline, theophylline

RISK ADJUSTMENT

No risk adjustment or risk stratification

N/A

STRATIFICATION

Four age stratifications and a total rate are reported for this measure. Age for each stratum is based on the patient's age as of the end of the Measurement Year (e.g., December 31).

- 1) 5–11 years
- 2) 12-18 years

- 3) 19-50 years
- 4) 51-64 years
- 5) Total (5-

TYPE SCORE

Rate/proportion better quality = higher score

ALGORITHM

Refer to items S.6 (Numerator details), S.9 (Denominator details), S.11 (Denominator exclusions details) and S.2b (Data Dictionary) for tables.

This measure determines the number of days covered with a controller medication based on information available from the published NDC codes to calculate adherence to asthma medications. The measure calculation is detailed in the steps listed below:

Step 1: Determine the eligible population: Identify patients 5–64 years of age as of December 31 of the measurement year as having persistent asthma who met at least one of the following criteria during both the measurement year and the year prior to the measurement year. Criteria need not be the same across both year:

- a) At least one ED visit with asthma as the principal diagnosis; or
- b) At least one acute inpatient claim/encounter with asthma as the principal diagnosis; or
- c) At least four outpatient visits or observation visits on different dates of service, with any diagnosis of asthma AND at least two asthma medication dispensing events. Visit type need not be the same for the four visits; or
- d) At least four asthma medication dispensing events*
- *A patient identified as having persistent asthma because of at least four asthma medication dispensing events where leukotriene modifiers or antibody inhibitors were the sole asthma medication dispensed in that year, must also have at least one diagnosis of asthma, in any setting, in the same year as the leukotriene modifier or antibody inhibitor (i.e., measurement year or year prior to the measurement year).

Step 2: Determine denominator exclusions:

- a) Exclude patients who had any diagnosis of Emphysema, COPD, Chronic Bronchitis, Chronic Respiratory Conditions Due to Fumes/Vapors, Cystic Fibrosis or Acute Respiratory Failure any time during the patient's history through the end of the measurement year
- b) Exclude patients who had no asthma controller medications dispensed during the measurement year.

Step 3: Determine numerator:

- a) Identify the Index Prescription Start Date. The Index Prescription Start Date is the earliest dispensing event for any asthma controller medication during the measurement year.
- b) To determine the treatment period, calculate the number of days beginning on the Index Prescription Start Date through the end of the measurement year.
- c) Count the days covered by at least one prescription for an asthma controller medication during the treatment period. To ensure that days supply that extends beyond the measurement year is not counted, subtract any days supply that extends beyond the end of the measurement year (e.g., December 31).

d) Calculate the patient's Proportion of Days Covered using the following equation. Round (using the .5 rule) to two decimal places:

(Total Days Covered by a Controller Medication in the Treatment Period/Total Days in Treatment Period)

- e) Calculate Numerator 1: Sum the number of patients whose Proportion of Days Covered is > or =50% for their treatment period.
- f) Calculate Numerator 2: Sum the number of patients whose Proportion of Days Covered is > or =75% for their treatment period

Step 4: Calculate two rates:

- a) Number of patients whose PDC is > or =50% for their treatment period/Denominator
- b) Number of patients whose PDC is > or =75% for their treatment period/Denominator No diagram provided

COPYRIGHT / DISCLAIMER

5.1 Identified measures: 0047: Asthma: Pharmacologic Therapy for Persistent Asthma 0548: Suboptimal Asthma Control (SAC) and Absence of Controller Therapy (ACT)

5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized? No

5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact: 0047 is a physician-level measure that assesses whether a patient was prescribed medication at least once during the measurement year, while our measure assesses patient adherence to asthma controller medications throughout the measurement year. 0548 is a health plan-level measure that assesses two rates of poor asthma control that indicate over-utilization of rescue medication and need for additional therapeutic intervention; meanwhile our measure assesses patient adherence to asthma controller medications during the measurement year. There is no impact on interpretability or added burden of data collection because the focus of each measure is different and the data for each measure is collected from different data sources by different entities.

5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value:

1800 Asthma Medication Ratio

STEWARD

National Committee for Quality Assurance

DESCRIPTION

The percentage of patients 5–64 years of age who were identified as having persistent asthma and had a ratio of controller medications to total asthma medications of 0.50 or greater during the measurement year.

TYPE

Process

DATA SOURCE

Administrative claims This measure is based on administrative claims collected in the course of providing care to health plan members. NCQA collects the Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set (HEDIS) data for this measure directly from Health Management Organizations and Preferred Provider Organizations via NCQA's online data submission system.

No data collection instrument provided Attachment 1800 AMR Value Sets.xlsx

LFVFL

Health Plan, Integrated Delivery System

SETTING

Ambulatory Care: Clinician Office/Clinic

NUMERATOR STATEMENT

The number of patients who had a ratio of controller medications to total asthma medications of 0.50 or greater during the measurement year.

NUMERATOR DETAILS

Follow the steps below to identify numerator compliance.

Step 1: For each patient, count the units of controller medications (see AMR-A) dispensed during the measurement year. When identifying medication units for the numerator, count each individual medication, defined as an amount lasting 30 days or less, as one medication unit. One medication unit equals one inhaler canister, one injection, or a 30-day or less supply of an oral medication. For example, two inhaler canisters of the same medication dispensed on the same day count as two medication units and only one dispensing event. Use the package size and units columns in the NDC list to determine the number of canisters or injections. Divide the dispensed amount by the package size to determine the number of canisters or injections dispensed. For example, if the package size for an inhaled medication is 10g and pharmacy data indicates the dispensed amount is 30 g, this indicates 3 inhaler canisters were dispensed.

Step 2: For each patient, count the units of reliever medications (see AMR-A) dispensed during the measurement year.

Step 3: For each patient, sum the units calculated in step 1 and step 2 to determine units of total asthma medications.

Step 4: For each patient, calculate the ratio of controller medications to total asthma medications using the following formula:

Units of Controller Medications (Step 1)/ Units of Total Asthma Medications (Step 3)

Step 5: Sum the total number of patients who have a ratio of 0.50 or greater in step 4.

AMR-A: Asthma Controller and Reliever Medications

Asthma Controller Medications:

- -Antiasthmatic combinations: dyphylline-guaifenesin; guaifenesin-theophylline
- -Antibody inhibitors: omalizumab
- -Inhaled steroid combinations: budesonide-formoterol; fluticasone-salmeterol; mometasone-formoterol
- -Inhaled corticosteroids: beclomethasone; budesonide; ciclesonide; flunisolide; fluticasone CFC free; mometasone

- -Leukotriene modifiers: montelukast; zafirlukast; zileuton
- -Mast cell stabilizers: cromolyn
- -Methylxanthines: aminophylline; dyphylline; theophylline.

Asthma Reliever Medications:

-Short-acting, inhaled beta-2 Agonists: albuterol; levalbuterol; pirbuterol.

DENOMINATOR STATEMENT

All patients 5–64 years of age as of December 31 of the measurement year who have persistent asthma by meeting at least one of the following criteria during both the measurement year and the year prior to the measurement year:

- At least one emergency department visit with asthma as the principal diagnosis
- At least one acute inpatient claim/encounter with asthma as the principal diagnosis
- At least four outpatient visits or observation visits on different dates of service, with any diagnosis of asthma AND at least two asthma medication dispensing events. Visit type need not be the same for the four visits.
- At least four asthma medication dispensing events

DENOMINATOR DETAILS

The eligible population for the denominator is defined by following the series of steps below:

Step 1: Identify patients as having persistent asthma who met at least one of the following criteria during both the measurement year and the year prior to the measurement year. Criteria need not be the same across both years.

- At least one ED visit (refer to codes in ED Value Set) with asthma as the principal diagnosis (refer to codes in Asthma Value Set).
- At least one acute inpatient claim/encounter (refer to codes in Acute Inpatient Value Set) with asthma as the principal diagnosis (refer to codes in Asthma Value Set).
- At least four outpatient visits (refer to codes in Outpatient Value Set) or observation visits (refer to codes in Observation Value Set) on different dates of service, with any diagnosis of asthma (refer to codes in Asthma Value Set) AND at least two asthma medication dispensing events (see MMA-A). Visit type need not be the same for the four visits.
- At least four asthma medication dispensing events (see MMA-A)

Step 2: A patient identified as having persistent asthma because of at least four asthma medication dispensing events, where leukotriene modifiers or antibody inhibitors were the sole asthma medication dispensed in that year, must also have at least one diagnosis of asthma (refer to codes in Asthma Value Set), in any setting, in the same year as the leukotriene modifier or antibody inhibitor (i.e., measurement year or year prior to the measurement year).

See attached value set Excel document for the following value sets:

- ED Value Set
- Asthma Value Set
- Acute Inpatient Value Set
- Outpatient Value Set
- Observation Value Set

MMA-A: Asthma Medications

Antiasthmatic combinations: dyphylline-guaifenesin; guaifenesin-theophylline

Antibody inhibitor: omalizumab

Inhaled steroid combinations: budesonide-formoterol; fluticasone-salmeterol; Mometasone-

formoterol

Inhaled corticosteroids: beclomethasone; budesonide; ciclesonide; flunisolide; fluticasone CFC

free; mometasone

Leukotriene modifiers: montelukast; zafirlukast; zileuton

Mast cell stabilizers: cromolyn

Methylxanthines: aminophylline; dyphylline; theophylline

Short-acting, inhaled beta-2 Agonists: albuterol; levalbuterol; metaproterenol; pirbuterol

EXCLUSIONS

Exclude patients who had any of the following diagnoses any time during the patient's history through the end of the measurement year (e.g., December 31):

- -COPD
- -Emphysema
- -Obstructive Chronic Bronchitis
- -Chronic Respiratory Conditions Due To Fumes/Vapors
- -Cystic Fibrosis
- -Acute Respiratory Failure

Exclude any patients who had no asthma medications (controller or reliever) dispensed during the measurement year.

EXCLUSION DETAILS

- 1) Exclude patients who had any diagnosis of Emphysema (refer to codes in Emphysema Value Set or Other Emphysema Value Set), COPD (refer to codes in COPD Value Set), Chronic Bronchitis (refer to codes in Obstructive Chronic Bronchitis Value Set), Chronic Respiratory Conditions Due To Fumes/Vapors (refer to codes in Chronic Respiratory Conditions Due to Fumes/Vapors Value Set), Cystic Fibrosis (refer to codes in Cystic Fibrosis Value Set) or Acute Respiratory Failure (refer to codes in Acute Respiratory Failure Value Set) any time during the patient's history through the end of the measurement year (e.g., December 31).
- 2) Exclude any patients who had no asthma medications (controller or reliever) (see AMR-A) dispensed during the measurement year.

See attached value set Excel document for the following value sets:

- Emphysema Value Set
- Other Emphysema Value Set
- COPD Value Set
- Obstructive Chronic Bronchitis Value Set
- Chronic Respiratory Conditions Due to Fumes/Vapors Value Set
- Cystic Fibrosis Value Set
- Acute Respiratory Failure Value Set

AMR-A: Asthma Controller and Reliever Medications:

Asthma Controller Medications:

Antiasthmatic combinations: dyphylline-guaifenesin; guaifenesin-theophylline

Antibody inhibitors: omalizumab

Inhaled steroid combinations: budesonide-formoterol; fluticasone-salmeterol; mometasone-

formoterol

Inhaled corticosteroids: beclomethasone; budesonide; ciclesonide; flunisolide; fluticasone CFC

free; mometasone;

Leukotriene modifiers: montelukast; zafirlukast; zileuton

Mast cell stabilizers: cromolyn

Methylxanthines: aminophylline; dyphylline; theophylline.

Asthma Reliever Medications:

Short-acting, inhaled beta-2 Agonists: albuterol; levalbuterol; pirbuterol.

RISK ADJUSTMENT

No risk adjustment or risk stratification

N/A

STRATIFICATION

Four age stratifications and a total rate are reported for this measure. Age for each stratum is based on the patient's age as of the end of the Measurement Year (e.g., December 31).

- 1) 5-11 years
- 2) 12-18 years
- 3) 19-50 years
- 4) 51-64 years
- 5) Total (5-

TYPE SCORE

Rate/proportion better quality = higher score

ALGORITHM

Refer to items S.6 (Numerator details), S.9 (Denominator details), S.11 (Denominator exclusions details) and S.2b (Data Dictionary) for tables.

This measure determines the percentage of patients with persistent asthma who had a ratio of controller medications to total asthma medications of 0.50 or greater based on information available from the published NDC codes. The measure calculation is detailed in the steps listed below:

Step 1: Determine the eligible population: Identify patients 5–64 years of age as of December 31 of the measurement year as having persistent asthma who met at least one of the following criteria during both the measurement year and the year prior to the measurement year. Criteria need not be the same across both year:

- a) At least one ED visit with asthma as the principal diagnosis; or
- b) At least one acute inpatient claim/encounter with asthma as the principal diagnosis; or

- c) At least four outpatient visits or observation visits on different dates of service, with any diagnosis of asthma AND at least two asthma medication dispensing events. Visit type need not be the same for the four visits; or
- d) At least four asthma medication dispensing events*
- *A patient identified as having persistent asthma because of at least four asthma medication dispensing events where leukotriene modifiers or antibody inhibitors were the sole asthma medication dispensed in that year, must also have at least one diagnosis of asthma, in any setting, in the same year as the leukotriene modifier or antibody inhibitor (i.e., measurement year or year prior to the measurement year).

Step 2: Determine denominator exclusions:

- a) Exclude patients who had any diagnosis of Emphysema, COPD, Chronic Bronchitis, Chronic Respiratory Conditions Due to Fumes/Vapors, Cystic Fibrosis or Acute Respiratory Failure any time during the patient's history through the end of the measurement year
- b) Exclude patients who had no asthma medications (controller or reliever) dispensed during the measurement year.

Step 3: Determine numerator:

- a) For each patient, count the units of controller medications (see AMR-A) dispensed during the measurement year. When identifying medication units for the numerator, count each individual medication, defined as an amount lasting 30 days or less, as one medication unit. One medication unit equals one inhaler canister, one injection, or a 30-day or less supply of an oral medication. For example, two inhaler canisters of the same medication dispensed on the same day count as two medication units and only one dispensing event. Use the package size and units columns in the NDC list to determine the number of canisters or injections. Divide the dispensed amount by the package size to determine the number of canisters or injections dispensed. For example, if the package size for an inhaled medication is 10g and pharmacy data indicates the dispensed amount is 30 g, this indicates 3 inhaler canisters were dispensed.
- b) For each patient, count the units of reliever medications (see AMR-A) dispensed during the measurement year.
- c) For each patient, sum the units calculated in step a and step b to determine units of total asthma medications.
- d) For each patient, calculate the ratio of controller medications to total asthma medications using the following formula:

Units of Controller Medications (Step a)/ Units of Total Asthma Medications (Step c)

e) Sum the total number of patients who have a ratio of 0.50 or greater in step d.

Step 4: Calculate the measure rate: the number of patients have a ratio of 0.50 or greater/Denominator No diagram provided

COPYRIGHT / DISCLAIMER

5.1 Identified measures: 0047: Asthma: Pharmacologic Therapy for Persistent Asthma

0548: Suboptimal Asthma Control (SAC) and Absence of Controller Therapy (ACT)

5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized? No

5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact: 0047 assesses whether a patient was prescribed controller medication at least once during the measurement year, while 1800 assesses the ratio of controller medications to controller plus reliever medications.

There is no impact on interpretability or added burden of data collection because the focus of each measure is different. Also, both measures use value sets to identify asthma controller medications that do not conflict. 0548 is a health plan-level measure that assesses overutilization of rescue medication and need for additional therapeutic intervention. However, 0548 assesses it over a shorter time period (a 90-day period) compared to 1800 (over a measurement year). Also, 1800 assesses a ratio of controller to reliever medications in order to take into account the patients who have severe asthma and may need higher amounts of reliever medication, but still have their asthma under control due to taking daily controller medications.

5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value:

1893 Hospital 30-Day, all-cause, risk-standardized mortality rate (RSMR) following chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) hospitalization

STEWARD

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

DESCRIPTION

The measure estimates a hospital-level 30-day risk-standardized mortality rate (RSMR), defined as death from any cause within 30 days after the index admission date, for patients discharged from the hospital with either a principal discharge diagnosis of COPD or a principal discharge diagnosis of respiratory failure with a secondary discharge diagnosis of acute exacerbation of COPD. CMS annually reports the measure for patients who are aged 65 or older, are enrolled in fee-for-service (FFS) Medicare, and hospitalized in non-federal hospitals.

TYPE

Outcome

DATA SOURCE

Administrative claims Data sources for the Medicare FFS measure:

- 1. Medicare Part A inpatient and Part B outpatient claims: This data source contains claims data for FFS inpatient and outpatient services including: Medicare inpatient hospital care, outpatient hospital services, as well as inpatient and outpatient physician claims for the 12 months prior to an index admission.
- 2. Medicare Enrollment Database (EDB): This database contains Medicare beneficiary demographic, benefit/coverage, and vital status information. This data source was used to obtain information on several inclusion/exclusion indicators such as Medicare status on admission as well as vital status. These data have previously been shown to accurately reflect patient vital status (Fleming et al., 1992).
- 3. The American Community Survey (2008-2012): The American Community Survey data is collected annually and an aggregated 5-years data was used to calculate the AHRQ SES composite index score.
- 4. Data sources for the all-payer testing: For our analyses to examine use in all-payer data, we used all-payer data from California. California is a diverse state, and, with more than 37 million

residents, California represents 12% of the US population. We used the California Patient Discharge Data, a large, linked database of patient hospital admissions. In 2006, there were approximately 3 million adult discharges from more than 450 non-Federal acute care hospitals. Records are linked by a unique patient identification number, allowing us to determine patient history from previous hospitalizations and to evaluate rates of both readmission and mortality (via linking with California vital statistics records).

Using all-payer data from California, we performed analyses to determine whether the COPD mortality measure can be applied to all adult patients, including not only FFS Medicare patients aged 65 or over, but also non-FFS Medicare patients aged 18-64 years at the time of admission.

Reference:

Fleming C., Fisher ES, Chang CH, Bubolz D, Malenda J. Studying outcomes and hospital utilization in the elderly: The advantages of a merged data base for Medicare and Veterans Affairs Hospitals. Medical Care. 1992; 30(5): 377-91.

No data collection instrument provided Attachment NQF_1893_S2b_Mortality_Data_Dictionary_v0.3_forCMS.xls

LEVEL

Facility

SETTING

Hospital/Acute Care Facility

NUMERATOR STATEMENT

The outcome for this measure is 30-day all-cause mortality. We define mortality as death from any cause within 30 days from the date of admission for patients discharged from the hospital with either a principal discharge diagnosis of COPD or a principal discharge diagnosis of respiratory failure with a secondary discharge diagnosis of acute exacerbation of COPD.

NUMERATOR DETAILS

This outcome measure does not have a traditional numerator and denominator like a core process measure (e.g., percentage of adult patients with diabetes aged 18-75 years receiving one or more hemoglobin A1c tests per year); thus, we are using this field to define the outcome.

The measure counts deaths for any cause within 30 days of the date of admission of the index COPD hospitalization.

Identifying deaths in the FFS measure

As currently reported, we identify deaths for FFS Medicare patients aged 65 or older in the Medicare Enrollment Database (EDB).

Identifying deaths in the all-payer measure

For the purposes of development of an all-payer measure, deaths were identified using the California vital statistics data file. Nationally, post-discharge deaths can be identified using an external source of vital status, such as the Social Security Administration's Death Master File (DMF) or the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's National Death Index (NDI).

DENOMINATOR STATEMENT

This claims-based measure can be used in either of two patient cohorts: (1) patients aged 65 or older or (2) patients aged 40 years or older.

The cohort includes admissions for patients discharged from the hospital with either a principal discharge diagnosis of COPD (see codes below) OR a principal discharge diagnosis of respiratory failure (see codes below) with a secondary discharge diagnosis of acute exacerbation of COPD (see codes below); and with a complete claims history for the 12 months prior to admission. The measure is currently publicly reported by CMS for those patients aged 65 or older who are Medicare FFS beneficiaries admitted to non-federal hospitals.

Additional details are provided in S.9 Denominator Details.

DENOMINATOR DETAILS

To be included in the measure cohort used in public reporting, patients must meet the following inclusion criteria:

- 1. Principal discharge diagnosis of COPD or principal discharge diagnosis of respiratory failure with a secondary discharge diagnosis of COPD with exacerbation
- 2. Enrolled in Medicare fee-for-service (FFS)
- 3. Aged 65 or over
- 4. Not transferred from another acute care facility
- 5. Enrolled in Part A and Part B Medicare for the 12 months prior to the date of admission, and enrolled in Part A during the index admission.

This measure can also be used for an all-payer population aged 40 years and older. We have explicitly tested the measure in both patients aged 40 years and older and those aged 65 years or older (see Testing Attachment for details).

International Classification of Diseases, 9th Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM) codes used to define the cohort for each measure are:

- ICD-9-CM codes used to define COPD:
- 491.21 Obstructive chronic bronchitis with (acute) exacerbation
- 491.22 Obstructive chronic bronchitis with acute bronchitis
- 491.8 Other chronic bronchitis
- 491.9 Unspecified chronic bronchitis
- 492.8 Other emphysema
- 493.20 Chronic obstructive asthma, unspecified
- 493.21 Chronic obstructive asthma with status asthmaticus
- 493.22 Chronic obstructive asthma with (acute) exacerbation
- 496 Chronic airway obstruction, not elsewhere classified
- 518.81 Acute respiratory failure (Principal diagnosis when combined with a secondary diagnosis of COPD with exacerbation [491.21, 491.22, 493.21, or 493.22])
- 518.82 Other pulmonary insufficiency, not elsewhere classified (Principal diagnosis when combined with a secondary diagnosis of COPD with exacerbation [491.21, 491.22, 493.21, or 493.22])
- 518.84 Acute and chronic respiratory failure (Principal diagnosis when combined with a secondary diagnosis of COPD with exacerbation [491.21, 491.22, 493.21, or 493.22])
- 799.1 Respiratory arrest (Principal diagnosis when combined with a secondary diagnosis of COPD with exacerbation [491.21, 491.22, 493.21, or 493.22])
- ICD-9-CM codes used to define acute exacerbation of COPD:

- 491.21 Obstructive chronic bronchitis with (acute) exacerbation
- 491.22 Obstructive chronic bronchitis with acute bronchitis
- 493.21 Chronic obstructive asthma with status asthmaticus
- 493.22 Chronic obstructive asthma with (acute) exacerbation

.....

ICD-10-CM codes used to define COPD:

- J44.1 Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease with (acute) exacerbation
- J44.0 Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease with acute lower respiratory infection
- J41.8 Mixed simple and mucopurulent chronic bronchitis
- J42 Unspecified chronic bronchitis
- J43.9 Emphysema, unspecified
- J44.9 Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, unspecified
- J96.00 Acute respiratory failure, unspecified whether with hypoxia or hypercapnia
- J96.90 Respiratory failure, unspecified, unspecified whether with hypoxia or hypercapnia
- J80 Acute respiratory distress syndrome
- J96.20 Acute and chronic respiratory failure, unspecified whether with hypoxia or hypercapnia
- R09.2 Respiratory arrest
- ICD-10-CM codes used to define acute exacerbation of COPD:
- J44.1 Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease with (acute) exacerbation
- J44.0 Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease with acute low respiratory infection
- An ICD-9 to ICD-10 crosswalk is attached in field S.2b. (Data Dictionary or Code Table).

EXCLUSIONS

The mortality measures exclude index admissions for patients:

- 1. With inconsistent or unknown vital status or other unreliable demographic (age and gender) data:
- 2. Enrolled in the Medicare hospice program any time in the 12 months prior to the index admission, including the first day of the index admission; or
- 3. Discharged against medical advice (AMA).

For patients with more than one admission for a given condition in a given year, only one index admission for that condition is randomly selected for inclusion in the cohort.

EXCLUSION DETAILS

- 1. Inconsistent vital status or unreliable data are identified if any of the following conditions are met 1) the patient's age is greater than 115 years: 2) if the discharge date for a hospitalization is before the admission date; 3) if the patient has a sex other than 'male' or 'female'.
- 2. Hospice enrollment in the 12 months prior to or on the index admission is identified using hospice data.
- 3. Discharges against medical advice (AMA) are identified using the discharge disposition indicator.

After all exclusions are applied, the measure randomly selects one index admission per patient per year for inclusion in the cohort so that each episode of care is mutually independent with

the same probability of the outcome. For each patient, the probability of death increases with each subsequent admission, and therefore, the episodes of care are not mutually independent. Similarly, for the three year combined data, when index admissions occur during the transition between measure reporting periods (June and July of each year) and both are randomly selected for inclusion in the measure, the measure includes only the June admission. The July admissions are excluded to avoid assigning a single death to two admissions.

RISK ADJUSTMENT

Statistical risk model

Our approach to risk adjustment is tailored to and appropriate for a publicly reported outcome measure, as articulated in the American Heart Association (AHA) Scientific Statement, "Standards for Statistical Models Used for Public Reporting of Health Outcomes" (Krumholz et al., 2006).

The measure employs a hierarchical logistic regression model to create a hospital-level 30-day RSMR. In brief, the approach simultaneously models data at the patient and hospital levels to account for the variance in patient outcomes within and between hospitals (Normand & Shahian, 2007). At the patient level, the model adjusts the log-odds of mortality within 30 days of admission for age and selected clinical covariates. At the hospital level, the approach models the hospital-specific intercepts as arising from a normal distribution. The hospital intercept represents the underlying risk of mortality at the hospital, after accounting for patient risk. If there were no differences among hospitals, then after adjusting for patient risk, the hospital intercepts should be identical across all hospitals.

Candidate and Final Risk-adjustment Variables: Candidate variables were patient-level risk-adjustors that were expected to be predictive of mortality, based on empirical analysis, prior literature, and clinical judgment, including age and indicators of comorbidity and disease severity. For each patient, covariates are obtained from claims records extending 12 months prior to and including the index admission. For the measure currently implemented by CMS, these risk-adjusters are identified using both inpatient and outpatient Medicare FFS claims data. However, in the all-payer hospital discharge database measure, the risk-adjustment variables can be obtained only from inpatient claims in the prior 12 months and the index admission.

The model adjusts for case-mix differences based on the clinical status of patients at the time of admission. We use condition categories (CCs), which are clinically meaningful groupings of more than 15,000 ICD-9-CM diagnosis codes (Pope et al., 2000). A file that contains a list of the ICD-9-CM codes and their groupings into CCs is attached in data field S.2b (Data Dictionary or Code Table). In addition, only comorbidities that convey information about the patient at admission or in the 12 months prior, and not complications that arise during the course of the index hospitalization, are included in the risk adjustment. Hence, we do not risk adjust for CCs that may represent adverse events of care when they are only recorded in the index admission.

The final set of risk adjustment variables is:

Demographics

Age-65 (years, continuous) for patients aged 65 or over cohorts; or Age (years, continuous) for patients aged 18 and over cohorts.

Comorbidities

Sleep apnea (ICD-9 codes 327.20, 327.21, 327.23, 327.27, 327.29, 780.51, 780.53, 780.57) History of mechanical ventilation (ICD-9 codes 93.90, 96.70, 96.71, 96.72)

Respirator dependence/respiratory failure (CC 77-78)

Cardio-respiratory failure or shock (CC 79)

Congestive heart failure (CC 80)

Coronary atherosclerosis or angina (CC 83-84)

Specified arrhythmias and other heart rhythm disorders (CC 92-93)

Vascular or circulatory disease (CC 104-106)

Fibrosis of lung or other chronic lung disorders (CC 109)

Asthma (CC 110)

Pneumonia (CC 111-113)

Pleural effusion/pneumothorax (CC 114)

Other lung disorders (CC 115)

Metastatic cancer or acute leukemia (CC 7)

Lung, upper digestive tract, and other severe cancers (CC 8)

Lymphatic, head and neck, brain, and other major cancers; breast, colorectal and other cancers and tumors; other respiratory and heart neoplasms (CC 9-11)

Other digestive and urinary neoplasms (CC 12)

Diabetes mellitus (DM) or DM complications (CC 15-20, 119-120)

Protein-calorie malnutrition (CC 21)

Disorders of fluid/electrolyte/acid-base (CC 22-23)

Other endocrine/metabolic/nutritional disorders (CC 24)

Other gastrointestinal disorders (CC 36)

Osteoarthritis of hip or knee (CC 40)

Other musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders (CC 43)

Iron deficiency or other unspecified anemias and blood disease (CC 47)

Dementia or other specified brain disorders (CC 49-50)

Drug/alcohol abuse, without dependence (CC 53)

Other psychiatric disorders (CC 60)

Hemiplegia, paraplegia, paralysis, functional disability (CC 67-69, 100-102, 177-178)

Mononeuropathy, other neurological conditions/injuries (CC 76)

Hypertension and hypertensive disease (CC 90-91)

Stroke (CC 95-96)

Retinal disorders, except detachment and vascular retinopathies (CC 121)

Other eye disorders (CC 124)

Other ear, nose, throat and mouth disorders (CC 127)

Renal failure (CC 131)

Decubitus ulcer or chronic skin ulcer (CC 148-149)

Other dermatological disorders (CC 153)

Trauma (CC 154-156, 158-161)

Vertebral fractures (CC 157)

Major complications of medical care and trauma (CC 164)

References:

Krumholz HM, Brindis RG, Brush JE, et al. 2006. Standards for Statistical Models Used for Public Reporting of Health Outcomes: An American Heart Association Scientific Statement From the Quality of Care and Outcomes Research Interdisciplinary Writing Group: Cosponsored by the Council on Epidemiology and Prevention and the Stroke Council Endorsed by the American College of Cardiology Foundation. Circulation 113: 456-462.

Normand S-LT, Shahian DM. 2007. Statistical and Clinical Aspects of Hospital Outcomes Profiling. Stat Sci 22 (2): 206-226.

Pope GC, et al. 2000. Principal Inpatient Diagnostic Cost Group Models for Medicare Risk Adjustment. Health Care Financing Review 21(3): 93-118.

Available in attached Excel or csv file at S.2b

STRATIFICATION

N/A

TYPE SCORE

Rate/proportion better quality = lower score

ALGORITHM

The measure estimates hospital-level 30-day all-cause RSMRs following hospitalization for COPD using hierarchical logistic regression models. In brief, the approach simultaneously models data at the patient and hospital levels to account for variance in patient outcomes within and between hospitals (Normand and Shahian, 2007). At the patient level, it models the log-odds of mortality within 30 days of index admission using age, selected clinical covariates, and a hospital-specific intercept. At the hospital level, it models the hospital-specific intercepts as arising from a normal distribution. The hospital intercept represents the underlying risk of a mortality at the hospital, after accounting for patient risk. The hospital-specific intercepts are given a distribution to account for the clustering (non-independence) of patients within the same hospital. If there were no differences among hospitals, then after adjusting for patient risk, the hospital intercepts should be identical across all hospitals.

The RSMR is calculated as the ratio of the number of "predicted" to the number of "expected" deaths at a given hospital, multiplied by the national observed mortality rate. For each hospital, the numerator of the ratio is the number of deaths within 30 days predicted on the basis of the hospital's performance with its observed case mix, and the denominator is the number of deaths expected based on the nation's performance with that hospital's case mix. This approach is analogous to a ratio of "observed" to "expected" used in other types of statistical analyses. It conceptually allows for a comparison of a particular hospital's performance given its case mix to an average hospital's performance with the same case mix. Thus, a lower ratio indicates lower-than-expected mortality rates or better quality, and a higher ratio indicates higher-than-expected mortality rates or worse quality.

The "predicted" number of deaths (the numerator) is calculated by using the coefficients estimated by regressing the risk factors and the hospital-specific intercept on the risk of mortality. The estimated hospital-specific intercept is added to the sum of the estimated regression coefficients multiplied by the patient characteristics. The results are transformed and summed over all patients attributed to a hospital to get a predicted value. The "expected"

number of deaths (the denominator) is obtained in the same manner, but a common intercept using all hospitals in our sample is added in place of the hospital-specific intercept. The results are transformed and summed over all patients in the hospital to get an expected value. To assess hospital performance for each reporting period, we re-estimate the model coefficients using the years of data in that period.

This calculation transforms the ratio of predicted over expected into a rate that is compared to the national observed mortality rate. The hierarchical logistic regression models are described fully in the original methodology report (Grosso et al., 2011).

Reference:

Grosso L, Lindenauer P, Wang C, et al. Hospital-level 30-day Mortality Following Admission for an Acute Exacerbation of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. 2011.

Normand S-LT, Shahian DM. 2007. Statistical and Clinical Aspects of Hospital Outcomes Profiling. Stat Sci 22(2): 206-226. No diagram provided

COPYRIGHT / DISCLAIMER

5.1 Identified measures: 0701: Functional Capacity in COPD patients before and after Pulmonary Rehabilitation

0700: Health-related Quality of Life in COPD patients before and after Pulmonary Rehabilitation

0275: Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) or Asthma in Older A

5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized? Yes

5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact: We did not include in our list of related measures any non-outcome (for example, process) measures with the same target population as our measure. Our measure cohort was heavily vetted by clinical experts, a technical expert panel, and a public comment period. Additionally, the measure, with the specified cohort, has been publicly reported since December 2014. Because this is an outcome measure, clinical coherence of the cohort takes precedence over alignment with related non-outcome measures. Furthermore, non-outcome measures are limited due to broader patient exclusions. This is because they typically only include a specific subset of patients who are eligible for that measure (for example, patients who receive a specific medication or undergo a specific procedure).

5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value: N/A

2794 Rate of Emergency Department Visit Use for Children Managed for Identifiable Asthma: A PQMP Measure

STEWARD

University Hospitals Cleveland Medical Center

DESCRIPTION

This measure estimates the rate of emergency department visits for children ages 2-21 who are being managed for identifiable asthma. The measure is reported in visits per 100 child-years.

TYPE

Outcome

DATA SOURCE

Administrative claims, Electronic Clinical Data: Electronic Health Record, Paper Medical Records N/A

No data collection instrument provided Attachment FINAL_CAPQuaM_ASTHMA_ICD9_and_ICD10.xlsx

LEVEL

Population: Community, Population: County or City, Health Plan, Integrated Delivery System, Population: National, Population: Regional, Population: State

SETTING

Ambulatory Care: Clinician Office/Clinic, Emergency Medical Services/Ambulance, Hospital/Acute Care Facility, Other, Pharmacy, Ambulatory Care: Urgent Care Claims data from all settings in New York State Medicaid data were tested.

NUMERATOR STATEMENT

The numerator uses the number of undesirable utilization outcomes (i.e., claims for ED visits or hospitalizations for asthma) experienced by children who are managed for identifiable asthma to estimate the number of emergency room visits

NUMERATOR DETAILS

Numerator Elements:

Date and count of all emergency visits with a primary or secondary diagnosis of asthma.

ED visits should be identified as a visit that is associated with:

- 1) At least one of the following CPT codes: 99281, 99282, 99283, 99284, 99285 OR
- 2) At least one of the following revenue codes

0450 Emergency Room

0451 Emergency Room: EM/EMTALA

0452 Emergency Room: ER/ Beyond EMTALA

0456 Emergency Room: Urgent care

0459 Emergency Room: Other emergency room

450 Emergency Room

451 Emergency Room: EM/EMTALA

452 Emergency Room: ER/ Beyond EMTALA

456 Emergency Room: Urgent care

459 Emergency Room: Other emergency room 0981 Professional fees (096x) Emergency room

981 Professional fees emergency room

Inpatient Hospitalizations are identified as an encounter that is associated with:

At least one of the following CPT codes:

Hospitalization:

CPT 99238	CPT 99232
CPT 99239	CPT 99233
CPT 99221	CPT 99234
CPT 99222	CPT 99235
CPT 99223	CPT 99236
CPT 99356	CPT 99218
CPT 99357	CPT 99219
CPT 99231	CPT 99220

OR

At least one of the following revenue codes

0110 0133

0111 0134

0112 0137

0113 0139

0114 0150

0117 0151

0119 0152

0120 0153

0121 0154

0122 0157

0123 0159

0124 0200

0127 0201

0129 0202

0130 0203

0131 0204

0132 0206

IDENTIFY count of discrete numerator events:

For each individual in the denominator for the specified month, consider evidence of hospitalization that is on the same day or one day after an ED visit to represent one discrete event. Consecutive days of hospitalization are considered to represent one hospitalization.

Data Sources

Administrative Data (e.g., claims data)

Paper Medical Record – only if needed for race ethnicity or ZIP code

Race/ethnicity data and ZIP code data (If race/ethnicity data or ZIP code data are not present in administrative data set, they should be obtained from another source, such as the medical record). We performed a feasibility study alpha test by surveying more than a dozen hospitals that demonstrates that these data elements are generally available in the medical record.

General data elements:

- Age
- Race and ethnicity
- Insurance type (Medicaid, Private, Uninsured)
- Benefit type among insured (HMO, PPO, FFS, Medicaid Primary Care Case Management Plan [PCCM], Other)
- ZIP code or State and County of residence (and FIPS where available)

Administrative data with billing and diagnosis codes:

- Asthma-related visits to an emergency department, or hospitalization
- Asthma medication prescriptions
- Insurance benefit type
- ZIP code or State and County of residence (and FIPS where available)
- Race and ethnicity (from hospital administrative data or charts if not in administrative data from plan)

If pharmacy data are not available the measure should be reported with notation that pharmacy data were not used for the assessment of eligibility.

For eligibility purposes, asthma-related medicine refers to long-acting beta-agonist (alone or in combination) or inhaled corticosteroid (alone or in combination), anti-asthmatic combinations, methylxanthines (alone or in combination)

These details incorporate ICD-9 codes only. For the specified ICD-10 codes and a detailed listing of ICD 9 codes see attached spreadsheet in S2.b.

DENOMINATOR STATEMENT

The denominator represents the person time experience among eligible children with identifiable asthma. Assessment of eligibility is determined for each child monthly. The total number of child months experienced is summed and divided by 1200 to achieve the units of 100 child years.

DENOMINATOR DETAILS

The denominator seeks to identify children who have been managed with identifiable asthma.

A descriptive definition for being managed for Identifiable asthma follows. Identifiable asthma needs to be identified in the assessment period for the specific reporting month being assessed.

Specifications follow the descriptive definitions:

- a. Any prior hospitalization with asthma as primary or secondary diagnosis
- b. Other qualifying events after the fifth birthday (age is age at occurrence):
- i. One or more prior ambulatory visits with asthma as the primary diagnosis (this criterion implies an asthma ED visit in the reporting month), OR
- ii. Two or more ambulatory visits with asthma as a diagnosis, OR
- iii. One ambulatory visit with asthma as a diagnosis AND at least one asthma-related prescription, OR
- iv. Two or more ambulatory visits with a diagnosis of bronchitis
- c. Other qualifying events, any age:
- v. Three or more ambulatory visits with diagnosis of asthma or bronchitis, OR

vi. Two or more ambulatory visits with a diagnosis of asthma and/or bronchitis AND one or more asthma- related prescriptions.

For eligibility purposes, asthma-related medicine means long-acting beta-agonist (alone or in combination) or inhaled corticosteroid (alone or in combination), anti-asthmatic combinations, methylxanthines (alone or in combination), and/or mast cell stabilizers.

If pharmacy data are not available, the measure should be reported with notation that pharmacy data were not used for the assessment of eligibility. This avoids eliminating from the measure those facilities with no link to pharmacies. Our testing reveals that only a very small proportion of patients are excluded by not including pharmacy data to establish eligibility.

For eligibility purposes, asthma-related medicine refers to long-acting beta-agonist (alone or in combination) or inhaled corticosteroid (alone or in combination), anti-asthmatic combinations, methylxanthines (alone or in combination), and or mast cell stabilizers. In order to promote better harmonization, we start with the current HEDIS asthma medication list. From that list, in accordance with our expert panel recommendations we eliminate medications in the following

2 categories: leukotriene modifiers, short-acting inhaled beta-agonists. We further exclude indacaterol, a recently approved long acting beta agonist that is indicated in the US only for teh treatment of COPD. As indicated elesewhere, COPD is an exclusion criterion for this measure. These specifications anticipate that NCQA will update the medication list from time to time and with the stated exclusions updated lists may be substituted for the list linked herein. The table used for testing is labeled Table AMR-A: Asthma Controller and Reliever Medications, and can be found at

http://www.ncqa.org/HEDISQualityMeasurement/HEDISMeasures/HEDIS2015/HEDIS2015NDCLi cense/HEDIS2015FinalNDCLists.aspx (last accessed September 12, 2015).

Denominator Elements:

The presence of identifiable asthma (see Table 1) is established each month from administrative data using the specified algorithm. (Appendix Figure 1 and this section's narrative)

All events in the administrative data should be associated with a date of service.

Eligibility should be obtained using the month by month algorithm described herein and illustrated in Figure 1, which is a fundamental component of this description. The analysis should be conducted on a month by month basis as described herein:

- . Within the group of children who meet the criteria for identifiable asthma, identify and maintain a unique patient identifier, age, and all stratification variables.
- . Determine eligibility for each patient, as of the last day of the month prior to the reporting month.

For example, if the goal is to report for January 2011, first identify children with identifiable asthma (above), and analyze all of calendar year 2010 when doing so. Continuous enrollment criterion requires that the child was enrolled in November and December of 2010.

Next, for February analyze all of calendar year 2010 AND January 2011. Continuous enrollment criterion requires that the child was enrolled in December

2010 and January 2011.

Repeat this progression monthly so that for December, one would identify children with identifiable asthma and analyze all of calendar year 2010 AND January through November 2011 when doing so. Continuous enrollment criterion requires that for December the child was enrolled in October 2011 and November 2011.

See Figure 1 in Appendix, which is incorporated into these specifications by reference.

Codes used for definitions are specified in Appendix Table 1 and summarized herein:

Hospitalization:

CPT Codes: (Any)

CPT 99238 CPT 99232

CPT 99239 CPT 99233

CPT 99221 CPT 99234

CPT 99222 CPT 99235

CPT 99223 CPT 99236

CPT 99356 CPT 99218

CPT 99357 CPT 99219

CPT 99231 CPT 99220

Or Revenue Codes: (Any)

0110 0133

0111 0134

0112 0137

0113 0139

0114 0150

0117 0151

0119 0152

0120 0153

0121 0154

0122 0157

0123 0159

0124 0200

0127 0201

0129 0202

0130 0203

0131 0204

0132 0206

Emergency Department Visits

CPT Codes: (Any)

CPT 99281 CPT 99284 CPT 99282 CPT 99285

CPT 99283

Or Revenue Codes: (Any) 0450 Emergency Room

0451 Emergency Room: EM/EMTALA

0452 Emergency Room: ER/Beyond EMTALA

0456 Emergency Room: Urgent Care

0459 Emergency Room: Other Emergency Room 0981 Professional Fees (096x) Emergency Room

981 Professional Fees emergency room

Office Visits(Any)

CPT 99201 CPT 99211

CPT 99202 CPT 99212

CPT 99203 CPT 99213

CPT 99204 CPT 99214

CPT 99205 CPT 99215

Diagnosis of Asthma

ICD-9 Codes:

All codes beginning with 493

Alternately, or entities that prefer to use AHRQ's Clinical Classifications Software, the asthma definition before exclusions is CCS class 128. Those using CCS should then apply the exclusions.

Filled Prescriptions for Asthma-related Medications as specified in this section above.

Please note Figure 1 and Table 1 in the attached Appendix are considered INTEGRAL to these specifications and are not optional.

These details incorporate ICD-9 codes only. For the specified ICD-10 codes and a detailed listing of ICD 9 codes see attached spreadsheet in S2.b.

EXCLUSIONS

Children with concurrent or pre-existing: Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) diagnosis (ICD-9 Code: 496), Cystic Fibrosis diagnosis (ICD-9 code 277.0, 277.01. 277.02, 277.03, 277.09), or Emphysema diagnosis (ICD-9 code 492xx).

These exclusion incorporate ICD-9 codes only. For the specified ICD-10 codes and a detailed listing of ICD 9 codes see attached spreadsheet in S2.b.

Children who have not been consecutively enrolled in the reporting plan for at least two months prior to the index reporting month and for the reporting month (a total of three consecutive months ending in the reporting month).

EXCLUSION DETAILS

See S.10 above. Also, for entities that use AHRQ's Clinical Classifications Software, apply the exclusion after identifying visits that satisfy CCS class 128.

These details incorporate ICD-9 codes only. For the specified ICD-10 codes and a detailed listing of ICD 9 codes see attached spreadsheet in S2.b.

RISK ADJUSTMENT

Other In order to allow for more granular comparisons this measure is specified to be stratified. Stratification for risk adjustment of this measure would not be justified by the literature. Although epidemiological findings support our stratification schema, n

N/A

STRATIFICATION

Specifications for this measure requires stratification by age group and race/ethnicity. Several additional stratifications are optional but may be required by the accountability entity or reported by the reporting entity. These variables include rurality/urbanicity and county level of poverty.

TYPE SCORE

Rate/proportion better quality = lower score

ALGORITHM

Step 1: Measure person-time eligible for each patient and record by month.

a. For each month in the reporting year, identify all children ages 2 – 21 years who meet the criteria for Identifiable asthma during the assessment period. The assessment period is defined as the year prior to the reporting year plus all months in the reporting year prior to the reporting month.

Identify and maintain a unique patient identifier and all stratification variables.

To illustrate: if the goal is to report for January 2011, first one would identify children with Identifiable asthma using the criteria, and analyze all of calendar year 2010 when doing so. Continuous enrollment criterion requires that the child was enrolled in November and December of 2010, as well as January 2011. This total represents the number of person-months (child-months) for January.

Next, for February: one would identify children with Identifiable asthma using the criteria, and analyze all of calendar year 2010 AND January 2011 when doing so. Continuous enrollment criterion requires that the child was enrolled in December 2010 and January 2011, as well as February 2011. This is the number of person-months (child-months) for February. Repeat this progression monthly so that for December, one would identify children with Identifiable asthma and analyze all of calendar year 2010 AND January through November 2011 when doing so. Continuous enrollment criterion requires that the child was enrolled in October 2011 and November 2011, as well as December 2011. This is the number of person-months (child-months) for December.

b. Sum all months that are eligible from the reporting year. This sum is the denominator in people-months. Divide by 1200. This is denominator in 100 people-years. This is the denominator for the year.

Step 2: Month by month, considering the definitions above, identify the number of discrete numerator events:

- a. Identify the number and date of ED visits with asthma as a primary or secondary diagnosis among those children who are eligible for that reporting month.
- b. Identify the number and date of inpatient hospitalizations with asthma as a primary or secondary diagnosis among those children who are eligible for that reporting month.
- c. Identify the number of discrete numerator events. Consecutive days with inpatient hospital codes are considered one hospitalization. Hospitalizations on day of or day after ED visit are NOT considered discrete from the ED visit.
- d. Sum the number of numerator events across the year.
- e. Maintain stratification variables and unique identifiers.

Step 3. Calculate rate as Numerator / Denominator. While this measure is specified for the year, it has also been validated to demonstrate seasonality using monthly rates.

Step 4. Calculate stratification variables as specified in S.12.

Step 5. Repeat by strata. Within age strata repeat by other specified strata. Perform other cross tabulations as requested by the accountability entity. Eliminate any strata with less than 40 person-months in any month's denominator OR less than 1000 person-months for the year.

Appendix 1, Figure A.1 illustrates the calculation of person-time and is considered fundamental to this calculation algorithm. Available in attached appendix at A.1

COPYRIGHT / DISCLAIMER

5.1 Identified measures:

5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized? No

5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact: Our definition of identifiable asthma is more inclusive than, for example, NCQA's persistent asthma construct. We use similar medication definitions as NCQA, except we exclude leukotriene inhibitors from asthma-related medications because our expert panel felt that these medications were used frequently for allergy patients and judged that the small gain in sensitivity of identifying children (considering all criteria) would be less than the loss in sensitivity and likelihood to include non-asthmatic children with allergies. Our specifications have been validated by an expert panel in the context of a peer reviewed process commissioned by AHRQ and CMS to advance the field and science of pediatric quality measurement beyond the state represented in pre-existing measures. The specification of a person-time denominator allows for the measure to have a shorter requirement for continuous enrollment than other measures with less risk of bias than previous measures.

5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value:

2816 Appropriateness of Emergency Department Visits for Children and Adolescents with Identifiable Asthma: A PQMP Measure

STEWARD

University Hospitals Cleveland Medical Center

DESCRIPTION

This measure estimates the proportion of emergency department (ED) visits that meet criteria for the ED being the appropriate level of care, among all ED visits for identifiable asthma in children and adolescents.

TYPE

Process

DATA SOURCE

Administrative claims, Electronic Clinical Data: Electronic Health Record, Paper Medical Records N/A

No data collection instrument provided Attachment FINAL_CAPQuaM_ASTHMA_ICD9_and_ICD10-635802445620975487.xlsx

LEVEL

Population: Community, Population: County or City, Health Plan, Integrated Delivery System,

Population: National, Population: Regional, Population: State

SETTING

Ambulatory Care: Clinician Office/Clinic, Hospital/Acute Care Facility, Other Emergency Department

NUMERATOR STATEMENT

The numerator is the number of eligible asthma ED visits in the random sample that also satisfy at least one of the explicit criteria to indicate that the ED is an appropriate level of care. Distinct numerators are reported for children ages 2-5, 6-11, 12-18, and optionally, 19 - 21.

NUMERATOR DETAILS

Children and adolescents who have a qualifying ED visit associated with asthma as the first or second diagnosis;

AND have at least one of the following:

- Disposition of the ED visit was admission to the hospital
- Documented physical findings consistent with respiratory distress, including any of the following:
- o Labored breathing (including moderate or severe increased work of breathing);
- o Retractions, grunting, and/or evidence of accessory muscle use;
- o Markedly decreased breath sounds;
- Low oxygen (O2) saturation level (dichotomized, < 90% qualifies);
- •An arterial blood gas (ABG) was obtained in the emergency department;
- •The child had a consultation with a pulmonologist or asthma specialist that was ordered and provided in the ED;
- There is clear documentation that prior to arrival in the ED any of the following occurred:
- o The child was referred to the ED after evaluation by the PCP or other clinician
- note: assessment of breathing over the telephone is allowed by this criterion;
- o The child received two or more doses of inhaled rescue medications without sufficient clinical improvement. Note: parental report of this criterion is acceptable. Report may have been made at triage, to the nursing staff, or by the clinician during the chief complaint or history of present illness;
- o The child was assessed with an objective instrument such as a peak flow meter and was found to be in a pre-defined "red zone" of peak flow measurement as part of an asthma action or similar plan. Documentation is needed that the patient/family OR physician report or the chart documents ALL of the following
- a written asthma action plan exists AND defines a "red zone" for which urgent assessment by a clinician is indicated;

- An objective assessment was made and its result was in the pre-defined red zone These details incorporate ICD-9 codes only. For the specified ICD-10 codes and a detailed listing of ICD 9 codes see attached spreadsheet in S2.b.

DENOMINATOR STATEMENT

The denominator represents a random sample of the patients in each age stratum who have visited the emergency department for asthma (as a first or second diagnosis) and meet the specified criteria for having identifiable asthma (Appendix Table 1).

Separate numerators and denominators are reported for children age 2-5, 6-11, 12-18, and, optionally, 19-21 years. An overall rate across strata is not reported.

DENOMINATOR DETAILS

Denominator Elements:

The presence of identifiable asthma (see table 1) is established each month from administrative data using the specified algorithm.

Descriptive definitions for being managed for identifiable asthma are as follows. Specifications follow the descriptive definitions. Identifiable asthma is present in any child who has:

- Any prior hospitalization with asthma as primary or secondary diagnosis; or,
- Other qualifying events, all ages:

o Three or more ambulatory visits with diagnosis of asthma or bronchitis,

OR

o Two or more ambulatory visits with a diagnosis of asthma and/or bronchitis AND one or more asthma-related prescriptions

- OR For children older than five who have an ED visit for asthma (as first or second diagnosis) in the reporting month and prior to the reporting month who have had:
- o One or more prior ambulatory visits with asthma as the primary diagnosis after the fifth birthday, OR
- o Two or more ambulatory visits after the fifth birthday with asthma as a diagnosis, OR
- o One ambulatory visit with asthma as a diagnosis AND at least one asthma-related prescription, both occurring after the fifth birthday OR
- o Two or more ambulatory visits with a diagnosis of bronchitis after the fifth birthday

For eligibility purposes, asthma-related medicine means long-acting beta-agonist (alone or in combination) or inhaled corticosteroid (alone or in combination), anti- asthmatic combinations, methylxanthines (alone or in combination), and/or mast cell stabilizers. See below further regarding this specification. Note that leukotriene modifiers and short term beta agonists are excluded for the purpose of establishing identifiable asthma. Data from the year prior to the reporting year are used, as well as all months prior to the reporting month in the reporting year (see Appendix Figure 1).

All events in the administrative data should be associated with a date of service.

Eligibility should be obtained using the month by month algorithm described herein and illustrated in Figure 1, which is a fundamental component of this description. The analysis should be conducted on a month by month basis as described herein:

Within the group of children who meet the criteria for identifiable asthma, identify and maintain a unique patient identifier, age, and all stratification variables.

Determine eligibility for each patient, as of the last day of the month prior to the reporting month.

For example, if the goal is to report for January 2011, first identify children with identifiable asthma (above), and analyze all of calendar year 2010 when doing so. Continuous enrollment criterion requires that the child was enrolled in November and December of 2010.

Next, for February analyze all of calendar year 2010 AND January 2011. Continuous enrollment criterion requires that the child was enrolled in December

2010 and January 2011.

Repeat this progression monthly so that for December, one would identify children with identifiable asthma and analyze all of calendar year 2010 AND January through November 2011 when doing so. Continuous enrollment criterion requires that for December the child was enrolled in October 2011 and November 2011.

See Figure 1 in Appendix.

Develop Denominator sample according to Appendix Figure 2 and consistent with the instructions in sections S.18 and S.20.

Codes used for definitions are specified in Appendix Table 1 and summarized herein:

Hospitalization:

CPT Codes: (Any)

CPT 99238 CPT 99232

CPT 99239 CPT 99233

CPT 99221 CPT 99234

CPT 99222 CPT 99235

CPT 99223 CPT 99236

CPT 99356 CPT 99218

CPT 99357 CPT 99219

CPT 99231 CPT 99220

Or Revenue Codes: (Any)

0110 0133

0111 0134

0112 0137

0113 0139

0114 0150

0117 0151

0119 0152

0120 0153

0121 0154

0122 0157

0123 0159

0124 0200

0127 0201

0129 0202

0130 0203

0131 0204

0132 0206

Emergency Department Visits

CPT Codes: (Any)

CPT 99281 CPT 99284 CPT 99282 CPT 99285

CPT 99283

Or Revenue Codes: (Any) 0450 Emergency Room

0451 Emergency Room: EM/EMTALA

0452 Emergency Room: ER/Beyond EMTALA

0456 Emergency Room: Urgent Care

0459 Emergency Room: Other Emergency Room 0981 Professional Fees (096x) Emergency Room

981 Professional Fees emergency room

Office Visits(Any)

CPT 99201 CPT 99211

CPT 99202 CPT 99212

CPT 99203 CPT 99213

CPT 99204 CPT 99214

CPT 99205 CPT 99215

Diagnosis of Asthma

ICD-9 Codes:

All codes beginning with 493

Please see the Excel spreadsheet on s.2.b. for detailed list of ICD9 codes and specified list of ICD 10 codes.

Filled Prescriptions for Asthma-related Medications

Use NCQA NDC list (ASM-C_DASM-C_final_2012, found by clicking through at

(http://www.ncqa.org/HEDISQualityMeasurement/HEDISMeasures/HEDIS2012/HEDIS2012Final NDCLists.aspx) Eliminate medications in the following2 categories: leukotriene modifiers, shortacting inhaled beta-2 agonists). May use equivalent updated lists when provided by NCQA.

Please note Figures 1 and 2 and Table 1 in the attached Appendix are considered INTEGRAL to these specifications and are not optional.

EXCLUSIONS

ED visits that are already in the sample OR Children that fall outside of specified age range of 2-21 OR do not meet time enrollment criteria OR do not meet identifiable asthma prior to the ED visit, OR children with concurrent or pre-existing COPD, Cystic Fibrosis or Emphysema. Identifiable asthma is defined is section S.9.

