

MAP “WORKING” MEASURE SELECTION CRITERIA



NATIONAL
QUALITY FORUM

1. Measures within the program measure set are NQF-endorsed or meet the requirements for expedited review

Measures within the program measure set are NQF-endorsed, indicating that they have met the following criteria: important to measure and report, scientifically acceptable measure properties, usable, and feasible. Measures within the program measure set that are not NQF-endorsed but meet requirements for expedited review, including measures in widespread use and/or tested, may be recommended by MAP, contingent on subsequent endorsement. These measures will be submitted for expedited review.

Response option: Strongly Agree / Agree / Disagree / Strongly Disagree

Measures within the program measure set are NQF-endorsed or meet requirements for expedited review (including measures in widespread use and/or tested)

Additional Implementation Consideration: Individual endorsed measures may require additional discussion and may be excluded from the program measure set if there is evidence that implementing the measure would result in undesirable unintended consequences.

2. Program measure set adequately addresses each of the National Quality Strategy (NQS) priorities

Demonstrated by measures addressing each of the National Quality Strategy (NQS) priorities:

- | | |
|-------------------------|---|
| Subcriterion 2.1 | Safer care |
| Subcriterion 2.2 | Effective care coordination |
| Subcriterion 2.3 | Preventing and treating leading causes of mortality and morbidity |
| Subcriterion 2.4 | Person- and family-centered care |
| Subcriterion 2.5 | Supporting better health in communities |
| Subcriterion 2.6 | Making care more affordable |

Response option for each subcriterion: Strongly Agree / Agree / Disagree / Strongly Disagree:

NQS priority is adequately addressed in the program measure set

3. Program measure set adequately addresses high-impact conditions relevant to the program’s intended population(s) (e.g., children, adult non-Medicare, older adults, dual eligible beneficiaries)

Demonstrated by the program measure set addressing Medicare High-Impact Conditions; Child Health Conditions and risks; or conditions of high prevalence, high disease burden, and high cost relevant to the program’s intended population(s). (Refer to tables 1 and 2 for Medicare High-Impact Conditions and Child Health Conditions determined by the NQF Measure Prioritization Advisory Committee.)

Response option: Strongly Agree / Agree / Disagree / Strongly Disagree:

Program measure set adequately addresses high-impact conditions relevant to the program.

4. Program measure set promotes alignment with specific program attributes, as well as alignment across programs

Demonstrated by a program measure set that is applicable to the intended care setting(s), level(s) of analysis, and population(s) relevant to the program.

Response option for each subcriterion: Strongly Agree / Agree / Disagree / Strongly Disagree

Subcriterion 4.1 Program measure set is applicable to the program's intended care setting(s)

Subcriterion 4.2 Program measure set is applicable to the program's intended level(s) of analysis

Subcriterion 4.3 Program measure set is applicable to the program's population(s)

5. Program measure set includes an appropriate mix of measure types

Demonstrated by a program measure set that includes an appropriate mix of process, outcome, experience of care, cost/resource use/appropriateness, and structural measures necessary for the specific program attributes.

Response option for each subcriterion: Strongly Agree / Agree / Disagree / Strongly Disagree

Subcriterion 5.1 Outcome measures are adequately represented in the program measure set

Subcriterion 5.2 Process measures are adequately represented in the program measure set

Subcriterion 5.3 Experience of care measures are adequately represented in the program measure set (e.g. patient, family, caregiver)

Subcriterion 5.4 Cost/resource use/appropriateness measures are adequately represented in the program measure set

Subcriterion 5.5 Structural measures and measures of access are represented in the program measure set when appropriate

6. Program measure set enables measurement across the person-centered episode of care ¹

Demonstrated by assessment of the person's trajectory across providers, settings, and time.

Response option for each subcriterion: Strongly Agree / Agree / Disagree / Strongly Disagree

Subcriterion 6.1 Measures within the program measure set are applicable across relevant providers

Subcriterion 6.2 Measures within the program measure set are applicable across relevant settings

Subcriterion 6.3 Program measure set adequately measures patient care across time

¹ National Quality Forum (NQF), Measurement Framework: Evaluating Efficiency Across Patient-Focused Episodes of Care, Washington, DC: NQF; 2010.

7. Program measure set includes considerations for healthcare disparities²

Demonstrated by a program measure set that promotes equitable access and treatment by considering healthcare disparities. Factors include addressing race, ethnicity, socioeconomic status, language, gender, age disparities, or geographical considerations (e.g., urban vs. rural). Program measure set also can address populations at risk for healthcare disparities (e.g., people with behavioral/mental illness).

