Date of Comment	Comment Submitter Name	Comment Submitter Organization	On Behalf of Name	On Behalf of Organization	Question	Comment
Dec 22 2010 11:44AM	Rachel Groman	American Association of Neurological Surgeons			1A. Surgery or other invasive procedure performed on the wrong site	The AANS appreciates that this measure accounts for appropriate surgery at an adjacent level due to anatomic variability.
Dec 29 2010 5:10PM	Carmella Bocchino	America's Health Insurance Plans			1A. Surgery or other invasive procedure performed on the wrong site	'AHIP supports the addition of four new SREs, as they monitor adverse patient outcomes, resulting from lack of appropriate care coordination and address new populations.'
Dec 30 2010 4:55PM	Angela Franklin	American College of Emergency Physicians			1A. Surgery or other invasive procedure performed on the wrong site	ACEP believes the events related to incorrectly placed lines and tubes should include exclusions, or at least modifiers for "code" lines. In "codes" there is benefit from using a line or tube prior to using all techniques to check placement, and the risk / benefit ratio is different.'
Dec 23 2010 5:19PM	Marie Kokol	Risk Management & Patient Safety Program			1C. Wrong surgical procedure or other invasive procedure performed on a patient	1C. This is the correct patient but the incorrect surgery, and this would be clearer if reworded " performed on the correct patient."

Dec 29 2010 5:12PM	Carmella Bocchino	America's Health Insurance Plans	1C. Wrong surgical procedure or other invasive procedure performed on a patient	'To ensure safety of patients, it would be important to prevent wrong site and wrong procedure events even in emergent situations and suggest that at a minimum, these events should be tracked and reported to assess opportunities for improvement.'
Dec 2 2010 3:14PM	Robert Gold	DCBA, Inc.	1D. Unintended retention of a foreign object in a patient after surgery or other invasive procedure	I appreciate the variation in the titleand the definition of foreign object to distinguish from (a) purposefully leaving an object and (b) objects that had not been introduced with the surgical procedure. The clarification of the intent of changing the definition of end of surgery and the new definition are delightful. Thank you all for understanding and getting it right.

Dec 17	VERNA	NoThing Left		1D. Unintended	Please reconsider changing the wording of this event to
2010	GIBBS	Behind		retention of a	"unintended retention of a surgical item in a patient" instead of
10:42PM				foreign object	"foreign object".
				in a patient	
				after surgery or	Retained foreign objects (RFO) include non-medical or surgical
				other invasive	items that can be left in a patient after an operation. A retained
				procedure	surgical item (RSI) is a surgical patient safety event. A retained
					surgical item is less ambiguous than "foreign object" and provides
					clarity for the operation or procedure report and coding. The 2010
					AORN recommended practices now refer to "retained surgical
					items".
					It is important for the NQF to change to "retained surgical item" so
					there will be a common language used in the medical and surgical
					literature which will aid in reporting, communication and case
					finding. It is very confusing to sort through articles reporting on
					retained foreign object cases which combine all types of objects left
					or found after or during operations. Currently 998.4 (foreign body
					accidentally left during a procedure) and E871.0 (foreign object left
					in body during procedure, surgical operation) do not clarify what
					kind of foreign object is being reported, when or in what case it was
					retained. Separate coding should be developed to distinguish
					between an RFO and an RSI.'
I	1	I	I	I	I

Dec 22 2010 1:17PM	Beth Honkomp	St. Cloud Hospital		1D. Unintended retention of a foreign object in a patient after surgery or other invasive procedure	'St. Cloud Hospital, St. Cloud, MN agrees with the definition of surgery or invasive procedure end presented within the definitions section (patient has been taken from the operating/procedure room).'
Dec 23 2010 1:04PM	Cindy Barnard	Northwestern Memorial HealthCare		retention of a foreign object in a patient	Changes are appropriate. Definition of "end of surgery" is extremely helpful. Note that sometimes surgical wound is left open for a period of time. It would seem that "end of surgery" is still the departure from the O.R., correct?'

	Rabia Khan			CMS		In regard to the definition of "End of Surgery," the CMS SCIP
2010		Medicare and	Rapp		retention of a	measures changed this element to "Anesthesia End Time," as it was
12:58PM		Medicaid			foreign object	determined this element was more readily available in the medical
		Services			in a patient	record (both electronic and paper based). Please consider using the
					after surgery or	same data element as current measures.'
					other invasive	
					procedure	

Dec 23	Melanie	Society for	Melanie	SHEA	2A. Patient	SHEA agrees that this is an important patient safety issue. The
2010	Young	Healthcare	Young		death or serious	Society is concerned as to whether this meets the NQF SRE criterion
1:00PM		Epidemiology			injury	of being "clearly identifiable and measurable". It is a rare situation
		of America			associated with	where a patient's acquisition of hepatitis, HIV or other infections
					the use of	can be clearly linked to a specific contaminated drug, device, or
					contaminated	biologic provided by the healthcare setting except for very unusual
					drugs, devices,	large outbreaks that can be traced to a common source. Reporting
					or biologics	of "the threat of disease that changes the patient's risk status for
						life" is ill-defined. Will this apply to possible contamination
					healthcare	occurring within the healthcare institution as well as through a
					setting	manufacturer or distributor (e.g., a recall of allograft tissue)? An
						unintended consequence might be that the requirement to report
						these events will discourage hospitals from aggressive follow-up of
						possible contamination events not clearly associated with
						subsequent infections.'

Dec 23	Cindy	Northwestern	2A. Patient	Changes are appropriate if event was detectable by the
2010	Barnard	Memorial	death or serious	organization. Should explicitly exclude nondetectable
1:03PM		HealthCare	injury	contamination introduced prior to organization acquisition (eg
			associated with	contaminated implants or tissue).
			the use of	
			contaminated	The phrase "threat of disease that changes patient's risk status for
			drugs, devices,	life requiring monitoring not needed before the event" is unclear.
			or biologics	Patient exposure to improperly cleaned instruments, for example,
			provided by the	requires monitoring for several months but not for life. Is this
			healthcare	included? Suggest clarification to something like "changes patient's
			setting	risk status for six months or more…"
				What does "serious" infection mean in the implementation
				guidance? Same criteria as "serious" injury? If the patient does not
				acquire an infection (ie not "death or serious injury"), it appears
				that the exposure is not considered a Serious Reportable Adverse
				Event.
				Unclear how events A and B are different with regard to a
				contaminated device, because implementation guidance for B
				includes "occurrences related to improper cleaning or maintenance
				of the device" - suggest this be clarified.'

Dec 23	Jennifer	Association of	2A. Patient	The AAMC supports the definition for this event for those situations
2010	Faerberg	American	death or serious	where the contamination can be detected by the instituiton. There
9:51AM		Medical	injury	should be an exclusion for those events where the contaminiation
		Colleges	associated with	occurred outside of the institution and is not detectable.
			the use of	
			contaminated	
			drugs, devices,	
			or biologics	
			provided by the	
			healthcare	
			setting	

Dec 23	Nancy	CDC	2A. Patient	-under "event" -a. Patient death or, serious injury, or infection
2010	Levine		death or serious	associated with the use of contaminated drugs, devices, or biologics
3:26PM			injury	provided by the healthcare setting
			associated with	- under "implementation guidance" suggest rewording as follows:
			the use of	Contaminants may be physical, chemical, or biological in nature.
			contaminated	Not all contaminations can be seen with the naked eye or readily
			drugs, devices,	detected using generally available or more specialized testing
			or biologics	mechanisms (e.g., cultures, nucleic acid testing, mass spectrometry,
			provided by the	and tests that signal changes in pH or glucose levels). In some cases,
			healthcare	contamination may simply be inferred (e.g., consider a syringe or
			setting	needle contaminated once it has been used to administer
				medication to a patient by injection or via connection to a patient's
				intravenous infusion bag or administration set).
				- under implementation guidance, second bullet: "serious infection
				from contaminated drug or device used in surgery or an invasive
				procedure (e.g., a vial, needle, syringe, or scalpel)."

Dec 29 2010 5:12PM	Carmella Bocchino	America's Health Insurance Plans		injury associated with the use of contaminated	Patient death or serious injury associated with the use of contaminated drugs, devices, or biologics provided by the healthcare setting - Since the contamination could occur during manufacturing, packaging, transport, storage, or in the health care facility tracking the site of contamination would be important so that processes can be rectified at the right location to prevent future occurrences.'
Dec 29 2010 5:51PM	Erin Graydon Baker	Partners HealthCare System, Inc.	Partners Healthcare	2A. Patient death or serious injury associated with the use of contaminated drugs, devices, or biologics provided by the healthcare setting	Can you give examples that represent substantial change in the patient's long-term risk status? This seems vague.

Dec 29	Margaret	Premier, Inc.		2A. Patient	We would certainly agree that this is an important patient safety
2010	Reagan			death or serious	issue. However, as written, it does not appear to meet the NQF SRE
12:05PM				injury	criterion of being "clearly identifiable and measurable." It is rare to
				associated with	clearly link a patient's acquisition of viral infection to a specific
				the use of	contaminated drug, device, or biologic provided by the healthcare
				contaminated	setting in an endemic situation. (This is quite different from large
				drugs, devices,	outbreak/clusters related to a common source). This approach
				or biologics	requires first identifying the serious injury or death and then
				provided by the	associating it with identifiable contamination. SREs should be rare,
				healthcare	but investigation of single cases involving acquisition of disease are
				setting	necessarily ill-defined-not able to be confirmed or refuted-given
					long incubation periods for viral infection. They are not easily
					associated with identifiable contamination. This may need to be
					reconsidered more definitively for an endemic situation.
					Reporting of "the threat of disease that changes the patient's risk
					status for life" is fairly ill-defined. It is not clear whether this applies
					only to potential contamination within the healthcare institution or
					also if througha manufacturer or distributor (e.g., a recall of
					allograft tissue). This needs clarification.
					Unintended consequence - may discourage hospitals from
					aggressive follow-up of possible contamination events not clearly
					associated with subsequent infections.'
1	I	1 I	I	1	l l



Dec 30	Denise	Association	Denise	APIC	2A. Patient	APIC agrees that this is an important patient safety issue. However,
2010	Graham	for	Graham		death or serious	as written, does it meet the NQF SRE criterion of being "clearly
5:19PM		Professionals			injury	identifiable and measurable"? It is rare to clearly link a patient's
		in Infection			associated with	acquisition of hepatitis, HIV, HCV etc. to a specific contaminated
		Control and			the use of	drug, device, or biologic provided by the healthcare setting in an
		Epidemiology			contaminated	endemic situation. This is quite different from large outbreaks such
					drugs, devices,	as reported by Perz et al. related to a cluster/large common
					or biologics	source. This requires first identifying the serious injury/death and
					provided by the	associating it with identifiable contamination. SREs should be rare
					healthcare	and this certainly is, but investigation of single cases involving
					setting	acquisition of disease are necessarily ill-defined given long
						incubation periods for viral infection. They are not easily associated
						with identifiable contamination. This may need to be reconsidered
						more definitively for an "endemic situation". Reporting of "the
						threat of disease that changes the patient's risk status for life" is
						fairly ill-defined. Does this apply to potential contamination with
						the healthcare institution as well as through a manufacturer or
						distributor (e.g., a recall of allograft tissue)? An unintended
						consequence might be that the requirement to report these events
						may be to discourage hospitals from aggressive follow-up of
						possible contamination events not clearly associated with
						subsequent infections.'

Dec 30 2010 12:59PM	Rabia Khan	Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services	CMS	injury associated with the use of contaminated drugs, devices, or biologics provided by the	'Patient death or serious injury associated with the use of contaminated drugs, devices, or biologics provided by the healthcare setting (Lines 330-331) may pose difficulty for abstraction, as many of these instances may not be evident for days or even months after the event takes place. For instance, patients undergoing a procedure in an ambulatory surgical center may show up at a hospital emergency department several months later with complaints of flu-like symptoms and jaundice. This may or may not be readily linked to the procedure. Also, patient behavior outside of the facility must also be taken into consideration.'

Dec 3	Kevin	Health Watch	2B. Patient	First what a devise is needs to be defined. Is it a complex
2010	Kavanagh	USA	death or serious	mechanical item or a simple tube such as an NG (Nasogastric) or ET
12:40PM			injury	(Endotracheal) Tube. It depends who defines it FDA vs Webster.
			associated with	
			the use or	Second, the example for subcategory B (misuse), just repeats the
			function of a	first subcategory A (Patient death or serious injury associated with
			device in	the use of contaminated drugs, devices, or biologics provided by
			patient care, in	the healthcare setting.).
			which the	
			device is used	Patient death or serious injury associated with the use or function
			or functions	of a device in patient care, in which the device is used or functions
			other than as	other than as intended. As in the previous event, failure to properly
			intended	clean and maintain a device or misuse of a device that exposes a
				patient to disease or injury imposes a "serious injury" when it
				changes his or her risk status for life, requiring previously unneeded
				monitoring or treatment.
				This should be changed to
				Patient death or serious injury associated with the use or function
				of a device in patient care, in which the device is used or functions
				other than as intended. Improper placement, maintenance, or use
				of treatment tubes, catheters, devices or products that exposes a
				patient to disease or injury imposes a "serious injury" when it
				changes his or her risk status for life, requiring previously unneeded
				monitoring or treatment.'

Dec 22	Rachel	American	2B. Patient	We request clarification on appropriate device use. Is this meant to
2010	Groman	Association of	death or serious	target devices used other than intended by implanting physician,
11:48AM		Neurological	injury	other than directions specify, other than what the FDA has
		Surgeons	associated with	approved, or other than has been published in the literature? This
			the use or	measure may inapproriately target devices used for a patient's
			function of a	unique needs. For example, literature on lateral mass screws
			device in	prepared by the manufacturer specify that they are to be used in
			patient care, in	the thoracic spine only and not in the cervical spine. The FDA hasn't
			which the	approved screws in the cervical spine. Still, these screws are often
			device is used	effective/necessary in certain cervical spine cases. Many other
			or functions	spinal implants are used off label. As a result, nearly any
			other than as	complication in a posterior cervical fusion or any problem in a spine
			intended	procedure where devices are used off label becomes a SRE based
				on use other than as intended. Furthermore, physicians should not
				be cited if a device is properly implanted, but later malfunctions
				and causes injury (e.g., baclifen pumps or vagus nerve stimulators).
				Also, many devices are implanted in children that have not been
				specifically trialed in that group because of difficulty testing in that
				population. This measure seems overly broad, and we request
				clarification.'