At the discretion of the accountability entity, the denominator may be restricted to children 2-18.

These details incorporate ICD-9 codes only. For the specified ICD-10 codes and a detailed listing of ICD 9 codes see attached spreadsheet in S2.b.

EXCLUSION DETAILS

Denominator Exclusions

- 1) Children with concurrent or pre-existing:
- a. Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) diagnosis (ICD-9 code: 496);
- b. Cystic Fibrosis diagnosis (ICD-9 code 277.0, 277.01. 277.02, 277.03,277.09);
- c. Emphysema diagnosis (ICD-9 code 492xx)
- 2) Children without identifiable asthma as defined in S.9 by the month before the ED visit
- 3) Outside of specified age range
- 4) Events occurring in patients who have not been enrolled in the reporting plan for at least two consecutive months before the index reporting month (a total of 3 consecutive months, including the reporting month).

RISK ADJUSTMENT

Stratification by risk category/subgroup

The rate should be reported stratified by age and within age strata stratified and by each of the stratification variables. Additional cross tabulation may be requested by the accountability entity. Biological risk for asthma ED use has not been shown to be associated with the specified sub-stratifying variables, but social determinants of health are associated with asthma care and utilization. Therefore we specify the measure to be reported as BOTH a single value for each age group and stratified by key covariates (e.g. race/ethnicity, insurance status, urbanicity, and poverty of county of residence).

Provided in response box S.15a

STRATIFICATION

Specifications for this measure requires stratification by age group. Several additional stratifications are optional but may be required by the accountability entity. These variables include race/ethnicity, rurality/urbanicity and county level of poverty

TYPE SCORE

Rate/proportion better quality = lower score

ALGORITHM

Step 1: Select starting cohort

Identify the upper age limit to be used, either 18 or 21. The measure is specified from 2 to 21 years, with 19-21 year olds considered optional at the discretion of the accountability entity.

Appendix Figures 1 and 2 and Appendix Table 1 provide an overview and guide for eligibility and sample selection.

Step 2: Conduct analysis of administrative data using the specifications described in denominator description to identify children within the specified age range with identifiable asthma. The analysis should be conducted on a month by month basis as described herein:

Determine eligibility for each patient, as of the last day of the month prior to the reporting month. For example, if the goal is to report for January 2011, first identify children with identifiable asthma (above), and analyze all of calendar year 2010 when doing so. Continuous enrollment criterion requires that the child was enrolled in November and December of 2010. Next, for February analyze all of calendar year 2010 AND January 2011. Continuous enrollment criterion requires that the child was enrolled in December 2010 and January 2011. Repeat this progression monthly so that for December, one would identify children with identifiable asthma and analyze all of calendar year 2010 AND January through November 2011 when doing so. Continuous enrollment criterion requires that for December the child was also enrolled in October 2011 and November 2011. Appendix Figure A.1.a describes and illustrates the month by month analysis.

Step 3: Identify ED Visits and hospitalizations for asthma in eligible children.

Considering only the children who were identified as eligible in the given month

according to Step 2, perform a month-by-month analysis to identify and log all ED visits with asthma as a primary or secondary diagnosis and all hospitalizations with asthma as a primary or secondary diagnosis for each reporting month, using specifications described in denominator and the codes described above and in table 1 of the Appendix. Maintain stratification data elements, age, and unique identifiers.

Step 4: Stratify by age and develop random samples.

Stratify by age group (use age at month of qualifying event):

- Age 2-5 years (second birthday to the day before the 6th birthday);
- Age 6-11 years (sixth birthday to the day before the 12th birthday);
- Age 12-18 years (twelfth birthday to the day before the 18th birthday); and
- Age 19-21 years (nineteenth birthday to the day before the 21st birthday).

For each age group develop a random sample of 500 events as described in the sampling section below and illustrated in Appendix Figure 2.

Appendix Figure 2 is necessary to guide sample development. Several key remarks may help Figure 2 to be more understandable:

Before sample selection can be randomized, eligibility needs to be determined based on 3 key factors:

- Identifiable asthma diagnosis AND
- Month by month time analysis AND
- Asthma emergency department (ED) visit OR Asthma hospitalization

After eligibility is determined, the randomized sample can fall into one of three groups only:

- A. Asthma ED visit only OR
- B. Asthma hospitalization on same day as ED visit OR
- C. Asthma hospitalization only
- A. Asthma ED visit only qualifies for (at least) denominator inclusion
- B. Asthma hospitalization on same day as ED visit qualifies for denominator AND numerator inclusion
- C. Asthma hospitalization only needs further investigation to determine denominator inclusion
- . Do NOT include in denominator if sample was not hospitalized from an asthma ED visit OR

- . Do NOT include if ED visit was already in the sample under any criteria AND
- . Remaining: Do include in Denominator AND Numerator

Step 5: Collect stratification data elements from administrative data.

Collect the following data elements for all eligible children in each randomized sample. These data elements are used for reporting stratified results. Entities that are interested in assuring large samples for specific stratified analyses may choose to incorporate a further stratified sampling scheme and oversample to assure that there is a sample size of 100-500 per stratification category (e.g. race or ethnicity of interest). Such a sampling scheme must employ an appropriate weighting system (using the reciprocal of the likelihood for selection as a weight, c.f. Rao, P., 2000. Sampling Methodologies with Applications. New York: Chapman & Hall) to estimate overall performance. Alternatively, the stratified samples may be used only for reporting stratum specific performance comparison and not for estimating the overall performance. Approximate 95% confidence interval widths (assuming a rate of 50% appropriateness) are shown in the sampling specifications. We specify to oversample by 25% to account for potential loss in our event identifications.

Stratification data elements include:

- Race
- Ethnicity
- Insurance type (Public, Commercial, Uninsured)
- Benefit type (if insured): HMO, PPO, Medicaid Primary Care Case Management (PCCM) Plan, Fee for Service (FFS), other
- Zip code, state and county or equivalent area of parent/caregiver's residence. Record FIPS if available

Step 6: Categorize stratification variables as described in the stratification section S.12.

Step 7. Conduct Chart Audit (Medical Record Review) of GROUP A ED Visits.

Group A ED visits that have been selected for inclusion in the sample require a chart audit to assess eligibility for the numerator based on the explicit appropriateness criteria. They have already qualified for inclusion in the denominator. Eligibility for the numerator is established based on documentation of any of the following items. Review may be terminated once any qualification for the numerator is identified.

- Disposition of the child from the ED was to an inpatient hospital.
- Documented physical findings consistent with respiratory distress, including:
- . o Labored breathing with retractions and/or grunting; or
- . o Labored breathing with evidence of accessory muscle use; or,
- . o Markedly decreased breath sounds;
- Low O2 saturation level, defined as < 90%;
- An ABG obtained and reported;
- The child had a consultation with a pulmonologist or asthma specialist that was ordered and provided in the ED;
- Specific documentation that:
- . o The child was referred to the ED after evaluation by the PCP or other licensed clinician practitioner; OR

- . o The child received two or more doses of inhaled rescue medications without sufficient clinical improvement; OR
- . o The child was assessed with an objective instrument such as a peak flow meter and was found to be in a pre-defined "red zone" of peak flow measurement as part of a pre-specified asthma action or similar plan.

There is no specified order for review. Some institutions may prefer to record all reasons for numerator qualification to support ongoing or planned improvement activities.

Note 1: Evidence for hospitalization above requires that the child was admitted to any hospital as an inpatient. This includes admission directly to a medical or pediatric ICU or inpatient floor or transfer directly to an inpatient facility. If a child is transferred to another hospital, confirmation that the child actually was admitted directly (i.e., was not first admitted to another ED prior to admission) is necessary prior to qualifying for the numerator. Such confirmation may include evidence from the administrative data review in Step 2. Other potential sources for this information include ED discharge summary, disposition on a flow, admit, or discharge form, or documentation by doctors, nurses, nurse practitioners or physician assistants.

Note 2: Evidence that the child was referred to the ED requires documentation of both of two requirements. The requirements are:

- The child/adolescent was referred by a clinician to come to the ED; and
- The child/adolescent was evaluated by the clinician prior to referral. Generally such evaluations will be in person. Assessment of respiratory distress by listening or speaking to the child/adolescent over the telephone is sufficient if such an examination is clearly documented. Report of this requirement being met by the child/adolescent or parent/caregiver is sufficient to meet this criterion. Report of contact from the referring physician can also fulfill this criterion. Nursing notes, triage notes and clinician notes, particularly history of present illness (HPI) are common sources for this data.

Note 3: Evidence of a parent or caregiver report that the child received two or more doses of an inhaled rescue medication with insufficient clinical improvement typically will be found in triage, nursing, clinician, or respiratory therapy notes. It may also be documented as a part of medication reconciliation during intake. It requires documentation:

- That multiple treatments of medication were provided by inhalation or injection prior to arrival in the ED;
- That the medication(s) provided were specifically rescue medications and are not a part of the of the child/adolescent's preventive or maintenance regimen; and,
- That the child continued to be in distress following the treatments (alternately that the child did not improve substantially).

Note 4: Parent / caregiver report that their child was in a pre-defined "red zone" of peak flow measurement includes documentation:

- That a pre-specified asthma plan (action plan) exists and defines a "red zone" based upon an objective respiratory measurement, such as a peak flow rate; and
- That the objective assessment was made prior to coming to the ED and that the results were in the pre-specified "red zone."

Note 5: Reports of the physical exam typically may be found on triage, nursing, physician, nurse practitioner, physician assistant, or respiratory therapist notes. Diverse language may be used to describe similar findings, for example:

- The term pulling may be used to describe retractions. Retractions may be described as nasal flaring (particularly in infants), or by location (see below);
- Increased work of breathing may be indicated or it may be described by physical findings such as the use of accessory muscles, such as sub or intercostal muscles, supraclavicular or suprasternal. "Mildly" increased work of breathing or "minimal" retractions do not meet these criteria.
- Labored breathing, significant increased work of breathing, respiratory distress (moderate or greater), difficulty breathing, poor air entry (or air exchange or air movement) may all describe findings that meet this criterion. Grunting indicates that the child or adolescent is generating clearly audible sounds with each breath concomitant with apparent increased work of breathing. These may be found in the general description or respiratory section of the physical exam.
- Markedly (or severely) reduced breath sounds and descriptions of poor air movement are typically a part of an auscultation during the pulmonary exam.

Note 6: Documented evidence of the percent oxygen (O2) saturation from a transcutaneous assessment can be located in a flow sheet, nursing, respiratory therapy, or physician/nurse practitioner/physical assistant note or may be recorded as part of the physical exam. The O2 saturation may be obtained initially at triage and is often assessed periodically during the visit. Any O2 saturation less than 90 satisfies the criteria.

Note 7: An ABG requires drawing of a blood specimen from an artery and is distinguished from a venous blood gas, which would not fulfill this criterion. This typically would be found in a laboratory results section of the record or commented as a finding in a clinician's note, such as a respiratory therapist, doctor, PA, NP, or RN. An ABG is typically comprised of at least a pO2, pCO2, and pH.

Note 8: Consultation with a pulmonary specialist or other asthma specialist requires both an order for such a physician consultation and evidence that the consultation occurred, including a note from the consultant specialist. Typically a consultation from a pulmonologist, pediatric pulmonologist, allergist, or pediatric allergist would fill this criterion.

Identify which ED visits meet at least one criterion for the Numerator.

Maintain stratification variables.

Step 8: Conduct Chart Audit (Medical Record Review) to Assess Eligibility of GROUP C Hospitalizations for Inclusion in Denominator.

Within each stratification group (as determined above), identify the asthma hospitalizations for which there were not associated ED visits (Group C) found in the administrative data. An asthma ED visit and asthma hospitalization are said to be associated on the basis of the administrative data review only if they occur on the same service data and at the same institutions and if the hospital discharge date is after the ED service date. Such hospitalizations should have been included in Group B. Other hospitalizations require a review of the medical record to determine if they were admitted or transferred directly from an ED visit that was not otherwise in the sample (i.e., was not identified via the administrative data analysis).

The chart audit/medical record review seeks evidence that the child was admitted to the hospital directly from the ED or transferred directly from another hospital's ED. Evidence may include an ED note (physician, nurse, physician assistant, nurse practitioner), flow, or face sheet that indicates the disposition of the ED visit was hospital admission.

It may also include a note from within the hospitalization (including the admission note or any physician, nurse, physician assistant, nurse practitioner note), flow sheet, face sheet, or discharge summary that indicates that the hospitalization came directly from (was admitted from or transferred directly from) an ED. In either case, the ED visit is only eligible for inclusion if the chart review specifies the date and institution of the ED visit sufficiently to assure that it can be uniquely identified and all duplication avoided. Others are excluded.

For example if an ED visit was identified in Group A and the resulting hospitalization appeared in Group C (either because of a different service date or different institution), the Group A ED visit would be included and the Group C hospitalization excluded as a duplicate (even though there was a preceding ED visit). If the child is uniquely included in the sample for that month and there is clear evidence that the admission came directly from an ED (e.g., was not transferred from another hospital after having been admitted from the ED) this measure can be satisfied. Deduplication requires the elimination of any duplications that remain in the sample, considering the unit of analysis to be the ED visit. In other words, all ED visits must be included only once. Further, an ED visit identified via the hospitalization that also was a transfer from another ED visit already in the sample should have been removed as a duplicate. Similarly all hospitalizations lacking sufficient document that the child was admitted or transferred directly from an ED visit or lacking sufficient detail to allow confirmation that the ED visit referred to in the notes is not already in the sample elsewhere (e.g., from Group A) should have been removed.

Those Group C hospitalizations that can be identified as resulting from a unique (unduplicated) ED visit are included in BOTH the numerator and the denominator.

Step 9: Calculate and report the measure.

- a) For each age stratum, count the number of events in the sample that qualify for the denominator (ND).
- b) For each age stratum, count the number of events in the sample and in the denominator that qualify for the numerator (NN).
- c) For each stratum, calculate the percent of appropriate ED visits as Percent Appropriate = 100 * (NN / ND). Report to one decimal place.

Step 10: Report each stratification category listed below, that have an N of at least 50.

- a) Race and ethnicity
- b) Insurance type (Public/Medicaid, Private/Commercial, None, other)
- c) Benefit type: HMO vs PPO vs FFS vs PCCM vs other
- d) Urban Influence Code or UIC.
- e) Level of poverty in the county of residence.

Step 11. Calculate and report 95% confidence intervals (using binomial distribution for each stratum) for each age specific stratum and for all of the Step 9 stratifications.

- a) Calculate the standard error as the square root of each proportion by [1-the same proportion] divided by the number in the denominator.
- b) Multiply the standard error by 1.96.
- c) Subtract that value from the measured proportion. Report the greater of 0 and that number as the lower bound of the 95% confidence interval.
- d) Add the product from b to the measured proportion. Use the lesser of that sum or 1 as the upper bound of the 95% confidence interval.

e) To report as percent, multiply by 100. Available in attached appendix at A.1

COPYRIGHT / DISCLAIMER

- 5.1 Identified measures:
- 5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized? Yes
- 5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact:
- 5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value:

2852 Optimal Asthma Control

STEWARD

MN Community Measurement

DESCRIPTION

The percentage of pediatric (5-17 years of age) and adult (18-50 years of age) patients who had a diagnosis of asthma and whose asthma was optimally controlled during the measurement period as defined by achieving BOTH of the following:

- Asthma well-controlled as defined by the most recent asthma control tool result available during the measurement period
- Patient not at elevated risk of exacerbation as defined by less than two emergency department visits and/or hospitalizations due to asthma in the last 12 months

TYPE

Composite

DATA SOURCE

Electronic Clinical Data, Electronic Clinical Data: Electronic Health Record, Paper Medical Records

An excel template with formatted columns for data fields is provided. Please refer to the attached data dictionary for data field definitions. All data is uploaded in electronic format (.csv file) to a HIPAA secure, encrypted and password protected data portal.

1. Asthma Control Test (ACT) and Childhood Asthma Control Test (C-ACT)

MNCM has secured permission for use of the ACT and C-ACT from GlaxoSmithKline for providers participating in quality measurement reporting to MNCM, under the following conditions:

- you will administer the instrument in a paper format only;
- permissible uses include only clinical care and quality measurement activities not related to research or publication;
- you may not modify the instrument or combine it with other instruments without prior written approval;
- the questions of the instrument must appear verbatim, in order, and together as they are presented and not divided on separate pages;

- for the ACT: the following trademark and copyright information must appear on the bottom of each page of the instrument and on all copies of the instrument; "Copyright 2002 by QualityMetric Incorporated. Asthma Control Test is a trademark of QualityMetric Incorporated."
- for the C-ACT: the following acknowledgment be made as to the source and authorization for use of this material: "Copyright GSK. Used with permission."
- you must utilize the instrument in its entirety;
- you agree to utilize only the most current version of the instrument as provided on MNCM's Resource page.
- you agree to display the GSK logo as part of the instrument;

Of note, it IS permissible to record item responses and scores in an electronic health record, it IS NOT permissible to administer the instrument electronically to patients; i.e. kiosk, mobile device, patient portal.

2. Asthma Control Questionnaire (ACQ)

The ACQ is a copyrighted instrument available in various formats from the developer. Please visit the website http://www.qoltech.co.uk/acq.html for more information.

3. Asthma Therapy Assessment Questionnaire (ATAQ)

The ATAQ is copyrighted by Merck & Co., Inc, and available free of charge by going to:

http://merckengage.qualitysolutionnavigator.com/ and navigating to the asthma resources. The Asthma Therapy Assessment Questionnaire (ATAQ) Adult should be used for patients 18 years and older. The Asthma Therapy Assessment Questionnaire (ATAQ) Pediatric should be used for patients 5-17 years old.

Available at measure-specific web page URL identified in S.1

LEVEL

Clinician: Group/Practice

SETTING

Ambulatory Care: Clinician Office/Clinic

NUMERATOR STATEMENT

The number of patients in the denominator whose asthma was optimally controlled during the measurement period as defined by achieving BOTH of the following:

- Asthma well-controlled as defined by the most recent asthma control tool result during the measurement period:
- -Asthma Control Test (ACT) greater than or equal to 20 (patients 12 years of age and older)
- -Childhood Asthma Control Test (C-ACT) greater than or equal to 20 (patients 11 years of age and younger)
- -Asthma Control Questionnaire (ACQ) less than or equal to 0.75 (patients 17 years of age and older)
- -Asthma Therapy Assessment Questionnaire (ATAQ) equal to 0 Pediatric (5 to 17 years of age) or Adult (18 years of age and older).

AND

• Patient not at elevated risk of exacerbation as defined by less than two patient reported emergency department visits and/or hospitalizations due to asthma in the last 12 months"

NUMERATOR DETAILS

Asthma control test date

Enter the date of the most recent asthma control test on or prior to 06/30/2015.

Leave BLANK if an asthma control test was never performed.

- Do NOT enter any test date that occurred after 06/30/2015. A date after the measurement period will create an ERROR upon submission.
- Enter the date of the visit, telephone call, e-visit or other contact during which the asthma control test was administered (e.g., a test administered to the patient via phone).
- Test from another provider is acceptable (not required) if documented in the reporting clinic's record and is more recent than the reporting clinic's test.
- The following are approved, valid asthma control tests and must be giving according to validated age ranges. Age should be calculated as the date the asthma control test was administered. Tests other than the ones listed below will not be accepted.
- o ACT (Asthma Control Test); valid for patients 12 and older.
- o CACT (Child-Asthma Control Test); valid for patients 11 and younger.
- o ACQ (Asthma Control Questionnaire); valid for patients 17 and older.
- o ATAQ (Asthma Therapy and Assessment Questionnaire); valid for patients 5 to 50.

Asthma control test name

Enter a code to indicate the most recent asthma control test (on or prior to 06/30/2015) given to the patient using the codes below. This test name should correspond to the test given on the date in Column U.

Leave BLANK if an asthma control test was never performed.

Leave BLANK if the wrong test was administered to the patient at the visit (e.g., a 12-year-old patient received the C-ACT instead of the ACT).

- 1 = Asthma Control Test (ACT)
- 2 = Child-Asthma Control Test (C-ACT)
- 3 = Asthma Control Questionnaire (ACQ)
- 4 = Asthma Therapy Assessment Questionnaire (ATAQ)
- The test used will be validated using the patient's date of birth and the date the test was given.

Asthma control test score

Enter the score of the most recent asthma control test (on or prior to 06/30/2015). The score should correspond to the test date listed in Column U and to the test name listed in Column V.

Leave BLANK if no control tests exist.

Leave BLANK if the wrong test was administered to the patient (e.g., a 12-year-old patient received the C-ACT instead of the ACT).

- If the test score is blank or not complete, look for an earlier completed asthma control test completed within the measurement period. Update Column U and Column V to reflect the new test date and name.
- Do NOT submit partial or incomplete scores. If there is not a test in the record with a complete score, leave Columns U, V and W blank.

Date of patient reported hospitalizations and emergency department visits

Enter the most recent date within the measurement period that the patient is asked about any hospitalizations and emergency department visits.

Leave BLANK if the patient was not asked about hospitalizations and emergency department visits. A date is necessary for rate calculation. Do NOT leave blank unless there is no data.

• This date must be associated with the patient-reported emergency department and hospitalizations columns during the past 12 months (Columns Y and Z).

Do NOT enter any visit that occurred after 06/30/2015. A date after the measurement period will create an ERROR upon submission.

Number of emergency department visits due to asthma that did NOT result in a hospitalization in the past 12 months (from date of visit)

Enter a numeric value for the number of emergency department (ED) visits due to asthma as stated by the patient (e.g. 0, 1, 2, etc.). Do NOT include urgent care visits.

Leave BLANK if the patient was not asked about emergency department visits or there is no data.

0 = Patient reports "0" or had no ED visits

1= Patient reports "1" ED visits

2= Patient reports "2" ED visits; etc.

A value is necessary for rate calculation. Do NOT leave blank unless there is no data. Enter the value collected and recorded asked and documented on or prior to 06/30/2015. Do NOT enter a number recorded prior to 07/01/2014.

- The patient should respond with a number of visits for the prior 12 months regardless of when the visit occurs if the visit occurs in September of 2014, the previous 12 months would be September 2013 to August 2014. If the visit occurs in January 2015, the previous 12 months would be January 2014 to December 2014.
- Do NOT search for actual emergency department visits in your record system. This value must reflect what the patient reported when asked.
- If using an EMR, consider building a field to capture this data. If using paper, check the progress notes and other documentation from the most recent visit looking backwards.
- To be included in the numerator, the total number of BOTH emergency department visits AND inpatient hospitalizations due to asthma must equal ZERO or ONE.

Number of inpatient hospitalizations due to asthma during the past 12 months (from date of visit)

Enter a numeric value for the number of emergency department visits due to asthma as stated by the patient (e.g. 0, 1, 2, etc.).

Leave BLANK if patient was not asked about hospitalizations or there is no data

0 = Patient reports "0" or had no hospitalizations

1= Patient reports "1" hospitalization

2= Patient reports "2" hospitalizations; etc.

A value is necessary for rate calculation. Do NOT leave blank unless there is no data. Enter the value collected and recorded and documented on or prior to 06/30/2015. Do NOT enter a number recorded prior to 07/01/2014.

• Enter the patient reported number of inpatient hospitalizations due to asthma. The patient should respond with a number of visits for the prior 12 months regardless of when the

visit occurs – if the visit occurs in September of 2014, the previous 12 months would be September 2013 to August 2014. If the visit occurs in January 2015, the previous 12 months would be January 2014 to December 2014.

- Do NOT search for actual hospitalizations in your record system. This value must reflect what the patient reported when asked.
- If using an EMR, consider building a field to capture this data. If using paper, check the progress notes and other documentation from the most recent visit looking backwards.
- To be included in the numerator, the total number of BOTH emergency department visits AND inpatient hospitalizations due to asthma must equal ZERO or ONE."

DENOMINATOR STATEMENT

Patients aged 5 - 50 years at the start of the measurement period who were seen for asthma by an eligible provider in an eligible specialty face-to-face visit at least 2 times during the current or prior year measurement periods AND who were seen for any reason at least once during the measurement period.

DENOMINATOR DETAILS

Patients who meet each of the following criteria are included in the population:

- Patient was age 5 to 50 years at the start of the measurement period (date of birth was on or between 07/01/1964 to 07/01/2009).
- o Age 5 to 17 years at the start of the measurement period (date of birth was on or between 07/01/1997 to 07/01/2009).
- o Age 18 to 50 years at the start of the measurement period (date of birth was one or between 07/01/1964 to 06/30/1997).
- Patient was seen by an eligible provider in an eligible specialty face-to-face visit at least two times during the last two measurement periods (07/01/2013 to 06/30/2015) with visits coded with an asthma ICD-9 code (in any position, not only primary). Use this date of service range when querying the practice management or EMR system to allow a count of the visits.
- Patient was seen by an eligible provider in an eligible specialty face-to-face visit at least one time during the measurement period (07/01/2014 to 06/30/2015) for any reason. This may or may not include a face-to-face visit with an asthma ICD-9 code.
- Diagnosis of asthma; ICD-9 diagnosis codes include: 493.00 to 493.12, 493.81 to 493.92. Eligible specialties: Family Practice, General Practice, Internal Medicine, Pediatrics,

Allergy/Immunology, and Pulmonology.

Eligible providers: Medical Doctor (MD), Doctor of Osteopathy (DO), Physician Assistant (PA), Advanced Practice Registered Nurses (APRN).

EXCLUSIONS

Valid exclusions include patients who are nursing home residents, in hospice or palliative care, have died or who have COPD, emphysema, cystic fibrosis or acute respiratory failure.

EXCLUSION DETAILS

Patient was a permanent nursing home resident during the measurement period.

Patient was in hospice or palliative care at any time during the measurement period.

Patient died prior to the end of the measurement period.

Documentation that diagnosis was coded in error.

Patient has COPD (codes 491.2, 493.2x, 496, 506.4)

Patient has emphysema (codes 492, 506.4, 518.1, 518.2)

Patient has cystic fibrosis (code 277.0)

Patient has acute respiratory failure (code 518.81)

RISK ADJUSTMENT

Statistical risk model

Risk adjustment model is estimated using a logistic model implemented in the SAS Procedure Glimmix that accounts for the measure's non-continuous (binary) nature.

The dependent variable is Optimal Asthma Control. Risk factor variables include patient age, gender, insurance product, patient's zip code, race/ethnicity and preferred language.

Available in attached Excel or csv file at S.2b

STRATIFICATION

Patient age group (children 5-17 years, adults 18-50 years)

Patient gender

Patient 5 digit zip code, primary residence

Race and ethnicity code or codes (up to 5) as defined in the MNCM REL Data Field Specifications and Codes

Country of origin as defined in the MNCM REL Data Field Specifications and Codes

Primary language as defined in the MNCM REL Data Field Specifications and Codes

Insurance coverage code as defined in the MNCM Insurance Coverage Data Field Specifications and Codes

TYPE SCORE

Rate/proportion better quality = higher score

ALGORITHM

"The measure is calculated by submitting a file of individual patient values through a HIPAA secure data portal. Programming within the data portal determines if each patient is a numerator case and then a rate is calculated for each clinic site.

1)Is the patient's DOB within the allowable time frame?

Yes>>Continue

No>>Patient not included in denominator

2)Has the patient had two office visits coded with an asthma diagnosis during the current and year prior to the measurement period?

Yes>>Continue

No>>Patient not included in denominator

3) Has the patient had one office visit for any reason during the measurement period?

Yes>> Patient included in denominator, continue

No>> Patient not included in denominator

4) Did the patient have an asthma control test within the measurement period?

Yes>> Continue

No>> Patient not included in numerator

5) Is the asthma control test tool used acceptable for the patient's age?

Yes>> Continue

No>> Patient not included in numerator

6) Is the value of the control test equivalent to ""in control""?

Yes>> Continue

No>> Patient not included in numerator

7) During the measurement period, was the patient asked about any hospitalizations or emergency department visits due to asthma in the 12 months prior?

Yes>>Continue

No>> Patient not included in numerator

8) Was the sum of patient reported emergency department visits and hospitalizations due to asthma in the prior 12 months equal to 0 or 1?

Yes>> Patient included in numerator

No>> Patient not included in numerator

Available in attached appendix at A.1

COPYRIGHT / DISCLAIMER

5.1 Identified measures: N/A

5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized? N/A

5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact: N/A

5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value: N/A

2856 Pharmacotherapy Management of COPD Exacerbation

STEWARD

National Committee for Quality Assurance

DESCRIPTION

This measure assesses the percentage of COPD exacerbations for patients 40 years of age and older who had an acute inpatient discharge or ED encounter on or between January 1– November 30 of the measurement year and who were dispensed appropriate medications.

Two rates are reported.

- 1. Dispensed a systemic corticosteroid (or there was evidence of an active prescription) within 14 days of the event
- 2. Dispensed a bronchodilator (or there was evidence of an active prescription) within 30 days of the event

Note: The eligible population for this measure is based on acute inpatient discharges and ED visits, not on patients. It is possible for the denominator to include multiple events for the same individual.

TYPE

Process

DATA SOURCE

Administrative claims This measure is based on administrative claims collected in the course of providing care to health plan members. NCQA collects the Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set (HEDIS) data for this measure directly from Health Management Organizations and Preferred Provider Organizations via NCQA's online data submission system.

No data collection instrument provided Attachment XXXX_PCE_Value_Sets.xlsx

LEVEL

Health Plan, Integrated Delivery System

SETTING

Ambulatory Care: Clinician Office/Clinic

NUMERATOR STATEMENT

Numerator 1 (Systemic Corticosteroids): The number of patients dispensed a prescription for systemic corticosteroid on or 14 days after the Episode Date*. Count systemic corticosteroids that are active on the relevant date.

Numerator 2 (Bronchodilator): The number of patients dispensed a prescription for a bronchodilator on or 30 days after the Episode Date*. Count bronchodilators that are active on the relevant date.

*The Episode Date is the date of service for any acute inpatient discharge or ED claim/encounter during the 11-month intake period with a principal diagnosis of COPD.

NUMERATOR DETAILS

Follow the steps below to identify numerator compliance.

Numerator 1 (Systemic Corticosteroid): Identify the number of patients dispensed a prescription for systemic corticosteroid (refer to PCE-C: Systemic Corticosteroids) on or 14 days after the Episode Date.

- -The Episode Date is the date of service for any acute inpatient discharge or ED claim/encounter during the 11-month intake period with a principal diagnosis of COPD.
- -Count systemic corticosteroids that are active on the relevant date. An active prescription is considered active if the "days supply" indicated on the date the patient filled the prescription is the number of days or more between that date and the relevant date. For an acute inpatient encounter, the relevant date is the date of admission. For an ED claim/encounter, the relevant date is the date of service.

Numerator 2 (Bronchodilator): Identify the number of patients dispensed a prescription for bronchodilator (refer to PCE-D: Bronchodilators) on or 30 days after the Episode Date.

- -The Episode Date is the date of service for any acute inpatient discharge or ED claim/encounter during the 11-month intake period with a principal diagnosis of COPD.
- -Count bronchodilators that are active on the relevant date. An active prescription is considered active if the "days supply" indicated on the date the patient filled the prescription is the number of days or more between that date and the relevant date. For an acute inpatient encounter, the

relevant date is the date of admission. For an ED claim/encounter, the relevant date is the date of service.

PCE-C: Systemic Corticosteroids:

Glucocorticoids: betamethasone, dexamethasone, hydrocortisone, methylprednisolone, prednisolone, prednisone, triamcinolone

PCE-D: Bronchodilators:

Anticholinergic agents: albuterol-ipratropium, aclidinium-bromide, ipratropium, tiotropium, Umeclidinium

Beta 2-agonists: albuterol, arformoterol, budesonide-formoterol, fluticasone-salmeterol, fluticasone-vilanterol, formoterol, Indacaterol, levalbuterol, Mometasone-formoterol, metaproterenol, Olodaterol hydrochloride, pirbuterol, salmeterol, Umeclidinium-vilanterol Methlyxanthines: aminophylline, dyphylline, dyphylline-guaifenesin, guaifenesin-theophylline, theophylline

See corresponding Excel file for value sets referenced above.

DENOMINATOR STATEMENT

All patients age 40 years or older as of January 1 of the measurement year with a COPD exacerbation as indicated by an acute inpatient discharge or ED encounter with a principal diagnosis of COPD.

DENOMINATOR DETAILS

The eligible population for this measure is based on acute inpatient discharges and ED visits, not on patients. It is possible for the denominator to include multiple events for the same individual. The eligible population for the denominator is defined by following the series of steps below:

Step 1: Identify all patients who had either of the following during the Intake Period (an 11-month period that begins on January 1 of the measurement year and ends on November 30 of the measurement year):

- 1) An ED visit (ED Value Set) with a primary diagnosis of COPD (COPD Value Set), emphysema (Emphysema Value Set) or chronic bronchitis (Chronic Bronchitis Value Set). Do not include ED visits that result in an inpatient admission.
- 2) An acute inpatient discharge with a primary diagnosis of COPD (COPD Value Set), emphysema (Emphysema Value Set) or chronic bronchitis (Chronic Bronchitis Value Set). To identify acute inpatient discharges:
- a. Identify all acute and nonacute inpatient stays (Inpatient Stay Value Set)
- b. Exclude nonacute inpatient stays (Nonacute Inpatient Stay Value Set)
- c. Identify the discharge date for the stay

Step 2: Identify all COPD Episode Dates (the date of service for any acute inpatient discharge or ED claim/encounter during the intake period with a principal diagnosis of COPD). For each patient in Step 1, identify all acute inpatient discharges and ED Visits.

See corresponding Excel file for value sets referenced above.

EXCLUSIONS

1) Exclude episode dates when the patient was transferred directly to an acute or nonacute inpatient care setting for any diagnosis.

- 2) Exclude episode dates when the patient was readmitted to an acute or nonacute inpatient care setting for any diagnosis within 14 days after the episode date.
- 3) Exclude episode dates when the patient had an ED visit for any diagnosis within 14 days after the Episode date.

EXCLUSION DETAILS

- 1) Exclude episode dates when the patient was transferred directly to an acute or nonacute inpatient care setting for any diagnosis. Organizations may identify "transfers" using their own methods and then confirm the acute or nonacute inpatient care setting using codes in the Inpatient Stay Value Set.
- 2) Exclude episode dates when the patient was readmitted to an acute or nonacute inpatient care setting for any diagnosis within 14 days after the episode date. To identify readmissions to an acute or nonacute inpatient care setting:
- a. Identify all acute and nonacute inpatient stays (Inpatient Stay Value Set)
- b. Identify the admission date for the stay
- 3) Exclude episode dates when the patient had an ED visit (ED value set) for any diagnosis within 14 days after the episode date.

See corresponding Excel file for value sets referenced above.

RISK ADJUSTMENT

Statistical risk model

STRATIFICATION

N/A

TYPE SCORE

Rate/proportion better quality = higher score

ALGORITHM

Refer to items S.6 (Numerator details), S.9 (Denominator details), S.11 (Denominator exclusions details) and S.2b (Data Dictionary) for tables.

The denominator for this measure is based on acute inpatient discharges and ED visits, not patients. The measure calculation is detailed in the steps listed below:

Step 1: identify the eligible population.

A. Identify all patients who had either of the following during the Intake Period (an 11-month period that begins on January 1 of the measurement year and ends on November 30 of the measurement year):

- 1) An ED visit (ED Value Set) with a primary diagnosis of COPD (COPD Value Set), emphysema (Emphysema Value Set) or chronic bronchitis (Chronic Bronchitis Value Set). Do not include ED visits that result in an inpatient admission.
- 2) An acute inpatient discharge with a primary diagnosis of COPD (COPD Value Set), emphysema (Emphysema Value Set) or chronic bronchitis (Chronic Bronchitis Value Set). To identify acute inpatient discharges:
- a. Identify all acute and nonacute inpatient stays (Inpatient Stay Value Set)
- b. Exclude nonacute inpatient stays (Nonacute Inpatient Stay Value Set)

- c. Identify the discharge date for the stay
- B. Identify all COPD Episode Dates (the date of service for any acute inpatient discharge or ED claim/encounter during the intake period with a principal diagnosis of COPD). For each patient in Step 1, identify all acute inpatient discharges and ED Visits.

Step 2: determine denominator exclusions.

A. Exclude episode dates when the patient was transferred directly to an acute or nonacute inpatient care setting for any diagnosis. Organizations may identify "transfers" using their own methods and then confirm the acute or nonacute inpatient care setting using codes in the Inpatient Stay Value Set.

- B. Exclude episode dates when the patient was readmitted to an acute or nonacute inpatient care setting for any diagnosis within 14 days after the episode date. To identify readmissions to an acute or nonacute inpatient care setting:
- 1. Identify all acute and nonacute inpatient stays (Inpatient Stay Value Set)
- 2. Identify the admission date for the stay
- 3. Exclude episode dates when the patient had an ED visit (ED value set) for any diagnosis within 14 days after the episode date.

Step 3: determine the numerator.

Numerator 1 (Systemic Corticosteroid): Identify the number of patients dispensed a prescription for systemic corticosteroid (refer to PCE-C: Systemic Corticosteroids) on or 14 days after the Episode Date.

- -The Episode Date is the date of service for any acute inpatient discharge or ED claim/encounter during the 11-month intake period with a principal diagnosis of COPD.
- -Count systemic corticosteroids that are active on the relevant date. An active prescription is considered active if the "days supply" indicated on the date the patient filled the prescription is the number of days or more between that date and the relevant date. For an acute inpatient encounter, the relevant date is the date of admission. For an ED claim/encounter, the relevant date is the date of service.

Numerator 2 (Bronchodilator): Identify the number of patients dispensed a prescription for bronchodilator (refer to PCE-D: Bronchodilators) on or 30 days after the Episode Date.

- -The Episode Date is the date of service for any acute inpatient discharge or ED claim/encounter during the 11-month intake period with a principal diagnosis of COPD.
- -Count bronchodilators that are active on the relevant date. An active prescription is considered active if the "days supply" indicated on the date the patient filled the prescription is the number of days or more between that date and the relevant date. For an acute inpatient encounter, the relevant date is the date of admission. For an ED claim/encounter, the relevant date is the date of service.

Step 4: calculate two rates.

- A. Number of patients dispensed a prescription for systemic corticosteroid on or 14 days after the Episode Date/Denominator
- B. Number of patients dispensed a prescription for bronchodilator on or 30 days after the Episode Date /Denominator No diagram provided

COPYRIGHT / DISCLAIMER

5.1 Identified measures: 0577: Use of Spirometry Testing in the Assessment and Diagnosis of COPD

0091: COPD: Spirometry Evaluation

0102: COPD: inhaled bronchodilator therapy

5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized? No

5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact: 0091 and 0577 are measures assessing spirometry testing in COPD patients. There is no impact on interpretability or added burden of data collection because the focus of our proposed measure is different. 0102 is a physician-level measure and the focus of our proposed measure is different. Our measure focuses exclusively on patients who were hospitalized or had an ED visit for a COPD exacerbation and received timely recommended treatment (systemic corticosteroids and bronchodilators) while 0102 focuses on managing COPD and allows receipt of a bronchodilator at least once during the measurement year.

5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value: N/A

Appendix F1: Related and Competing Measures (tabular format)

Comparison of NQF #0334 and NQF #0702

	0334 PICU Severity-adjusted Length of Stay	0702 Intensive Care Unit (ICU) Length-of-Stay (LOS)
Steward	Virtual PICU Systems, LLC	Philip R. Lee Institute for Health Policy Studies
Description	The number of days between PICU admission and PICU discharge.	For all eligible patients =18 years old admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU), total duration of time spent in the ICU until time of discharge from the ICU; both observed and risk-adjusted LOS reported with the predicted LOS measured using the Intensive Care Outcomes Model - Length-of-Stay (ICOMLOS).
Туре	Outcome	Outcome
Data Source	Administrative claims, Paper Medical Records, Electronic Clinical	Paper Medical Records ICU Outcomes Data Collection Instrument
	Data: Registry No mandatory data source or collection instrument for PICU community. Potential resources include PICU-specific databases or the VPS database (myvps.org).	Available in attached appendix at A.1 Attachment ICU Outcomes Data Dictionary.pdf
	Available at measure-specific web page URL identified in S.1 No data dictionary	
Level	Facility	Facility
Setting	Hospital/Acute Care Facility	Hospital/Acute Care Facility
Time Window	Submitted quarterly for all discharges during that time period	Not-applicable; anyone with an ICU admission meeting eligibility criteria below is in the numerator.
Numerator Statement	Number of PICU days, PICU days = Number of days between PICU admission and PICU discharge.(For all eligible patients admitted to the ICU, the time at discharge from ICU minus the time of ICU admission (first recorded vital sign on ICU flow sheet)	For all eligible patients admitted to the ICU, the time at discharge from ICU (either death or physical departure from the unit) minus the time of admission (first recorded vital sign on ICU flow sheet). The measure is risk-adjusted, please see S.18.
Numerator Details	All patients < 18 years of age	Eligible patients include those with an ICU stay of at least 4 hours
	Numerator is the average (mean) observed LOS with the observed LOS (if the observed LOS exceeded 30 days, then the LOS was reduced to 30 days).	and =18 years of age whose primary reason for admission does not include trauma, burns, or immediately post-coronary artery bypass graft surgery (CABG), as these patient groups are known to require unique risk-adjustment. Only index (initial) ICU admissions are recorded given that patient characteristics of readmissions are known to differ.

	0334 PICU Severity-adjusted Length of Stay	0702 Intensive Care Unit (ICU) Length-of-Stay (LOS)
Denominator Statement	The denominator is the average (mean) predicted length of stay using the adjustment model.	Total number of eligible patients who are discharged (including deaths and transfers)
Denominator Details	The denominator is the average (mean) predicted length of stay using the adjustment model.	Eligible patients include those with an ICU stay of at least 4 hours and =18 years of age whose primary reason for admission does not include trauma, burns, or immediately post-coronary artery bypass graft surgery (CABG), as these patient groups are known to require unique risk-adjustment. Only index (initial) ICU admissions are recorded given that patient characteristics of readmissions are known to differ.
Exclusions	Patients => 18 years of age	<18 years of age at time of ICU admission, ICU readmission, <4 hours in ICU, primary admission due to trauma, burns, or immediately post-CABG, admitted to exclude myocardial infarction (MI) and subsequently found without MI or any other acute process requiring ICU care, transfers from another acute care hospital.
Exclusion Details	Patient age > 18 years and patients not eligible for PRISM measurement	<18 years of age at time of ICU admission (with time of ICU admission abstracted preferably from ICU vital signs flowsheet), ICU readmission (i.e. not the patient's first ICU admission during the current hospitalization), <4 hours in ICU, primary admission due to trauma, burns, or immediately post-CABG, admitted to exclude myocardial infarction (MI) and subsequently found without MI or any other acute process requiring ICU care, patient transfers from another acute care hospital (i.e. patients whose physical site immediately prior to the index ICU admission was an acute care unit at an outside hospital).
Risk Adjustment	Statistical risk model Selection criteria for risk adjustment tool for pediatric ICU's: - Tool must allow quality assessment and comparison between intensive care units, and must be widely used - Tool must be valid and reliable for severity adjustment and measurement of quality of care provided - Computation of mortality risk must be in the public domain (i.e. free of charge) - Algorithms must receive ongoing validation and recalibration	Statistical risk model Risk-adjustment variables include: age, heart rate >=150, SBP <=90, chronic renal, acute renal, GIB, cardiac arrhythmia, intracranial mass effect, mechanical ventilation, received CPR, cancer, cerebrovascular incident, cirrhosis, coma, medical admission or status post nonelective surgery, zero factor status (no risk factors other than age), and full code status (no restrictions on therapies or interventions at the time of ICU admission). The LOS risk-adjustment model is based on the Intensive Care Outcomes Model - Length-of-Stay (ICOMLOS) with candidate interactions among variables and

0334 PICU Severity-adjusted Length of Stay	0702 Intensive Care Unit (ICU) Length-of-Stay (LOS)
The PRISM 3 model meets these criteria.	variable coefficients customized for the population of interest.
VPS has updated the original PRISM LOS model by adding more predictors and re-estimating the coefficients. We developed the linear regression model for LOS on the training dataset (based on admissions between Q2 2009 and Q1 2013, n=275,013), and independently confirmed the performance of the resulting model on the validation dataset (based on admissions between Q2 2013 and Q1 2014, n=73,705).	Provided in response box S.15a
A few patients having long ICU stays can disproportionately influence LOS models. We used a 30-day truncation: if any patient had an observed LOS exceeding 30 days, the LOS was reduced to 30 days. Among 348,718 PICU admissions, less than 2% of PICU stays were longer than 30 days.	
Since the latest model release is intended to be a refresh of the PRISM III LOS model, we used predictors that are included in PRISM III Risk of Mortality (ROM) and did not include interaction terms or site level predictors. The LOS (in days) is predicted from the following terms at the patient-level:	
(1) PRISM3 Score	
(2) Neonatal (less than 1 month) patient,	
(3) Infant (1 month to 1 year) patient,	
(4) Post-operative patient,	
(5) Admission of patient from Inpatient Unit,	
(6) Previous ICU admission,	
(7) Patient with an oncology diagnosis,	
(8) Patient with an acute overdose,	
(9) Patient with acute diabetes,	
(10) Patient with an operative cardiac disease,	
(11) Patient with pneumonia,	
(12) Patient with non-head trauma,	
(13) Patient associated with an acute problem, and	
(14) Patient on mechanical ventilation.	

	0334 PICU Severity-adjusted Length of Stay	0702 Intensive Care Unit (ICU) Length-of-Stay (LOS)
	References	
	[1]. Pollack MM. Recalibration of the Length of Stay (LOS) Algorithm: 2006. Personal Communication. 2006.	
	[2] VPS Webpage. VPS New PRISM 3 LOS Model. 2015. https://s3.amazonaws.com/vpspublic/PRISM+LOS+brochure.pdf	
Stratification	Risk-adjustment measure, not stratification.	Not-applicable
Type Score	Ratio better quality = lower score	Rate/proportion better quality = lower score
Algorithm	The standardized length of stay ratio (SLOSR) is created by dividing the average (mean) observed physical length of stay (truncated at 30 days) by the average (mean) predicted length of stay. Cases must meet PRISM 3 inclusion criteria to receive a PRISM 3 length of stay prediction.	The hospital's mean observed ICU LOS and and mean risk-adjusted LOS are calculated using the abstracted data. For each hospital, the model produces a median and 95% confidence interval for the standardized LOS ratio (SLOSR), which is the mean observed LOS divided by the mean predicted LOS. No diagram provided
	Numerator is the average (mean) observed LOS with the observed LOS = observed LOS exceeding 30 days, the LOS was reduced to 30 days.	
	The denominator is the average (mean) predicted length of stay using the adjustment model.	
	Risk adjustment/severity of illness addressed using PRISM 3 methodology.	
	https://s3.amazonaws.com/vpspublic/PRISM+LOS+brochure.pdf. Available at measure-specific web page URL identified in S.1	
Submission items	5.1 Identified measures:	5.1 Identified measures: 0703: Intensive Care: In-hospital mortality rate
	5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized?	5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized? Yes
	5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact:	5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact: This measure is completely harmonized with measure 0703 Intensive Care: In-hospital mortality rate.
	5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value: N/A	5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value:

Comparison of NQF #0468 and NQF #0231

	0468 Hospital 30-day, all-cause, risk-standardized mortality rate (RSMR) following pneumonia hospitalization	0231 Pneumonia Mortality Rate (IQI #20)
Steward	Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)	Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality
Description	The measure estimates a hospital-level 30-day risk-standardized mortality rate (RSMR). Mortality is defined as death for any cause within 30 days after the date of admission for the index admission, discharged from the hospital with a principal discharge diagnosis of pneumonia, including aspiration pneumonia or a principal discharge diagnosis of sepsis (not severe sepsis) with a secondary diagnosis of pneumonia (including aspiration pneumonia) coded as present on admission (POA). CMS annually reports the measure for patients who are 65 years or older and are either Medicare fee-for-service (FFS) beneficiaries and hospitalized in non-federal hospitals or patients hospitalized in Veterans Health Administration (VA) facilities. Please note this measure has been substantially updated since the last submission; as described in S.3., the cohort has been expanded. Throughout this application we refer to this measure as version 9.2.	In-hospital deaths per 1,000 hospital discharges with pneumonia as a principal diagnosis for patients ages 18 years and older. Excludes obstetric discharges and transfers to another hospital. [NOTE: The software provides the rate per hospital discharge. However, common practice reports the measure as per 1,000 discharges. The user must multiply the rate obtained from the software by 1,000 to report in-hospital deaths per 1,000 hospital discharges.]
Туре	Outcome	Outcome
Data Source	Administrative claims Data sources for the Medicare FFS measure: 1. Medicare Part A inpatient and Part B outpatient claims: This data source contains claims data for FFS inpatient and outpatient services including: Medicare inpatient hospital care, outpatient hospital services, as well as inpatient and outpatient physician claims for the 12 months prior to an index admission. 2. Medicare Enrollment Database (EDB): This database contains Medicare beneficiary demographic, benefit/coverage, and vital status information. This data source was used to obtain information on several inclusion/exclusion indicators such as Medicare status on admission as well as vital status. These data have previously been shown to accurately reflect patient vital status (Fleming et al., 1992).	Administrative claims HCUP State Inpatient Databases (SID). Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project (HCUP). 2008. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Rockville, MD. URL Attachment IQI_Regression_CoefficientsCode_Tables_and_Value_Sets.xlsx

	0468 Hospital 30-day, all-cause, risk-standardized mortality rate (RSMR) following pneumonia hospitalization	0231 Pneumonia Mortality Rate (IQI #20)
	3. The American Community Survey (2008-2012): The American Community Survey data is collected annually and an aggregated 5-years data was used to calculate the AHRQ SES composite index score.	
	4. Data sources for the all-payer update:	
	For our analyses to examine use in all-payer data, we used all-payer data from California in addition to CMS data for Medicare FFS patients aged 65 years or over (65+) in California hospitals. California is a diverse state, and, with more than 37 million residents, California represents 12% of the US population. We used the California Patient Discharge Data, a large, linked database of patient hospital admissions. In 2009, there were 3,193,904 adult discharges from 446 non-Federal acute care hospitals. Records are linked by a unique patient identification number, allowing us to determine patient history from previous hospitalizations and to evaluate rates of both readmission and mortality (via linking with California vital statistics records).	
	Using all-payer data from California as well as CMS Medicare FFS data for California hospitals, we performed analyses to determine whether the pneumonia mortality measure can be applied to all adult patients, including not only FFS Medicare patients aged 65 or over, but also non-FFS Medicare patients aged 18-64 years at the time of admission. Reference:	
	Fleming C., Fisher ES, Chang CH, Bubolz D, Malenda J. Studying outcomes and hospital utilization in the elderly: The advantages of a merged data base for Medicare and Veterans Affairs Hospitals. Medical Care. 1992; 30(5): 377-91.	
	No data collection instrument provided Attachment NQF_0468_S2b_Mortality_Data_Dictionary_v0.5_forCMS-635856833973209589.xls	
Level	Facility	Facility
Setting	Hospital/Acute Care Facility	Hospital/Acute Care Facility

	0468 Hospital 30-day, all-cause, risk-standardized mortality rate (RSMR) following pneumonia hospitalization	0231 Pneumonia Mortality Rate (IQI #20)
Time Window	Numerator time window: We define the time period for death from any cause within 30 days from the date of admission for the index pneumonia hospitalization. Denominator time window: This original measure was developed with 12 months of data. The re-speci	The time window can be determined by user, but is generally a calendar year. Note the volume-outcome relationship is based on volume over a one year time period.
Numerator Statement	The outcome for this measure is 30-day all-cause mortality. We define mortality as death from any cause within 30 days of the index admission date for patients 18 and older discharged from the hospital with a principal discharge diagnosis of pneumonia, including aspiration pneumonia or a principal discharge diagnosis of sepsis (not severe sepsis) with a secondary discharge diagnosis of pneumonia (including aspiration pneumonia) coded as POA and no secondary discharge diagnosis of severe sepsis.	Number of deaths (DISP=20) among cases meeting the inclusion and exclusion rules for the denominator.
Numerator Details	The measure counts deaths for any cause within 30 days of the date of admission of the index pneumonia hospitalization. Identifying deaths in the FFS measure As currently reported, we identify deaths for FFS Medicare patients 65 years or over in the Medicare Enrollment Database (EDB). Identifying deaths in the all-payer measure For the purposes of development of an all-payer measure, deaths were identified using the California vital statistics data file. Nationally, post-discharge deaths can be identified using an external source of vital status, such as the Social Security Administration's Death Master File (DMF) or the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's National Death Index (NDI).	Number of deaths (DISP=20) among cases meeting the inclusion and exclusion rules for the denominator.
Denominator Statement	This claims-based measure can be used in either of two patient cohorts: (1) patients aged 65 years or over or (2) patients aged 18 years or older. We have specifically tested the measure in both age groups. The cohort includes admissions for patients aged 18 years and older discharged from the hospital with principal discharge diagnosis of pneumonia, including aspiration pneumonia or a	Discharges, for patients ages 18 years and older, with a principal ICD-9-CM diagnosis code for pneumonia.