Response option for each subcriterion: Strongly Agree / Agree / Disagree / Strongly Disagree

Subcriterion 7.1 Program measure set includes measures that directly assess healthcare disparities (e.g., interpreter services)

Subcriterion 7.2 Program measure set includes measures that are sensitive to disparities measurement (e.g., beta blocker treatment after a heart attack)

8. Program measure set promotes parsimony

Demonstrated by a program measure set that supports efficient (i.e., minimum number of measures and the least effort) use of resources for data collection and reporting and supports multiple programs and measurement applications. The program measure set should balance the degree of effort associated with measurement and its opportunity to improve quality.

Response option for each subcriterion: Strongly Agree / Agree / Disagree / Strongly Disagree

Subcriterion 8.1 Program measure set demonstrates efficiency (i.e., minimum number of measures and the least burdensome)

Subcriterion 8.2 Program measure set can be used across multiple programs or applications (e.g., Meaningful Use, Physician Quality Reporting System [PQRS])

² NQF, *Healthcare Disparities Measurement*, Washington, DC: NQF; 2011.

Table 1: National Quality Strategy Priorities

1. Making care safer by reducing harm caused in the delivery of care.
2. Ensuring that each person and family is engaged as partners in their care.
3. Promoting effective communication and coordination of care.
4. Promoting the most effective prevention and treatment practices for the leading causes of mortality, starting with cardiovascular disease.
5. Working with communities to promote wide use of best practices to enable healthy living.
6. Making quality care more affordable for individuals, families, employers, and governments by developing and spreading new healthcare delivery models.

Table 2: High-Impact Conditions:

Medicare Conditions
1. Major Depression
2. Congestive Heart Failure
3. Ischemic Heart Disease
4. Diabetes
5. Stroke/Transient Ischemic Attack
6. Alzheimer's Disease
7. Breast Cancer
8. Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease
9. Acute Myocardial Infarction
10. Colorectal Cancer
11. Hip/Pelvic Fracture
12. Chronic Renal Disease
13. Prostate Cancer
14. Rheumatoid Arthritis/Osteoarthritis
15. Atrial Fibrillation
16. Lung Cancer
17. Cataract
18. Osteoporosis
19. Glaucoma
20. Endometrial Cancer

Child Health Conditions and Risks
1. Tobacco Use
2. Overweight/Obese (\geq 85th percentile BMI for age)
3. Risk of Developmental Delays or Behavioral Problems
4. Oral Health
5. Diabetes
6. Asthma
7. Depression
8. Behavior or Conduct Problems
9. Chronic Ear Infections (3 or more in the past year)
10. Autism, Asperger's, PDD, ASD
11. Developmental Delay (diag.)
12. Environmental Allergies (hay fever, respiratory or skin allergies)
13. Learning Disability
14. Anxiety Problems
15. ADD/ADHD
16. Vision Problems not Corrected by Glasses
17. Bone, Joint, or Muscle Problems
18. Migraine Headaches
19. Food or Digestive Allergy
20. Hearing Problems
21. Stuttering, Stammering, or Other Speech Problems
22. Brain Injury or Concussion
23. Epilepsy or Seizure Disorder
24. Tourette Syndrome

MAP “WORKING” MEASURE SELECTION CRITERIA INTERPRETIVE GUIDE



NATIONAL
QUALITY FORUM

Instructions for applying the measure selection criteria:

The measure selection criteria are designed to assist MAP Coordinating Committee and workgroup members in assessing measure sets used in payment and public reporting programs. The criteria have been developed with feedback from the MAP Coordinating Committee, workgroups, and public comment. The criteria are intended to facilitate a structured thought process that results in generating discussion. A rating scale of *Strongly Agree*, *Agree*, *Disagree*, *Strongly Disagree* is offered for each criterion or sub-criterion. An open text box is included in the response tool to capture reflections on the rationale for ratings.

The eight criteria areas are designed to assist in determining whether a measure set is aligned with its intended use and whether the set best reflects ‘quality’ health and healthcare. The term “measure set” can refer to a collection of measures--for a program, condition, procedure, topic, or population. For the purposes of MAP moving forward, we will qualify all uses of the term measure set to refer to either a “program measure set,” a “core measure set” for a setting, or a “condition measure set.” The following eight criteria apply to the evaluation of program measure sets; a subset of the criteria apply to condition measure sets.

FOR CRITERION 1 - NQF ENDORSEMENT:

The optimal option is for all measures in the program measure set to be NQF endorsed or ready for NQF expedited review. The endorsement process evaluates individual measures against four main criteria:

1. **‘Importance to measure and report’**—how well the measure addresses a specific national health goal/ priority, addresses an area where a performance gap exists, and demonstrates evidence to support the measure focus;
2. **‘Scientific acceptability of the measurement properties’** - evaluates the extent to which each measure produces consistent (reliable) and credible (valid) results about the quality of care.
3. **‘Usability’**- the extent to which intended audiences (e.g., consumers, purchasers, providers, and policy makers) can understand the results of the measure and are likely to find the measure results useful for decision making.
4. **‘Feasibility’** - the extent to which the required data are readily available, retrievable without undue burden, and can be implemented for performance measures.