Dec 23 2010 1:01PM	Melanie Young	Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America	2B. Patient death or serious injurySHEA's major concern is whether this meets the NQF SRE criterion of being "clearly identifiable and measurable". It is a rare situation where a patient's acquisition of hepatitis, HIV or other infections can be clearly linked to failure to properly clean and maintain a device except for very unusual large outbreaks that can be traced to a common source. Reporting of "the threat of disease that changes the patient's risk status for life" is ill-defined. An unintended consequence might be that the requirement to report these events will discourage hospitals from aggressive follow-up of possible inadequate cleaning/disinfection practices not clearly associated with subsequent infections.'
Dec 23	Nancy	CDC	2B. Patient - under "event" a. Patient death or, serious injury, or infection

2010 3:26PM	Levine				injury associated with the use or function of a device in	associated with the use of contaminated drugs, devices, or biologics provided by the healthcare setting - under "additional specifications" – "Includes, but is not limited to, catheters, drains and other specialized tubes, infusion pumps, and ventilators." Suggest rewording as "Includes, but is not limited to surgical equipment, ventilators, catheters, drains, intravenous tubing and other specialized tubes, infusion pumps, medication vials, syringes, and fingerstick lancing devices." - under "implementation guidance" – Add second bullet to read "instances in which harm results as a consequence of reuse of single use medications (i.e., single dose vials) or equipment that is intended for use only on individual patients (e.g., syringes)"
----------------	--------	--	--	--	---	--

Dec 29	Erin	Partners	Erin	Partners	2B. Patient	Can you give examples that represent substantial change in the
2010	Graydon	HealthCare	Graydon	Healthcare	death or serious	patient's long-term risk status? This seems vague.
5:54PM	Baker	System, Inc.	Baker		injury	
					associated with	
					the use or	
					function of a	
					device in	
					patient care, in	
					which the	
					device is used	
					or functions	
					other than as	
					intended	

Dec 29	Thomas	Humana Inc.	2B. Patient	'Humana appreciates the opportunity to comment on the Serious
2010	James		death or serious	Reportable Events. We fully support the intention of this measure
9:33PM			injury	of patient death or serious injury associated with the us of a device
			associated with	other than as intended. We look forward t the enlargement of this
			the use or	concept to pharmaceuticals, therapies or services used in fashions
			function of a	other than as intended which lead to death or serious injury.'
			device in	
			patient care, in	
			which the	
			device is used	
			or functions	
			other than as	
			intended	
Dec 29	Margaret	Premier, Inc.	2B. Patient	Similarly, this language does not seem to meet the NQF SRE
2010	Reagan		death or serious	criterion of being "clearly identifiable and measurable." This is the
12:11PM			injury	same problem, linking a patient's acquisition of viral infection to
			associated with	failure to properly clean and maintain a device. That is, serious
			the use or	infection from a contaminated device used in surgery or an invasive
			function of a	procedure (eg, scalpel) would be very difficult to identify, as well as
			device in	a contaminated vaccine or medication. This requires extensive
			patient care, in	investigation after multiple events-and to date such events were
			which the	identified only as part of a cluster or large outbreak. Once again,
			device is used	endemic cases would be rare situations in which a patient's
			or functions	acquisition of viral hepatitis, HIV or other infections could not easily
			other than as	be linked to failure to properly clean and maintain a device. A RCA
			intended	would be done if such an infection is acquired by a patient while in
				a given facility, but long incubation periods make just detectability

Dec 30	Denise	Association	Denise	APIC	2B. Patient	Does this meet the NQF SRE criterion of being "clearly identifiable
2010	Graham	for	Graham		death or serious	and measurable"? This is the same problem of linking a patient's
5:22PM		Professionals			injury	acquisition of hepatitis, HIV, HCV etc. to failure to properly clean
		in Infection			associated with	and maintain a device unless part of a large outbreak. Reporting of
		Control and			the use or	"the threat of disease that changes the patient's risk status for life"
		Epidemiology			function of a	is similarly ill-defined. An unintended consequence in this case may
					device in	be that the requirement to report these events will discourage
					patient care, in	hospitals from aggressive follow-up of possible inadequate
					which the	cleaning/disinfection practices not clearly associated with
					device is used	subsequent infections.'
					or functions	
					other than as	
					intended	
Dec 29	Carmella	America's			2C. Patient	Patient death or serious injury associated with intravascular air
2010	Bocchino	Health			death or serious	embolism that occurs while being cared for in a healthcare setting -
5:13PM		Insurance			injury	Embolism through an undetected patent foramen ovale (PFO) could
		Plans				only be eliminated by screening everyone having a procedure which
						could create excessive delays in other treatments and in cost. NQF
					embolism that	should consider the presence of an unknown PFO as an exclusion.
					occurs while	
					being cared for	
					in a healthcare	
					setting	

Dec 29	Erin	Partners	Erin	Partners	2C. Patient	Can you give examples that represent substantial change in the
2010	Graydon	HealthCare	Graydon	Healthcare	death or serious	patient's long-term risk status? This seems vague.
5:53PM	Baker	System, Inc.	Baker		injury	
					associated with	
					intravascular air	
					embolism that	
					occurs while	
					being cared for	
					in a healthcare	
					setting	
Dec 20	Rebecca	American	Barney	American	3A. Discharge	I have one comment. Regarding item 3A, how is the degree of
			<b>A</b> .			
2010	Swain-Eng	Academy of	Stern	Academy of	or release of a	cognitive incapacity defined? Is this an impression, a diagnosis, a
2010 2:57PM	Swain-Eng	Academy of Neurology	Stern	Academy of Neurolgoy	patient/residen	cognitive incapacity defined? Is this an impression, a diagnosis, a score on the MMSE or MoCA, etc?
	Swain-Eng		Stern		patient/residen t of any age,	score on the MMSE or MoCA, etc?
	Swain-Eng		Stern		patient/residen t of any age, who is unable	
	Swain-Eng		Stern		patient/residen t of any age, who is unable to make	score on the MMSE or MoCA, etc?
	Swain-Eng		Stern		patient/residen t of any age, who is unable to make decisions, to	score on the MMSE or MoCA, etc?
	Swain-Eng		Stern		patient/residen t of any age, who is unable to make decisions, to other than an	score on the MMSE or MoCA, etc?
	Swain-Eng		Stern		patient/residen t of any age, who is unable to make decisions, to other than an authorized	score on the MMSE or MoCA, etc?
	Swain-Eng		Stern		patient/residen t of any age, who is unable to make decisions, to other than an	score on the MMSE or MoCA, etc?
	Swain-Eng		Stern		patient/residen t of any age, who is unable to make decisions, to other than an authorized	score on the MMSE or MoCA, etc?

Dec 21 2010 11:51AM	Caitlin Connolly	American Geriatrics Society	Susie Sherman	The American Geriatrics Society (AGS)	3A. Discharge or release of a patient/residen t of any age, who is unable to make decisions, to other than an authorized person	We would like this to be clearer. There are many people with impaired decision making capacity who have not yet been "measured," but who can and will continue to leave long term care facilities at their own will; this may result with injury.'
Dec 23 2010 1:21PM	Linda Harvey	UPMC	Linda Harvey	UPMC	3A. Discharge or release of a patient/residen t of any age, who is unable to make decisions, to other than an authorized person	Recommend not including at this time. Requesting additional specifications to clarify "unable to make decisions" (temporary/ permanent) vs competency of the patient along with definition of "authorized person". Requesting clarification on how each definition would be uniformly applied in each case.

Dec 29	Carmella	America's		3A. Discharge	Discharge or release of a patient/resident of any age, who is unable
2010	Bocchino	Health		or release of a	to make decisions, to other than an authorized person - The
5:13PM		Insurance		patient/residen	definition of who is unable to make decisions is provided only
		Plans		t of any age,	through clear examples. There are those patients with early
				who is unable	dementia, those who are not medically literate but capable of
				to make	activities of daily living, and others for whom the determination of
				decisions, to	"unable to make decisions" needs to be clarified.'
				other than an	
				authorized	
				person	

Dec 29	Erin	Partners	Erin	Partners	3A. Discharge	Would the definition of authorized be more clear if stated as
2010 5:59PM	Graydon Baker	HealthCare System, Inc.	Graydon Baker	Healthcare	or release of a patient/residen t of any age, who is unable to make decisions, to other than an authorized person	authorized means the guardian or other individual(s) (surrogate) having the generally recognized ability to consent on behalf of a minor or incapacitated individual, or person designated by the surrogate to release or consent for the patient? We think that operationalizing the legal recognition will be difficult.'
Dec 29 2010 10:57PM	Michael Phelan	Cleveland Clinic	Cleveland Clinic	Cleveland Clinic	3A. Discharge or release of a patient/residen t of any age, who is unable to make decisions, to other than an authorized person	The specs include the examples of minors, newborns, adults with Alzheimer's and the measures was originally intended to apply just to minors. has the early definition been formally implemented and do we know the issues surrounding those events? Shouldn't we know that before broadening the scope? We would argue that the above examples have superior decision capacity compared to many other patients especially some geriatric, psychiatric and some emergency department(ED) patients. Most outpatients' visits and ED patients are discharged, the criteria/definitions used in making these determinations are important. More discrete criteria are needed for these definitions (measure) or there needs to be wide flexibility in making the determination and consideration of what level of documentation is acceptable to reflect this. Currently these issues (competency and authorized) are not documented in many medical records. Will there be some mandatory requirement to include this type of documentation. What will this competency

					now going to have to do some sort of overall capacity determination at each patient visit? How will this apply for patients who have chronic functional psychoses? Is there any information or data about how large of a patient safety issue is this type of event?'
			Cleveland	-	We strongly suggest clearly defining what one means by unable to make decisions (or lack of decision making ability) and authorized
	elan (	Clinic	Clinic		make decisions (or lack of decision making ability) and authorized
10:57PM Dec 30 Pat		Harborview			person. The definitions may need to include context and some There is no "gold standard" for assessing decision-making capacity.

2010	Calver	Medical	or release of a	Available decision-making assessment tools lack generalizability
Dec 30	Angela	American	3A. Discharge	ACEP recommends clarification of the term "unable to make
2010	Franklin	College of	or release of a	decisions". For application in the ED setting, either more discrete
4:58PM		Emergency	patient/residen	criteria around this term, or flexibility in making the determination
		Physicians	t of any age,	and the acceptable level of documentation may be needed. ACEP
			who is unable	also urges clarification relating to the following questions:
			to make	
			decisions, to	In practice, must decision making capacity be assessed for all
			other than an	patients?
			authorized	
			person	Must providers document decision making capacity for all
				discharged patients?
				How will the SRE apply for patients who have chronic functional
				psychoses, for example?'
Dec 2	Robert	DCBA, Inc.	3B. Patient	The definition of elopement is clear, however that is not the way
2010	Gold		death or serious	personnel use that term. They will refer to patients/residents with
3:27PM			injury	clear mental capacity who disappear unannounced. Either the title
				should be specific about identifying elopement in patients with
			patient	reduced mental capacity or some specification be placed to clarify
			elopement	that this is only reportable in patients with reduced mental
			(disappearance)	
			(	

Dec 21 2010 11:53AM	Caitlin Connolly	American Geriatrics Society	Susie Sherman	The American Geriatrics Society (AGS)	death or serious injury associated with patient elopement	Again, there needs to me more clarification around the definition of "competent," as the Draft Report states that this excludes competent adults who voluntarily leave. To reiterate the AGS's stance on 3A, there are many people with impaired decision making capacity who have not yet been "measured," but who can and will continue to leave long term care facilities at their own will; and this may result with injury.'
Dec 23 2010 1:01PM	Cindy Barnard	Northwestern Memorial HealthCare			3B. Patient death or serious injury associated with patient elopement (disappearance)	Item B -suggest the word "competent" not be used (legal term). Instead, use "adult with decision-making capacity" (medical term). Possibly define such capacity or offer sources of guidance for definition.'
Dec 29 2010 6:02PM	Erin Graydon Baker	Partners HealthCare System, Inc.	Erin Graydon Baker	Partners Healthcare		Should we use the term adults with decision making capacity instead of competent?

Dec 29	Michael	Cleveland	Cleveland	Cleveland	3B. Patient	Perhaps use of the medical term adult with decison making capciaty
2010 11:04PM	Phelan	Clinic	Clinic	Clinic	death or serious injury associated with patient elopement	rather than utilziztion of the legal term competnecy would be appropriate. Also while this measure is supposed to exclude patients who leave AMA or LWBS but does not exclude patients with decision-making capacity who refuse to sign AMA forms, or otherwise just elope, or walk out. These patients should be excluded as well.'
Dec 30 2010 1:16PM	Rabia Khan	Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services	Michael Rapp	CMS	death or serious injury	In regard to Patient death or serious injury associated with patient elopement(Lines 374-376), consistent definitions of "elopement" or "disappearance" should be required in the specifications before any public reporting of this incident should take place.'

n: patients with decision-
forms, or otherwise
e SRE so that elopement
t done that showed the
ned) of elopement and
nd findings on initial nent and
iken when identified as at
a suicidal gesture vs.
ng of the definition. (2)
within 72 hours after
nend alignment.
,

Dec 29	Erin	Partners	Erin	Partners	3C. Patient	We agree with reporting any attempted suicide but would suggest
2010	Graydon	HealthCare	Graydon	Healthcare	suicide, or	that Psychiatry determine attempt.
6:04PM	Baker	System, Inc.	Baker		attempted	
					suicide, while	
					being cared for	
					in a healthcare	
					setting	

Dec 29	Michael	Cleveland	3C. Patient	Again the clarity of the defined elements of this metric and their
2010	Phelan	Clinic	suicide, or	appropriate exclusions will be critical. How is attempted suicide is
11:08PM			attempted	going to be defined? How do we manage patients who have
			suicide, while	Axis II issues who allege suicide attempts when they learn they are
			being cared for	going to be discharged? If not defined properly with some type of
			in a healthcare	serious or significant injury associated with would this really be
			setting	reportable as an attempted suicide? The same issue goes for
				borderline patients or patients slef harm themsleves ie who
				swallow sharp objects over and over again some time 2-3 times on
				the same visit. Often times there is really no indication to admit,
				there is no treatment. They are discharged but return some time
				later having repeated there behaviors. For a serious event these
				patients category should be explored further and categories and
				types of excluion outlined.'