	0468 Hospital 30-day, all-cause, risk-standardized mortality rate (RSMR) following pneumonia hospitalization	0231 Pneumonia Mortality Rate (IQI #20)
	principal discharge diagnosis of sepsis (not severe sepsis) with a secondary discharge diagnosis of pneumonia (including aspiration pneumonia) coded as POA but no secondary discharge diagnosis of severe sepsis; and with a complete claims history for the 12 months prior to admission. The measure will be publicly reported by CMS for those patients 65 years or older who are Medicare FFS beneficiaries admitted to non-federal hospitals or patients admitted to VA hospitals. Additional details are provided in S.9 Denominator Details.	
Denominator Details	To be included in the measure cohort used in public reporting, patients must meet the following inclusion criteria:	ICD-9-CM Pneumonia diagnosis codes: 00322 SALMONELLA PNEUMONIA
	Principal discharge diagnosis of pneumonia, including aspiration pneumonia; or	0212 PULMONARY TULAREMIA 0391 PULMONARY ACTINOMYCOSIS
	Principal discharge diagnosis of sepsis (not including severe sepsis), with a secondary discharge diagnosis of pneumonia (including aspiration pneumonia) coded as POA but no secondary discharge diagnosis of severe sepsis.	0521 VARICELLA PNEUMONITIS 0551 POSTMEASLES PNEUMONIA 0730 ORNITHOSIS PNEUMONIA
	2. Enrolled in Medicare fee-for-service (FFS)	1124 CANDIDIASIS OF LUNG
	3. Aged 65 or over	1140 PRIMARY COCCIDIOIDOMYCOS
	4. Not transferred from another acute care facility	1144 CHRONIC PULMON COCCIDIOIDOMYCOSIS
	5. Enrolled in Part A and Part B Medicare for the 12 months prior to the date of admission, and enrolled in Part A during the index admission. This measure can also be used for an all-payer population aged	1145 UNSPEC PULMON COCCIDIOIDOMYCOSIS 11505 HISTOPLASM CAPS PNEUMON 11515 HISTOPLASM DUB PNEUMONIA
	18 years and older. We have explicitly tested the measure in both patients aged 18 years and older, and those aged 65 years or over (see Testing Attachment for details).	11595 HISTOPLASMOSIS PNEUMONIA 1304 TOXOPLASMA PNEUMONITIS 1363 PNEUMOCYSTOSIS
	International Classification of Diseases, 9th Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM) codes used to define the cohort for each measure are:	4800 ADENOVIRAL PNEUMONIA 4801 RESP SYNCYT VIRAL PNEUM 4802 PARINFLUENZA VIRAL PNEUM
	ICD-9 codes that define patients with pneumonia: 480.0 Pneumonia due to adenovirus	4803 PNEUMONIA DUE TO SARS 4808 VIRAL PNEUMONIA NEC

	ospital 30-day, all-cause, risk-standardized mortality rate following pneumonia hospitalization	0231 Pneumonia Mortality Rate (IQI #20)
480.1	Pneumonia due to respiratory syncytial virus	4809 VIRAL PNEUMONIA NOS
480.2	Pneumonia due to parainfluenza virus	481 PNEUMOCOCCAL PNEUMONIA
480.3	Pneumonia due to SARS-associated coronavirus	4820 K. PNEUMONIAE PNEUMONIA
480.8	Pneumonia due to other virus not elsewhere classified	4821 PSEUDOMONAL PNEUMONIA
480.9	Viral pneumonia, unspecified	4822 H.INFLUENZAE PNEUMONIA
481	Pneumococcal pneumonia	48230 STREP PNEUMONIA UNSPEC
482.0	Pneumonia due to Klebsiella pneumoniae	48231 GRP A STREP PNEUMONIA
482.1	Pneumonia due to Pseudomonas	48232 GRP B STREP PNEUMONIA
482.2	Pneumonia due to Hemophilus influenzae	48239 OTH STREP PNEUMONIA
482.30	Pneumonia due to Streptococcus, unspecified	4824 STAPHYLOCOCCAL PNEUMONIA
482.31	Pneumonia due to Streptococcus, group A	48240 STAPH PNEUMONIA UNSP
482.32	Pneumonia due to Streptococcus, group B	48241 METH SUS PNEUM D/T STAPH
482.39	Pneumonia due to other Streptococcus	48242 METH RES PNEU D/T STAPH
482.40	Pneumonia due to Staphylococcus, unspecified	48249 STAPH PNEUMON OTH
	Methicillin susceptible pneumonia due to	48281 ANAEROBIC PNEUMONIA
Staphy	lococcus aureus	48282 E COLI PNEUMONIA
	Methicillin resistant pneumonia due to Staphylococcus	48283 OTH GRAM NEG PNEUMONIA
aureus		48284 LEGIONNAIRES DX
482.49	, , ,	48289 BACT PNEUMONIA NEC
	Pneumonia due to anaerobes	4829 BACTERIAL PNEUMONIA NOS
	Pneumonia due to escherichia coli	4830 MYCOPLASMA PNEUMONIA
	Pneumonia due to other gram-negative bacteria	4831 CHLAMYDIA PNEUMONIA
482.84		4838 OTH SPEC ORG PNEUMONIA
482.89		4841 PNEUM W CYTOMEG INCL DIS
482.9	Bacterial pneumonia, unspecified	4843 PNEUMONIA IN WHOOP COUGH
483.0	Pneumonia due to mycoplasma pneumoniae	4845 PNEUMONIA IN ANTHRAX
483.1	Pneumonia due to chlamydia	4846 PNEUM IN ASPERGILLOSIS
483.8	Pneumonia due to other specified organism	4847 PNEUM IN OTH SYS MYCOSES
485	Bronchopneumonia, organism unspecified	

486 Pneumonia, organism unspecified 487.0 Influenza with pneumonia 488.11 Influenza with pneumonia 1CD-9 codes that define patients with aspiration pneumonia: 507.0 Pneumonitis due to inhalation of food or vomitus ICD-9 codes that define patients with sepsis (not including severe sepsis [995.92 or 785.52]) (Cohort requires principal discharge diagnosis of sepsis combined with a secondary discharge diagnosis of spenicomenia or aspiration pneumonia coded as POA but no secondary discharge diagnosis of spenicomenia or aspiration pneumonia coded as POA but no secondary discharge diagnosis of sepsiteemia 038.10 Starphylococcal septicemia, unspecified 038.11 Methicillin susceptible Staphylococcus aureus septicemia 038.12 Methicillin susceptible Staphylococcus aureus septicemia 038.13 Pother staphylococcal septicemia 038.14 Septicemia due to anaerobes 038.34 Septicemia due to anaerobes 038.41 Septicemia due to bemophilus influenzae [H. influenzae] 038.42 Septicemia due to beschrichia coli [E. coli] 038.43 Septicemia due to sesterichia coli [E. coli] 038.44 Septicemia due to spram-negative organisms 038.45 Other specified septicemia 038.9 Other specified septicemia 038.9 Unspecified septicemia 038.9 Unspecified septicemia 038.9 Uspsecified septicemia 038.9 Uspsecified septicemia		ospital 30-day, all-cause, risk-standardized mortality rate following pneumonia hospitalization	0231 Pneumonia Mortality Rate (IQI #20)
488.11 Influenza due to identified 2009 H1N1 influenza virus with pneumonia ICD-9 codes that define patients with aspiration pneumonia: 507.0 Pneumonitis due to inhalation of food or vomitus ICD-9 codes that define patients with sepsis (not including severe sepsis (995-92 or 785.52)) (Cohort requires principal discharge diagnosis of sepsis combined with a secondary discharge diagnosis of pneumonia or aspiration pneumonia coded as POA but no secondary discharge diagnosis of severe sepsis): 038.0 Streptococcal septicemia 038.11 Methicillin susceptible Staphylococcus aureus septicemia 038.12 Methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus septicemia 038.2 Pneumococcal septicemia (Streptococcus pneumoniae septicemia) 038.3 Septicemia due to anaerobes 038.40 Septicemia due to gram-negative organism, unspecified 038.41 Septicemia due to escherichia coli [E. coli] 038.42 Septicemia due to bernophilus influenzae [H. influenzae] 038.43 Septicemia due to pram-negative organisms 038.44 Other septicemia due to gram-negative organisms 038.59 Unspecified septicemias	486	Pneumonia, organism unspecified	4848 PNEUM IN INFECT DIS NEC
with pneumonia ICD-9 codes that define patients with aspiration pneumonia: 507.0 Pneumonitis due to inhalation of food or vomitus ICD-9 codes that define patients with sepsis (not including severe sepsis [995-92 or 785.52]) (Cohort requires principal discharge diagnosis of sepsis combined with a secondary discharge diagnosis of sepsis combined with a secondary discharge diagnosis of pneumonia or aspiration pneumonia coded as POA but no secondary discharge diagnosis of severe sepsis): 038.0 Streptococcal septicemia 038.11 Methicillin susceptible Staphylococcus aureus septicemia 038.12 Methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus septicemia 038.13 Methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus septicemia 038.2 Pneumococcal septicemia [Streptococcus pneumoniae septicemia] 038.3 Septicemia due to anaerobes 038.40 Septicemia due to anaerobes 038.41 Septicemia due to serartia 038.42 Septicemia due to servartia 038.43 Septicemia due to servartia 038.44 Septicemia due to servartia 038.59 Unspecified septicemias	487.0	Influenza with pneumonia	485 BRONCOPNEUMONIA ORG NOS
ICD-9 codes that define patients with aspiration pneumonia: 507.0 Pneumonitis due to inhalation of food or vomitus ICD-9 codes that define patients with sepsis (not including severe sepsis [995.92 or 785.52]) (Cohort requires principal discharge diagnosis of sepsis combined with a secondary discharge diagnosis of pneumonia or aspiration pneumonia coded as POA but no secondary discharge diagnosis of severe sepsis): 038.0 Streptococcal septicemia 038.11 Methicillin susceptible Staphylococcus aureus septicemia 038.12 Methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus septicemia 038.13 Other staphylococcal septicemia [Streptococcus pneumoniae septicemia] 038.3 Septicemia due to anaerobes 038.40 Septicemia due to manerobes 038.41 Septicemia due to bemophilus influenzae [H. influenzae] 038.42 Septicemia due to bemophilus influenzae [H. influenzae] 038.43 Septicemia due to besudomonas 038.44 Septicemia due to serratia 038.9 Other septicemia due to gram-negative organisms 038.9 Other septicemia due to gram-negative organisms 038.9 Unspecified septicemias	488.11	Influenza due to identified 2009 H1N1 influenza virus	486 PNEUMONIA, ORGANISM NOS
507.0 Pneumonitis due to inhalation of food or vomitus ICD-9 codes that define patients with sepsis (not including severe sepsis 1995.92 or 785.52]) (Cohort requires principal discharge diagnosis of sepsis combined with a secondary discharge diagnosis of spespis combined with a secondary discharge diagnosis of pneumonia or aspiration pneumonia coded as POA but no secondary discharge diagnosis of severe sepsis): 038.0 Streptococcal septicemia 038.10 Staphylococcal septicemia, unspecified 038.11 Methicillin susceptible Staphylococcus aureus septicemia 038.12 Methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus septicemia 038.13 Other staphylococcal septicemia [Streptococcus pneumoniae septicemia] 038.3 Septicemia due to anaerobes 038.40 Septicemia due to anaerobes 038.41 Septicemia due to bemophilus influenzae [H. influenzae] 038.42 Septicemia due to bescherichia coli [E. coli] 038.43 Septicemia due to serratia 038.44 Septicemia due to serratia 038.55 Other septicemia due to gram-negative organisms 038.65 Other sepcified septicemias 038.75 Unspecified septicemias	with pn	eumonia	4870 INFLUENZA WITH PNEUMONIA
ICD-9 codes that define patients with sepsis (not including severe sepsis [995.92 or 785.52]) (Cohort requires principal discharge diagnosis of sepsis combined with a secondary discharge diagnosis of pneumonia or aspiration pneumonia coded as POA but no secondary discharge diagnosis of pneumonia or aspiration pneumonia coded as POA but no secondary discharge diagnosis of severe sepsis): 038.0 Streptococcal septicemia 038.11 Methicillin susceptible Staphylococcus aureus septicemia 038.12 Methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus septicemia 038.13 Methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus septicemia 038.14 Pneumococcal septicemia 038.2 Pneumococcal septicemia [Streptococcus pneumoniae septicemia] 038.3 Septicemia due to anaerobes 038.40 Septicemia due to anaerobes 038.41 Septicemia due to pram-negative organism, unspecified 038.42 Septicemia due to escherichia coli [E. coli] 038.43 Septicemia due to pseudomonas 038.44 Septicemia due to serratia 038.5 Other sepcified septicemia 038.6 Other specified septicemia	ICD-9 co	odes that define patients with aspiration pneumonia:	48801 INFLUENZA D/T IDENTIFIED AVIAN INFLUENZA VIRUS
sepsis [995.92 or 785.52]) (Cohort requires principal discharge diagnosis of sepsis combined with a secondary discharge diagnosis of pneumonia or aspiration pneumonia coded as POA but no secondary discharge diagnosis of severe sepsis): 038.0 Streptococcal septicemia 038.11 Methicillin susceptible Staphylococcus aureus septicemia 038.12 Methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus septicemia 038.13 Methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus septicemia 038.14 Methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus septicemia 038.15 Pneumococcal septicemia 038.2 Pneumococcal septicemia 038.3 Septicemia due to anaerobes 038.40 Septicemia due to gram-negative organism, unspecified 038.41 Septicemia due to bemophilus influenzae [H. influenzae] 038.42 Septicemia due to escherichia coli [E. coli] 038.43 Septicemia due to pseudomonas 038.44 Septicemia due to pseudomonas 038.45 Other septicemia due to gram-negative organisms 038.8 Other specified septicemias 038.9 Unspecified septicemia	507.0	Pneumonitis due to inhalation of food or vomitus	48811 INFLUENZA D/T IDENTIFIED 2009 H1N1 INFLUENZA VIRUS
diagnosis of sepsis combined with a secondary discharge diagnosis of pneumonia or aspiration pneumonia coded as POA but no secondary discharge diagnosis of severe sepsis): 038.0 Streptococcal septicemia 038.10 Staphylococcal septicemia, unspecified 038.11 Methicillin susceptible Staphylococcus aureus septicemia 038.12 Methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus septicemia 038.19 Other staphylococcal septicemia 038.2 Pneumococcal septicemia (Streptococcus pneumoniae septicemia) 038.3 Septicemia due to anaerobes 038.40 Septicemia due to gram-negative organism, unspecified 038.41 Septicemia due to hemophilus influenzae [H. influenzae] 038.42 Septicemia due to escherichia coli [E. coli] 038.43 Septicemia due to pseudomonas 038.44 Septicemia due to pseudomonas 038.49 Other septicemia due to gram-negative organisms 038.8 Other specified septicemias 038.9 Unspecified septicemia			W/PNEUMONIA
038.10 Staphylococcal septicemia, unspecified 038.11 Methicillin susceptible Staphylococcus aureus septicemia 038.12 Methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus septicemia 038.19 Other staphylococcal septicemia 038.2 Pneumococcal septicemia [Streptococcus pneumoniae septicemia] 038.3 Septicemia due to anaerobes 038.40 Septicemia due to gram-negative organism, unspecified 038.41 Septicemia due to hemophilus influenzae [H. influenzae] 038.42 Septicemia due to escherichia coli [E. coli] 038.43 Septicemia due to pseudomonas 038.44 Septicemia due to serratia 038.49 Other septicemia due to gram-negative organisms 038.8 Other specified septicemias 038.9 Unspecified septicemia	diagnos diagnos	is of sepsis combined with a secondary discharge is of pneumonia or aspiration pneumonia coded as POA	48881 NOVEL INFLUENZA W/PNEUMONIA
038.11 Methicillin susceptible Staphylococcus aureus septicemia 038.12 Methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus septicemia 038.19 Other staphylococcal septicemia 038.2 Pneumococcal septicemia [Streptococcus pneumoniae septicemia] 038.3 Septicemia due to anaerobes 038.40 Septicemia due to gram-negative organism, unspecified 038.41 Septicemia due to hemophilus influenzae [H. influenzae] 038.42 Septicemia due to escherichia coli [E. coli] 038.43 Septicemia due to pseudomonas 038.44 Septicemia due to serratia 038.49 Other septicemia due to gram-negative organisms 038.8 Other specified septicemias 038.9 Unspecified septicemia	038.0	Streptococcal septicemia	
septicemia 038.12 Methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus septicemia 038.19 Other staphylococcal septicemia 038.2 Pneumococcal septicemia [Streptococcus pneumoniae septicemia] 038.3 Septicemia due to anaerobes 038.40 Septicemia due to gram-negative organism, unspecified 038.41 Septicemia due to hemophilus influenzae [H. influenzae] 038.42 Septicemia due to escherichia coli [E. coli] 038.43 Septicemia due to pseudomonas 038.44 Septicemia due to pseudomonas 038.49 Other septicemia due to gram-negative organisms 038.8 Other specified septicemias 038.9 Unspecified septicemia	038.10	Staphylococcal septicemia, unspecified	
038.19 Other staphylococcal septicemia 038.2 Pneumococcal septicemia [Streptococcus pneumoniae septicemia] 038.3 Septicemia due to anaerobes 038.40 Septicemia due to gram-negative organism, unspecified 038.41 Septicemia due to hemophilus influenzae [H. influenzae] 038.42 Septicemia due to escherichia coli [E. coli] 038.43 Septicemia due to pseudomonas 038.44 Septicemia due to serratia 038.49 Other septicemia due to gram-negative organisms 038.8 Other specified septicemias 038.9 Unspecified septicemia		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
038.2 Pneumococcal septicemia [Streptococcus pneumoniae septicemia] 038.3 Septicemia due to anaerobes 038.40 Septicemia due to gram-negative organism, unspecified 038.41 Septicemia due to hemophilus influenzae [H. influenzae] 038.42 Septicemia due to escherichia coli [E. coli] 038.43 Septicemia due to pseudomonas 038.44 Septicemia due to serratia 038.49 Other septicemia due to gram-negative organisms 038.8 Other specified septicemias 038.9 Unspecified septicemia	038.12	Methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus septicemia	
septicemia] 038.3 Septicemia due to anaerobes 038.40 Septicemia due to gram-negative organism, unspecified 038.41 Septicemia due to hemophilus influenzae [H. influenzae] 038.42 Septicemia due to escherichia coli [E. coli] 038.43 Septicemia due to pseudomonas 038.44 Septicemia due to serratia 038.49 Other septicemia due to gram-negative organisms 038.8 Other specified septicemias 038.9 Unspecified septicemia	038.19	Other staphylococcal septicemia	
O38.40 Septicemia due to gram-negative organism, unspecified O38.41 Septicemia due to hemophilus influenzae [H. influenzae] O38.42 Septicemia due to escherichia coli [E. coli] O38.43 Septicemia due to pseudomonas O38.44 Septicemia due to serratia O38.49 Other septicemia due to gram-negative organisms O38.8 Other specified septicemias Unspecified septicemia			
038.41 Septicemia due to hemophilus influenzae [H. influenzae] 038.42 Septicemia due to escherichia coli [E. coli] 038.43 Septicemia due to pseudomonas 038.44 Septicemia due to serratia 038.49 Other septicemia due to gram-negative organisms 038.8 Other specified septicemias 038.9 Unspecified septicemia	038.3	Septicemia due to anaerobes	
038.42 Septicemia due to escherichia coli [E. coli] 038.43 Septicemia due to pseudomonas 038.44 Septicemia due to serratia 038.49 Other septicemia due to gram-negative organisms 038.8 Other specified septicemias 038.9 Unspecified septicemia	038.40	Septicemia due to gram-negative organism, unspecified	
038.43 Septicemia due to pseudomonas 038.44 Septicemia due to serratia 038.49 Other septicemia due to gram-negative organisms 038.8 Other specified septicemias 038.9 Unspecified septicemia	038.41	Septicemia due to hemophilus influenzae [H. influenzae]	
038.44 Septicemia due to serratia 038.49 Other septicemia due to gram-negative organisms 038.8 Other specified septicemias 038.9 Unspecified septicemia	038.42	Septicemia due to escherichia coli [E. coli]	
O38.49 Other septicemia due to gram-negative organisms O38.8 Other specified septicemias O38.9 Unspecified septicemia	038.43	Septicemia due to pseudomonas	
038.8 Other specified septicemias 038.9 Unspecified septicemia	038.44	Septicemia due to serratia	
038.9 Unspecified septicemia	038.49	Other septicemia due to gram-negative organisms	
	038.8	Other specified septicemias	
995.91 Sepsis	038.9	Unspecified septicemia	
	995.91	Sepsis	

	ospital 30-day, all-cause, risk-standardized mortality rate following pneumonia hospitalization	0231 Pneumonia Mortality Rate (IQI #20)
ICD-10 d	codes that define patients with pneumonia:	
J12.0	Adenoviral pneumonia	
J12.1	Respiratory syncytial virus pneumonia	
J12.2	Parainfluenza virus pneumonia	
J12.81	Pneumonia due to SARS-associated coronavirus	
J12.89	Other viral pneumonia	
J12.9	Viral pneumonia, unspecified	
J13	Pneumonia due to Streptococcus pneumoniae	
J18.1	Lobar pneumonia, unspecified organism	
J15.0	Pneumonia due to Klebsiella pneumoniae	
J15.1	Pneumonia due to Pseudomonas	
J14	Pneumonia due to Hemophilus influenzae	
J15.4	Pneumonia due to other streptococci	
J15.3	Pneumonia due to streptococcus, group B	
J15.20	Pneumonia due to staphylococcus, unspecified	
J15.211 staphylo	Pneumonia due to Methicillin susceptible ococcus	
J15.212	Pneumonia due to Methicillin resistant staphylococcus	
J15.29	Pneumonia due to other staphylococcus	
J15.8	Pneumonia due to other specified bacteria	
J15.5	Pneumonia due to Escherichia coli	
J15.6	Pneumonia due to other aerobic Gram-negative bacteria	
A48.1	Legionnaires' disease	
J15.8	Pneumonia due to other specified bacteria	
J15.9	Unspecified bacterial pneumonia	
J15.7	Pneumonia due to Mycoplasma pneumoniae	
J16.0	Chlamydial pneumonia	
J16.8	Pneumonia due to other specified infectious organisms	

	Hospital 30-day, all-cause, risk-standardized mortality rate) following pneumonia hospitalization	0231 Pneumonia Mortality Rate (IQI #20)
J18.0	Bronchopneumonia, unspecified organism	
J18.9	Pneumonia, unspecified organism	
J11.00 unspec	Influenza due to unidentified influenza virus with cified type of pneumonia	
J12.9	Viral pneumonia, unspecified	
J10.08	Influenza due to other identified influenza virus	
ICD-10	codes that define patients with aspiration pneumonia:	
J69.0	Pneumonitis due to inhalation of food and vomit	
severe discha discha	codes that define patients with sepsis (not including sepsis [ICD-9 995.92 or 785.52]) (Cohort requires principal rge diagnosis of sepsis combined with a secondary rge diagnosis of pneumonia or aspiration pneumonia as POA but no secondary discharge diagnosis of severe:	
A40.9	Streptococcal sepsis, unspecified	
A41.2	Sepsis due to unspecified staphylococcus	
A41.01	Sepsis due to Methicillin susceptible Staphylococcus	
A41.02	2 Sepsis due to Methicillin resistant Staphylococcus	
A41.1	Sepsis due to other specified staphylococcus	
A40.3	Sepsis due to Streptococcus pneumoniae	
A41.4	Sepsis due to anaerobes	
A41.50	Gram-negative sepsis, unspecified	
A41.3	Sepsis due to Hemophilus influenzae	
A41.51	Sepsis due to Escherichia coli [E. coli]	
A41.52	2 Sepsis due to Pseudomonas	
A41.53	3 Sepsis due to Serratia	
A41.59	Other Gram-negative sepsis	
A41.89	Other specified sepsis	
A41.9	Sepsis, unspecified organism	
An ICD	-9 to ICD-10 crosswalk is attached in field S.2b. (Data	

	0468 Hospital 30-day, all-cause, risk-standardized mortality rate (RSMR) following pneumonia hospitalization	0231 Pneumonia Mortality Rate (IQI #20)
	Dictionary or Code Table).	
Exclusions	The mortality measures exclude index admissions for patients: 1. Discharged alive on the day of admission or the following day who were not transferred to another acute care facility; 2. With inconsistent or unknown vital status or other unreliable demographic (age and gender) data; 3. Enrolled in the Medicare hospice program or used VA hospice services any time in the 12 months prior to the index admission, including the first day of the index admission; or 4. Discharged against medical advice (AMA). For patients with more than one admission for a given condition in a given year, only one index admission for that condition is randomly selected for inclusion in the cohort.	 Exclude cases: transferring to another short-term hospital (DISP=2) MDC 14 (pregnancy, childbirth, and puerperium) with missing discharge disposition (DISP=missing), gender (SEX=missing), age (AGE=missing), quarter (DQTR=missing), year (YEAR=missing) or principal diagnosis (DX1=missing)
Exclusion Details	 The discharge disposition indicator is used to identify patients alive at discharge. Transfers are identified in the claims when a patient with a qualifying admission is discharged from an acute care hospital and admitted to another acute care hospital on the same day or next day. Patient length of stay and condition is identified from the admission claim. Inconsistent vital status or unreliable data are identified if any of the following conditions are met 1) the patient's age is greater than 115 years; 2) if the discharge date for a hospitalization is before the admission date; 3) if the patient has a sex other than 'male' or 'female'. Hospice enrollment in the 12 months prior to or on the index admission is identified using hospice enrollment data. Discharges against medical advice (AMA) are identified using the discharge disposition indicator. After all exclusions are applied, the measure randomly selects one index admission per patient per year for inclusion in the cohort so that each episode of care is mutually independent with the same probability of the outcome. For each patient, the 	Exclude cases: • transferring to another short-term hospital (DISP=2) • MDC 14 (pregnancy, childbirth, and puerperium) • with missing discharge disposition (DISP=missing), gender (SEX=missing), age (AGE=missing), quarter (DQTR=missing), year (YEAR=missing) or principal diagnosis (DX1=missing)

	0468 Hospital 30-day, all-cause, risk-standardized mortality rate (RSMR) following pneumonia hospitalization	0231 Pneumonia Mortality Rate (IQI #20)
	probability of death increases with each subsequent admission, and therefore, the episodes of care are not mutually independent. Also, for the three year combined data, when index admissions occur during the transition between measure reporting periods (June and July of each year) and both are randomly selected for inclusion in the measure, the measure includes only the June admission. The July admissions are excluded to avoid assigning a single death to two admissions.	
Risk Adjustment	Statistical risk model	Statistical risk model
	Our approach to risk adjustment is tailored to and appropriate for a publicly reported outcome measure, as articulated in the American Heart Association (AHA) Scientific Statement, "Standards for Statistical Models Used for Public Reporting of Health Outcomes" (Krumholz et al., 2006). The measure employs a hierarchical logistic regression model to create a hospital-level 30-day RSMR. In brief, the approach simultaneously models data at the patient and hospital levels to account for the variance in patient outcomes within and between hospitals (Normand & Shahian, 2007). At the patient level, the model adjusts the log-odds of mortality within 30 days of admission for age, sex, and selected clinical covariates. At the hospital level, the approach models the hospital-specific intercepts as arising from a normal distribution. The hospital intercept represents the underlying risk of death at the hospital, after accounting for patient risk. If there were no differences among hospitals, then after adjusting for patient risk, the hospital intercepts should be identical across all hospitals.	The predicted value for each case is computed using a hierarchical model (logistic regression with hospital random effect) and covariates for gender, age in years (in 5-year age groups), Major Diagnostic Category (MDC), transfer status, All Patient Refined-Diagnosis Related Group (APR-DRG) and APR-DRG risk-of-mortality subclass. The reference population used in the model is the universe of discharges for states that participate in the Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project (HCUP) State Inpatient Databases (SID) for the year 2008 (updated annually), a database consisting of 43 states and approximately 30 million adult discharges and 4,000 hospitals. The expected rate is computed as the sum of the predicted value for each case divided by the number of cases for the unit of analysis of interest (i.e., hospital). The risk adjusted rate is computed using indirect standardization as the observed rate divided by the expected rate, multiplied by the reference population rate. Specific covariates used for this measure: Sex Female
	Candidate and Final Risk-adjustment Variables:	Age 18 to 24
	Candidate variables were patient-level risk-adjustors that were expected to be predictive of mortality, based on empirical analysis, prior literature, and clinical judgment, including age, sex, and indicators of comorbidity and disease severity. For each patient, covariates are obtained from claims records extending 12 months prior to and including the index admission. For the	Age 25 to 29 Age 30 to 34 Age 35 to 39 Age 40 to 44 Age 45 to 49

0468 Hospital 30-day, all-cause, risk-standardized (RSMR) following pneumonia hospitalization	mortality rate 0231 Pneumonia Mortality Rate (IQI #20)
measure currently implemented by CMS, these ris identified using both inpatient and outpatient Med claims data. However, in the all-payer hospital disd database measure, the risk-adjustment variables conly from inpatient claims in the prior 12 months admission. The model adjusts for case-mix differences based status of patients at the time of admission. We use categories (CCs), which are clinically meaningful gr more than 15,000 ICD-9-CM diagnosis codes (Pope file that contains a list of the ICD-9-CM codes and into CCs is attached in data field S.2b (Data Diction Table). In addition, only comorbidities that convey about the patient at admission or in the 12 month complications that arise during the course of the ir hospitalization, are included in the risk adjustment not risk adjust for CCs that may represent adverse when they are only recorded in the index admission. The final set of risk adjustment variables is: Demographics Male Age-65 (years, continuous) for patients aged 65 or or Age (years, continuous) for patients aged 18 and Comorbidities History of Percutaneous Transluminal Coronary Art (PTCA) (ICD-9 codes V45.82, 00.66, 36.06, 36.07) History of Coronary Artery Bypass Graft (CABG) (ICC V45.81, 36.10–36.16) Congestive heart failure (CC 80) Acute myocardial infarction (CC 81) Other acute/subacute forms of ischemic heart dise	Age 55 to 59 Age 80 to 84 Age 85+ APR-DRG '121-1' APR-DRG '121-2' APR-DRG '121-4' APR-DRG '130-1' APR-DRG '130-1' APR-DRG '130-2' APR-DRG '137-2' APR-DRG '137-2' APR-DRG '137-2' APR-DRG '139-2' APR-DRG '139-2' APR-DRG '139-2' APR-DRG '139-2' APR-DRG '139-3' APR-DRG '139-4' MDC 4 (Diseases & Disorders Of The Respiratory System) MDC 25 (Human Immunodeficiency Virus Infections) TRNSFER Transfer-in APR-DRG 130 Respiratory System Diagnosis w/ Ventilator Support 96+ Hours APR-DRG 137 Major Respiratory Infections and Inflammations APR-DRG 139 Other Pneumonia APR-DRG Risk of Mortality Subclass:
Coronary atherosclerosis or angina (CC 83-84)	1 - Minor 2 - Moderate

0468 Hospital 30-day, all-cause, risk-standardized mortality rate (RSMR) following pneumonia hospitalization	0231 Pneumonia Mortality Rate (IQI #20)
Cardio-respiratory failure or shock (CC 78-79)	3 - Major
Hypertension (CC 89, 91)	4 - Extreme
Stroke (CC 95-96)	For additional information on the method, please access the
Cerebrovascular disease (CC 97-99, 103)	Empirical Methods document:
Renal failure (CC 131)	http://www.qualityindicators.ahrq.gov/Downloads/Resources/Public ations/2011/QI_Empirical_Methods_03-31-14.pdf
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) (CC 108)	The Empirical Methods are also attached as "supplemental
Pneumonia (CC 111-114)	materials".
Protein-calorie malnutrition (CC 21)	Available in attached Excel or csv file at S.2b
Dementia or other specified brain disorders (CC 49-50)	
Hemiplegia, paraplegia, paralysis, functional disability (CC 67-69, 100-102, 177-178)	
Vascular disease and complications (CC 104-105)	
Metastatic cancer, acute leukemia and other severe cancers (CC 7-8)	
Trauma in last year (CC 154-156, 158-162)	
Major psychiatric disorders (CC 54-56)	
Chronic liver disease (CC 25-27)	
Severe hematological disorders (CC 44)	
Iron deficiency or other unspecified anemias and blood disease (CC 47)	
Depression (CC 58)	
Parkinson's or Huntington's diseases (CC 73)	
Seizure disorders and convulsions (CC 74)	
Fibrosis of lung or other chronic lung disorders (CC 109)	
Asthma (CC 110)	
Vertebral fractures (CC 157)	
Septicemia/sepsis (CC 2)	
Respirator dependence/tracheostomy (CC 77)	
Disorders of fluid/electrolyte/acid-base (CC 23)	

	0468 Hospital 30-day, all-cause, risk-standardized mortality rate (RSMR) following pneumonia hospitalization	0231 Pneumonia Mortality Rate (IQI #20)
	Delirium and encephalopathy (CC 48) Decubitus ulcer of skin (CC 148) References: Krumholz HM, Brindis RG, Brush JE, et al. 2006. Standards for Statistical Models Used for Public Reporting of Health Outcomes: An American Heart Association Scientific Statement From the Quality of Care and Outcomes Research Interdisciplinary Writing Group: Cosponsored by the Council on Epidemiology and Prevention and the Stroke Council Endorsed by the American College of Cardiology Foundation. Circulation 113: 456-462. Normand S-LT, Shahian DM. 2007. Statistical and Clinical Aspects of Hospital Outcomes Profiling. Stat Sci 22 (2): 206-226. Pope GC, et al. 2000. Principal Inpatient Diagnostic Cost Group	
	Models for Medicare Risk Adjustment. Health Care Financing Review 21(3): 93-118. Available in attached Excel or csv file at S.2b	
Stratification	N/A	Not applicable
Type Score	Rate/proportion better quality = lower score	Rate/proportion better quality = lower score
Algorithm	The measure estimates hospital-level 30-day all-cause RSMRs following hospitalization for pneumonia using hierarchical logistic regression models. In brief, the approach simultaneously models data at the patient and hospital levels to account for variance in patient outcomes within and between hospitals (Normand and Shahian, 2007). At the patient level, it models the log-odds of mortality within 30 days of index admission using age, sex, selected clinical covariates, and a hospital-specific intercept. At the hospital level, it models the hospital-specific intercepts as arising from a normal distribution. The hospital intercept represents the underlying risk of a mortality at the hospital, after accounting for patient risk. The hospital-specific intercepts are given a distribution to account for the clustering (non-independence) of patients within the same hospital. If there were no differences among hospitals, then after adjusting for patient	The measure is expressed as a rate, defined as (outcome of interest / population at risk) or (numerator / denominator). The AHRQ Quality Indicators (AHRQ QI) software performs six steps to produce the rate 1) Discharge-level data is used to identify inpatient records containing the outcome of interest and 2) the population at risk. 3) Calculate observed rates. Using output from steps 1 and 2, observed rates are calculated for user-specified combinations of stratifiers. 4) Calculate expected rates. Use the risk-adjustment model to calculate the rate one would expect at the hospital based on the hospital's case-mix and the average performance for that case-mix in the reference population. 5) Calculate risk-adjusted rate. Use the indirect standardization to account for case-mix. For indicators that are not risk-adjusted, the risk-adjusted rate is the same as the observed rate. 6) Calculate smoothed rate. A Univariate shrinkage estimator is applied to the risk-adjusted rates. The shrinkage

	O-day, all-cause, risk-standardized mortality rate ng pneumonia hospitalization	0231 Pneumonia Mortality Rate (IQI #20)
risk, the hospitals.	al intercepts should be identical across all	estimator reflects a reliability adjustment unique to each indicator and provider. The estimator is the signal-to-noise ratio, where signal
to the number of multiplied by the hospital, the number of operformance we analogous to a types of statistic comparison of a mix to an avera mix. Thus, a low	culated as the ratio of the number of "predicted" of "expected" deaths at a given hospital, are national observed mortality rate. For each amerator of the ratio is the number of deaths predicted on the basis of the hospital's ith its observed case mix, and the denominator is deaths expected based on the nation's ith that hospital's case mix. This approach is ratio of "observed" to "expected" used in other cal analyses. It conceptually allows for a particular hospital's performance given its case ge hospital's performance with the same case ver ratio indicates lower-than-expected mortality quality, and a higher ratio indicates higher-than-	is the between provider variance and noise is the within provider variance. URL
The "predicted' by using the co- and the hospita estimated hosp estimated regre	ality rates or worse quality. In number of deaths (the numerator) is calculated efficients estimated by regressing the risk factors al-specific intercept on the risk of mortality. The ital-specific intercept is added to the sum of the ession coefficients multiplied by the patient	
patients attribu "expected" nun the same mann our sample is ac The results are hospital to get a for each report	The results are transformed and summed over all ted to a hospital to get a predicted value. The ober of deaths (the denominator) is obtained in er, but a common intercept using all hospitals in edded in place of the hospital-specific intercept. It is transformed and summed over all patients in the en expected value. To assess hospital performance in gperiod, we re-estimate the model coefficients of data in that period.	
This calculation into a rate that	transforms the ratio of predicted over expected is compared to the national observed readmission chical logistic regression models are described	

0468 Hospital 30-day, all-cause, risk-standardized mortality rate (RSMR) following pneumonia hospitalization	0231 Pneumonia Mortality Rate (IQI #20)
fully in the original methodology report (Krumholz et al., 2005). References:	
Krumholz H, Normand S, Galusha D, et al. Risk-Adjustment Models for AMI and HF 30-Day Mortality Methodology. 2005.	
Normand S-LT, Shahian DM. 2007. Statistical and Clinical Aspects of Hospital Outcomes Profiling. Stat Sci 22(2): 206-226. No diagram provided	
5.1 Identified measures: 0708: Proportion of Patients with Pneumonia that have a Potentially Avoidable Complication (during the episode time window)	5.1 Identified measures: 0468: Hospital 30-day, all-cause, risk-standardized mortality rate (RSMR) following pneumonia hospitalization
0231: Pneumonia Mortality Rate (IQI #20)	5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized? Yes
0506: Hospital 30-day, all-cause, risk-standardized readmission rate (RSRR) following p	5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact:
5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized? No	
5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact: The pneumonia mortality measure cohort, version 9.0, is harmonized with the hospital-level, risk-standardized payment associated with a 30-day episode of care for pneumonia cohort. Version 9.2 of the pneumonia mortality measure cohort is, however, not harmonized with the pneumonia payment measure cohort. There is intention to harmonize the pneumonia mortality and payment measure cohorts in the future. We did not include in our list of related measures any non-outcome (for example, process) measures with the same target population as our measure. Because this is an outcome measure, clinical coherence of the cohort takes precedence over alignment with related non-outcome measures. Furthermore, non-outcome measures are limited due to broader patient exclusions. This is because they typically only include a specific subset of patients who are eligible for that measure (for example, patients who receive a specific medication or undergo a specific procedure). Lastly, this measure and the NQF Inpatient Pneumonia Mortality (AHRQ) Measure	5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value: AHRQ and CMS engaged in a harmonization process when both measures were submitted for endorsement. In-hospital mortality and 30-day mortality measures are complementary and provide alternative perspectives on hospital performance. In-hospital mortality measures may be calculated by the hospital in real time without the need to link to vital records or other sources of mortality data.
	fully in the original methodology report (Krumholz et al., 2005). References: Krumholz H, Normand S, Galusha D, et al. Risk-Adjustment Models for AMI and HF 30-Day Mortality Methodology. 2005. Normand S-LT, Shahian DM. 2007. Statistical and Clinical Aspects of Hospital Outcomes Profiling. Stat Sci 22(2): 206-226. No diagram provided 5.1 Identified measures: 0708: Proportion of Patients with Pneumonia that have a Potentially Avoidable Complication (during the episode time window) 0231: Pneumonia Mortality Rate (IQI #20) 0506: Hospital 30-day, all-cause, risk-standardized readmission rate (RSRR) following p 5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized? No 5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact: The pneumonia mortality measure cohort, version 9.0, is harmonized with the hospital-level, risk-standardized payment associated with a 30-day episode of care for pneumonia cohort. Version 9.2 of the pneumonia mortality measure cohort is, however, not harmonized with the pneumonia payment measure cohort. There is intention to harmonize the pneumonia mortality and payment measure cohorts in the future. We did not include in our list of related measures any non-outcome (for example, process) measures with the same target population as our measure. Because this is an outcome measure, clinical coherence of the cohort takes precedence over alignment with related non- outcome measures. Furthermore, non-outcome measures are limited due to broader patient exclusions. This is because they typically only include a specific subset of patients who are eligible for that measure (for example, patients who receive a specific

0468 Hospital 30-day, all-cause, risk-standardized mortality rate (RSMR) following pneumonia hospitalization	0231 Pneumonia Mortality Rate (IQI #20)
Although they both assess mortality for patients admitted to acute care hospitals with a principal discharge diagnosis of pneumonia, the specified outcomes are different. This measure assesses 30-day mortality while #0231 assesses inpatient mortality. Assessment of 30-day and inpatient mortality outcomes have distinct advantages and uses which make them complementary as opposed to competing. For example the 30-day period provides a broader perspective on hospital care and utilizes standard time period to examine hospital performance to avoid bias by differences in length of stay among hospitals. However, in some settings it may not be feasible to capture post-discharge mortality making the inpatient measure more useable. We have previously consulted with AHRQ to examine harmonization of complementary measures of mortality for patients with AMI and stroke. We have found that the measures are harmonized to the extent possible given that small differences in cohort inclusion and exclusion criteria are warranted on the basis of the use of different outcomes. However, this current measure has been modified from the last endorsed version to include patients with a principal discharge diagnosis of sepsis and a secondary discharge diagnosis of pneumonia that is present on admission. The cohort was also expanded to include patients with a principal discharge diagnosis of aspiration pneumonia. Thus the current measure cohort is no longer harmonized with measure #0231.	
5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value: N/A	

Comparison of NQF #2794 and NQF #2852

	2794 Rate of Emergency Department Visit Use for Children Managed for Identifiable Asthma: A PQMP Measure	2852 Optimal Asthma Control
Steward	University Hospitals Cleveland Medical Center	Minnesota Community Measurement
Description	This measure estimates the rate of emergency department visits for children ages 2 – 21 who are being managed for identifiable asthma. The measure is reported in visits per 100 child-years.	The percentage of pediatric (5-17 years of age) and adult (18-50 years of age) patients who had a diagnosis of asthma and whose asthma was optimally controlled during the measurement period as defined by achieving BOTH of the following:
		Asthma well-controlled as defined by the most recent asthma control tool result available during the measurement period
		• Patient not at elevated risk of exacerbation as defined by less than two emergency department visits and/or hospitalizations due to asthma in the last 12 months
Туре	Outcome	Composite
Data Source	Administrative claims, Electronic Clinical Data: Electronic Health Record, Paper Medical Records N/A	Electronic Clinical Data, Electronic Clinical Data: Electronic Health Record, Paper Medical Records
	No data collection instrument provided Attachment FINAL_CAPQuaM_ASTHMA_ICD9_and_ICD10.xlsx	An excel template with formatted columns for data fields is provided. Please refer to the attached data dictionary for data field definitions. All data is uploaded in electronic format (.csv file) to a HIPAA secure, encrypted and password protected data portal.
		1. Asthma Control Test (ACT) and Childhood Asthma Control Test (C-ACT)
		MNCM has secured permission for use of the ACT and C-ACT from GlaxoSmithKline for providers participating in quality measurement reporting to MNCM, under the following conditions:
		• you will administer the instrument in a paper format only;
		• permissible uses include only clinical care and quality measurement activities not related to research or publication;
		 you may not modify the instrument or combine it with other instruments without prior written approval;
		• the questions of the instrument must appear verbatim, in order, and together as they are presented and not divided on separate pages;
		• for the ACT: the following trademark and copyright information must

	2794 Rate of Emergency Department Visit Use for Children Managed for Identifiable Asthma: A PQMP Measure	2852 Optimal Asthma Control
		appear on the bottom of each page of the instrument and on all copies of the instrument; "Copyright 2002 by QualityMetric Incorporated. Asthma Control Test is a trademark of QualityMetric Incorporated."
		• for the C-ACT: the following acknowledgment be made as to the source and authorization for use of this material: "Copyright GSK. Used with permission."
		• you must utilize the instrument in its entirety;
		• you agree to utilize only the most current version of the instrument as provided on MNCM's Resource page.
		• you agree to display the GSK logo as part of the instrument;
		Of note, it IS permissible to record item responses and scores in an electronic health record, it IS NOT permissible to administer the instrument electronically to patients; i.e. kiosk, mobile device, patient portal.
		2. Asthma Control Questionnaire (ACQ)
		The ACQ is a copyrighted instrument available in various formats from the developer. Please visit the website http://www.qoltech.co.uk/acq.html for more information.
		3. Asthma Therapy Assessment Questionnaire (ATAQ)
		The ATAQ is copyrighted by Merck & Co., Inc, and available free of charge by going to:
		http://merckengage.qualitysolutionnavigator.com/ and navigating to the asthma resources. The Asthma Therapy Assessment Questionnaire (ATAQ) Adult should be used for patients 18 years and older. The Asthma Therapy Assessment Questionnaire (ATAQ) Pediatric should be used for patients 5 – 17 years old.
		Available at measure-specific web page URL identified in S.1
Level	Population: Community, Population: County or City, Health Plan, Integrated Delivery System, Population: National, Population: Regional, Population: State	Clinician: Group/Practice

	2794 Rate of Emergency Department Visit Use for Children Managed for Identifiable Asthma: A PQMP Measure	2852 Optimal Asthma Control
Setting	Ambulatory Care: Clinician Office/Clinic, Emergency Medical Services/Ambulance, Hospital/Acute Care Facility, Other, Pharmacy, Ambulatory Care: Urgent Care Claims data from all settings in New York State Medicaid data were tested.	Ambulatory Care: Clinician Office/Clinic
Time Window	This data requires 2 years of data, the reporting year and the 12 month period before the reporting year. (See Appendix 1, Figure 1)	1 year
Numerator Statement	The numerator uses the number of undesirable utilization outcomes (i.e., claims for ED visits or hospitalizations for asthma) experienced by children who are managed for identifiable asthma to estimate the number of emergency	The number of patients in the denominator whose asthma was optimally controlled during the measurement period as defined by achieving BOTH of the following: • Asthma well-controlled as defined by the most recent asthma control
	room visits	tool result during the measurement period: -Asthma Control Test (ACT) greater than or equal to 20 (patients 12 years of age and older)
		-Childhood Asthma Control Test (C-ACT) greater than or equal to 20 (patients 11 years of age and younger)
		-Asthma Control Questionnaire (ACQ) less than or equal to 0.75 (patients 17 years of age and older)
		-Asthma Therapy Assessment Questionnaire (ATAQ) equal to 0 – Pediatric (5 to 17 years of age) or Adult (18 years of age and older).
		AND
		Patient not at elevated risk of exacerbation as defined by less than two patient reported emergency department visits and/or hospitalizations due to asthma in the last 12 months
Numerator Details	Numerator Elements:	Asthma control test date
	Date and count of all emergency visits with a primary or secondary diagnosis of asthma.	Enter the date of the most recent asthma control test on or prior to 06/30/2015.
	ED visits should be identified as a visit that is associated	Leave BLANK if an asthma control test was never performed.
	with: 1) At least one of the following CPT codes: 99281,	Do NOT enter any test date that occurred after 06/30/2015. A date after the measurement period will create an ERROR upon submission.

2794 Rate of Emergency Department Visit Use for Children Managed for Identifiable Asthma: A PQMP Measure	2852 Optimal Asthma Control
99282, 99283, 99284, 99285 OR 2) At least one of the following revenue codes 0450 Emergency Room	• Enter the date of the visit, telephone call, e-visit or other contact during which the asthma control test was administered (e.g., a test administered to the patient via phone).
0451 Emergency Room: EM/EMTALA 0452 Emergency Room: ER/ Beyond EMTALA	 Test from another provider is acceptable (not required) if documented in the reporting clinic's record and is more recent than the reporting clinic's test.
0456 Emergency Room: Urgent care 0459 Emergency Room: Other emergency room 450 Emergency Room 451 Emergency Room: EM/EMTALA	• The following are approved, valid asthma control tests and must be giving according to validated age ranges. Age should be calculated as the date the asthma control test was administered. Tests other than the ones listed below will not be accepted.
452 Emergency Room: ER/ Beyond EMTALA 456 Emergency Room: Urgent care 459 Emergency Room: Other emergency room	o ACT (Asthma Control Test); valid for patients 12 and older. o CACT (Child-Asthma Control Test); valid for patients 11 and younger. o ACQ (Asthma Control Questionnaire); valid for patients 17 and older. o ATAQ (Asthma Therapy and Assessment Questionnaire); valid for
0981 Professional fees (096x) Emergency room 981 Professional fees emergency room Inpatient Hospitalizations are identified as an encounter that is associated with:	patients 5 to 50. Asthma control test name Enter a code to indicate the most recent asthma control test (on or prior
At least one of the following CPT codes: Hospitalization:	to 06/30/2015) given to the patient using the codes below. This test name should correspond to the test given on the date in Column U. Leave BLANK if an asthma control test was never performed.
CPT 99238 CPT 99232 CPT 99239 CPT 99233 CPT 99221 CPT 99234	Leave BLANK if the wrong test was administered to the patient at the visit (e.g., a 12-year-old patient received the C-ACT instead of the ACT). 1 = Asthma Control Test (ACT)
CPT 99222	2 = Child-Asthma Control Test (C-ACT) 3 = Asthma Control Questionnaire (ACQ)
CPT 99356 CPT 99218 CPT 99357 CPT 99219 CPT 99231 CPT 99220	 4 = Asthma Therapy Assessment Questionnaire (ATAQ) The test used will be validated using the patient's date of birth and the date the test was given.
OR At least one of the following revenue codes 0110 0133	Asthma control test score Enter the score of the most recent asthma control test (on or prior to 06/30/2015). The score should correspond to the test date listed in

2794 Rate of Emergency Department Visit Use for Children Managed for Identifiable Asthma: A PQMP Measure	2852 Optimal Asthma Control
0111 0134	Column U and to the test name listed in Column V.
0112 0137	Leave BLANK if no control tests exist.
0113 0139	Leave BLANK if the wrong test was administered to the patient (e.g., a 12-
0114 0150	year-old patient received the C-ACT instead of the ACT).
0117 0151	If the test score is blank or not complete, look for an earlier completed
0119 0152	asthma control test completed within the measurement period. Update Column U and Column V to reflect the new test date and name.
0120 0153	Do NOT submit partial or incomplete scores. If there is not a test in the
0121 0154	record with a complete score, leave Columns U, V and W blank.
0122 0157	Date of patient reported hospitalizations and emergency department
0123 0159	visits
0124 0200	Enter the most recent date within the measurement period that the
0127 0201	patient is asked about any hospitalizations and emergency department
0129 0202	visits.
0130 0203	Leave BLANK if the patient was not asked about hospitalizations and emergency department visits. A date is necessary for rate calculation. Do
0131 0204	NOT leave blank unless there is no data.
0132 0206	This date must be associated with the patient-reported emergency
IDENTIFY count of discrete numerator events:	department and hospitalizations columns during the past 12 months
For each individual in the denominator for the specified	(Columns Y and Z).
month, consider evidence of hospitalization that is on the same day or one day after an ED visit to represent one	Do NOT enter any visit that occurred after 06/30/2015. A date after the measurement period will create an ERROR upon submission.
discrete event. Consecutive days of hospitalization are considered to represent one hospitalization.	Number of emergency department visits due to asthma that did NOT result in a hospitalization in the past 12 months (from date of visit)
Data Sources	Enter a numeric value for the number of emergency department (ED)
Administrative Data (e.g., claims data)	visits due to asthma as stated by the patient (e.g. 0, 1, 2, etc.). Do NOT
Paper Medical Record – only if needed for race ethnicity or	include urgent care visits.
ZIP code	Leave BLANK if the patient was not asked about emergency department
Race/ethnicity data and ZIP code data (If race/ethnicity data	visits or there is no data.
or ZIP code data are not present in administrative data set, they should be obtained from another source, such as the	0 = Patient reports "0" or had no ED visits
medical record). We performed a feasibility study alpha test	1= Patient reports "1" ED visits

2794 Rate of Emergency Department Visit Use for Children Managed for Identifiable Asthma: A PQMP Measure	2852 Optimal Asthma Control
by surveying more than a dozen hospitals that demonstrates	2= Patient reports "2" ED visits; etc.
that these data elements are generally available in the medical record. General data elements: - Age	A value is necessary for rate calculation. Do NOT leave blank unless there is no data. Enter the value collected and recorded asked and documented on or prior to 06/30/2015. Do NOT enter a number recorded prior to 07/01/2014.
 Race and ethnicity Insurance type (Medicaid, Private, Uninsured) Benefit type among insured (HMO, PPO, FFS, Medicaid Primary Care Case Management Plan [PCCM], 	• The patient should respond with a number of visits for the prior 12 months regardless of when the visit occurs – if the visit occurs in September of 2014, the previous 12 months would be September 2013 to August 2014. If the visit occurs in January 2015, the previous 12 months would be January 2014 to December 2014.
Other) - ZIP code or State and County of residence (and FIPS	Do NOT search for actual emergency department visits in your record system. This value must reflect what the patient reported when asked.
where available) Administrative data with billing and diagnosis codes: - Asthma-related visits to an emergency department,	If using an EMR, consider building a field to capture this data. If using paper, check the progress notes and other documentation from the most recent visit looking backwards.
- Asthma medication prescriptions - Insurance benefit type	To be included in the numerator, the total number of BOTH emergency department visits AND inpatient hospitalizations due to asthma must equal ZERO or ONE.
- ZIP code or State and County of residence (and FIPS where available)	Number of inpatient hospitalizations due to asthma during the past 12 months (from date of visit)
- Race and ethnicity (from hospital administrative data or charts if not in administrative data from plan)	Enter a numeric value for the number of emergency department visits due to asthma as stated by the patient (e.g. 0, 1, 2, etc.).
If pharmacy data are not available the measure should be reported with notation that pharmacy data were not used for the assessment of eligibility.	Leave BLANK if patient was not asked about hospitalizations or there is no data 0 = Patient reports "0" or had no hospitalizations
For eligibility purposes, asthma-related medicine refers to	1= Patient reports "1" hospitalization
long-acting beta-agonist (alone or in combination) or inhaled	2= Patient reports "2" hospitalizations; etc.
corticosteroid (alone or in combination), anti-asthmatic combinations, methylxanthines (alone or in combination) These details incorporate ICD-9 codes only. For the specified ICD-10 codes and a detailed listing of ICD 9 codes see	A value is necessary for rate calculation. Do NOT leave blank unless there is no data. Enter the value collected and recorded and documented on or prior to 06/30/2015. Do NOT enter a number recorded prior to 07/01/2014.
attached spreadsheet in S2.b.	Enter the patient reported number of inpatient hospitalizations due to

	2794 Rate of Emergency Department Visit Use for Children Managed for Identifiable Asthma: A PQMP Measure	2852 Optimal Asthma Control
		asthma. The patient should respond with a number of visits for the prior 12 months regardless of when the visit occurs – if the visit occurs in September of 2014, the previous 12 months would be September 2013 to August 2014. If the visit occurs in January 2015, the previous 12 months would be January 2014 to December 2014.
		Do NOT search for actual hospitalizations in your record system. This value must reflect what the patient reported when asked.
		• If using an EMR, consider building a field to capture this data. If using paper, check the progress notes and other documentation from the most recent visit looking backwards.
		To be included in the numerator, the total number of BOTH emergency department visits AND inpatient hospitalizations due to asthma must equal ZERO or ONE.
Denominator Statement	The denominator represents the person time experience among eligible children with identifiable asthma. Assessment of eligibility is determined for each child monthly. The total number of child months experienced is summed and divided by 1200 to achieve the units of 100 child years.	Patients aged 5 - 50 years at the start of the measurement period who were seen for asthma by an eligible provider in an eligible specialty faceto-face visit at least 2 times during the current or prior year measurement periods AND who were seen for any reason at least once during the measurement period.
Denominator Details	The denominator seeks to identify children who have been managed with identifiable asthma.	Patients who meet each of the following criteria are included in the population:
	A descriptive definition for being managed for Identifiable asthma follows. Identifiable asthma needs to be identified in	• Patient was age 5 to 50 years at the start of the measurement period (date of birth was on or between 07/01/1964 to 07/01/2009).
	the assessment period for the specific reporting month being assessed.	o Age 5 to 17 years at the start of the measurement period (date of birth was on or between 07/01/1997 to 07/01/2009).
	Specifications follow the descriptive definitions: a. Any prior hospitalization with asthma as primary or	o Age 18 to 50 years at the start of the measurement period (date of birth was one or between 07/01/1964 to 06/30/1997).
	 secondary diagnosis b. Other qualifying events after the fifth birthday (age is age at occurrence): i. One or more prior ambulatory visits with asthma as 	• Patient was seen by an eligible provider in an eligible specialty face-to-face visit at least two times during the last two measurement periods (07/01/2013 to 06/30/2015) with visits coded with an asthma ICD-9 code (in any position, not only primary). Use this date of service range when

2794 Rate of Emergency Department Visit Use for Children Managed for Identifiable Asthma: A PQMP Measure	2852 Optimal Asthma Control
the primary diagnosis (this criterion implies an asthma ED visit in the reporting month), OR	querying the practice management or EMR system to allow a count of the visits.
ii. Two or more ambulatory visits with asthma as a diagnosis, ORiii. One ambulatory visit with asthma as a diagnosis	• Patient was seen by an eligible provider in an eligible specialty face-to-face visit at least one time during the measurement period (07/01/2014 to 06/30/2015) for any reason. This may or may not include a face-to-
AND at least one asthma-related prescription, OR iv. Two or more ambulatory visits with a diagnosis of bronchitis	face visit with an asthma ICD-9 code. • Diagnosis of asthma; ICD-9 diagnosis codes include: 493.00 to 493.12, 493.81 to 493.92.
c. Other qualifying events, any age:v. Three or more ambulatory visits with diagnosis of asthma or bronchitis, OR	Eligible specialties: Family Practice, General Practice, Internal Medicine, Pediatrics, Allergy/Immunology, and Pulmonology. Eligible providers: Medical Doctor (MD), Doctor of Osteopathy (DO),
vi. Two or more ambulatory visits with a diagnosis of asthma and/or bronchitis AND one or more asthma- related prescriptions.	Physician Assistant (PA), Advanced Practice Registered Nurses (APRN).
For eligibility purposes, asthma-related medicine means long-acting beta-agonist (alone or in combination) or inhaled corticosteroid (alone or in combination), anti-asthmatic combinations, methylxanthines (alone or in combination), and/or mast cell stabilizers.	
If pharmacy data are not available, the measure should be reported with notation that pharmacy data were not used for the assessment of eligibility. This avoids eliminating from the measure those facilities with no link to pharmacies. Our testing reveals that only a very small proportion of patients are excluded by not including pharmacy data to establish eligibility.	
For eligibility purposes, asthma-related medicine refers to long-acting beta-agonist (alone or in combination) or inhaled corticosteroid (alone or in combination), anti-asthmatic combinations, methylxanthines (alone or in combination), and or mast cell stabilizers. In order to promote better harmonization, we start with the current HEDIS asthma medication list. From that list, in accordance with our expert	

2794 Rate of Emergency Department Visit Use for Children Managed for Identifiable Asthma: A PQMP Measure	2852 Optimal Asthma Control
panel recommendations we eliminate medications in the following	
2 categories: leukotriene modifiers, short-acting inhaled beta-agonists. We further exclude indacaterol, a recently approved long acting beta agonist that is indicated in the US only for teh treatmetn of COPD. As indicated elesewhere, COPD is an exclusion criterion for this measure. These specifications anticipate that NCQA will update the medication list from time to time and with the stated exclusions updated lists may be substituted for the list linked herein. The table used for testing is labeled Table AMR-A: Asthma Controller and Reliever Medications, and can be found at http://www.ncqa.org/HEDISQualityMeasurement/HEDISMe asures/HEDIS2015/HEDIS2015NDCLicense/HEDIS2015FinalN	
DCLists.aspx (last accessed September 12, 2015).	
Denominator Elements: The presence of identifiable asthma (see Table 1) is established each month from administrative data using the specified algorithm. (Appendix Figure 1 and this section's narrative)	
All events in the administrative data should be associated with a date of service.	
Eligibility should be obtained using the month by month algorithm described herein and illustrated in Figure 1, which is a fundamental component of this description. The analysis should be conducted on a month by month basis as described herein:	
. Within the group of children who meet the criteria for identifiable asthma, identify and maintain a unique patient identifier, age, and all stratification variables.	
. Determine eligibility for each patient, as of the last day of the month prior to the reporting month.	