To be recommended by MAP, a measure that is not NQF-endorsed must meet the following requirements, so that it can be submitted for expedited review:

- the extent to which the measure(s) under consideration has been sufficiently tested and/or in widespread use
- whether the scope of the project/measure set is relatively narrow
- time-sensitive legislative/regulatory mandate for the measure(s)
- Measures that are NQF-endorsed are broadly available for quality improvement and public accountability programs. In some instances, there may be evidence that implementation challenges

and/or unintended negative consequences of measurement to individuals or populations may outweigh benefits associated with the use of the performance measure. Additional consideration and discussion by the MAP workgroup or Coordinating Committee may be appropriate prior to selection. To raise concerns on particular measures, please make a note in the included text box under this criterion.

FOR CRITERION 2 - PROGRAM MEASURE SET ADDRESSES THE NATIONAL QUALITY STRATEGY PRIORITIES:

The program's set of measures is expected to adequately address each of the NQS priorities as described in criterion 2.1-2.6. The definition of "adequate" rests on the expert judgment of the Coordinating Committee or workgroup member using the selection criteria. This assessment should consider the current landscape of NQF-endorsed measures available for selection within each of the priority areas.

FOR CRITERION 3 - PROGRAM MEASURE SET ADDRESSES HIGH-IMPACT CONDITIONS:

When evaluating the program measure set, measures that adequately capture information on high-impact conditions should be included based on their relevance to the program's intended population. High-priority Medicare and child health conditions have been determined by NQF's Measure Prioritization Advisory Committee and are included to provide guidance. For programs intended to address high-impact conditions for populations other than Medicare beneficiaries and children (e.g., adult non-Medicare and dual eligible beneficiaries), high-impact conditions can be demonstrated by their high prevalence, high disease burden, and high costs relevant to the program. Examples of other on-going efforts may include research or literature on the adult Medicaid population or other common populations. The definition of "adequate" rests on the expert judgment of the Coordinating Committee or workgroup member using the selection criteria.

FOR CRITERION 4 - PROGRAM MEASURE SET PROMOTES ALIGNMENT WITH SPECIFIC PROGRAM ATTRIBUTES, AS WELL AS ALIGNMENT ACROSS PROGRAMS:

The program measure sets should align with the attributes of the specific program for which they intend to be used. Background material on the program being evaluated and its intended purpose are provided to help with applying the criteria. This should assist with making discernments about the intended care setting(s), level(s) of analysis, and population(s). While the program measure set should address the unique aims of a given program, the overall goal is to harmonize measurement across programs, settings, and between the public and private sectors.

- **Care settings include:** Ambulatory Care, Ambulatory Surgery Center, Clinician Office, Clinic/Urgent Care, Behavioral Health/Psychiatric, Dialysis Facility, Emergency Medical Services - Ambulance, Home Health, Hospice, Hospital- Acute Care Facility, Imaging Facility, Laboratory, Pharmacy, Post-Acute/Long Term Care, Facility, Nursing Home/Skilled Nursing Facility, Rehabilitation.
- **Level of analysis includes:** Clinicians/Individual, Group/Practice, Team, Facility, Health Plan, Integrated Delivery System.
- **Populations include:** Community, County/City, National, Regional, or States. Population includes: Adult/Elderly Care, Children's Health, Disparities Sensitive, Maternal Care, and Special Healthcare Needs.

FOR CRITERION 5 – PROGRAM MEASURE SET INCLUDES AN APPROPRIATE MIX OF MEASURE TYPES:

The program measure set should be evaluated for an appropriate mix of measure types. The definition of “appropriate” rests on the expert judgment of the Coordinating Committee or workgroup member using the selection criteria. The evaluated measure types include:

1. **Outcome measures** – Clinical outcome measures reflect the actual results of care.¹ Patient reported measures assess outcomes and effectiveness of care as experienced by patients and their families. Patient reported measures include measures of patients’ understanding of treatment options and care plans, and their feedback on whether care made a difference.²
2. **Process measures** – Process denotes what is actually done in giving and receiving care.³ NQF-endorsement seeks to ensure that process measures have a systematic assessment of the quantity, quality, and consistency of the body of evidence that the measure focus leads to the desired health outcome.⁴
3. **Experience of care measures** – Defined as patients’ perspective on their care.⁵
4. **Cost/resource use/appropriateness measures** –
 - a. *Cost measures* – Total cost of care.
 - b. *Resource use measures* – Resource use measures are defined as broadly applicable and comparable measures of health services counts (in terms of units or dollars) that are applied to a population or event (broadly defined to include diagnoses, procedures, or encounters).⁶
 - c. *Appropriateness measures* – Measures that examine the significant clinical, systems, and care coordination aspects involved in the efficient delivery of high-quality services and thereby effectively improve the care of patients and reduce excessive healthcare costs.⁷
5. **Structure measures** – Reflect the conditions in which providers care for patients.⁸ This includes the attributes of material resources (such as facilities, equipment, and money), of human resources (such as the number and qualifications of personnel), and of organizational structure