Dec 17	Steven	Fairview	4A. Patient	'Clarify the definition of medication error. For example, does this
2010	Meisel	Health	death or serious	include errors ofd omission? wrong administration technique?
8:20AM		Services	injury	Prescribing errors?'
			associated with	
			a medication	
			error (e.g.,	
			errors involving	
			the wrong drug,	
			wrong dose,	
			wrong patient,	
			wrong time,	
			wrong rate,	
			wrong	
			preparation, or	
			wrong route of	
			administration	

Dec 22	Rachel	American	4A. Patient	'Medication errors are a serious problem and reporting such events
2010	Groman	Association of	death or serious	is totally appropriate, but how this will be done and who will be
11:50AM		Neurological	injury	responsible for reporting these events is not well delineated. Would
		Surgeons	associated with	an on-call neurosurgeon be held responsible for a Coumadin-
			a medication	associated sub-dural hematoma or other bleeds or for a seizure
			error (e.g. <i>,</i>	that results from fluctuating AED (antiepileptic drug) levels?'
			errors involving	
			the wrong drug,	
			wrong dose,	
			wrong patient,	
			wrong time,	
			wrong rate,	
			wrong	
			preparation, or	
			wrong route of	
			administration	

Dec 22	Beth	St. Cloud	4A. Patient	'St. Cloud Hospital, St. Cloud, MN is wondering if this should include
2010	Honkomp	Hospital	death or serious	inappropriate monitoring of a patient after receiving a correct
12:42PM			injury	medication dose (insulin and sedation).'
			associated with	
			a medication	
			error (e.g. <i>,</i>	
			errors involving	
			the wrong drug,	
			wrong dose,	
			wrong patient,	
			wrong time,	
			wrong rate,	
			wrong	
			preparation, or	
			wrong route of	
			administration	

Dec 23	Cindy	Northwestern	4A. Patient	Item A refers to contamination of medication containers - how is		
2010	Barnard	Memorial	death or serious	this separate from the product/device category above, which		
1:00PM		HealthCare	injury	explicitly also refers to contaminated drugs?		
			associated with			
			a medication	Includes safe injection practices - again, this is potentially confusing		
			error (e.g. <i>,</i>	in connection with the "contamination" definitions noted above.		
			errors involving			
			the wrong drug,	Implementation guidance specifically notes high alert medications.		
			wrong dose,	Why? If the patient experiences death or serious injury the type of		
			wrong patient,	medication does not matter. Does the guidance imply that any		
				incorrect dose administrations of high alert medications should be		
			wrong rate,	considered SRE even if there is not death or serious injury?'		
			wrong			
			preparation, or			
			wrong route of			
			administration			
Dec 23	Melanie	Society for	Melanie	SHEA	4A. Patient	The last item d) improper use of single-dose/single-use and multi-
--------	---------	--------------	---------	------	----------------------	--
2010	Young	Healthcare	Young		death or serious	dose medication vials and containers leading to death or serious
1:12PM		Epidemiology			injury	injury as a result of contamination or dose adjustment problems
		of America			associated with	under Table Appendix A pg A-9 is the same issue as addressed
					a medication	above in the Product or Device Events. It too raises the same
					error (e.g. <i>,</i>	concern of endemic occurrencesthe rare chance of detecting a
					errors involving	viral infection event and while clearly associating the event with
					the wrong drug,	improper use of single-dose/single-use and multi-dose medication
					wrong dose,	vials. To date these events are detected as cluster or outbreaks.
					wrong patient,	
					wrong time,	
					wrong rate,	
					wrong	
					preparation, or	
					wrong route of	
					administration	

Dec 23	Nancy	CDC	4A. Patient	- under "event" a. Patient death or, serious injury, or infection
2010	Levine		death or serious	associated with the use of contaminated drugs, devices, or biologics
3:26PM			injury	provided by the healthcare setting
			associated with	- under "additional specifications" – d) transmission of infection
			a medication	resulting from improper use of single-dose/single-use and multi-
			error (e.g.,	dose medication vials and containers leading to death or serious
			errors involving	injury as a result of contamination or dose adjustment problems.
			the wrong drug,	- under "implementation guidance" – Add bullet to read:
			wrong dose,	"occurrences in which transmission of infections results from
			wrong patient,	misuse of medication vials and containers."
			wrong time,	
			wrong rate,	
			wrong	
			preparation, or	
			wrong route of	
			administration	

Dec 23	Loriann	department	4A. Patient	The definition of a medication error focuses on the administration
2010	DeMartini	of Public	death or serious	phase of medication use continuum. Unfortunately this definition
5:24PM		Health	injury	doesn't address the two most frequently cited phases of
			associated with	medication use that results in preventable harm; prescribing and
			a medication	monitoring.
			error (e.g. <i>,</i>	
			errors involving	For example the prescribing of fentanyl transdermal patch to a
			the wrong drug,	patient without documented tolerance to opiates is frequently
			wrong dose,	cited as a cause of preventable adverse outcomes resulting in,
			wrong patient,	respiratory depression and death. In California, the identification of
			wrong time,	such a practice had contributed to preventable deaths and issuance
			wrong rate,	of administrative penalties. Application of the NQF definition may
			wrong	not capture this type of event as the definition doesn't address the
			• •	inappropriate prescribing of medications.'
			wrong route of	
			administration	

Dec 23	Loriann	department	4A. Patient	The deletion of the hypoglycemic event is one that NQF may
2010	DeMartini	of Public	death or serious	consider reinstituting. Understandably, it can be viewed as a
5:25PM		Health	injury	medication error and frequently is but a hypoglycemic event can
			associated with	occur that may not fit the NQF medication error definition.
			a medication	Administration of insulin to an individual whose dietary intake has
			error (e.g. <i>,</i>	changed. The medication may have been appropriate based on
			errors involving	previous nutritional status but now precipitates a hypoglycemic
			the wrong drug,	event.
			wrong dose,	
			wrong patient,	Clearly medication related adverse events are significant cause of
			wrong time,	preventable morbidity and mortality. This was highlighted in the
			wrong rate,	recently released OIG report on Adverse Events in Hospitals
			wrong	(November 2010). The executive summary extrapolated 15,000
			preparation, or	deaths secondary to adverse events in a month. Approximately 44%
			wrong route of	are preventable. The most commonly cited cause for serious harm
			administration	and temporary harm was medications with an occurrence rate of
				31% and 42% respectively and 50% of these events were
				preventable. It should be noted that hypoglycemic events were
				noted as the second (temporary harm) and third (serious harm)
				most frequently cited outcome.'

Dec 23	Loriann	department	4A. Patient	I would ask that the NQF reconsider the definition of a medication
2010	DeMartini	of Public	death or serious	error to broaden its scope to capture events that occur through out
5:26PM		Health	injury	the medication management continuum. A definition that achieves
			associated with	such a broad perspective is the one presented by the NCCMERP.
			a medication	Additionally I would ask for consideration of hypoglycemic events
			error (e.g.,	be added back into the NQF events. If this is not possible then
			errors involving	consideration of the definition of medication error to be inclusive of
			the wrong drug,	those type of events.
			wrong dose,	
			wrong patient,	The implementation guidelines may address some of these issues.
			wrong time,	California statutorily adopted NQF SRE, the implementation
			wrong rate,	guidelines are not included in the legislative language. As a public
			wrong	reporting requirement only the language of the SRE would apply
			preparation, or	and not the implementation guidelines. This in essence would fail to
			wrong route of	capture errors noted in the implementation guidelines (e.g.
			administration	presence of contraindications, drug-drug interactions) and result in
				under reporting. I would encourage that this public reporting
				implementation issue be given consideration in the final adoption
				of the SRE language.'

Dec 29 2010 9:48PM	Thomas James	Humana Inc.	death or serious injury associated with a medication error (e.g., errors involving the wrong drug, wrong dose, wrong patient, wrong time,	Humana appreciates the opportunity to present comment. The definiton of reasonable differences in clinical judgment as describe in the measure (page 33) is realistic but makes the measure more difficult to score. Implicit in this measure is the need to ensure adequate capture of medications the patient is currently taking and drug allergies so as to avoid drug interactions or allergic reactions to medications. Without such information, the error is one of inadequate assessment by history of drugs used or drug allergies. However, there will not always be a competent patient to provide such information. Redundant electronic solutions are not yet universal but will be necessary to fully satisfy this measure.'
Dec 29 2010 12:14PM	Margaret Reagan	Premier, Inc.	injury associated with a medication error (e.g.,	'Item (d) is the same issue addressed above in the Product or Device Events. It too raises the same concern of endemic occurrencesthe rare chance of detecting a viral infection event while clearly associating the event with improper use of single- dose/single-use and/or multi-dose medication vials. To date, these events have been detected only as part of large cluster or outbreak investigations.'

Dec 30 2010 1:18PM	Rabia Khan	Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services		CMS	4A. Patient death or serious injury associated with a medication error (e.g., errors involving the wrong drug, wrong dose,	Strongly support Patient death or serious injury associated with a medication error (Line 389) .
Dec 30 2010 5:28PM	Denise Graham	Association for Professionals in Infection Control and Epidemiology	Denise Graham	APIC	injury associated with a medication error (e.g., errors involving	The high rate of medication errors resulting in injury and death makes this event important to endorse again. With this update, two significant additions to the additional specifications have been made. One is the administration of a medication for which there is serious contraindication. The other relates to failure to observe safe injection practices (e.g., the improper use of single dose/single use and multi-dose containers leading to injury or death as a result of contamination or dosages). Table Appendix A page A-9 "injury associated with d) improper use of single-dose/single-use and
Dec 21 2010 1:55PM	Bridget Griffin	Mayo Clinic	Timothy Morgent haler, MD	Mayo Clinic	4B. Patient death or serious injury	Mayo Clinic recommends: "Unsafe" is ambiguous. Either add the definition from the "Implementation Guidance" to additional specifications or add to the event category itself the specification that "unsafe administration includes, but is not limited to hemolytic reactions and administering a blood or blood types to wrong patient, wrong type of blood, or blood or blood products that have been improperly stored or handled."

h	St. Cloud		4B. Patient	'St. Cloud Hospital, St. Cloud, MN agrees with the comment made
nkomp	Hospital		death or serious	by Mayo Clinic. More specificity is needed.'
			injury	
			associated with	
			unsafe	
			administration	
			of blood	
			products	
ncy ine	CDC		4B. Patient death or serious injury associated with unsafe administration of blood products	<ul> <li>- under "event" a. Patient death or, serious injury, or infection associated with the use of contaminated drugs, devices, or biologics provided by the healthcare setting</li> <li>- Consider adding or expanding "event" to include "Patient death, serious injury, or infection associated with unsafe screening, harvesting, or implantation of an organ or tissue transplant"</li> <li>- This event should be broadened to include transfusion-associated adverse events beyond hemolytic reactions. Specifically, transfusion-transmitted infections should be included. This would not be included under a cause "not detectable by ABO/HLA matching".</li> <li>There also are obvious gaps in organ and tissue safety, which do not appear to be addressed at all.</li> </ul>
ו ו	komp cy	komp Hospital	komp Hospital	komp hospitalHospitaldeath or serious injury associated with unsafe administration of blood productscyCDC4B. Patient death or serious injury associated with unsafe administration of blood injury associated with unsafe administration of blood

Kokol	N 4			4B. Patient	I agree with Mayo on this.
	Management			death or serious	
	& Patient			injury	
	Safety			associated with	
	Program			unsafe	
				administration	
				of blood	
				products	
		Safety Program	Safety	Safety Program	Safety associated with

Dec 29	Erin	Partners	Erin	Partners	4B. Patient	Add the description in the implementation guidelines to the SRE
2010 4:47PM	Graydon Baker	HealthCare System, Inc.	Graydon Baker	Healthcare		definition. This would make the SRE definition clear without going to the implementation guide.
Dec 15 2010 2:43PM	Janet Leiker	American Academy of Family Physicians			4C. Maternal death or serious injury associated with labor or delivery in a low-risk pregnancy while being cared for in a healthcare setting	Please provide guidance or an example of how low risk is determined.

Dec 17	Steven	Fairview			4C. Maternal	Define the term low risk pregnancy
2010	Meisel	Health			death or serious	
8:35AM		Services			injury	
					associated with	
					labor or	
					delivery in a	
					low-risk	
					pregnancy	
					while being	
					cared for in a	
					healthcare	
					setting	
Dec 21	Bridget	Mayo Clinic	Timothy	Mayo Clinic	4C. Maternal	Mayo Clinic recommends providing a cross reference to a standard
2010	Griffin		Morgent		death or serious	definition of low-risk pregnancy.
1:57PM			haler,		injury	
			MD		associated with	
					labor or	
					delivery in a	
					low-risk	
					pregnancy	
					while being	
					cared for in a	
					healthcare	
					setting	

Dec 23	Alyssa	California	4C. Maternal	Further, CHA recommends that NQF define a 'low-risk' pregnancy.
2010	Keefe	Hospital	death or serious	In reviewing the literature CHA suggests a low risk pregnancy may
4:19PM		Association	injury	be defined as any pregnancy that is a single birth, with the infant in
			associated with	the vertex position, of a mother who received regular prenatal care
			labor or	beginning in the first trimester. Low risk pregnancies exclude
			delivery in a	women who have medical conditions, have had multiple births,
			low-risk	caesarean sections delivery, previous pregnancy complications,
			pregnancy	previous small birth weight infats or large birth weight infants, the
			while being	mother has had a problem delivery or a problem pregnancy, the
			cared for in a	mother uses recreational drugs, smokes, uses alcohol, is
			healthcare	malnourished or is obese.
			setting	
Dec 23	Marie	Risk	4C. Maternal	Agree with comments about the definition of what a low-risk
2010	Kokol	Management	death or serious	pregnancy is.
5:23PM		& Patient	injury	
		Safety	associated with	
		Program	labor or	
			delivery in a	
			low-risk	
			pregnancy	
			while being	
			cared for in a	
			healthcare	
			setting	

Dec 29	Erin	Partners	Erin	Partners	4C. Maternal	'In cases where the patient is admitted to a different facility other
2010	Graydon	HealthCare	Graydon	Healthcare	death or serious	than the birth facility, the death or serious injury should be verified
4:50PM	Baker	System, Inc.	Baker		injury	by both facilities'
					associated with	
					labor or	
					delivery in a	
					low-risk	
					pregnancy	
					while being	
					cared for in a	
					healthcare	
					setting	
Dec 29	Thomas	Humana Inc.			4C. Maternal	Humana supports the recommendations of the Minnesota Hospital
2010	James				death or serious	Association. A low risk pregnancy does not preclude a high risk
9:53PM					injury	infant because of lethal congenital anomalies
					associated with	
					labor or	
					delivery in a	
					low-risk	
					pregnancy	
					while being	
					cared for in a	
					healthcare	
					setting	

Dec 30	Angela	American			4C. Maternal	ACEP urges the 'healthcare setting' should be clearly defined
2010	Franklin	College of			death or serious	to exclude locations/settings that present additional risks for
4:53PM		Emergency			injury	unfavorable outcomes, e.g. hospital waiting room, or hallway of the
		Physicians			associated with	Emergency Department.'
					labor or	
					delivery in a	
					low-risk	
					pregnancy	
					while being	
					cared for in a	
					healthcare	
					setting	
Dec 13	Julie Apold	Minnesota	Julie	Minnesota	4D. Death or	Recommendation: 1) Include "full-term" neonate; 2) Exclude
2010		Hospital	Apold	Hospital	serious injury of	neonates with "congenital birth defects"; and 3) clarify "low-risk
10:06AM		Association		Association	a neonate	pregnancy".
					associated with	
					labor or	
					delivery in a	
					low-risk	
					pregnancy	
					while being	
					cared for in a	
					healthcare	
					setting	

Dec 15	Janet	American	Janet	American	4D. Death or	Please provide guidance or an example of how low risk is
2010	Leiker	Academy of	Leiker	Academy of	serious injury of	determined.
2:44PM		Family		Family	a neonate	
		Physicians		Physicians	associated with	
					labor or	
					delivery in a	
					low-risk	
					pregnancy	
					while being	
					cared for in a	
					healthcare	
					setting	
Dec 17	Steven	Fairview			4D. Death or	1)This should only include "full-term" neonates; 2) Exclude
2010	Meisel	Health			serious injury of	neonates with "congenital birth defects"; and 3) define"low-risk
8:33AM		Services			a neonate	pregnancy".
					associated with	
					labor or	
					delivery in a	
					low-risk	
					pregnancy	
					while being	
					cared for in a	
					healthcare	
					setting	