2794 Rate of Emergency Department Visit Use for Children Managed for Identifiable Asthma: A PQMP Measure	2852 Optimal Asthma Control
For example, if the goal is to report for January 2011, first identify children with identifiable asthma (above), and analyze all of calendar year 2010 when doing so. Continuous enrollment criterion requires that the child was enrolled in November and December of 2010.	
Next, for February analyze all of calendar year 2010 AND January 2011. Continuous enrollment criterion requires that the child was enrolled in December	
2010 and January 2011.	
Repeat this progression monthly so that for December, one would identify children with identifiable asthma and analyze all of calendar year 2010 AND January through November 2011 when doing so. Continuous enrollment criterion requires that for December the child was enrolled in October 2011 and November 2011.	
See Figure 1 in Appendix, which is incorporated into these specifications by reference.	
Codes used for definitions are specified in Appendix Table 1 and summarized herein:	
Hospitalization:	
CPT Codes: (Any)	
CPT 99238 CPT 99232	
CPT 99239 CPT 99233	
CPT 99221 CPT 99234	
CPT 99222 CPT 99235	
CPT 99223 CPT 99236	
CPT 99356 CPT 99218	
CPT 99357 CPT 99219	
CPT 99231 CPT 99220	
Or Revenue Codes: (Any)	

	94 Rate of Emergency Department Visit Use for Children anaged for Identifiable Asthma: A PQMP Measure	2852 Optimal Asthma Control
01:	10 0133	
01:	11 0134	
01:	12 0137	
01:	13 0139	
01:	14 0150	
01:	17 0151	
01:	19 0152	
013	20 0153	
013	21 0154	
013	22 0157	
012	23 0159	
012	24 0200	
012	27 0201	
012	29 0202	
013		
013		
013	32 0206	
	nergency Department Visits	
	T Codes: (Any)	
	T 99281 CPT 99284	
	T 99282 CPT 99285	
	PT 99283	
	Revenue Codes: (Any)	
	50 Emergency Room	
	51 Emergency Room: EM/EMTALA	
	52 Emergency Room: ER/Beyond EMTALA	
04!	56 Emergency Room: Urgent Care	

	2794 Rate of Emergency Department Visit Use for Children	2852 Optimal Asthma Control
	Managed for Identifiable Asthma: A PQMP Measure	
	0459 Emergency Room: Other Emergency Room	
	0981 Professional Fees (096x) Emergency Room	
	981 Professional Fees emergency room	
	Office Visits(Any)	
	CPT 99201 CPT 99211	
	CPT 99202 CPT 99212	
	CPT 99203 CPT 99213	
	CPT 99204 CPT 99214	
	CPT 99205 CPT 99215	
	Diagnosis of Asthma	
	ICD-9 Codes:	
	All codes beginning with 493	
	Alternately, or entities that prefer to use AHRQ's Clinical Classifications Software, the asthma definition before exclusions is CCS class 128. Those using CCS should then apply the exclusions.	
	Filled Prescriptions for Asthma-related Medications as specified in this section above.	
	Please note Figure 1 and Table 1 in the attached Appendix are considered INTEGRAL to these specifications and are not optional.	
	These details incorporate ICD-9 codes only. For the specified ICD-10 codes and a detailed listing of ICD 9 codes see attached spreadsheet in S2.b.	
Exclusions	Children with concurrent or pre-existing: Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) diagnosis (ICD-9 Code: 496), Cystic Fibrosis diagnosis (ICD-9 code 277.0, 277.01. 277.02, 277.03, 277.09), or Emphysema diagnosis (ICD-9 code 492xx).	Valid exclusions include patients who are nursing home residents, in hospice or palliative care, have died or who have COPD, emphysema, cystic fibrosis or acute respiratory failure.
	These exclusion incorporate ICD-9 codes only. For the specified ICD-10 codes and a detailed listing of ICD 9 codes	

	2794 Rate of Emergency Department Visit Use for Children Managed for Identifiable Asthma: A PQMP Measure	2852 Optimal Asthma Control
	see attached spreadsheet in S2.b. Children who have not been consecutively enrolled in the reporting plan for at least two months prior to the index reporting month and for the reporting month (a total of three consecutive months ending in the reporting month).	
Exclusion Details	See S.10 above. Also, for entities that use AHRQ's Clinical Classifications Software, apply the exclusion after identifying visits that satisfy CCS class 128. These details incorporate ICD-9 codes only. For the specified ICD-10 codes and a detailed listing of ICD 9 codes see attached spreadsheet in S2.b.	Patient was a permanent nursing home resident during the measurement period. Patient was in hospice or palliative care at any time during the measurement period. Patient died prior to the end of the measurement period. Documentation that diagnosis was coded in error. Patient has COPD (codes 491.2, 493.2x, 496, 506.4) Patient has emphysema (codes 492, 506.4, 518.1, 518.2) Patient has cystic fibrosis (code 277.0) Patient has acute respiratory failure (code 518.81)
Risk Adjustment	Other In order to allow for more granular comparisons this measure is specified to be stratified. Stratification for risk adjustment of this measure would not be justified by the literature. Although epidemiological findings support our stratification schema, n N/A	Statistical risk model Risk adjustment model is estimated using a logistic model implemented in the SAS Procedure Glimmix that accounts for the measure's noncontinuous (binary) nature. The dependent variable is Optimal Asthma Control. Risk factor variables include patient age, gender, insurance product, patient's zip code, race/ethnicity and preferred language. Risk Model is available in attached Excel or csv file at S.2b
Stratification	Specifications for this measure requires stratification by age group and race/ethnicity. Several additional stratifications are optional but may be required by the accountability entity or reported by the reporting entity. These variables include rurality	Patient age group (children 5-17 years, adults 18-50 years) Patient gender Patient 5 digit zip code, primary residence Race and ethnicity code or codes (up to 5) as defined in the MNCM REL Data Field Specifications and Codes Country of origin as defined in the MNCM REL Data Field Specifications

	2794 Rate of Emergency Department Visit Use for Children Managed for Identifiable Asthma: A PQMP Measure	2852 Optimal Asthma Control
		and Codes
		Primary language as defined in the MNCM REL Data Field Specifications and Codes
		Insurance coverage code as defined in the MNCM Insurance Coverage Data Field Specifications and Codes
Type Score	Rate/proportion better quality = lower score	Rate/proportion better quality = higher score
Algorithm	Step 1: Measure person-time eligible for each patient and record by month. a. For each month in the reporting year, identify all children ages 2 – 21 years who meet the criteria for Identifiable asthma during the assessment period. The assessment period is defined as the year prior to the reporting year plus all months in the reporting year prior to the reporting month. Identify and maintain a unique patient identifier and all stratification variables. To illustrate: if the goal is to report for January 2011, first one would identify children with Identifiable asthma using the criteria, and analyze all of calendar year 2010 when doing so. Continuous enrollment criterion requires that the child was enrolled in November and December of 2010, as well as January 2011. This total represents the number of person-months (child-months) for January. Next, for February: one would identify children with Identifiable asthma using the criteria, and analyze all of calendar year 2010 AND January 2011 when doing so. Continuous enrollment criterion requires that the child was enrolled in December 2010 and January 2011, as well as February 2011. This is the number of person-months (child-months) for February. Repeat this progression monthly so that for December, one would identify children with Identifiable asthma and analyze all of calendar year 2010 AND January through November 2011 when doing so.	"The measure is calculated by submitting a file of individual patient values through a HIPAA secure data portal. Programming within the data portal determines if each patient is a numerator case and then a rate is calculated for each clinic site. 1) Is the patient's DOB within the allowable time frame? Yes>>Continue No>>Patient not included in denominator 2) Has the patient had two office visits coded with an asthma diagnosis during the current and year prior to the measurement period? Yes>>Continue No>>Patient not included in denominator 3) Has the patient had one office visit for any reason during the measurement period? Yes>> Patient included in denominator, continue No>> Patient not included in denominator 4) Did the patient have an asthma control test within the measurement period? Yes>> Continue No>> Patient not included in numerator 5) Is the asthma control test tool used acceptable for the patient's age? Yes>> Continue No>> Patient not included in numerator 6) Is the value of the control test equivalent to ""in control""? Yes>> Continue

2794 Rate of Emergency Department Visit Use for Ch Managed for Identifiable Asthma: A PQMP Measure	ildren 2852 Optimal Asthma Control
Continuous enrollment criterion requires that the chenrolled in October 2011 and November 2011, as we December 2011. This is the number of person-montimonths) for December. b. Sum all months that are eligible from the reyear. This sum is the denominator in people-months by 1200. This is denominator in 100 people-years. The denominator for the year. Step 2: Month by month, considering the definitions identify the number of discrete numerator events: a. Identify the number and date of ED visits with asthma as a primary or secondary diagnosis among thildren who are eligible for that reporting month. b. Identify the number and date of inpatient hospitalizations with asthma as a primary or secondary diagnosis among those children who are eligible for the reporting month. c. Identify the number of discrete numerator of Consecutive days with inpatient hospital codes are considered one hospitalization. Hospitalizations on day after ED visit are NOT considered discrete from the visit. d. Sum the number of numerator events acrostyear. e. Maintain stratification variables and unique identifiers. Step 3. Calculate rate as Numerator / Denominator. It his measure is specified for the year, it has also been validated to demonstrate seasonality using monthly Step 4. Calculate stratification variables as specified is Step 5. Repeat by strata. Within age strata repeat by specified strata. Perform other cross tabulations as requested by the accountability entity. Eliminate any requested by the accountability entity.	7) During the measurement period, was the patient asked about any hospitalizations or emergency department visits due to asthma in the 12 months prior? Yes>>Continue No>> Patient not included in numerator 8) Was the sum of patient reported emergency department visits and hospitalizations due to asthma in the prior 12 months equal to 0 or 1? Yes>> Patient included in numerator No>> Patient not included in numerator No>> Patient not included in numerator Available in attached appendix at A.1 Pry that Pry that While Practes. In S.12. Other

	2794 Rate of Emergency Department Visit Use for Children Managed for Identifiable Asthma: A PQMP Measure	2852 Optimal Asthma Control
	with less than 40 person-months in any month's denominator OR less than 1000 person-months for the year. Appendix 1, Figure A.1 illustrates the calculation of persontime and is considered fundamental to this calculation algorithm. Available in attached appendix at A.1	
Submission items	5.1 Identified measures:	5.1 Identified measures:
	5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized? No	5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized? Yes
	5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference,	5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact:
	rationale, impact: Our definition of identifiable asthma is more inclusive than, for example, NCQA's persistent asthma construct. We use similar medication definitions as NCQA, except we exclude leukotriene inhibitors from asthmarelated medications because our expert panel felt that these medications were used frequently for allergy patients and judged that the small gain in sensitivity of identifying children (considering all criteria) would be less than the loss in sensitivity and likelihood to include non-asthmatic children with allergies. Our specifications have been validated by an expert panel in the context of a peer reviewed process commissioned by AHRQ and CMS to advance the field and science of pediatric quality measurement beyond the state represented in pre-existing measures. The specification of a person-time denominator allows for the measure to have a shorter requirement for continuous enrollment than other measures with less risk of bias than previous measures. 5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value:	5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value:

Comparison of NQF #0047 and NQF #1799 and NQF #1800

	0047 Asthma: Pharmacologic Therapy for Persistent Asthma	1799 Medication Management for People with Asthma	1800 Asthma Medication Ratio
Steward	The American Academy of Asthma Allergy and Immunology	National Committee for Quality Assurance	National Committee for Quality Assurance
Description	Percentage of patients aged 5 years and older with a diagnosis of persistent asthma who were prescribed long-term control medication Three rates are reported for this measure: 1. Patients prescribed inhaled corticosteroids (ICS) as their long term control medication 2. Patients prescribed other alternative long term control medications (non-ICS) 3. Total patients prescribed long-term control medication	The percentage of patients 5-64 years of age during the measurement year who were identified as having persistent asthma and were dispensed appropriate medications that they remained on during the treatment period. Two rates are reported. 1. The percentage of patients who remained on an asthma controller medication for at least 50% of their treatment period. 2. The percentage of patients who remained on an asthma controller medication for at least 75% of their treatment period.	The percentage of patients 5–64 years of age who were identified as having persistent asthma and had a ratio of controller medications to total asthma medications of 0.50 or greater during the measurement year.
Туре	Process	Process	Process
Data Source	Administrative claims, Electronic Clinical Data, Paper Medical Records, Electronic Clinical Data: Registry Not Applicable Attachment Asthma_Pharma_NQF_0047_ICD-10_code_definitions.xlsx	Administrative claims This measure is based on administrative claims collected in the course of providing care to health plan members. NCQA collects the Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set (HEDIS) data for this measure directly from Health Management Organizations and Preferred Provider Organizations via NCQA's online data submission system. No data collection instrument provided Attachment 1799_MMA_Value_Sets.xlsx	Administrative claims This measure is based on administrative claims collected in the course of providing care to health plan members. NCQA collects the Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set (HEDIS) data for this measure directly from Health Management Organizations and Preferred Provider Organizations via NCQA's online data submission system. No data collection instrument provided Attachment 1800_AMR_Value_Sets.xlsx
Level	Clinician: Group/Practice, Clinician: Individual	Health Plan, Integrated Delivery System	Health Plan, Integrated Delivery System
Setting	Ambulatory Care: Clinician Office/Clinic	Ambulatory Care: Clinician Office/Clinic	Ambulatory Care: Clinician Office/Clinic
Time Window	Once during the measurement period	Numerator: 12 month period (the measurement year)	Numerator: 12 month period (the measurement year)

	0047 Asthma: Pharmacologic Therapy for Persistent Asthma	1799 Medication Management for People with Asthma	1800 Asthma Medication Ratio
		Denominator: 24 month period (the measurement year and the year prior)	Denominator: 24 month period (the measurement year and the year prior)
		Exclusions: lookback through the patient's history through the last day of the measurement year	Exclusions: lookback through the patient's history through the last day of the measurement year
Numerator Statement	Patients who were prescribed long-term control medication	Numerator 1 (Medication Adherence 50%): The number of patients who achieved a PDC* of at least 50% for their asthma controller medications during the measurement year. A higher rate is better.	The number of patients who had a ratio of controller medications to total asthma medications of 0.50 or greater during the measurement year.
		Numerator 2 (Medication Adherence 75%): The number of patients who achieved a PDC* of at least 75% for their asthma controller medications during the measurement year. A higher rate is better.	
		*PDC is the proportion of days covered by at least one asthma controller medication prescription, divided by the number of days in the treatment period. The treatment period is the period of time beginning on the earliest prescription dispensing date for any asthma controller medication during the measurement year through the last day of the measurement year.	
Numerator Details	Patients who were prescribed long-term control medication	Follow the steps below to identify numerator compliance.	Follow the steps below to identify numerator compliance.
	Definition: Long-Term Control Medication Includes: Patients prescribed inhaled corticosteroids (the preferred long-term control medication at any step of asthma pharmacological therapy) OR	Step 1: Identify the Index Prescription Start Date*. The Index Prescription Start Date is the earliest dispensing event for any asthma controller medication (refer to MMA-B Asthma Controller Medications) during the measurement year. Step 2: To determine the treatment period,	Step 1: For each patient, count the units of controller medications (see AMR-A) dispensed during the measurement year. When identifying medication units for the numerator, count each individual medication, defined as an amount lasting 30 days or less, as one medication unit. One medication unit equals one inhaler

0047 Asthma: Pharmacologic Therapy for Persistent Asthma	1799 Medication Management for People with Asthma	1800 Asthma Medication Ratio
Patients prescribed alternative long-term control medications (inhaled steroid combinations, asthma biologic agents, leukotriene modifiers) Prescribed: May include prescription given to the patient for inhaled corticosteroid OR an acceptable alternative long-term control medication at one or more visits in the 12-month period OR patient already taking inhaled corticosteroid OR an acceptable alternative long-term control medication as documented in current medication list. Table 1: Preferred Asthma Control Medication - Inhaled Corticosteroids beclomethasone budesonide ciclesonide flunisolide fluticasone mometasone Table 2: Alternative Long-term Control Medications Inhaled steroid combinations: budesonide-formoterol; fluticasone-salmeterol; fluticasone-vilanterol; mometasone-formoterol Asthma biologic agents: mepolizumab; omalizumab Leukotriene modifiers: montelukast; zafirlukast; zileuton For Claims: Report CPT Category II code:	calculate the number of days beginning on the Index Prescription Start Date through the end of the measurement year. Step 3: Count the days covered by at least one prescription for an asthma controller medication (refer to MMA-B Asthma Controller Medications) during the treatment period. To ensure that days supply that extends beyond the measurement year is not counted, subtract any days supply that extends beyond the end of the of the measurement year (e.g., December 31). Step 4: Calculate the patient's Proportion of Days Covered using the following equation. Round (using the .5 rule) to two decimal places. (Total Days Covered by a Controller Medication in the Treatment Period (Step 3) /Total Days in Treatment Period (Step 2)) Numerator 1 (Medication Adherence 50%): Sum the number of patients whose Proportion of Days Covered is > or =50% for their treatment period. Numerator 2 (Medication Adherence 75%): Sum the number of patients whose Proportion of Days Covered is > or =75% for their treatment period MMA-B: Asthma Controller Medications: Antiasthmatic combinations: dyphylline-guaifenesin, guaifenesin-theophylline Antibody inhibitor: omalizumab Inhaled steroid combinations: budesonide-formoterol, fluticasone-salmeterol,	canister, one injection, or a 30-day or less supply of an oral medication. For example, two inhaler canisters of the same medication dispensed on the same day count as two medication units and only one dispensing event. Use the package size and units columns in the NDC list to determine the number of canisters or injections. Divide the dispensed amount by the package size to determine the number of canisters or injections dispensed. For example, if the package size for an inhaled medication is 10g and pharmacy data indicates the dispensed amount is 30 g, this indicates 3 inhaler canisters were dispensed. Step 2: For each patient, count the units of reliever medications (see AMR-A) dispensed during the measurement year. Step 3: For each patient, sum the units calculated in step 1 and step 2 to determine units of total asthma medications. Step 4: For each patient, calculate the ratio of controller medications to total asthma medications using the following formula: Units of Controller Medications (Step 1)/ Units of Total Asthma Medications (Step 3) Step 5: Sum the total number of patients who have a ratio of 0.50 or greater in step 4. AMR-A: Asthma Controller and Reliever

	0047 Asthma: Pharmacologic Therapy for Persistent Asthma	1799 Medication Management for People with Asthma	1800 Asthma Medication Ratio
	Performance Met: Inhaled corticosteroids prescribed (4140F) OR Performance Met: Alternative long-term control medication prescribed (4144F) OR Patient Performance Exclusion: Documentation of patient reason(s) for not prescribing inhaled corticosteroids or alternative long-term control medication (eg, patient declined, other patient reason) (4140F with 2P) OR Performance Not Met: Inhaled corticosteroids or alternative long-term control medication not prescribed, reason not otherwise specified (4140F with 8P)	mometasone-formoterol Inhaled corticosteroids: beclomethasone, budesonide, ciclesonide, flunisolide, fluticasone CFC free, mometasone, Leukotriene modifiers: montelukast, zafirlukast, zileuton Mast cell stabilizers: cromolyn Methylxanthines: aminophylline, dyphylline, theophylline	Medications Asthma Controller Medications: -Antiasthmatic combinations: dyphylline-guaifenesin; guaifenesin-theophylline -Antibody inhibitors: omalizumab -Inhaled steroid combinations: budesonide-formoterol; fluticasone-salmeterol; mometasone-formoterol -Inhaled corticosteroids: beclomethasone; budesonide; ciclesonide; flunisolide; fluticasone CFC free; mometasone -Leukotriene modifiers: montelukast; zafirlukast; zileuton -Mast cell stabilizers: cromolyn -Methylxanthines: aminophylline; dyphylline; theophylline. Asthma Reliever Medications: -Short-acting, inhaled beta-2 Agonists: albuterol; levalbuterol; pirbuterol.
Denominator Statement	All patients aged 5 years and older with a diagnosis of persistent asthma	All patients 5–64 years of age as of December 31 of the measurement year who have persistent asthma by meeting at least one of the following criteria during both the measurement year and the year prior to the measurement year: • At least one emergency department visit with asthma as the principal diagnosis • At least one acute inpatient claim/encounter with asthma as the principal diagnosis • At least four outpatient visits or observation visits on different dates of service, with any diagnosis of asthma AND at least two asthma	All patients 5–64 years of age as of December 31 of the measurement year who have persistent asthma by meeting at least one of the following criteria during both the measurement year and the year prior to the measurement year: • At least one emergency department visit with asthma as the principal diagnosis • At least one acute inpatient claim/encounter with asthma as the principal diagnosis • At least four outpatient visits or observation visits on different dates of

	0047 Asthma: Pharmacologic Therapy for Persistent Asthma	1799 Medication Management for People with Asthma	1800 Asthma Medication Ratio
Denominator Details	All patients aged 5 years and older with a	medication dispensing events. Visit type need not be the same for the four visits. • At least four asthma medication dispensing events The eligible population for the denominator is	service, with any diagnosis of asthma AND at least two asthma medication dispensing events. Visit type need not be the same for the four visits. • At least four asthma medication dispensing events The eligible population for the
Denominator Details	diagnosis of persistent asthma Denominator Instructions: Documentation of persistent asthma must be present. One method of identifying persistent asthma is, at a minimum, more than twice a week but not daily use of short-acting bronchodilators for mild-persistent asthma, daily use for moderate persistent asthma; and several times a day for severe persistent asthma. Denominator Criteria (Eligible Cases): Patients aged = 5 years on date of encounter AND Diagnosis for asthma (ICD-10-CM): J45.30, J45.31, J45.32, J45.40, J45.41, J45.42, J45.50, J45.51, J45.52, J45.901, J45.902, J45.909, J45.990, J45.991, J45.998 AND Patient encounter during the reporting period (CPT): 99201, 99202, 99203, 99204, 99205, 99212, 99213, 99214, 99215, 99341, 99342, 99343, 99344, 99345, 99347, 99348, 99349, 99350 AND Persistent Asthma (mild, moderate or severe): 1038F	defined by following the series of steps below: Step 1: Identify patients as having persistent asthma who met at least one of the following criteria during both the measurement year and the year prior to the measurement year. Criteria need not be the same across both years. • At least one ED visit (refer to codes in ED Value Set) with asthma as the principal diagnosis (refer to codes in Asthma Value Set). • At least one acute inpatient claim/encounter (refer to codes in Acute Inpatient Value Set) with asthma as the principal diagnosis (refer to codes in Asthma Value Set). • At least four outpatient visits (refer to codes in Outpatient Value Set) or observation visits (refer to codes in Observation Value Set) on different dates of service, with any diagnosis of asthma (refer to codes in Asthma Value Set) AND at least two asthma medication dispensing events (see MMA-A). Visit type need not be the same for the four visits. • At least four asthma medication dispensing events (see MMA-A) Step 2: A patient identified as having persistent asthma because of at least four	denominator is defined by following the series of steps below: Step 1: Identify patients as having persistent asthma who met at least one of the following criteria during both the measurement year and the year prior to the measurement year. Criteria need not be the same across both years. • At least one ED visit (refer to codes in ED Value Set) with asthma as the principal diagnosis (refer to codes in Asthma Value Set). • At least one acute inpatient claim/encounter (refer to codes in Acute Inpatient Value Set) with asthma as the principal diagnosis (refer to codes in Asthma Value Set). • At least four outpatient visits (refer to codes in Observation visits (refer to codes in Observation Value Set) on different dates of service, with any diagnosis of asthma (refer to codes in Asthma Value Set) AND at least two asthma medication dispensing events (see MMA-A). Visit type need not be the same for the four visits.

0047 Asthma: Pharmacologic Therapy for Persistent Asthma	1799 Medication Management for People with Asthma	1800 Asthma Medication Ratio
**Note: If ICD-10 CM codes J45.30-J45.52 are used to identify the denominator, CPT II code for 1038F is not required; these ICD-10 CM codes capture "persistent asthma".	asthma medication dispensing events, where leukotriene modifiers or antibody inhibitors were the sole asthma medication dispensed in that year, must also have at least one diagnosis of asthma (refer to codes in Asthma Value Set), in any setting, in the same year as the leukotriene modifier or antibody inhibitor (i.e., measurement year or year prior to the measurement year). See attached value set Excel document for the following value sets: - ED Value Set - Asthma Value Set - Outpatient Value Set - Outpatient Value Set - Observation Value Set MMA-A: Asthma Medications Antiasthmatic combinations: dyphylline-guaifenesin; guaifenesin-theophylline Antibody inhibitor: omalizumab Inhaled steroid combinations: budesonide-formoterol; fluticasone-salmeterol; Mometasone-formoterol Inhaled corticosteroids: beclomethasone; budesonide; ciclesonide; flunisolide; fluticasone CFC free; mometasone Leukotriene modifiers: montelukast; zafirlukast; zileuton Mast cell stabilizers: cromolyn Methylxanthines: aminophylline; dyphylline; theophylline Short-acting, inhaled beta-2 Agonists:	dispensing events (see MMA-A) Step 2: A patient identified as having persistent asthma because of at least four asthma medication dispensing events, where leukotriene modifiers or antibody inhibitors were the sole asthma medication dispensed in that year, must also have at least one diagnosis of asthma (refer to codes in Asthma Value Set), in any setting, in the same year as the leukotriene modifier or antibody inhibitor (i.e., measurement year or year prior to the measurement year). See attached value set Excel document for the following value sets: - ED Value Set - Asthma Value Set - Outpatient Value Set - Outpatient Value Set - Observation Value Set MMA-A: Asthma Medications Antiasthmatic combinations: dyphylline-guaifenesin; guaifenesin-theophylline Antibody inhibitor: omalizumab Inhaled steroid combinations: budesonide-formoterol; fluticasone-salmeterol; Mometasone-formoterol Inhaled corticosteroids: beclomethasone; budesonide; ciclesonide; flunisolide; fluticasone CFC free; mometasone Leukotriene modifiers: montelukast; zafirlukast; zileuton

	0047 Asthma: Pharmacologic Therapy for Persistent Asthma	1799 Medication Management for People with Asthma	1800 Asthma Medication Ratio
		albuterol; levalbuterol; metaproterenol;	Mast cell stabilizers: cromolyn
		pirbuterol	Methylxanthines: aminophylline; dyphylline; theophylline
			Short-acting, inhaled beta-2 Agonists: albuterol; levalbuterol; metaproterenol; pirbuterol
Exclusions	Denominator Exceptions:	1) Exclude patients who had any of the	Exclude patients who had any of the
	Documentation of patient reason(s) for not prescribing inhaled corticosteroids or alternative long-term control medication (eg, patient declined, other patient reason)	following diagnoses any time during the patient's history through the end of the measurement year (e.g., December 31): -COPD	following diagnoses any time during the patient's history through the end of the measurement year (e.g., December 31): -COPD
	The AAAAI follows PCPI exception	-Emphysema	-Emphysema
	methodology and PCPI distinguishes between	-Obstructive Chronic Bronchitis	-Obstructive Chronic Bronchitis
	measure exceptions and measure exclusions. Exclusions arise when patients who are	-Chronic Respiratory Conditions Due To Fumes/Vapors	-Chronic Respiratory Conditions Due To Fumes/Vapors
	included in the initial patient or eligible population for a measure do not meet the	-Cystic Fibrosis	-Cystic Fibrosis
	denominator criteria specific to the	-Acute Respiratory Failure	-Acute Respiratory Failure
	intervention required by the numerator. Exclusions are absolute and apply to all patients and therefore are not part of clinical judgment within a measure.	Exclude any patients who had no asthma controller medications dispensed during the measurement year.	Exclude any patients who had no asthma medications (controller or reliever) dispensed during the measurement year.
	For this measure, exceptions may include patient reason(s) (eg, patient declined). Although this methodology does not require		
	the external reporting of more detailed		
	exception data, the AAAAI recommends that physicians document the specific reasons for		
	exception in patients' medical records for		
	purposes of optimal patient management		
	and audit-readiness. In further accordance		
	with PCPI exception methodology, the AAAAI		
	advocates the systematic review and analysis		
	of each physician's exceptions data to		

	0047 Asthma: Pharmacologic Therapy for Persistent Asthma	1799 Medication Management for People with Asthma	1800 Asthma Medication Ratio
	identify practice patterns and opportunities for quality improvement.		
Exclusion Details		1) Exclude patients who had any diagnosis of Emphysema (refer to codes in Emphysema Value Set or Other Emphysema Value Set), COPD (refer to codes in COPD Value Set), Chronic Bronchitis (refer to codes in Obstructive Chronic Bronchitis Value Set), Chronic Respiratory Conditions Due To Fumes/Vapors (refer to codes in Chronic Respiratory Conditions Due to Fumes/Vapors Value Set), Cystic Fibrosis (refer to codes in Cystic Fibrosis Value Set) or Acute Respiratory Failure (refer to codes in Acute Respiratory Failure Value Set) any time during the patient's history through the end of the measurement year (e.g., December 31). 2) Exclude any patients who had no asthma controller medications (see MMA-B) dispensed during the measurement year. See attached value set Excel document for the following value sets: - Emphysema Value Set - Other Emphysema Value Set - Other Emphysema Value Set - Obstructive Chronic Bronchitis Value Set - Chronic Respiratory Conditions Due to Fumes/Vapors Value Set - Cystic Fibrosis Value Set	1) Exclude patients who had any diagnosis of Emphysema (refer to codes in Emphysema Value Set or Other Emphysema Value Set), COPD (refer to codes in COPD Value Set), Chronic Bronchitis (refer to codes in Obstructive Chronic Bronchitis Value Set), Chronic Respiratory Conditions Due To Fumes/Vapors (refer to codes in Chronic Respiratory Conditions Due to Fumes/Vapors Value Set), Cystic Fibrosis (refer to codes in Cystic Fibrosis Value Set) or Acute Respiratory Failure (refer to codes in Acute Respiratory Failure Value Set) any time during the patient's history through the end of the measurement year (e.g., December 31). 2) Exclude any patients who had no asthma medications (controller or reliever) (see AMR-A) dispensed during the measurement year. See attached value set Excel document for the following value sets: - Emphysema Value Set - Other Emphysema Value Set - Other Emphysema Value Set
		- Acute Respiratory Failure Value Set MMA-B: Asthma Controller Medications: Antiasthmatic combinations: dyphylline-	 Chronic Respiratory Conditions Due to Fumes/Vapors Value Set Cystic Fibrosis Value Set Acute Respiratory Failure Value Set

	0047 Asthma: Pharmacologic Therapy for Persistent Asthma	1799 Medication Management for People with Asthma	1800 Asthma Medication Ratio
		guaifenesin, guaifenesin-theophylline Antibody inhibitor: omalizumab	AMR-A: Asthma Controller and Reliever Medications:
		Inhaled steroid combinations: budesonide- formoterol, fluticasone-salmeterol, mometasone-formoterol Inhaled corticosteroids: beclomethasone, budesonide, ciclesonide, flunisolide, fluticasone CFC free, mometasone	Asthma Controller Medications: Antiasthmatic combinations: dyphylline-guaifenesin; guaifenesin-theophylline Antibody inhibitors: omalizumab Inhaled steroid combinations: budesonide-formoterol; fluticasone-
		fluticasone CFC free, mometasone Leukotriene modifiers: montelukast, zafirlukast, zileuton Mast cell stabilizers: cromolyn Methylxanthines: aminophylline, dyphylline, theophylline	salmeterol; mometasone-formoterol Inhaled corticosteroids: beclomethasone; budesonide; ciclesonide; flunisolide; fluticasone CFC free; mometasone; Leukotriene modifiers: montelukast; zafirlukast; zileuton Mast cell stabilizers: cromolyn Methylxanthines: aminophylline; dyphylline; theophylline. Asthma Reliever Medications: Short-acting, inhaled beta-2 Agonists: albuterol; levalbuterol; pirbuterol.
Risk Adjustment	No risk adjustment or risk stratification	No risk adjustment or risk stratification N/A	No risk adjustment or risk stratification N/A
Stratification		Four age stratifications and a total rate are reported for this measure. Age for each stratum is based on the patient's age as of the end of the Measurement Year (e.g., December 31).	Four age stratifications and a total rate are reported for this measure. Age for each stratum is based on the patient's age as of the end of the Measurement Year (e.g., December 31).
		1) 5–11 years 2) 12–18 years	1) 5–11 years 2) 12–18 years
		3) 19-50 years 4) 51-64 years	3) 19-50 years 4) 51-64 years

	0047 Asthma: Pharmacologic Therapy for Persistent Asthma	1799 Medication Management for People with Asthma	1800 Asthma Medication Ratio
		5) Total (5-	5) Total (5-
Type Score	Rate/proportion better quality = higher score	Rate/proportion better quality = higher score	Rate/proportion better quality = higher score
Algorithm	To calculate performance rates: 1) Find the patients who meet the initial patient population (ie, the general group of patients that the performance measure is designed to address).	Refer to items S.6 (Numerator details), S.9 (Denominator details), S.11 (Denominator exclusions details) and S.2b (Data Dictionary) for tables. This measure determines the number of days	Refer to items S.6 (Numerator details), S.9 (Denominator details), S.11 (Denominator exclusions details) and S.2b (Data Dictionary) for tables. This measure determines the percentage
	2) From the patients within the initial patient population criteria, find the patients who qualify for the denominator (ie, the specific group of patients for inclusion in a specific performance measure based on defined criteria). Note: in some cases the initial patient population and denominator are	covered with a controller medication based on information available from the published NDC codes to calculate adherence to asthma medications. The measure calculation is detailed in the steps listed below: Step 1: Determine the eligible population: Identify patients 5–64 years of age as of	of patients with persistent asthma who had a ratio of controller medications to total asthma medications of 0.50 or greater based on information available from the published NDC codes. The measure calculation is detailed in the steps listed below:
	identical. 3) From the patients within the denominator, find the patients who qualify for the numerator (ie, the group of patients in the denominator for whom a process or outcome of care occurs). Validate that the number of patients in the numerator is less than or equal to the number of patients in the	December 31 of the measurement year as having persistent asthma who met at least one of the following criteria during both the measurement year and the year prior to the measurement year. Criteria need not be the same across both year: a) At least one ED visit with asthma as the principal diagnosis; or	Step 1: Determine the eligible population: Identify patients 5–64 years of age as of December 31 of the measurement year as having persistent asthma who met at least one of the following criteria during both the measurement year and the year prior to the measurement year. Criteria need not be the same across both year:
	denominator. 4) From the patients who did not meet the numerator criteria, determine if the physician has documented that the patient meets any criteria for denominator exception when exceptions have been specified. If the patient meets any exception criteria, they should be removed from the denominator for performance calculation. –Although exception cases are removed from the denominator population for the performance	b) At least one acute inpatient claim/encounter with asthma as the principal diagnosis; or c) At least four outpatient visits or observation visits on different dates of service, with any diagnosis of asthma AND at least two asthma medication dispensing events. Visit type need not be the same for the four visits; or d) At least four asthma medication dispensing events*	a) At least one ED visit with asthma as the principal diagnosis; or b) At least one acute inpatient claim/encounter with asthma as the principal diagnosis; or c) At least four outpatient visits or observation visits on different dates of service, with any diagnosis of asthma AND at least two asthma medication dispensing events. Visit type need not be

0047 Asthma: Pharmacologic Therapy for Persistent Asthma	1799 Medication Management for People with Asthma	1800 Asthma Medication Ratio
calculation, the number of patients with valid exceptions should be calculated and reported along with performance rates to track variations in care and highlight possible areas of focus for QI. If the patient does not meet the numerator and a valid exception is not present, this case represents a quality failure. No diagram provided	*A patient identified as having persistent asthma because of at least four asthma medication dispensing events where leukotriene modifiers or antibody inhibitors were the sole asthma medication dispensed in that year, must also have at least one diagnosis of asthma, in any setting, in the same year as the leukotriene modifier or antibody inhibitor (i.e., measurement year or year prior to the measurement year). Step 2: Determine denominator exclusions: a) Exclude patients who had any diagnosis of Emphysema, COPD, Chronic Bronchitis, Chronic Respiratory Conditions Due to Fumes/Vapors, Cystic Fibrosis or Acute Respiratory Failure any time during the patient's history through the end of the measurement year b) Exclude patients who had no asthma controller medications dispensed during the measurement year. Step 3: Determine numerator: a) Identify the Index Prescription Start Date. The Index Prescription Start Date is the earliest dispensing event for any asthma controller medication during the measurement year. b) To determine the treatment period, calculate the number of days beginning on the Index Prescription Start Date through the end of the measurement year. c) Count the days covered by at least one prescription for an asthma controller medication during the treatment period. To	the same for the four visits; or d) At least four asthma medication dispensing events* *A patient identified as having persistent asthma because of at least four asthma medication dispensing events where leukotriene modifiers or antibody inhibitors were the sole asthma medication dispensed in that year, must also have at least one diagnosis of asthma, in any setting, in the same year as the leukotriene modifier or antibody inhibitor (i.e., measurement year or year prior to the measurement year). Step 2: Determine denominator exclusions: a) Exclude patients who had any diagnosis of Emphysema, COPD, Chronic Bronchitis, Chronic Respiratory Conditions Due to Fumes/Vapors, Cystic Fibrosis or Acute Respiratory Failure any time during the patient's history through the end of the measurement year b) Exclude patients who had no asthma medications (controller or reliever) dispensed during the measurement year. Step 3: Determine numerator: a) For each patient, count the units of controller medications (see AMR-A) dispensed during the measurement year. When identifying medication units for the numerator, count each individual medication, defined as an amount lasting 30 days or less, as one medication unit.

0047 Asthma: Pharmacologic Therapy for Persistent Asthma	1799 Medication Management for People with Asthma	1800 Asthma Medication Ratio
	ensure that days supply that extends beyond the measurement year is not counted, subtract any days supply that extends beyond the end of the of the measurement year (e.g., December 31). d) Calculate the patient's Proportion of Days Covered using the following equation. Round (using the .5 rule) to two decimal places: (Total Days Covered by a Controller Medication in the Treatment Period/Total Days in Treatment Period) e) Calculate Numerator 1: Sum the number of patients whose Proportion of Days Covered is > or =50% for their treatment period. f) Calculate Numerator 2: Sum the number of patients whose Proportion of Days Covered is > or =75% for their treatment period Step 4: Calculate two rates: a) Number of patients whose PDC is > or =50% for their treatment period/Denominator b) Number of patients whose PDC is > or =75% for their treatment period/Denominator No diagram provided	One medication unit equals one inhaler canister, one injection, or a 30-day or less supply of an oral medication. For example, two inhaler canisters of the same medication dispensed on the same day count as two medication units and only one dispensing event. Use the package size and units columns in the NDC list to determine the number of canisters or injections. Divide the dispensed amount by the package size to determine the number of canisters or injections dispensed. For example, if the package size for an inhaled medication is 10g and pharmacy data indicates the dispensed amount is 30 g, this indicates 3 inhaler canisters were dispensed. b) For each patient, count the units of reliever medications (see AMR-A) dispensed during the measurement year. c) For each patient, sum the units calculated in step a and step b to determine units of total asthma medications. d) For each patient, calculate the ratio of controller medications to total asthma medications using the following formula: Units of Controller Medications (Step a)/ Units of Total Asthma Medications (Step c) e) Sum the total number of patients who have a ratio of 0.50 or greater in step d. Step 4: Calculate the measure rate: the number of patients have a ratio of 0.50 or

	0047 Asthma: Pharmacologic Therapy for Persistent Asthma	1799 Medication Management for People with Asthma	1800 Asthma Medication Ratio
			greater/Denominator No diagram provided
Submission items	5.1 Identified measures: 1799: Medication Management for People with Asthma 1800: Asthma Medication Ratio 5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized? No 5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact: Measures 0047 is similar to NQF measure 1800 (Asthma Medication Ratio) and measure 1799 (Medication Management for People with Asthma) in regards to the denominator population of patients with persistent asthma. However, the denominators differ with respect to the method by which patients with persistent asthma are identified. For measures 1800 and 1799, persistent asthma is defined from administrative data, while for measure 0047, persistent asthma is defined based on clinical information. Additionally, the denominator for measure 0047 been updated to include asthma patients aged 65 and older, an important population that is not reached by measures 1800 and 1799. The numerator for measure 0047 is similar to the numerator in measure 1799, except that inhaled corticosteroids and alternative controllers are reported separately as well as together. The separate reporting rates required by measure 0047 for inhaled corticosteroids and for alternative long-term control medications will be useful for clinicians to assess and manage the use of the preferred vs. alternative long-term control	5.1 Identified measures: 0047: Asthma: Pharmacologic Therapy for Persistent Asthma 0548: Suboptimal Asthma Control (SAC) and Absence of Controller Therapy (ACT) 5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized? No 5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact: 0047 is a physician-level measure that assesses whether a patient was prescribed medication at least once during the measurement year, while our measure assesses patient adherence to asthma controller medications throughout the measurement year. 0548 is a health plan-level measure that assesses two rates of poor asthma control that indicate over-utilization of rescue medication and need for additional therapeutic intervention; meanwhile our measure assesses patient adherence to asthma controller medications during the measurement year. There is no impact on interpretability or added burden of data collection because the focus of each measure is different and the data for each measure is different and the data for each measure is collected from different data sources by different entities. 5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value:	5.1 Identified measures: 0047: Asthma: Pharmacologic Therapy for Persistent Asthma 0548: Suboptimal Asthma Control (SAC) and Absence of Controller Therapy (ACT) 5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized? No 5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact: 0047 assesses whether a patient was prescribed controller medication at least once during the measurement year, while 1800 assesses the ratio of controller medications to controller plus reliever medications. There is no impact on interpretability or added burden of data collection because the focus of each measure is different. Also, both measures use value sets to identify asthma controller medications that do not conflict. 0548 is a health plan-level measure that assesses overutilization of rescue medication and need for additional therapeutic intervention. However, 0548 assesses it over a shorter time period (a 90-day period) compared to 1800 (over a measurement year). Also, 1800 assesses a ratio of controller to reliever medications in order to take into account the patients who have severe asthma and may need higher amounts of reliever medication, but still have their asthma under control

0047 Asthma: Pharmacologic Therapy for Persistent Asthma	1799 Medication Management for People with Asthma	1800 Asthma Medication Ratio
medications for their patients. The numerator of measure 0047 has also been updated to include current and appropriate alternative long-term control medications. While the inhaled corticosteroids in measure 0047 and 1799 are well harmonized, the alternative long-term controllers differ. Measure 1799 includes nedocromil, methylxanthines and cromolyn, all medications that were reviewed by the AAAAI's measure stewardship committee and removed. 5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value:		due to taking daily controller medications. 5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value:

Comparison of NQF #0728 and NQF#0283

	0728 Asthma Admission Rate (PDI 14)	0283 Asthma in Younger Adults Admission Rate (PQI 15)
Steward	Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality	Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality
Description	Admissions with a principal diagnosis of asthma per 100,000 population, ages 2 through 17 years. Excludes cases with a diagnosis code for cystic fibrosis and anomalies of the respiratory system, obstetric admissions, and transfers from other institutions.	Admissions for a principal diagnosis of asthma per 1,000 population, ages 18 to 39 years. Excludes admissions with an indication of cystic fibrosis or anomalies of the respiratory system, obstetric admissions, and transfers from other institutions.
Туре	Outcome	Outcome
Data Source	Administrative claims All analyses were completed using data from the Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project (HCUP) State Inpatient Databases (SID), 2007-2011.HCUP is a family of health care databases and related software tools and products developed through a Federal-State-Industry partnership and sponsored by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ). HCUP databases bring together the data collection efforts of State data organizations, hospital associations, private data organizations, and the Federal government to create a national information resource of encounterlevel health care data. The HCUP SID contain the universe of the inpatient discharge abstracts in participating States, translated into a uniform format to facilitate multi-State comparisons and analyses. Together, the SID encompass about 97 percent of all U.S. community hospital discharges (in 2011, 46 states participated for a total of more than 38.5 million hospital discharges with approximately 5 million pediatric (including births) hospital discharges). As defined by the American Hospital Association, community hospitals are all non-Federal, short-term, general or other specialty hospitals, excluding hospital units of institutions. Veterans hospitals and other Federal facilities are excluded. General and speciality children's hospitals are included in the hospital universe. Taken from the Uniform Bill-04 (UB-04), the SID data elements include ICD-9-CM coded principal and secondary diagnoses and procedures, additional detailed clinical and service information based on revenue codes, admission and discharge status, patient demographics, expected payment source (Medicare, Medicaid, private insurance as well as the uninsured), total charges and length of stay (www.hcup-us.ahrq.gov)	Administrative claims. While the measure is tested and specified using data from the Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project (HCUP) (see section 1.1 and 1.2 of the measure testing form), the measure specifications and software are specified to be used with any ICD-9-CM or ICD-10-CM/PCS coded administrative billing/claims/discharge dataset. Available at measure-specific web page URL identified in S.1

	0728 Asthma Admission Rate (PDI 14)	0283 Asthma in Younger Adults Admission Rate (PQI 15)
	HCUP State Inpatient Databases (SID). Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project (HCUP). 2007-2011. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Rockville, MD. www.ahrq.gov/sidoverview.jsp (AHRQ QI Software Version 4.5, www.qualityindicators.ahrq.gov) Available at measure-specific web page URL identified in S.1	
	Attachment Asthma_Admission_RatePediatric_Quality_Indicators_PDI_14-635296211157546484.xlsx	
Level	Population: County or City, Population: National, Population: Regional, Population: State	Population: County or City
Setting	Hospital/Acute Care Facility	All community based care
Time Window	Time window can be determined by user, but is generally 1 year.	Users may specify a time period; but the time period is generally one year. Note that the reference population rates and signal variance parameters assume a one-year time period.
Numerator Statement	Discharges, for patients ages 2 through 17 years, with a principal ICD-9-CM diagnosis code for asthma.	Discharges, for patients ages 18 through 39 years, with a principal ICD-9-CM or ICD-10-CM/PCS diagnosis code for asthma.
		[NOTE: By definition, discharges with a principal diagnosis of asthma are precluded from an assignment of MDC 14 by grouper software. Thus, obstetric discharges should not be considered in the PQI rate, though the AHRQ QI software does not explicitly exclude obstetric cases.]"
Numerator Details	ICD-9-CM Asthma diagnosis codes: 49300 EXTRINSIC ASTHMA NOS	Please see attached excel file in S.2b. for Version 6.0 specifications.
	49301 EXT ASTHMA W STATUS ASTH	Prevention Quality Indicators technical specifications and appendices also available online at
	49302 EXT ASTHMA W(ACUTE) EXAC	http://www.qualityindicators.ahrq.gov/Modules/PQI_TechSpec.asp
	49310 INTRINSIC ASTHMA NOS	x). Note: The URL link currently provides Version 5.0 specifications.
	49311 INT ASTHMA W STATUS ASTH	Version 6.0 specifications will be released publicly March 2016.
	49312 INT ASTHMA W (AC) EXAC	
	49320 CHRONIC OBST ASTHMA NOS	
	49321 CH OB ASTHMA W STAT ASTH	
	49322 CH OBST ASTH W (AC) EXAC	

0728 As	sthma Admission Rate (PDI 14)	0283 Asthma in Younger Adults Admission Rate (PQI 15)
49381	EXERCSE IND BRONCHOSPASM	
49382	COUGH VARIANT ASTHMA	
49390	ASTHMA NOS	
49391	ASTHMA W STATUS ASTHMAT	
49392	ASTHMA NOS W (AC) EXAC	
Exclude	e cases:	
• and and	with any-listed ICD-9-CM diagnosis codes for cystic fibrosis omalies of the respiratory system	
•	transfer from a hospital (different facility)	
• Care Fa	transfer from a Skilled Nursing Facility (SNF) or Intermediate acility (ICF)	
•	transfer from another health care facility	
•	MDC 14 (pregnancy, childbirth, and puerperium)	
	with missing gender (SEX=missing), age (AGE=missing), r (DQTR=missing), year (YEAR=missing), principal diagnosis nissing), or county (PSTCO=missing)	
1	CM Cystic fibrosis and anomalies of the respiratory system	
-	sis codes:	
	CYSTIC FIBROS W/O ILEUS	
	CYSTIC FIBROSIS W ILEUS	
	CYSTIC FIBROS W PUL MAN	
	CYSTIC FIBROSIS W GI MAN	
27709	CYSTIC FIBROSIS NEC	
51661	NEUROEND CELL HYPRPL INF	
51662	PULM INTERSTITL GLYCOGEN	
51663	SURFACTANT MUTATION LUNG	
51664	ALV CAP DYSP W VN MISALN	
51669		
74721	ANOMALIES OF AORTIC ARCH	
7483	LARYNGOTRACH ANOMALY NEC	

	0728 Asthma Admission Rate (PDI 14)	0283 Asthma in Younger Adults Admission Rate (PQI 15)
	7484 CONGENITAL CYSTIC LUNG	
	7485 AGENESIS OF LUNG	
	74860 LUNG ANOMALY NOS	
	74861 CONGEN BRONCHIECTASIS	
	74869 LUNG ANOMALY NEC	
	7488 RESPIRATORY ANOMALY NEC	
	7489 RESPIRATORY ANOMALY NOS	
	7503 CONG ESOPH FISTULA/ATRES	
	7593 SITUS INVERSUS	
	7707 PERINATAL CHR RESP DIS	
	See Pediatric Quality Indicators Appendices: Appendix J – Admission Codes for Transfers.	
	See Pediatric Quality Indicators technical specifications and appendices for additional details (available at http://www.qualityindicators.ahrq.gov/Modules/PDI_TechSpec.aspx) and in the supporting information.	
Denominator Statement	Population ages 2 through 17 years in metropolitan area or county. Discharges in the numerator are assigned to the denominator based on the metropolitan area or county of the patient residence, not the metropolitan area or county of the hospital where the discharge occurred.	Population ages 18 through 39 years in metropolitan area or county. Discharges in the numerator are assigned to the denominator based on the metropolitan area or county of the patient residence, not the metropolitan area or county of the hospital where the discharge occurred.
Denominator Details	The term "metropolitan area" (MA) was adopted by the U.S. Census in 1990 and referred collectively to metropolitan statistical areas (MSAs), consolidated metropolitan statistical areas (CMSAs), and primary metropolitan statistical areas (PMSAs). In addition, "area" could refer to either 1) FIPS county, 2) modified FIPS county, 3) 1999 OMB Metropolitan Statistical Area, or 4) 2003 OMB Metropolitan Statistical Area. Micropolitan Statistical Areas are not used in the QI software.	The term "metropolitan area" (MA) was adopted by the U.S. Census in 1990 and referred collectively to metropolitan statistical areas (MSAs), consolidated metropolitan statistical areas (CMSAs) and primary metropolitan statistical areas (PMSAs). In addition, "area" could refer to either 1) FIPS county, 2) modified FIPS county, 3) 1999 OMB Metropolitan Statistical Area or 4) 2003 OMB Metropolitan Statistical Area. Micropolitan Statistical Areas are not used in the QI software.
	See AHRQ QI website or supplemental information for 2013 Population File Denominator report for calculation of population	See AHRQ QI website for 2014 Population File Denominator report for calculation of population estimates embedded within AHRQ QI

	0728 Asthma Admission Rate (PDI 14)	0283 Asthma in Younger Adults Admission Rate (PQI 15)
	estimates embedded within AHRQ QI software programs. http://www.qualityindicators.ahrq.gov/Downloads/Software/SAS/V4 5/AHRQ%20QI%20Population%20File%20V4.5.pdf	software programs. http://www.qualityindicators.ahrq.gov/Downloads/Software/SAS/V 50/AHRQ_QI_Population_File_V50.pdf
	NOTE: The denominator can be specified with the asthmatic population only. Payers have also specified annual disease-specific population denominators based on all-claims data for beneficiaries, restricting the denominator to those beneficiaries who have an indication of asthma in a previous outpatient or inpatient visit. Annual asthma-specific population denominators would need to be weighted by months of beneficiary enrollment. Reliability testing currently underway for application of the measure to other populations, such as patients in physician practices.	
Exclusions	Not applicable	Not applicable
Exclusion Details	Not applicable	Not applicable
Risk Adjustment	Statistical risk model	Statistical risk model
	The predicted value for each case is computed using a hierarchical model (logistic regression with area random effect) and covariates for gender and age (in age groups). The reference population used in the regression is the universe of discharges for states that participate in the HCUP State Inpatient Data (SID) for the year 2010 (combined), a database consisting of 44 states and approximately 5 million pediatric discharges (, and the U.S. Census data by county. The expected rate is computed as the sum of the predicted value for each case divided by the number of cases for the unit of analysis of interest (i.e., area). The risk adjusted rate is computed using indirect standardization as the observed rate divided by the expected rate, multiplied by the reference population rate. Additional information on methodology can be found in the Empirical Methods document on the AHRQ Quality Indicator website (www.qualityindicators.ahrq.gov) and in the supplemental information. The specific covariates for this measure are as follow:age and sex:	"The predicted value for each case is computed using a hierarchical model (logistic regression with hospital random effect) and covariates for gender, age (in 5-year age groups). An option model is available that includes percent of households under the federal poverty level as well. Because we cannot individually observe the age and gender of each person in a counties population, we use the age and gender distribution of the county to estimate the number of "cases" in each age*gender group. The reference population used in the regression is the universe of discharges for states that participate in the HCUP State Inpatient Data (SID) for the year 2013 (combined), a database consisting of 40 states and the U.S. Census data by county. The expected rate is computed as the sum of the predicted value for each case divided by the number of cases for the unit of analysis of interest (i.e., area). The risk adjusted rate is computed using indirect standardization as the observed rate divided by the expected rate, multiplied by the reference population rate.
	2-4 Males 5-9 Males	Additional information on methodology can be found in the Empirical Methods document on the AHRQ Quality Indicator website (www.qualityindicators.ahrq.gov) and in the attached

	0728 Asthma Admission Rate (PDI 14)	0283 Asthma in Younger Adults Admission Rate (PQI 15)
1	LO-14 Males	supplemental information.
1	L5-17 Males	The specific covariates for this measure are as follows:
2	2-4 Females	PARAMETER LABEL
5	5-9 Females	SEX Female
1	10-14 Females	AGE Male, Age 18-24
1	L5-17 Females	AGE Male, Age 25-29
	The risk adjustment coefficient table can be found in the	AGE Male, Age 30-34
	supplemental materials and at the following link:	AGE Male, Age 35-39
	http://www.qualityindicators.ahrq.gov/Downloads/Modules/PDI/V45	AGE Female, Age 18-24
	/Parameter_Estimates_PDI_45.pdf	AGE Female, Age 25-29
	Available in attached Excel or csv file at S.2b	AGE Female, Age 30-34
		AGE Female, Age 35-39
		POVCAT Poverty Decile 2
		POVCAT Poverty Decile 3
		POVCAT Poverty Decile 4
		POVCAT Poverty Decile 5
		POVCAT Poverty Decile 6
		POVCAT Poverty Decile 7
		POVCAT Poverty Decile 8
		POVCAT Poverty Decile 9
		POVCAT Poverty Decile 10 (Highest percent poverty)1
		1Deciles are based on the percentage of households under the federal poverty level (FPL).
		Source: http://qualityindicators.ahrq.gov/Modules/pqi_resources.aspx
		Parameter estimates with and without SES covariates (POVCAT) are included with the Technical Specifications.
		Please note Version 6.0 will be released publicly March 2016."
		Available in attached Excel or csv file at S.2b

