

# **Community Public Reporting Dashboard**

# Workshop

# October 12, 2010 9:00 am-4:30 pm ET

#### **Quality Enterprise Functions:** NQF Contributions





## Why a Standardized Dashboard?

- Enables true quality comparisons of a core set of measures while providing community flexibility
- Gives consumers better information to make informed decisions



The charge of the Community Public Reporting Dashboard Workshop participants is to identify core domains and subdomains of a public reporting dashboard. Where possible, the group will also identify endorsed metrics.

Communities could then adapt around the core dashboard to reflect the issues specific to their constituents.

## Today's Agenda

- Brief review of web meeting proceedings
- Discussion of key issues from web meeting
- Presentation of draft "core" dashboard from homework
- Selection of domains & subdomains for dashboard
- Brainstorm dashboard name
- Wrap-up and next steps



- "Right now" dashboard that can be implemented by communities in pilot program to begin early 2011
- "In the Future" dashboard that can be implemented by communities in three years



# **Questions & Discussion**

• Is the scope of work for the group clear?

# Web Meeting Accomplishments

- NQF NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM
- Introduced the Community Public Reporting Dashboard project's charge and scope of work
- Laid the groundwork by talking about the NPP Priorities, the Episode of Care Framework, & the HHS-proposed national health strategy
- Discussed key issues that will impact the dashboard development
- Provided results of a public reporting environmental scan
- Asked participants to complete an initial voting exercise intended to help build a first cut dashboard

## NPP Input to Secretary of HHS to Inform the National Strategy for Healthcare Quality



NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

## Key Issues Raised – Key Audience

• Consumer-centric focus

- Accountability to inform consumers & purchasers
- Community-wide transparency

NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

### **Continuum of Reporting Performance Results**



Internal Use of Performance Information for QI Reporting of Performance Information for Benchmarking & Internal QI Reporting of Performance Information to Private External Organizations

Reporting of Performance Information to Public Agencies

Reporting of Performance Information to the Public at Large

**Full Disclosure** & Transparency 11

Restricted Disclosure

www.qualityforum.org

## Key Issues Raised – Standardization vs. Flexibility



• Standardization sets clear course

• Flexibility allows for innovation

• Result in a dashboard that is responsive to local markets & needs



- Outcomes
  - Most reporting on patient experience, safety outcomes, & mortality
  - Few on functional status & health-related quality of life
- Cost
  - Most reporting on utilization & cost of an episode
  - Few on total cost across an episode
- Population Health
  - Most reporting on preventive
  - Few on healthy lifestyles & social determinants
- Need for cross-setting measures



## 12 domains in rank order

- Outcomes = 13 subdomains
- Care Coordination = 9 subdomains
- Cost & Utilization = 14 subdomains
- Safety = 7 sub-domains
- Patient & Family Engagement = 6 subdomains
- Population Health = 6 subdomains
- Process = 5 sub-domains
- Overuse = 9 sub-domains
- Access & Equity = 11 subdomains
- Structure = 3 sub-domains
- Palliative & End of Life = 4 subdomains
- Clinical Quality of Care = 3 subdomains

## Example "Core" Dashboard

**Future Dashboard Expansion** 

Flexibility for Additional Domains Selected by Communities



NQF NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM



# **Questions & Discussion**

16

## **Potential Measure Example**





## **Potential Measure Example**







# Implications, Wrap-Up, and Next Steps





• Write-up of workshop results

• Pilot of "right now" dashboard with three communities

• Documentation of lessons learned from pilot



# Final Questions?

# Many thanks for your participation!

Any follow-up questions can be directed to: Christy Olenik at <u>colenik@qualityforum.org</u>