Dec 21	Bridget	Mayo Clinic	Timothy	Mayo Clinic	4D. Death or	The Minnesota Hospital Association recommends: 1) Include "full-
2010	Griffin		Morgent		serious injury of	term" neonate; 2) Exclude neonates with "congenital birth defects";
2:03PM			haler,		a neonate	and 3) clarify "low-risk pregnancy". Mayo Clnic supports this
			MD		associated with	recommendation.
					labor or	
					delivery in a	
					low-risk	
					pregnancy	
					while being	
					cared for in a	
					healthcare	
					setting	

Dec 22	Beth	St. Cloud		4D. Death or	The Minnesota Hospital Association recommends: 1) Include "full-
2010	Honkomp	Hospital		serious injury of	term" neonate; 2) Exclude neonates with "congenital birth defects";
12:33PM				a neonate	and 3) clarify "low-risk pregnancy". St. Cloud Hospital, St. Cloud,
				associated with	MN supports these clarifications.'
				labor or	
				delivery in a	
				low-risk	
				pregnancy	
				while being	
				cared for in a	
				healthcare	
				setting	

Dec 23	Tanya	National	Tanya	Consumer-	4D. Death or	The Consumer-Purchaser Disclosure Project is very supportive of
2010	Alteras	Partnership	Alteras	Purchaser	serious injury of	this new measure. Maternity and perinatal care makes up a
4:08PM		for Women &		Disclosure	a neonate	significant percentage of spending in the health care system. We
		Families		Project	associated with	believe that more attention must be paid to the preventable,
					labor or	adverse, serious reportable events that occur in this segment of the
					delivery in a	patient population, in order to provide adequate accountability and
					low-risk	patient safety protections to maternity patients'
Dec 23	Marie	Risk			4D. Death or	Agree with the comments by Minesota Hospital Association.
2010	Kokol	Management			serious injury of	
5:24PM		& Patient			a neonate	
		Safety			associated with	
		Program			labor or	
					delivery in a	
					low-risk	
					pregnancy	
					while being	
					cared for in a	
					healthcare	
					setting	
Dec 29	Erin	Partners	Erin	Partners	4D. Death or	Would this also apply to the midwife delivery of an infant that
2010	Graydon	HealthCare	Graydon	Healthcare	serious injury of	needs an unplanned admission within 24 hours of delivery?
4:55PM	Baker	System, Inc.	Baker		a neonate	
					associated with	
					labor or	
					delivery in a	
					low-risk	
					pregnancy	
					while being	
					cared for in a	
					healthcare	
					setting	

Dec 30	Angela	American		4D. Death or	As above, ACEP urges the 'healthcare setting' should be clearly
2010	Franklin	College of		serious injury of	defined to exclude locations/settings that present additional risks
4:53PM		Emergency		a neonate	for unfavorable outcomes, e.g. hospital waiting room, or hallway of
		Physicians		associated with	the Emergency Department.'
				labor or	
				delivery in a	
				low-risk	
				pregnancy	
				while being	
				cared for in a	
				healthcare	
				setting	

Dec 13	Julie Apold	Minnesota	Julie	Minnesota	4E. Patient	Recommendation: Delete "prior to leaving the grounds of a
2010		Hospital	Apold	Hospital	death or serious	healthcare setting."
10:09AM		Association		Association	injury	
					associated with	Rationale: We do not believe that this event is intended to capture
					a fall during or	environmental incidents outside of the hospital itself. We have
					after being	spent considerable time, through the review of reported cases,
					cared for and	defining when someone becomes a patient and is no longer a
					prior to leaving	patient and have developed the following definition which has
					the grounds of	worked well:
					a healthcare	
					setting	*A person becomes a patient at the point that they are being
						"cared for" in the facility. Being "cared for" begins when they are
						first engaged by a member of the care team, e.g. assessment by the
						triage nurse in the E.D., walking with the phlebotomist to the lab
						for a lab draw.
						*A patient is no longer considered a patient at the point that they
						are no longer under the care of a member of the care team, e.g. the
						nursing assistant has safely assisted the patient to the car from an
						inpatient stay; the ambulating patient that does not need
						assistance leaves the radiology department following an outpatient
						test.'

Dec 20	Steven	Fairview			4E. Patient	This goes beyond the scope of what should be included as a serious
2010	Meisel	Health				reportable event. Such events should apply to the process of
9:40AM	WIEISEI	Services				medical care delivery. The new scope sets up some preposterous
9.40AW		Services				
						scenarios, such as the otherwise healthy patient getting into or out
					Ũ	of his car in the parking ramp and while doing so, trips. Or
					-	someone gets bumped by a baby stroller. Such falls do not count
						for NDNQI so should not be added here. It is preferable to narrow
						the scope to the end of care, which can be defined as when she is
					the grounds of	no longer under the care of a member of the care team.'
					a healthcare	
					setting	
Dec 21	Bridget	Mayo Clinic	Timothy	Mayo Clinic	4E. Patient	Mayo Clinic supports the recommendation of the American and
2010	Griffin		Morgent		death or serious	Minnesota Hospital Associations.
2:13PM			haler,		injury	
			MD		associated with	
					a fall during or	
					after being	
					cared for and	
					prior to leaving	
					the grounds of	
					a healthcare	
					setting	

Dec 21	Beth	American	Nancy	American	4E. Patient	We suggest that the Steering Committee narrow the time period
2010	Feldpush	Hospital	Foster	Hospital	death or serious	included in this event to the time that a patient is receiving care
11:06AM		Association		Association	injury	from the hospital or provider. This would appropriately remove
					associated with	from the definition events that are unrelated to the care process,
					a fall during or	such as if a patient were to trip and fall while walking to or from the
					after being	hospital parking lot. We suggest that the Steering Committee use
					cared for and	the following definitions. These have been developed and
					prior to leaving	implemented in the state of Minnesota, a leader in the reporting of
					the grounds of	serious adverse events:
					a healthcare	
					setting	"A person becomes a patient at the point that they are being
						"cared for" in the facility. Being "cared for" begins when they are
						first engaged by a member of the care team, e.g. assessment by the
						triage nurse in the E.D., walking with the phlebotomist to the lab
						for a lab draw.
						A patient is no longer considered a patient at the point that they
						are no longer under the care of a member of the care team, e.g. the
						nursing assistant has safely assisted the patient to the car from an
						inpatient stay; the ambulating patient that does not need
						assistance leaves the radiology department following an outpatient
						test."'
		I		I	l	

Dec 21 2010 11:54AM	Caitlin Connolly	American Geriatrics Society		injury associated with a fall during or after being cared for and prior to leaving the grounds of	'We are concerned that making death as a result of a fall, a never event, may put the focus on keeping patients at risk from ever getting out of bed unless they are with a physical therapist, and thus, counterproductive. On the other hand, evidence suggests that falls and death from falls are likely to actually increase as a result of restraint use and this will result in poor quality of care. However, by the time the data tell us this, fall outcomes may actually have worsened. However, at this time, AGS supports this measure but strongly suggests a re-evaluation in one to two years to increase our understanding of falls and their consequences in acute care.'

Dec 22	Beth	St. Cloud			4E. Patient	St. Cloud Hospital, St. Cloud, MN agrees with the position of the
2010	Honkomp	Hospital			death or serious	Minnesota Hospital Association.
12:53PM					injury	
					associated with	Delete "prior to leaving the grounds of a healthcare setting."
					a fall during or	
					after being	*A person becomes a patient at the point that they are being
					cared for and	"cared for" in the facility. Being "cared for" begins when they are
					prior to leaving	first engaged by a member of the care team, e.g. assessment by the
					the grounds of	triage nurse in the E.D., walking with the phlebotomist to the lab
					a healthcare	for a lab draw.
					setting	
						*A patient is no longer considered a patient at the point that they
Dec 23	Maureen	American	Maureen	American	4E. Patient	The American Nurses Association (ANA) respectfully suggests
2010	Dailey	Nurses	Dailey	Nurses	death or serious	revision to Item E., line 412, to include a "special consideration"
4:10PM		Association		Association	injury	clause for assessment and management of falls and injury in the
					associated with	elderly (i.e., > 65 years old) that adopts the assumption that all
					a fall during or	falls in the elderly are potentially serious and injury may be delayed
					after being	(e.g., subdural hematomas).
					cared for and	
					prior to leaving	Background: Falls are the number one cause of unintentional
					the grounds of	death in the elderly 85 years and older. There is sufficient evidence
					a healthcare	indicating that mild injuries associated with falls among the elderly
					setting	have grave consequences. In and of itself, the acceleration force
						on the brain when an older person falls, can result in a delayed-
Dec 23	Marie	Risk			4E. Patient	If a patient in a hospital or a resident in a nursing home, has been

2010	Kokol	Management	death or serious	assessed with the fall assessment tool the facility chose, and was
5:49PM		& Patient	injury	not at risk, then there must be an assumption the patient/resident
		Safety	associated with	is independent. It is necessary for the facility staff to reevaluate the
		Program	a fall during or	patient/resident at certain time intervals, if vital signs change, if
			after being	new medication is added , or if the patient/resident has said he/she
			cared for and	is dizzy. If none of these have occurred and the patient/resident is
			prior to leaving	out of bed and falls, it should be reportable only if there was
			the grounds of	something the facility did that resulted in the patient/resident's fall
			a healthcare	or if there was something the facility staff should have done that
			setting	would have prevented patient/resident's fall. Reporting a fall
				should be based on the issue of control or prevention, not just that
Dec 23	Jennifer	Association of	4E. Patient	The AAMC agrees with the previous commenters that the definition
2010	Faerberg	American	death or serious	of falls needs to be revised to ensure only those events related to
9:36AM		Medical	injury	the delivery of care services are included.
		Colleges	associated with	
			a fall during or	
			after being	
			cared for and	
			prior to leaving	
			the grounds of	
			a healthcare	
			setting	

Dec 23	Cindy	Northwestern			4E. Patient	'Expansion of fall definition will be challenging. If the patient
2010	Barnard	Memorial			death or serious	decides to linger on campus, the organization may not be aware
12:59PM		HealthCare			injury	and certainly does not have control of protecting the patient from a
					associated with	fall. Recommend returning to the prior definition, in which the
					a fall during or	relevant period concludes at discharge.'
					after being	
					cared for and	
					prior to leaving	
					the grounds of	
					a healthcare	
					setting	
Dec 29	Erin	Partners	Erin	Partners	4E. Patient	Good Clarification- We agree with the recategorization of Falls to
2010	Graydon	HealthCare	Graydon	Healthcare	death or serious	Care Management
5:05PM	Baker	System, Inc.	Baker		injury	
					associated with	
					a fall during or	
					after being	
					cared for and	
					prior to leaving	
					the grounds of	
					a healthcare	
					setting	

Dec 30	Angela	American	4E. Patient	ACEP recommends that the SRE more clearly define "patient" e.g, if
2010	Franklin	College of	death or serious	a patient's visitor falls, hits his head and ultimately dies on the
4:54PM		Emergency	injury	grounds while being treated, is this event reportable? He is a
		Physicians	associated with	patient cared for at the hospital and he did have a fall and serious
			a fall during or	injury, but when he fell he was a visitor. Currently the Glossary
			after being	defines "patient" as "a person who is a recipient of healthcare."
			cared for and	
			prior to leaving	ACEP also recommends clarification of the SRE so that fall is
			the grounds of	reportable if:
			a healthcare	1) an 'appropriate' assessment was not done to determine
			setting	whether patient or visitors were 'at risk of fall' (also defined)
			_	and
				2) the patient or visitors had a chief complaint and findings on
				initial assessment that were consistent with a 'risk of fall'
				and
				3) 'appropriate' measures were not taken when patient/visitors
				were identified as 'at risk of fall'.'

Dec 21 2010 2:28PM	Bridget Griffin	Mayo Clinic	Timothy Morgent haler, MD	Mayo Clinic		Mayo Clinic recommends removing unstageable pressure ulcers from the list.
Dec 21 2010 11:54AM	Caitlin Connolly	American Geriatrics Society			4F. Any Stage 3, Stage 4, and unstageable pressure ulcers acquired after admission/pres entation to a healthcare setting	The AGS supports this measure.

Dec 22 2010 12:58PM	Beth Honkomp	St. Cloud Hospital		Stage 4, and unstageable	'St. Cloud Hospital, St. Cloud, MN suggests eliminating those ulcers that are a result of trauma prior to hospitalization and the skin breaks after admission. We recognize this may be difficult to determine, however, believe a skin care specialist would be able to evaluate and assess this.'
Dec 23 2010 4:16PM	Maureen Dailey	American Nurses Association	American Nurses Association	unstageable	<ul> <li>'The American Nurses Association (ANA) respectfully recommends the definition of unstageable pressure ulcer (page 47) be revised to align with the exact wording of the National Pressure Ulcer Advisory Panel and the European Pressure Ulcer Advisory Panel (NPUAP- EPUAP, 2009).</li> <li>Reference:</li> <li>National Pressure Ulcer Advisory Panel and European Pressure Ulcer Advisory Panel (NPUAP-EPUAP). (2009). Prevention and treatment of pressure ulcers: Clinical practice guideline.</li> <li>Washington DC: National Pressure Ulcer Advisory Panel. Accessed at www.npuap.org.'</li> </ul>

Dec 29 2010 5:02PM	Erin Graydon Baker	Partners HealthCare System, Inc.	Erin Graydon Baker	Partners Healthcare	Stage 4, and unstageable pressure ulcers acquired after admission/pres entation to a healthcare	We recommend that the definition of unstageable pressure ulcer should be revised to align with the National Pressure Ulcer Advisory Panel (NPUAP)definition. We would also delete the end phrase as present on admission/presentation as described on the exclusion criteria
Dec 29 2010 11:21PM	Michael Phelan	Cleveland Clinic			Stage 4, and unstageable pressure ulcers acquired after	Outside of the hospital setting is this truly a serious event that is occurring with such frequency that we have to broaden it scope and definition to capture and report it? How often is it occurring in setting outside the hospital/nursing home/home care setting? Many patients don't undress completely for outpatient visits. Could it be better defined to be more specific to identify at risk patient populations. The issue is that vast majority of outpatient surgery is short in duration and should this really apply to every patient in that setting?
Dec 13 2010 10:14AM	Julie Apold	Minnesota Hospital Association	Julie Apold	Minnesota Hospital Association	4H. Death or serious injury resulting from the irretrievable loss of a biological specimen	Recommendation: Change to "Irretrievable loss of a biological specimen that significantly alters the patient's course of treatment". Rationale: It would be very difficult to determine death or serious disability resulting from the specimen loss.