	0728 Asthma Admission Rate (PDI 14)	0283 Asthma in Younger Adults Admission Rate (PQI 15)
Stratification	Not applicable	Not applicable
Type Score	Rate/proportion better quality = lower score	Rate/proportion better quality = lower score
Algorithm	The observed rate is the number of discharges flagged with the outcome of interest divided by the number of persons in the population at risk. The predicted rate is estimated for each person based on a logistic regression model. The expected rate is the average predicted rate for the unit of interest (i.e. the county of residence). The risk-adjusted rate is calculated using the indirect method as observed rate divided by expected rate multiplied by the reference population rate. The performance score is a weighted average of the risk-adjusted rate and the reference population rate, where the weight is the signal-to-noise ratio.	The observed rate of each PQI is simply the number of individuals living in a county admitted to the hospital for the condition of interest divided by the census population estimate for the area (for PQI 15 ages 18-39). The expected rate is a comparative rate that incorporates information about a reference population that is not part of the user's input dataset – what rate would be observed if the expected performance observed in the reference population and estimated with risk adjustment regression models, were applied to the mix of patients with demographic distributions observed in the user's dataset? The expected rate is calculated only for risk-adjusted indicators.
	Quality Indicator Empirical Methods. Information is also available on the AHRQ Quality Indicator website: www.qualityindicators.ahrq.gov No diagram provided	The expected rate is estimated for each county using logistic regression.
	No diagram provided	The risk-adjusted rate is a comparative rate that also incorporates information about a reference population that is not part of the input dataset — what rate would be observed if the performance observed in the user's dataset were applied to a mix of patients with demographics distributed like the reference population. The risk adjusted rate is calculated using the indirect method as observed rate divided by expected rate multiplied by the reference population rate. The smoothed rate is the weighted average of the risk-adjusted rate from the user's input dataset and the rate observed in the reference population; the smoothed rate is calculated with a shrinkage estimator to result in a rate near that from the user's dataset if the provider's rate is estimated in a stable fashion with minimal noise, or to result in a rate near that of the reference population if the variance of the estimated rate from the input dataset is large compared with the hospital-to-hospital variance estimated from the reference population. Thus, the smoothed rate is a weighted average of the risk-adjusted rate and the reference population rate, where the weight is the signal-to-noise ratio. In practice, the smoothed rate brings rates toward the mean, and tends to do this more so for outliers (such as rural

	0728 Asthma Admission Rate (PDI 14)	0283 Asthma in Younger Adults Admission Rate (PQI 15)
		counties).
		For additional information, please see supporting information in the Quality Indicator Empirical Methods attached in the supplemental files.
Submission items	5.1 Identified measures:	5.1 Identified measures:
	5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized?	5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized?
	5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact:	5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact:
	5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value: Not applicable	5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value: Not applicable

Comparison of NQF #0577 and NQF#0091

	0577 Use of Spirometry Testing in the Assessment and Diagnosis of COPD	0091 COPD: Spirometry Evaluation
Steward	National Committee for Quality Assurance	American Thoracic Society
Description	The percentage of patients 40 years of age and older with a new diagnosis of COPD or newly active COPD, who received appropriate spirometry testing to confirm the diagnosis.	Percentage of patients aged 18 years and older with a diagnosis of COPD who had spirometry results documented
Туре	Process	Process
Data Source	Administrative claims This measure is based on administrative claims collected in the course of providing care to health plan members. NCQA collects the Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set	Administrative claims, Electronic Clinical Data: Registry Not Applicable No data dictionary
	(HEDIS) data for this measure directly from Health Management Organizations and Preferred Provider Organizations via NCQA's online data submission system. No data collection instrument provided Attachment 0577_SPR_Value_Sets.xlsx	
Level	Health Plan, Integrated Delivery System	Clinician: Group/Practice, Clinician: Team
Setting	Ambulatory Care: Clinician Office/Clinic	Ambulatory Care: Clinician Office/Clinic
Time Window	Numerator: A two and a half year period that begins 730 days (2 years) prior to the Index Episode Start Date through 180 days (6 months) after the Index Episode Start Date. Denominator: A 12 month period that begins 6 months prior to the	Once per reporting period
	beginning of the	
Numerator Statement	At least one claim/encounter for spirometry during the 730 days (2 years) prior to the Index Episode Start Date through 180 days (6 months) after the Index Episode Start Date. The Index Episode Start Date is the earliest date of service for an eligible visit (outpatient, ED or acute inpatient) during the 6 months prior to the beginning of the measurement year through 6 months after the beginning of the measurement year with any diagnosis of COPD.	Patients with documented spirometry results in the medical record (FEV1 and FEV1/FVC)
Numerator Details	Follow the steps below to identify numerator compliance.	Numerator Quality-Data Coding Options for Reporting Satisfactorily
	Identify the number of patients who had at least one claim/encounter for spirometry (Spirometry Value Set) during the 730 days (2 years)	Numerator Instructions: Look for most recent documentation of spirometry evaluation results in the medical record; do not limit the

	0577 Use of Spirometry Testing in the Assessment and Diagnosis of COPD	0091 COPD: Spirometry Evaluation
	prior to the Index Episode Start Date through 180 days (6 months)	search to the reporting period.
	after the Index Episode Start Date. The Index Episode Start Date is the	To submit the numerator option for spirometry results documented
	earliest date of service for an eligible visit (outpatient, ED or acute	and reviewed, report the following:
	inpatient) during the 6 months prior to the beginning of the measurement year through 6 months after the beginning of the measurement year with any diagnosis of COPD.	Performance Met: CPT II 3023F: Spirometry results documented and reviewed
	- For an outpatient claim/encounter, the Index Episode Start Date is	OR
	the date of service.	Spirometry Results not Documented for Medical, Patient, or System Reasons
	- For an acute inpatient claim/encounter, the Index Episode Start Date is the date of discharge.	Append a modifier (1P, 2P or 3P) to CPT Category II code 3023F to
	- For a transfer or readmission, the Index Episode Start Date is the discharge date of the original admission.	report documented circumstances that appropriately exclude patients from the denominator.
	See corresponding Excel file for value sets referenced above.	Medical Performance Exclusion: 3023F with 1P: Documentation of medical reason(s) for not documenting and reviewing spirometry results
		OR
		Patient Performance Exclusion: 3023F with 2P: Documentation of patient reason(s) for not documenting and reviewing spirometry results
		OR
		System Performance Exclusion: 3023F with 3P: Documentation of system reason(s) for not documenting and reviewing spirometry results
		OR
		Spirometry Results not Documented, Reason not Otherwise Specified
		Append a reporting modifier (8P) to CPT Category II code 3023F to report circumstances when the action described in the numerator is not performed and the reason is not otherwise specified.
		Performance Not Met: 3023F with 8P: Spirometry results not documented and reviewed, reason not otherwise specified
Denominator	All patients age 42 years or older as of December 31 of the measurement year, who had a new diagnosis of COPD or newly active	All patients aged 18 years and older with a diagnosis of COPD

	0577 Use of Spirometry Testing in the Assessment and Diagnosis of COPD	0091 COPD: Spirometry Evaluation
Statement	COPD during the 6 months prior to the beginning of the measurement year through the 6 months before the end of the measurement year.	
Denominator Details	The eligible population for the denominator is defined by following the series of steps below:	All Patients aged >= 18 years on date of encounter AND
Step 1: De who had a prior to the months be 1) An outp (Observation diagnosis and Set) or chrinclude ED 2) An acut Value Set) (Chronic Ba. Identify Set) b. Exclude Set) c. Identify If the patien visit. Step 2: Teany of the Episode Standard Set) and outp (Observation diagnosis and Set) or chrinclude ED 2) An acut 2) An acut 2) An acut 2) An acut 3.	Step 1: Determine the Index Episode Start Date. Identify all patients who had any of the following during the intake period (the 6 months prior to the beginning of the measurement year through the 6 months before the end of the measurement year): 1) An outpatient visit (Outpatient Value Set), an observation visit (Observation Value Set), or an ED visit (ED Value Set) with any diagnosis of COPD (COPD Value Set), emphysema (Emphysema Value Set) or chronic bronchitis (Chronic Bronchitis Value Set). Do not include ED visits that result in an inpatient admission. 2) An acute inpatient discharge with any diagnosis of COPD (COPD Value Set), emphysema (Emphysema Value Set) or chronic bronchitis (Chronic Bronchitis Value Set). To identify acute inpatient discharges:	Diagnosis for COPD ICD-9-CM [for use before 9/30/2014]: 491.0, 491.1, 491.20, 491.21, 491.22, 491.8, 491.9, 492.0, 492.8, 493.20, 493.21, 493.22, 496 ICD-10-CM [for use after 10/1/2014]: J41.0, J41.1, J41.8, J42, J43.0, J43.1, J43.2, J43.8, J43.9, J44.0, J44.1, J44.9 (Please see listing below for ICD-9/ICD-10 code definitions) AND Patient encounter during the reporting period (CPT): 99201, 99202,
	b. Exclude nonacute inpatient stays (Nonacute Inpatient Stay Value	99203, 99204, 99205, 99212, 99213, 99214, 99215 ICD-9/ICD-10 code definitions ICD-9-CM [for use before 9/30/2014]: 491.0 – Simple chronic bronchitis
	If the patient had more than one eligible visit, include only the first	491.1 – Mucopurulent chronic bronchitis 491.20 – Obstructive chronic bronchitis without exacerbation 491.21 – Obstructive chronic bronchitis with (acute) exacerbation 491.22 – Obstructive chronic bronchitis with acute bronchitis 491.8 – Other chronic bronchitis
	 An outpatient visit (Outpatient Value Set), an observation visit (Observation Value Set), or an ED visit (ED Value Set) with any diagnosis of COPD (COPD Value Set), emphysema (Emphysema Value Set) or chronic bronchitis (Chronic Bronchitis Value Set). Do not include ED visits that result in an inpatient admission. An acute inpatient discharge with any diagnosis of COPD (COPD Value Set), emphysema (Emphysema Value Set) or chronic bronchitis 	491.9 – Unspecified chronic bronchitis 492.0 – Emphysematous bleb 492.8 – Other emphysema 493.20 – Chronic obstructive asthma, unspecified 493.21 – Chronic obstructive asthma with status asthmaticus

	0577 Use of Spirometry Testing in the Assessment and Diagnosis of COPD	0091 COPD: Spirometry Evaluation
	(Chronic Bronchitis Value Set). To identify acute inpatient discharges: a. Identify all acute and nonacute inpatient stays (Inpatient Stay Value Set) b. Exclude nonacute inpatient stays (Nonacute Inpatient Stay Value Set) c. Identify the discharge date for the stay. For an acute inpatient Index Episode Start Date, use the Index Episode Start Date of admission to determine the 731-day period. See corresponding Excel file for value sets referenced above.	493.22 – Chronic obstructive asthma with (acute) exacerbation 496 – Chronic airway obstruction, not elsewhere classified ICD-10-CM [for use after 10/1/2014]: J41.0 – Simple chronic bronchitis J41.1 – Mucopurulent chronic bronchitis J41.8 – Mixed simple and mucopurulent chronic bronchitis J42 – Unspecified chronic bronchitis J43.0 – Unilateral pulmonary emphysema [MacLeod's syndrome] J43.1 – Panlobular emphysema J43.2 – Centrilobular emphysema J43.8 – Other emphysema J43.9 – Emphysema, unspecified J44.0 – Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease with acute lower respiratory infection J44.1 – Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease with (acute) exacerbation J44.9 – Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, unspecified
Exclusions	N/A	Documentation of medical reason(s) for not documenting and reviewing spirometry results Documentation of patient reason(s) for not documenting and reviewing spirometry results Documentation of system reason(s) for not documenting and reviewing spirometry results
Exclusion Details	N/A	ATS continues to use the PCPI exception methodology that uses three categories of exception reasons for which a patient may be removed from the denominator of an individual measure: medical, patient and system reasons. Exceptions are used to remove patients from the denominator of a performance measure when a patient does not receive a therapy or service AND that therapy or service would not be appropriate due

	0577 Use of Spirometry Testing in the Assessment and Diagnosis of COPD	0091 COPD: Spirometry Evaluation
		to specific reasons; otherwise, the patient would meet the denominator criteria. Exceptions are not absolute, and the application of exceptions is based on clinical judgment, individual patient characteristics, or patient preferences. These measure exception categories are not uniformly relevant across all measures; for each measure, there must be a clear rationale to permit an exception for a medical, patient, or system reason. Examples are provided in the measure exception language of instances that may constitute an exception and are intended to serve as a guide to clinicians. For this measure, exceptions include medical reason(s), patient reason(s) or system reason(s) for not documenting spirometry results. Although this methodology does not require the external reporting of more detailed exception data, the ATS recommends that physicians document the specific reasons for exception in patients' medical records for purposes of optimal patient management and audit-readiness. The ATS also conducts systematic review and analysis of exceptions data to identify practice patterns and opportunities for quality improvement.
		For Claims: Documentation of medical, patient, or system reason(s) for not
		documenting and reviewing spirometry results. Append a modifier (1P, 2P or 3P) to CPT Category II code 3023F to report documented circumstances that appropriately exclude patients from the denominator.
		3023F with 1P: Documentation of medical reason(s) for not documenting and reviewing spirometry results
		3023F with 2P: Documentation of patient reason(s) for not documenting and reviewing spirometry results
		3023F with 3P: Documentation of system reason(s) for not documenting and reviewing spirometry results
Risk Adjustment	No risk adjustment or risk stratification	No risk adjustment or risk stratification
	N/A	No risk adjustment or risk stratification.
Stratification	N/A	We encourage the results of this measure to be stratified by race,

	0577 Use of Spirometry Testing in the Assessment and Diagnosis of COPD	0091 COPD: Spirometry Evaluation
		ethnicity, primary language, and administrative sex.
Type Score	Rate/proportion better quality = higher score	Rate/proportion better quality = higher score
Algorithm	The measure calculation is detailed in the steps listed below:	1. Start with Denominator
	Step 1: Determine the eligible population.	2. Check Patient Age:
	A. Determine the Index Episode Start Date. Identify all patients who had any of the following during the intake period (the 6 months prior to the beginning of the measurement year through the 6 months	a. If the Age is greater than or equal to 18 years of age on Date of Service and equals No during the measurement period, do not include in Eligible Patient Population. Stop Processing.
	before the end of the measurement year): 1) An outpatient visit (Outpatient Value Set), an observation visit (Observation Value Set), or an ED visit (ED Value Set) with any diagnosis of COPD (COPD Value Set), emphysema (Emphysema Value	 b. If the Age is greater than or equal to 18 years of age on Date of Service and equals Yes during the measurement period, proceed to check Patient Diagnosis. 3. Check Patient Diagnosis:
	Set) or chronic bronchitis (Chronic Bronchitis Value Set). Do not include ED visits that result in an inpatient admission.	a. If Diagnosis of COPD as Listed in the Denominator equals No, do not include in Eligible Patient Population. Stop Processing.
	2) An acute inpatient discharge with any diagnosis of COPD (COPD Value Set), emphysema (Emphysema Value Set) or chronic bronchitis (Chronic Bronchitis Value Set). To identify acute inpatient discharges:	b. If Diagnosis of COPD as Listed in the Denominator equals Yes, proceed to check Encounter Performed.
	a. Identify all acute and nonacute inpatient stays (Inpatient Stay Value Set)	 4. Check Encounter Performed: a. If Encounter as Listed in the Denominator equals No, do not include in Eligible Patient Population. Stop Processing.
	b. Exclude nonacute inpatient stays (Nonacute Inpatient Stay Value Set)c. Identify the discharge date for the stay.	b. If Encounter as Listed in the Denominator equals Yes, include in the Eligible population.
	If the patient had more than one eligible visit, include only the first	5. Denominator Population:
	visit. B. Test for negative diagnosis history. Exclude patients who had any of the following during the 731-day period prior to the Index Episode	a. Denominator population is all Eligible Patients in the denominator. Denominator is represented as Denominator in the Sample Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter d equals 8 patients in the sample calculation.
	Start Date. 1) An outpatient visit (Outpatient Value Set), an observation visit (Observation Value Set), or an ED visit (ED Value Set) with any diagnosis of COPD (COPD Value Set), emphysema (Emphysema Value Set) or chronic bronchitis (Chronic Bronchitis Value Set). Do not include ED visits that result in an inpatient admission.	 6. Start Numerator 7. Check Spirometry Results Documented and Reviewed: a. If Spirometry Results Documented and Reviewed equals Yes, include in Reporting Met and Performance Met.
	2) An acute inpatient discharge with any diagnosis of COPD (COPD	b. Reporting Met and Performance Met letter is represented in the Reporting Rate and Performance Rate in the Sample

0577 Use of Spirometry Testing in the Assessment and Diagnosis of 0091 COPD: Spirometry Evaluation Value Set), emphysema (Emphysema Value Set) or chronic bronchitis Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter a equals 4 (Chronic Bronchitis Value Set). To identify acute inpatient discharges: patients in Sample Calculation. If Spirometry Results Documented and Reviewed equals a. Identify all acute and nonacute inpatient stays (Inpatient Stay Value Set) No, proceed to Documentation of Medical Reason(s) for Not Documenting and Reviewing Spirometry Results. b. Exclude nonacute inpatient stays (Nonacute Inpatient Stay Value Set) Check Documentation of Medical Reason(s) for Not Documenting and Reviewing Spirometry Results: c. Identify the discharge date for the stay. If Documentation of Medical Reason(s) for Not For an acute inpatient Index Episode Start Date, use the Index Episode Documenting and Reviewing Spirometry Results equals Yes, include Start Date of admission to determine the 731-day period. in Reporting Met and Performance Exclusion. Step 2: determine the numerator. Identify the number of patients Reporting Met and Performance Exclusion letter is who had at least one claim/encounter for spirometry (Spirometry represented in the Reporting Rate and Performance Rate in the Value Set) during the 730 days (2 years) prior to the Index Episode Sample Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter b1 Start Date through 180 days (6 months) after the Index Episode Start equals 1 patient in the Sample Calculation. Date. The Index Episode Start Date is the earliest date of service for an eligible visit (outpatient, ED or acute inpatient) during the 6 If Documentation of Medical Reason(s) for Not months prior to the beginning of the measurement year through 6 Documenting and Reviewing Spirometry Results equals No, proceed months after the beginning of the measurement year with any to Documentation of Patient Reason(s) for Not Documenting and diagnosis of COPD. Reviewing Spirometry Results. - For an outpatient claim/encounter, the Index Episode Start Date is 9. Check Documentation of Patient Reason(s) for Not the date of service. Documenting and Reviewing Spirometry Results: - For an acute inpatient claim/encounter, the Index Episode Start Date If Documentation of Patient Reason(s) for Not is the date of discharge. Documenting and Reviewing Spirometry Results equals Yes, include in Reporting Met and Performance Exclusion. - For a transfer or readmission, the Index Episode Start Date is the discharge date of the original admission. b. Reporting Met and Performance Exclusion letter is represented in the Reporting Rate and Performance Rate in the Step 3: calculate the rate: Numerator/Denominator. No diagram Sample Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter b2 provided equals 0 patients in the Sample Calculation. If Documentation of Patient Reason(s) for Not Documenting and Reviewing Spirometry Results equals No, proceed to Documentation of System Reason(s) for Not Documenting and Reviewing Spirometry Results. Check Documentation of System Reason(s) for Not Documenting and Reviewing Spirometry

	0577 Use of Spirometry Testing in the Assessment and Diagnosis of COPD	0091 COPD: Spirometry Evaluation
		Results: a. If Documentation of System Reason(s) for Not Documenting and Reviewing Spirometry Results equals Yes, include in Reporting Met and Performance Exclusion.
		b. Reporting Met and Performance Exclusion letter is represented in the Reporting Rate and Performance Rate in the Sample Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter b3 equals 0 patients in the Sample Calculation.
		c. If Documentation of System Reason(s) for Not Documenting and Reviewing Spirometry Results equals No, proceed to Spirometry Results Not Documented and Reviewed, Reason Not Specified.
		11. Check Spirometry Results Not Documented and Reviewed, Reason Not Specified:
		a. If Spirometry Results Not Documented and Reviewed, Reason Not Specified equals Yes, include in Reporting Met and Performance Not Met.
		b. Reporting Met and Performance Not Met letter is represented in the Reporting Met in the Sample Calculation listed at the end of document. Letter c equals 2 patients in the Sample Calculation.
		c. If Spirometry Results Not Documented and Reviewed, Reason Not Specified equals No, include in Reporting Not Met.
		12. Check Reporting Not Met
		a. If Reporting Not Met equals No, Quality Data Code or equivalent not reported. 1 patient has been subtracted from the reporting numerator in sample calculation.
		Please see Measure Flow in Appendix A.1 for 'Sample Calculation' referenced above. Available in attached appendix at A.1
Submission items	5.1 Identified measures: 0091: COPD: Spirometry Evaluation 0102: COPD: inhaled bronchodilator therapy	5.1 Identified measures: 0577: Use of Spirometry Testing in the Assessment and Diagnosis of COPD
	5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized? No	5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized? No

0577 Use of Spirometry Testing in the Assessment and Diagnosis of COPD	0091 COPD: Spirometry Evaluation
5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact: NQF 0102 focuses on medication management for stable COPD or following an exacerbation, while our measure focuses on appropriate spirometry testing to confirm a new COPD diagnosis. There is no impact on interpretability or added burden of data collection because the focus of our measure is different. NQF 0091 is a physician-level measure that uses administrative claims or medical record data. There is no impact on interpretability or added burden of data collection because the data for our measure is collected from different data sources by different entities and the focus of our measure is different (0091 focuses on whether patients with a COPD diagnosis, not specifically a new diagnosis, had spirometry testing performed at least once during the measurement year, while 0577 specifies that patients with a new COPD diagnosis receive spirometry testing within 6 months following diagnosis).	5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact: These measures have distinct differences in their denominators and numerators. First, our measure is broader in denominator population, being for all patients age 18 years and older with a diagnosis of COPD, while 0577 is for patients age 40 years and older with a new diagnosis of COPD. Our measure is more consistent with COPD guidelines, which do not state an age to start using a spirometry evaluation; rather, spirometry should be used to assess all adults with COPD, not just adults with a new diagnosis of COPD. Second, our measure's numerator is more flexible than 0577, allowing a spirometry evaluation anytime during the measurement period, rather than 0577's requirement that spirometry be performed within 6 months of a new diagnosis of COPD. Our measure numerator is also specific to spirometry results, requiring both the FEV1/FVC values. 5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value: N/A

Comparison of NQF #0102 and NQF#2856

	0102 COPD: inhaled bronchodilator therapy	2856 Pharmacotherapy Management of COPD Exacerbation
Steward	American Thoracic Society	National Committee for Quality Assurance
Description	Percentage of patients aged 18 years or older, with a diagnosis of COPD (FEV1/FVC < 70%) who have an FEV1 < 60% predicted and have symptoms who were prescribed a long-acting inhaled bronchodilator	This measure assesses the percentage of COPD exacerbations for patients 40 years of age and older who had an acute inpatient discharge or ED encounter on or between January 1–November 30 of the measurement year and who were dispensed appropriate medications.
		Two rates are reported.
		1. Dispensed a systemic corticosteroid (or there was evidence of an active prescription) within 14 days of the event
		2. Dispensed a bronchodilator (or there was evidence of an active prescription) within 30 days of the event
		Note: The eligible population for this measure is based on acute inpatient discharges and ED visits, not on patients. It is possible for the denominator to include multiple events for the same individual.
Туре	Process	Process
Data Source	Administrative claims, Electronic Clinical Data: Registry Not Applicable No data dictionary	Administrative claims This measure is based on administrative claims collected in the course of providing care to health plan members. NCQA collects the Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set (HEDIS) data for this measure directly from Health Management Organizations and Preferred Provider Organizations via NCQA's online data submission system.
		No data collection instrument provided Attachment XXXX_PCE_Value_Sets.xlsx
Level	Clinician: Group/Practice, Clinician: Team	Health Plan, Integrated Delivery System
Setting	Ambulatory Care: Clinician Office/Clinic	Ambulatory Care: Clinician Office/Clinic
Time Window	Once per reporting period	Numerator: a 12-month period that begins on January 1 and ends on December 30 of the measurement year.
		Denominator: an 11-month period that begins on January 1 and ends on November 30 of the measurement year.
Numerator	Patients who were prescribed a long-acting inhaled bronchodilator	Numerator 1 (Systemic Corticosteroids): The number of patients dispensed a prescription for systemic corticosteroid on or 14 days

	0102 COPD: inhaled bronchodilator therapy	2856 Pharmacotherapy Management of COPD Exacerbation
Statement		after the Episode Date*. Count systemic corticosteroids that are active on the relevant date.
		Numerator 2 (Bronchodilator): The number of patients dispensed a prescription for a bronchodilator on or 30 days after the Episode Date*. Count bronchodilators that are active on the relevant date.
		*The Episode Date is the date of service for any acute inpatient discharge or ED claim/encounter during the 11-month intake period with a principal diagnosis of COPD.
Numerator Details	Definition:	Follow the steps below to identify numerator compliance.
	Prescribed – Includes patients who are currently receiving medication(s) that follow the treatment plan recommended at an encounter during the reporting period, even if the prescription for that medication was ordered prior to the encounter.	Numerator 1 (Systemic Corticosteroid): Identify the number of patients dispensed a prescription for systemic corticosteroid (refer to PCE-C: Systemic Corticosteroids) on or 14 days after the Episode Date.
	NUMERATOR NOTE: The correct combination of numerator code(s) must be reported on the claim form in order to properly report this measure. The "correct combination" of codes may require the	-The Episode Date is the date of service for any acute inpatient discharge or ED claim/encounter during the 11-month intake period with a principal diagnosis of COPD.
	submission of multiple numerator codes. Numerator Quality-Data Coding Options for Reporting Satisfactorily: Patient Prescribed Long-acting Inhaled Bronchodilator Therapy (One CPT II code & one quality-data code [4025F & G8924] are required on the claim form to submit this numerator option) Performance Met:	-Count systemic corticosteroids that are active on the relevant date. An active prescription is considered active if the "days supply" indicated on the date the patient filled the prescription is the number of days or more between that date and the relevant date. For an acute inpatient encounter, the relevant date is the date of admission. For an ED claim/encounter, the relevant date is the date of service.
	CPT II 4025F: Long-acting inhaled bronchodilator prescribed (NOTE: pending edited CPT II code) AND	Numerator 2 (Bronchodilator): Identify the number of patients dispensed a prescription for bronchodilator (refer to PCE-D: Bronchodilators) on or 30 days after the Episode Date.
	G8924: Spirometry test results demonstrate FEV1/FVC < 70%, FEV1 < 60% predicted and patient has COPD symptoms (eg, dyspnea, cough/sputum, wheezing) (NOTE: CMS approved edited G-code for	-The Episode Date is the date of service for any acute inpatient discharge or ED claim/encounter during the 11-month intake period with a principal diagnosis of COPD.
	2017 PQRS year)	-Count bronchodilators that are active on the relevant date. An
	Patient not Documented to have Long-acting Inhaled Bronchodilator Prescribed for Medical, Patient, or System Reasons	active prescription is considered active if the "days supply" indicated on the date the patient filled the prescription is the number of days or more between that date and the relevant date. For an acute inpatient encounter, the relevant date is the date of admission. For
	(One CPT II code & one quality-data code [4025F-xP & G8924] are	Impution choosiner, the relevant date is the date of damission.

0102 COPD: inhaled bronchodilator therapy	2856 Pharmacotherapy Management of COPD Exacerbation
required on the claim form to submit this numerator option)	an ED claim/encounter, the relevant date is the date of service.
Append a modifier (1P, 2P or 3P) to CPT Category II code 4025F to	PCE-C: Systemic Corticosteroids:
report documented circumstances that appropriately exclude patients from the denominator.	Glucocorticoids: betamethasone, dexamethasone, hydrocortisone, methylprednisolone, prednisolone, prednisone, triamcinolone
Medical Performance Exclusion, Patient Performance Exclusion, or	PCE-D: Bronchodilators:
System Performance Exclusion:	Anticholinergic agents: albuterol-ipratropium, aclidinium-bromide, ipratropium, tiotropium, Umeclidinium
4025F with 1P: Documentation of medical reason(s) for not prescribing an inhaled bronchodilator (e.g., contraindication due to comorbidities)	Beta 2-agonists: albuterol, arformoterol, budesonide-formoterol, fluticasone-salmeterol, fluticasone-vilanterol, formoterol, Indacaterol, levalbuterol, Mometasone-formoterol, metaproterenol,
4025F with 2P: Documentation of patient reason(s) for not prescribing an inhaled bronchodilator	Olodaterol hydrochloride, pirbuterol, salmeterol, Umeclidinium- vilanterol
4025F with 3P: Documentation of system reason(s) for not prescribing an inhaled bronchodilator (e.g., not covered by	Methlyxanthines: aminophylline, dyphylline, dyphylline-guaifenesin, guaifenesin-theophylline, theophylline
insurance)	See corresponding Excel file for value sets referenced above.
AND	
G8924: Spirometry test results demonstrate FEV1/FVC < 70%, FEV1 < 60% predicted and patient has COPD symptoms (eg, dyspnea, cough/sputum, wheezing)	
OR	
If patient is not eligible for this measure because spirometry results demonstrate FEV1/FVC >= 70% or FEV1 >= 60% predicted or patient does not have COPD symptoms, report:	
Spirometry Results Demonstrate FEV1/FVC >= 70% or FEV1 >= 60% or Patient does not have COPD symptoms	
(One quality-data code [G8925 or G8926] is required on the claim form to submit this numerator option)	
Other Performance Exclusion: G8925: Spirometry test results demonstrate FEV1/FVC >= 70% or FEV1 >= 60% predicted or patient does not have COPD symptoms	
OR	
Spirometry Test not Performed or Documented	

	0102 COPD: inhaled bronchodilator therapy	2856 Pharmacotherapy Management of COPD Exacerbation
	Other Performance Exclusion: G8926: Spirometry test not performed or documented, reason not given OR	
	Patient not Documented to have Long-acting Inhaled Bronchodilator Prescribed, Reason not Otherwise Specified	
	(One CPT II code & one quality-data code [4025F-8P & G8924] are required on the claim form to submit this numerator option)	
	Append a reporting modifier (8P) to CPT Category II code 4025F to report circumstances when the action described in the numerator is not performed and the reason is not otherwise specified.	
	Performance Not Met:	
	4025F with 8P: Long-acting inhaled bronchodilator not prescribed, reason not otherwise specified	
	AND	
	G8924: Spirometry test results demonstrate FEV1/FVC < 70%, FEV1 < 60% predicted and patient has COPD symptoms (eg, dyspnea, cough/sputum, wheezing)	
Denominator Statement	All patients aged 18 years and older with a diagnosis of COPD, who have FEV1/FVC < 70%, FEV1 <60% predicted and have symptoms (eg, dyspnea, cough/sputum, wheezing)	All patients age 40 years or older as of January 1 of the measurement year with a COPD exacerbation as indicated by an acute inpatient discharge or ED encounter with a principal diagnosis of COPD.
Denominator Details	All Patients aged >= 18 years on date of encounter AND	The eligible population for this measure is based on acute inpatient discharges and ED visits, not on patients. It is possible for the
	Diagnosis for COPD	denominator to include multiple events for the same individual. The
	ICD-9-CM [for use before 9/30/2014]:	eligible population for the denominator is defined by following the series of steps below:
	491.0, 491.1, 491.20, 491.21, 491.22, 491.8, 491.9, 492.0, 492.8, 493.20, 493.21, 493.22, 496	Step 1: Identify all patients who had either of the following during the Intake Period (an 11-month period that begins on January 1 of
	ICD-10-CM [for use after 10/1/2014]:	the measurement year and ends on November 30 of the
	J41.0, J41.1, J41.8, J42, J43.0, J43.1, J43.2, J43.8, J43.9, J44.0, J44.1,	measurement year):
	J44.9 (Please see listing below for ICD-9/ICD-10 code definitions)	1) An ED visit (ED Value Set) with a primary diagnosis of COPD (COPD Value Set), emphysema (Emphysema Value Set) or chronic bronchitis (Chronic Bronchitis Value Set). Do not include ED visits that result in

0102 COPD: inhaled bronchodilator therapy	2856 Pharmacotherapy Management of COPD Exacerbation
AND	an inpatient admission.
Patient encounter during the reporting period (CPT): 99201, 99202, 99203, 99204, 99205, 99212, 99213, 99214, 99215 ———————————————————————————————————	2) An acute inpatient discharge with a primary diagnosis of COPD (COPD Value Set), emphysema (Emphysema Value Set) or chronic bronchitis (Chronic Bronchitis Value Set). To identify acute inpatient
ICD-9/ICD-10 code definitions	discharges:
ICD-9-CM [for use before 9/30/2014]:	a. Identify all acute and nonacute inpatient stays (Inpatient Stay Value Set)
491.0 – Simple chronic bronchitis	b. Exclude nonacute inpatient stays (Nonacute Inpatient Stay Value
491.1 – Mucopurulent chronic bronchitis	Set)
491.20 – Obstructive chronic bronchitis without exacerbation	c. Identify the discharge date for the stay
491.21 – Obstructive chronic bronchitis with (acute) exacerbation	Step 2: Identify all COPD Episode Dates (the date of service for any
491.22 – Obstructive chronic bronchitis with acute bronchitis	acute inpatient discharge or ED claim/encounter during the intake
491.8 – Other chronic bronchitis	period with a principal diagnosis of COPD). For each patient in Step
491.9 – Unspecified chronic bronchitis	1, identify all acute inpatient discharges and ED Visits.
492.0 – Emphysematous bleb	See corresponding Excel file for value sets referenced above.
492.8 – Other emphysema	
493.20 – Chronic obstructive asthma, unspecified	
493.21 – Chronic obstructive asthma with status asthmaticus	
493.22 – Chronic obstructive asthma with (acute) exacerbation	
496 – Chronic airway obstruction, not elsewhere classified	
ICD-10-CM [for use after 10/1/2014]:	
J41.0 – Simple chronic bronchitis	
J41.1 – Mucopurulent chronic bronchitis	
J41.8 – Mixed simple and mucopurulent chronic bronchitis	
J42 – Unspecified chronic bronchitis	
J43.0 – Unilateral pulmonary emphysema [MacLeod's syndrome]	
J43.1 – Panlobular emphysema	
J43.2 – Centrilobular emphysema	
J43.8 – Other emphysema	
J43.9 – Emphysema, unspecified	
 J44.0 – Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease with acute lower	

	0102 COPD: inhaled bronchodilator therapy	2856 Pharmacotherapy Management of COPD Exacerbation
	respiratory infection	
	J44.1 – Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease with (acute) exacerbation	
	J44.9 – Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, unspecified	
Exclusions	ATS continues to use the PCPI exception methodology that uses three categories of exception reasons for which a patient may be removed from the denominator of an individual measure: medical, patient and system reasons.	 Exclude episode dates when the patient was transferred directly to an acute or nonacute inpatient care setting for any diagnosis. Exclude episode dates when the patient was readmitted to an acute or nonacute inpatient care setting for any diagnosis within 14
	Exceptions are used to remove patients from the denominator of a performance measure when a patient does not receive a therapy or service AND that therapy or service would not be appropriate due to specific reasons; otherwise, the patient would meet the denominator criteria. Exceptions are not absolute, and the application of exceptions is based on clinical judgment, individual patient characteristics, or patient preferences. These measure exception categories are not uniformly relevant across all measures; for each measure, there must be a clear rationale to permit an exception for a medical, patient, or system reason. Examples are provided in the measure exception language of instances that may constitute an exception and are intended to serve as a guide to clinicians. For this measure, exceptions include medical reason(s), patient reason(s) or system reason(s) for not prescribing long-acting inhaled bronchodilators. Although this methodology does not require the external reporting of more detailed exception data, the ATS recommends that physicians document the specific reasons for	days after the episode date. 3) Exclude episode dates when the patient had an ED visit for any diagnosis within 14 days after the Episode date.
	exception in patients' medical records for purposes of optimal patient management and audit-readiness.	
Exclusion Details	For Claims: Patient not Documented to have Long-acting Inhaled Bronchodilator Prescribed for Medical, Patient, or System Reasons (One CPT II code & one quality-data code [4025F-xP & G8924] are	1) Exclude episode dates when the patient was transferred directly to an acute or nonacute inpatient care setting for any diagnosis. Organizations may identify "transfers" using their own methods and then confirm the acute or nonacute inpatient care setting using codes in the Inpatient Stay Value Set.
	required on the claim form to submit this numerator option) Append a modifier (1P, 2P or 3P) to CPT Category II code 4025F to report documented circumstances that appropriately exclude	2) Exclude episode dates when the patient was readmitted to an acute or nonacute inpatient care setting for any diagnosis within 14 days after the episode date. To identify readmissions to an acute or

	0102 COPD: inhaled bronchodilator therapy	2856 Pharmacotherapy Management of COPD Exacerbation
	patients from the denominator.	nonacute inpatient care setting:
	Medical Performance Exclusion, Patient Performance Exclusion, or System Performance Exclusion:	a. Identify all acute and nonacute inpatient stays (Inpatient Stay Value Set)
	4025F with 1P: Documentation of medical reason(s) for not prescribing a long-acting inhaled bronchodilator, e.g., contraindicated due to comorbidities OR 4025F with 2P: Documentation of patient reason(s) for not prescribing a long-acting inhaled bronchodilator OR 4025F with 3P: Documentation of system reason(s) for not prescribing a long-acting inhaled bronchodilator, e.g., not covered by insurance AND G8924: Spirometry test results demonstrate FEV1/FVC < 70%, FEV1 < 60% predicted and patient has COPD symptoms (e.g., dyspnea, cough/sputum, wheezing) NOTE: CMS approved edited G-code for 2017 PQRS year and edited	b. Identify the admission date for the stay 3) Exclude episode dates when the patient had an ED visit (ED value set) for any diagnosis within 14 days after the episode date. See corresponding Excel file for value sets referenced above.
	CPT II code is pending	
Risk Adjustment	No risk adjustment or risk stratification No risk adjustment or risk stratification.	Statistical risk model N/A
Stratification	We encourage the results of this measure to be stratified by race, ethnicity, primary language, and administrative sex.	N/A
Type Score	Rate/proportion better quality = higher score	Rate/proportion better quality = higher score
Algorithm	NOTE: This sequence of steps has not been edited to reflect updated CPT II or G-codes. It will be edited once all updated CPT II or G-codes are finalized.	Refer to items S.6 (Numerator details), S.9 (Denominator details), S.11 (Denominator exclusions details) and S.2b (Data Dictionary) for tables.
	 Start with Denominator Check Patient Age: If the Age is greater than or equal to 18 years of age on 	The denominator for this measure is based on acute inpatient discharges and ED visits, not patients. The measure calculation is detailed in the steps listed below:
	Date of Service and equals No during the measurement period, do	Step 1: identify the eligible population. A. Identify all patients who had either of the following during the

0102 COPD: inhaled bronchodilator therapy 2856 Pharmacotherapy Management of COPD Exacerbation not include in Eligible Patient Population. Stop Processing. Intake Period (an 11-month period that begins on January 1 of the measurement year and ends on November 30 of the measurement If the Age is greater than or equal to 18 years of age on year): Date of Service and equals Yes during the measurement period, proceed to check Patient Diagnosis. 1) An ED visit (ED Value Set) with a primary diagnosis of COPD (COPD Value Set), emphysema (Emphysema Value Set) or chronic bronchitis 3. **Check Patient Diagnosis:** (Chronic Bronchitis Value Set). Do not include ED visits that result in a. If Diagnosis of COPD as Listed in the Denominator equals an inpatient admission. No, do not include in Eligible Patient Population. Stop Processing. 2) An acute inpatient discharge with a primary diagnosis of COPD If Diagnosis of COPD as Listed in the Denominator equals (COPD Value Set), emphysema (Emphysema Value Set) or chronic Yes, proceed to check Encounter Performed. bronchitis (Chronic Bronchitis Value Set). To identify acute inpatient Check Encounter Performed: discharges: If Encounter as Listed in the Denominator equals No, do not a. Identify all acute and nonacute inpatient stays (Inpatient Stay include in Eligible Patient Population. Stop Processing. Value Set) If Encounter as Listed in the Denominator equals Yes, b. Exclude nonacute inpatient stays (Nonacute Inpatient Stay Value include in the Eligible population. Set) 5. **Denominator Population:** c. Identify the discharge date for the stay Denominator population is all Eligible Patients in the B. Identify all COPD Episode Dates (the date of service for any acute denominator. Denominator is represented as Denominator in the inpatient discharge or ED claim/encounter during the intake period Sample Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter d with a principal diagnosis of COPD). For each patient in Step 1, equals 8 patients in the sample calculation. identify all acute inpatient discharges and ED Visits. 6. Start Numerator Step 2: determine denominator exclusions. 7. Check Patient Prescribed Inhaled Bronchodilator Therapy A. Exclude episode dates when the patient was transferred directly AND Results of FEV1<60% Predicted and Patient has COPD to an acute or nonacute inpatient care setting for any diagnosis. Symptoms: Organizations may identify "transfers" using their own methods and then confirm the acute or nonacute inpatient care setting using a. If Patient Prescribed Inhaled Bronchodilator Therapy AND Results of FEV1 <60% Predicted and Patient has COPD Symptoms codes in the Inpatient Stay Value Set. equals Yes, include in Reporting Met and Performance Met. B. Exclude episode dates when the patient was readmitted to an Reporting Met and Performance Met letter is represented acute or nonacute inpatient care setting for any diagnosis within 14 in the Reporting Rate and Performance Rate in the Sample days after the episode date. To identify readmissions to an acute or Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter a equals 4 nonacute inpatient care setting: patients in Sample Calculation. 1. Identify all acute and nonacute inpatient stays (Inpatient Stay If Patient Prescribed Inhaled Bronchodilator Therapy AND Value Set)

NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM 292

2. Identify the admission date for the stay

Results of FEV1 <60% Predicted and Patient has COPD symptoms

equals No, proceed to check Documentation of Medical Reason(s)

0102 COPD: inhaled bronchodilator therapy

for Not Prescribing Inhaled Bronchodilator Therapy AND Spirometry Results of FEV1 <60% Predicted and Patient has COPD Symptoms.

- 8. Check Documentation of Medical Reason(s) for Not Prescribing Inhaled Bronchodilator AND Spirometry Results of FEV1 <60% Predicted and Patient has COPD Symptoms:
- a. If Documentation of Medical Reason(s) for Not Prescribing Inhaled Bronchodilator AND Spirometry Results of FEV1 <60%
 Predicted and Patient has COPD Symptoms equals Yes, include in Reporting Met and Performance Exclusion.
- b. Reporting Met and Performance Exclusion letter is represented in the Reporting Rate and Performance Rate in the Sample Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter b1 equals 1 patient in the Sample Calculation.
- c. If Documentation of Medical Reason(s) for Not Prescribing Inhaled Bronchodilator AND Spirometry Results of FEV1 <60% Predicted and Patient has COPD Symptoms equals No, proceed to check Documentation of Patient Reason(s) for Not Prescribing Inhaled Bronchodilator AND Spirometry Results of FEV1 <60% Predicted and Patient has COPD Symptoms.
- 9. Check Documentation of Patient Reason(s) for Not Prescribing Inhaled Bronchodilator AND Spirometry Results of FEV1 <60% Predicted and Patient has COPD Symptoms:
- a. If Documentation of Patient Reason(s) for Not Prescribing Inhaled Bronchodilator AND Spirometry Results of FEV1 <60% Predicted and Patient has COPD Symptoms equals Yes, include in Reporting Met and Performance Exclusion.
- b. Reporting Met and Performance Exclusion letter is represented in the Reporting Rate and Performance Rate in the Sample Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter b2 equals 0 patients in the Sample Calculation.
- c. If Documentation of Patient Reason(s) for Not Prescribing Inhaled Bronchodilator AND Spirometry Results of FEV1 <60% Predicted and Patient has COPD Symptoms equals No, proceed to check Documentation of System Reason(s) for Not Prescribing

2856 Pharmacotherapy Management of COPD Exacerbation

3. Exclude episode dates when the patient had an ED visit (ED value set) for any diagnosis within 14 days after the episode date.

Step 3: determine the numerator.

Numerator 1 (Systemic Corticosteroid): Identify the number of patients dispensed a prescription for systemic corticosteroid (refer to PCE-C: Systemic Corticosteroids) on or 14 days after the Episode Date.

- -The Episode Date is the date of service for any acute inpatient discharge or ED claim/encounter during the 11-month intake period with a principal diagnosis of COPD.
- -Count systemic corticosteroids that are active on the relevant date. An active prescription is considered active if the "days supply" indicated on the date the patient filled the prescription is the number of days or more between that date and the relevant date. For an acute inpatient encounter, the relevant date is the date of admission. For an ED claim/encounter, the relevant date is the date of service.

Numerator 2 (Bronchodilator): Identify the number of patients dispensed a prescription for bronchodilator (refer to PCE-D: Bronchodilators) on or 30 days after the Episode Date.

- -The Episode Date is the date of service for any acute inpatient discharge or ED claim/encounter during the 11-month intake period with a principal diagnosis of COPD.
- -Count bronchodilators that are active on the relevant date. An active prescription is considered active if the "days supply" indicated on the date the patient filled the prescription is the number of days or more between that date and the relevant date. For an acute inpatient encounter, the relevant date is the date of admission. For an ED claim/encounter, the relevant date is the date of service.

Step 4: calculate two rates.

- A. Number of patients dispensed a prescription for systemic corticosteroid on or 14 days after the Episode Date/Denominator
- B. Number of patients dispensed a prescription for bronchodilator on or 30 days after the Episode Date /Denominator No diagram

0102 COPD: inhaled bronchodilator therapy	2856 Pharmacotherapy Management of COPD Exacerbation
Inhaled Bronchodilator AND Spirometry Results of FEV1 <60% Predicted and Patient has COPD Symptoms.	provided
10. Check Documentation of System Reason(s) for Not Prescribing Inhaled Bronchodilator AND Spirometry Results of FEV1 <60% Predicted and Patient has COPD Symptoms:	
a. If Documentation of System Reason(s) for Not Prescribing Inhaled Bronchodilator AND Spirometry Results of FEV1 <60% Predicted and Patient has COPD Symptoms equals Yes, include in Reporting Met and Performance Exclusion.	
b. Reporting Met and Performance Exclusion letter is represented in the Reporting Rate and Performance Rate in the Sample Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter b3 equals 0 patients in the Sample Calculation.	
c. If Documentation of System Reason(s) for Not Prescribing Inhaled Bronchodilator AND Spirometry Results of FEV1 <60% Predicted and Patient has COPD Symptoms equals No, proceed to check Spirometry Results FEV1 = 60% Predicted OR Does not have COPD Symptoms.	
11. Check Spirometry Results FEV1 = 60% Predicted OR does not have COPD Symptoms:	
a. If Spirometry Results FEV1 = 60% Predicted OR Does not have COPD Symptoms equals Yes, include in Reporting Met and Performance Exclusion.	
b. Reporting Met and Performance Exclusion letter is represented in the Reporting Rate and Performance Rate in the Sample Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter b4 equals 0 patients in the Sample Calculation.	
c. If Spirometry Results FEV1 = 60% Predicted OR Does not have COPD symptoms equals NO, proceed to check Spirometry Test Not Performed to Documented, Reason not Given.	
12. Check Spirometry Test Not Performed to Documented, Reason Not Given:	
a. If Spirometry Test Not Performed to Documented, Reason Not Given equals Yes, include in reporting met and performance	

	0102 COPD: inhaled bronchodilator therapy	2856 Pharmacotherapy Management of COPD Exacerbation
	exclusion. b. Reporting Met and Performance Exclusion letter is represented in the Reporting Rate and Performance Rate in the Sample Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter b5 equals 0 patients in the Sample Calculation. c. If Spirometry Test Not Performed to Documented, Reason Not Given equals No, proceed to check Inhaled Bronchodilator not Prescribed, Reason Not Specified AND results of FEV1 = 60% Predicted and Patient has COPD Symptoms. 13. Check Inhaled Bronchodilator not Prescribed, Reason Not Specified AND Results of FEV1 = 60% Predicted and Patient has COPD Symptoms: a. If Inhaled Bronchodilator not Prescribed, Reason not Otherwise Specified AND results of FEV1 = 60% Predicted and Patient has COPD Symptoms equals Yes, include in Reporting Met and Performance Not Met. b. Reporting Met and Performance Not Met letter is represented in the Reporting Rate in the Sample Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter c equals 2 patients in the Sample	2856 Pharmacotherapy Management of COPD Exacerbation
	c. If Inhaled Bronchodilator not Prescribed, Reason not Otherwise Specified AND results of FEV1 = 60% Predicted and Patient has COPD Symptoms equals No, proceed to check Reporting Not Met.	
	14. Check Reporting Not Met a. If Reporting Not Met equals No, Quality Data Code or equivalent not reported. 1 patient has been subtracted from reporting numerator in the sample calculation.	
	Please see Measure Flow in Appendix A.1 for 'Sample Calculation' referenced above. Available in attached appendix at A.1	
Submission items	5.1 Identified measures:	5.1 Identified measures: 0577: Use of Spirometry Testing in the Assessment and Diagnosis of COPD
	5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized? Yes	0091: COPD: Spirometry Evaluation

01	102 COPD: inhaled bronchodilator therapy	2856 Pharmacotherapy Management of COPD Exacerbation
5a	a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale,	0102: COPD: inhaled bronchodilator therapy
im	impact:	5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized? No
co	b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value: N/A COMMENT ON 5a.1 - N/A is not a selection. For this reason, we elect yes. There are no competing measures to harmonize.	5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact: 0091 and 0577 are measures assessing spirometry testing in COPD patients. There is no impact on interpretability or added burden of data collection because the focus of our proposed measure is different. 0102 is a physician-level measure and the focus of our proposed measure is different. Our measure focuses exclusively on patients who were hospitalized or had an ED visit for a COPD exacerbation and received timely recommended treatment (systemic corticosteroids and bronchodilators) while 0102 focuses on managing COPD and allows receipt of a bronchodilator at least once during the measurement year. 5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value: N/A

Appendix F2: Related and Competing Measures (narrative format)

Comparison of NQF #0334 and NQF #0702

0334 PICU Severity-adjusted Length of Stay 0702 Intensive Care Unit (ICU) Length-of-Stay (LOS)

Steward

0334 PICU Severity-adjusted Length of Stay

Virtual PICU Systems, LLC

0702 Intensive Care Unit (ICU) Length-of-Stay (LOS)

Philip R. Lee Institute for Health Policy Studies

Description

0334 PICU Severity-adjusted Length of Stay

The number of days between PICU admission and PICU discharge.

0702 Intensive Care Unit (ICU) Length-of-Stay (LOS)

For all eligible patients =18 years old admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU), total duration of time spent in the ICU until time of discharge from the ICU; both observed and risk-adjusted LOS reported with the predicted LOS measured using the Intensive Care Outcomes Model - Length-of-Stay (ICOMLOS).

Type

0334 PICU Severity-adjusted Length of Stay

Outcome

0702 Intensive Care Unit (ICU) Length-of-Stay (LOS)

Outcome

Data Source

0334 PICU Severity-adjusted Length of Stay

Administrative claims, Paper Medical Records, Electronic Clinical Data: Registry No mandatory data source or collection instrument for PICU community. Potential resources include PICU-specific databases or the VPS database (myvps.org).

Available at measure-specific web page URL identified in S.1 No data dictionary

0702 Intensive Care Unit (ICU) Length-of-Stay (LOS)

Paper Medical Records ICU Outcomes Data Collection Instrument

Available in attached appendix at A.1 Attachment ICU Outcomes Data Dictionary.pdf

Level

0334 PICU Severity-adjusted Length of Stay

Facility

0702 Intensive Care Unit (ICU) Length-of-Stay (LOS)

Facility

Setting

0334 PICU Severity-adjusted Length of Stay

Hospital/Acute Care Facility

0702 Intensive Care Unit (ICU) Length-of-Stay (LOS)

Hospital/Acute Care Facility

Time Window

0334 PICU Severity-adjusted Length of Stay

Submitted quarterly for all discharges during that time period

0702 Intensive Care Unit (ICU) Length-of-Stay (LOS)

Not-applicable; anyone with an ICU admission meeting eligibility criteria below is in the numerator.

Numerator Statement

0334 PICU Severity-adjusted Length of Stay

Number of PICU days, PICU days = Number of days between PICU admission and PICU discharge.(For all eligible patients admitted to the ICU, the time at discharge from ICU minus the time of ICU admission (first recorded vital sign on ICU flow sheet)

0702 Intensive Care Unit (ICU) Length-of-Stay (LOS)

For all eligible patients admitted to the ICU, the time at discharge from ICU (either death or physical departure from the unit) minus the time of admission (first recorded vital sign on ICU flow sheet). The measure is risk-adjusted, please see S.18.

Numerator Details

0334 PICU Severity-adjusted Length of Stay

All patients < 18 years of age

Numerator is the average (mean) observed LOS with the observed LOS (if the observed LOS exceeded 30 days, then the LOS was reduced to 30 days).

0702 Intensive Care Unit (ICU) Length-of-Stay (LOS)

Eligible patients include those with an ICU stay of at least 4 hours and =18 years of age whose primary reason for admission does not include trauma, burns, or immediately post-coronary artery bypass graft surgery (CABG), as these patient groups are known to require unique risk-adjustment. Only index (initial) ICU admissions are recorded given that patient characteristics of readmissions are known to differ.

Denominator Statement

0334 PICU Severity-adjusted Length of Stay

The denominator is the average (mean) predicted length of stay using the adjustment model.

0702 Intensive Care Unit (ICU) Length-of-Stay (LOS)

Total number of eligible patients who are discharged (including deaths and transfers)

Denominator Details

0334 PICU Severity-adjusted Length of Stay

The denominator is the average (mean) predicted length of stay using the adjustment model.

0702 Intensive Care Unit (ICU) Length-of-Stay (LOS)

Eligible patients include those with an ICU stay of at least 4 hours and =18 years of age whose primary reason for admission does not include trauma, burns, or immediately post-coronary artery bypass graft surgery (CABG), as these patient groups are known to require unique risk-adjustment. Only index (initial) ICU admissions are recorded given that patient characteristics of readmissions are known to differ.

Exclusions

0334 PICU Severity-adjusted Length of Stay

Patients => 18 years of age

0702 Intensive Care Unit (ICU) Length-of-Stay (LOS)

<18 years of age at time of ICU admission, ICU readmission, <4 hours in ICU, primary admission due to trauma, burns, or immediately post-CABG, admitted to exclude myocardial infarction (MI) and subsequently found without MI or any other acute process requiring ICU care, transfers from another acute care hospital.

Exclusion Details

0334 PICU Severity-adjusted Length of Stay

Patient age > 18 years and patients not eligible for PRISM measurement

0702 Intensive Care Unit (ICU) Length-of-Stay (LOS)

<18 years of age at time of ICU admission (with time of ICU admission abstracted preferably from ICU vital signs flowsheet), ICU readmission (i.e. not the patient's first ICU admission during the current hospitalization), <4 hours in ICU, primary admission due to trauma, burns, or immediately post-CABG, admitted to exclude myocardial infarction (MI) and subsequently found without MI or any other acute process requiring ICU care, patient transfers from another acute care hospital (i.e. patients whose physical site immediately prior to the index ICU admission was an acute care unit at an outside hospital).

