

NQF
NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

**Measure Applications
Partnership**

Clinician Workgroup
Web Meeting #1

June 30, 2011

www.qualityforum.org

NQF
NATIONAL QUALITY FORUM

***Welcome and Review of
Meeting Objectives***

2

www.qualityforum.org

Meeting Objectives

- Review the MAP Clinician Workgroup charge and the plan for accomplishing the charge
- Review alignment challenges with the current federal programs for clinician performance measurement
- Propose guidance to HHS for better aligning the federal programs

3

www.qualityforum.org

Federal Program Reporting Options

Individual Clinician Reporting Options			Group Reporting Option	
EHR-MU		PQRS		PQRS
Medicaid-Clinicians	Medicare-Physicians	Clinicians		
6 measures (3 core, 3 additional)	3 individual measures (of 240 possible)	1 Measures Group (14 disease measures groups)	26 core measures	
E-specified measures	Claims	Registry	EHR	GPRO-I (>200) GPRO-II (<200)
		6-month (July-Dec) or 12-month (Jan-Dec) (EHR- 12-month only)		12-month reporting period
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submit numerator and denominator Attest to data accuracy Timing???? 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Submit additional quality codes on claims Measure calculated by CMS contractor Measure rates reported back to clinicians following summer 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> CMS calculates rates on sample of patients Groups able to populate with more information after seeing initial results (can upload from EHR) Data submitted early following year
Incentive in 4-6 weeks *States can voluntarily offer incentives for Medicaid		Incentive provided the following summer		Incentive provided the following summer

4

www.qualityforum.org

Example: Diabetes HbA1c Poor Control Across Federal Programs

- Individual Physician, Claims, 6-month
- Individual Physician, Registry, 6-month
- Individual Physician, Claims, 12-month
- Individual Physician, Registry, 12-month
- Individual Physician, EHR, 12-month
- Group, GPRO Tool, 12-month
- Individual Physician, E-specific, 12-month

*Physician Compare
and the Value
Modifier will draw
from these
programs*

5

Alignment Considerations

- Data Collection
 - Different specifications for the same measure
 - Different data collection mechanisms
 - Different sample sizes
- Data Reporting
 - Separate reporting mechanisms for the same measure
 - Individual vs. group reporting
 - Different reporting periods
 - Submission of data vs. rates
- Performance Reports to Clinicians
 - Feedback delayed
 - Inconsistency of results

6

Discussion Questions

- Which areas of misalignment across the federal programs for clinician performance measurement are most concerning?
- Should all programs move toward collecting only electronically-submitted data to encourage adoption of HIT and availability of real time feedback?
- Should all programs allow both individual and group reporting?
- Should all programs require submission of data elements instead of calculated measure results, as data elements can be used for multiple purposes?