Dec 17	Julie Apold	Minnesota	Rebecca	Minnesota	4H. Death or	Recommendation: Change to:
2010		Hospital	Schierma	Alliance for	serious injury	
10:04AM		Association	n	Patient Safety	resulting from	1) "Irreplaceable loss of a biological specimen" or;
					the irretrievable	
					loss of a	2) "Irreplaceable loss of a biological specimen that significantly
					biological	affects the patient's course of treatment".
					specimen	
						Rationale: A patient outcome after the loss of a specimen that
						cannot be replaced may be difficult to associate with the loss of the
						specimen and the effect may not be determined for months or
						years. If the loss of the specimen that cannot be replaced in itself is
						a rare event than adding the outcome qualifier may not be
						necessary.
Dec 17	Julie Apold	Minnesota			4H. Death or	Revised Comment:
2010		Hospital			serious injury	
10:17AM		Association			resulting from	Recommend changing to:
					the irretrievable	
					loss of a	1) "Irreplaceable loss of a biological specimen" or;
					biological	
					specimen	2) "Irreplaceable loss of a biological specimen that significantly
						affects the patient's course of treatment".

Dec 20 2010 9:44AM	Steven Meisel	Fairview Health Services	4H. Death or serious injury resulting from the irretrievable loss of a biological specimen	The term irretrievable should be changed to irreplaceable; if a 2nd specimen can be obtained, the patient's needs are met and the consequences will be minor. However, the consequences of an irreplaceable loss will be difficult to determine and will be subject to speculation. Further, such consequences may not be known for years in the future.'
Dec 22 2010 12:21PM	Beth Honkomp	St. Cloud Hospital	4H. Death or serious injury resulting from the irretrievable loss of a biological specimen	'A group of three of us from the St. Cloud Hospital, St. Cloud, MN agree with the comments made by Julie Apold and Steve Meisel. Replace irretrievable with irreplaceable.'
Dec 23 2010 9:27AM	Jennifer Faerberg	Association of American Medical Colleges	4H. Death or serious injury resulting from the irretrievable loss of a biological specimen	'The Association of American Medical Colleges fully supports the prior comments to add irreplaceable to the definition. If a specimen could be obtained a second time, this becomes a non- event.'

Dec 23	Cindy	Northwestern	4H. Death or	Specimen event: This is not worded properly. Should be
2010	Barnard	Memorial	serious injury	"irretrievable loss of an irreplaceable biological specimen." The
12:58PM		HealthCare	resulting from	additional specifications imply this but it should be explicit. Note
			the irretrievable	that it can be difficult to associate progression of disease, death, or
			loss of a	serious injury with such loss. Also note comments above regarding
			biological	lack of clarity in "changes the patient's risk status for life, requiring
			specimen	monitoring not needed before the event."
Dec 29	Thomas	Humana Inc.	4H. Death or	Humana fully supports the comments on use of the word
2010	James		serious injury	irreplaceable The accountability for ensuring no loss of specimen
10:00PM			resulting from	becomes less clear when non-medical delivery systems transfer
			the irretrievable	specimens from one institution to another.

Dec 30	Erin	Partners	Erin	Partners	4H. Death or	This should also include events where the specimen as lost and the
2010	Graydon	HealthCare	,	Healthcare	serious injury	patient refused a second procedure to obtain a new sample. We
9:32AM	Baker	System, Inc.	Baker		resulting from	understand that death or injury may not be apparent for years. It
					the irretrievable	may be difficult to connect the death or injury to the event in some
					loss of a	cases.
					biological	
					specimen	
Dec 13	Julie Apold	Minnesota	Julie	Minnesota	4I. Death or	Recommendation: Do not add this event.
2010		Hospital	Apold	Hospital	serious injury	
10:15AM		Association		Association	resulting from	Rationale: This event would be extremely difficult to
					failure to follow	operationalize - what constitutes "failure to follow up or
					up or	communicate"; what is included as "clinical information", e.g. is the
					communicate	intent to capture communication of lab tests, pathology results,
					clinical	abnormal vitals reports, patient allergies, etc.?
					information	
						If this event is retained, significant additional guidance will need to
						be provided for consistent application and would recommend
						limiting it to "test results" rather than "clinical information".'

Dec 17	Julie Apold	Minnesota	Rebecca	Minnesota	4I. Death or	Recommendation: We strongly recommend that this event not be
2010		Hospital	Schierma	Alliance for	serious injury	added.
10:10AM		Association	n	Patient Safety	resulting from	
					failure to follow	Rationale: We do not feel that this meets the criteria for inclusion:
					up or	1) clearly identifiable and measurable; and 2) unambiguous. It
					communicate	would be difficult to consistently evaluate: 1) failure to follow up or
					clinical	commuicate; 2) clinical information; and 3) whether a patient death
					information	or serious injury was the result of a failure to follow up or
						communicate.

Dec 20	Steven	Fairview	4I. Death or	This recommended addition is well-intended but too vague for		
2010	Meisel	Health	serious injury	practical implementation. What constitutes failure to		
9:55AM		Services	resulting from	communicate? 2 doctors not talking with each other? A report not		
			failure to follow	going to the primary care clinic? Communication occurring but the		
			up or	receiving party did not mentally process the conversation??		
			communicate			
			clinical	What is clinical information? Lab value? Imaging results? Surgical		
			information	report? Blood pressure reading? A clinician's clinical impression?		
				What if a patient underwent a CT scan of the abdomen for an acute process. During the course of reading the image, the radiologist notes something abnormal on the kidney, but nobody focuses on that due to the acute abdominal issue; that may be intentional or may be oversight. When or does this become failure to follow-up?		
				I think the intent is to conver the bilirubin issue and other abnormal or critical lab test results. If the scope of the proposal were so narrowed, this would be an acceptable addition.'		
Dec 21	Bridget	Mayo Clinic	Timothy	Mayo Clinic	4I. Death or	Mayo Clinic supports the recommendations from the American and
--------	---------	-------------	---------	-------------	-------------------	---
2010	Griffin		Morgent		serious injury	Minnesota Hospital Associations. This is the most problematic
2:48PM			haler,		resulting from	proposal and contrary to NQF's own criteria that "to qualify for the
			MD		failure to follow	list of SREs, an event must be unambiguous". This new category is
					up or	highly ambiguous. What is and is not communicated, and what was
					communicate	or was not followed up on can be highly subjective, difficult to
					clinical	determine, particularly in the era of electronic records. What if the
					information	clinical information was buried in outside records? The information
						that might in retrospect be clinically significant might only be in
						retrospect after other medical conditions, allergies, etc. are
						detected.'

Dec 21	Beth	American	Nancy	American	4I. Death or	We agree that the accurate and timely communication is critical for
2010	Feldpush	Hospital	Foster	Hospital	serious injury	providing patient care. However, the definition of this event needs
11:07AM		Association		Association	resulting from	additional detail to make it actionable. "Failure to follow-up and
					failure to follow	communicate" must be better defined, and the relevant "clinical
					up or	information" must be made more explicit in order for reporting of
					communicate	this event to be operationalized.'
					clinical	
					information	

	American			4I. Death or	This metric also requires clarification, including a specific definition
Groman	Association of			serious injury	as to what constitutes a serious injury and a time frame that
	Neurological			resulting from	corresponds to a failure to communicate/follow-up.
	Surgeons			failure to follow	Operationalizing this measure will be challenging. Whose final
				up or	responsibility is it to report? The primary care physician who
				communicate	initially sees the patient? Or the surgical subspecialist? Many times
				clinical	a surgeon orders a routine follow-up or non-urgent scan that ends
				information	up being done at an undetermined time. The surgeon does not
					know that the scan has been done until he receives the report it the
					patient calls. An example is a routine follow-up scan on a shunt
					patient that shows early shunt malfunction, and the patient shows
					up later very ill or injured. It's critical that the radiologist or
					discovering physician be held responsible for contacting the
					treating physician/surgeon urgently.'
Melanie	Society for	Melanie	SHEA	4I. Death or	'SHEA is concerned regarding the global nature and inherent
Young	Healthcare	Young		serious injury	ambiguity associated with this language. It is very broad and it
	Epidemiology			resulting from	would be difficult to determine when reporting would be necessary.
	of America			failure to follow	In many cases co-morbidities and the critical illness of the patient
				up or	make it difficult to determine a causal relationship between delay in
				communicate	communication and death. It is notable that this constitutes an
				clinical	enormous expansion from its original concept as failure to follow
				information	up on kernicterus, which had an easily definable population,
					condition, failure, and consequence.'
	Melanie	Neurological SurgeonsMelanie YoungSociety for Healthcare Epidemiology	Neurological SurgeonsMelanie YoungSociety for Healthcare EpidemiologyMelanie Young	Neurological Surgeons       Image: Surgeons         Melanie Young       Society for Healthcare Epidemiology       Melanie Young       SHEA Young	Neurological Surgeonsresulting from failure to follow up or communicate clinical informationMelanie YoungSociety for Healthcare Epidemiology of AmericaMelanie YoungSHEA41. Death or serious injury resulting from failure to follow up or communicate clinical

Dec 23	Linda	UPMC	Linda	UPMC	4I. Death or	Recommend not including at this time. Requesting clarification on
2010	Harvey		Harvey		serious injury	the specifics for "failure" in follow-up and also the
1:23PM					resulting from	parameters/definitions of "clinical information". This would be
					failure to follow	essential for standardization of review and reporting.
					up or	
					communicate	
					clinical	
					information	
Dec 23	Tanya	National	Tanya	Consumer-	4I. Death or	The Consumer-Purchaser Disclosure Project fully supports not only
2010	Alteras	Partnership	Alteras	Purchaser	serious injury	this measure, but the categorization of this set of SREs as "Care
4:13PM		for Women &		Disclosure	resulting from	Management" measures. The consumer and purchaser
		Families		Project	failure to follow	communities have long argued that the lack of coordination and
					up or	communication in health care results in significant costs to patients
					communicate	and the system as a whole. Making it clear that these SREs are
					clinical	directly linked to gaps in care coordination and communication will
					information	drive improvement in this area. As far as this specific measure, it is
						a long-overdue recognition of the enormous importance of
						communication to overall nations well-being, which includes not

Dec 23	Alyssa	California	4I. Death or	Death or serious injury resulting from failure to follow up or
2010	Keefe	Hospital	serious injury	communicate clinical information is an important event to identify
4:19PM		Association	resulting from	and measure; however, for the following reasons CHA does not
			failure to follow	support the inclusion of this event at this time. First, unless greater
			up or	explanation or clarity is provided with appropriate details that
			communicate	would enable consistent data collection and reporting, it would be
			clinical	difficult, if not impossible, to consistently evaluate and report
			information	"failure to follow up or communicate." How is this defined?
				Further, the committee does not clearly define "clinical
				information." What does this entail? Finally, attributing causality of
				whether a patient death or serious injury was the direct result of
				such an events is of great concern for public reporting.
				It is feasible to imagine an instance when one provider discovers
				the error of another while reviewing the information in the medical
				record with the patient. How would you attribute the event to the
				appropriate organication/provider when the reporting of the event
				may occur in another setting? Additional consideration of
				operational issues associated with the data collection and public
				reporting of this event is needed to ensure there is appropriate
				guidance for implementation.

Dec 23	heather		(committ		4I. Death or	We strongly recommend not adding this event to the list. It is not
2010	cook		,	Medical	serious injury	clearly defined & it could include changes in vital signs, abnormal
5:06PM			Review Committ	Center	resulting from failure to follow	lab values, allergies, or hand-off communication.'
			ee		up or	
					communicate	
					clinical	
					information	
Dec 23	Marie	Risk			4I. Death or	I agree that while hand-off is the hot words of the year, this needs
2010	Kokol	Management			serious injury	more defining and work. There are too many holes or grey areas
5:51PM		& Patient			-	that would make the comparisons of the data impossible due to the
		Safety				variety of ways states would choose to define and report.'
		Program			up or	
					communicate clinical	
					information	

Dec 23 2010 9:32AM	Jennifer Faerberg	Association of American Medical Colleges	41. Death serious in resulting failure to up or commun clinical informat	jury clinical information, given the lack of clarity on the definition for from this event, the attribution as well as the difficulty operationalizing follow all of the variations and combinations that could arise, the AAMC recommends this event not be added at this time.' cate
Dec 23 2010 12:57PM	Cindy Barnard	Northwestern Memorial HealthCare	4I. Death serious in resulting failure to up or commun clinical informat	jury new home of the kernicterus serious adverse event. This is a problematic SRE definition. In many cases, breakdown in follow communication of serious (critical) results may be difficult to associate with a specific outcome. Also see comments in glossary - need to clarify serious injury.

					existing diagnosis, etc. You would have to define meaningful delay as one that influenced long-term outcome, increased level of care required, etc. Or you could establish a time frame such as delay more than x days or weeks. Need to clarify boundaries. The "ownership" of these events will be difficult - hospital, licensed independent professional, etc. Problem may cross multiple settings (doctor's office, one or more hospitals, laboratory) and the definition needs to clarify whose SRE this is.'
Dec 29 2010 10:11PM	Thomas James	Humana Inc.		failure to follow up or	<ul> <li>'The concepts in this measure get to the heart of much of the issues with quality and patient safety. In other industries, the abiity to learn from communication failures signal changes in processes. Our pleuralistic health care processes can institutionalize communication breakdowns. This measure may not be established in a way that allows for easy measurement, but that does not mitigate the real importance of the concept.</li> <li>Humana would urge NQF to make formulation of this measure in a fashion that looks at the processes of communication. Perhaps future NQF Quality Awards should go to those health care systems who have re-engineered themselves to communication failures.'</li> </ul>

Dec 30 2010 1:21PM	Rabia Khan	Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services		CMS	4I. Death or serious injury resulting from failure to follow up or communicate clinical information	'Death or serious injury resulting from failure to follow up or communicate clinical information (Line 442) is a good SRE, but how will this type of information be abstracted? How can failure to follow up and inadequate communication of clinical information be distinguished from one another?'
Dec 30 2010 4:26PM	Patty Calver	Harborview Medical Center	Calver	Harborview Medical Center	4I. Death or serious injury resulting from failure to follow up or communicate clinical information	We agree that communication and follow up are important components of patient safety. However, failure to follow up or failure to communicate are nebulous concepts that are not easily defined or measured. A more reasonable expectation for this event would be "Death or serious injury resulting from failure to communicate the abnormal/unexpected finding to the referring physician (or patient) in a manner that ensured receipt."

Dec 13 2010 10:16AM	Julie Apold		Apold	Minnesota Hospital Association	5A. Patient or staff death or serious injury associated with an electric shock in the course of a patient care	'Recommendation: Do not include staff members Rationale: There are other avenues, such as OSHA, to report employee incidences.'
					process in a healthcare setting	
Dec 20 2010 10:06AM	Steven Meisel	Fairview Health Services			5A. Patient or staff death or serious injury associated with an electric shock in the course of a patient care process in a healthcare setting	'SRE should reflect issues/deficiencies in patient care. They should not include employee injuries, which have other avenues for reporting, such as OSHA.'