Risk Adjustment

0334 PICU Severity-adjusted Length of Stay

Statistical risk model

Selection criteria for risk adjustment tool for pediatric ICU's:

- Tool must allow quality assessment and comparison between intensive care units, and must be widely used
- Tool must be valid and reliable for severity adjustment and measurement of quality of care provided
- Computation of mortality risk must be in the public domain (i.e. free of charge)
- Algorithms must receive ongoing validation and recalibration

The PRISM 3 model meets these criteria.

VPS has updated the original PRISM LOS model by adding more predictors and reestimating the coefficients. We developed the linear regression model for LOS on the training dataset (based on admissions between Q2 2009 and Q1 2013, n=275,013), and independently confirmed the performance of the resulting model on the validation dataset (based on admissions between Q2 2013 and Q1 2014, n=73,705).

A few patients having long ICU stays can disproportionately influence LOS models. We used a 30-day truncation: if any patient had an observed LOS exceeding 30 days, the LOS was reduced to 30 days. Among 348,718 PICU admissions, less than 2% of PICU stays were longer than 30 days.

Since the latest model release is intended to be a refresh of the PRISM III LOS model, we used predictors that are included in PRISM III Risk of Mortality (ROM) and did not include interaction terms or site level predictors. The LOS (in days) is predicted from the following terms at the patient-level:

- (1) PRISM3 Score
- (2) Neonatal (less than 1 month) patient,
- (3) Infant (1 month to 1 year) patient,
- (4) Post-operative patient,
- (5) Admission of patient from Inpatient Unit,
- (6) Previous ICU admission,
- (7) Patient with an oncology diagnosis,
- (8) Patient with an acute overdose,
- (9) Patient with acute diabetes,
- (10) Patient with an operative cardiac disease,
- (11) Patient with pneumonia,
- (12) Patient with non-head trauma,
- (13) Patient associated with an acute problem, and
- (14) Patient on mechanical ventilation.

References

- [1]. Pollack MM. Recalibration of the Length of Stay (LOS) Algorithm: 2006. Personal Communication. 2006.
- [2] VPS Webpage. VPS New PRISM 3 LOS Model. 2015. https://s3.amazonaws.com/vpspublic/PRISM+LOS+brochure.pdf

0702 Intensive Care Unit (ICU) Length-of-Stay (LOS)

Statistical risk model

Risk-adjustment variables include: age, heart rate >=150, SBP <=90, chronic renal, acute renal, GIB, cardiac arrhythmia, intracranial mass effect, mechanical ventilation, received CPR, cancer, cerebrovascular incident, cirrhosis, coma, medical admission or status post nonelective surgery, zero factor status (no risk factors other than age), and full code status (no restrictions on therapies or interventions at the time of ICU admission). The LOS risk-adjustment model is based on the Intensive Care Outcomes Model - Length-of-Stay (ICOMLOS) with candidate interactions among variables and variable coefficients customized for the population of interest.

Provided in response box S.15a

Stratification

0334 PICU Severity-adjusted Length of Stay

Risk-adjustment measure, not stratification.

0702 Intensive Care Unit (ICU) Length-of-Stay (LOS)

Not-applicable

Type Score

0334 PICU Severity-adjusted Length of Stay

Ratio better quality = lower score

0702 Intensive Care Unit (ICU) Length-of-Stay (LOS)

Rate/proportion better quality = lower score

Algorithm

0334 PICU Severity-adjusted Length of Stay

The standardized length of stay ratio (SLOSR) is created by dividing the average (mean) observed physical length of stay (truncated at 30 days) by the average (mean) predicted length of stay. Cases must meet PRISM 3 inclusion criteria to receive a PRISM 3 length of stay prediction.

Numerator is the average (mean) observed LOS with the observed LOS = observed LOS exceeding 30 days, the LOS was reduced to 30 days.

The denominator is the average (mean) predicted length of stay using the adjustment model.

Risk adjustment/severity of illness addressed using PRISM 3 methodology.

https://s3.amazonaws.com/vpspublic/PRISM+LOS+brochure.pdf. Available at measure-specific web page URL identified in S.1

0702 Intensive Care Unit (ICU) Length-of-Stay (LOS)

The hospital's mean observed ICU LOS and and mean risk-adjusted LOS are calculated using the abstracted data. For each hospital, the model produces a median and 95% confidence interval for the standardized LOS ratio (SLOSR), which is the mean observed LOS divided by the mean predicted LOS. No diagram provided

Submission items

0334 PICU Severity-adjusted Length of Stay

- 5.1 Identified measures:
- 5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized?
- 5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact:
- 5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value: N/A

0702 Intensive Care Unit (ICU) Length-of-Stay (LOS)

- 5.1 Identified measures: 0703: Intensive Care: In-hospital mortality rate
- 5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized? Yes

5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact: This measure is completely harmonized with measure 0703 Intensive Care: In-hospital mortality rate.

5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value:

Comparison of NQF #0468 and NQF #0231

0468 Hospital 30-day, all-cause, risk-standardized mortality rate (RSMR) following pneumonia hospitalization

0231 Pneumonia Mortality Rate (IQI #20)

Steward

0468 Hospital 30-day, all-cause, risk-standardized mortality rate (RSMR) following pneumonia hospitalization

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)

0231 Pneumonia Mortality Rate (IQI #20)

Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality

Description

0468 Hospital 30-day, all-cause, risk-standardized mortality rate (RSMR) following pneumonia hospitalization

The measure estimates a hospital-level 30-day risk-standardized mortality rate (RSMR). Mortality is defined as death for any cause within 30 days after the date of admission for the index admission, discharged from the hospital with a principal discharge diagnosis of pneumonia, including aspiration pneumonia or a principal discharge diagnosis of sepsis (not severe sepsis) with a secondary diagnosis of pneumonia (including aspiration pneumonia) coded as present on admission (POA). CMS annually reports the measure for patients who are 65 years or older and are either Medicare fee-for-service (FFS) beneficiaries and hospitalized in non-federal hospitals or patients hospitalized in Veterans Health Administration (VA) facilities.

Please note this measure has been substantially updated since the last submission; as described in S.3., the cohort has been expanded. Throughout this application we refer to this measure as version 9.2.

0231 Pneumonia Mortality Rate (IQI #20)

In-hospital deaths per 1,000 hospital discharges with pneumonia as a principal diagnosis for patients ages 18 years and older. Excludes obstetric discharges and transfers to another hospital.

[NOTE: The software provides the rate per hospital discharge. However, common practice reports the measure as per 1,000 discharges. The user must multiply the rate obtained from the software by 1,000 to report in-hospital deaths per 1,000 hospital discharges.]

Type

0468 Hospital 30-day, all-cause, risk-standardized mortality rate (RSMR) following pneumonia hospitalization

Outcome

0231 Pneumonia Mortality Rate (IQI #20)

Outcome

Data Source

0468 Hospital 30-day, all-cause, risk-standardized mortality rate (RSMR) following pneumonia hospitalization

Administrative claims Data sources for the Medicare FFS measure:

- 1. Medicare Part A inpatient and Part B outpatient claims: This data source contains claims data for FFS inpatient and outpatient services including: Medicare inpatient hospital care, outpatient hospital services, as well as inpatient and outpatient physician claims for the 12 months prior to an index admission.
- 2. Medicare Enrollment Database (EDB): This database contains Medicare beneficiary demographic, benefit/coverage, and vital status information. This data source was used to obtain information on several inclusion/exclusion indicators such as Medicare status on admission as well as vital status. These data have previously been shown to accurately reflect patient vital status (Fleming et al., 1992).
- 3. The American Community Survey (2008-2012): The American Community Survey data is collected annually and an aggregated 5-years data was used to calculate the AHRQ SES composite index score.
- 4. Data sources for the all-payer update:

For our analyses to examine use in all-payer data, we used all-payer data from California in addition to CMS data for Medicare FFS patients aged 65 years or over (65+) in California hospitals. California is a diverse state, and, with more than 37 million residents, California represents 12% of the US population. We used the California Patient Discharge Data, a large, linked database of patient hospital admissions. In 2009, there were 3,193,904 adult discharges from 446 non-Federal acute care hospitals. Records are linked by a unique patient identification number, allowing us to determine patient history from previous hospitalizations and to evaluate rates of both readmission and mortality (via linking with California vital statistics records).

Using all-payer data from California as well as CMS Medicare FFS data for California hospitals, we performed analyses to determine whether the pneumonia mortality measure can be applied to all adult patients, including not only FFS Medicare patients aged 65 or over, but also non-FFS Medicare patients aged 18-64 years at the time of admission.

Reference:

Fleming C., Fisher ES, Chang CH, Bubolz D, Malenda J. Studying outcomes and hospital utilization in the elderly: The advantages of a merged data base for Medicare and Veterans Affairs Hospitals. Medical Care. 1992; 30(5): 377-91.

No data collection instrument provided Attachment NQF_0468_S2b_Mortality_Data_Dictionary_v0.5_forCMS-635856833973209589.xls

0231 Pneumonia Mortality Rate (IQI #20)

Administrative claims HCUP State Inpatient Databases (SID). Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project (HCUP). 2008. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Rockville, MD.

URL Attachment IQI_Regression_Coefficients-_Code_Tables_and_Value_Sets.xlsx

Level

0468 Hospital 30-day, all-cause, risk-standardized mortality rate (RSMR) following pneumonia hospitalization

Facility

0231 Pneumonia Mortality Rate (IQI #20)

Facility

Setting

0468 Hospital 30-day, all-cause, risk-standardized mortality rate (RSMR) following pneumonia hospitalization

Hospital/Acute Care Facility

0231 Pneumonia Mortality Rate (IQI #20)

Hospital/Acute Care Facility

Time Window

0468 Hospital 30-day, all-cause, risk-standardized mortality rate (RSMR) following pneumonia hospitalization

Numerator time window: We define the time period for death from any cause within 30 days from the date of admission for the index pneumonia hospitalization.

Denominator time window: This original measure was developed with 12 months of data. The re-speci

0231 Pneumonia Mortality Rate (IQI #20)

The time window can be determined by user, but is generally a calendar year. Note the volume-outcome relationship is based on volume over a one year time period.

Numerator Statement

0468 Hospital 30-day, all-cause, risk-standardized mortality rate (RSMR) following pneumonia hospitalization

The outcome for this measure is 30-day all-cause mortality. We define mortality as death from any cause within 30 days of the index admission date for patients 18 and older discharged from the hospital with a principal discharge diagnosis of pneumonia, including aspiration pneumonia or a principal discharge diagnosis of sepsis (not severe sepsis) with a secondary discharge diagnosis of pneumonia (including aspiration pneumonia) coded as POA and no secondary discharge diagnosis of severe sepsis.

0231 Pneumonia Mortality Rate (IQI #20)

Number of deaths (DISP=20) among cases meeting the inclusion and exclusion rules for the denominator.

Numerator Details

0468 Hospital 30-day, all-cause, risk-standardized mortality rate (RSMR) following pneumonia hospitalization

The measure counts deaths for any cause within 30 days of the date of admission of the index pneumonia hospitalization.

Identifying deaths in the FFS measure

As currently reported, we identify deaths for FFS Medicare patients 65 years or over in the Medicare Enrollment Database (EDB).

Identifying deaths in the all-payer measure

For the purposes of development of an all-payer measure, deaths were identified using the California vital statistics data file. Nationally, post-discharge deaths can be identified using an external source of vital status, such as the Social Security Administration's Death Master File (DMF) or the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's National Death Index (NDI).

0231 Pneumonia Mortality Rate (IQI #20)

Number of deaths (DISP=20) among cases meeting the inclusion and exclusion rules for the denominator.

Denominator Statement

0468 Hospital 30-day, all-cause, risk-standardized mortality rate (RSMR) following pneumonia hospitalization

This claims-based measure can be used in either of two patient cohorts: (1) patients aged 65 years or over or (2) patients aged 18 years or older. We have specifically tested the measure in both age groups.

The cohort includes admissions for patients aged 18 years and older discharged from the hospital with principal discharge diagnosis of pneumonia, including aspiration pneumonia or a principal discharge diagnosis of sepsis (not severe sepsis) with a secondary discharge diagnosis of pneumonia (including aspiration pneumonia) coded as POA but no secondary discharge diagnosis of severe sepsis; and with a complete claims history for the 12 months prior to admission. The measure will be publicly reported by CMS for those patients 65 years or older who are Medicare FFS beneficiaries admitted to non-federal hospitals or patients admitted to VA hospitals.

Additional details are provided in S.9 Denominator Details.

0231 Pneumonia Mortality Rate (IQI #20)

Discharges, for patients ages 18 years and older, with a principal ICD-9-CM diagnosis code for pneumonia.

Denominator Details

0468 Hospital 30-day, all-cause, risk-standardized mortality rate (RSMR) following pneumonia hospitalization

To be included in the measure cohort used in public reporting, patients must meet the following inclusion criteria:

- 1. Principal discharge diagnosis of pneumonia, including aspiration pneumonia; or Principal discharge diagnosis of sepsis (not including severe sepsis), with a secondary discharge diagnosis of pneumonia (including aspiration pneumonia) coded as POA but no secondary discharge diagnosis of severe sepsis.
- 2. Enrolled in Medicare fee-for-service (FFS)
- 3. Aged 65 or over
- 4. Not transferred from another acute care facility

5. Enrolled in Part A and Part B Medicare for the 12 months prior to the date of admission, and enrolled in Part A during the index admission.

This measure can also be used for an all-payer population aged 18 years and older. We have explicitly tested the measure in both patients aged 18 years and older, and those aged 65 years or over (see Testing Attachment for details).

International Classification of Diseases, 9th Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM) codes used to define the cohort for each measure are:

ICD-9 codes that define patients with pneumonia:

480.0	Pneumonia due to adenovirus		
480.1	Pneumonia due to respiratory syncytial virus		
480.2	Pneumonia due to parainfluenza virus		
480.3	Pneumonia due to SARS-associated coronavirus		
480.8	Pneumonia due to other virus not elsewhere classified		
480.9	Viral pneumonia, unspecified		
481	Pneumococcal pneumonia		
482.0	Pneumonia due to Klebsiella pneumoniae		
482.1	Pneumonia due to Pseudomonas		
482.2	Pneumonia due to Hemophilus influenzae		
482.30	Pneumonia due to Streptococcus, unspecified		
482.31	Pneumonia due to Streptococcus, group A		
482.32	Pneumonia due to Streptococcus, group B		
482.39	Pneumonia due to other Streptococcus		
482.40	Pneumonia due to Staphylococcus, unspecified		
482.41	Methicillin susceptible pneumonia due to Staphylococcus aureus		
482.42	Methicillin resistant pneumonia due to Staphylococcus aureus		
482.49	Other Staphylococcus pneumonia		
482.81	Pneumonia due to anaerobes		
482.82	Pneumonia due to escherichia coli		
482.83	Pneumonia due to other gram-negative bacteria		
482.84	Pneumonia due to Legionnaires' disease		
482.89	Pneumonia due to other specified bacteria		
482.9	Bacterial pneumonia, unspecified		
483.0	Pneumonia due to mycoplasma pneumoniae		
483.1	Pneumonia due to chlamydia		
483.8	Pneumonia due to other specified organism		
485	Bronchopneumonia, organism unspecified		
486	Pneumonia, organism unspecified		
487.0	Influenza with pneumonia		
488.11	Influenza due to identified 2009 H1N1 influenza virus with pneumonia		
ICD-9 codes that define patients with aspiration pneumonia:			

507.0	Pneumonitis due to inhalation of food or vomitu	ıs
507.0	incumonitis auc to initialation of food of voliniti	43

ICD-9 codes that define patients with sepsis (not including severe sepsis [995.92 or 785.52]) (Cohort requires principal discharge diagnosis of sepsis combined with a secondary discharge diagnosis of pneumonia or aspiration pneumonia coded as POA but no secondary discharge diagnosis of severe sepsis):

038.0	Streptococcal septicemia
038.10	Staphylococcal septicemia, unspecified
038.11	Methicillin susceptible Staphylococcus aureus septicemia
038.12	Methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus septicemia
038.19	Other staphylococcal septicemia
038.2	Pneumococcal septicemia [Streptococcus pneumoniae septicemia]
038.3	Septicemia due to anaerobes
038.40	Septicemia due to gram-negative organism, unspecified
038.41	Septicemia due to hemophilus influenzae [H. influenzae]
038.42	Septicemia due to escherichia coli [E. coli]
038.43	Septicemia due to pseudomonas
038.44	Septicemia due to serratia
038.49	Other septicemia due to gram-negative organisms
038.8	Other specified septicemias
038.9	Unspecified septicemia
995.91	Sepsis

ICD-10 codes that define patients with pneumonia:

- J12.0 Adenoviral pneumonia
- J12.1 Respiratory syncytial virus pneumonia
- J12.2 Parainfluenza virus pneumonia
- J12.81 Pneumonia due to SARS-associated coronavirus
- J12.89 Other viral pneumonia
- J12.9 Viral pneumonia, unspecified
- J13 Pneumonia due to Streptococcus pneumoniae
- J18.1 Lobar pneumonia, unspecified organism
- J15.0 Pneumonia due to Klebsiella pneumoniae
- J15.1 Pneumonia due to Pseudomonas
- J14 Pneumonia due to Hemophilus influenzae
- J15.4 Pneumonia due to other streptococci
- J15.3 Pneumonia due to streptococcus, group B
- J15.20 Pneumonia due to staphylococcus, unspecified
- J15.211 Pneumonia due to Methicillin susceptible staphylococcus
- J15.212 Pneumonia due to Methicillin resistant staphylococcus
- J15.29 Pneumonia due to other staphylococcus

J15.8	Pneumonia due to other specified bacteria		
J15.5	Pneumonia due to Escherichia coli		
J15.6	Pneumonia due to other aerobic Gram-negative bacteria		
A48.1	Legionnaires' disease		
J15.8	Pneumonia due to other specified bacteria		
J15.9	Unspecified bacterial pneumonia		
J15.7	Pneumonia due to Mycoplasma pneumoniae		
J16.0	Chlamydial pneumonia		
J16.8	Pneumonia due to other specified infectious organisms		
J18.0	Bronchopneumonia, unspecified organism		
J18.9	Pneumonia, unspecified organism		
J11.00	Influenza due to unidentified influenza virus with unspecified type of		
pneumoni			
J12.9	Viral pneumonia, unspecified		
J10.08	Influenza due to other identified influenza virus		
ICD-10 cod	des that define patients with aspiration pneumonia:		
J69.0	Pneumonitis due to inhalation of food and vomit		
ICD-10 codes that define patients with sepsis (not including severe sepsis [ICD-9 995.92 or 785.52]) (Cohort requires principal discharge diagnosis of sepsis combined with a secondary discharge diagnosis of pneumonia or aspiration pneumonia coded as POA but no secondary discharge diagnosis of severe sepsis):			
A40.9	Streptococcal sepsis, unspecified		
A41.2	Sepsis due to unspecified staphylococcus		
A41.01	Sepsis due to Methicillin susceptible Staphylococcus		
A41.02	Sepsis due to Methicillin resistant Staphylococcus		
A41.1	Sepsis due to other specified staphylococcus		
A40.3	Sepsis due to Streptococcus pneumoniae		
A41.4	Sepsis due to anaerobes		
A41.50	Gram-negative sepsis, unspecified		
A41.3	Sepsis due to Hemophilus influenzae		
A41.51	Sepsis due to Escherichia coli [E. coli]		
A41.52	Sepsis due to Pseudomonas		
A41.53	Sepsis due to Serratia		
A41.59	Other Gram-negative sepsis		
A41.89	Other specified sepsis		
A41.9	Sepsis, unspecified organism		
An ICD-9 t	to ICD-10 crosswalk is attached in field S.2b. (Data Dictionary or Code Table).		

0231 Pneumonia Mortality Rate (IQI #20)

ICD-9-CM Pneumonia diagnosis codes:

00322 SALMONELLA PNEUMONIA

0212 PULMONARY TULAREMIA

0391 PULMONARY ACTINOMYCOSIS

0521 VARICELLA PNEUMONITIS

0551 POSTMEASLES PNEUMONIA

0730 ORNITHOSIS PNEUMONIA

1124 CANDIDIASIS OF LUNG

1140 PRIMARY COCCIDIOIDOMYCOS

1144 CHRONIC PULMON COCCIDIOIDOMYCOSIS

1145 UNSPEC PULMON COCCIDIOIDOMYCOSIS

11505 HISTOPLASM CAPS PNEUMON

11515 HISTOPLASM DUB PNEUMONIA

11595 HISTOPLASMOSIS PNEUMONIA

1304 TOXOPLASMA PNEUMONITIS

1363 PNEUMOCYSTOSIS

4800 ADENOVIRAL PNEUMONIA

4801 RESP SYNCYT VIRAL PNEUM

4802 PARINFLUENZA VIRAL PNEUM

4803 PNEUMONIA DUE TO SARS

4808 VIRAL PNEUMONIA NEC

4809 VIRAL PNEUMONIA NOS

481 PNEUMOCOCCAL PNEUMONIA

4820 K. PNEUMONIAE PNEUMONIA

4821 PSEUDOMONAL PNEUMONIA

4822 H.INFLUENZAE PNEUMONIA

48230 STREP PNEUMONIA UNSPEC

48231 GRP A STREP PNEUMONIA

48232 GRP B STREP PNEUMONIA

48239 OTH STREP PNEUMONIA

4824 STAPHYLOCOCCAL PNEUMONIA

48240 STAPH PNEUMONIA UNSP

48241 METH SUS PNEUM D/T STAPH

48242 METH RES PNEU D/T STAPH

48249 STAPH PNEUMON OTH

48281 ANAEROBIC PNEUMONIA

48282 E COLI PNEUMONIA

48283 OTH GRAM NEG PNEUMONIA

48284 LEGIONNAIRES DX

48289 BACT PNEUMONIA NEC

4829 BACTERIAL PNEUMONIA NOS

4830 MYCOPLASMA PNEUMONIA

4831 CHLAMYDIA PNEUMONIA

4838 OTH SPEC ORG PNEUMONIA

4841 PNEUM W CYTOMEG INCL DIS

4843 PNEUMONIA IN WHOOP COUGH

4845 PNEUMONIA IN ANTHRAX

4846 PNEUM IN ASPERGILLOSIS

4847 PNEUM IN OTH SYS MYCOSES

4848 PNEUM IN INFECT DIS NEC

485 BRONCOPNEUMONIA ORG NOS

486 PNEUMONIA, ORGANISM NOS

4870 INFLUENZA WITH PNEUMONIA

48801 INFLUENZA D/T IDENTIFIED AVIAN INFLUENZA VIRUS

48811 INFLUENZA D/T IDENTIFIED 2009 H1N1 INFLUENZA VIRUS W/PNEUMONIA

48881 NOVEL INFLUENZA W/PNEUMONIA

Exclusions

0468 Hospital 30-day, all-cause, risk-standardized mortality rate (RSMR) following pneumonia hospitalization

The mortality measures exclude index admissions for patients:

- 1. Discharged alive on the day of admission or the following day who were not transferred to another acute care facility;
- 2. With inconsistent or unknown vital status or other unreliable demographic (age and gender) data;
- 3. Enrolled in the Medicare hospice program or used VA hospice services any time in the 12 months prior to the index admission, including the first day of the index admission; or
- 4. Discharged against medical advice (AMA).

For patients with more than one admission for a given condition in a given year, only one index admission for that condition is randomly selected for inclusion in the cohort.

0231 Pneumonia Mortality Rate (IQI #20)

Exclude cases:

- transferring to another short-term hospital (DISP=2)
- MDC 14 (pregnancy, childbirth, and puerperium)
- with missing discharge disposition (DISP=missing), gender (SEX=missing), age (AGE=missing), quarter (DQTR=missing), year (YEAR=missing) or principal diagnosis (DX1=missing)

Exclusion Details

0468 Hospital 30-day, all-cause, risk-standardized mortality rate (RSMR) following pneumonia hospitalization

1. The discharge disposition indicator is used to identify patients alive at discharge. Transfers are identified in the claims when a patient with a qualifying admission is

discharged from an acute care hospital and admitted to another acute care hospital on the same day or next day. Patient length of stay and condition is identified from the admission claim.

- 2. Inconsistent vital status or unreliable data are identified if any of the following conditions are met 1) the patient's age is greater than 115 years; 2) if the discharge date for a hospitalization is before the admission date; 3) if the patient has a sex other than 'male' or 'female'.
- 3. Hospice enrollment in the 12 months prior to or on the index admission is identified using hospice enrollment data.
- 4. Discharges against medical advice (AMA) are identified using the discharge disposition indicator.

After all exclusions are applied, the measure randomly selects one index admission per patient per year for inclusion in the cohort so that each episode of care is mutually independent with the same probability of the outcome. For each patient, the probability of death increases with each subsequent admission, and therefore, the episodes of care are not mutually independent. Also, for the three year combined data, when index admissions occur during the transition between measure reporting periods (June and July of each year) and both are randomly selected for inclusion in the measure, the measure includes only the June admission. The July admissions are excluded to avoid assigning a single death to two admissions.

0231 Pneumonia Mortality Rate (IQI #20)

Exclude cases:

- transferring to another short-term hospital (DISP=2)
- MDC 14 (pregnancy, childbirth, and puerperium)
- with missing discharge disposition (DISP=missing), gender (SEX=missing), age (AGE=missing), quarter (DQTR=missing), year (YEAR=missing) or principal diagnosis (DX1=missing)

Risk Adjustment

0468 Hospital 30-day, all-cause, risk-standardized mortality rate (RSMR) following pneumonia hospitalization

Statistical risk model

Our approach to risk adjustment is tailored to and appropriate for a publicly reported outcome measure, as articulated in the American Heart Association (AHA) Scientific Statement, "Standards for Statistical Models Used for Public Reporting of Health Outcomes" (Krumholz et al., 2006).

The measure employs a hierarchical logistic regression model to create a hospital-level 30-day RSMR. In brief, the approach simultaneously models data at the patient and hospital levels to account for the variance in patient outcomes within and between hospitals (Normand & Shahian, 2007). At the patient level, the model adjusts the log-odds of mortality within 30 days of admission for age, sex, and selected clinical covariates. At the hospital level, the approach models the hospital-specific intercepts as arising from a normal distribution. The hospital intercept represents the underlying risk of death at the hospital, after accounting for patient risk. If there were no differences among hospitals,

then after adjusting for patient risk, the hospital intercepts should be identical across all hospitals.

Candidate and Final Risk-adjustment Variables:

Candidate variables were patient-level risk-adjustors that were expected to be predictive of mortality, based on empirical analysis, prior literature, and clinical judgment, including age, sex, and indicators of comorbidity and disease severity. For each patient, covariates are obtained from claims records extending 12 months prior to and including the index admission. For the measure currently implemented by CMS, these risk-adjusters are identified using both inpatient and outpatient Medicare FFS claims data. However, in the all-payer hospital discharge database measure, the risk-adjustment variables can be obtained only from inpatient claims in the prior 12 months and the index admission.

The model adjusts for case-mix differences based on the clinical status of patients at the time of admission. We use condition categories (CCs), which are clinically meaningful groupings of more than 15,000 ICD-9-CM diagnosis codes (Pope et al., 2000). A file that contains a list of the ICD-9-CM codes and their groupings into CCs is attached in data field S.2b (Data Dictionary or Code Table). In addition, only comorbidities that convey information about the patient at admission or in the 12 months prior, and not complications that arise during the course of the index hospitalization, are included in the risk adjustment. Hence, we do not risk adjust for CCs that may represent adverse events of care when they are only recorded in the index admission.

The final set of risk adjustment variables is:

Demographics

Male

Age-65 (years, continuous) for patients aged 65 or over cohorts; or Age (years, continuous) for patients aged 18 and over cohorts.

Comorbidities

History of Percutaneous Transluminal Coronary Angioplasty (PTCA) (ICD-9 codes V45.82, 00.66, 36.06, 36.07)

History of Coronary Artery Bypass Graft (CABG) (ICD-9 codes V45.81, 36.10–36.16)

Congestive heart failure (CC 80)

Acute myocardial infarction (CC 81)

Other acute/subacute forms of ischemic heart disease (CC 82)

Coronary atherosclerosis or angina (CC 83-84)

Cardio-respiratory failure or shock (CC 78-79)

Hypertension (CC 89, 91)

Stroke (CC 95-96)

Cerebrovascular disease (CC 97-99, 103)

Renal failure (CC 131)

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) (CC 108)

Pneumonia (CC 111-114)

Protein-calorie malnutrition (CC 21)

Dementia or other specified brain disorders (CC 49-50)

Hemiplegia, paraplegia, paralysis, functional disability (CC 67-69, 100-102, 177-178)

Vascular disease and complications (CC 104-105)

Metastatic cancer, acute leukemia and other severe cancers (CC 7-8)

Trauma in last year (CC 154-156, 158-162)

Major psychiatric disorders (CC 54-56)

Chronic liver disease (CC 25-27)

Severe hematological disorders (CC 44)

Iron deficiency or other unspecified anemias and blood disease (CC 47)

Depression (CC 58)

Parkinson's or Huntington's diseases (CC 73)

Seizure disorders and convulsions (CC 74)

Fibrosis of lung or other chronic lung disorders (CC 109)

Asthma (CC 110)

Vertebral fractures (CC 157)

Septicemia/sepsis (CC 2)

Respirator dependence/tracheostomy (CC 77)

Disorders of fluid/electrolyte/acid-base (CC 23)

Delirium and encephalopathy (CC 48)

Decubitus ulcer of skin (CC 148)

References:

Krumholz HM, Brindis RG, Brush JE, et al. 2006. Standards for Statistical Models Used for Public Reporting of Health Outcomes: An American Heart Association Scientific Statement From the Quality of Care and Outcomes Research Interdisciplinary Writing Group: Cosponsored by the Council on Epidemiology and Prevention and the Stroke Council Endorsed by the American College of Cardiology Foundation. Circulation 113: 456-462.

Normand S-LT, Shahian DM. 2007. Statistical and Clinical Aspects of Hospital Outcomes Profiling. Stat Sci 22 (2): 206-226.

Pope GC, et al. 2000. Principal Inpatient Diagnostic Cost Group Models for Medicare Risk Adjustment. Health Care Financing Review 21(3): 93-118.

Available in attached Excel or csv file at S.2b

0231 Pneumonia Mortality Rate (IQI #20)

Statistical risk model

The predicted value for each case is computed using a hierarchical model (logistic regression with hospital random effect) and covariates for gender, age in years (in 5-year age groups), Major Diagnostic Category (MDC), transfer status, All Patient Refined-Diagnosis Related Group (APR-DRG) and APR-DRG risk-of-mortality subclass. The reference population used in the model is the universe of discharges for states that participate in the Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project (HCUP) State Inpatient Databases (SID) for the year 2008 (updated annually), a database consisting of 43 states and approximately 30 million adult discharges and 4,000 hospitals. The expected rate is computed as the sum of the predicted value for each case divided by the number of cases for the unit of analysis of interest (i.e., hospital). The risk adjusted rate is computed using indirect standardization as

the observed rate divided by the expected rate, multiplied by the reference population rate.

Specific covariates used for this measure:

```
Sex
         Female
         18 to 24
Age
         25 to 29
Age
         30 to 34
Age
Age
         35 to 39
         40 to 44
Age
         45 to 49
Age
         50 to 54
Age
Age
         55 to 59
Age
         80 to 84
Age
         85+
APR-DRG '121-1'
APR-DRG '121-2'
APR-DRG '121-3'
APR-DRG '121-4'
APR-DRG '130-1'
APR-DRG '130-2'
APR-DRG '130-3' to '130-4'
APR-DRG '137-1'
APR-DRG '137-2'
APR-DRG '137-3'
APR-DRG '137-4'
APR-DRG '139-2'
APR-DRG '139-3'
APR-DRG '139-4'
MDC
         4 (Diseases & Disorders Of The Respiratory System)
MDC
         25 (Human Immunodeficiency Virus Infections)
```

TRNSFER Transfer-in

APR-DRG 121 Other Respiratory & Chest Procedures

APR-DRG 130 Respiratory System Diagnosis w/ Ventilator Support 96+ Hours

APR-DRG 137 Major Respiratory Infections and Inflammations

APR-DRG 139 Other Pneumonia

APR-DRG Risk of Mortality Subclass:

- 1 Minor
- 2 Moderate
- 3 Major

4 - Extreme

For additional information on the method, please access the Empirical Methods document: http://www.qualityindicators.ahrq.gov/Downloads/Resources/Publications/2011/QI_Empirical_Methods_03-31-14.pdf

The Empirical Methods are also attached as "supplemental materials".

Available in attached Excel or csv file at S.2b

Stratification

0468 Hospital 30-day, all-cause, risk-standardized mortality rate (RSMR) following pneumonia hospitalization

N/A

0231 Pneumonia Mortality Rate (IQI #20)

Not applicable

Type Score

0468 Hospital 30-day, all-cause, risk-standardized mortality rate (RSMR) following pneumonia hospitalization

Rate/proportion better quality = lower score

0231 Pneumonia Mortality Rate (IQI #20)

Rate/proportion better quality = lower score

Algorithm

0468 Hospital 30-day, all-cause, risk-standardized mortality rate (RSMR) following pneumonia hospitalization

The measure estimates hospital-level 30-day all-cause RSMRs following hospitalization for pneumonia using hierarchical logistic regression models. In brief, the approach simultaneously models data at the patient and hospital levels to account for variance in patient outcomes within and between hospitals (Normand and Shahian, 2007). At the patient level, it models the log-odds of mortality within 30 days of index admission using age, sex, selected clinical covariates, and a hospital-specific intercept. At the hospital level, it models the hospital-specific intercepts as arising from a normal distribution. The hospital intercept represents the underlying risk of a mortality at the hospital, after accounting for patient risk. The hospital-specific intercepts are given a distribution to account for the clustering (non-independence) of patients within the same hospital. If there were no differences among hospitals, then after adjusting for patient risk, the hospital intercepts should be identical across all hospitals.

The RSMR is calculated as the ratio of the number of "predicted" to the number of "expected" deaths at a given hospital, multiplied by the national observed mortality rate. For each hospital, the numerator of the ratio is the number of deaths within 30 days predicted on the basis of the hospital's performance with its observed case mix, and the denominator is the number of deaths expected based on the nation's performance with that hospital's case mix. This approach is analogous to a ratio of "observed" to "expected" used in other types of statistical analyses. It conceptually allows for a comparison of a particular hospital's performance given its case mix to an average hospital's performance with the same case mix. Thus, a lower ratio indicates lower-than-expected mortality rates

or better quality, and a higher ratio indicates higher-than-expected mortality rates or worse quality.

The "predicted" number of deaths (the numerator) is calculated by using the coefficients estimated by regressing the risk factors and the hospital-specific intercept on the risk of mortality. The estimated hospital-specific intercept is added to the sum of the estimated regression coefficients multiplied by the patient characteristics. The results are transformed and summed over all patients attributed to a hospital to get a predicted value. The "expected" number of deaths (the denominator) is obtained in the same manner, but a common intercept using all hospitals in our sample is added in place of the hospital-specific intercept. The results are transformed and summed over all patients in the hospital to get an expected value. To assess hospital performance for each reporting period, we re-estimate the model coefficients using the years of data in that period.

This calculation transforms the ratio of predicted over expected into a rate that is compared to the national observed readmission rate. The hierarchical logistic regression models are described fully in the original methodology report (Krumholz et al., 2005). References:

Krumholz H, Normand S, Galusha D, et al. Risk-Adjustment Models for AMI and HF 30-Day Mortality Methodology. 2005.

Normand S-LT, Shahian DM. 2007. Statistical and Clinical Aspects of Hospital Outcomes Profiling. Stat Sci 22(2): 206-226. No diagram provided

0231 Pneumonia Mortality Rate (IQI #20)

The measure is expressed as a rate, defined as (outcome of interest / population at risk) or (numerator / denominator). The AHRQ Quality Indicators (AHRQ QI) software performs six steps to produce the rate 1) Discharge-level data is used to identify inpatient records containing the outcome of interest and 2) the population at risk. 3) Calculate observed rates. Using output from steps 1 and 2, observed rates are calculated for user-specified combinations of stratifiers. 4) Calculate expected rates. Use the risk-adjustment model to calculate the rate one would expect at the hospital based on the hospital's case-mix and the average performance for that case-mix in the reference population. 5) Calculate risk-adjusted rate. Use the indirect standardization to account for case-mix. For indicators that are not risk-adjusted, the risk-adjusted rate is the same as the observed rate. 6) Calculate smoothed rate. A Univariate shrinkage estimator is applied to the risk-adjusted rates. The shrinkage estimator reflects a reliability adjustment unique to each indicator and provider. The estimator is the signal-to-noise ratio, where signal is the between provider variance and noise is the within provider variance. URL

Submission items

0468 Hospital 30-day, all-cause, risk-standardized mortality rate (RSMR) following pneumonia hospitalization

5.1 Identified measures: 0708: Proportion of Patients with Pneumonia that have a Potentially Avoidable Complication (during the episode time window)

0231: Pneumonia Mortality Rate (IQI #20)

0506: Hospital 30-day, all-cause, risk-standardized readmission rate (RSRR) following p 5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized? No

5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact: The pneumonia mortality measure cohort, version 9.0, is harmonized with the hospital-level, riskstandardized payment associated with a 30-day episode of care for pneumonia cohort. Version 9.2 of the pneumonia mortality measure cohort is, however, not harmonized with the pneumonia payment measure cohort. There is intention to harmonize the pneumonia mortality and payment measure cohorts in the future. We did not include in our list of related measures any non-outcome (for example, process) measures with the same target population as our measure. Because this is an outcome measure, clinical coherence of the cohort takes precedence over alignment with related non-outcome measures. Furthermore, non-outcome measures are limited due to broader patient exclusions. This is because they typically only include a specific subset of patients who are eligible for that measure (for example, patients who receive a specific medication or undergo a specific procedure). Lastly, this measure and the NQF Inpatient Pneumonia Mortality (AHRQ) Measure #0231 are complementary rather than competing measures. Although they both assess mortality for patients admitted to acute care hospitals with a principal discharge diagnosis of pneumonia, the specified outcomes are different. This measure assesses 30day mortality while #0231 assesses inpatient mortality. Assessment of 30-day and inpatient mortality outcomes have distinct advantages and uses which make them complementary as opposed to competing. For example the 30-day period provides a broader perspective on hospital care and utilizes standard time period to examine hospital performance to avoid bias by differences in length of stay among hospitals. However, in some settings it may not be feasible to capture post-discharge mortality making the inpatient measure more useable. We have previously consulted with AHRQ to examine harmonization of complementary measures of mortality for patients with AMI and stroke. We have found that the measures are harmonized to the extent possible given that small differences in cohort inclusion and exclusion criteria are warranted on the basis of the use of different outcomes. However, this current measure has been modified from the last endorsed version to include patients with a principal discharge diagnosis of sepsis and a secondary discharge diagnosis of pneumonia that is present on admission. The cohort was also expanded to include patients with a principal discharge diagnosis of aspiration pneumonia. Thus the current measure cohort is no longer harmonized with measure #0231.

5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value: N/A

0231 Pneumonia Mortality Rate (IQI #20)

- 5.1 Identified measures: 0468: Hospital 30-day, all-cause, risk-standardized mortality rate (RSMR) following pneumonia hospitalization
- 5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized? Yes
- 5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact:
- 5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value: AHRQ and CMS engaged in a harmonization process when both measures were submitted for endorsement. Inhospital mortality and 30-day mortality measures are complementary and provide alternative perspectives on hospital performance. Inhospital mortality measures may be calculated by the hospital in real time without the need to link to vital records or other sources of mortality data.

Comparison of NQF #2794 and NQF #2852

2794 Rate of Emergency Department Visit Use for Children Managed for Identifiable Asthma: A PQMP Measure

2852 Optimal Asthma Control

Steward

2794 Rate of Emergency Department Visit Use for Children Managed for Identifiable Asthma: A PQMP Measure

University Hospitals Cleveland Medical Center

2852 Optimal Asthma Control

Minnesota Community Measurement

Description

2794 Rate of Emergency Department Visit Use for Children Managed for Identifiable Asthma: A PQMP Measure

This measure estimates the rate of emergency department visits for children ages 2-21 who are being managed for identifiable asthma. The measure is reported in visits per 100 child-years.

2852 Optimal Asthma Control

The percentage of pediatric (5-17 years of age) and adult (18-50 years of age) patients who had a diagnosis of asthma and whose asthma was optimally controlled during the measurement period as defined by achieving BOTH of the following:

- Asthma well-controlled as defined by the most recent asthma control tool result available during the measurement period
- Patient not at elevated risk of exacerbation as defined by less than two emergency department visits and/or hospitalizations due to asthma in the last 12 months

Type

2794 Rate of Emergency Department Visit Use for Children Managed for Identifiable Asthma: A POMP Measure

Outcome

2852 Optimal Asthma Control

Composite

Data Source

2794 Rate of Emergency Department Visit Use for Children Managed for Identifiable Asthma: A PQMP Measure

Administrative claims, Electronic Clinical Data: Electronic Health Record, Paper Medical Records N/A

No data collection instrument provided Attachment FINAL_CAPQuaM_ASTHMA_ICD9_and_ICD10.xlsx

2852 Optimal Asthma Control

Electronic Clinical Data, Electronic Clinical Data: Electronic Health Record, Paper Medical Records

An excel template with formatted columns for data fields is provided. Please refer to the attached data dictionary for data field definitions. All data is uploaded in electronic format (.csv file) to a HIPAA secure, encrypted and password protected data portal.

1. Asthma Control Test (ACT) and Childhood Asthma Control Test (C-ACT)

MNCM has secured permission for use of the ACT and C-ACT from GlaxoSmithKline for providers participating in quality measurement reporting to MNCM, under the following conditions:

- you will administer the instrument in a paper format only;
- permissible uses include only clinical care and quality measurement activities not related to research or publication;
- you may not modify the instrument or combine it with other instruments without prior written approval;
- the questions of the instrument must appear verbatim, in order, and together as they are presented and not divided on separate pages;
- for the ACT: the following trademark and copyright information must appear on the bottom of each page of the instrument and on all copies of the instrument; "Copyright 2002 by QualityMetric Incorporated. Asthma Control Test is a trademark of QualityMetric Incorporated."
- for the C-ACT: the following acknowledgment be made as to the source and authorization for use of this material: "Copyright GSK. Used with permission."
- you must utilize the instrument in its entirety;
- you agree to utilize only the most current version of the instrument as provided on MNCM's Resource page.
- you agree to display the GSK logo as part of the instrument;

Of note, it IS permissible to record item responses and scores in an electronic health record, it IS NOT permissible to administer the instrument electronically to patients; i.e. kiosk, mobile device, patient portal.

2. Asthma Control Questionnaire (ACQ)

The ACQ is a copyrighted instrument available in various formats from the developer. Please visit the website http://www.qoltech.co.uk/acq.html for more information.

3. Asthma Therapy Assessment Questionnaire (ATAQ)

The ATAQ is copyrighted by Merck & Co., Inc, and available free of charge by going to: http://merckengage.qualitysolutionnavigator.com/ and navigating to the asthma resources. The Asthma Therapy Assessment Questionnaire (ATAQ) Adult should be used for patients 18 years and older. The Asthma Therapy Assessment Questionnaire (ATAQ) Pediatric should be used for patients 5 – 17 years old.

Available at measure-specific web page URL identified in S.1

Level

2794 Rate of Emergency Department Visit Use for Children Managed for Identifiable Asthma: A PQMP Measure

Population: Community, Population: County or City, Health Plan, Integrated Delivery System, Population: National, Population: Regional, Population: State

2852 Optimal Asthma Control

Clinician: Group/Practice

Setting

2794 Rate of Emergency Department Visit Use for Children Managed for Identifiable Asthma: A POMP Measure

Ambulatory Care: Clinician Office/Clinic, Emergency Medical Services/Ambulance, Hospital/Acute Care Facility, Other, Pharmacy, Ambulatory Care: Urgent Care Claims data from all settings in New York State Medicaid data were tested.

2852 Optimal Asthma Control

Ambulatory Care: Clinician Office/Clinic

Time Window

2794 Rate of Emergency Department Visit Use for Children Managed for Identifiable Asthma: A PQMP Measure

This data requires 2 years of data, the reporting year and the 12 month period before the reporting year. (See Appendix 1, Figure 1)

2852 Optimal Asthma Control

1 year

Numerator Statement

2794 Rate of Emergency Department Visit Use for Children Managed for Identifiable Asthma: A PQMP Measure

The numerator uses the number of undesirable utilization outcomes (i.e., claims for ED visits or hospitalizations for asthma) experienced by children who are managed for identifiable asthma to estimate the number of emergency room visits

2852 Optimal Asthma Control

The number of patients in the denominator whose asthma was optimally controlled during the measurement period as defined by achieving BOTH of the following:

- Asthma well-controlled as defined by the most recent asthma control tool result during the measurement period:
- -Asthma Control Test (ACT) greater than or equal to 20 (patients 12 years of age and older)
- -Childhood Asthma Control Test (C-ACT) greater than or equal to 20 (patients 11 years of age and younger)

- -Asthma Control Questionnaire (ACQ) less than or equal to 0.75 (patients 17 years of age and older)
- -Asthma Therapy Assessment Questionnaire (ATAQ) equal to 0 Pediatric (5 to 17 years of age) or Adult (18 years of age and older).

AND

• Patient not at elevated risk of exacerbation as defined by less than two patient reported emergency department visits and/or hospitalizations due to asthma in the last 12 months

Numerator Details

2794 Rate of Emergency Department Visit Use for Children Managed for Identifiable Asthma: A PQMP Measure

Numerator Elements:

Date and count of all emergency visits with a primary or secondary diagnosis of asthma.

ED visits should be identified as a visit that is associated with:

- 1) At least one of the following CPT codes: 99281, 99282, 99283, 99284, 99285 OR
- 2) At least one of the following revenue codes

0450 Emergency Room

0451 Emergency Room: EM/EMTALA

0452 Emergency Room: ER/ Beyond EMTALA

0456 Emergency Room: Urgent care

0459 Emergency Room: Other emergency room

450 Emergency Room

451 Emergency Room: EM/EMTALA

452 Emergency Room: ER/ Beyond EMTALA

456 Emergency Room: Urgent care

459 Emergency Room: Other emergency room 0981 Professional fees (096x) Emergency room

981 Professional fees emergency room

Inpatient Hospitalizations are identified as an encounter that is associated with:

At least one of the following CPT codes:

Hospitalization:

CPT 99238CPT 99232

CPT 99239 CPT 99233

CPT 99221CPT 99234

CPT 99222CPT 99235

CPT 99223CPT 99236

CPT 99356CPT 99218

CPT 99357CPT 99219

CPT 99231CPT 99220

OR

At least one of the following revenue codes

0110	0133		
0111	0134		
0112	0137		
0113	0139		
0114	0150		
0117	0151		
0119	0152		
0120	0153		
0121	0154		
0122	0157		
0123	0159		
0124	0200		
0127	0201		
0129	0202		
0130	0203		
0131	0204		
0132	0206		

IDENTIFY count of discrete numerator events:

For each individual in the denominator for the specified month, consider evidence of hospitalization that is on the same day or one day after an ED visit to represent one discrete event. Consecutive days of hospitalization are considered to represent one hospitalization.

Data Sources

Administrative Data (e.g., claims data)

Paper Medical Record – only if needed for race ethnicity or ZIP code

Race/ethnicity data and ZIP code data (If race/ethnicity data or ZIP code data are not present in administrative data set, they should be obtained from another source, such as the medical record). We performed a feasibility study alpha test by surveying more than a dozen hospitals that demonstrates that these data elements are generally available in the medical record.

General data elements:

- Age
- Race and ethnicity
- Insurance type (Medicaid, Private, Uninsured)
- Benefit type among insured (HMO, PPO, FFS, Medicaid Primary Care Case Management Plan [PCCM], Other)
- ZIP code or State and County of residence (and FIPS where available)

Administrative data with billing and diagnosis codes:

- Asthma-related visits to an emergency department, or hospitalization
- Asthma medication prescriptions

- Insurance benefit type
- ZIP code or State and County of residence (and FIPS where available)
- Race and ethnicity (from hospital administrative data or charts if not in administrative data from plan)

If pharmacy data are not available the measure should be reported with notation that pharmacy data were not used for the assessment of eligibility.

For eligibility purposes, asthma-related medicine refers to long-acting beta-agonist (alone or in combination) or inhaled corticosteroid (alone or in combination), anti-asthmatic combinations, methylxanthines (alone or in combination)

These details incorporate ICD-9 codes only. For the specified ICD-10 codes and a detailed listing of ICD 9 codes see attached spreadsheet in S2.b.

2852 Optimal Asthma Control

Asthma control test date

Enter the date of the most recent asthma control test on or prior to 06/30/2015.

Leave BLANK if an asthma control test was never performed.

- Do NOT enter any test date that occurred after 06/30/2015. A date after the measurement period will create an ERROR upon submission.
- Enter the date of the visit, telephone call, e-visit or other contact during which the asthma control test was administered (e.g., a test administered to the patient via phone).
- Test from another provider is acceptable (not required) if documented in the reporting clinic's record and is more recent than the reporting clinic's test.
- The following are approved, valid asthma control tests and must be giving according to validated age ranges. Age should be calculated as the date the asthma control test was administered. Tests other than the ones listed below will not be accepted.
- o ACT (Asthma Control Test); valid for patients 12 and older.
- o CACT (Child-Asthma Control Test); valid for patients 11 and younger.
- o ACQ (Asthma Control Questionnaire); valid for patients 17 and older.
- o ATAQ (Asthma Therapy and Assessment Questionnaire); valid for patients 5 to 50.

Asthma control test name

Enter a code to indicate the most recent asthma control test (on or prior to 06/30/2015) given to the patient using the codes below. This test name should correspond to the test given on the date in Column U.

Leave BLANK if an asthma control test was never performed.

Leave BLANK if the wrong test was administered to the patient at the visit (e.g., a 12-year-old patient received the C-ACT instead of the ACT).

- 1 = Asthma Control Test (ACT)
- 2 = Child-Asthma Control Test (C-ACT)
- 3 = Asthma Control Questionnaire (ACQ)
- 4 = Asthma Therapy Assessment Questionnaire (ATAQ)
- The test used will be validated using the patient's date of birth and the date the test was given.

Asthma control test score

Enter the score of the most recent asthma control test (on or prior to 06/30/2015). The score should correspond to the test date listed in Column U and to the test name listed in Column V.

Leave BLANK if no control tests exist.

Leave BLANK if the wrong test was administered to the patient (e.g., a 12-year-old patient received the C-ACT instead of the ACT).

- If the test score is blank or not complete, look for an earlier completed asthma control test completed within the measurement period. Update Column U and Column V to reflect the new test date and name.
- Do NOT submit partial or incomplete scores. If there is not a test in the record with a complete score, leave Columns U, V and W blank.

Date of patient reported hospitalizations and emergency department visits

Enter the most recent date within the measurement period that the patient is asked about any hospitalizations and emergency department visits.

Leave BLANK if the patient was not asked about hospitalizations and emergency department visits. A date is necessary for rate calculation. Do NOT leave blank unless there is no data.

• This date must be associated with the patient-reported emergency department and hospitalizations columns during the past 12 months (Columns Y and Z).

Do NOT enter any visit that occurred after 06/30/2015. A date after the measurement period will create an ERROR upon submission.

Number of emergency department visits due to asthma that did NOT result in a hospitalization in the past 12 months (from date of visit)

Enter a numeric value for the number of emergency department (ED) visits due to asthma as stated by the patient (e.g. 0, 1, 2, etc.). Do NOT include urgent care visits.

Leave BLANK if the patient was not asked about emergency department visits or there is no data.

0 = Patient reports "0" or had no ED visits

1= Patient reports "1" ED visits

2= Patient reports "2" ED visits; etc.

A value is necessary for rate calculation. Do NOT leave blank unless there is no data. Enter the value collected and recorded asked and documented on or prior to 06/30/2015. Do NOT enter a number recorded prior to 07/01/2014.

- The patient should respond with a number of visits for the prior 12 months regardless of when the visit occurs if the visit occurs in September of 2014, the previous 12 months would be September 2013 to August 2014. If the visit occurs in January 2015, the previous 12 months would be January 2014 to December 2014.
- Do NOT search for actual emergency department visits in your record system. This value must reflect what the patient reported when asked.
- If using an EMR, consider building a field to capture this data. If using paper, check the progress notes and other documentation from the most recent visit looking backwards.

• To be included in the numerator, the total number of BOTH emergency department visits AND inpatient hospitalizations due to asthma must equal ZERO or ONE.

Number of inpatient hospitalizations due to asthma during the past 12 months (from date of visit)

Enter a numeric value for the number of emergency department visits due to asthma as stated by the patient (e.g. 0, 1, 2, etc.).

Leave BLANK if patient was not asked about hospitalizations or there is no data

0 = Patient reports "0" or had no hospitalizations

1= Patient reports "1" hospitalization

2= Patient reports "2" hospitalizations; etc.

A value is necessary for rate calculation. Do NOT leave blank unless there is no data. Enter the value collected and recorded and documented on or prior to 06/30/2015. Do NOT enter a number recorded prior to 07/01/2014.

- Enter the patient reported number of inpatient hospitalizations due to asthma. The patient should respond with a number of visits for the prior 12 months regardless of when the visit occurs if the visit occurs in September of 2014, the previous 12 months would be September 2013 to August 2014. If the visit occurs in January 2015, the previous 12 months would be January 2014 to December 2014.
- Do NOT search for actual hospitalizations in your record system. This value must reflect what the patient reported when asked.
- If using an EMR, consider building a field to capture this data. If using paper, check the progress notes and other documentation from the most recent visit looking backwards.
- To be included in the numerator, the total number of BOTH emergency department visits AND inpatient hospitalizations due to asthma must equal ZERO or ONE.

Denominator Statement

2794 Rate of Emergency Department Visit Use for Children Managed for Identifiable Asthma: A POMP Measure

The denominator represents the person time experience among eligible children with identifiable asthma. Assessment of eligibility is determined for each child monthly. The total number of child months experienced is summed and divided by 1200 to achieve the units of 100 child years.

2852 Optimal Asthma Control

Patients aged 5 - 50 years at the start of the measurement period who were seen for asthma by an eligible provider in an eligible specialty face-to-face visit at least 2 times during the current or prior year measurement periods AND who were seen for any reason at least once during the measurement period.

Denominator Details

2794 Rate of Emergency Department Visit Use for Children Managed for Identifiable Asthma: A PQMP Measure

The denominator seeks to identify children who have been managed with identifiable asthma.

A descriptive definition for being managed for Identifiable asthma follows. Identifiable asthma needs to be identified in the assessment period for the specific reporting month being assessed.

Specifications follow the descriptive definitions:

- a. Any prior hospitalization with asthma as primary or secondary diagnosis
- b. Other qualifying events after the fifth birthday (age is age at occurrence):
- i. One or more prior ambulatory visits with asthma as the primary diagnosis (this criterion implies an asthma ED visit in the reporting month), OR
- ii. Two or more ambulatory visits with asthma as a diagnosis, OR
- iii. One ambulatory visit with asthma as a diagnosis AND at least one asthma-related prescription, OR
- iv. Two or more ambulatory visits with a diagnosis of bronchitis
- c. Other qualifying events, any age:
- v. Three or more ambulatory visits with diagnosis of asthma or bronchitis, OR
- vi. Two or more ambulatory visits with a diagnosis of asthma and/or bronchitis AND one or more asthma- related prescriptions.

For eligibility purposes, asthma-related medicine means long-acting beta-agonist (alone or in combination) or inhaled corticosteroid (alone or in combination), anti-asthmatic combinations, methylxanthines (alone or in combination), and/or mast cell stabilizers.

If pharmacy data are not available, the measure should be reported with notation that pharmacy data were not used for the assessment of eligibility. This avoids eliminating from the measure those facilities with no link to pharmacies. Our testing reveals that only a very small proportion of patients are excluded by not including pharmacy data to establish eligibility.