1 National Quality Forum. (2011). The right tools for the job. Retrieved from http://www.qualityforum.org/Measuring_Performance/ABCs/The_Right_Tools_for_the_Job.aspx

2 Consumer-Purchases Disclosure Project. (2011). Ten Criteria for Meaningful and Usable Measures of Performance

3 Donabedian, A. (1988) The quality of care. *JAMA*, 260, 1743-1748.

4 National Quality Forum. (2011). Consensus development process. Retrieved from http://www.qualityforum.org/Measuring_Performance/Consensus_Development_Process.aspx

5 National Quality Forum. (2011). The right tools for the job. Retrieved from http://www.qualityforum.org/Measuring_Performance/ABCs/The_Right_Tools_for_the_Job.aspx

6 National Quality Forum (2009). National voluntary consensus standards for outpatient imaging efficiency. Retrieved from http://www.qualityforum.org/Publications/2009/08/National_Voluntary_Consensus_Standards_for_Outpatient_Imaging_Efficiency__A_Consensus_Report.aspx

7 National Quality Forum. (2011). The right tools for the job. Retrieved from http://www.qualityforum.org/Measuring_Performance/ABCs/The_Right_Tools_for_the_Job.aspx

8 National Quality Forum. (2011). The right tools for the job. Retrieved from http://www.qualityforum.org/Measuring_Performance/ABCs/The_Right_Tools_for_the_Job.aspx

(such as medical staff organizations, methods of peer review, and methods of reimbursement).⁹ In this case, structural measures should be used only when appropriate for the program attributes and the intended population.

FOR CRITERION 6 – PROGRAM MEASURE SET ENABLES MEASUREMENT ACROSS THE PERSON-CENTERED EPISODE OF CARE:

The optimal option is for the program measure set to approach measurement in such a way as to capture a person's natural trajectory through the health and healthcare system over a period of time. Additionally, driving to longitudinal measures that address patients throughout their lifespan, from health, to chronic conditions, and when acutely ill should be emphasized. Evaluating performance in this way can provide insight into how effectively services are coordinated across multiple settings and during critical transition points.

When evaluating subcriteria 6.1-6.3, it is important to note whether the program measure set captures this trajectory (across providers, settings or time). This can be done through the inclusion of individual measures (e.g., 30-day readmission post-hospitalization measure) or multiple measures in concert (e.g., aspirin at arrival for AMI, statins at discharge, AMI 30-day mortality, referral for cardiac rehabilitation).

FOR CRITERION 7 – PROGRAM MEASURE SET INCLUDES CONSIDERATIONS FOR HEALTHCARE DISPARITIES:

Measures sets should be able to detect differences in quality among populations or social groupings. Measures should be stratified by demographic information (e.g., race, ethnicity, language, gender, disability, and socioeconomic status, rural vs. urban), which will provide important information to help identify and address disparities.¹⁰

Subcriterion 7.1 seeks to include measures that are known to assess healthcare disparities (e.g., use of interpreter services to prevent disparities for non-English speaking patients).

Subcriterion 7.2 seeks to include disparities-sensitive measures; these are measures that serve to detect not only differences in quality across institutions or in relation to certain benchmarks, but also differences in quality among populations or social groupings (e.g., race/ethnicity, language).

FOR CRITERION 8 – PROGRAM MEASURE SET PROMOTES PARSIMONY:

The optimal option is for the program measure set to support an efficient use of resources in regard to data collection and reporting for accountable entities, while also measuring the patient's health and healthcare comprehensively.

Subcriterion 8.1 can be evaluated by examining whether the program measure set includes the least number of measures required to capture the program's objectives and data submission that requires the least burden on the part of the accountable entities.

Subcriterion 8.2 can be evaluated by examining whether the program measure set includes measures that are used across multiple programs (e.g., PQRS, MU, CHIPRA, etc.) and applications (e.g., payment, public reporting, and quality improvement).

9 Donabedian, A. (1988) The quality of care. *JAMA*, 260, 1743-1748.

10 Consumer-Purchases Disclosure Project. (2011). Ten Criteria for Meaningful and Usable Measures of Performance.