Dec 29	Erin	Partners	Erin	Partners	5A. Patient or	The implementation guide excludes staff not involved in a patient
2010 5:13PM	Graydon Baker	HealthCare System, Inc.	Graydon Baker	Healthcare	staff death or serious injury associated with an electric shock in the course of a patient care process in a healthcare setting	care process. Can your further define patient care process?
Dec 29 2010 5:15PM	Carmella Bocchino	America's Health Insurance Plans			5A. Patient or staff death or serious injury associated with an electric shock in the course of a patient care process in a healthcare setting	Patient or staff death or serious injury associated with an electric shock in the course of a patient care process in a healthcare setting - The measure could be expanded to include electrical burns such as in the operating room.

Dec 29	Carmella	America's	5A. Patient or	Patient or staff death or serious injury associated with an electric
2010	Bocchino	Health	staff death or	shock in the course of a patient care process in a healthcare setting
5:15PM		Insurance	serious injury	- The measure could be expanded to include electrical burns such as
		Plans	associated with	in the operating room.
			an electric	
			shock in the	
			course of a	
			patient care	
			process in a	
			healthcare	
			setting	
Dec 29	Carmella	America's	5B. Any incident	Any incident in which systems designated for oxygen or other gas to
2010	Bocchino	Health	in which	be delivered to a patient contains no gas, the wrong gas, or is
5:15PM		Insurance	systems	contaminated by toxic substances - This measure could be
		Plans	designated for	expanded to include gases administered at the wrong
			oxygen or other	concentration such as those that could contribute to Retinopathy of
			gas to be	Prematurity or Adult Respiratory Distress Syndrome.'
			delivered to a	
			patient contains	
			no gas, the	
			wrong gas, or is	
			contaminated	
			by toxic	
			, cubstancos	

Dec 29	Carmella	America's			5B. Any incident	Any incident in which systems designated for oxygen or other gas to
2010	Bocchino	Health			, in which	be delivered to a patient contains no gas, the wrong gas, or is
5:16PM		Insurance			systems	contaminated by toxic substances - This measure could be
		Plans			, designated for	expanded to include gases administered at the wrong
					-	concentration such as those that could contribute to Retinopathy of
					gas to be	Prematurity or Adult Respiratory Distress Syndrome.'
					delivered to a	
					patient contains	
					no gas, the	
					wrong gas, or is	
					contaminated	
					by toxic	
					substances	
Dec 29	Erin	Partners	Erin	Partners	5B. Any incident	Would this apply to a gas cylinder that runs dry but is discovered
2010	Graydon	HealthCare	Graydon	Healthcare	in which	and replaced before causing harm?
5:16PM	Baker	System, Inc.	Baker		systems	
					designated for	
					oxygen or other	
					gas to be	
					delivered to a	
					patient contains	
					no gas, the	
					wrong gas, or is	
					contaminated	
					by toxic	
					substances	

Dec 13	Julie Apold	Minnesota	Julie	Minnesota	5C. Patient or	'Recommendation: Do not include staff members
2010		Hospital	Apold	Hospital	staff death or	
10:17AM		Association		Association	serious injury	Rationale: There are other avenues, such as OSHA, to report
					associated with	employee incidences.'
					a burn incurred	
					from any	
					source in the	
					course of a	
					patient care	
					process in a	
					healthcare	
					setting	
Dec 20	Steven	Fairview			5C. Patient or	SRE is not intended to cover employee injuries; such matters are
2010	Meisel	Health			staff death or	covered by OSHA. Limit SRE to patient-care events.
9:56AM		Services			serious injury	
					associated with	
					a burn incurred	
					from any	
					source in the	
					course of a	
					patient care	
					process in a	
					healthcare	
					setting	

Dec 22	Beth	St. Cloud	5C. Patient or	'St. Cloud Hospital, St. Cloud, MN agrees with the comments by
2010	Honkomp	Hospital	staff death or	Fairview Health System - eliminate any reference to staff.'
1:00PM			serious injury	
			associated with	
			a burn incurred	
			from any	
			source in the	
			course of a	
			patient care	
			process in a	
			healthcare	
			setting	
Dec 23	Marie	Risk	5C. Patient or	Agree with the comments that staff should not be included. Their
2010	Kokol	Management	staff death or	information is reported through worker's comp, osha, etc. With
5:53PM		& Patient	serious injury	Patient Safety needs to remain Patient.'
		Safety	associated with	
		Program	a burn incurred	
			from any	
			source in the	
			course of a	
			patient care	
			process in a	
			healthcare	
			setting	

Dec 22	Beth	St. Cloud	5D. Patient	'St. Cloud Hospital, St. Cloud, MN agrees to the addition of physical
2010	Honkomp	Hospital	death or serious	restraints.'
1:08PM			injury	
			associated with	
			the use of	
			physical	
			restraints or	
			bedrails while	
			being cared for	
			in a healthcare	
			setting	
Dec 23	Cindy	Northwestern	5D. Patient	Appropriate changes.
2010	Barnard	Memorial	death or serious	
12:54PM		HealthCare	injury	Helpful to clarify "physical" restraints.
			associated with	
			the use of	It is perhaps a small item, but recommend deletion of the
			physical	sentence,
			restraints or	
			bedrails while	Death/injury resulting from falls caused by lack of restraints
			being cared for	would be captured under "falls."
			in a healthcare	
Dec 29	Carmella	America's	5D. Patient	This may wrongly suggest that restraints are a useful strategy to Patient death or serious injury associated with the use of physical
2010	Bocchino	Health		restraints or bedrails while being cared for in a healthcare setting -
5:17PM	Doccimio	Insurance	injury	NQF should clarify if aspiration pneumonia would be included in
5.171101		Plans	associated with	
			the use of	
			physical	
			restraints or	
			bedrails while	
			being cared for	
			in a healthcare	
			setting	

Dec 29	Erin	Partners	Erin	Partners	5D. Patient	The SRe definition is clear but the glossary definition of restraints
2010	Graydon	HealthCare	Graydon	Healthcare	death or serious	does not include the word physical.
5:18PM	Baker	System, Inc.	Baker		injury	
					associated with	
					the use of	
					physical	
					restraints or	
					bedrails while	
					being cared for	
					in a healthcare	
					setting	
Dec 29	Carmella	America's			5D. Patient	Patient death or serious injury associated with the use of physical
2010	Bocchino	Health			death or serious	restraints or bedrails while being cared for in a healthcare setting -
5:27PM		Insurance			injury	NQF should clarify if aspiration pneumonia would be included in
		Plans			associated with	this measure.
					the use of	
					physical	
					restraints or	
					bedrails while	
					being cared for	
					in a healthcare	
					setting	

Dec 13 2010 10:18AM	Julie Apold	Minnesota Hospital Association	Apold	Minnesota Hospital Association	6A. Death or serious injury of a patient or	Rationale: There are other avenues, such as OSHA, to report
					staff associated with the introduction of a metallic object into the MRI area	employee incidences.'
Dec 20 2010 10:08AM	Steven Meisel	Fairview Health Services			a patient or	'Excellent addition. However, SRE should be focused on patient care issues/deficiencies and not employee injuries. There are other avenues, such as OSHA, to report and follow-up on employee injuries.'

Dec 22	Rachel	American	6A. Deat	n or 'It is critical that a surgeon who orders a scan, but is not present
2010	Groman	Association of	serious ir	ijury of when the scan is done is not held responsible for this event.'
11:53AM		Neurological	a patient	or
		Surgeons	staff asso	ciated
			with the	
			introduct	ion of
			a metallio	
			object in	to the
			MRI area	
Dec 22	Beth	St. Cloud	6A. Deat	n or 'St. Cloud Hospital, St. Cloud, MN agree this should be a reportable
2010	Honkomp	Hospital	serious ir	ijury of event but limited to patients only.'
12:29PM			a patient	or
			staff asso	ciated
			with the	
			introduct	ion of
Dec 20	Steven	Fairview	7A. Any	'SRE should be focused on patient care issues and not criminal acts.
2010	Meisel	Health	instance	of care While criminal acts should never occur anyplace, they can and do
10:10AM		Services	ordered	by or happen everywhere. Health care settings are not immune. There
			provided	by are other places to report and follow-up on such issues. I think they
			someone	are inappropriate to be included as a SRE.'
			impersor	ating a
			physician	,
			nurse,	

Dec 23	Marie	Risk	7A. Any	Agree with the comments that staff should not be included. Their
2010	Kokol	Management	instance of care	information is reported through worker's comp, osha, etc. With
5:54PM		& Patient	ordered by or	Patient Safety needs to remain Patient.'
		Safety	provided by	
		Program	someone	
			impersonating a	
			physician,	
			nurse,	
			pharmacist, or	
			other licensed	
			healthcare	
			provider	

Dec 29	Erin	Partners	Erin	Partners	7A. Any	Criminal events should be handled by state and local authorities
2010 5:22PM	Graydon Baker	HealthCare System, Inc.		Healthcare		and not be reported as an SRE
Dec 20 2010 10:10AM	Steven Meisel	Fairview Health Services			7B. Abduction of a patient/residen t of any age	'SRE should be focused on patient care issues and not criminal acts. While criminal acts should never occur anyplace, they can and do happen everywhere. Health care settings are not immune. There are other places to report and follow-up on such issues. I think they are inappropriate to be included as a SRE.'

Dec 29 2010 10:17PM	Thomas James	Humana Inc.			7B. Abduction of a patient/residen t of any age	'The definition of abduction does not get to the gray areas where there is joint custody of a child in a pediatric hospital, since both parents may have responsibility for the child'
Dec 6 2010 12:00PM	Linda Gerbig	Texas Health Resources	marcie Williams	Texas Health Resources	7C. Sexual abuse/assault on a patient or staff member within or on the grounds of a healthcare setting	Even with good security the event is not always preventable.
Dec 13 2010 10:20AM	Julie Apold	Minnesota Hospital Association	Julie Apold	Minnesota Hospital Association	7C. Sexual abuse/assault on a patient or staff member within or on the grounds of a healthcare setting	Recommendation 1: Do not include staff members Rationale: There are other avenues, such as OSHA, to report employee incidences. Recommendation 2: Do not include "sexual abuse" in the event. Rationale: The term sexual assault has worked well and is well

Dec 20	Steven	Fairview	7C. Sexual	'Do not include staff members; SRE should be limited to patient
2010	Meisel	Health	abuse/assault	care issues. Staff issues are reportable in other venues.
10:00AM		Services	on a patient or	
			staff member	Sexual abuse can be interpreted to cover sexual harrassment; this
			within or on the	is too broad.
			grounds of a	
			healthcare	On a larger view, I think this entire element is inappropriate as a
			setting	SRE. SRE should be focused on clinical care; while criminal acts
				should never occur, they are funamentally different than
				deficiencies in patient care. These same criminal acts can occur at
				the grocery store and are not unique to health care. Therefore, I
				would delete this one.'

Dec 21 2010 2:51PM	Bridget Griffin	Mayo Clinic	Timothy Morgent haler, MD	Mayo Clinic		Mayo Clinic supports the recommendations of the Minnesota Hospital Association.
Dec 22 2010 1:03PM	Beth Honkomp	St. Cloud Hospital			7C. Sexual abuse/assault on a patient or staff member within or on the grounds of a healthcare setting	'St. Cloud Hospital, St. Cloud, MN agrees with the comments of the Minnesota Hospital Association and Fairview Health System.'

Dec 23	Marie	Risk	7C. Sexual	Staff should not be included. Their information is reported through
2010	Kokol	Management	abuse/assault	worker's comp, osha, etc. and in this case through the local law
5:55PM		& Patient	on a patient or	enforcement. With Patient Safety needs to remain Patient.'
		Safety	staff member	
		Program	within or on the	
			grounds of a	
			healthcare	
			setting	
Dec 23	Cindy	Northwestern	7C. Sexual	'Agree with prior comments; do not include staff; in addition, see
2010	Barnard	Memorial	abuse/assault	comment on glossary regarding sexual abuse. Align definition with
12:53PM		HealthCare	on a patient or	TJC sentinel event definition'
			staff member	
			within or on the	
			grounds of a	
			healthcare	
			setting	
			Ŭ	

Dec 29	Erin	Partners	Erin	Partners	7C. Sexual	We recommend removing staff member. Would harrassment be
2010	Graydon	HealthCare	Graydon	Healthcare	abuse/assault	considered abuse? Criminal events should be handled by state and
5:25PM	Baker	System, Inc.	Baker		on a patient or	local authorities
					staff member	
					within or on the	
					grounds of a	
					healthcare	
					setting	
Dec 6	Linda	Texas Health			7D. Death or	Even with very good security this event may not always be
2010	Gerbig	Resources			significant	preventable
12:01PM					injury of a	
					patient or staff	
					member	
					resulting from a	
					physical assault	
					(i.e., battery)	
					that occurs	
					within or on the	
					grounds of a	
					healthcare	
					setting	

Dec 13	Julie Apold	Minnesota	Julie	Minnesota	7D. Death or	'Recommendation: Do not include staff members
2010		Hospital	Apold	Hospital	significant	
10:21AM		Association		Association	injury of a	Rationale: There are other avenues, such as OSHA, to report
					patient or staff	employee incidences.'
					member	
					resulting from a	
					physical assault	
					(i.e., battery)	
					that occurs	
					within or on the	
					grounds of a	
					healthcare	
					setting	
Dec 20	Steven	Fairview			7D. Death or	'Omit staff issues; these are reportable in other venues such as
2010	Meisel	Health			significant	OSHA. SRE should be focused on patient care issues and
10:01AM		Services			injury of a	deficiencies.
					patient or staff	
					member	I think this entire element is inappropriate as a SRE. SRE should be
					e e	focused on clinical care; while criminal acts should never occur,
						they are funamentally different than deficiencies in patient care.
					(i.e. <i>,</i> battery)	These same criminal acts can occur at the grocery store and are not
					that occurs	unique to health care. Therefore, I would delete this one.'
					within or on the	
					grounds of a	
					healthcare	
					setting	

Dec 23	Marie	Risk			7D. Death or	Staff should not be included. Their information is reported through
2010	Kokol	Management			significant	worker's comp, osha, etc. and in this case through the local law
5:55PM		& Patient			injury of a	enforcement. With Patient Safety needs to remain Patient.'
		Safety			patient or staff	
		Program			member	
		-			resulting from a	
					physical assault	
					(i.e., battery)	
					that occurs	
					within or on the	
					grounds of a	
					healthcare	
					setting	
Dec 29	Erin	Partners	Erin	Partners	7D. Death or	All other SREs use serious injury yet this SRE uses significant injury.
2010	Graydon	HealthCare	Graydon	Healthcare	significant	Is there a difference? Criminal events should be handled by state
5:28PM	Baker	System, Inc.	Baker		injury of a	and local authorities.
					patient or staff	
					member	
					resulting from a	
					physical assault	
					(i.e., battery)	
					that occurs	
					within or on the	
					grounds of a	
					healthcare	
					setting	

2010Connolly SocietyGeriatrics Societycomments on SeriousThe AGS supports this measure. We are aware the Reportable there was discussion around a 30 day post-process rate, but this was excluding those aged over 75 and additional a skilled nursing facility (SNF); all mention of this recommendatio procedure period tends to bring out issues relate procedure specific to frail elderly that are potention We would like to see a broadening of the &lsquoy older individuals included when it is expanded and	
Reportable Events additional a skilled nursing facility (SNF); all mention of this recommendatio ns measuring mortality for a period longer than the procedure period tends to bring out issues relate procedures specific to frail elderly that are potent We would like to see a broadening of the &lsquoy	
Events additional a skilled nursing facility (SNF); all mention of this recommendatio entirely from the SRE draft report. From a geriatr measuring mortality for a period longer than the procedure period tends to bring out issues relate procedures specific to frail elderly that are potent. We would like to see a broadening of the &lsquot	hat last February,
additional a skilled nursing facility (SNF); all mention of this recommendatio ns measuring mortality for a period longer than the procedure period tends to bring out issues relate procedures specific to frail elderly that are potent We would like to see a broadening of the &lsquoy	dure mortality
recommendatio entirely from the SRE draft report. From a geriatr ns measuring mortality for a period longer than the procedure period tends to bring out issues relate procedures specific to frail elderly that are potent We would like to see a broadening of the &lsquoj	nd admission from
ns measuring mortality for a period longer than the procedure period tends to bring out issues relate procedures specific to frail elderly that are poten. We would like to see a broadening of the &lsquoy	has disappeared
procedure period tends to bring out issues relate procedures specific to frail elderly that are poten We would like to see a broadening of the ‘	ric point of view,
procedures specific to frail elderly that are poten We would like to see a broadening of the '	immediate post-
We would like to see a broadening of the ‘	
lolder individuals included when it is expanded an	
procedures. Such measurement may give empiric	
procedures with high risk/benefit ratios in the old	der person.