For eligibility purposes, asthma-related medicine refers to long-acting beta-agonist (alone or in combination) or inhaled corticosteroid (alone or in combination), anti-asthmatic combinations, methylxanthines (alone or in combination), and or mast cell stabilizers. In order to promote better harmonization, we start with the current HEDIS asthma medication list. From that list, in accordance with our expert panel recommendations we eliminate medications in the following

2 categories: leukotriene modifiers, short-acting inhaled beta-agonists. We further exclude indacaterol, a recently approved long acting beta agonist that is indicated in the US only for teh treatment of COPD. As indicated elesewhere, COPD is an exclusion criterion for this measure. These specifications anticipate that NCQA will update the medication list from time to time and with the stated exclusions updated lists may be substituted for the list linked herein. The table used for testing is labeled Table AMR-A: Asthma Controller and Reliever Medications, and can be found at

http://www.ncqa.org/HEDISQualityMeasurement/HEDISMeasures/HEDIS2015/HEDIS2015 NDCLicense/HEDIS2015FinalNDCLists.aspx (last accessed September 12, 2015).

Denominator Elements:

The presence of identifiable asthma (see Table 1) is established each month from administrative data using the specified algorithm. (Appendix Figure 1 and this section's narrative)

All events in the administrative data should be associated with a date of service.

Eligibility should be obtained using the month by month algorithm described herein and illustrated in Figure 1, which is a fundamental component of this description. The analysis should be conducted on a month by month basis as described herein:

- . Within the group of children who meet the criteria for identifiable asthma, identify and maintain a unique patient identifier, age, and all stratification variables.
- . Determine eligibility for each patient, as of the last day of the month prior to the reporting month.

For example, if the goal is to report for January 2011, first identify children with identifiable asthma (above), and analyze all of calendar year 2010 when doing so. Continuous enrollment criterion requires that the child was enrolled in November and December of 2010.

Next, for February analyze all of calendar year 2010 AND January 2011. Continuous enrollment criterion requires that the child was enrolled in December

2010 and January 2011.

Repeat this progression monthly so that for December, one would identify children with identifiable asthma and analyze all of calendar year 2010 AND January through November 2011 when doing so. Continuous enrollment criterion requires that for December the child was enrolled in October 2011 and November 2011.

See Figure 1 in Appendix, which is incorporated into these specifications by reference.

Codes used for definitions are specified in Appendix Table 1 and summarized herein:

Hospitalization:

CPT Codes: (Any)

CPT 99238 CPT 99232

CPT 99239 CPT 99233

CPT 99221 CPT 99234

CPT 99222 CPT 99235

CPT 99223 CPT 99236

CPT 99356 CPT 99218

CPT 99357 CPT 99219

CPT 99231 CPT 99220

Or Revenue Codes: (Any)

0110	0133
0111	0134
0112	0137
0113	0139
0114	0150
0117	0151
0119	0152
0120	0153
0121	0154
0122	0157

Emergency Department Visits

CPT Codes: (Any)
CPT 99281CPT 99284
CPT 99282CPT 99285

CPT 99283

Or Revenue Codes: (Any) 0450 Emergency Room

0451 Emergency Room: EM/EMTALA

0452 Emergency Room: ER/Beyond EMTALA

0456 Emergency Room: Urgent Care

0459 Emergency Room: Other Emergency Room 0981 Professional Fees (096x) Emergency Room

981 Professional Fees emergency room

Office Visits(Any)

CPT 99201 CPT 99211

CPT 99202 CPT 99212

CPT 99203 CPT 99213

CPT 99204 CPT 99214

CPT 99205 CPT 99215

Diagnosis of Asthma

ICD-9 Codes:

All codes beginning with 493

Alternately, or entities that prefer to use AHRQ's Clinical Classifications Software, the asthma definition before exclusions is CCS class 128. Those using CCS should then apply the exclusions.

Filled Prescriptions for Asthma-related Medications as specified in this section above.

Please note Figure 1 and Table 1 in the attached Appendix are considered INTEGRAL to these specifications and are not optional.

These details incorporate ICD-9 codes only. For the specified ICD-10 codes and a detailed listing of ICD 9 codes see attached spreadsheet in S2.b.

2852 Optimal Asthma Control

Patients who meet each of the following criteria are included in the population:

- Patient was age 5 to 50 years at the start of the measurement period (date of birth was on or between 07/01/1964 to 07/01/2009).
- o Age 5 to 17 years at the start of the measurement period (date of birth was on or between 07/01/1997 to 07/01/2009).
- o Age 18 to 50 years at the start of the measurement period (date of birth was one or between 07/01/1964 to 06/30/1997).
- Patient was seen by an eligible provider in an eligible specialty face-to-face visit at least two times during the last two measurement periods (07/01/2013 to 06/30/2015) with visits coded with an asthma ICD-9 code (in any position, not only primary). Use this date of service range when querying the practice management or EMR system to allow a count of the visits.
- Patient was seen by an eligible provider in an eligible specialty face-to-face visit at least one time during the measurement period (07/01/2014 to 06/30/2015) for any reason. This may or may not include a face-to-face visit with an asthma ICD-9 code.
- Diagnosis of asthma; ICD-9 diagnosis codes include: 493.00 to 493.12, 493.81 to 493.92. Eligible specialties: Family Practice, General Practice, Internal Medicine, Pediatrics, Allergy/Immunology, and Pulmonology.

Eligible providers: Medical Doctor (MD), Doctor of Osteopathy (DO), Physician Assistant (PA), Advanced Practice Registered Nurses (APRN).

Exclusions

2794 Rate of Emergency Department Visit Use for Children Managed for Identifiable Asthma: A POMP Measure

Children with concurrent or pre-existing: Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) diagnosis (ICD-9 Code: 496), Cystic Fibrosis diagnosis (ICD-9 code 277.0, 277.01. 277.02, 277.03, 277.09), or Emphysema diagnosis (ICD-9 code 492xx).

These exclusion incorporate ICD-9 codes only. For the specified ICD-10 codes and a detailed listing of ICD 9 codes see attached spreadsheet in S2.b.

Children who have not been consecutively enrolled in the reporting plan for at least two months prior to the index reporting month and for the reporting month (a total of three consecutive months ending in the reporting month).

2852 Optimal Asthma Control

Valid exclusions include patients who are nursing home residents, in hospice or palliative care, have died or who have COPD, emphysema, cystic fibrosis or acute respiratory failure.

Exclusion Details

2794 Rate of Emergency Department Visit Use for Children Managed for Identifiable Asthma: A PQMP Measure

See S.10 above. Also, for entities that use AHRQ's Clinical Classifications Software, apply the exclusion after identifying visits that satisfy CCS class 128.

These details incorporate ICD-9 codes only. For the specified ICD-10 codes and a detailed listing of ICD 9 codes see attached spreadsheet in S2.b.

2852 Optimal Asthma Control

Patient was a permanent nursing home resident during the measurement period.

Patient was in hospice or palliative care at any time during the measurement period.

Patient died prior to the end of the measurement period.

Documentation that diagnosis was coded in error.

Patient has COPD (codes 491.2, 493.2x, 496, 506.4)

Patient has emphysema (codes 492, 506.4, 518.1, 518.2)

Patient has cystic fibrosis (code 277.0)

Patient has acute respiratory failure (code 518.81)

Risk Adjustment

2794 Rate of Emergency Department Visit Use for Children Managed for Identifiable Asthma: A PQMP Measure

Other In order to allow for more granular comparisons this measure is specified to be stratified. Stratification for risk adjustment of this measure would not be justified by the literature. Although epidemiological findings support our stratification schema, n N/A

2852 Optimal Asthma Control

Statistical risk model

Risk adjustment model is estimated using a logistic model implemented in the SAS Procedure Glimmix that accounts for the measure's non-continuous (binary) nature.

The dependent variable is Optimal Asthma Control. Risk factor variables include patient age, gender, insurance product, patient's zip code, race/ethnicity and preferred language.

Risk Model is available in attached Excel or csv file at S.2b

Stratification

2794 Rate of Emergency Department Visit Use for Children Managed for Identifiable Asthma: A PQMP Measure

Specifications for this measure requires stratification by age group and race/ethnicity. Several additional stratifications are optional but may be required by the accountability entity or reported by the reporting entity. These variables include rurality

2852 Optimal Asthma Control

Patient age group (children 5-17 years, adults 18-50 years)

Patient gender

Patient 5 digit zip code, primary residence

Race and ethnicity code or codes (up to 5) as defined in the MNCM REL Data Field Specifications and Codes

Country of origin as defined in the MNCM REL Data Field Specifications and Codes Primary language as defined in the MNCM REL Data Field Specifications and Codes Insurance coverage code as defined in the MNCM Insurance Coverage Data Field Specifications and Codes

Type Score

2794 Rate of Emergency Department Visit Use for Children Managed for Identifiable Asthma: A PQMP Measure

Rate/proportion better quality = lower score

2852 Optimal Asthma Control

Rate/proportion better quality = higher score

Algorithm

2794 Rate of Emergency Department Visit Use for Children Managed for Identifiable Asthma: A POMP Measure

Step 1: Measure person-time eligible for each patient and record by month.

a. For each month in the reporting year, identify all children ages 2-21 years who meet the criteria for Identifiable asthma during the assessment period. The assessment period is defined as the year prior to the reporting year plus all months in the reporting year prior to the reporting month.

Identify and maintain a unique patient identifier and all stratification variables.

To illustrate: if the goal is to report for January 2011, first one would identify children with Identifiable asthma using the criteria, and analyze all of calendar year 2010 when doing so. Continuous enrollment criterion requires that the child was enrolled in November and December of 2010, as well as January 2011. This total represents the number of personmonths (child-months) for January.

Next, for February: one would identify children with Identifiable asthma using the criteria, and analyze all of calendar year 2010 AND January 2011 when doing so. Continuous enrollment criterion requires that the child was enrolled in December 2010 and January 2011, as well as February 2011. This is the number of person-months (child-months) for February. Repeat this progression monthly so that for December, one would identify children with Identifiable asthma and analyze all of calendar year 2010 AND January through November 2011 when doing so. Continuous enrollment criterion requires that the child was enrolled in October 2011 and November 2011, as well as December 2011. This is the number of person-months (child-months) for December.

b. Sum all months that are eligible from the reporting year. This sum is the denominator in people-months. Divide by 1200. This is denominator in 100 people-years. This is the denominator for the year.

Step 2: Month by month, considering the definitions above, identify the number of discrete numerator events:

- a. Identify the number and date of ED visits with asthma as a primary or secondary diagnosis among those children who are eligible for that reporting month.
- b. Identify the number and date of inpatient hospitalizations with asthma as a primary or secondary diagnosis among those children who are eligible for that reporting month.

- c. Identify the number of discrete numerator events. Consecutive days with inpatient hospital codes are considered one hospitalization. Hospitalizations on day of or day after ED visit are NOT considered discrete from the ED visit.
- d. Sum the number of numerator events across the year.
- e. Maintain stratification variables and unique identifiers.
- Step 3. Calculate rate as Numerator / Denominator. While this measure is specified for the year, it has also been validated to demonstrate seasonality using monthly rates.
- Step 4. Calculate stratification variables as specified in S.12.
- Step 5. Repeat by strata. Within age strata repeat by other specified strata. Perform other cross tabulations as requested by the accountability entity. Eliminate any strata with less than 40 person-months in any month's denominator OR less than 1000 person-months for the year.

Appendix 1, Figure A.1 illustrates the calculation of person-time and is considered fundamental to this calculation algorithm. Available in attached appendix at A.1

2852 Optimal Asthma Control

"The measure is calculated by submitting a file of individual patient values through a HIPAA secure data portal. Programming within the data portal determines if each patient is a numerator case and then a rate is calculated for each clinic site.

1)Is the patient's DOB within the allowable time frame?

Yes>>Continue

No>>Patient not included in denominator

2)Has the patient had two office visits coded with an asthma diagnosis during the current and year prior to the measurement period?

Yes>>Continue

No>>Patient not included in denominator

3) Has the patient had one office visit for any reason during the measurement period?

Yes>> Patient included in denominator, continue

No>> Patient not included in denominator

4) Did the patient have an asthma control test within the measurement period?

Yes>> Continue

No>> Patient not included in numerator

5) Is the asthma control test tool used acceptable for the patient's age?

Yes>> Continue

No>> Patient not included in numerator

6) Is the value of the control test equivalent to ""in control""?

Yes>> Continue

No>> Patient not included in numerator

7) During the measurement period, was the patient asked about any hospitalizations or emergency department visits due to asthma in the 12 months prior?

Yes>>Continue

No>> Patient not included in numerator

8) Was the sum of patient reported emergency department visits and hospitalizations due to asthma in the prior 12 months equal to 0 or 1?

Yes>> Patient included in numerator

No>> Patient not included in numerator"

Available in attached appendix at A.1

Submission items

2794 Rate of Emergency Department Visit Use for Children Managed for Identifiable Asthma: A PQMP Measure

- 5.1 Identified measures:
- 5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized? No

5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact: Our definition of identifiable asthma is more inclusive than, for example, NCQA's persistent asthma construct. We use similar medication definitions as NCQA, except we exclude leukotriene inhibitors from asthma-related medications because our expert panel felt that these medications were used frequently for allergy patients and judged that the small gain in sensitivity of identifying children (considering all criteria) would be less than the loss in sensitivity and likelihood to include non-asthmatic children with allergies. Our specifications have been validated by an expert panel in the context of a peer reviewed process commissioned by AHRQ and CMS to advance the field and science of pediatric quality measurement beyond the state represented in pre-existing measures. The specification of a person-time denominator allows for the measure to have a shorter requirement for continuous enrollment than other measures with less risk of bias than previous measures.

5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value:

2852 Optimal Asthma Control

- 5.1 Identified measures:
- 5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized? Yes
- 5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact:
- 5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value:

Comparison of NQF #0047 and NQF #1799 and NQF #1800

0047 Asthma: Pharmacologic Therapy for Persistent Asthma 1799 Medication Management for People with Asthma 1800 Asthma Medication Ratio

Steward

0047 Asthma: Pharmacologic Therapy for Persistent Asthma

The American Academy of Asthma Allergy and Immunology

1799 Medication Management for People with Asthma

National Committee for Quality Assurance

1800 Asthma Medication Ratio

National Committee for Quality Assurance

Description

0047 Asthma: Pharmacologic Therapy for Persistent Asthma

Percentage of patients aged 5 years and older with a diagnosis of persistent asthma who were prescribed long-term control medication

Three rates are reported for this measure:

- 1. Patients prescribed inhaled corticosteroids (ICS) as their long term control medication
- 2. Patients prescribed other alternative long term control medications (non-ICS)
- 3. Total patients prescribed long-term control medication

1799 Medication Management for People with Asthma

The percentage of patients 5-64 years of age during the measurement year who were identified as having persistent asthma and were dispensed appropriate medications that they remained on during the treatment period. Two rates are reported.

- 1. The percentage of patients who remained on an asthma controller medication for at least 50% of their treatment period.
- 2. The percentage of patients who remained on an asthma controller medication for at least 75% of their treatment period.

1800 Asthma Medication Ratio

The percentage of patients 5–64 years of age who were identified as having persistent asthma and had a ratio of controller medications to total asthma medications of 0.50 or greater during the measurement year.

Type

0047 Asthma: Pharmacologic Therapy for Persistent Asthma

Process

1799 Medication Management for People with Asthma

Process

1800 Asthma Medication Ratio

Process

Data Source

0047 Asthma: Pharmacologic Therapy for Persistent Asthma

Administrative claims, Electronic Clinical Data, Paper Medical Records, Electronic Clinical Data: Registry Not Applicable

Attachment Asthma_Pharma_NQF_0047_ICD-10_code_definitions.xlsx

1799 Medication Management for People with Asthma

Administrative claims This measure is based on administrative claims collected in the course of providing care to health plan members. NCQA collects the Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set (HEDIS) data for this measure directly from Health Management Organizations and Preferred Provider Organizations via NCQA's online data submission system.

No data collection instrument provided Attachment 1799_MMA_Value_Sets.xlsx

1800 Asthma Medication Ratio

Administrative claims This measure is based on administrative claims collected in the course of providing care to health plan members. NCQA collects the Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set (HEDIS) data for this measure directly from Health Management Organizations and Preferred Provider Organizations via NCQA's online data submission system.

No data collection instrument provided Attachment 1800_AMR_Value_Sets.xlsx

Level

0047 Asthma: Pharmacologic Therapy for Persistent Asthma

Clinician: Group/Practice, Clinician: Individual

1799 Medication Management for People with Asthma

Health Plan, Integrated Delivery System

1800 Asthma Medication Ratio

Health Plan, Integrated Delivery System

Setting

0047 Asthma: Pharmacologic Therapy for Persistent Asthma

Ambulatory Care: Clinician Office/Clinic

1799 Medication Management for People with Asthma

Ambulatory Care: Clinician Office/Clinic

1800 Asthma Medication Ratio

Ambulatory Care: Clinician Office/Clinic

Time Window

0047 Asthma: Pharmacologic Therapy for Persistent Asthma

Once during the measurement period

1799 Medication Management for People with Asthma

Numerator: 12 month period (the measurement year)

Denominator: 24 month period (the measurement year and the year prior) Exclusions: lookback through the patient's history through the last day of the measurement year

1800 Asthma Medication Ratio

Numerator: 12 month period (the measurement year)

Denominator: 24 month period (the measurement year and the year prior) Exclusions: lookback through the patient's history through the last day of the

measurement year

Numerator Statement

0047 Asthma: Pharmacologic Therapy for Persistent Asthma

Patients who were prescribed long-term control medication

1799 Medication Management for People with Asthma

Numerator 1 (Medication Adherence 50%): The number of patients who achieved a PDC* of at least 50% for their asthma controller medications during the measurement year. A higher rate is better.

Numerator 2 (Medication Adherence 75%): The number of patients who achieved a PDC* of at least 75% for their asthma controller medications during the measurement year. A higher rate is better.

*PDC is the proportion of days covered by at least one asthma controller medication prescription, divided by the number of days in the treatment period. The treatment period is the period of time beginning on the earliest prescription dispensing date for any asthma controller medication during the measurement year through the last day of the measurement year.

1800 Asthma Medication Ratio

The number of patients who had a ratio of controller medications to total asthma medications of 0.50 or greater during the measurement year.

Numerator Details

0047 Asthma: Pharmacologic Therapy for Persistent Asthma

Patients who were prescribed long-term control medication

Definition:

Long-Term Control Medication Includes: Patients prescribed inhaled corticosteroids (the preferred long-term control medication at any step of asthma pharmacological therapy) OR

Patients prescribed alternative long-term control medications (inhaled steroid combinations, asthma biologic agents, leukotriene modifiers)

Prescribed: May include prescription given to the patient for inhaled corticosteroid OR an acceptable alternative long-term control medication at one or more visits in the 12-month period OR patient already taking inhaled corticosteroid OR an acceptable alternative long-term control medication as documented in current medication list.

Table 1: Preferred Asthma Control Medication - Inhaled Corticosteroids beclomethasone

budesonide

ciclesonide

flunisolide

fluticasone

mometasone

Table 2: Alternative Long-term Control Medications

Inhaled steroid combinations: budesonide-formoterol; fluticasone-salmeterol; fluticasone-vilanterol; mometasone-formoterol

Asthma biologic agents: mepolizumab; omalizumab Leukotriene modifiers: montelukast; zafirlukast; zileuton

For Claims:

Report CPT Category II code:

Performance Met: Inhaled corticosteroids prescribed (4140F)

OR

Performance Met: Alternative long-term control medication prescribed (4144F)

OR

Patient Performance Exclusion: Documentation of patient reason(s) for not prescribing inhaled corticosteroids or alternative long-term control medication (eg, patient declined, other patient reason) (4140F with 2P)

OR

Performance Not Met: Inhaled corticosteroids or alternative long-term control medication not prescribed, reason not otherwise specified (4140F with 8P)

1799 Medication Management for People with Asthma

Follow the steps below to identify numerator compliance.

Step 1: Identify the Index Prescription Start Date*. The Index Prescription Start Date is the earliest dispensing event for any asthma controller medication (refer to MMA-B Asthma Controller Medications) during the measurement year.

Step 2: To determine the treatment period, calculate the number of days beginning on the Index Prescription Start Date through the end of the measurement year.

Step 3: Count the days covered by at least one prescription for an asthma controller medication (refer to MMA-B Asthma Controller Medications) during the treatment period. To ensure that days supply that extends beyond the measurement year is not counted, subtract any days supply that extends beyond the end of the of the measurement year (e.g., December 31).

Step 4: Calculate the patient's Proportion of Days Covered using the following equation. Round (using the .5 rule) to two decimal places.

(Total Days Covered by a Controller Medication in the Treatment Period (Step 3)

/Total Days in Treatment Period (Step 2))

Numerator 1 (Medication Adherence 50%): Sum the number of patients whose Proportion of Days Covered is > or =50% for their treatment period.

Numerator 2 (Medication Adherence 75%): Sum the number of patients whose Proportion of Days Covered is > or =75% for their treatment period

MMA-B: Asthma Controller Medications:

Antiasthmatic combinations: dyphylline-guaifenesin, guaifenesin-theophylline

Antibody inhibitor: omalizumab

Inhaled steroid combinations: budesonide-formoterol, fluticasone-salmeterol,

mometasone-formoterol

Inhaled corticosteroids: beclomethasone, budesonide, ciclesonide, flunisolide, fluticasone

CFC free, mometasone,

Leukotriene modifiers: montelukast, zafirlukast, zileuton

Mast cell stabilizers: cromolyn

Methylxanthines: aminophylline, dyphylline, theophylline

1800 Asthma Medication Ratio

Follow the steps below to identify numerator compliance.

Step 1: For each patient, count the units of controller medications (see AMR-A) dispensed during the measurement year. When identifying medication units for the numerator, count each individual medication, defined as an amount lasting 30 days or less, as one medication unit. One medication unit equals one inhaler canister, one injection, or a 30-day or less supply of an oral medication. For example, two inhaler canisters of the same medication dispensed on the same day count as two medication units and only one dispensing event. Use the package size and units columns in the NDC list to determine the number of canisters or injections. Divide the dispensed amount by the package size to determine the number of canisters or injections dispensed. For example, if the package size for an inhaled medication is 10g and pharmacy data indicates the dispensed amount is 30 g, this indicates 3 inhaler canisters were dispensed.

Step 2: For each patient, count the units of reliever medications (see AMR-A) dispensed during the measurement year.

Step 3: For each patient, sum the units calculated in step 1 and step 2 to determine units of total asthma medications.

Step 4: For each patient, calculate the ratio of controller medications to total asthma medications using the following formula:

Units of Controller Medications (Step 1)/ Units of Total Asthma Medications (Step 3)

Step 5: Sum the total number of patients who have a ratio of 0.50 or greater in step 4.

AMR-A: Asthma Controller and Reliever Medications

Asthma Controller Medications:

- -Antiasthmatic combinations: dyphylline-guaifenesin; guaifenesin-theophylline
- -Antibody inhibitors: omalizumab
- -Inhaled steroid combinations: budesonide-formoterol; fluticasone-salmeterol; mometasone-formoterol
- -Inhaled corticosteroids: beclomethasone; budesonide; ciclesonide; flunisolide; fluticasone CFC free; mometasone
- -Leukotriene modifiers: montelukast; zafirlukast; zileuton

- -Mast cell stabilizers: cromolyn
- -Methylxanthines: aminophylline; dyphylline; theophylline.

Asthma Reliever Medications:

-Short-acting, inhaled beta-2 Agonists: albuterol; levalbuterol; pirbuterol.

Denominator Statement

0047 Asthma: Pharmacologic Therapy for Persistent Asthma

All patients aged 5 years and older with a diagnosis of persistent asthma

1799 Medication Management for People with Asthma

All patients 5–64 years of age as of December 31 of the measurement year who have persistent asthma by meeting at least one of the following criteria during both the measurement year and the year prior to the measurement year:

- At least one emergency department visit with asthma as the principal diagnosis
- At least one acute inpatient claim/encounter with asthma as the principal diagnosis
- At least four outpatient visits or observation visits on different dates of service, with any diagnosis of asthma AND at least two asthma medication dispensing events. Visit type need not be the same for the four visits.
- At least four asthma medication dispensing events

1800 Asthma Medication Ratio

All patients 5–64 years of age as of December 31 of the measurement year who have persistent asthma by meeting at least one of the following criteria during both the measurement year and the year prior to the measurement year:

- At least one emergency department visit with asthma as the principal diagnosis
- At least one acute inpatient claim/encounter with asthma as the principal diagnosis
- At least four outpatient visits or observation visits on different dates of service, with any diagnosis of asthma AND at least two asthma medication dispensing events. Visit type need not be the same for the four visits.
- At least four asthma medication dispensing events

Denominator Details

0047 Asthma: Pharmacologic Therapy for Persistent Asthma

All patients aged 5 years and older with a diagnosis of persistent asthma

Denominator Instructions: Documentation of persistent asthma must be present. One method of identifying persistent asthma is, at a minimum, more than twice a week but not daily use of short-acting bronchodilators for mild-persistent asthma, daily use for moderate persistent asthma; and several times a day for severe persistent asthma.

Denominator Criteria (Eligible Cases):

Patients aged = 5 years on date of encounter

AND

Diagnosis for asthma (ICD-10-CM): J45.30, J45.31, J45.32, J45.40, J45.41, J45.42, J45.50, J45.51, J45.52, J45.901, J45.902, J45.909, J45.990, J45.991, J45.998

AND

Patient encounter during the reporting period (CPT): 99201, 99202, 99203, 99204, 99205, 99212, 99213, 99214, 99215, 99341, 99342, 99343, 99344, 99345, 99347, 99348, 99349, 99350

AND

Persistent Asthma (mild, moderate or severe): 1038F

**Note: If ICD-10 CM codes J45.30-J45.52 are used to identify the denominator, CPT II code for 1038F is not required; these ICD-10 CM codes capture "persistent asthma".

1799 Medication Management for People with Asthma

The eligible population for the denominator is defined by following the series of steps below:

Step 1: Identify patients as having persistent asthma who met at least one of the following criteria during both the measurement year and the year prior to the measurement year. Criteria need not be the same across both years.

- At least one ED visit (refer to codes in ED Value Set) with asthma as the principal diagnosis (refer to codes in Asthma Value Set).
- At least one acute inpatient claim/encounter (refer to codes in Acute Inpatient Value Set) with asthma as the principal diagnosis (refer to codes in Asthma Value Set).
- At least four outpatient visits (refer to codes in Outpatient Value Set) or observation visits (refer to codes in Observation Value Set) on different dates of service, with any diagnosis of asthma (refer to codes in Asthma Value Set) AND at least two asthma medication dispensing events (see MMA-A). Visit type need not be the same for the four visits.
- At least four asthma medication dispensing events (see MMA-A)

Step 2: A patient identified as having persistent asthma because of at least four asthma medication dispensing events, where leukotriene modifiers or antibody inhibitors were the sole asthma medication dispensed in that year, must also have at least one diagnosis of asthma (refer to codes in Asthma Value Set), in any setting, in the same year as the leukotriene modifier or antibody inhibitor (i.e., measurement year or year prior to the measurement year).

See attached value set Excel document for the following value sets:

- ED Value Set
- Asthma Value Set
- Acute Inpatient Value Set
- Outpatient Value Set
- Observation Value Set

MMA-A: Asthma Medications

Antiasthmatic combinations: dyphylline-guaifenesin; guaifenesin-theophylline

Antibody inhibitor: omalizumab

Inhaled steroid combinations: budesonide-formoterol; fluticasone-salmeterol;

Mometasone-formoterol

Inhaled corticosteroids: beclomethasone; budesonide; ciclesonide; flunisolide; fluticasone

CFC free; mometasone

Leukotriene modifiers: montelukast; zafirlukast; zileuton

Mast cell stabilizers: cromolyn

Methylxanthines: aminophylline; dyphylline; theophylline

Short-acting, inhaled beta-2 Agonists: albuterol; levalbuterol; metaproterenol; pirbuterol

1800 Asthma Medication Ratio

The eligible population for the denominator is defined by following the series of steps below:

Step 1: Identify patients as having persistent asthma who met at least one of the following criteria during both the measurement year and the year prior to the measurement year. Criteria need not be the same across both years.

- At least one ED visit (refer to codes in ED Value Set) with asthma as the principal diagnosis (refer to codes in Asthma Value Set).
- At least one acute inpatient claim/encounter (refer to codes in Acute Inpatient Value Set) with asthma as the principal diagnosis (refer to codes in Asthma Value Set).
- At least four outpatient visits (refer to codes in Outpatient Value Set) or observation visits (refer to codes in Observation Value Set) on different dates of service, with any diagnosis of asthma (refer to codes in Asthma Value Set) AND at least two asthma medication dispensing events (see MMA-A). Visit type need not be the same for the four visits.
- At least four asthma medication dispensing events (see MMA-A)

Step 2: A patient identified as having persistent asthma because of at least four asthma medication dispensing events, where leukotriene modifiers or antibody inhibitors were the sole asthma medication dispensed in that year, must also have at least one diagnosis of asthma (refer to codes in Asthma Value Set), in any setting, in the same year as the leukotriene modifier or antibody inhibitor (i.e., measurement year or year prior to the measurement year).

See attached value set Excel document for the following value sets:

- ED Value Set
- Asthma Value Set
- Acute Inpatient Value Set
- Outpatient Value Set
- Observation Value Set

MMA-A: Asthma Medications

Antiasthmatic combinations: dyphylline-guaifenesin; guaifenesin-theophylline

Antibody inhibitor: omalizumab

Inhaled steroid combinations: budesonide-formoterol; fluticasone-salmeterol;

Mometasone-formoterol

Inhaled corticosteroids: beclomethasone; budesonide; ciclesonide; flunisolide; fluticasone

CFC free; mometasone

Leukotriene modifiers: montelukast; zafirlukast; zileuton

Mast cell stabilizers: cromolyn

Methylxanthines: aminophylline; dyphylline; theophylline

Short-acting, inhaled beta-2 Agonists: albuterol; levalbuterol; metaproterenol; pirbuterol

Exclusions

0047 Asthma: Pharmacologic Therapy for Persistent Asthma

Denominator Exceptions:

Documentation of patient reason(s) for not prescribing inhaled corticosteroids or alternative long-term control medication (eg, patient declined, other patient reason)

The AAAAI follows PCPI exception methodology and PCPI distinguishes between measure exceptions and measure exclusions. Exclusions arise when patients who are included in the initial patient or eligible population for a measure do not meet the denominator criteria specific to the intervention required by the numerator. Exclusions are absolute and apply to all patients and therefore are not part of clinical judgment within a measure.

For this measure, exceptions may include patient reason(s) (eg, patient declined). Although this methodology does not require the external reporting of more detailed exception data, the AAAAI recommends that physicians document the specific reasons for exception in patients' medical records for purposes of optimal patient management and audit-readiness. In further accordance with PCPI exception methodology, the AAAAI advocates the systematic review and analysis of each physician's exceptions data to identify practice patterns and opportunities for quality improvement.

1799 Medication Management for People with Asthma

- 1) Exclude patients who had any of the following diagnoses any time during the patient's history through the end of the measurement year (e.g., December 31):
- -COPD
- -Emphysema
- -Obstructive Chronic Bronchitis
- -Chronic Respiratory Conditions Due To Fumes/Vapors
- -Cystic Fibrosis
- -Acute Respiratory Failure
- 2) Exclude any patients who had no asthma controller medications dispensed during the measurement year.

1800 Asthma Medication Ratio

Exclude patients who had any of the following diagnoses any time during the patient's history through the end of the measurement year (e.g., December 31):

- -COPD
- -Emphysema
- -Obstructive Chronic Bronchitis
- -Chronic Respiratory Conditions Due To Fumes/Vapors
- -Cystic Fibrosis
- -Acute Respiratory Failure

Exclude any patients who had no asthma medications (controller or reliever) dispensed during the measurement year.

Exclusion Details

0047 Asthma: Pharmacologic Therapy for Persistent Asthma

For Claims:

Report CPT Category II code with modifier:

4140F-2P: Documentation of patient reason(s) for not prescribing inhaled corticosteroids or alternative long-term control medication (eg, patient declined, other patient reason)

1799 Medication Management for People with Asthma

1) Exclude patients who had any diagnosis of Emphysema (refer to codes in Emphysema Value Set or Other Emphysema Value Set), COPD (refer to codes in COPD Value Set), Chronic Bronchitis (refer to codes in Obstructive Chronic Bronchitis Value Set), Chronic Respiratory Conditions Due To Fumes/Vapors (refer to codes in Chronic Respiratory Conditions Due to Fumes/Vapors Value Set), Cystic Fibrosis (refer to codes in Cystic Fibrosis Value Set) or Acute Respiratory Failure (refer to codes in Acute Respiratory Failure Value Set) any time during the patient's history through the end of the measurement year (e.g., December 31).

2) Exclude any patients who had no asthma controller medications (see MMA-B) dispensed during the measurement year.

See attached value set Excel document for the following value sets:

- Emphysema Value Set
- Other Emphysema Value Set
- COPD Value Set
- Obstructive Chronic Bronchitis Value Set
- Chronic Respiratory Conditions Due to Fumes/Vapors Value Set
- Cystic Fibrosis Value Set
- Acute Respiratory Failure Value Set

MMA-B: Asthma Controller Medications:

Antiasthmatic combinations: dyphylline-guaifenesin, guaifenesin-theophylline

Antibody inhibitor: omalizumab

 $Inhaled\ steroid\ combinations:\ budes on ide-formoterol,\ flutic as one-salmeterol,$

mometasone-formoterol

Inhaled corticosteroids: beclomethasone, budesonide, ciclesonide, flunisolide, fluticasone

CFC free, mometasone

Leukotriene modifiers: montelukast, zafirlukast, zileuton

Mast cell stabilizers: cromolyn

Methylxanthines: aminophylline, dyphylline, theophylline

1800 Asthma Medication Ratio

1) Exclude patients who had any diagnosis of Emphysema (refer to codes in Emphysema Value Set or Other Emphysema Value Set), COPD (refer to codes in COPD Value Set), Chronic Bronchitis (refer to codes in Obstructive Chronic Bronchitis Value Set), Chronic Respiratory Conditions Due To Fumes/Vapors (refer to codes in Chronic Respiratory Conditions Due to Fumes/Vapors Value Set), Cystic Fibrosis (refer to codes in Cystic Fibrosis

Value Set) or Acute Respiratory Failure (refer to codes in Acute Respiratory Failure Value Set) any time during the patient's history through the end of the measurement year (e.g., December 31).

2) Exclude any patients who had no asthma medications (controller or reliever) (see AMR-A) dispensed during the measurement year.

See attached value set Excel document for the following value sets:

- Emphysema Value Set
- Other Emphysema Value Set
- COPD Value Set
- Obstructive Chronic Bronchitis Value Set
- Chronic Respiratory Conditions Due to Fumes/Vapors Value Set
- Cystic Fibrosis Value Set
- Acute Respiratory Failure Value Set

AMR-A: Asthma Controller and Reliever Medications:

Asthma Controller Medications:

Antiasthmatic combinations: dyphylline-guaifenesin; guaifenesin-theophylline

Antibody inhibitors: omalizumab

Inhaled steroid combinations: budesonide-formoterol; fluticasone-salmeterol;

mometasone-formoterol

Inhaled corticosteroids: beclomethasone; budesonide; ciclesonide; flunisolide; fluticasone

CFC free; mometasone;

Leukotriene modifiers: montelukast; zafirlukast; zileuton

Mast cell stabilizers: cromolyn

Methylxanthines: aminophylline; dyphylline; theophylline.

Asthma Reliever Medications:

Short-acting, inhaled beta-2 Agonists: albuterol; levalbuterol; pirbuterol.

Risk Adjustment

0047 Asthma: Pharmacologic Therapy for Persistent Asthma

No risk adjustment or risk stratification

1799 Medication Management for People with Asthma

No risk adjustment or risk stratification

N/A

1800 Asthma Medication Ratio

No risk adjustment or risk stratification

N/A

Stratification

0047 Asthma: Pharmacologic Therapy for Persistent Asthma

1799 Medication Management for People with Asthma

Four age stratifications and a total rate are reported for this measure. Age for each stratum is based on the patient's age as of the end of the Measurement Year (e.g., December 31).

- 1) 5-11 years
- 2) 12-18 years
- 3) 19-50 years
- 4) 51-64 years
- 5) Total (5-

1800 Asthma Medication Ratio

Four age stratifications and a total rate are reported for this measure. Age for each stratum is based on the patient's age as of the end of the Measurement Year (e.g., December 31).

- 1) 5-11 years
- 2) 12-18 years
- 3) 19-50 years
- 4) 51-64 years
- 5) Total (5-

Type Score

0047 Asthma: Pharmacologic Therapy for Persistent Asthma

Rate/proportion better quality = higher score

1799 Medication Management for People with Asthma

Rate/proportion better quality = higher score

1800 Asthma Medication Ratio

Rate/proportion better quality = higher score

Algorithm

0047 Asthma: Pharmacologic Therapy for Persistent Asthma

To calculate performance rates:

- 1) Find the patients who meet the initial patient population (ie, the general group of patients that the performance measure is designed to address).
- 2) From the patients within the initial patient population criteria, find the patients who qualify for the denominator (ie, the specific group of patients for inclusion in a specific performance measure based on defined criteria). Note: in some cases the initial patient population and denominator are identical.
- 3) From the patients within the denominator, find the patients who qualify for the numerator (ie, the group of patients in the denominator for whom a process or outcome of care occurs). Validate that the number of patients in the numerator is less than or equal to the number of patients in the denominator.

4) From the patients who did not meet the numerator criteria, determine if the physician has documented that the patient meets any criteria for denominator exception when exceptions have been specified. If the patient meets any exception criteria, they should be removed from the denominator for performance calculation. —Although exception cases are removed from the denominator population for the performance calculation, the number of patients with valid exceptions should be calculated and reported along with performance rates to track variations in care and highlight possible areas of focus for QI.

If the patient does not meet the numerator and a valid exception is not present, this case represents a quality failure. No diagram provided

1799 Medication Management for People with Asthma

Refer to items S.6 (Numerator details), S.9 (Denominator details), S.11 (Denominator exclusions details) and S.2b (Data Dictionary) for tables.

This measure determines the number of days covered with a controller medication based on information available from the published NDC codes to calculate adherence to asthma medications. The measure calculation is detailed in the steps listed below:

Step 1: Determine the eligible population: Identify patients 5–64 years of age as of December 31 of the measurement year as having persistent asthma who met at least one of the following criteria during both the measurement year and the year prior to the measurement year. Criteria need not be the same across both year:

- a) At least one ED visit with asthma as the principal diagnosis; or
- b) At least one acute inpatient claim/encounter with asthma as the principal diagnosis; or
- c) At least four outpatient visits or observation visits on different dates of service, with any diagnosis of asthma AND at least two asthma medication dispensing events. Visit type need not be the same for the four visits; or
- d) At least four asthma medication dispensing events*
- *A patient identified as having persistent asthma because of at least four asthma medication dispensing events where leukotriene modifiers or antibody inhibitors were the sole asthma medication dispensed in that year, must also have at least one diagnosis of asthma, in any setting, in the same year as the leukotriene modifier or antibody inhibitor (i.e., measurement year or year prior to the measurement year).

Step 2: Determine denominator exclusions:

- a) Exclude patients who had any diagnosis of Emphysema, COPD, Chronic Bronchitis, Chronic Respiratory Conditions Due to Fumes/Vapors, Cystic Fibrosis or Acute Respiratory Failure any time during the patient's history through the end of the measurement year
- b) Exclude patients who had no asthma controller medications dispensed during the measurement year.

Step 3: Determine numerator:

- a) Identify the Index Prescription Start Date. The Index Prescription Start Date is the earliest dispensing event for any asthma controller medication during the measurement year.
- b) To determine the treatment period, calculate the number of days beginning on the Index Prescription Start Date through the end of the measurement year.
- c) Count the days covered by at least one prescription for an asthma controller medication during the treatment period. To ensure that days supply that extends beyond the

measurement year is not counted, subtract any days supply that extends beyond the end of the of the measurement year (e.g., December 31).

d) Calculate the patient's Proportion of Days Covered using the following equation. Round (using the .5 rule) to two decimal places:

(Total Days Covered by a Controller Medication in the Treatment Period/Total Days in Treatment Period)

- e) Calculate Numerator 1: Sum the number of patients whose Proportion of Days Covered is > or =50% for their treatment period.
- f) Calculate Numerator 2: Sum the number of patients whose Proportion of Days Covered is > or =75% for their treatment period

Step 4: Calculate two rates:

- a) Number of patients whose PDC is > or =50% for their treatment period/Denominator
- b) Number of patients whose PDC is > or =75% for their treatment period/Denominator No diagram provided

1800 Asthma Medication Ratio

Refer to items S.6 (Numerator details), S.9 (Denominator details), S.11 (Denominator exclusions details) and S.2b (Data Dictionary) for tables.

This measure determines the percentage of patients with persistent asthma who had a ratio of controller medications to total asthma medications of 0.50 or greater based on information available from the published NDC codes. The measure calculation is detailed in the steps listed below:

Step 1: Determine the eligible population: Identify patients 5–64 years of age as of December 31 of the measurement year as having persistent asthma who met at least one of the following criteria during both the measurement year and the year prior to the measurement year. Criteria need not be the same across both year:

- a) At least one ED visit with asthma as the principal diagnosis; or
- b) At least one acute inpatient claim/encounter with asthma as the principal diagnosis; or
- c) At least four outpatient visits or observation visits on different dates of service, with any diagnosis of asthma AND at least two asthma medication dispensing events. Visit type need not be the same for the four visits; or
- d) At least four asthma medication dispensing events*
- *A patient identified as having persistent asthma because of at least four asthma medication dispensing events where leukotriene modifiers or antibody inhibitors were the sole asthma medication dispensed in that year, must also have at least one diagnosis of asthma, in any setting, in the same year as the leukotriene modifier or antibody inhibitor (i.e., measurement year or year prior to the measurement year).

Step 2: Determine denominator exclusions:

- a) Exclude patients who had any diagnosis of Emphysema, COPD, Chronic Bronchitis, Chronic Respiratory Conditions Due to Fumes/Vapors, Cystic Fibrosis or Acute Respiratory Failure any time during the patient's history through the end of the measurement year
- b) Exclude patients who had no asthma medications (controller or reliever) dispensed during the measurement year.

Step 3: Determine numerator:

- a) For each patient, count the units of controller medications (see AMR-A) dispensed during the measurement year. When identifying medication units for the numerator, count each individual medication, defined as an amount lasting 30 days or less, as one medication unit. One medication unit equals one inhaler canister, one injection, or a 30-day or less supply of an oral medication. For example, two inhaler canisters of the same medication dispensed on the same day count as two medication units and only one dispensing event. Use the package size and units columns in the NDC list to determine the number of canisters or injections. Divide the dispensed amount by the package size to determine the number of canisters or injections dispensed. For example, if the package size for an inhaled medication is 10g and pharmacy data indicates the dispensed amount is 30 g, this indicates 3 inhaler canisters were dispensed.
- b) For each patient, count the units of reliever medications (see AMR-A) dispensed during the measurement year.
- c) For each patient, sum the units calculated in step a and step b to determine units of total asthma medications.
- d) For each patient, calculate the ratio of controller medications to total asthma medications using the following formula:

Units of Controller Medications (Step a)/ Units of Total Asthma Medications (Step c)

e) Sum the total number of patients who have a ratio of 0.50 or greater in step d.

Step 4: Calculate the measure rate: the number of patients have a ratio of 0.50 or greater/Denominator No diagram provided

Submission items

0047 Asthma: Pharmacologic Therapy for Persistent Asthma

5.1 Identified measures: 1799: Medication Management for People with Asthma 1800: Asthma Medication Ratio

5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized? No

5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact: Measures 0047 is similar to NQF measure 1800 (Asthma Medication Ratio) and measure 1799 (Medication Management for People with Asthma) in regards to the denominator population of patients with persistent asthma. However, the denominators differ with respect to the method by which patients with persistent asthma are identified. For measures 1800 and 1799, persistent asthma is defined from administrative data, while for measure 0047, persistent asthma is defined based on clinical information. Additionally, the denominator for measure 0047 been updated to include asthma patients aged 65 and older, an important population that is not reached by measures 1800 and 1799. The numerator for measure 0047 is similar to the numerator in measure 1799, except that inhaled corticosteroids and alternative controllers are reported separately as well as together. The separate reporting rates required by measure 0047 for inhaled corticosteroids and for alternative long-term control medications will be useful for clinicians to assess and manage the use of the preferred vs. alternative long-term control medications for their patients. The numerator of measure 0047 has also been updated to include current and appropriate alternative long-term control medications. While the inhaled corticosteroids in measure 0047 and 1799 are well harmonized, the alternative long-term controllers differ. Measure 1799 includes nedocromil, methylxanthines and cromolyn, all medications that were reviewed by the AAAAI's measure stewardship committee and removed.

5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value:

1799 Medication Management for People with Asthma

5.1 Identified measures: 0047: Asthma: Pharmacologic Therapy for Persistent Asthma 0548: Suboptimal Asthma Control (SAC) and Absence of Controller Therapy (ACT)

5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized? No

5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact: 0047 is a physician-level measure that assesses whether a patient was prescribed medication at least once during the measurement year, while our measure assesses patient adherence to asthma controller medications throughout the measurement year. 0548 is a health plan-level measure that assesses two rates of poor asthma control that indicate over-utilization of rescue medication and need for additional therapeutic intervention; meanwhile our measure assesses patient adherence to asthma controller medications during the measurement year. There is no impact on interpretability or added burden of data collection because the focus of each measure is different and the data for each measure is collected from different data sources by different entities.

5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value:

1800 Asthma Medication Ratio

5.1 Identified measures: 0047: Asthma: Pharmacologic Therapy for Persistent Asthma 0548: Suboptimal Asthma Control (SAC) and Absence of Controller Therapy (ACT) 5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized? No

5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact: 0047 assesses whether a patient was prescribed controller medication at least once during the measurement year, while 1800 assesses the ratio of controller medications to controller plus reliever medications. There is no impact on interpretability or added burden of data collection because the focus of each measure is different. Also, both measures use value sets to identify asthma controller medications that do not conflict. 0548 is a health plan-level measure that assesses overutilization of rescue medication and need for additional therapeutic intervention. However, 0548 assesses it over a shorter time period (a 90-day period) compared to 1800 (over a measurement year). Also, 1800 assesses a ratio of controller to reliever medications in order to take into account the patients who have severe asthma and may need higher amounts of reliever medication, but still have their asthma under control due to taking daily controller medications.

5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value:

Comparison of NQF #0728 and NQF#0283

0728 Asthma Admission Rate (PDI 14) 0283 Asthma in Younger Adults Admission Rate (PQI 15)

Steward

0728 Asthma Admission Rate (PDI 14)

Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality

0283 Asthma in Younger Adults Admission Rate (PQI 15)

Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality

Description

0728 Asthma Admission Rate (PDI 14)

Admissions with a principal diagnosis of asthma per 100,000 population, ages 2 through 17 years. Excludes cases with a diagnosis code for cystic fibrosis and anomalies of the respiratory system, obstetric admissions, and transfers from other institutions.

0283 Asthma in Younger Adults Admission Rate (PQI 15)

Admissions for a principal diagnosis of asthma per 1,000 population, ages 18 to 39 years. Excludes admissions with an indication of cystic fibrosis or anomalies of the respiratory system, obstetric admissions, and transfers from other institutions.

Туре

0728 Asthma Admission Rate (PDI 14)

Outcome

0283 Asthma in Younger Adults Admission Rate (PQI 15)

Outcome

Data Source

0728 Asthma Admission Rate (PDI 14)

Administrative claims All analyses were completed using data from the Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project (HCUP) State Inpatient Databases (SID), 2007-2011.HCUP is a family of health care databases and related software tools and products developed through a Federal-State-Industry partnership and sponsored by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ). HCUP databases bring together the data collection efforts of State data organizations, hospital associations, private data organizations, and the Federal government to create a national information resource of encounter-level health care data. The HCUP SID contain the universe of the inpatient discharge abstracts in participating States, translated into a uniform format to facilitate multi-State comparisons and analyses. Together, the SID encompass about 97 percent of all U.S. community hospital discharges (in 2011, 46 states participated for a total of more than 38.5 million hospital discharges with approximately 5 million pediatric (including births) hospital discharges). As defined by the American Hospital Association, community hospitals are all non-Federal, short-term, general or other specialty hospitals, excluding hospital units of institutions. Veterans hospitals and other Federal facilities are excluded. General and speciality children's hospitals are included in the hospital universe. Taken from the Uniform Bill-04 (UB-04), the

SID data elements include ICD-9-CM coded principal and secondary diagnoses and procedures, additional detailed clinical and service information based on revenue codes, admission and discharge status, patient demographics, expected payment source (Medicare, Medicaid, private insurance as well as the uninsured), total charges and length of stay (www.hcup-us.ahrq.gov)

HCUP State Inpatient Databases (SID). Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project (HCUP). 2007-2011. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Rockville, MD. www.ahrq.gov/sidoverview.jsp (AHRQ QI Software Version 4.5, www.qualityindicators.ahrq.gov)

Available at measure-specific web page URL identified in S.1 Attachment Asthma_Admission_Rate_-_Pediatric_Quality_Indicators_PDI_14-635296211157546484.xlsx

0283 Asthma in Younger Adults Admission Rate (PQI 15)

Administrative claims.

While the measure is tested and specified using data from the Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project (HCUP) (see section 1.1 and 1.2 of the measure testing form), the measure specifications and software are specified to be used with any ICD-9-CM or ICD-10-CM/PCS coded administrative billing/claims/discharge dataset.

Available at measure-specific web page URL identified in S.1

Level

0728 Asthma Admission Rate (PDI 14)

Population: County or City, Population: National, Population: Regional, Population: State

0283 Asthma in Younger Adults Admission Rate (PQI 15)

Population: County or City

Setting

0728 Asthma Admission Rate (PDI 14)

Hospital/Acute Care Facility

0283 Asthma in Younger Adults Admission Rate (PQI 15)

All community based care

Time Window

0728 Asthma Admission Rate (PDI 14)

Time window can be determined by user, but is generally 1 year.

0283 Asthma in Younger Adults Admission Rate (PQI 15)

Users may specify a time period; but the time period is generally one year. Note that the reference population rates and signal variance parameters assume a one-year time period.

Numerator Statement

0728 Asthma Admission Rate (PDI 14)

Discharges, for patients ages 2 through 17 years, with a principal ICD-9-CM diagnosis code for asthma.

0283 Asthma in Younger Adults Admission Rate (PQI 15)

Discharges, for patients ages 18 through 39 years, with a principal ICD-9-CM or ICD-10-CM/PCS diagnosis code for asthma.

[NOTE: By definition, discharges with a principal diagnosis of asthma are precluded from an assignment of MDC 14 by grouper software. Thus, obstetric discharges should not be considered in the PQI rate, though the AHRQ QI software does not explicitly exclude obstetric cases.]"

Numerator Details

0728 Asthma Admission Rate (PDI 14)

ICD-9-CM Asthma diagnosis codes:

49300	EXTRINSIC ASTHMA NOS
49301	EXT ASTHMA W STATUS ASTH
49302	EXT ASTHMA W(ACUTE) EXAC
49310	INTRINSIC ASTHMA NOS
49311	INT ASTHMA W STATUS ASTH
49312	INT ASTHMA W (AC) EXAC
49320	CHRONIC OBST ASTHMA NOS
49321	CH OB ASTHMA W STAT ASTH
49322	CH OBST ASTH W (AC) EXAC
49381	EXERCSE IND BRONCHOSPASM
49382	COUGH VARIANT ASTHMA
49390	ASTHMA NOS
49391	ASTHMA W STATUS ASTHMAT
49392	ASTHMA NOS W (AC) EXAC

Exclude cases:

- with any-listed ICD-9-CM diagnosis codes for cystic fibrosis and anomalies of the respiratory system
- transfer from a hospital (different facility)
- transfer from a Skilled Nursing Facility (SNF) or Intermediate Care Facility (ICF)
- transfer from another health care facility
- MDC 14 (pregnancy, childbirth, and puerperium)
- with missing gender (SEX=missing), age (AGE=missing), quarter (DQTR=missing), year (YEAR=missing), principal diagnosis (DX1=missing), or county (PSTCO=missing)

ICD-9-CM Cystic fibrosis and anomalies of the respiratory system diagnosis codes:

27700	CYSTIC FIBROS W/O ILEUS
27701	CYSTIC FIBROSIS W ILEUS
27702	CYSTIC FIBROS W PUL MAN
27703	CYSTIC FIBROSIS W GI MAN
27709	CYSTIC FIBROSIS NEC
51661	NEUROEND CELL HYPRPL INF

51662	PULM INTERSTITL GLYCOGEN
51663	SURFACTANT MUTATION LUNG
51664	ALV CAP DYSP W VN MISALN
51669	OTH INTRST LUNG DIS CHLD
74721	ANOMALIES OF AORTIC ARCH
7483	LARYNGOTRACH ANOMALY NEC
7484	CONGENITAL CYSTIC LUNG
7485	AGENESIS OF LUNG
74860	LUNG ANOMALY NOS
74861	CONGEN BRONCHIECTASIS
74869	LUNG ANOMALY NEC
7488	RESPIRATORY ANOMALY NEC
7489	RESPIRATORY ANOMALY NOS
7503	CONG ESOPH FISTULA/ATRES
7593	SITUS INVERSUS
7707	PERINATAL CHR RESP DIS

See Pediatric Quality Indicators Appendices: Appendix J – Admission Codes for Transfers. See Pediatric Quality Indicators technical specifications and appendices for additional details (available at http://www.qualityindicators.ahrq.gov/Modules/PDI_TechSpec.aspx)

and in the supporting information.

0283 Asthma in Younger Adults Admission Rate (PQI 15)

Please see attached excel file in S.2b. for Version 6.0 specifications.

Prevention Quality Indicators technical specifications and appendices also available online at http://www.qualityindicators.ahrq.gov/Modules/PQI_TechSpec.aspx). Note: The URL link currently provides Version 5.0 specifications. Version 6.0 specifications will be released publicly March 2016.

Denominator Statement

0728 Asthma Admission Rate (PDI 14)

Population ages 2 through 17 years in metropolitan area or county. Discharges in the numerator are assigned to the denominator based on the metropolitan area or county of the patient residence, not the metropolitan area or county of the hospital where the discharge occurred.

0283 Asthma in Younger Adults Admission Rate (PQI 15)

Population ages 18 through 39 years in metropolitan area or county. Discharges in the numerator are assigned to the denominator based on the metropolitan area or county of the patient residence, not the metropolitan area or county of the hospital where the discharge occurred.

Denominator Details

0728 Asthma Admission Rate (PDI 14)

The term "metropolitan area" (MA) was adopted by the U.S. Census in 1990 and referred collectively to metropolitan statistical areas (MSAs), consolidated metropolitan statistical areas (CMSAs), and primary metropolitan statistical areas (PMSAs). In addition, "area" could refer to either 1) FIPS county, 2) modified FIPS county, 3) 1999 OMB Metropolitan Statistical Area, or 4) 2003 OMB Metropolitan Statistical Area. Micropolitan Statistical Areas are not used in the QI software.

See AHRQ QI website or supplemental information for 2013 Population File Denominator report for calculation of population estimates embedded within AHRQ QI software programs.

http://www.qualityindicators.ahrq.gov/Downloads/Software/SAS/V45/AHRQ%20QI%20Population%20File%20V4.5.pdf

NOTE: The denominator can be specified with the asthmatic population only. Payers have also specified annual disease-specific population denominators based on all-claims data for beneficiaries, restricting the denominator to those beneficiaries who have an indication of asthma in a previous outpatient or inpatient visit. Annual asthma-specific population denominators would need to be weighted by months of beneficiary enrollment. Reliability testing currently underway for application of the measure to other populations, such as patients in physician practices.

0283 Asthma in Younger Adults Admission Rate (PQI 15)

The term "metropolitan area" (MA) was adopted by the U.S. Census in 1990 and referred collectively to metropolitan statistical areas (MSAs), consolidated metropolitan statistical areas (CMSAs) and primary metropolitan statistical areas (PMSAs). In addition, "area" could refer to either 1) FIPS county, 2) modified FIPS county, 3) 1999 OMB Metropolitan Statistical Area or 4) 2003 OMB Metropolitan Statistical Area. Micropolitan Statistical Areas are not used in the QI software.

See AHRQ QI website for 2014 Population File Denominator report for calculation of population estimates embedded within AHRQ QI software programs.

 $http://www.qualityindicators.ahrq.gov/Downloads/Software/SAS/V50/AHRQ_QI_Population_File_V50.pdf$

Exclusions

0728 Asthma Admission Rate (PDI 14)

Not applicable

0283 Asthma in Younger Adults Admission Rate (PQI 15)

Not applicable

Exclusion Details

0728 Asthma Admission Rate (PDI 14)

Not applicable

0283 Asthma in Younger Adults Admission Rate (PQI 15)

Not applicable

Risk Adjustment

0728 Asthma Admission Rate (PDI 14)

Statistical risk model

The predicted value for each case is computed using a hierarchical model (logistic regression with area random effect) and covariates for gender and age (in age groups). The reference population used in the regression is the universe of discharges for states that participate in the HCUP State Inpatient Data (SID) for the year 2010 (combined), a database consisting of 44 states and approximately 5 million pediatric discharges (, and the U.S. Census data by county. The expected rate is computed as the sum of the predicted value for each case divided by the number of cases for the unit of analysis of interest (i.e., area). The risk adjusted rate is computed using indirect standardization as the observed rate divided by the expected rate, multiplied by the reference population rate.

Additional information on methodology can be found in the Empirical Methods document on the AHRQ Quality Indicator website (www.qualityindicators.ahrq.gov) and in the supplemental information.

The specific covariates for this measure are as follow:age and sex:

2-4	Males
5-9	Males
10-14	Males
15-17	Males
2-4	Females
5-9	Females
10-14	Females
15-17	Females

The risk adjustment coefficient table can be found in the supplemental materials and at the following link:

http://www.qualityindicators.ahrq.gov/Downloads/Modules/PDI/V45/Parameter_Estimates_PDI_45.pdf

Available in attached Excel or csv file at S.2b

0283 Asthma in Younger Adults Admission Rate (PQI 15)

Statistical risk model

"The predicted value for each case is computed using a hierarchical model (logistic regression with hospital random effect) and covariates for gender, age (in 5-year age groups). An option model is available that includes percent of households under the federal poverty level as well. Because we cannot individually observe the age and gender of each person in a counties population, we use the age and gender distribution of the county to estimate the number of "cases" in each age*gender group. The reference population used in the regression is the universe of discharges for states that participate in the HCUP State Inpatient Data (SID) for the year 2013 (combined), a database consisting of 40 states and the U.S. Census data by county. The expected rate is computed as the sum of the predicted value for each case divided by the number of cases for the unit of analysis of interest (i.e., area). The risk adjusted rate is computed using indirect standardization as the observed rate divided by the expected rate, multiplied by the reference population rate.

Additional information on methodology can be found in the Empirical Methods document on the AHRQ Quality Indicator website (www.qualityindicators.ahrq.gov) and in the attached supplemental information.

The specific covariates for this measure are as follows:

```
PARAMETER
                LABEL
SEX
         Female
AGE
         Male, Age 18-24
AGE
         Male, Age 25-29
AGE
         Male, Age 30-34
AGE
         Male, Age 35-39
AGE
         Female, Age 18-24
AGE
         Female, Age 25-29
AGE
         Female, Age 30-34
AGE
         Female, Age 35-39
POVCAT
         Poverty Decile 2
POVCAT
         Poverty Decile 3
POVCAT Poverty Decile 4
POVCAT Poverty Decile 5
POVCAT Poverty Decile 6
POVCAT Poverty Decile 7
POVCAT Poverty Decile 8
POVCAT Poverty Decile 9
```

POVCAT Poverty Decile 10 (Highest percent poverty)1

1Deciles are based on the percentage of households under the federal poverty level (FPL).

Source: http://qualityindicators.ahrq.gov/Modules/pqi_resources.aspx

Parameter estimates with and without SES covariates (POVCAT) are included with the Technical Specifications.

Please note Version 6.0 will be released publicly March 2016."

Available in attached Excel or csv file at S.2b

Stratification

0728 Asthma Admission Rate (PDI 14)

Not applicable

0283 Asthma in Younger Adults Admission Rate (PQI 15)

Not applicable

Type Score

0728 Asthma Admission Rate (PDI 14)

Rate/proportion better quality = lower score

0283 Asthma in Younger Adults Admission Rate (PQI 15)

Rate/proportion better quality = lower score

Algorithm

0728 Asthma Admission Rate (PDI 14)

The observed rate is the number of discharges flagged with the outcome of interest divided by the number of persons in the population at risk. The predicted rate is estimated for each person based on a logistic regression model. The expected rate is the average predicted rate for the unit of interest (i.e. the county of residence). The risk-adjusted rate is calculated using the indirect method as observed rate divided by expected rate multiplied by the reference population rate. The performance score is a weighted average of the risk-adjusted rate and the reference population rate, where the weight is the signal-to-noise ratio.

For additional information, please see supporing information in the Quality Indicator Empirical Methods. Information is also available on the AHRQ Quality Indicator website: www.qualityindicators.ahrq.gov No diagram provided

0283 Asthma in Younger Adults Admission Rate (PQI 15)

The observed rate of each PQI is simply the number of individuals living in a county admitted to the hospital for the condition of interest divided by the census population estimate for the area (for PQI 15 ages 18-39). The expected rate is a comparative rate that incorporates information about a reference population that is not part of the user's input dataset – what rate would be observed if the expected performance observed in the reference population and estimated with risk adjustment regression models, were applied to the mix of patients with demographic distributions observed in the user's dataset? The expected rate is calculated only for risk-adjusted indicators.

The expected rate is estimated for each county using logistic regression.

The risk-adjusted rate is a comparative rate that also incorporates information about a reference population that is not part of the input dataset — what rate would be observed if the performance observed in the user's dataset were applied to a mix of patients with demographics distributed like the reference population. The risk adjusted rate is calculated using the indirect method as observed rate divided by expected rate multiplied by the reference population rate. The smoothed rate is the weighted average of the risk-adjusted rate from the user's input dataset and the rate observed in the reference population; the smoothed rate is calculated with a shrinkage estimator to result in a rate near that from the user's dataset if the provider's rate is estimated in a stable fashion with minimal noise, or to result in a rate near that of the reference population if the variance of the estimated rate from the input dataset is large compared with the hospital-to-hospital variance estimated from the reference population. Thus, the smoothed rate is a weighted average of the risk-adjusted rate and the reference population rate, where the weight is the signal-to-noise ratio. In practice, the smoothed rate brings rates toward the mean, and tends to do this more so for outliers (such as rural counties).

For additional information, please see supporting information in the Quality Indicator Empirical Methods attached in the supplemental files.

Submission items

0728 Asthma Admission Rate (PDI 14)

- 5.1 Identified measures:
- 5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized?
- 5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact:
- 5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value: Not applicable

0283 Asthma in Younger Adults Admission Rate (PQI 15)

- 5.1 Identified measures:
- 5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized?
- 5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact:
- 5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value: Not applicable

Comparison of NQF #0577 and NQF#0091

0577 Use of Spirometry Testing in the Assessment and Diagnosis of COPD

0091 COPD: Spirometry Evaluation

Steward

0577 Use of Spirometry Testing in the Assessment and Diagnosis of COPD

National Committee for Quality Assurance

0091 COPD: Spirometry Evaluation

American Thoracic Society

Description

0577 Use of Spirometry Testing in the Assessment and Diagnosis of COPD

The percentage of patients 40 years of age and older with a new diagnosis of COPD or newly active COPD, who received appropriate spirometry testing to confirm the diagnosis.

0091 COPD: Spirometry Evaluation

Percentage of patients aged 18 years and older with a diagnosis of COPD who had spirometry results documented

Type

0577 Use of Spirometry Testing in the Assessment and Diagnosis of COPD

Process

0091 COPD: Spirometry Evaluation

Process

Data Source

0577 Use of Spirometry Testing in the Assessment and Diagnosis of COPD

Administrative claims This measure is based on administrative claims collected in the course of providing care to health plan members. NCQA collects the Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set (HEDIS) data for this measure directly from Health Management Organizations and Preferred Provider Organizations via NCQA's online data submission system.

No data collection instrument provided Attachment 0577_SPR_Value_Sets.xlsx

0091 COPD: Spirometry Evaluation

Administrative claims, Electronic Clinical Data: Registry Not Applicable No data dictionary

Level

0577 Use of Spirometry Testing in the Assessment and Diagnosis of COPD

Health Plan, Integrated Delivery System

0091 COPD: Spirometry Evaluation

Clinician: Group/Practice, Clinician: Team

Setting

0577 Use of Spirometry Testing in the Assessment and Diagnosis of COPD

Ambulatory Care: Clinician Office/Clinic

0091 COPD: Spirometry Evaluation

Ambulatory Care: Clinician Office/Clinic

Time Window

0577 Use of Spirometry Testing in the Assessment and Diagnosis of COPD

Numerator: A two and a half year period that begins 730 days (2 years) prior to the Index Episode Start Date through 180 days (6 months) after the Index Episode Start Date.

Denominator: A 12 month period that begins 6 months prior to the beginning of the

0091 COPD: Spirometry Evaluation

Once per reporting period

Numerator Statement

0577 Use of Spirometry Testing in the Assessment and Diagnosis of COPD

At least one claim/encounter for spirometry during the 730 days (2 years) prior to the Index Episode Start Date through 180 days (6 months) after the Index Episode Start Date. The Index Episode Start Date is the earliest date of service for an eligible visit (outpatient, ED or acute inpatient) during the 6 months prior to the beginning of the measurement year through 6 months after the beginning of the measurement year with any diagnosis of COPD.

0091 COPD: Spirometry Evaluation

Patients with documented spirometry results in the medical record (FEV1 and FEV1/FVC)

Numerator Details

0577 Use of Spirometry Testing in the Assessment and Diagnosis of COPD

Follow the steps below to identify numerator compliance.

Identify the number of patients who had at least one claim/encounter for spirometry (Spirometry Value Set) during the 730 days (2 years) prior to the Index Episode Start Date through 180 days (6 months) after the Index Episode Start Date. The Index Episode Start Date is the earliest date of service for an eligible visit (outpatient, ED or acute inpatient) during the 6 months prior to the beginning of the measurement year through 6 months after the beginning of the measurement year with any diagnosis of COPD.

- For an outpatient claim/encounter, the Index Episode Start Date is the date of service.
- For an acute inpatient claim/encounter, the Index Episode Start Date is the date of discharge.
- For a transfer or readmission, the Index Episode Start Date is the discharge date of the original admission.

See corresponding Excel file for value sets referenced above.

0091 COPD: Spirometry Evaluation

Numerator Quality-Data Coding Options for Reporting Satisfactorily

Numerator Instructions: Look for most recent documentation of spirometry evaluation results in the medical record; do not limit the search to the reporting period.

To submit the numerator option for spirometry results documented and reviewed, report the following:

Performance Met: CPT II 3023F: Spirometry results documented and reviewed OR

Spirometry Results not Documented for Medical, Patient, or System Reasons

Append a modifier (1P, 2P or 3P) to CPT Category II code 3023F to report documented circumstances that appropriately exclude patients from the denominator.

Medical Performance Exclusion: 3023F with 1P: Documentation of medical reason(s) for not documenting and reviewing spirometry results

OR

Patient Performance Exclusion: 3023F with 2P: Documentation of patient reason(s) for not documenting and reviewing spirometry results

OR

System Performance Exclusion: 3023F with 3P: Documentation of system reason(s) for not documenting and reviewing spirometry results

OR

Spirometry Results not Documented, Reason not Otherwise Specified

Append a reporting modifier (8P) to CPT Category II code 3023F to report circumstances when the action described in the numerator is not performed and the reason is not otherwise specified.

Performance Not Met: 3023F with 8P: Spirometry results not documented and reviewed, reason not otherwise specified

Denominator Statement

0577 Use of Spirometry Testing in the Assessment and Diagnosis of COPD

All patients age 42 years or older as of December 31 of the measurement year, who had a new diagnosis of COPD or newly active COPD during the 6 months prior to the beginning of the measurement year through the 6 months before the end of the measurement year.

0091 COPD: Spirometry Evaluation

All patients aged 18 years and older with a diagnosis of COPD

Denominator Details

0577 Use of Spirometry Testing in the Assessment and Diagnosis of COPD

The eligible population for the denominator is defined by following the series of steps below:

Step 1: Determine the Index Episode Start Date. Identify all patients who had any of the following during the intake period (the 6 months prior to the beginning of the measurement year through the 6 months before the end of the measurement year):

1) An outpatient visit (Outpatient Value Set), an observation visit (Observation Value Set), or an ED visit (ED Value Set) with any diagnosis of COPD (COPD Value Set), emphysema

(Emphysema Value Set) or chronic bronchitis (Chronic Bronchitis Value Set). Do not include ED visits that result in an inpatient admission.

- 2) An acute inpatient discharge with any diagnosis of COPD (COPD Value Set), emphysema (Emphysema Value Set) or chronic bronchitis (Chronic Bronchitis Value Set). To identify acute inpatient discharges:
- a. Identify all acute and nonacute inpatient stays (Inpatient Stay Value Set)
- b. Exclude nonacute inpatient stays (Nonacute Inpatient Stay Value Set)
- c. Identify the discharge date for the stay.

If the patient had more than one eligible visit, include only the first visit.

Step 2: Test for negative diagnosis history. Exclude patients who had any of the following during the 731-day period prior to the Index Episode Start Date.

- 1) An outpatient visit (Outpatient Value Set), an observation visit (Observation Value Set), or an ED visit (ED Value Set) with any diagnosis of COPD (COPD Value Set), emphysema (Emphysema Value Set) or chronic bronchitis (Chronic Bronchitis Value Set). Do not include ED visits that result in an inpatient admission.
- 2) An acute inpatient discharge with any diagnosis of COPD (COPD Value Set), emphysema (Emphysema Value Set) or chronic bronchitis (Chronic Bronchitis Value Set). To identify acute inpatient discharges:
- a. Identify all acute and nonacute inpatient stays (Inpatient Stay Value Set)
- b. Exclude nonacute inpatient stays (Nonacute Inpatient Stay Value Set)
- c. Identify the discharge date for the stay.

For an acute inpatient Index Episode Start Date, use the Index Episode Start Date of admission to determine the 731-day period.

See corresponding Excel file for value sets referenced above.

0091 COPD: Spirometry Evaluation

All Patients aged >= 18 years on date of encounter

AND

Diagnosis for COPD

ICD-9-CM [for use before 9/30/2014]:

491.0, 491.1, 491.20, 491.21, 491.22, 491.8, 491.9, 492.0, 492.8, 493.20, 493.21, 493.22, 496

ICD-10-CM [for use after 10/1/2014]:

J41.0, J41.1, J41.8, J42, J43.0, J43.1, J43.2, J43.8, J43.9, J44.0, J44.1, J44.9

(Please see listing below for ICD-9/ICD-10 code definitions)

AND

Patient encounter during the reporting period (CPT): 99201, 99202, 99203, 99204, 99205, 99212, 99213, 99214, 99215

ICD-9/ICD-10 code definitions

ICD-9-CM [for use before 9/30/2014]:

491.0 – Simple chronic bronchitis

- 491.1 Mucopurulent chronic bronchitis
- 491.20 Obstructive chronic bronchitis without exacerbation
- 491.21 Obstructive chronic bronchitis with (acute) exacerbation
- 491.22 Obstructive chronic bronchitis with acute bronchitis
- 491.8 Other chronic bronchitis
- 491.9 Unspecified chronic bronchitis
- 492.0 Emphysematous bleb
- 492.8 Other emphysema
- 493.20 Chronic obstructive asthma, unspecified
- 493.21 Chronic obstructive asthma with status asthmaticus
- 493.22 Chronic obstructive asthma with (acute) exacerbation
- 496 Chronic airway obstruction, not elsewhere classified
- ICD-10-CM [for use after 10/1/2014]:
- J41.0 Simple chronic bronchitis
- J41.1 Mucopurulent chronic bronchitis
- J41.8 Mixed simple and mucopurulent chronic bronchitis
- J42 Unspecified chronic bronchitis
- J43.0 Unilateral pulmonary emphysema [MacLeod's syndrome]
- J43.1 Panlobular emphysema
- J43.2 Centrilobular emphysema
- J43.8 Other emphysema
- J43.9 Emphysema, unspecified
- J44.0 Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease with acute lower respiratory infection
- J44.1 Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease with (acute) exacerbation
- J44.9 Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, unspecified

Exclusions

0577 Use of Spirometry Testing in the Assessment and Diagnosis of COPD

N/A

0091 COPD: Spirometry Evaluation

Documentation of medical reason(s) for not documenting and reviewing spirometry results Documentation of patient reason(s) for not documenting and reviewing spirometry results Documentation of system reason(s) for not documenting and reviewing spirometry results

Exclusion Details

0577 Use of Spirometry Testing in the Assessment and Diagnosis of COPD

N/A

0091 COPD: Spirometry Evaluation

ATS continues to use the PCPI exception methodology that uses three categories of exception reasons for which a patient may be removed from the denominator of an individual measure: medical, patient and system reasons.

Exceptions are used to remove patients from the denominator of a performance measure when a patient does not receive a therapy or service AND that therapy or service would not be appropriate due to specific reasons; otherwise, the patient would meet the denominator criteria. Exceptions are not absolute, and the application of exceptions is based on clinical judgment, individual patient characteristics, or patient preferences. These measure exception categories are not uniformly relevant across all measures; for each measure, there must be a clear rationale to permit an exception for a medical, patient, or system reason. Examples are provided in the measure exception language of instances that may constitute an exception and are intended to serve as a guide to clinicians. For this measure, exceptions include medical reason(s), patient reason(s) or system reason(s) for not documenting spirometry results. Although this methodology does not require the external reporting of more detailed exception data, the ATS recommends that physicians document the specific reasons for exception in patients' medical records for purposes of optimal patient management and audit-readiness. The ATS also conducts systematic review and analysis of exceptions data to identify practice patterns and opportunities for quality improvement.

For Claims:

Documentation of medical, patient, or system reason(s) for not documenting and reviewing spirometry results.

Append a modifier (1P, 2P or 3P) to CPT Category II code 3023F to report documented circumstances that appropriately exclude patients from the denominator.

3023F with 1P: Documentation of medical reason(s) for not documenting and reviewing spirometry results

3023F with 2P: Documentation of patient reason(s) for not documenting and reviewing spirometry results

3023F with 3P: Documentation of system reason(s) for not documenting and reviewing spirometry results

Risk Adjustment

0577 Use of Spirometry Testing in the Assessment and Diagnosis of COPD

No risk adjustment or risk stratification

N/A

0091 COPD: Spirometry Evaluation

No risk adjustment or risk stratification

No risk adjustment or risk stratification.

Stratification

0577 Use of Spirometry Testing in the Assessment and Diagnosis of COPD

N/A

0091 COPD: Spirometry Evaluation

We encourage the results of this measure to be stratified by race, ethnicity, primary language, and administrative sex.

Type Score

0577 Use of Spirometry Testing in the Assessment and Diagnosis of COPD

Rate/proportion better quality = higher score

0091 COPD: Spirometry Evaluation

Rate/proportion better quality = higher score

Algorithm

0577 Use of Spirometry Testing in the Assessment and Diagnosis of COPD

The measure calculation is detailed in the steps listed below:

Step 1: Determine the eligible population.

A. Determine the Index Episode Start Date. Identify all patients who had any of the following during the intake period (the 6 months prior to the beginning of the measurement year through the 6 months before the end of the measurement year):

- 1) An outpatient visit (Outpatient Value Set), an observation visit (Observation Value Set), or an ED visit (ED Value Set) with any diagnosis of COPD (COPD Value Set), emphysema (Emphysema Value Set) or chronic bronchitis (Chronic Bronchitis Value Set). Do not include ED visits that result in an inpatient admission.
- 2) An acute inpatient discharge with any diagnosis of COPD (COPD Value Set), emphysema (Emphysema Value Set) or chronic bronchitis (Chronic Bronchitis Value Set). To identify acute inpatient discharges:
- a. Identify all acute and nonacute inpatient stays (Inpatient Stay Value Set)
- b. Exclude nonacute inpatient stays (Nonacute Inpatient Stay Value Set)
- c. Identify the discharge date for the stay.

If the patient had more than one eligible visit, include only the first visit.

- B. Test for negative diagnosis history. Exclude patients who had any of the following during the 731-day period prior to the Index Episode Start Date.
- 1) An outpatient visit (Outpatient Value Set), an observation visit (Observation Value Set), or an ED visit (ED Value Set) with any diagnosis of COPD (COPD Value Set), emphysema (Emphysema Value Set) or chronic bronchitis (Chronic Bronchitis Value Set). Do not include ED visits that result in an inpatient admission.
- 2) An acute inpatient discharge with any diagnosis of COPD (COPD Value Set), emphysema (Emphysema Value Set) or chronic bronchitis (Chronic Bronchitis Value Set). To identify acute inpatient discharges:
- a. Identify all acute and nonacute inpatient stays (Inpatient Stay Value Set)
- b. Exclude nonacute inpatient stays (Nonacute Inpatient Stay Value Set)
- c. Identify the discharge date for the stay.

For an acute inpatient Index Episode Start Date, use the Index Episode Start Date of admission to determine the 731-day period.

Step 2: determine the numerator. Identify the number of patients who had at least one claim/encounter for spirometry (Spirometry Value Set) during the 730 days (2 years) prior to the Index Episode Start Date through 180 days (6 months) after the Index Episode Start Date. The Index Episode Start Date is the earliest date of service for an eligible visit (outpatient, ED or acute inpatient) during the 6 months prior to the beginning of the measurement year through 6 months after the beginning of the measurement year with any diagnosis of COPD.

- For an outpatient claim/encounter, the Index Episode Start Date is the date of service.
- For an acute inpatient claim/encounter, the Index Episode Start Date is the date of discharge.
- For a transfer or readmission, the Index Episode Start Date is the discharge date of the original admission.

Step 3: calculate the rate: Numerator/Denominator. No diagram provided

0091 COPD: Spirometry Evaluation

- 1. Start with Denominator
- 2. Check Patient Age:
- a. If the Age is greater than or equal to 18 years of age on Date of Service and equals No during the measurement period, do not include in Eligible Patient Population. Stop Processing.
- b. If the Age is greater than or equal to 18 years of age on Date of Service and equals Yes during the measurement period, proceed to check Patient Diagnosis.
- 3. Check Patient Diagnosis:
- a. If Diagnosis of COPD as Listed in the Denominator equals No, do not include in Eligible Patient Population. Stop Processing.
- b. If Diagnosis of COPD as Listed in the Denominator equals Yes, proceed to check Encounter Performed.
- 4. Check Encounter Performed:
- a. If Encounter as Listed in the Denominator equals No, do not include in Eligible Patient Population. Stop Processing.
- b. If Encounter as Listed in the Denominator equals Yes, include in the Eligible population.
- 5. Denominator Population:
- a. Denominator population is all Eligible Patients in the denominator. Denominator is represented as Denominator in the Sample Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter d equals 8 patients in the sample calculation.
- 6. Start Numerator
- 7. Check Spirometry Results Documented and Reviewed:
- a. If Spirometry Results Documented and Reviewed equals Yes, include in Reporting Met and Performance Met.
- b. Reporting Met and Performance Met letter is represented in the Reporting Rate and Performance Rate in the Sample Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter a equals 4 patients in Sample Calculation.
- c. If Spirometry Results Documented and Reviewed equals No, proceed to Documentation of Medical Reason(s) for Not Documenting and Reviewing Spirometry Results.

- 8. Check Documentation of Medical Reason(s) for Not Documenting and Reviewing Spirometry Results:
- a. If Documentation of Medical Reason(s) for Not Documenting and Reviewing Spirometry Results equals Yes, include in Reporting Met and Performance Exclusion.
- b. Reporting Met and Performance Exclusion letter is represented in the Reporting Rate and Performance Rate in the Sample Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter b1 equals 1 patient in the Sample Calculation.
- c. If Documentation of Medical Reason(s) for Not Documenting and Reviewing Spirometry Results equals No, proceed to Documentation of Patient Reason(s) for Not Documenting and Reviewing Spirometry Results.
- 9. Check Documentation of Patient Reason(s) for Not Documenting and Reviewing Spirometry Results:
- a. If Documentation of Patient Reason(s) for Not Documenting and Reviewing Spirometry Results equals Yes, include in Reporting Met and Performance Exclusion.
- b. Reporting Met and Performance Exclusion letter is represented in the Reporting Rate and Performance Rate in the Sample Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter b2 equals 0 patients in the Sample Calculation.
- c. If Documentation of Patient Reason(s) for Not Documenting and Reviewing Spirometry Results equals No, proceed to Documentation of System Reason(s) for Not Documenting and Reviewing Spirometry Results.
- 10. Check Documentation of System Reason(s) for Not Documenting and Reviewing Spirometry

Results:

- a. If Documentation of System Reason(s) for Not Documenting and Reviewing Spirometry Results equals Yes, include in Reporting Met and Performance Exclusion.
- b. Reporting Met and Performance Exclusion letter is represented in the Reporting Rate and Performance Rate in the Sample Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter b3 equals 0 patients in the Sample Calculation.
- c. If Documentation of System Reason(s) for Not Documenting and Reviewing Spirometry Results equals No, proceed to Spirometry Results Not Documented and Reviewed, Reason Not Specified.
- 11. Check Spirometry Results Not Documented and Reviewed, Reason Not Specified:
- a. If Spirometry Results Not Documented and Reviewed, Reason Not Specified equals Yes, include in Reporting Met and Performance Not Met.
- b. Reporting Met and Performance Not Met letter is represented in the Reporting Met in the Sample Calculation listed at the end of document. Letter c equals 2 patients in the Sample Calculation.
- c. If Spirometry Results Not Documented and Reviewed, Reason Not Specified equals No, include in Reporting Not Met.
- 12. Check Reporting Not Met
- a. If Reporting Not Met equals No, Quality Data Code or equivalent not reported. 1 patient has been subtracted from the reporting numerator in sample calculation.

Please see Measure Flow in Appendix A.1 for 'Sample Calculation' referenced above. Available in attached appendix at A.1

Submission items

0577 Use of Spirometry Testing in the Assessment and Diagnosis of COPD

5.1 Identified measures: 0091: COPD: Spirometry Evaluation

0102: COPD: inhaled bronchodilator therapy 5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized? No

5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact: NQF 0102 focuses on medication management for stable COPD or following an exacerbation, while our measure focuses on appropriate spirometry testing to confirm a new COPD diagnosis. There is no impact on interpretability or added burden of data collection because the focus of our measure is different. NQF 0091 is a physician-level measure that uses administrative claims or medical record data. There is no impact on interpretability or added burden of data collection because the data for our measure is collected from different data sources by different entities and the focus of our measure is different (0091 focuses on whether patients with a COPD diagnosis, not specifically a new diagnosis, had spirometry testing performed at least once during the measurement year, while 0577 specifies that patients with a new COPD diagnosis receive spirometry testing within 6 months following diagnosis).

5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value: N/A

0091 COPD: Spirometry Evaluation

5.1 Identified measures: 0577: Use of Spirometry Testing in the Assessment and Diagnosis of COPD

5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized? No

5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact: These measures have distinct differences in their denominators and numerators. First, our measure is broader in denominator population, being for all patients age 18 years and older with a diagnosis of COPD, while 0577 is for patients age 40 years and older with a new diagnosis of COPD. Our measure is more consistent with COPD guidelines, which do not state an age to start using a spirometry evaluation; rather, spirometry should be used to assess all adults with COPD, not just adults with a new diagnosis of COPD. Second, our measure's numerator is more flexible than 0577, allowing a spirometry evaluation anytime during the measurement period, rather than 0577's requirement that spirometry be performed within 6 months of a new diagnosis of COPD. Our measure numerator is also specific to spirometry results, requiring both the FEV1/FVC values.

5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value: N/A

Comparison of NQF #0102 and NQF#2856

0102 COPD: inhaled bronchodilator therapy

2856 Pharmacotherapy Management of COPD Exacerbation

Steward

0102 COPD: inhaled bronchodilator therapy

American Thoracic Society

2856 Pharmacotherapy Management of COPD Exacerbation

National Committee for Quality Assurance

Description

0102 COPD: inhaled bronchodilator therapy

Percentage of patients aged 18 years or older, with a diagnosis of COPD (FEV1/FVC < 70%) who have an FEV1 < 60% predicted and have symptoms who were prescribed a long-acting inhaled bronchodilator

2856 Pharmacotherapy Management of COPD Exacerbation

This measure assesses the percentage of COPD exacerbations for patients 40 years of age and older who had an acute inpatient discharge or ED encounter on or between January 1–November 30 of the measurement year and who were dispensed appropriate medications.

Two rates are reported.

- 1. Dispensed a systemic corticosteroid (or there was evidence of an active prescription) within 14 days of the event
- 2. Dispensed a bronchodilator (or there was evidence of an active prescription) within 30 days of the event

Note: The eligible population for this measure is based on acute inpatient discharges and ED visits, not on patients. It is possible for the denominator to include multiple events for the same individual.

Type

0102 COPD: inhaled bronchodilator therapy

Process

2856 Pharmacotherapy Management of COPD Exacerbation

Process

Data Source

0102 COPD: inhaled bronchodilator therapy

Administrative claims, Electronic Clinical Data: Registry Not Applicable No data dictionary

2856 Pharmacotherapy Management of COPD Exacerbation

Administrative claims This measure is based on administrative claims collected in the course of providing care to health plan members. NCQA collects the Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set (HEDIS) data for this measure directly from Health

Management Organizations and Preferred Provider Organizations via NCQA's online data submission system.

No data collection instrument provided Attachment XXXX_PCE_Value_Sets.xlsx

Level

0102 COPD: inhaled bronchodilator therapy

Clinician: Group/Practice, Clinician: Team

2856 Pharmacotherapy Management of COPD Exacerbation

Health Plan, Integrated Delivery System

Setting

0102 COPD: inhaled bronchodilator therapy

Ambulatory Care: Clinician Office/Clinic

2856 Pharmacotherapy Management of COPD Exacerbation

Ambulatory Care: Clinician Office/Clinic

Time Window

0102 COPD: inhaled bronchodilator therapy

Once per reporting period

2856 Pharmacotherapy Management of COPD Exacerbation

Numerator: a 12-month period that begins on January 1 and ends on December 30 of the measurement year.

Denominator: an 11-month period that begins on January 1 and ends on November 30 of the measurement year.

Numerator Statement

0102 COPD: inhaled bronchodilator therapy

Patients who were prescribed a long-acting inhaled bronchodilator

2856 Pharmacotherapy Management of COPD Exacerbation

Numerator 1 (Systemic Corticosteroids): The number of patients dispensed a prescription for systemic corticosteroid on or 14 days after the Episode Date*. Count systemic corticosteroids that are active on the relevant date.

Numerator 2 (Bronchodilator): The number of patients dispensed a prescription for a bronchodilator on or 30 days after the Episode Date*. Count bronchodilators that are active on the relevant date.

*The Episode Date is the date of service for any acute inpatient discharge or ED claim/encounter during the 11-month intake period with a principal diagnosis of COPD.

Numerator Details

0102 COPD: inhaled bronchodilator therapy

Definition:

Prescribed – Includes patients who are currently receiving medication(s) that follow the treatment plan recommended at an encounter during the reporting period, even if the prescription for that medication was ordered prior to the encounter.

NUMERATOR NOTE: The correct combination of numerator code(s) must be reported on the claim form in order to properly report this measure. The "correct combination" of codes may require the submission of multiple numerator codes.

Numerator Quality-Data Coding Options for Reporting Satisfactorily:

Patient Prescribed Long-acting Inhaled Bronchodilator Therapy

(One CPT II code & one quality-data code [4025F & G8924] are required on the claim form to submit this numerator option)

Performance Met:

CPT II 4025F: Long-acting inhaled bronchodilator prescribed (NOTE: pending edited CPT II code)

AND

G8924: Spirometry test results demonstrate FEV1/FVC < 70%, FEV1 < 60% predicted and patient has COPD symptoms (eg, dyspnea, cough/sputum, wheezing) (NOTE: CMS approved edited G-code for 2017 PQRS year)

OR

Patient not Documented to have Long-acting Inhaled Bronchodilator Prescribed for Medical, Patient, or System Reasons

(One CPT II code & one quality-data code [4025F-xP & G8924] are required on the claim form to submit this numerator option)

Append a modifier (1P, 2P or 3P) to CPT Category II code 4025F to report documented circumstances that appropriately exclude patients from the denominator.

Medical Performance Exclusion, Patient Performance Exclusion, or System Performance Exclusion:

4025F with 1P: Documentation of medical reason(s) for not prescribing an inhaled bronchodilator (e.g., contraindication due to comorbidities)

4025F with 2P: Documentation of patient reason(s) for not prescribing an inhaled bronchodilator

4025F with 3P: Documentation of system reason(s) for not prescribing an inhaled bronchodilator (e.g., not covered by insurance)

AND

G8924: Spirometry test results demonstrate FEV1/FVC < 70%, FEV1 < 60% predicted and patient has COPD symptoms (eg, dyspnea, cough/sputum, wheezing)

OR

If patient is not eligible for this measure because spirometry results demonstrate FEV1/FVC >= 70% or FEV1 >= 60% predicted or patient does not have COPD symptoms, report:

Spirometry Results Demonstrate FEV1/FVC >= 70% or FEV1 >= 60% or Patient does not have COPD symptoms

(One quality-data code [G8925 or G8926] is required on the claim form to submit this numerator option)

Other Performance Exclusion: G8925: Spirometry test results demonstrate FEV1/FVC >= 70% or FEV1 >= 60% predicted or patient does not have COPD symptoms

OR

Spirometry Test not Performed or Documented

Other Performance Exclusion: G8926: Spirometry test not performed or documented, reason not given

OR

Patient not Documented to have Long-acting Inhaled Bronchodilator Prescribed, Reason not Otherwise Specified

(One CPT II code & one quality-data code [4025F-8P & G8924] are required on the claim form to submit this numerator option)

Append a reporting modifier (8P) to CPT Category II code 4025F to report circumstances when the action described in the numerator is not performed and the reason is not otherwise specified.

Performance Not Met:

4025F with 8P: Long-acting inhaled bronchodilator not prescribed, reason not otherwise specified

AND

G8924: Spirometry test results demonstrate FEV1/FVC < 70%, FEV1 < 60% predicted and patient has COPD symptoms (eg, dyspnea, cough/sputum, wheezing)

2856 Pharmacotherapy Management of COPD Exacerbation

Follow the steps below to identify numerator compliance.

Numerator 1 (Systemic Corticosteroid): Identify the number of patients dispensed a prescription for systemic corticosteroid (refer to PCE-C: Systemic Corticosteroids) on or 14 days after the Episode Date.

- -The Episode Date is the date of service for any acute inpatient discharge or ED claim/encounter during the 11-month intake period with a principal diagnosis of COPD.
- -Count systemic corticosteroids that are active on the relevant date. An active prescription is considered active if the "days supply" indicated on the date the patient filled the prescription is the number of days or more between that date and the relevant date. For an acute inpatient encounter, the relevant date is the date of admission. For an ED claim/encounter, the relevant date is the date of service.

Numerator 2 (Bronchodilator): Identify the number of patients dispensed a prescription for bronchodilator (refer to PCE-D: Bronchodilators) on or 30 days after the Episode Date.

- -The Episode Date is the date of service for any acute inpatient discharge or ED claim/encounter during the 11-month intake period with a principal diagnosis of COPD.
- -Count bronchodilators that are active on the relevant date. An active prescription is considered active if the "days supply" indicated on the date the patient filled the prescription is the number of days or more between that date and the relevant date. For an acute inpatient encounter, the relevant date is the date of admission. For an ED claim/encounter, the relevant date is the date of service.

PCE-C: Systemic Corticosteroids:

Glucocorticoids: betamethasone, dexamethasone, hydrocortisone, methylprednisolone, prednisolone, prednisone, triamcinolone

PCE-D: Bronchodilators:

Anticholinergic agents: albuterol-ipratropium, aclidinium-bromide, ipratropium, tiotropium, Umeclidinium

Beta 2-agonists: albuterol, arformoterol, budesonide-formoterol, fluticasone-salmeterol, fluticasone-vilanterol, formoterol, Indacaterol, levalbuterol, Mometasone-formoterol, metaproterenol, Olodaterol hydrochloride, pirbuterol, salmeterol, Umeclidinium-vilanterol

Methlyxanthines: aminophylline, dyphylline, dyphylline-guaifenesin, guaifenesin-theophylline, theophylline

See corresponding Excel file for value sets referenced above.

Denominator Statement

0102 COPD: inhaled bronchodilator therapy

All patients aged 18 years and older with a diagnosis of COPD, who have FEV1/FVC < 70%, FEV1 <60% predicted and have symptoms (eg, dyspnea, cough/sputum, wheezing)

2856 Pharmacotherapy Management of COPD Exacerbation

All patients age 40 years or older as of January 1 of the measurement year with a COPD exacerbation as indicated by an acute inpatient discharge or ED encounter with a principal diagnosis of COPD.

Denominator Details

0102 COPD: inhaled bronchodilator therapy

All Patients aged >= 18 years on date of encounter

AND

Diagnosis for COPD

ICD-9-CM [for use before 9/30/2014]:

491.0, 491.1, 491.20, 491.21, 491.22, 491.8, 491.9, 492.0, 492.8, 493.20, 493.21, 493.22, 496

ICD-10-CM [for use after 10/1/2014]:

J41.0, J41.1, J41.8, J42, J43.0, J43.1, J43.2, J43.8, J43.9, J44.0, J44.1, J44.9

(Please see listing below for ICD-9/ICD-10 code definitions)

AND

Patient encounter during the reporting period (CPT): 99201, 99202, 99203, 99204, 99205, 99212, 99213, 99214, 99215

ICD-9/ICD-10 code definitions

ICD-9-CM [for use before 9/30/2014]:

491.0 - Simple chronic bronchitis

491.1 – Mucopurulent chronic bronchitis

491.20 – Obstructive chronic bronchitis without exacerbation

491.21 – Obstructive chronic bronchitis with (acute) exacerbation

- 491.22 Obstructive chronic bronchitis with acute bronchitis
- 491.8 Other chronic bronchitis
- 491.9 Unspecified chronic bronchitis
- 492.0 Emphysematous bleb
- 492.8 Other emphysema
- 493.20 Chronic obstructive asthma, unspecified
- 493.21 Chronic obstructive asthma with status asthmaticus
- 493.22 Chronic obstructive asthma with (acute) exacerbation
- 496 Chronic airway obstruction, not elsewhere classified
- ICD-10-CM [for use after 10/1/2014]:
- J41.0 Simple chronic bronchitis
- J41.1 Mucopurulent chronic bronchitis
- J41.8 Mixed simple and mucopurulent chronic bronchitis
- J42 Unspecified chronic bronchitis
- J43.0 Unilateral pulmonary emphysema [MacLeod's syndrome]
- J43.1 Panlobular emphysema
- J43.2 Centrilobular emphysema
- J43.8 Other emphysema
- J43.9 Emphysema, unspecified
- J44.0 Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease with acute lower respiratory infection
- J44.1 Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease with (acute) exacerbation
- J44.9 Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, unspecified

2856 Pharmacotherapy Management of COPD Exacerbation

The eligible population for this measure is based on acute inpatient discharges and ED visits, not on patients. It is possible for the denominator to include multiple events for the same individual. The eligible population for the denominator is defined by following the series of steps below:

Step 1: Identify all patients who had either of the following during the Intake Period (an 11-month period that begins on January 1 of the measurement year and ends on November 30 of the measurement year):

- 1) An ED visit (ED Value Set) with a primary diagnosis of COPD (COPD Value Set), emphysema (Emphysema Value Set) or chronic bronchitis (Chronic Bronchitis Value Set). Do not include ED visits that result in an inpatient admission.
- 2) An acute inpatient discharge with a primary diagnosis of COPD (COPD Value Set), emphysema (Emphysema Value Set) or chronic bronchitis (Chronic Bronchitis Value Set). To identify acute inpatient discharges:
- a. Identify all acute and nonacute inpatient stays (Inpatient Stay Value Set)
- b. Exclude nonacute inpatient stays (Nonacute Inpatient Stay Value Set)
- c. Identify the discharge date for the stay

Step 2: Identify all COPD Episode Dates (the date of service for any acute inpatient discharge or ED claim/encounter during the intake period with a principal diagnosis of COPD). For each patient in Step 1, identify all acute inpatient discharges and ED Visits. See corresponding Excel file for value sets referenced above.

Exclusions

0102 COPD: inhaled bronchodilator therapy

ATS continues to use the PCPI exception methodology that uses three categories of exception reasons for which a patient may be removed from the denominator of an individual measure: medical, patient and system reasons.

Exceptions are used to remove patients from the denominator of a performance measure when a patient does not receive a therapy or service AND that therapy or service would not be appropriate due to specific reasons; otherwise, the patient would meet the denominator criteria. Exceptions are not absolute, and the application of exceptions is based on clinical judgment, individual patient characteristics, or patient preferences. These measure exception categories are not uniformly relevant across all measures; for each measure, there must be a clear rationale to permit an exception for a medical, patient, or system reason. Examples are provided in the measure exception language of instances that may constitute an exception and are intended to serve as a guide to clinicians. For this measure, exceptions include medical reason(s), patient reason(s) or system reason(s) for not prescribing long-acting inhaled bronchodilators. Although this methodology does not require the external reporting of more detailed exception data, the ATS recommends that physicians document the specific reasons for exception in patients' medical records for purposes of optimal patient management and audit-readiness.

2856 Pharmacotherapy Management of COPD Exacerbation

- 1) Exclude episode dates when the patient was transferred directly to an acute or nonacute inpatient care setting for any diagnosis.
- 2) Exclude episode dates when the patient was readmitted to an acute or nonacute inpatient care setting for any diagnosis within 14 days after the episode date.
- 3) Exclude episode dates when the patient had an ED visit for any diagnosis within 14 days after the Episode date.

Exclusion Details

0102 COPD: inhaled bronchodilator therapy

For Claims:

Patient not Documented to have Long-acting Inhaled Bronchodilator Prescribed for Medical, Patient, or System Reasons

(One CPT II code & one quality-data code [4025F-xP & G8924] are required on the claim form to submit this numerator option)

Append a modifier (1P, 2P or 3P) to CPT Category II code 4025F to report documented circumstances that appropriately exclude patients from the denominator.

Medical Performance Exclusion, Patient Performance Exclusion, or System Performance Exclusion:

4025F with 1P: Documentation of medical reason(s) for not prescribing a long-acting inhaled bronchodilator, e.g., contraindicated due to comorbidities

OR

4025F with 2P: Documentation of patient reason(s) for not prescribing a long-acting inhaled bronchodilator

OR

4025F with 3P: Documentation of system reason(s) for not prescribing a long-acting inhaled bronchodilator, e.g., not covered by insurance

AND

G8924: Spirometry test results demonstrate FEV1/FVC < 70%, FEV1 < 60% predicted and patient has COPD symptoms (e.g., dyspnea, cough/sputum, wheezing)

NOTE: CMS approved edited G-code for 2017 PQRS year and edited CPT II code is pending

2856 Pharmacotherapy Management of COPD Exacerbation

- 1) Exclude episode dates when the patient was transferred directly to an acute or nonacute inpatient care setting for any diagnosis. Organizations may identify "transfers" using their own methods and then confirm the acute or nonacute inpatient care setting using codes in the Inpatient Stay Value Set.
- 2) Exclude episode dates when the patient was readmitted to an acute or nonacute inpatient care setting for any diagnosis within 14 days after the episode date. To identify readmissions to an acute or nonacute inpatient care setting:
- a. Identify all acute and nonacute inpatient stays (Inpatient Stay Value Set)
- b. Identify the admission date for the stay
- 3) Exclude episode dates when the patient had an ED visit (ED value set) for any diagnosis within 14 days after the episode date.

See corresponding Excel file for value sets referenced above.

Risk Adjustment

0102 COPD: inhaled bronchodilator therapy

No risk adjustment or risk stratification

No risk adjustment or risk stratification.

2856 Pharmacotherapy Management of COPD Exacerbation

Statistical risk model

N/A

Stratification

0102 COPD: inhaled bronchodilator therapy

We encourage the results of this measure to be stratified by race, ethnicity, primary language, and administrative sex.

2856 Pharmacotherapy Management of COPD Exacerbation

N/A

Type Score

0102 COPD: inhaled bronchodilator therapy

Rate/proportion better quality = higher score

2856 Pharmacotherapy Management of COPD Exacerbation

Rate/proportion better quality = higher score

Algorithm

0102 COPD: inhaled bronchodilator therapy

NOTE: This sequence of steps has not been edited to reflect updated CPT II or G-codes. It will be edited once all updated CPT II or G-codes are finalized.

- 1. Start with Denominator
- 2. Check Patient Age:
- a. If the Age is greater than or equal to 18 years of age on Date of Service and equals No during the measurement period, do not include in Eligible Patient Population. Stop Processing.
- b. If the Age is greater than or equal to 18 years of age on Date of Service and equals Yes during the measurement period, proceed to check Patient Diagnosis.
- 3. Check Patient Diagnosis:
- a. If Diagnosis of COPD as Listed in the Denominator equals No, do not include in Eligible Patient Population. Stop Processing.
- b. If Diagnosis of COPD as Listed in the Denominator equals Yes, proceed to check Encounter Performed.
- 4. Check Encounter Performed:
- a. If Encounter as Listed in the Denominator equals No, do not include in Eligible Patient Population. Stop Processing.
- b. If Encounter as Listed in the Denominator equals Yes, include in the Eligible population.
- 5. Denominator Population:
- a. Denominator population is all Eligible Patients in the denominator. Denominator is represented as Denominator in the Sample Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter d equals 8 patients in the sample calculation.
- 6. Start Numerator
- 7. Check Patient Prescribed Inhaled Bronchodilator Therapy AND Results of FEV1<60% Predicted and Patient has COPD Symptoms:
- a. If Patient Prescribed Inhaled Bronchodilator Therapy AND Results of FEV1 <60% Predicted and Patient has COPD Symptoms equals Yes, include in Reporting Met and Performance Met.
- b. Reporting Met and Performance Met letter is represented in the Reporting Rate and Performance Rate in the Sample Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter a equals 4 patients in Sample Calculation.
- c. If Patient Prescribed Inhaled Bronchodilator Therapy AND Results of FEV1 <60% Predicted and Patient has COPD symptoms equals No, proceed to check Documentation of Medical Reason(s) for Not Prescribing Inhaled Bronchodilator Therapy AND Spirometry Results of FEV1 <60% Predicted and Patient has COPD Symptoms.
- 8. Check Documentation of Medical Reason(s) for Not Prescribing Inhaled Bronchodilator AND Spirometry Results of FEV1 <60% Predicted and Patient has COPD Symptoms:

- a. If Documentation of Medical Reason(s) for Not Prescribing Inhaled Bronchodilator AND Spirometry Results of FEV1 <60% Predicted and Patient has COPD Symptoms equals Yes, include in Reporting Met and Performance Exclusion.
- b. Reporting Met and Performance Exclusion letter is represented in the Reporting Rate and Performance Rate in the Sample Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter b1 equals 1 patient in the Sample Calculation.
- c. If Documentation of Medical Reason(s) for Not Prescribing Inhaled Bronchodilator AND Spirometry Results of FEV1 <60% Predicted and Patient has COPD Symptoms equals No, proceed to check Documentation of Patient Reason(s) for Not Prescribing Inhaled Bronchodilator AND Spirometry Results of FEV1 <60% Predicted and Patient has COPD Symptoms.
- 9. Check Documentation of Patient Reason(s) for Not Prescribing Inhaled Bronchodilator AND Spirometry Results of FEV1 <60% Predicted and Patient has COPD Symptoms:
- a. If Documentation of Patient Reason(s) for Not Prescribing Inhaled Bronchodilator AND Spirometry Results of FEV1 <60% Predicted and Patient has COPD Symptoms equals Yes, include in Reporting Met and Performance Exclusion.
- b. Reporting Met and Performance Exclusion letter is represented in the Reporting Rate and Performance Rate in the Sample Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter b2 equals 0 patients in the Sample Calculation.
- c. If Documentation of Patient Reason(s) for Not Prescribing Inhaled Bronchodilator AND Spirometry Results of FEV1 <60% Predicted and Patient has COPD Symptoms equals No, proceed to check Documentation of System Reason(s) for Not Prescribing Inhaled Bronchodilator AND Spirometry Results of FEV1 <60% Predicted and Patient has COPD Symptoms.
- 10. Check Documentation of System Reason(s) for Not Prescribing Inhaled Bronchodilator AND Spirometry Results of FEV1 <60% Predicted and Patient has COPD Symptoms:
- a. If Documentation of System Reason(s) for Not Prescribing Inhaled Bronchodilator AND Spirometry Results of FEV1 <60% Predicted and Patient has COPD Symptoms equals Yes, include in Reporting Met and Performance Exclusion.
- b. Reporting Met and Performance Exclusion letter is represented in the Reporting Rate and Performance Rate in the Sample Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter b3 equals 0 patients in the Sample Calculation.
- c. If Documentation of System Reason(s) for Not Prescribing Inhaled Bronchodilator AND Spirometry Results of FEV1 <60% Predicted and Patient has COPD Symptoms equals No, proceed to check Spirometry Results FEV1 = 60% Predicted OR Does not have COPD Symptoms.
- 11. Check Spirometry Results FEV1 = 60% Predicted OR does not have COPD Symptoms:
- a. If Spirometry Results FEV1 = 60% Predicted OR Does not have COPD Symptoms equals Yes, include in Reporting Met and Performance Exclusion.
- b. Reporting Met and Performance Exclusion letter is represented in the Reporting Rate and Performance Rate in the Sample Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter b4 equals 0 patients in the Sample Calculation.

- c. If Spirometry Results FEV1 = 60% Predicted OR Does not have COPD symptoms equals NO, proceed to check Spirometry Test Not Performed to Documented, Reason not Given.
- 12. Check Spirometry Test Not Performed to Documented, Reason Not Given:
- a. If Spirometry Test Not Performed to Documented, Reason Not Given equals Yes, include in reporting met and performance exclusion.
- b. Reporting Met and Performance Exclusion letter is represented in the Reporting Rate and Performance Rate in the Sample Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter b5 equals 0 patients in the Sample Calculation.
- c. If Spirometry Test Not Performed to Documented, Reason Not Given equals No, proceed to check Inhaled Bronchodilator not Prescribed, Reason Not Specified AND results of FEV1 = 60% Predicted and Patient has COPD Symptoms.
- 13. Check Inhaled Bronchodilator not Prescribed, Reason Not Specified AND Results of FEV1 = 60% Predicted and Patient has COPD Symptoms:
- a. If Inhaled Bronchodilator not Prescribed, Reason not Otherwise Specified AND results of FEV1 = 60% Predicted and Patient has COPD Symptoms equals Yes, include in Reporting Met and Performance Not Met.
- b. Reporting Met and Performance Not Met letter is represented in the Reporting Rate in the Sample Calculation listed at the end of this document. Letter c equals 2 patients in the Sample Calculation.
- c. If Inhaled Bronchodilator not Prescribed, Reason not Otherwise Specified AND results of FEV1 = 60% Predicted and Patient has COPD Symptoms equals No, proceed to check Reporting Not Met.
- 14. Check Reporting Not Met
- a. If Reporting Not Met equals No, Quality Data Code or equivalent not reported. 1 patient has been subtracted from reporting numerator in the sample calculation.

Please see Measure Flow in Appendix A.1 for 'Sample Calculation' referenced above. Available in attached appendix at A.1

2856 Pharmacotherapy Management of COPD Exacerbation

Refer to items S.6 (Numerator details), S.9 (Denominator details), S.11 (Denominator exclusions details) and S.2b (Data Dictionary) for tables.

The denominator for this measure is based on acute inpatient discharges and ED visits, not patients. The measure calculation is detailed in the steps listed below:

Step 1: identify the eligible population.

- A. Identify all patients who had either of the following during the Intake Period (an 11-month period that begins on January 1 of the measurement year and ends on November 30 of the measurement year):
- 1) An ED visit (ED Value Set) with a primary diagnosis of COPD (COPD Value Set), emphysema (Emphysema Value Set) or chronic bronchitis (Chronic Bronchitis Value Set). Do not include ED visits that result in an inpatient admission.
- 2) An acute inpatient discharge with a primary diagnosis of COPD (COPD Value Set), emphysema (Emphysema Value Set) or chronic bronchitis (Chronic Bronchitis Value Set). To identify acute inpatient discharges:
- a. Identify all acute and nonacute inpatient stays (Inpatient Stay Value Set)

- b. Exclude nonacute inpatient stays (Nonacute Inpatient Stay Value Set)
- c. Identify the discharge date for the stay
- B. Identify all COPD Episode Dates (the date of service for any acute inpatient discharge or ED claim/encounter during the intake period with a principal diagnosis of COPD). For each patient in Step 1, identify all acute inpatient discharges and ED Visits.

Step 2: determine denominator exclusions.

A. Exclude episode dates when the patient was transferred directly to an acute or nonacute inpatient care setting for any diagnosis. Organizations may identify "transfers" using their own methods and then confirm the acute or nonacute inpatient care setting using codes in the Inpatient Stay Value Set.

- B. Exclude episode dates when the patient was readmitted to an acute or nonacute inpatient care setting for any diagnosis within 14 days after the episode date. To identify readmissions to an acute or nonacute inpatient care setting:
- 1. Identify all acute and nonacute inpatient stays (Inpatient Stay Value Set)
- 2. Identify the admission date for the stay
- 3. Exclude episode dates when the patient had an ED visit (ED value set) for any diagnosis within 14 days after the episode date.

Step 3: determine the numerator.

Numerator 1 (Systemic Corticosteroid): Identify the number of patients dispensed a prescription for systemic corticosteroid (refer to PCE-C: Systemic Corticosteroids) on or 14 days after the Episode Date.

- -The Episode Date is the date of service for any acute inpatient discharge or ED claim/encounter during the 11-month intake period with a principal diagnosis of COPD.
- -Count systemic corticosteroids that are active on the relevant date. An active prescription is considered active if the "days supply" indicated on the date the patient filled the prescription is the number of days or more between that date and the relevant date. For an acute inpatient encounter, the relevant date is the date of admission. For an ED claim/encounter, the relevant date is the date of service.

Numerator 2 (Bronchodilator): Identify the number of patients dispensed a prescription for bronchodilator (refer to PCE-D: Bronchodilators) on or 30 days after the Episode Date.

- -The Episode Date is the date of service for any acute inpatient discharge or ED claim/encounter during the 11-month intake period with a principal diagnosis of COPD.
- -Count bronchodilators that are active on the relevant date. An active prescription is considered active if the "days supply" indicated on the date the patient filled the prescription is the number of days or more between that date and the relevant date. For an acute inpatient encounter, the relevant date is the date of admission. For an ED claim/encounter, the relevant date is the date of service.

Step 4: calculate two rates.

- A. Number of patients dispensed a prescription for systemic corticosteroid on or 14 days after the Episode Date/Denominator
- B. Number of patients dispensed a prescription for bronchodilator on or 30 days after the Episode Date /Denominator No diagram provided

Submission items

0102 COPD: inhaled bronchodilator therapy

5.1 Identified measures:

5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized? Yes

5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact:

5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value: N/A

COMMENT ON 5a.1 - N/A is not a selection. For this reason, we select yes. There are no competing measures to harmonize.

2856 Pharmacotherapy Management of COPD Exacerbation

5.1 Identified measures: 0577: Use of Spirometry Testing in the Assessment and Diagnosis of COPD

0091: COPD: Spirometry Evaluation

0102: COPD: inhaled bronchodilator therapy 5a.1 Are specs completely harmonized? No

5a.2 If not completely harmonized, identify difference, rationale, impact: 0091 and 0577 are measures assessing spirometry testing in COPD patients. There is no impact on interpretability or added burden of data collection because the focus of our proposed measure is different. 0102 is a physician-level measure and the focus of our proposed measure is different. Our measure focuses exclusively on patients who were hospitalized or had an ED visit for a COPD exacerbation and received timely recommended treatment (systemic corticosteroids and bronchodilators) while 0102 focuses on managing COPD and allows receipt of a bronchodilator at least once during the measurement year.

5b.1 If competing, why superior or rationale for additive value: N/A

National Quality Forum 1030 15th St NW, Suite 800 Washington, DC 20005 http://www.qualityforum.org

ISBN 978-1-68248-027-4 ©2016 National Quality Forum