Dec 23	Jennifer	Association of		General	The AAMC agrees with the additional recommendations listed in
2010	Faerberg	American		comments on	the report. We would strongly recommend that further action be
9:57AM		Medical		Serious	taken in support of the need to develop effective ways to
		Colleges		Reportable	communicate with the public on these very serious but infrequent
				Events	events. The work of the Patient Safety Reporting Committee
				additional	started that work but more needs to be done. As this data is being
				recommendatio	reported on a national basis we need to figure this out.
				ns	

Dec 29	Margaret	Premier, Inc.			General	'General comments related to both SRE Product or Device Events
2010	Reagan				comments on	and Category Care Management
12:28PM					Serious	
					Reportable	Premier suggests thatthe issues raised could be resolved by first
					Events	considering the SRE to be the discovery of exposure to
					additional	contamination or discovery of an exposure due to a pattern of
					recommendatio	unacceptable practiceand not the infectious outcome, since the
					ns	contaminationor unacceptable practice is more likely a detectable
						event. Discovery of a potential exposure requiresaction to
Dec 13	Julie Apold	Minnesota	Julie	Minnesota	General	We recommend the addition of an event "diagnostic testing error
2010		Hospital	Apold	Hospital	comments on	resulting in unnecessary invasive procedure, serious injury or
10:23AM		Association		Association	Serious	death"'
					Reportable	
					Events not	
					recommended	
					for	
					endorsement	

Dec 20	Steven	Fairview			General	We have had instances of wrong procedure performed when the
2010	Meisel	Health			comments on	procedural staff did everything right but acted secondary to a lab or
10:04AM		Services			Serious	pathology mix-up. This unfairly counts the event as if it were a
					Reportable	problem with, say, the Universal Protocol.
					Events not	
					recommended	I would prefer adding an event focused on death/serious injury
					for	resulting from diagnostic testing errors.'
					endorsement	
Dec 21	Bridget	Mayo Clinic	Timothy	Mayo Clinic	General	MHA recommends the addition of an event Mayo does not support
2010	Griffin		Morgent		comments on	the recommendation to add the following event: "Diagnostic
2 2224					<u> </u>	

Dec 21	Beth	American	Nancy	American	General	The Steering Committee decided not to put forward central line-
2010	Feldpush	Hospital	Foster	Hospital	comments on	associated blood stream infections (CLABSI) as a new serious
11:08AM		Association		Association	Serious	reportable event. While we respect the Steering Committee's
					Reportable	decision, we strongly urge the NQF to consider this event for the
Dec 23	Melanie	Society for	Melanie	SHEA	General	Patient death or serious injury related to a central line associated
2010	Young	Healthcare	Young		comments on	blood stream infection (CLABSI)
1:14PM		Epidemiology			Serious	
		of America			Reportable Events not recommended for endorsement	Comment: SHEA agrees with the decision not to recommend this event for endorsement because of issues related to attributing causality as well as relative lack of measurement experience and reporting.

Dec 23	Tanya	National	Tanya	Consumer-	General	The Consumer-Purchaser Disclosure Project has significant concerns
2010	Alteras	Partnership	Alteras	Purchaser	comments on	over the fact that Patient Death or Serious Injury Related to a
4:14PM		for Women &		Disclosure	Serious	Central-Line Associated Blood Stream Infection has not been
		Families		Project	Reportable	recommended for endorsement. The argument that CLABSI rates
					Events not	are challenging because of difficulties with attributing causality and
					recommended	the lack of measurement experience and reporting do not take into
					for	account that these rates will soon be reported on Hospital
					endorsement	Compare, beginning in 2011, as per the 2010 final Inpatient
						Prospective Payment System rule. While challenges remain
						regarding how to best report on these incidents, we do not feel that
						that should delay the designation of CLABSI from being an SRE. It
						was not clear from the report exactly what the reasoning was
						behind the steering committee's decision, but we would appreciate
						further clarification. In addition, clarification is sought on the
						difference between the CLABSI measure evaluated via this project
						and the CLABSI measures reviewed via the Patient Safety project.'

Dec 23	Marie	Risk	General	Where is 1E?
2010	Kokol	Management	comments on	Beginning on line 323 of the SRE Draft for Comment you have listed
5:57PM		& Patient	Serious	the following:
		Safety	Reportable	
		Program	Events not	1E. Intraoperative or immediately postoperative/postprocedure
			recommended	death in an ASA Class 1 patient. In going through the public
			for	comment section, there is not a corresponding 1E found. The
			endorsement	addition of this SRE would have given a valuable window to at least open discussions as to these type deaths and I was disappointed to see it left out without comment. I would like to see the rational used in the decision making process to exclude this from the 2011 SREs.I feel the death of an ASA Class 1 patient, while some will argue there are times when death maybe due to nondisclosure on the part of the patient or other unknown physical condition, to disregard to entire SRE.'
Dec 23	Cindy	Northwestern	General	Events Deferred
---------	---------	--------------	-------------	--
2010	Barnard	Memorial	comments on	
12:51PM		HealthCare	Serious	Wrong dose fluoro or radiation tx - this is a Joint Commission
			Reportable	sentinel event and should be considered as a SRE
			Events not	
			recommended	Death/serious injury related to a central line associated
			for	bloodstream infection - agree with deferring this for now since CMS
			endorsement	is tracking the performance measure
				Failure to rescue - Agree with deferring this, the performance measure is more appropriate
				Agree with remaining recommendations'

Dec 23	Alyssa	California	General	CHA is grateful for the committee's careful consideration of several
2010	Keefe	Hospital	comments on	standards for endorsement, and agrees with the recommendations
4:19PM		Association	Serious	to not endorse these measures at this time. Additional deliberation
			Reportable	at a future date by the committee regarding measures such as the
			Events not	CLABSI infection should be considered. Currently, this is an NQF-
			recommended	endorsed measure under the patient safety report framework. The
			for	current specifications are detailed for continued monitoring of
			endorsement	performance and reporting over time. At some point in the future, it is anticipated that this infection, in most settings, will be a rare event.
				At the point this should occur is worthy of a full discussion that
				accounts for some of the unique patient populations that we care
				for in our hospitals. We support the committee's recommendation to not move the measure forward at this time, as it would duplicate reporting already ongoing.
Dec 29	Carmella	America's	General	It is important to underscore that CLABSI continues to be a

2010 5:23PM	Bocchino	Health Insurance Plans		comments on Serious Reportable Events not recommended for endorsement	significant public health challenge[1], and while we note that the Committee has raised issues pertaining to attributing causality, we would encourage the Committee to come up with a plan to address the issues raised prior to the next SRE Update. A number of states have been working on ways to reduce CLABSI infections, and many in fact, have demonstrated progress, suggesting that there is an opportunity today for NQF to issue a recommendation for an NQF- endorsed CLABSI event. A report from the Michigan Health & Hospital Association (MHA) revealed a dramatic reduction in the occurrence of CLABSI for the time period between 2004 and 2009, resulting in the saving of more than 1,800 lives, and \$271 million in health care costs. We support the Committee's recommendation to not endorse the remaining events listed as they have been incorporated into implementation guidance of other SREs for which endorsement is recommended; are addressed by existing measures that are NQF endorsed, e.g. failure to rescue; or will be included in future updates as experience and the evidence become more substantiated'
----------------	----------	------------------------------	--	---	--

Dec 29	Thomas	Humana Inc.	Gene	eral	Humana appreciates the opportunity to comment. We support the
2010	James		com	ments on	recommendations by others below to bring the Central Line
10:30PM			Serio	ous	associated Blood Stream Infections (CLABSI) back to NQF for
			Repo	ortable	reconsideration. This is a significant issue for both morbidity and
			Even	its not	for cost. It is high volume and Dr. Provost has demonstrated in
			reco	mmended	Michigan and elsewhere that it is preventable.
Dec 29	Margaret	Premier, Inc.	Gene	eral	General category of events not endorsed:
2010	Reagan		com	ments on	
12:30PM			Serio	bus	Among the eight events that were not endorsed is "Patient death
			Repo	ortable	or serious injury related to a central line associated blood stream
			Even	its not	infection (CLABSI)" The key issue for not accepting this as a SRE
			reco	mmended	was causality and attribution.
			for		
			endo	orsement	Premier would agree with this assessment and also supports the non-acceptance of all events in this category.
Dec 30 2010	Denise Graham	Association for	Gene		Among the eight events that were not endorsed is "Patient death or serious injury related to a central line associated blood stream
5:31PM		Professionals	Serio	ous	infection (CLABSI)". The key issue was causality and attribution.
		in Infection	Repo	ortable	APIC would agree with this assessment.
		Control and	Even	its not	
		Epidemiology	reco	mmended	
			for		
			endo	orsement	

Dec 13 2010	Julie Apold	Minnesota Hospital	Julie Apold	Minnesota Hosiptal	General comments on	Supportive of retirement of spinal manipulative therapy event.
10:22AM		Association		Association	Serious Reportable Events recommended for retirement	
Dec 15 2010 3:33PM	Kara Webb	American Chiropractic Association	Rick McMicha el	American Chiropractic Association	General comments on Serious Reportable	The American Chiropractic Association strongly supports the recommendation to retire the Care Management Event 4.G. "Patient death or serious disability due to spinal manipulative therapy" from the Serious Reportable Events (SRE) list. The ACA
Dec 21 2010 2:58PM	Bridget Griffin	Mayo Clinic	Timothy Morgent haler, MD	Mayo Clinic	General comments on Serious Reportable Events recommended for retirement	Mayo Clinic supports the retirement of the event relative to spinal manipulative therapy.

Dec 23 2010 4:19PM	Alyssa Keefe	California Hospital Association			General comments on Serious Reportable Events recommended for retirement	Generally, CHA supports the committee's recommendation to retire three events (4D, 4E, 4G) and appreciates the detailed justification for retirement provided. Despite our support for the retirement of 4E-death or serious disability (kernicterus) associated with failure to identify and treat hyperbilirubinemia in neonates-we have concerns about the more broadly envisioned event under which this would be captured. Those concerns are noted below.
Dec 29 2010 5:30PM	Erin Graydon Baker	Partners HealthCare System, Inc.	Erin Graydon Baker	Partners Healthcare	General comments on Serious Reportable Events recommended for retirement	We agree with the events being retired.
Dec 2 2010 3:20PM	Robert Gold	DCBA, Inc.			General Comments on the Draft Report	I am concerned about the use of the term associated with rather than attributable to. Current Official Coding Guidelines lead some professional coders to interpret with as a temporal relationship and not a causative one. Inappropriate identification of cases will take place without a definition of associated with that explains this relationship.

Dec 2	Charlotte	Gentiva	General	Recommend expanding healthcare settings to include Home Health,
2010	Weaver	Health	Comments on	Hospice and Hospice Inpatient Units where appropriate.
5:05PM		Services	the Draft	
			Report	<ul> <li>Specifically, recommend including them in:</li> <li>1) #4 Care Management under A. Medication Errors. Home Health and Hospice have regulatory responsibility for meds review, reconciliation, drug interaction and allergy checking.</li> <li>2.) Care Management under E - falls. Home Health added to settings for incidents that occur while clinician is working directly with the patient.</li> <li>3.) Care Management under F. Stage 3 &amp; 4 pressure ulcer developed during the Home Health or Hospice care episode.</li> <li>4.) Under Environmental: B - Oxgyen systems include DME companies who deliver and administer O2 in the Home</li> <li>5.) Under Environmental: C- Burns for those that occur while clinician or paraprofessional is working directly with the patient.'</li> </ul>
Dec 6	Jon Olson	Connecticut	General	It can be confusing to revise the SRE list so that a previous category
2010		Dept of Public	Comments on	6 (criminal) is now category 7 with a new type of event becoming
10:15AM		Health	the Draft	category 6. It is better to re-order the list a little as possible.

Dec 20	Rebecca	American	Samuel	American	General	I think the document is interesting, complete and outlines some
2010	Swain-Eng	Academy of	Frank	Academy of	Comments on	important steps forward in reporting. I would suggest the
2:58PM		Neurology		Neurology	the Draft Report	document clarify that the reporting is for clinical events, not research-related events and point the reader to specific policies for SRE's in the research realm. Also, there should be guidance for reporting for international companies and for patients that have
						experienced a reportable event outside the US.
						Thank you,
						Sam Frank'

Dec 21 2010 1:43PM	Bridget Griffin	Mayo Clinic	Timothy Morgent haler,	Mayo Clinic	General Comments on the Draft	Mayo Clinic concurs with recommendations from both the American Hospital Association and the Minnesota Hospital Association regarding expanding the scope of several of the serious
			MD		Report	reportable events to also include death or serious injury sustained by staff. Events that harm staff are serious and require equal attention. However, there are other avenues for reporting such events, including the Occupational Safety & Health Administration and various state and local laws.'
Dec 21 2010	Paul Conlon	Trinity Health			General Comments on	It is our opinion that the changes will improve reporting and make the reports received more comparable. The definitions are much
2:00PM					the Draft Report	clearer in this version although broader in scope. The new SREs will capture a number of events that we have been including in our own

Dec 21	Beth	American	Nancy	American	General	The American Hospital Association (AHA) appreciates the
2010	Feldpush	Hospital	Foster	Hospital	Comments on	opportunity to comment on the draft report Serious Reportable
11:02AM		Association		Association	the Draft	Events in Healthcare-2011 Update: A Consensus Report. The
					Report	report updates the NQF's list of serious reportable events and
Dec 21	Beth	American	Nancy	American	General	'While we agree with the proposed changes in scope and definition
2010	Feldpush	Hospital	Foster	Hospital	Comments on	of the Serious Reportable Events and believe that the proposed
11:03AM		Association		Association	the Draft	additions are appropriate, we also believe that these changes are
					Report	likely to increase the number of reports that are filed. Because members of the public who do not track these definitional changes and additions to the list as closely as we who are immersed in this process, we also urge the Steering Committee to consider affirmatively stating that these changes may result in an increase in the number of reported events that should not be confused with a decrement in safety. Rather, one would have to look to see if the increase in number of events reported is merely a result of the expansion of what is reportable or whether it represents a real change in the safety of care provided.'
Dec 21	Beth	American	Nanov	Amorican	General	The Steering Committee has expanded the scene of several of the
2010	Feldpush	Hospital	Nancy Foster	American Hospital	Comments on	The Steering Committee has expanded the scope of several of the serious reportable events to also include death or injury sustained
2010 11:04AM	relupush	Association	ruster	Association	the Draft	by staff. Adverse events that harm a hospital staff member are just
11.04AIVI		ASSOCIATION		ASSOCIATION		
					Report	as serious as events that harm patients and should be given equal

Dec 21	Beth	American	Nancy	American	General	'The AHA believes that the potential criminal events, while certainly
2010	Feldpush	Hospital	Foster	Hospital	Comments on	events that should not occur in a healthcare setting, should be
2010 11:10AM	Feldpush	Hospital Association	Foster	Hospital Association	Comments on the Draft Report	events that should not occur in a healthcare setting, should be treated differently by regulatory agencies because of their criminal nature. The types of system changes that one would put in place to reduce medical errors are very different from the security precautions that would be put in place to protect against criminal activities. Thus, we believe these events should be acted upon differently. While hospitals and other providers should certainly report an occurrence of these events, it is most appropriate that such reporting be done to a legal authority such as the local police department. While payment reductions may be an appropriate policy lever to drive down the incidence of medical errors, we urge against any action by payers to reduce hospital payments because of the occurrence of a criminal event at the hospital.'
Dec 21 2010 11:50AM	Caitlin Connolly	American Geriatrics Society			General Comments on the Draft Report	The American Geriatrics Society (AGS) supports this step in increasing transparency for our systems as our organization was part of the movement that initiated this work.

Dec 21	Clem	Blue		General	'Spinal manipulation and Serious Reportable Events
2010	McGinley	Mountain		Comments on	
12:38PM		Health		the Draft	I opine that the above should be eliminated from the list. While
		System		Report	any serious event is reportable - whether ir occurs from Surgical complications, adverse drug reaction, Physical/Occupational Therapy treatments, etc - singling out spinal manipulation seems somewhat prejudicial the Doctors of Chiropractic. Any way it is a moot point, since by definition any serious event is reportable.
					Clem McGinley, MD
					VP of Medical Affairs
					Blue Mountain Health System'

Dec 23	Erin	Safe Injection	General	'The Safe Injection Practices (SIP) Policy Task Force (PTF)
2010	O'Malley	Practices	Comments on	appreciates the opportunity to comment in support of the draft
1:37PM		Policy Task	the Draft	report on Serious Reportable Events (SREs). We are especially
		Force	Report	pleased that this draft addresses unsafe injection practices in two
				events (2A and 4A). In the last decade, more than 150,000 patients
				in the United States were notified of potential exposure to hepatitis
				B virus, hepatitis C virus, and HIV due to unsafe injection practices
				in healthcare settings.
				Members of the SIP PTF, which includes patients, providers and
				industry partners, are committed to eliminating unsafe injection
				practices across the healthcare system. We strongly encourage the
				National Quality Forum (NQF) and its members to preserve
				language on injection safety intact in the final version of the SRE
				report. We applaud NQF for recognizing unsafe injection practices
				as a SRE in its next iteration of the NQF SRE guidelines.
				Safe Injection Practices Policy Task Force members:
				American Association of Nurse Anesthetists (AANA)
				Association for Professionals in Infection Control and
				Epidemiology, Inc. (APIC)
				BD
				Healthcare Accreditation Resources, LLC
				Hepatitis Outbreaks National Organization for Reform
				(HONOReform)
				Hospira
				National Association of County and City Health Officials (NACCHO)'

Dec 23	Tanya	National	Tanya	Consumer-	General	The Consumer-Purchaser Disclosure Project appreciates the
2010	Alteras	Partnership	Alteras	Purchaser	Comments on	opportunity to comment on the measures being recommended for
4:03PM		for Women &		Disclosure	the Draft	endorsement by the steering committee on Serious Reportable
		Families		Project	Report	Events. The importance of identifying, categorizing, and reporting
						of SREs for accountability and improvement in patient safety and
						outcomes is a given; however, the circumstances and
						understanding of how and where SREs may occur have evolved.
						Thus, we are very pleased that the National Quality Forum has
						convened this steering committee to reconsider existing SREs, as
						well as evaluate potential new events for SRE designation. In terms

Dec 23	Maureen	American	Maureen	American	General	'The American Nurses Association (ANA) believes death related to
2010	Dailey	Nurses	Dailey	Nurses	Comments on	healthcare acquired infections (HAI) should be considered a serious
4:07PM		Association		Association	the Draft	reportable event, regardless of the issue of causality.
					Report	
						The American Nurses Association (ANA) has concerns regarding the
						potential consequences of cuts in funding at the state level for
						support of analysis of reportable events. Data are being reported,
						however, key positions are being eliminated within the State
						Departments of Health that support the analysis and synthesis of
						data identified in organizational root cause analysis processes.'
D = = 22			/ <b></b>	Curadiah	Comorrol	
Dec 23	heather		(committ		General	We appreciate the opportunity to provide comments regarding the
2010	cook		,	Medical	Comments on	2011 update. We are concerned about extending the reporting of
4:57PM			Review	Center	the Draft	the Serious Reportable Events to include office-based practices,
			Committ		Report	ambulatory surgery centers, & skilled nursing facilities because the

Dec 23	Marie	Risk	General	'Where is 1E?
2010	Kokol	Management	Comments on	
5:21PM		& Patient	the Draft	Beginning on line 323 of the SRE Draft for Comment you have listed
		Safety	Report	the following:
		Program		
		_		1E. Intraoperative or immediately postoperative/postprocedure
				death in an ASA Class 1 patient. In going through the public
				comment section, there is not a corresponding 1E found. The
				addition of this SRE would have given a valuable window to at least
				open discussions as to these type deaths and I was disappointed to
				see it left out without comment. I would like to see the rational
				used in the decision making process to exclude this from the 2011
				SREs.I feel the death of an ASA Class 1 patient, while some will
				argue there are times when death maybe due to nondisclosure on
				the part of the patient or other unknown physical condition, to
				disregard to entire SRE.'

Dec 23	Paul	Association of	Paul	Association of	General	ACCT thanks the NQF for the opportunity to comment on this
2010	Drucker	Critical Care	Drucker	Critical Care	Comments on	project. ACCT is a patient advocacy organization committed to
5:56PM		Transport		Transport	the Draft	ensuring that critically ill and injured patients have access to the
				(ACCT)	Report	safest and highest quality critical care transport system. Our
						member organizations provide the entire spectrum of out of
						hospital services. ACCT applauds the NQF for the continued
						evolution of SRE by broadening them outside the inpatient hospital
						setting. ACCT appreciates as well that NQF acknowledges a focus
						on the four settings of care identified for this project does not
Dec 23	Melanie	Society for	Melanie	Society for	General	Congratulations on a much improved and readable document.
2010	Young	Healthcare	Young	Healthcare	Comments on	
12:46PM		Epidemiology		Epidemiology	the Draft	SHEA is pleased that a fair amount of current ambiguity was
		of America		of America	Report	addressed, and appreciates the care taken with

SHE	
	HEA is pleased with the removal of the term "never events".
the upublic CMS heal NQF final to Close SHE Socie	Ye would caution that with clearer definitions, it is fair to expect e numbers of reports to increase. As these definitions are blished and typically used for public reporting in states and by IS for healthcare-associated conditions, it could appear that althcare is worse-not better. We strongly recommend that the IF Committee comment on that point when these definitions are alized-and that the Committee should be very clear on that point CMS when setting thresholds for performance on HACs. HEA does have a few areas of concern as noted below. The ciety is happy to provide input on these infection related issues sed, as these move forward for the next level of review.'

Dec 29 2010 5:09PM	Carmella Bocchino	America's Health Insurance Plans			General Comments on the Draft Report	AHIP appreciates the opportunity to provide comments on the NQF 2011 Update for Serious Reportable Events (SREs). As stated by NQF, the intention of this update is to encompass a wider range of potential adverse events across a variety of healthcare settings, as well as to ensure that existing SRE events remain timely and actionable in today's health care system. AHIP supports the updates to the 2006 SRE list, as well as the list of events recommended for endorsement. We would also note that many of
Dec 29 2010 5:48PM	Erin Graydon Baker	Partners HealthCare System, Inc.	Erin Graydon Baker	Partners Healthcare	General Comments on the Draft Report	Partners Healthcare appreciated the opportunity to provide feedback on the new and existing SRE definitions. We applaud the NQF SRE Committee for clarifying the existing definitions with considering their applicability to other settings including ambulatory care. We strongly support the removal of the term never events. In general, we find that the revised existing and new SREs make sense and are clear. However, some of the new terms could be explained further such the definition for serious injury. The term substantial change in the patient's long-term risk status remains vague. We also think that Criminal Events should be handled by state and local authorities and question whether these should be reported additionally as SREs.'

Dec 29	Michael	Cleveland	Cleveland	Cleveland	General	The incidents describe Serious Reportable Events whose reporting
2010	Phelan	Clinic	Clinic	Clinic	Comments on	are important relevant to improving care and patient safety. We
10:28PM					the Draft	could support these but would insist on attention to definition
					Report	clarity for many of the events. The guidance provided is insufficient.
						Overall the intent of including the events are good, however
						implementation and identification of the events will require high
						level analysis by qualified personnel. Operationalizing this list to
						being reportable will be a challenge mainly because these are not
						event/measures that are readily or easily tracked. For the most part
						there needs for clearer definitions for many of the events listed as
						well as defined inclusion and exclusions events listed. It may have
						been better to have listed a smaller set of discreet( specific defined)
						type events first then broaden the definitions once a set of event
						were deemed acceptable as SRE's.'

Dec 29	Margaret	Premier, Inc.	General	General remarks
2010	Reagan		Comments on	
11:52AM			the Draft	The Premier healthcare alliance congratulates NQF on a much
			Report	improved and clearer document over the existing list of Serious
				Reportable Events (SRE). We are pleased at the effort to resolve
				ambiguities and we appreciate the care taken with the definitions,

including the removal of the term "never event".

We would caution that with clearer definitions, it is fair to expect thenumbers of reported SREs to increase. As these definitions are published and continue to be used for public reporting in states and by CMS for healthcare-associated conditions (HACs), hospitals are likely to report more SREs, which might lead to a perception that there is a lack of improvement in reducing serious injuries and death. This could also impact CMS when setting future thresholds for performance on selected HACs. We strongly recommend that the NQF Committee address the potential for an artificial increase when these revised SREs are finalized and published. Please find below, a few areas of concern that we think should be addressed in order to strengthen the 2011 Update of the NQF Serious Reportable Events (SREs).'

Dec 30	Angela	American		General	'The American College of Emergency Physicians (ACEP) applauds the
2010	Franklin	College of		Comments on	NQF for its work on the 2011 Update for Serious Reportable Events
4:50PM		Emergency		the Draft	(SREs), and appreciates the opportunity to comment. ACEP is the
		Physicians		Report	oldest and largest national medical specialty organization representing physicians who practice emergency medicine. With more than 28,000 members, ACEP is the leading continuing education source for emergency physicians and the primary information resource on developments in the specialty.'

Dec 30	Denise	Association		General	'APIC suggests the intent of SRE Product or Device Events: 2A and
2010	Graham	for		Comments on	2B should be on discovery of the contamination or discovery of a
5:23PM		Professionals		the Draft	pattern of unacceptable practice and not the outcome, since the
		in Infection		Report	contamination/poor practice is more likely a detectable event.
		Control and			Discovery would require action to investigate potential infections
		Epidemiology			even if a patient did not develop an infection as the result of
					testing. Not knowing for sure, given lengthy incubation periods,
					patients are subject to much testing over time, unnecessary
					without the potential exposure. As currently worded, the infectious
					outcome being detected as related to the device or event would
					rarely be identified as an SRE.'

Dec 30	Rabia Khan	Centers for	Michael	CMS	General	These 29 SREs are important, and are useable for measure
2010		Medicare and	Rapp		Comments on	development and data analysis if implementation specifications are
12:56PM		Medicaid			the Draft	defined. Operational definitions will lead to clarity of SREs, which
		Services			Report	would otherwise be subject to interpretation. For instance,
						"serious" injury has multiple interpretations unless "serious" is
						defined. SRE specifications will result in implementable reporting.
						Also, reportable data and pertinent information used for the NQF
						SREs update should be included in the report, as this would benefit
						members and the public reading the report.
						Specifications of the SRE's need more fleshing out. As they are
						written, it would be difficult to incorporate SRE's into national pay
						for reporting or pay for performance programs.
						Strongly support expanding coverage to include ambulatory
						surgical centers, office-based practices, and skilled nursing facilities.
						SREs should broaden to include elective surgeries and elective
						procedures, as they are also serious procedures that can result in possible death or disability.'

Dec 23	Cindy	Northwestern	General	High alert meds - references "a" high alert medication list. Does
2010	Barnard	Memorial	comments on	NQF mean this to be an authoritative list, or merely one to
Dec 23	Alyssa	California	General	Generally, a glossary is a much-needed addition to this report;
2010	Keefe	Hospital	comments on	however, further clarity is necessary. As noted in a number of
4:19PM		Association	the Serious	comments, there are some instances where the definition could be
			Reportable	wide open to interpretation, and we would ask the committee to
			<b>Events</b> glossary	consider the requests for refinements to accurately reflect the
				intention of the committee in developing the definitions. We concur with many of the definitional questions raised in the comments, including comments by the Minnesota Hospital Association, and offer two additional for your review and consideration.
				NQF proposes two separate definitions for "serious" and "injury". Further clarification is needed to better understand the committee;s intention developing two separate definitions. Are we to assume that if we combined the two, we will have a definition for "serious injury."
Dec 23	Alyssa	California	General	The definition for "end of surgery" should be reconsidered to
2010	Keefe	Hospital	comments on	remove ambiguity, and we offer an alternative definition below.
2010	NECIE	Tiospitai	connients on	remove amonguity, and we oner an alternative demittion below.

Dec 29	Carmella	America's		General	We ask that the NQF clarify the following terms included in the
2010	Bocchino	Health		comments on	Glossary: serious Injury, competent individual, low risk pregnancy -
5:25PM		Insurance		the Serious	describes the maternal condition but does not include fetal status.
		Plans		Reportable	This more limited definition restricted to the mother's status can
				Events glossary	affect the interpretation of several maternal measures.'



